Activin Regulates Self-renewal and Differentiation of Trophoblast Stem Cells by Down-regulating the X Chromosome Gene Bcor*

Received for publication, June 22, 2015, and in revised form, July 27, 2015 Published, JBC Papers in Press, July 28, 2015, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M115.674127

Gaoyang Zhu, Teng Fei¹ **, Zhongwei Li**² **, Xiaohua Yan, and Ye-Guang Chen**³

From the The State Key Laboratory of Membrane Biology, Tsinghua-Peking Center for Life Sciences, School of Life Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

Background: Activin maintains TSC self-renewal in the presence of FGF4 while promoting syncytiotrophoblast differentiation without FGF4.

Results: *Bcor* is repressed by activin and regulates TSC self-renewal and differentiation by inhibiting trophoblast-specific transcription factors.

Conclusion: *Bcor* is a downstream target of activin and mediates activin functions in TSCs.

Significance: This study advances our understanding of placental development and placenta-related diseases.

The development of a functional placenta is largely dependent upon proper proliferation and differentiation of trophoblast stem cells (TSCs). Activin signaling has long been regarded to play important roles during this process, but the exact mechanism is largely unknown. Here, we demonstrate that the X chromosome gene BCL-6 corepressor (*Bcor***) is a critical downstream effector of activin to fine-tune mouse TSC fate decision.** *Bcor* **was specifically down-regulated by activin A in TSCs in a dose-dependent manner, and immediately up-regulated upon TSC differentiation. Knockdown of** *Bcor* **partially compensated for the absence of activin A in maintaining the self-renewal of TSCs together with FGF4, while promoting syncytiotrophoblast differentiation in the absence of FGF4. Moreover, the impaired trophoblast giant cell and spongiotrophoblast differentiation upon** *Bcor* **knockdown also resembled the function of activin. Reporter analysis showed that BCOR inhibited the expression of the key trophoblast regulator genes** *Eomes* **and** *Cebpa* **by binding to their promoter regions. Our findings provide us with a better understanding of placental development and placenta-related diseases.**

Specification of trophectoderm and inner cell mass, which is composed of trophoblast stem cells $(TSCs)^4$ and embryonic stem cells (ESCs), respectively, is the first cell fate decision event during the mouse early developmental process (1, 2). Unlike ESCs that generate all three germ layers of the embryo,

TSCs differentiate to trophoblast giant cells, syncytiotrophoblasts, and spongiotrophoblasts and exclusively contribute to the embryonic part of the placenta, a vital organ that mediates the physiological exchange between the fetus and the mother during mammalian pregnancy (3, 4). Placental development disorders cause a wide range of pregnancy complications in humans, such as pre-eclampsia, fetal growth restriction, and even premature death of the fetus (5, 6). Thus, the self-renewal and differentiation of TSCs are under a precise control to provide sufficient trophoblast sub-lineages, while maintaining the stem cell pool for proper placental development.

Extrinsic signaling and intrinsic transcription factors collaborate to regulate TSC fate determination. It is well known that $FGF4$ signaling and $TGF-\beta$ /activin/nodal signaling play essential roles during this process (7–9). FGF4 directly up-regulates trophoblast core transcription factors*Cdx2*, *Eomes*(eomesodermin), and *Sox2* through its downstream effector ERK to maintain the selfrenewal state of TSCs (10, 11), whereas activin can either maintain self-renewal or promote syncytiotrophoblast differentiation, depending on the availability of FGF4 (8, 12).

Binding of TGF- β /activin to their serine/threonine kinase receptors initiates signal transduction, leading to the activation of receptors and subsequent phosphorylation of the intracellular signal transducers Smad2/3. The phosphorylated Smad2/3 then form a heterocomplex with Smad4 and are accumulated in the nucleus to regulate the expression of their target genes with the help of other transcription factors or cofactors (13–17). Although the functions of $TGF- β /activity in ESCs and the$ underlying mechanisms have been well investigated (18, 19), how activin exerts its function in TSCs is largely unknown.

Proper activity of X chromosome is essential for placental development. Activation of both X chromosomes in female embryos leads to embryonic lethality due to placental development failure (20, 21). These observations raise the possibility that some key placental regulators may be encoded by X chromosome and that their expression must be precisely controlled. *Plac1* and *Esx1* have been shown to be two of such X-linked dosage-sensitive regulators (22, 23). *BCOR*, which encodes an

^{*} This work was supported by grants from the 973 Program (2011CB943803 and 2013CB933700) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31221064 and 31330049) (to Y. G. C.). The authors declare that they have

no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.
¹ Present address: Dana-Farber Cancer Institute and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115.
² Present address: The Salk Institute for Biological Studies, Gene Expression

Laboratory, La Jolla, CA 92037.
³ A Bayer-endowed Chair Professor. To whom correspondence should

be addressed. Tel.: 86-10-62795184; Fax: 86-10-62794376; E-mail:

⁴ The abbreviations used are: TSC, trophoblast stem cell; ESC, embryonic stem cell; C/EBP, CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein; CM, conditional medium; OFCD, oculofaciocardiodental; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time PCR; shControl, control shRNA.

qRT-PCR primers

interacting corepressor of BCL-6, is located on the Xp11.4 of the human genome (24). *BCOR* mutations are the direct cause of human oculofaciocardiodental (OFCD) syndrome in females with genetic disorders affecting ocular, facial, dental, and cardiac systems and embryonic lethality for males (25–27), suggesting an important role of BCOR during embryo development. At the gastrulation stage of mouse embryos, the expression of *Bcor* is highly restricted to the trophectoderm lineages and later spreads to the embryo proper (28). Recent evidence from *Bcor* knock-out mice further implies that BCOR may participate in extraembryonic lineage development (29).

