

In Vitro Activities of Eight Antifungal Drugs against a Global Collection of Genotyped Exserohilum Isolates

^(D)Anuradha Chowdhary,^a ^(D)Ferry Hagen,^b Ilse Curfs-Breuker,^b Hugo Madrid,^c G. Sybren de Hoog,^d ^(D)Jacques F. Meis^{b,e}

Department of Medical Mycology, Vallabhbhai Patel Chest Institute, University of Delhi, Delhi, Delhi, India^a; Department of Medical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Canisius-Wilhelmina Hospital, Nijmegen, The Netherlands^b; Center for Genomics and Bioinformatics and Medicine Faculty, Mayor University, Santiago, Chile^c; CBS-KNAW Fungal Biodiversity Centre, Utrecht, The Netherlands^d; Department of Medical Microbiology, Radboudumc, Nijmegen, The Netherlands^e

The *in vitro* susceptibilities of 24 worldwide *Exserohilum* isolates belonging to 10 species from human and environmental sources were determined for eight antifungal drugs. The strains were characterized by internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequencing and amplified fragment length polymorphism fingerprinting. Posaconazole had the lowest geometric mean MIC (0.16 μ g/ml), followed by micafungin (0.21 μ g/ml), amphotericin B (0.24 μ g/ml), itraconazole (0.33 μ g/ml), voriconazole (0.8 μ g/ml), caspofungin (1.05 μ g/ml), isavuconazole (1.38 μ g/ml), and fluconazole (15.6 μ g/ml).

he anamorphic genus Exserohilum (teleomorph Setosphaeria, family Pleosporaceae, order Pleosporales) comprises approximately 35 species, which are common saprobic fungi on plant debris worldwide (1). Until recently, Exserohilum species were considered to be rare opportunistic pathogens reported sporadically from cases of keratitis, cutaneous and subcutaneous phaeohyphomycosis, and invasive infections, especially in immunosuppressed patients (2, 3). However, at the end of 2012, the meningitis outbreak in the United States due to Exserohilum demonstrated this fungus to be an agent of severe life-threatening infections (4, 5). Although several fungi were implicated in the outbreak, the vast majority of infections were caused by Exserohilum rostratum, which was traced to contaminated steroid injections as the source (4-8). Previously, Exserohilum rostratum, Exserohilum longirostratum, and Exserohilum mcginnisii were reported as opportunistic human pathogens. However, molecular studies have demonstrated that these species are conspecific, with E. rostratum being the accepted species (1).

The pathobiology of this melanized mold, including infections in the immunocompromised host, is not clearly understood. A recent report (9) suggested that methylprednisolone-induced suppression of phagocytosis by polymorphonuclear leukocytes was responsible for the rapid development of an E. rostratum outbreak in the United States. The therapeutic approach to combat this pathogen has not yet been established; therefore, knowledge regarding its pathogenic potential and antifungal susceptibility is of paramount importance (8, 10, 11). The large majority of patients in the recent outbreak were administered voriconazole with or without amphotericin B; this was based on a small case series, personal experience, and the favorable pharmacokinetic profile of voriconazole with regard to cerebral infections (10). Clinical outcomes with voriconazole seemed to be satisfactory, although a recent case showed failure after 4.5 months of voriconazole therapy, with excellent trough levels (12); therefore, its upfront use has been challenged (13, 14). In vitro antifungal susceptibility (AFS) data for Exserohilum species are scarce. Three studies reported AFS data on *E. rostratum* (1, 4, 15). Given the fact that *E. rostratum* is a coincidental opportunist, there is no *a priori* reason to believe that this will be the only Exserohilum species with this ability. Therefore, we aimed to study the potential activity of isavuconazole, a new triazole recently approved by the FDA for the treatment of invasive aspergillosis and mucormycosis, against *E. rostratum* (n = 10) and 9 other species of *Exserohilum* (n = 14) made available by the Centraalbureau Schimmelcultures (CBS)-KNAW Fungal Biodiversity Centre (Utrecht, The Netherlands) and the Vallabhbhai Patel Chest Institute (Delhi, India) (Table 1).

