

Submit a Manuscript: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/ Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i36.10453 World J Gastroenterol 2015 September 28; 21(36): 10453-10460 ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online) © 2015 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

META-ANALYSIS

# Prognostic value of Muc5AC in gastric cancer: A meta-analysis

Chuan-Tao Zhang, Ke-Cheng He, Fei Pan, Yuan Li, Jiang Wu

Chuan-Tao Zhang, Teaching Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine and Sichuan Province Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Chengdu 610041, Sichuan Province, China

Ke-Cheng He, Department of Cardiology, General Hospital of PLA Chengdu Military Area Command, Chengdu 610083, Sichuan Province, China

Fei Pan, Key Laboratory of Oncology, Cancer Center, Chinese PLA General Hospital and Chinese PLA Medical School, Beijing 100853, China

Yuan Li, the Second College of Clinical Medicine, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu 611137, Sichuan Province, China

Jiang Wu, the Second Affiliated Hospital, the Second College of Clinical Medicine, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu 611137, Sichuan Province, China

Author contributions: Zhang CT and He KC contributed equally to the work as co-first authors; He KC and Zhang CT designed the study, analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript; He KC and Zhang CT designed the research; He KC and Li Y performed the research; Pan F contributed analytical tools; Wu J designed the study and edited the manuscript as corresponding author.

Supported by Programs Foundation of Ministry of Education of China, No. 20115132120004; National Natural Science Foundation of China, No. 81202624, No. 81102720 and No. 81072902.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Correspondence to: Jiang Wu, MD, PhD, the Second Affiliated Hospital, the Second College of Clinical Medicine,

Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 1166 Liutai Street, Chengdu 611137, Sichuan Province, China. cd120@vip.163.com Telephone: +86-28-85222959 Fax: +86-28-85250977

Received: May 24, 2014 Peer-review started: May 24, 2014 First decision: July 15, 2014 Revised: December 22, 2014 Accepted: February 12, 2015 Article in press: February 13, 2015 Published online: September 28, 2015

# Abstract

**AIM:** To assess the correlation between decreased Muc5AC expression and patients' survival and clinicopathological characteristics by conducting a metaanalysis.

**METHODS:** Literature searches were performed in PubMed and EMBASE, and 11 studies met our criteria. Summary hazard ratios or odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated to estimate the effect. For the pooled analysis of the correlation between decreased Muc5AC expression and clinicopathological characteristics (tumour invasion depth, lymph node metastasis, tumour-node-metastasis stage, tumour size, venous invasion and lymphatic invasion), ORs and their variance were combined to estimate the effect.

**RESULTS:** Eleven retrospective cohort studies comprising 2135 patients were included to assess the association between Muc5AC expression and overall survival and/or clinicopathological characteristics. Decreased Muc5AC expression was significantly correlated with poor overall survival of gastric cancer patients (pooled HR = 1.35, 95%CI: 1.08-1.7). Moreover, decreased Muc5AC expression was also significantly associated with tumour invasion depth (pooled OR =



Zhang CT et al. Prognostic value of Muc5AC in GC

2.12, 95%CI: 1.56-2.87) and lymph node metastasis (pooled OR = 1.56, 95%CI: 1.00-2.44) in gastric cancer.

**CONCLUSION:** Decreased Muc5AC expression might be a poor prognostic predictor for gastric cancer.

Key words: Prognosis; Muc5AC; Gastric cancer

© **The Author(s) 2015.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core tip:** The association of decreased Muc5AC expression in gastric cancer and its prognostic value have been investigated for years; however, the results are controversial and inconclusive. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis suggesting that decreased Muc5AC expression is an unfavourable prognostic biomarker for gastric cancer patients. Patients with decreased Muc5AC expression are more likely to have poor overall survival and aggressive histopathological features.