In the present study, we provided evidence that *Bcor* is a downstream target of activin signaling and plays an important role in self-renewal and differentiation of TSCs. BCOR mediates the activity of activin in maintaining TSC self-renewal together with FGF4 while promoting syncytiotrophoblast differentiation in the absence of FGF4 by inhibiting the expression of *Eomes* and *Cebpa*.

Experimental Procedures

*Cell Culture and Reagents—*129R3 trophoblast stem cells (kindly provided by Shaorong Gao, Tongji University, Shanghai, China) were cultured as reported previously (30). In brief, feederfree 129R3 cells were cultured in 70CM-F4H medium composed of 30% TS medium (RPMI 1640 supplemented with 20% FBS, 2 mм GlutaMAX, 1 mм sodium pyruvate, 100 μ м β -mercaptoethanol, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 μ g/ml streptomycin) and 70% feeder-conditional medium (CM) and supplemented with 1 μ g/ml heparin (Wako Pure Chemical) and 25 ng/ml FGF4 (Invitrogen). Feeder-conditional medium was supposed to provide TGF- β /activin for TSCs, and for the indicated experiments, it was substituted with 10 ng/ml activin A (R&D Systems). Culture conditions for R1 mouse embryonic stem cells and H1 human embryonic stem cells were described elsewhere (31, 32). Mouse embryonic fibroblast feeder cells and HEK293FT cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS.

*Bcor Knockdown—*Specific shRNAs for *Bcor* were obtained from Sigma (shRNA lentiviral transduction particles TRCN0000081965 and TRCN0000081966). Lentivirus production and infection were performed as described previously (33). Briefly, the shRNA lentiviral vectors were co-transfected into HEK293FT cells together with package plasmids VSV-G and Δ 8.9 using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). The supernatant was collected 72 h after transfection and filtered through a 0.45 - μ m filter (Millipore). The virus-containing supernatant was applied to TSCs in the presence of $8 \mu g/ml$ Polybrene, and 48 h later, the cells were selected with puromycin $(1 \mu g/ml)$ for an additional 3–5 days before the stable cell lines could be generated.

*RNA Extraction, Reverse Transcription, and Quantitative Real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)—*Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). cDNA synthesis was then performed through reverse transcription using $1 \mu g$ of the extracted RNA, oligo(dT), and reverse transcriptase. qRT-PCR was carried out in triplicate for each sample using EvaGreen dye (Biotium) on LC480 (Roche Applied Science) system. All the primers used are listed in Table 1.

*Immunoblotting, Immunoprecipitation, Immunofluorescence and Antibodies—*For immunoblotting, cells were lysed in TNE buffer (20 mm Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mm NaCl, 2 mm EDTA, 1% Triton X-100) containing freshly added proteinase inhibitor mixture (Roche Applied Science) for 30 min at 4 °C. After centrifugation, the supernatants were resolved by SDS-PAGE gel. For immunoprecipitation, one-tenth of the cell lysate was preserved as whole cell lysate, and the other nine-tenths of the cell lysate was incubated with 2 μ g of antibodies and 30 μ l of protein A-Sepharose beads (Zymed Laboratories Inc.. overnight at 4 °C. The beads were washed three times with TNE buffer and then analyzed using immunoblotting. For immunofluorescence, cells were sequentially treated with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, 0.5% Triton X-100 for 5 min, 0.5% BSA for 30 min, the primary antibody overnight at 4 °C, and finally the secondary antibody for 1 h in the dark. The nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (Sigma). Images were obtained with confocal Olympus FluoView 1200 microscope. The following anti-

FIGURE 1. **Activin down-regulates** *Bcor***in TSCs.** *A* and *B*, 129R3 mouse TSCs were treated with 25 ng/ml activin A for the indicated time (*A*) or treated 12 h for the indicated activin A concentrations (*B*) and then harvested for qRT-PCR analysis. *C* and *D*, R1 ESCs (*C*) and ESC-induced TSC-like cells (*D*) were treated with 25 ng/ml activin A for 12 h before being harvested for qRT-PCR analysis. *shLuc*, luciferase shRNA. Data are presented as mean \pm S.E. (*n* = 3; ***, *p* < 0.001; **, *p* < $0.01;$ ^{*}, $p < 0.05$).

bodies were used: α -PL-1 (sc-34713) and α -GAPDH (sc-20357) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; α -GCM1 (ab2) from Sigma-Aldrich; and α -CDX2 (27189) from Signalway Antibody.

*TSC Differentiation Assay—*The next day after passaging, cells were cultured in TS medium in the absence of FGF4, heparin, and CM to induce differentiation. For the indicated experiments, TS medium was supplemented with 10 ng/ml activin A (TS+Ac10 medium), 25 ng/ml FGF4, and 1 μ g/ml heparin $(TS + F4H \text{ medium})$ or 10 μ M SB431542 (TS+SB10 medium). The culture medium was replaced every day before the cells were harvested.

*Proliferation and TUNEL Assay—*Cells were seeded at a density of 20,000 cells/well into 24-well plates, and cultured in the stemness condition (70CM-F4H medium) for 3 days or in the differentiation-inducing condition (TS medium) for 5 days. Cell numbers were counted every day or every other day, respectively. TUNEL assay (Roche Applied Science) was performed following the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, cells were trypsinized and fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde for 60 min, followed by permeabilization with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 2 min on ice, and then the labeling mixture and DAPI were added sequentially to the cells for staining the apoptotic cells and cell nucleus, respectively.

*Analysis of Endoreduplication—*After induction of differentiation for 0, 2, 4, or 6 days, cells were detached with TrypLE (Gibco) and fixed with cold 70% ethanol. Then, the cells were stained with propidium iodide for 30 min and analyzed by flow cytometry for DNA content using BD FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences). Cells with DNA content $\leq 4n$ (2n cells in G_0/G_1 phase, 2n–4n cells in S phase, and 4n cells in G_2/M phase) represent those non-endoreduplicated cells, whereas cells with $DNA content \geq 8n$ are defined as endoreduplicated trophoblast giant cells.