(Portions of this work were presented previously at the 53rd Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemo-therapy, Denver, CO [16].)

The *Exserohilum* isolates were analyzed by amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) genotyping (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material), as described previously (17, 18), and subjected to sequencing of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). The MICs of amphotericin B (Bristol Myers Squibb, Woerden, The Netherlands), fluconazole, voriconazole (Pfizer Central Research, Sandwich, Kent, United Kingdom), itraconazole (Janssen Cilag, Tilburg, The Netherlands), posaconazole (Merck, Whitehouse Station, NJ), isavuconazole (Basilea Pharmaceutica, Basel, Switzerland), caspofungin (Merck), and micafungin (Astellas, Toyama, Japan) were determined using the microdilution method, in accordance with the guidelines of the CLSI document M38-A2 (19).

E. rostratum isolates (n = 8) clustered together in AFLP, along with 2 isolates that were previously identified by conventional methods as *E. mcginnisii* (CBS 325.87^T and CBS 120308), confirming their synonymy (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material) (1). Also, two isolates each of *Exserohilum prolatum* (CBS 128058

Received 25 May 2015 Returned for modification 28 June 2015 Accepted 27 July 2015

Accepted manuscript posted online 3 August 2015

Citation Chowdhary A, Hagen F, Curfs-Breuker I, Madrid H, de Hoog GS, Meis JF. 2015. *In vitro* activities of eight antifungal drugs against a global collection of genotyped *Exserohilum* isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:6642–6645. doi:10.1128/AAC.01218-15.

Address correspondence to Jacques F. Meis, j.meis@cwz.nl.

Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /AAC.01218-15.

Copyright © 2015, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/AAC.01218-15

TABLE 1 Origin, source	, and MIC/MEC data	of all Exserohilum	isolates tested
------------------------	--------------------	--------------------	-----------------

	Country of		GenBank	MIC/N	IEC (µg	/ml) of ^a :					
Species	origin	CBS no./source	accession no.	AMB	ISA	POS	ITC	VRC	FLU	CAS^b	MFG^b
E. rostratum (E. mcginnisii)	USA	CBS 120308/clinical	KT265236	0.125	2	0.063	0.25	0.5	32	1	0.125
		CBS 325.87 ^T /clinical	KT265237	0.25	4	0.125	0.25	1	16	2	0.5
E. rostratum	Canada	CBS 112815/clinical	KT265238	1	1	0.125	0.5	1	>64	4	8
		CBS 128063/clinical	KT265239	0.25	8	0.25	0.5	2	32	1	< 0.008
		CBS 128061/clinical	KT265240	0.063	1	0.125	0.125	0.5	32	0.5	< 0.008
		CBS 128060/clinical	KT265245	0.125	2	0.125	0.25	1	8	0.5	0.25
		CBS 131565/clinical	KT265242	0.25	4	0.125	0.25	1	16	1	0.125
		CBS 128062/clinical	KT265247	0.5	4	0.25	0.5	2	32	2	0.25
	India	CBS 134641/clinical	KT265248	0.5	8	0.25	0.5	2	32	0.5	0.25
		CBS 134640/clinical	KT265241	0.125	4	0.5	0.25	2	32	1	0.25
E. gedarefense	Sudan	CBS 504.90/environment	KT265243	0.25	1	0.063	0.063	1	8	1	0.5
		CBS 297.80 ^T /environment	KT265244	0.25	1	0.125	0.5	2	16	1	0.063
E. neoregeliae IM201-D	Japan	CBS 132832 ^T /environment	KT265254	0.25	2	0.125	0.25	1	16	1	0.125
E. neoregeliae IM201-E		CBS 132833/environment	KT265255	0.125	2	0.125	0.125	0.5	32	1	0.063
E. pedicellatum	USA	CBS 322.64/environment	KT265258	0.25	0.5	0.125	0.063	0.25	1	1	0.25
	Turkey	CBS 375.76/environment	KT265259	0.5	0.25	0.125	0.25	0.5	2	0.5	0.125
E. protrudens	Australia	CBS 132710 ^T /environment	KT265256	0.125	2	0.5	0.25	2	8	2	0.5
E. fusiforme		CBS 132709 ^T /environment	KT265257	0.031	0.125	0.031	0.031	0.063	1	1	0.25
E. antillanum	Cuba	CBS 412.93 ^T /environment	KT265246	1	4	0.25	0.25	2	>64	4	8
E. curvatum	Venezuela	CBS 505.90 ^T /environment	KT265252	0.25	2	1	>16	2	32	1	2
E. prolatum	Unknown	CBS 128058/unknown	KT265249	1	1	0.125	0.25	0.5	>64	2	4
	Unknown	CBS 128059/unknown	KT265250	0.25	1	0.25	0.5	1	8	4	1
E. holmii	Unknown	CBS 128053/unknown	KT265253	0.25	1	1	>16	1	64	1	0.125
Exserohilum sp.	Unknown	CBS 128064/unknown	KT265251	0.25	8	2	>16	4	>64	1	0.125