Zhang CT, He KC, Pan F, Li Y, Wu J. Prognostic value of Muc5AC in gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2015; 21(36): 10453-10460 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v21/i36/10453.htm DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v21.i36.10453

# INTRODUCTION

The incidence rate of gastric cancer has decreased substantially today as a result of healthy diet, reduction of Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) infection and introduction of screening using photofluorography. However, 989600 new gastric cancer cases are estimated to have occurred in 2008. Although the highest incidence rates were observed in East Asia, gastric cancer is still the second most frequent cause of cancer-related death worldwide, leading to 738000 deaths in 2008<sup>[1,2]</sup>. Despite the availability of new treatments<sup>[3]</sup>, the 5-year survival rate for gastric cancer patients remains lower than 25%<sup>[2]</sup>. It is imperative to identify an established marker possessing predicative value for the survival of gastric cancer patients. The prognosis of gastric cancer depends mostly on the histopathological grade and the stage. However, these findings are not always sufficient to predict the probability of relapse, metastasis and overall survival<sup>[4-6]</sup>. Therefore, new prognostic factors are needed and have been detected in many studies. Furthermore, the discovery of new prognostic factors may aid in a more accurate prediction of clinical outcome and may also reveal new therapeutic targets<sup>[7]</sup>. Many studies have evaluated prognostic markers associated with clinical outcomes, typically overall survival, in gastric cancer. Of these, Muc5AC, considered a very important prognostic marker, has

been widely investigated.

Mucins are a group of diverse, complex, high molecular-weight glycoproteins that are major components of the mucus gel covering the gastric mucosa. Normal functions of mucins include protection against mechanical and chemical aggression, lubrication and acid resistance. Two forms of mucins have been reported: secreted and membranebound<sup>[8,9]</sup>. Muc5AC is a secreted gel-forming mucin and is limited to the cytoplasm of the foveolar epithelium and mucous neck cells throughout the stomach. Besides being a protective layer and a diffusion barrier for hydrochloric acid, Muc5AC was also reported to suppress the release of tumour cells, resulting in a decrease in invasion and metastasis<sup>[10-12]</sup>. The process of neoplastic transformation in the stomach is associated with decreased expression of Muc5AC. Muc5AC expression was reported to be absent or low in some gastric cancer cases<sup>[13-15]</sup>. Controversies and conflicting results about the prognostic role of low Muc5AC expression in gastric cancer have been published. Kocer et al<sup>[16]</sup> reported that gastric cancer patients with decreased Muc5AC expression have better overall survival. However, Suh et al<sup>[17]</sup> reported that decreased Muc5AC expression possesses no prognostic significance in determining the overall survival of gastric cancer patients. Wang et al<sup>[18]</sup> reported that decreased Muc5AC expression was a poor prognostic marker in gastric cancer. Thus we conducted this meta-analysis to assess the prognostic significance of decreased Muc5AC expression for gastric cancer patients.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Literature retrieval

We performed a computerized search in PubMed and EMBASE to identify studies by using the terms "Muc5AC," "Mucin 5AC," "Muc-5AC," "gastric cancer," "gastric carcinoma," "gastric neoplasm," "stomach cancer" and "prognosis", "prognostic" and "survival" on November 8th, 2013. We did not consider conference abstracts because of limited data reported in them.

# Eligibility criteria

Studies meeting the following criteria were included: (1) the correlation between MUC5AC expression and overall survival and/or clinicopathological characteristics of gastric cancer was evaluated; (2) MUC5AC expression was measured by immunohistochemistry (IHC) in the primary tumour tissues; (3) sufficient information was provided to allow for estimation of hazard ratios (HRs) and/or odds ratios (ORs) and their invariance; and (4) study was published as a full paper in the English language. Studies were excluded for the following reasons: (1) duplicate; (2) cell or animal experiment; and (3) letters to the editor or reviews.



WJG | www.wjgnet.com



Figure 1 Flow chart of the study selection process.