*Promoter Reporter Analysis—*The *Eomes* promoter segment (-1000 bp to +1000 bp) and the *Cebpa* promoter segment $(-250$ bp to $+200$ bp) were PCR-amplified using mouse genomic DNA as template, and then cloned into the pGL3-basic luciferase reporter vector between KpnI/XhoI sites. The primers are: $5'$ -ATAGGTACCTGGGGCTGAGCGCTGCAA-3 (Cebpa pro sense); 5'-TCTCTCGAGAAAGCCAAAGGCGGCGTTG-3' (Cebpa pro antisense); 5-ATAGGTACCCGTCCTTGAC-TGTTTGCGGAAACGCAGG-3 (Eomes pro sense); and 5-ATACTCGAGATTGGACGCGGGGTACACGGGTC-CGT-3 (Eomes pro antisense). Potential regulatory roles for BCOR on these promoter activities were evaluated in 129R3 TSCs according to the following procedure. Cells were

FIGURE 2.**Activin has profound effects on the expression of TSC pluripotency and differentiation markers.** *A* and *B*, TSCs (*A*) or ESC-induced TSC-like cells (*B*) were harvested together with ESCs for assessment of the expression of *Bcor* or lineage-specific markers using qRT-PCR. *shLuciferase*, luciferase shRNA; *shOct4*, Oct4 shRNA. *C*, qRT-PCR (*left panel*) and conventional PCR (*right panel*) were performed to detect the *Bcor* mRNA level in both the embryonic part and the trophoblast part of the embryonic day 8.5 mouse embryo. *D* and *E*, 129R3 cells were cultured in TS medium (*blue*) or TS medium plus 10 ng/ml activin A (TS-Ac10 medium, *red*) and harvested every 24 h until day 6. The expression of trophoblast markers (*C*) and *Bcor* (*D*) was analyzed using qRT-PCR. Data are presented as mean \pm S.E. ($n = 3$).

seeded into a 24-well plate and transiently transfected with the reporter constructs at 30–50% confluence using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). pRenilla-TK vector was used as an internal control. Then, the cells were maintained in stemness condition for 48 h before they were collected and measured using the luciferase assay system (Promega, Madison, WI).

*Statistical Analysis—*All the experiments were repeated at least three times. The values were presented as mean \pm S.D., and the significance between means was calculated using Student's *t* test. *p* value less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant (* for $p \le 0.05$, ** for $p \le 0.01$ and *** for $p \le$ 0.001).

Results

*Bcor Is Specifically Down-regulated by Activin Signaling in TSCs—*To determine how activin signaling regulates self-renewal and differentiation of TSCs, we treated 129R3 mouse TSCs with activin A and performed qRT-PCR analysis. As shown in Fig. 1, *A* and *B*, activin A treatment down-regulated *Bcor* mRNA levels in both a time-dependent and dose-dependent manner, indicating that *Bcor* is a downstream target of activin.

To further examine the cell type specificity of the down-regulation of *Bcor* by activin, we treated R1 mouse ESCs and H1 human ESCs with 25 ng/ml activin A for 12 h. No significant down-regulation of *Bcor* can be seen in these cells (Fig. 1*C*), although both of them exhibited the up-regulation of the classical activin target gene *Lefty1* (34). Repression of *Oct4* (*Pou5f1*)

expression has been shown to induce ESCs to transdifferentiate into TSC-like cells (35). Consistently, down-regulation of *Bcor* by activin only occurred in *Oct4* knockdown R1 cells (Fig. 1*D*). Collectively, these data indicate that activin can down-regulate *Bcor* expression specifically in TSCs.

*Bcor Is Highly Expressed in Trophoblast Lineages and Up-regulated upon TSC Differentiation—*As two of the first specified cell lineages, TSCs and ESCs express different genes to dictate their own cellular phenotypes. High expression of TSC marker genes *Cdx2*, *Eomes*, *Elf5*, and *Fgfr2c* and low expression of ESC marker genes *Oct4* and *Nanog* in TSCs clearly distinguished them from ESCs. Notably, *Bcor* was only highly expressed in TSCs (Fig. 2*A*). This result was confirmed by acquisition of high *Bcor* expression upon ESC to TSC transdifferentiation by lentivirus-mediated *Oct4* knockdown (Figs. 1*D* and 2*B*). We further examined the *in vivo* expression of *Bcor* in early mouse embryogenesis. *Fgf4* and *Fgfr2* were used as specific lineage markers, as it is widely regarded that the response of trophoblast-localized FGF receptor Fgfr2 to the embryo-produced Fgf4 is required for the maintenance of TSC self-renewal (36). *Bcor* showed a similar expression pattern with *Fgfr2* (Fig. 2*C*). Collectively, these observations indicate that *Bcor* is highly expressed in trophoblast lineages, consistent with a previous study (28).