^a AMB, amphotericin B; ISA, isavuconazole; POS, posaconazole; ITC, itraconazole; VRC, voriconazole; FLU, fluconazole; CAS, caspofungin; MFG, micafungin.

^b For echinocandins, MECs were defined as lowest drug concentrations that allowed the growth of small, rounded, and degenerated hyphae vis-à-vis the growth in the control well.

and CBS 128059 [no type strain]) and Exserohilum antillanum (CBS 412.93^T) clustered with *E. rostratum*; *E. antillanum* can thus be regarded as another synonym of E. rostratum. Notably, in the ITS phylogenetic tree, E. antillanum also could not be discriminated from E. rostratum (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). E. rostratum isolates exhibited somewhat variable banding patterns, suggesting genotypic diversity in this complex. Two isolates of Exserohilum pedicellatum, neither of which was a type strain, clustered together (see Fig. S1). Further, two isolates for each of the species Exserohilum gedarefense (CBS 297.80^T) and Exserohilum neoregeliae (CBS 132832^T) and a solitary isolate each of Exserohilum fusiforme (CBS 132709^T) and Exserohilum protrudens (CBS 132710^T), all type strains, represented four different species. Overall, ITS sequencing could not discriminate E. rostratum, E. gedarefense, E. antillanum, and E. mcginnisii. Also, similar but not identical AFLP profiles were observed for Exserohilum holmii (no type strain) and Exserohilum curvatum (CBS 505.90^T) representing two different species, which was in conformity with ITS phylogeny.

The MIC ranges, MIC_{50} s/ MIC_{90} s, and geometric mean MICs of all isolates are presented in Table 2, along with data from the three previously published studies for comparison (1, 4, 15). Table 1 summarizes the origins and sources of the isolates. They originated from the United States (n = 3), Australia (n = 2), Canada (n = 6), Cuba (n = 1), India (n = 2), Japan (n = 2), Sudan (n = 2), Turkey (n = 1), and Venezuela (n = 1). Of these, 10 were environmental and 10 clinical isolates, whereas 4 isolates were of unknown origin and source. Posaconazole, itraconazole, and amphotericin B had the lowest MIC_{90} s across all *Exserohilum* isolates, whereas fluconazole had no activity. The MIC ranges of 10 *E*.