# Data extraction and management

Articles were reviewed independently by two investigators (He KC and Zhang CT) for data extraction. Disagreements were resolved by consensus. Data were extracted from eligible studies independently by the two investigators. If the results reported in the identified studies overlapped (*e.g.*, same authors and institutions), only the most recent or the most complete study was involved in the analysis. The two investigators reviewed each eligible study and extracted data into a table that included the author's name, year of publication, study location, number of patients, medium age, cut-off value and primary antibody.

#### Statistical analysis

For the quantitative aggregation of the survival outcome, the ability of decreased Muc5AC expression to predict survival was measured by HR, which was pooled from the HR estimates of included studies using the fixed effects model with the assumption of the heterogeneity of the HR estimates<sup>[19]</sup>. The betweenstudy heterogeneity was tested by performing  $I^2$  and  $\chi^2$  measures. A random effect model was performed when the *P*-value of heterogeneity test was  $< 0.1^{[20]}$ . For each study, the log hazard ratio estimate and its standard error (SE) were calculated from the data offered in the manuscript or read from Kaplan-Meier survival curve using an approach reported in a previous publication<sup>[21]</sup>. Subgroup analyses by stratifying on study location, number of patients and cut-off value were conducted. For the pooled analysis of the correlations between decreased Muc5AC expression and clinicopathological characteristics (depth of tumour invasion, lymph node metastasis, tumour-node-metastasis (TNM) stage, tumour size, venous invasion and lymphatic invasion), ORs and their variance were combined to estimate the effect<sup>[22]</sup>.

An observed HR or OR > 1 implied a worse prognosis for the group with decreased Muc5AC expression and would be considered to be statistically significant if the 95%CI did not cross 1. These results are presented in forest plot graphs and the funnel plot was examined to explore the possibility of publication bias. In addition, sensitivity analysis was also conducted by sequential omission of individual studies to evaluate stability of the results. All statistical analyses with a *P*-value < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using Review Manager (RevMan) Version 5.1.

# RESULTS

# Eligible studies and characteristics

As shown in Figure 1, a total of 132 studies were identified using the search strategy as described above. After the first screening, 79 citations were excluded from analysis based on abstracts, leaving 53 studies for full-text review. Upon further review, 18 were excluded because there was no data about survival analysis or clinicopathological characteristics, 16 were excluded because of insufficient reported data to calculate HR or OR, five were excluded because their data overlapped with other studies and one was excluded because the authors assessed Muc5AC expression using a method other than IHC. After careful review, 11 retrospective studies were enrolled to assess the prognostic value of Muc5AC expression in gastric cancer<sup>[12,16-18,23-29]</sup>, and no prospective study was found.

The characteristics of included studies eligible for the meta-analysis are presented in Table 1. Four studies evaluated the patients from Korea, two from Turkey, two from Japan, two from China and one from Germany. The 11 studies contained 2135 patients with sample sizes ranging from 44 to 450 patients. Two studies had data only for overall survival, while five studies had data only for the clinicopathological characteristics. Four studies with data on overall survival also had data on clinicopathological characteristics. In particular, Dae and Sung used the same patients sample and we extracted the HR from the Dae's study and clinicopathological parameters from Sung's.

# Correlation between Muc5AC expression and overall survival

A forest plot of the HR estimates and results from the meta-analysis is presented in Figure 2. Six studies (n = 1396) of correlation between decreased Muc5AC expression and overall survival were included to conduct a quantitative aggregation of the survival results. The gastric cancer patients with decreased Muc5AC expression demonstrated a significantly