We next investigated the expression profile of *Bcor* during TSC differentiation. Deprivation of both CM and FGF4 (TS medium) resulted in rapid differentiation of TSCs, reflected by a decrease of the TSC self-renewal markers (*Cdx2* and *Eomes*)

FIGURE 3.**Knockdown of***Bcor***promotes self-renewal of TSCs.** TSCs were infected with lentiviruses carrying control or *Bcor*shRNAs and selected with puromycin.*A*, qRT-PCR was performed for *Bcor* expression in the resultant 129R3 stable cells. *B*, cells were cultured in 70CM-F4H medium to maintain self-renewal, and the cell numberswerecounted everydayuntilday 3.*C*,cellswereculturedinTSmedium toinducedifferentiation,and thecellnumberswerecounted every theotherdayuntil day 5. *D*, cells cultured in 70CM+F4H medium were subjected to TUNEL assay. *Scale bars*, 100 µm. The *right panel* shows the percentage of apoptotic cells counted from five fields of each sample. *E*, cells were cultured in TS medium plus 25 ng/ml FGF4 and 1 μg/ml heparin (TS+F4H medium) for the indicated times, and the expression of the self-renewalmarkers*Cdx2*, *Eomes*, *Elf5*, and*Esrrb*was determined by qRT-PCR. *F* and*G*, cells were cultured in TS-F4Hmediumfor 4 days before they were harvested for anti-CDX2 immunostaining (F) or anti-CDX2 immunoblotting (G). GAPDH served as a loading control. Scale bars, 10 µm. Five fields of each sample were quantified for the ratio of CDX2-positive cells.*H*, cells were cultured in TS medium for the indicated times, and the expression of *Cdx2*, *Eomes*, *Elf5*, and *Esrrb* was determined by qRT-PCR. Data are presented as mean \pm S.E. ($n = 3$; ***, $p < 0.001$; **, $p < 0.01$; *, $p < 0.05$).

and an increase of the trophoblast differentiation markers (*Gcm1*, *Synb*, *Ascl2*, *Tpbpa*, *Pl-2*, and *Plf*) (Fig. 2*D*). The presence of 10 ng/ml activin A (TS-Ac10 medium) delayed the

down-regulation of the self-renewal markers *Cdx2* and *Eomes* and enhanced the expression of the syncytiotrophoblast markers *Gcm1* and *Synb*. However, differentiation toward tropho-

blast giant cells (*Pl-2* and *Plf*) and spongiotrophoblast (*Ascl2* and *Tpbpa*) was inhibited (12) (Fig. 2*D*). *Bcor* mRNA level was immediately up-regulated upon withdrawal of both FGF4 and CM and reached the peak at 2 days after differentiation, and then gradually declined (Fig. 2*E*). The presence of activin A decreased *Bcor* expression during this process, which is consistent with the inhibitory effect of activin shown in Fig. 1. Taken together, these data indicate that activin inhibits the up-regulation of *Bcor* during TSC differentiation.

*Bcor Knockdown Sustains TSC Self-renewal—*The *Bcor* expression pattern suggests its potential regulatory roles in TSCs, which prompted us to analyze its cellular functions. TSCs were stably infected with lentiviruses carrying either control (shControl) or *Bcor*shRNAs (sh*Bcor*-8 or sh*Bcor*-9). qRT-PCR showed that both of the two *Bcor* shRNAs exhibited more than 80% knockdown efficiency (Fig. 3*A*). The *Bcor* knockdown cells showed increased proliferation rate with no obvious apoptosis when compared with control cells under either promoting selfrenewal or inducing differentiation conditions (Fig. 3, *B–D*). To test whether depletion of *Bcor* prevents TSCs from undergoing autonomous or induced differentiation and makes the cells inclined to stay in the self-renewal state, cells were cultured only in the presence of FGF4 (TS-F4H medium) for 0, 1, and 2 days, respectively, and the stem state was determined by the expression of the pluripotency markers *Cdx2*, *Eomes*, *Elf5*, and *Esrrb*. As shown in Fig. 3*E*, shControl cells showed a gradual decrease of pluripotency marker expression, suggesting that FGF4 alone could not maintain the self-renewal state of TSCs. In contrast, the down-regulation of those pluripotency markers was totally blocked (*Eomes* and *Esrrb*) or partially inhibited (*Cdx2 and Elf5*) upon *Bcor* knockdown. This observation was verified by immunofluorescence and immunoblotting of CDX2 protein levels after 4 days of culture in TS-F4H medium (Fig. 3, *F* and *G*). Even in the absence of FGF4, silencing *Bcor* could still slow the down-regulation of stemness markers (Fig. 3*H*). Collectively, these results indicate that depletion of *Bcor* sustains the self-renewal of TSCs, mimicking the function of activin.

*Bcor Inhibits Syncytiotrophoblast Differentiation—*In addition to promoting self-renewal, activin also promotes syncytiotrophoblast differentiation in the absence of FGF4 (12). Thus, we investigated whether *Bcor* participates in this process. We cultured TSCs in either TS medium (Fig. 4*A*) or TS-Ac10 medium (Fig. 4*B*) to trigger differentiation for 0, 2, 4, and 6 days. The expression of syncytiotrophoblast markers (*Gcm1*, *Synb*, and*Cebpa*) showed a dynamic change: increased at 2 and 4 days of differentiation and decreased at 6 days in TS medium (Fig. 4*A*). *Bcor* knockdown further enhanced their expression. Activin also promoted expression of these markers (Figs. 2*D* and 4*B*), whereas *Bcor* knockdown cells showed only mild enhancement of syncytiotrophoblast marker gene expression when compared with control cells in TS-Ac10 medium. These data suggest that *Bcor* acts as a negative regulator downstream of activin to inhibit syncytiotrophoblast differentiation.

Consistent with the promoting role of activin in syncytiotrophoblast differentiation, the TGF- β /activin receptor inhibitor SB431542 dramatically decreased the expression of these markers during TS medium-induced differentiation (Fig. 4*C*). However, the up-regulation of marker genes was still observed in the *Bcor* knockdown cells, further confirming that *Bcor* acts downstream of activin to inhibit syncytiotrophoblast differentiation. These data were further verified by higher GCM1 protein expression in the *Bcor* knockdown cells, as shown by immunofluorescence and immunoblotting (Fig. 4, *D* and *E*).