rostratum isolates (inclusive of E. mcginnisii) for isavuconazole spread over 2 to 3 twofold dilutions (1 to 8 µg/ml) and were similar to those of posaconazole (0.06 to 0.5 μ g/ml), voriconazole (0.5 to 2 µg/ml), itraconazole (0.125 to 0.5 µg/ml), caspofungin (0.5 to 4 µg/ml), amphotericin B (0.06 to 1 µg/ml), and micafungin (0.008 to 8 µg/ml). The geometric mean (GM) MIC of isavuconazole for E. rostratum was 3 µg/ml, compared to 1.15 µg/ml for voriconazole and 0.16 µg/ml for posaconazole. Notably, the geometric mean (GM) MICs of isavuconazole for all Exserohilum spp. (1.38 μg/ml) were lower than that of *E. rostratum* (3.03 μg/ ml). In the present study, isavuconazole had the lowest in vitro activity among azoles, which was in conformity with the data of the U.S. meningitis outbreak caused by E. rostratum strains (4). Further, the E. rostratum outbreak strains exhibited equivalent susceptibility against itraconazole, posaconazole, amphotericin B, and to a lesser extent, voriconazole (4). Notably, in the present study, for all Exserohilum species, the GM minimum effective concentration (MEC) of caspofungin (1.18 µg/ml) was 3-fold higher than that of micafungin (0.36 µg/ml). Further, in contrast to previous findings with Candida, all tested isolates had reproducible MECs for caspofungin when performed on two occasions.

Regarding the published reviews of the therapeutic outcomes of cases of sinusitis and cutaneous infections with *Exserohilum*, successful outcomes with amphotericin B and more recently with itraconazole and voriconazole have been reported (2, 11, 20). The expert panel for the U.S. outbreak recommended voriconazole for treatment of meningitis in patients with less severe disease and the lipid formulation of amphotericin B for those with severe extraneural disease or refractory infections (10). The present study showed low amphotericin B MICs against *E. rostratum*, while vori-