#### Zhang CT et al. Prognostic value of Muc5AC in GC

Table 1 Characteristics of the included studies												
No.	Ref.	Year	Area	No. of patients	Gender M/F	Medium age	Therapy before surgical resection	Antibody used	Cut-off value	Purpose		
1	Baldus et al <sup>[23]</sup>	2002	Germany	200	107/93	60.8	No	CLH2	35%	C/HR		
2	Dae et al <sup>[25]</sup>	2013	South Korea	412	286/126	58.5	No	CLH2	10%	HR		
3	Ilhan et al <sup>[24]</sup>	2010	Turkey	257	201/56	NR	No	45M1	5%	С		
4	Kocer et al <sup>[16]</sup>	2004	Turkey	44	31/13	59.75	No	45M1	10%	C/HR		
5	Lee <i>et al</i> <sup>[26]</sup>	2001	South Korea	300	203/97	NR	NR	CLH2	20%	С		
6	Shiratsu et al <sup>[27]</sup>	2013	Japan	214	150/64	NR	No	CLH2	5%	C/HR		
7	Suh <i>et al</i> <sup>[17]</sup>	2012	South Korea	450	328/122	57.5	No	NR	10%	HR		
8	Sung et al <sup>[12]</sup>	2013	South Korea	412	286/126	58.5	No	CLH2	5%	С		
9	Tajima et al <sup>[28]</sup>	2001	Japan	136	82/54	61.7	No	45M1	10%	С		
10	Wang et al <sup>[18]</sup>	2003	China	76	52/24	65.0	NR	45M1	5%	C/HR		
11	Wang et al <sup>[29]</sup>	2003	China	46	34/12	54.6	NR	45M1	0%	С		

NR: Not reported; M: Male; F: Female; C: Clinicopathological characteristics; HR: Hazard ratio.



Figure 2 Forest plot of hazard ratio for association between decreased Muc5AC expression and overall survival of patients with gastric cancer.

poorer overall survival than those with preserved Muc5AC expression (pooled HR = 1.35, 95%CI: 1.08-1.7, fixed effects). The between-heterogeneity was nonsignificant ( $I^2 = 31\%$ , P = 0.21). We then performed subgroup analysis by study location, cutoff value and number of patients (Table 2). Subgroup analysis indicated that there still was a significant relation between decreased Muc5AC expression and overall survival of patients from east Asian countries (pooled HR = 1.39, 95%CI: 1.03-1.88, fixed effects), from the studies with more than 200 patients (pooled HR = 1.34, 95%CI: 1.05-1.71, fixed effects) and from the studies with cut-off values > 10% (pooled HR = 1.32, 95%CI: 1.03-1.7, fixed effects).

The funnel plots for publication bias did not exhibit asymmetry (Figure 3). Thus, no evidence of publication bias was detected.

# Association of Muc5AC expression with depth of tumour invasion and lymph node metastasis

We evaluated the correlation between Muc5AC expression and depth of tumour invasion and lymph node metastasis of gastric cancer. As shown in Figure 4, the data combined from five studies including 990 patients indicated that low expression of Muc5AC was significantly associated with depth of tumour invasion (pooled OR = 2.12, 95%CI: 1.56-2.87, fixed effects) and there was no significant between-

study heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 2\%$ , P = 0.4). Moreover, decreased Muc5AC expression was also associated with lymph node metastasis (pooled OR = 1.56, 95%CI: 1.00-2.44, random effects). Eight studies including 1384 patients were involved in this analysis, and the between-study heterogeneity was significant ( $I^2 = 66\%$ , P = 0.005). Formal statistical significance with no significant between-study heterogeneity was claimed when analyses were limited to studies with over 200 patients (pooled OR = 1.61, 95%CI: 1.13-2.3, random-effect) (Figure 5). Sensitivity analysis indicated that the results were not significantly influenced by omitting any single study.

# Association of Muc5AC expression with TNM stage, tumour size, venous and lymphatic invasion

We observed a trend toward a correlation of decreased Muc5AC expression with advanced TNM stage (pooled OR = 1.25, 95%CI: 0.92-1.69, larger tumour size ( $\geq$  5 cm vs < 5 cm: pooled OR = 1.38, 95%CI: 0.84-2.28), venous invasion (positive vs negative: pooled OR = 1.26, 95%CI: 0.82-1.94) and lymphatic invasion (positive vs negative: OR = 1.26, 95%CI: 0.89-1.8). The meta-analysis of the association between Muc5AC and TNM stage had between-study heterogeneity ( $I^2$  = 78%, P = 0.003), whereas analysis of other histological features presented no significant heterogeneity ( $I^2$  = 0%-35%) (Table 3).