*Bcor Is Required for Proper Differentiation of Trophoblast Giant Cells and Spongiotrophoblast—*In addition to promoting self-renewal and syncytiotrophoblast differentiation, activin also blocks differentiation toward trophoblast giant cells and disrupts spongiotrophoblasts (12). Therefore, we further examined the function of *Bcor*in differentiation of these two trophoblast lineages. After withdrawal of both FGF4 and CM for 4 days, control TSCs mainly differentiated into trophoblast giant cells with big cell bodies and enlarged nuclei, whereas *Bcor* knockdown greatly attenuated the number of trophoblast giant cells (Fig. 5*A*; also see Fig. 4*D*). Examination of the expression levels of trophoblast giant cell markers (*Pl-1*, *Pl-2*, and *Plf*) using either qRT-PCR or immunoblotting also confirmed this observation (Fig. 5, *B* and *C*). Flow cytometry detection of the endoreduplication of trophoblast giant cells showed that *Bcor* knockdown reduced the numbers of polyploid cells when compared with control cells (Fig. 5, *D* and *E*). Moreover, *Bcor* knockdown was associated with enhanced *Ascl2* (previously known as *Mash2*) expression and decreased *Tpbpa* expression, indicating abnormal spongiotrophoblast differentiation (Fig. 5*F*). *Ascl2* is an essential transcription factor required for proper differentiation into spongiotrophoblast (37, 38). However, knockdown of *Ascl2* had no influence on *Bcor* expression (Fig. 5*G*), suggesting that *Bcor* acts upstream of *Ascl2* to regulate spongiotrophoblast differentiation. Apart from the three major trophoblast lineages mentioned above, TSCs can also generate a small part of trophoblast glycogen cells named by their intracellular accumulation of glycogen (39). Our results showed that *Bcor* had no obvious effect on this process, as revealed by the protein levels of the marker *Gjb3* (Fig. 5, *H* and *I*).

*BCOR Negatively Regulates Eomes and Cebpa Promoter Activity—*BCL-6 has been reported to interact with Smad3 and Smad4 and negatively regulate $TGF- β signaling through dis$ rupting the Smad-p300 interaction (40). As BCOR is a BCL-6 interacting corepressor, we tested whether BCOR could function through inhibiting activin signaling. An immunoprecipitation experiment showed that BCOR interacted with Smad2 and Smad3, but not with Smad4 (Fig. 6*A*). Furthermore, the interaction between BCOR and Smad2/3 was slightly reduced by the active TGF- β type I receptor. However, BCOR had no influence on both Smad2 phosphorylation and the expression of the activin target genes *Nodal* and *Smad7* (Fig. 6, *B* and *C*). These results suggest that BCOR exerts its function

FIGURE 4. **Knockdown of** *Bcor* **promotes syncytiotrophoblast differentiation of TSCs.** *A*–*C*, *Bcor* knockdown TSCs (sh*Bcor*-8 and sh*Bcor*-9) and control TSCs (shControl) were cultured in TS medium (A), TS+Ac10 medium (B), or TS medium plus 10 μm SB431542 (TS+SB10 medium) (C) to allow differentiation for 0, 2, 4, and 6 days before being harvested for qRT-PCR analysis of the syncytiotrophoblast markers*Gcm1*, *Synb*, and *Cebpa*.*D*and *E*, cells differentiated in TS medium for 4 days were harvested for anti-GCM1 immunostaining (*D*) and immunoblotting (*E*). *Scale bar*: 10 m. Five fields of each sample were quantified for the ratio of GCM1-positive cells. Data are presented as mean \pm S.E. ($n = 3$; ***, $p < 0.001$; **, $p < 0.01$; *, $p < 0.05$).

FIGURE 5. *Bcor***is required for trophoblast giant cell differentiation.** *A*, representative images showing the morphology of shControl, sh*Bcor*-8, and sh*Bcor*-9 TSCs after 4 days of differentiation in TS medium. *Scale bars* represent 250 µm. B, cells were cultured in TS medium to allow differentiation for the indicated time points. The mRNA levels of the trophoblast giant cell markers *Pl-2* and *Plf* were determined by qRT-PCR. *C*, PL-1 protein was detected using immunoblotting after 6 days of differentiation in TS medium.*short exp*, short exposure; *long exp*, long exposure.*D*and *E*, cells were cultured in TS medium for 0, 2, 4, and 6 days, respectively, and the cellular DNA content was measured by FACS (*D*). The percentages of cells with DNA content 8n in day 6 are shown in the bar graph (*E*). *F*, cells were cultured in TS medium to allow differentiation for the indicated time points. The mRNA levels of the spongiotrophoblast markers *Ascl2* and *Tpbpa* were determined by qRT-PCR. *G*, TSCs expressing control or *Ascl2* shRNAs were cultured in TS medium to induce differentiation for 0, 2, 4, and 6 days, respectively, and then they were collected for qRT-PCR analysis to determine the expression of the lineage markers and *Bcor*. *H*, cells were differentiated in TS medium for 4 days and harvested for anti-GJB3 immunostaining. *Scale bar*: 50 m. *I*, cells differentiated in TS medium for the indicated time points were harvested for anti-GJB3 immunoblotting. *C*, shControl; *B8*, sh*Bcor*-8; *B9*, sh*Bcor*-9. Data are presented as mean \pm S.E. ($n = 3$; ***, $p < 0.001$; *, $p < 0.01$; *, $p < 0.05$).