Species tested (n) marctr AMB ISA POS TTC VRC FU CAS ⁶ All Exerohilum spp. (24) GM 0.24 1.38 0.19 0.38 0.97 17.4 1.18 All Exerohilum spp. (24) GM 0.24 1.38 0.19 0.38 0.97 17.4 1.18 MIC ₅₀ 1 4 1 0.125 0.03 to 16 0.06 to 2 64 4 MIC ₅₀ 0.25 4 0.125 0.35 1 3.2 1 Enstrutum (10) GM 0.24 1.168 0.03 to 15 0.35 0.125 to 0.5 0.5 to 4 4 Enstrutum (10) GM 0.24 1.168 0.06 to 0.5 0.125 to 0.5 0.5 to 4 0.5 to 4 Enstrutum (14) MIC ₅₀ 0.25 1 0.45 0.5 to 4 1.05 Enstrutum (14) MIC ₅₀ 0.25 0.5 to 5 0.5 to 2 0.64 4 Enstrutum (14) MIC ₅₀ 0.25		MIC	MIC (µg/ml) of	a,								
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Species tested (n)	parameter	AMB	ISA	POS	ITC	VRC	FLU	CAS^b	MFG^b	AFG^b	Reference (yr)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	All Exserohilum spp. (24)	GM	0.24	1.38	0.19	0.38	0.97	17.4	1.18	0.36		Present study (2015)
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	- - -	MIC ₅₀	0.25	1	0.125	0.25	1	32	1	0.25		
E. rostratum (10) Range 0.03 to 1 0.125 to 8 0.03 to 2 0.03 to 1 0.05 to 4 0.5 to 4		MIC ₉₀	1	4	1	16	2	64	4	8		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Range	0.03 to 1	0.125 to 8	0.03 to 2	0.03 to 16	0.06 to 2	1 to >64	0.5 to 4	<0.008 to 8		
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	E. rostratum (10)	GM	0.23	3.03	0.16	0.30	1.14	25.9	1.07	0.16		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		MIC ₅₀	0.25	4	0.125	0.25	1	32	1	0.25		
Range 0.06 to 1 1 to 8 0.06 to 0.5 0.125 to 0.5 0.5 to 2 8 to 64 0.5 to 4 Exerohilum spp. other than GM 0.24 1.16 0.21 0.45 0.86 13.1 1.28 E. rostratum (14) MIC ₉₀ 1 8 2 16 4 64 4 E. rostratum (14) MIC ₉₀ 1 8 2 16 4 64 4 E. rostratum (5) Range 0.03 to 1 0.125 to 8 0.03 to 15 0.03 to 16 0.06 to 4 1064 0.5 to 4 E. rostratum (6) Range 2 to 4 0.5 to 1 1 to 2 2 4 E. rostratum (50) MIC ₉₀ 0.25 4 0.5 to 1 1 to 2 2 4 E. rostratum (50) MIC ₉₀ 0.5 4 0.5 to 1 1 to 2 2 4 E. rostratum (50) MIC ₉₀ 0.5 4 1 2 4 E. rostratum (50) MIC ₉₀ 0.5 0.5 <td></td> <td>MIC₉₀</td> <td>1</td> <td>8</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>2</td> <td>64</td> <td>4</td> <td>8</td> <td></td> <td></td>		MIC ₉₀	1	8	0.5	0.5	2	64	4	8		
Exerchilum spp. other thanGM 0.24 1.16 0.21 0.45 0.86 13.1 1.28 E rostratum (14) MIC ₅₀ 0.25 1 0.125 0.25 1 16 1 MIC_{50} 1 8 2 1 0.125 0.25 1 16 1 MIC_{50} 1 8 2 1 0.125 0.03 to 16 0.66 to 4 4 MIC_{50} 0.03 to 1 0.125 to 8 0.03 to 2 0.03 to 16 0.06 to 4 1064 0.5 to 4 E rostratum (5) MIC ₅₀ 0.25 4 0.5 to 1 1 102 2 4 E rostratum (50) MIC ₅₀ 0.25 4 0.5 0.5 1 1 2 E rostratum (50) MIC ₅₀ 0.25 4 0.5 0.5 1 1 2 E rostratum (50) MIC ₅₀ 0.25 4 0.5 0.5 1 1 2 MIC_{50} 0.35 2 4 1 1 2 2 4 4 MIC_{50} 0.35 2 4 1 1 2 2 2 4 4 MIC_{50} 0.35 0.25 4 0.25 0.5 1 102 0.64 4 MIC_{50} 0.25 4 0.25 0.25 1 2 2 4 MIC_{50} 0.33 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25		Range	0.06 to 1	1 to 8	0.06 to 0.5	0.125 to 0.5	0.5 to 2	8 to 64	0.5 to 4	0.008 to 8		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<i>Exserohilum</i> spp. other than	GM	0.24	1.16	0.21	0.45	0.86	13.1	1.28	0.37		