cancer patients with decreased Muc5AC expression											
	No. of	No. of	Pooled HR	Heterogeneity							
	studies	patients	(95%CI)	ľ	<i>P</i> -value						
Study location											
East Asia	4	1152	1.39 (1.03-1.88)	33%	0.21						
Non-East Asia	2	244	1.31 (0.92-1.86)	62%	0.10						
Sample size											
$\geq 200$	4	1276	1.34 (1.05-1.71)	0%	0.63						
< 200	2	120	1.49 (0.76-2.94)	81%	0.02						
Cut-off value											
$\geq 10\%$	4	1106	1.32 (1.03-1.7)	14%	0.32						
< 10%	2	290	1.54 (0.87-2.71)	71%	0.06						

# DISCUSSION

Muc5AC is a secreted gel-forming mucin and is limited to the cytoplasm of the foveolar epithelium and mucous neck cells throughout the stomach, playing a very important role in protecting the stomach. Muc5AC was reported to have been decreased in some non-neoplastic and preneoplastic conditions. In H. pylori associated gastritis, H. pylori disrupts the assembly of the mucin molecule *via* inhibition of galactosyltransferase and reduces gastric mucus viscosity by elevating pH through urease secretion<sup>[30,31]</sup>. In intestinal metaplasia, the expression pattern of mucin peptides is altered, leading to reduced Muc5AC immunoreactivity, especially in type I intestinal metaplasia<sup>[32]</sup>. Muc5AC expression was reported to be absent or low in some gastric cancer cases. The molecular mechanisms that result in decreased Muc5AC expression remain poorly understood<sup>[13-15,33]</sup>. The prognostic role of decreased Muc5AC expression in gastric cancer has been widely investigated.

The aim of this meta-analysis was to assess the association between decreased Muc5AC expression and overall survival and clinicopathological features of gastric cancer. Our analysis pooled the HRs and ORs based on 11 studies comprising 2135 patients with gastric cancer, covering the period from 2001 to 2013, indicating that decreased Muc5AC expression significantly predicted poor overall survival of gastric cancer patients. Moreover, correlations between decreased Muc5AC expression and depth of tumour invasion and lymph node metastasis were also found to be significant. Although there were no significant correlations between decreased Muc5AC expression and TNM stage, tumour size, venous invasion and lymphatic invasion, we detected trends for advanced TNM stage (stages Ⅲ and Ⅳ), larger tumour size (> 5 cm), venous invasion and lymphatic invasion to be associated with decreased Muc5AC expression. These results might be due to the small number of patients included in the meta-analysis. More patients and studies will be needed to confirm our findings in the future.

In this research, there was no significant between-



Figure 3 Funnel plot of decreased Muc5AC expression and overall survival of patients with gastric cancer.

study heterogeneity for most tests of the included studies, except Muc5AC expression with lymph node metastasis and TNM stage. Small sample size might contribute to the between-study heterogeneity of association of Muc5AC expression with lymph node metastasis, because the between-study heterogeneity was nonsignificant when the number of patients was expanded to 200. To identify the source of heterogeneity of correlation between Muc5AC expression and TNM stage, we found that TNM system used by Ilhan was the 6<sup>th</sup> edition whereas other authors used the 5<sup>th</sup> edition<sup>[34,35]</sup>. There was no significant heterogeneity of correlation between Muc5AC and TNM stage when we excluded the Ilhan's study ( $I^2 = 46\%$ , P = 0.16). Furthermore, because an optimal threshold has not been defined, the cut-off value defining a gastric cancer with decreased Muc5AC expression is arbitrary, which might produce heterogeneity. However, there was no significant heterogeneity of correlation between decreased Muc5AC expression and overall survival of gastric cancer patients. The association of decreased Muc5AC expression and overall survival of patients from the studies with a cut-off value greater than 10% is still significant. The results indicated that cut-off value had no significant association with heterogeneity.