FIGURE 6. **BCOR regulates TSC self-renewal and differentiation by inhibiting** *Eomes* **and** *Cebpa***.** *A*, HEK293FT cells were co-transfected with constructs carrying Smads, BCOR, and active TGF-ß type I receptor (*ca-TßRI*) as indicated for 48 h before they were harvested. Cell lyses were precipitated with anti-Myc antibody, followed by anti-FLAG immunoblotting (*IB*) to detect the interaction between BCOR and Smads. *IP*, immunoprecipitation; *WCL*, whole cell lysate. *B* and C, self-renewing cells were starved in TS+F4H medium for 12 h and then treated with 25 ng/ml activin A for the indicated times. The Smad2 phosphorylation level (*B*, *p-Smad2*) or the mRNA levels of *Nodal* and *Smad7* (*C*) are shown. *D*, the binding of BCOR to *EOMES* and *CEBPA* promoters based on the ChIP sequencing of Huang *et al.* (42). *E* and *F*, luciferase reporter constructs with indicated *Eomes* or *Cebpa* promoter regions (*upper panel*) were transfected into TSCs cultured in self-renewal condition, and the cells were harvested 48 h after transfection and then subjected to luciferase activity determination. *G*, schematic model for the function of activin signaling in controlling mouse TSC fate through down-regulating *Bcor*. Activin inhibits the expression of *Bcor*, which in turn negatively regulates TSC self-renewal and syncytiotrophoblast differentiation through downregulating *Eomes* and *Cebpa*. The *solid line* indicates direct effect, while the *dotted line* indicates unclear mechanisms. The *dark blue line and cycle* represent differentiation and self-renewal, respectively. *TGC*, trophoblast giant cells; *SynT*, syncytiotrophoblasts; *SpT*, spongiotrophoblasts. Data are presented as mean \pm S.E. (*n* = 3; *, *p* < 0.05).

independent of activin signaling, which is in agreement with the result that *Bcor* knockdown promoted syncytiotrophoblast differentiation in the presence of SB431542 (Fig. 4*C*).

These data suggest that BCOR can down-regulate the expression of these genes.

As BCOR is a nuclear protein in TSCs (41), it is possible that BCOR could regulate gene expression through direct DNA binding. According to previously published ChIP sequencing data (42), we found that BCOR could directly bind to the promoter of the stemness gene *Eomes* and the syncytiotrophoblast gene *Cebpa* (Fig. 6*D*). Based on the binding sites of BCOR on these promoter regions, we constructed *Eomes* and *Cebpa* promoter reporters and observed that the promoter activity was significantly enhanced upon *Bcor* knockdown (Fig. 6, *E* and *F*).

Discussion

The self-renewal and differentiation of TSCs play a fundamental role for the proper development of placenta and are under precise control of both extrinsic stimuli and intrinsic core transcription factors. In contrast to the well characterized roles of activin signaling in ESCs (19, 43), how activin regulates self-renewal and differentiation of TSCs remains largely unknown. The present study demonstrated for the first time that the transcription corepressor *Bcor* is a downstream target

of activin signaling to regulate TSC self-renewal and differentiation (Fig. 6*G*).

Loss of function of *Bcor* in mice showed a strong parent-oforigin effect; that is, only the paternal *Bcor* null allele can be transmitted to offspring, whereas none of the offspring produced by heterozygous females mated with wild type males carry the *Bcor* null allele (29). This is due to the imprinted paternal X chromosome inactivation in extraembryonic tissues, especially the placenta where *Bcor*is highly expressed (28). Our results showing that *Bcor* is essential for TSC self-renewal and differentiation and thus proper placental development provide a molecular interpretation for the embryonic lethality observed in *Bcor* knock-out mice.

We observed that *Bcor* was highly expressed in TSCs, quickly up-regulated upon differentiation of TSCs within the first 48 h, and subsequently declined. Furthermore, activin repressed *Bcor* expression in TSCs but not in ESCs, but the underlying mechanism is unknown. It is possible that some lineage-specific transcription factors may be important for this cell type-specific regulation (44).

It has been shown that a transcriptional network established by EOMES, TCFAP2C, and SMARCA4 could target large spectrum of genes essential for the maintenance of the TSC selfrenewal state (45). BCOR has been suggested to be able to bind to the promoter region of *EOMES* (42), and we demonstrated that BCOR negatively regulates *Eomes* expression. Furthermore, knockdown of *Bcor* maintained the self-renewal state of TSCs cultured only in the presence of FGF4. These data strongly indicate a critical role of BCOR in TSC self-renewal maintenance.

Bcor depletion also makes TSCs more prone to differentiate toward syncytiotrophoblast at the expense of spongiotrophoblast and trophoblast giant cells in the absence of both activin and FGF4. These findings further demonstrated that *Bcor*, as an activin target gene, could contribute to the function of activin in regulating TSC differentiation. CEBPA is an important regulator of syncytiotrophoblast, as double knock-out of *Cebpa* and its homolog *Cebpb* leads to embryonic lethality due to impaired syncytiotrophoblast development (46). Our results revealed that *Bcor* knockdown enhanced *Cebpa* expression and its promoter activity, which is in accordance with the binding of BCOR to the promoter region of *CEBPA* (42). Our data also suggest that BCOR is required for the differentiation of TSCs to trophoblast giant cells. How BCOR promotes trophoblast giant cell differentiation is still unclear.

Bcor mutations have been closely linked to OFCD syndrome. ESCs with *Bcor* loss of function showed impaired mesoderm, especially hematopoietic lineages differentiation capacity (29), which is consistent with the observation that the mutant *BCOR* allele is localized on the inactivated X chromosome in most of the blood cells of the OFCD patient (25). In addition, *BCOR* mutation results in abnormal activation of $AP-2\alpha$ that mediates the increased osteo-dentinogenic potential of mesenchymal stem cells, perhaps providing an explanation for the abnormal root growth in most OFCD patients (47). However, the molecular basis for the function of *BCOR* in OFCD syndrome development needs further investigation.