$E. \textit{rostratum}(6) \qquad MIC_{90} \qquad 1 \qquad 8 \qquad 2 \qquad 16 \qquad 4 \qquad 64 \qquad 4 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 1 \qquad 0.125 \ to 8 \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.03 \ to 16 \qquad 0.06 \ to 4 \qquad 1064 \qquad 0.5 \ to 4 \\ E. \textit{rostratum}(6) \qquad Range \qquad 2 \ to 4 \qquad 0.5 \ to 1 \qquad 10 \ 2 \qquad 2 \qquad 4 \\ MIC_{90} \qquad 0.25 \qquad 4 \qquad 0.5 \ to 1 \qquad 10 \ 2 \qquad 1 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.5 \ to 1 \qquad 10 \ 2 \qquad 1 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.5 \ to 1 \qquad 0.25 \ to 4 \qquad 10 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.05 \qquad 0.1 \qquad 0.05 \ to 4 \qquad 0.06 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.05 \ to 4 \qquad 0.05 \ to 4 \qquad 0.05 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.03 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.06 \ to 2 \ to 2 \qquad 0.0 \\ Range \qquad 0.06 \ to 2 $	E. rostratum (14)	MIC ₅₀	0.25	1	0.125	0.25	1	16	1	0.25		
E. rostratum (6) Range 0.03 to 1 0.125 to 8 0.03 to 2 0.03 to 16 0.06 to 4 1 to 64 0.5 to 4 E. rostratum (5) Range 2 to 4 0.5 to 1 1 to 2 2 4 E. rostratum (50) MIC ₅₀ 0.25 4 0.5 1 2 MIC ₅₀ 0.5 4 1 1 2 2 Range 0.03 to 2 2 to 4 0.25 to 1 0.25 to 4 1 to 2 E. rostratum (34) GM 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.05		MIC ₉₀	1	8	2	16	4	64	4	8		
E. rostratum (6) Range $2 to 4$ $0.5 to 1$ $1 to 2$ 2 4 E. rostratum (50) MIC ₅₀ 0.25 4 0.5 0.5 1 1 E. rostratum (50) MIC ₅₀ 0.25 4 0.5 0.5 1 2 Range $0.03 to 2$ $2 to 4$ $0.25 to 1$ $0.25 to 4$ $1 to 2$ $2 to 4$ $1 to 2$ E. rostratum (34) GM 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.02 0.1 0.06		Range	0.03 to 1	0.125 to 8	0.03 to 2	0.03 to 16	0.06 to 4	1 to 64	0.5 to 4	0.06 to 8		
E. rostratum (50) MIC ₅₀ 0.25 4 0.5 0.5 1 MIC ₉₀ 0.5 4 1 1 2 Range 0.03 to 2 2 to 4 0.25 to 1 0.25 to 4 1 to 2 E. rostratum (34) GM 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03	E. rostratum (6)	Range	2 to 4		0.5 to 1	1 to 2	2		4			15 (2014)
MIC ₉₀ 0.5 4 1 1 2 Range 0.03 to 2 2 to 4 0.25 to 1 0.25 to 4 1 to 2 <i>E. rostratum</i> (34) GM 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.02 0.1 0.06	E. rostratum (50)	MIC ₅₀	0.25	4	0.5	0.5	1					4 (2013)
Range 0.03 to 2 2 to 4 0.25 to 1 0.25 to 4 1 to 2 E. rostratum (34) GM 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.06		MIC ₉₀	0.5	4	1	1	2					
E. rostratum (34) GM 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.1 0.06		Range	0.03 to 2	2 to 4	0.25 to 1	0.25 to 4	1 to 2					
	E. rostratum (34)	GM	0.02		0.03	0.02	0.1		0.06	0.27	0.06	1 (2012)
MIC ₉₀ 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.25 0.125		MIC ₉₀	0.03		0.03	0.03	0.25		0.125	0.05	0.125	
Range < 0.03 to 0.125 < 0.03 to 0.125 < 0.03 to 0.125 < 0.03 to 1.125		Range	<0.03 to 0.125		<0.03 to 0.125	<0.03 to 0.125	<0.03 to 1		<0.03 to >16	<0.03 to >16	<0.03 to 1	