Author Contributions—G. Z. and Y. G. C. designed the study and wrote the paper. T. F., Z. L., and X. Y. provided constructive suggestions for the whole study. All authors analyzed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments—We thank Dr. Shaorong Gao (Tongji University, Shanghai, China) for providing 129R3 mouse TSCs. We thank Xuanhao Xu for technical assistance.

References

- 1. Bergsmedh, A., Donohoe, M. E., Hughes, R. A., and Hadjantonakis, A. K. (2011) Understanding the molecular circuitry of cell lineage specification in the early mouse embryo. *Genes (Basel*) **2,** 420–448, 10.3390/genes2030420
- 2. Suwiśka, A., Czoøwska, R., Ozdzeński, W., and Tarkowski, A. K. (2008) Blastomeres of the mouse embryo lose totipotency after the fifth cleavage division: expression of Cdx2 and Oct4 and developmental potential of inner and outer blastomeres of 16- and 32-cell embryos. *Dev. Biol.* **322,** 133–144
- 3. Cross, J. C., Werb, Z., and Fisher, S. J. (1994) Implantation and the placenta: key pieces of the development puzzle. *Science* **266,** 1508–1518
- 4. Rossant, J., and Cross, J. C. (2001) Placental development: lessons from mouse mutants. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2,** 538–548
- 5. Norwitz, E. R. (2006) Defective implantation and placentation: laying the blueprint for pregnancy complications. *Reprod. Biomed. Online* **13,** 591–599
- 6. Jauniaux, E., Van Oppenraaij, R. H., and Burton, G. J. (2010) Obstetric outcome after early placental complications. *Curr. Opin. Obstet. Gynecol.* **22,** 452–457
- 7. Tanaka, S., Kunath, T., Hadjantonakis, A. K., Nagy, A., and Rossant, J. (1998) Promotion of trophoblast stem cell proliferation by FGF4. *Science* **282,** 2072–2075
- 8. Erlebacher, A., Price, K. A., and Glimcher, L. H. (2004) Maintenance of mouse trophoblast stem cell proliferation by TGF- β /activin. Dev. Biol. **275,** 158–169
- 9. Guzman-Ayala, M., Ben-Haim, N., Beck, S., and Constam, D. B. (2004) Nodal protein processing and fibroblast growth factor 4 synergize to maintain a trophoblast stem cell microenvironment. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **101,** 15656–15660
- 10. Adachi, K., Nikaido, I., Ohta, H., Ohtsuka, S., Ura, H., Kadota, M., Wakayama, T., Ueda, H. R., and Niwa, H. (2013) Context-dependent wiring of Sox2 regulatory networks for self-renewal of embryonic and trophoblast stem cells. *Mol. Cell* **52,** 380–392
- 11. Lu, C. W., Yabuuchi, A., Chen, L., Viswanathan, S., Kim, K., and Daley, G. Q. (2008) Ras-MAPK signaling promotes trophectoderm formation from embryonic stem cells and mouse embryos. *Nat. Genet.* **40,** 921–926
- 12. Natale, D. R., Hemberger, M., Hughes, M., and Cross, J. C. (2009) Activin promotes differentiation of cultured mouse trophoblast stem cells towards a labyrinth cell fate. *Dev. Biol.* **335,** 120–131
- 13. Massagué, J., and Chen, Y.-G. (2000) Controlling TGF-β signaling. Genes *Dev.* **14,** 627–644
- 14. Massagué, J., Seoane, J., and Wotton, D. (2005) Smad transcription factors. *Genes Dev.* **19,** 2783–2810
- 15. Feng, X. H., and Derynck, R. (2005) Specificity and versatility in TGF- β signaling through Smads. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **21,** 659–693
- 16. Datto, M., and Wang, X. F. (2000) The Smads: transcriptional regulation and mouse models. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* **11,** 37–48
- 17. Heldin, C. H., Miyazono, K., and ten Dijke, P. (1997) TGF-β signalling from cell membrane to nucleus through SMAD proteins. *Nature* **390,** 465–471
- 18. Watabe, T., and Miyazono, K. (2009) Roles of $TGF- β family signaling in$ stem cell renewal and differentiation. *Cell Res.* **19,** 103–115
- 19. Fei, T., and Chen, Y.-G. (2010) Regulation of embryonic stem cell selfrenewal and differentiation by TGF-β family signaling. *Sci. China Life Sci.* **53,** 497–503
- 20. Wang, J., Mager, J., Chen, Y., Schneider, E., Cross, J. C., Nagy, A., and

Magnuson, T. (2001) Imprinted X inactivation maintained by a mouse *Polycomb* group gene. *Nat. Genet.* **28,** 371–375

- 21. Marahrens, Y., Panning, B., Dausman, J., Strauss, W., and Jaenisch, R. (1997) *Xist*-deficient mice are defective in dosage compensation but not spermatogenesis. *Genes Dev.* **11,** 156–166
- 22. Jackman, S. M., Kong, X., and Fant, M. E. (2012) Plac1 (placenta-specific 1) is essential for normal placental and embryonic development. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* **79,** 564–572
- 23. Li, Y., and Behringer, R. R. (1998) *Esx1* is an X-chromosome-imprinted regulator of placental development and fetal growth. *Nat. Genet.* **20,** 309–311
- 24. Huynh, K. D., Fischle, W., Verdin, E., and Bardwell, V. J. (2000) BCoR, a novel corepressor involved in BCL-6 repression. *Genes Dev.* **14,** 1810–1823
- 25. Ng, D., Thakker, N., Corcoran, C. M., Donnai, D., Perveen, R., Schneider, A., Hadley, D. W., Tifft, C., Zhang, L., Wilkie, A. O., van der Smagt, J. J., Gorlin, R. J., Burgess, S. M., Bardwell, V. J., Black, G. C., and Biesecker, L. G. (2004) Oculofaciocardiodental and Lenz microphthalmia syndromes result from distinct classes of mutations in *BCOR*. *Nat. Genet.* **36,** 411–416
- 26. Horn, D., Chyrek, M., Kleier, S., Lüttgen, S., Bolz, H., Hinkel, G. K., Korenke, G. C., Riess, A., Schell-Apacik, C., Tinschert, S., Wieczorek, D., Gillessen-Kaesbach, G., and Kutsche, K. (2005) Novel mutations in BCOR in three patients with oculo-facio-cardio-dental syndrome, but none in Lenz microphthalmia syndrome. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **13,** 563–569
- 27. Kondo, Y., Saitsu, H., Miyamoto, T., Nishiyama, K., Tsurusaki, Y., Doi, H., Miyake, N., Ryoo, N. K., Kim, J. H., Yu, Y. S., and Matsumoto, N. (2012) A family of oculofaciocardiodental syndrome (OFCD) with a novel BCOR mutation and genomic rearrangements involving NHS. *J Hum. Genet.* **57,** 197–201
- 28. Wamstad, J. A., and Bardwell, V. J. (2007) Characterization of *Bcor* expression in mouse development. *Gene Expr. Patterns* **7,** 550–557
- 29. Wamstad, J. A., Corcoran, C. M., Keating, A. M., and Bardwell, V. J. (2008) Role of the transcriptional corepressor *Bcor* in embryonic stem cell differentiation and early embryonic development. *PLoS One* **3,** e2814
- 30. Wu, T., Wang, H., He, J., Kang, L., Jiang, Y., Liu, J., Zhang, Y., Kou, Z., Liu, L., Zhang, X., and Gao, S. (2011) Reprogramming of trophoblast stem cells into pluripotent stem cells by Oct4. *Stem Cells* **29,** 755–763
- 31. Li, Z., Fei, T., Zhang, J., Zhu, G.,Wang, L., Lu, D., Chi, X., Teng, Y., Hou, N., Yang, X., Zhang, H., Han, J. D., and Chen, Y. G. (2012) BMP4 Signaling acts via dual-specificity phosphatase 9 to control ERK activity in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **10,** 171–182
- 32. Fei, T., Zhu, S., Xia, K., Zhang, J., Li, Z., Han, J. D., and Chen, Y. G. (2010) Smad2 mediates Activin/Nodal signaling in mesendoderm differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells. *Cell Res* **20,** 1306–1318
- 33. Zhang, J., Fei, T., Li, Z., Zhu, G., Wang, L., and Chen, Y. G. (2013) BMP induces cochlin expression to facilitate self-renewal and suppress neural

differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288,** 8053–8060

- 34. Besser, D. (2004) Expression of Nodal, lefty-a, and lefty-B in undifferentiated human embryonic stem cells requires activation of Smad2/3. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279,** 45076–45084
- 35. Niwa, H., Miyazaki, J., and Smith, A. G. (2000) Quantitative expression of Oct-3/4 defines differentiation, dedifferentiation or self-renewal of ES cells. *Nat. Genet.* **24,** 372–376
- 36. Roberts, R. M., and Fisher, S. J. (2011) Trophoblast stem cells. *Biol. Reprod.* **84,** 412–421
- 37. Guillemot, F., Nagy, A., Auerbach, A., Rossant, J., and Joyner, A. L. (1994) Essential role of Mash-2 in extraembryonic development. *Nature* **371,** 333–336
- 38. Tanaka, M., Gertsenstein, M., Rossant, J., and Nagy, A. (1997) Mash2 acts cell autonomously in mouse spongiotrophoblast development. *Dev. Biol.* **190,** 55–65
- 39. Bouillot, S., Rampon, C., Tillet, E., and Huber, P. (2006) Tracing the glycogen cells with protocadherin 12 during mouse placenta development. *Placenta* **27,** 882–888
- 40. Wang, D., Long, J., Dai, F., Liang, M., Feng, X. H., and Lin, X. (2008) BCL6 represses Smad signaling in transforming growth factor- β resistance. *Cancer Res.* **68,** 783–789
- 41. Gearhart, M. D., Corcoran, C. M., Wamstad, J. A., and Bardwell, V. J. (2006) Polycomb group and SCF ubiquitin ligases are found in a novel BCOR complex that is recruited to BCL6 targets. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **26,** 6880–6889
- 42. Huang, C., Hatzi, K., and Melnick, A. (2013) Lineage-specific functions of Bcl-6 in immunity and inflammation are mediated by distinct biochemical mechanisms. *Nat. Immunol.* **14,** 380–388
- 43. Gaarenstroom, T., and Hill, C. S. (2014) $TGF- β signaling to chromatin:$ how Smads regulate transcription during self-renewal and differentiation. *Semin Cell Dev. Biol.* **32,** 107–118
- 44. Mullen, A. C., Orlando, D. A., Newman, J. J., Lovén, J., Kumar, R. M., Bilodeau, S., Reddy, J., Guenther, M. G., DeKoter, R. P., and Young, R. A. (2011) Master transcription factors determine cell-type-specific responses to TGF-β signaling. Cell 147, 565-576
- 45. Kidder, B. L., and Palmer, S. (2010) Examination of transcriptional networks reveals an important role for TCFAP2C, SMARCA4, and EOMES in trophoblast stem cell maintenance. *Genome Res.* **20,** 458–472
- 46. Bégay, V., Smink, J., and Leutz, A. (2004) Essential requirement of CCAAT/enhancer binding proteins in embryogenesis. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **24,** 9744–9751
- 47. Fan, Z., Yamaza, T., Lee, J. S., Yu, J., Wang, S., Fan, G., Shi, S., and Wang, C. Y. (2009) BCOR regulates mesenchymal stem cell function by epigenetic mechanisms. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **11,** 1002–1009