conazole had geometric mean MICs of >1 µg/ml. In contrast, da Cunha et al. (1) reported low geometric mean MICs of voriconazole (0.1 µg/ml) for 34 *E. rostratum* isolates originating from the United States. The different susceptibilities to voriconazole in the present study could be attributed to strains tested from various geographical locations. Recently, using whole-genome analysis, significant genomic variability has been reported among *E. rostratum* strains unrelated to the outbreak strains (21).

Although the clinical relevance of MIC data for *Exserohilum* has not been established, we conclude that amphotericin B, itraconazole, and posaconazole are the most active drugs *in vitro*, exhibiting MICs of $<1 \mu$ g/ml, with both isavuconazole and voriconazole showing MICs below achievable serum trough levels.

The accession numbers for the *Exserohilum* isolates tested in this study are listed in Table 1.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. The ITS nucleotide sequences of all 24 *Exserohilum* species have been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers KT265236 and KT265259 (Table 1).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially funded by Astellas, USA. A.C. was supported by the Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi, India (reference no. 5/3/3/26/200-ECD-I), and H.M. was funded by the Comisión Nacional de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica (CONICYT), Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico (FONDECYT), Chile, project no. 11140562.

J.F.M. received grants from Astellas, Basilea, and Merck. He has been a consultant to Astellas, Basilea, and Merck and received speaker's fees from Merck and Gilead.

REFERENCES

- da Cunha KC, Sutton DA, Gené J, Capilla J, Cano J, Guarro J. 2012. Molecular identification and *in vitro* response to antifungal drugs of clinical isolates of *Exserohilum*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:4951– 4954. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00488-12.
- Katragkou A, Pana ZD, Perlin DS, Kontoyiannis DP, Walsh TJ, Roilides E. 2014. *Exserohilum* infections: review of 48 cases before the 2012 United States outbreak. Med Mycol 52:376–386. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1093/mmy/myt030.
- 3. Chowdhary A, Perfect JR, de Hoog GS. 2015. Black molds and melanised yeasts pathogenic to humans, p 517–537. *In* Casadevall A, Mitchell AP, Berman J, Kwon-Chung KJ, Perfect JR, Heitman J (ed), Human fungal pathogens. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Lockhart SR, Pham CD, Gade L, Iqbal N, Scheel CM, Cleveland AA, Whitney AM, Noble-Wang J, Chiller TM, Park BJ, Litvintseva AP, Brandt ME. 2013. Preliminary laboratory report of fungal infections associated with contaminated methylprednisolone injections. J Clin Microbiol 51:2654–2661. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01000-13.
- 5. Smith RM, Schaefer MK, Kainer MA, Wise M, Finks J, Duwve J, Fontaine E, Chu A, Carothers B, Reilly A, Fiedler J, Wiese AD, Feaster C, Gibson L, Griese S, Purfield A, Cleveland AA, Benedict K, Harris JR, Brandt ME, Blau D, Jernigan J, Weber JT, Park BJ, Multistate Fungal Infection Outbreak Response Team. 2013. Fungal infections associated with contaminated methylprednisolone injections. N Engl J Med 369: 1598–1609. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1213978.
- Ritter JM, Muehlenbachs A, Blau DM, Paddock CD, Shieh WJ, Drew CP, Batten BC, Bartlett JH, Metcalfe MG, Pham CD, Lockhart SR, Patel M, Liu L, Jones TL, Greer PW, Montague JL, White E, Rollin DC, Seales C, Stewart D, Deming MV, Brandt ME, Zaki SR, *Exserohilum* Infections Working Group. 2013. *Exserohilum* infections associated with contaminated steroid injections: a clinicopathologic review of 40 cases. Am J Pathol 183:881–892. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2013.05.007.
- 7. Smith RM, Derado G, Wise M, Harris JR, Chiller T, Meltzer MI, Park

BJ. 2015. Estimated deaths and illnesses averted during fungal meningitis outbreak associated with contaminated steroid injections, United States, 2012–2013. Emerg Infect Dis **21:**933–940.

- Kontoyiannis DP, Perlin DS, Roilides E, Walsh TJ. 2013. What can we learn and what do we need to know amidst the iatrogenic outbreak of *Exserohilum rostratum* meningitis? Clin Infect Dis 57:853–859. http://dx .doi.org/10.1093/cid/cit283.
- Simitsopoulou M, Walsh TJ, Kyrpitzi D, Petraitis V, Kontoyiannis DP, Perlin DS, Roilides E. 2015. Methylprednisolone impairs conidial phagocytosis but does not attenuate hyphal damage by neutrophils against *Exserohilum rostratum*. Med Mycol 53:189–193. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093 /mmy/myu034.
- Pappas PG, Kontoyiannis DP, Perfect JR, Chiller TM. 2013. Real-time treatment guidelines: considerations during the *Exserohilum rostratum* outbreak in the United States. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:1573– 1576. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00205-13.
- 11. Chowdhary A, Meis JF, Guarro J, de Hoog GS, Kathuria S, Arendrup MC, Arikan-Akdagli S, Akova M, Boekhout T, Caira M, Guinea J, Chakrabarti A, Dannaoui E, van Diepeningen A, Freiberger T, Groll AH, Hope WW, Johnson E, Lackner M, Lagrou K, Lanternier F, Lass-Flörl C, Lortholary O, Meletiadis J, Muñoz P, Pagano L, Petrikkos G, Richardson MD, Roilides E, Skiada A, Tortorano AM, Ullmann AJ, Verweij PE, Cornely OA, Cuenca-Estrella M, European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases Fungal Infection Study Group, European Confederation of Medical Mycology. 2014. ESCMID and ECMM joint clinical guidelines for the diagnosis and management of systemic phaeohyphomycosis: diseases caused by black fungi. Clin Microbiol Infect 20(Suppl 3):47–75. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1469-0691.12515.
- 12. Smith RM, Tipple M, Chaudry MN, Schaefer MK, Park BJ. 2013. Relapse of fungal meningitis associated with contaminated methylprednisolone. N Engl J Med 368:2535–2536. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056 /NEJMc1306560.
- Stevens DA. 2013. Reflections on the approach to treatment of a mycologic disaster. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:1567–1572. http://dx.doi .org/10.1128/AAC.02242-12.
- Stevens DA. 2013. Mycologic catastrophe. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:2904. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00316-13.
- Revankar SG, Moudgal V, Chandrasekar P, Sobel JD. 2014. *In vitro* studies of *Exserohilum rostratum* with antifungal drugs and methylprednisolone. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:3564–3565. http://dx.doi.org /10.1128/AAC.02357-13.
- Meis JF, Madrid H, Breuker I, Hagen F, de Hoog GS, Chowdhary A. 2013. *In vitro* activity of antifungal drugs against a global collection of 28 clinical and environmental *Exserohilum* species isolates, poster M-1350. 53rd Int Conf Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 10 to 13 September 2013, Denver, CO.
- 17. Kumar A, Babu R, Bijulal S, Abraham M, Sasidharan P, Kathuria S, Sharma C, Meis JF, Chowdhary A. 2014. Invasive mycosis due to species of *Blastobotrys* in immunocompromised patients with reduced susceptibility to antifungals. J Clin Microbiol 52:4094–4099. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01977-14.
- Kathuria S, Sharma C, Singh PK, Agarwal P, Agarwal K, Hagen F, Meis JF, Chowdhary A. 2015. Molecular epidemiology and *in-vitro* antifungal susceptibility of *Aspergillus terreus* species complex isolates in Delhi, India: evidence of genetic diversity by amplified fragment length polymorphism and microsatellite typing. PLoS One 10:e0118997. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1371/journal.pone.0118997.
- 19. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2008. Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of filamentous fungi, 2nd ed; approved standard. CLSI document M38-A2. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- Revankar SG, Sutton DA. 2010. Melanized fungi in human disease. Clin Microbiol Rev 23:884–928. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00019-10.
- 21. Litvintseva AP, Hurst S, Gade L, Frace MA, Hilsabeck R, Schupp JM, Gillece JD, Roe C, Smith D, Keim P, Lockhart SR, Changayil S, Weil MR, MacCannell DR, Brandt ME, Engelthaler DM. 2014. Wholegenome analysis of *Exserohilum rostratum* from an outbreak of fungal meningitis and other infections. J Clin Microbiol 52:3216–3222. http://dx .doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00936-14.