We enlarged the sample size by conducting this meta-analysis to obtain more accurate results. Certain unsolvable limitations in this meta-analysis need to be pointed out. First, the technique of detecting Muc5AC was IHC staining, which contains a variety of methodological factors, such as fixation method of paraffin-embedded tissues, storage time, different primary antibodies, protocols and different definitions of positivity. Second, unpublished studies and conference abstracts were not included in our analysis because of limited data for methodology assessment and data synthesis. This research was also restricted to studies published in English language because other languages were not available for most authors and readers. Therefore, publication bias and language bias might have occurred<sup>[36,37]</sup>. However, we did not

## Zhang CT et al. Prognostic value of Muc5AC in GC

Experimental		Control			Odds ratio	Odds ratio		
Study or subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, fixed, 95%CI	M-H, fixed, 95%CI	
Baldus 2002	21	69	26	131	22.6%	1.77 [0.91, 3.45]	- <b>-</b>	
Ilhan 2010	141	148	93	109	9.2%	3.47 [1.37, 8.75]	<b>_</b> _	
Sung 2013	57	134	82	278	55.4%	1.77 [1.15, 2.72]	-	
Wang 2003	17	27	8	18	6.4%	2.13 [0.63, 7.16]		
Wang-J 2003	35	44	15	32	6.4%	4.41 [1.61, 12.09]		
Total (95%CI)		422		568	100.0%	2.12 [1.56, 2.87]		
Total events 271 224							•	
Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 4$	4.07, <i>df</i> = 4							
Test for overall effect	: <i>Z</i> = 4.81 (/	0.02 0.1 1 10 50 T1 + T2 T3 + T4						

	Fig	ure 4	Forest	plot of odds	s ratio for	association	between o	decreased N	luc5AC ex	pression and	depth of	f tumour in	vasion in	patients with	gastric cancer.
--	-----	-------	--------	--------------	-------------	-------------	-----------	-------------	-----------	--------------	----------	-------------	-----------	---------------	-----------------

	Experin	nental	Cor	ntrol		Odds ratio	Odds ratio
Study or subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, random, 95%CI	M-H, random, 95%CI
Sample size $\geq$ 200 patients							
Baldus 2002	51	69	91	131	14.6%	1.25 [0.65, 2.39]	
Ilhan 2010	123	148	71	109	15.6%	2.63 [1.47, 4.72]	
Shirarsu 2013	56	123	39	91	16.2%	1.11 [0.65, 1.92]	<b>_</b>
Sung 2013	67	134	101	278	18.0%	1.75 [1.15, 2.66]	
Subtotal (95%CI)		474		609	64.4%	1.61 [1.13, 2.30]	◆
Total events	297		302				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.06$ ;	$\chi^2 = 5.23$	, <i>df</i> = 3 (	P = 0.16);	$I^2 = 43\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2$ .	.61 ( <i>P</i> = 0	.009)					
Sample size < 200 patients							
Kocer 2004	12	15	23	29	6.0%	1.04 [0.22, 4.92]	
Tajima 2001	42	61	57	75	13.2%	0.70 [0.33, 1.49]	<b>_</b>
Wang 2003	13	27	9	18	8.4%	0.93 [0.28, 3.06]	<b>_</b>
Wang-J 2003	40	44	15	32	8.1%	11.33 [3.28, 39.18]	
Subtotal (95%CI)		147		154	35.6%	1.62 [0.45, 5.79]	
Total events	107		104				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 1.32$ ;	$\chi^2 = 14.8$	0, <i>df</i> = 3	(P = 0.002)	); $I^2 = 80\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$ .	74 ( <i>P</i> = 0	.46)					
Total (95%CI)		621		763	100.0%	1.56 [1.00, 2.44]	◆
Total events	404		406				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.24$ ;	$\chi^2 = 20.4$	5, <i>df</i> = 7	(P = 0.005)	); $I^2 = 66\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$ .	96 ( <i>P</i> = 0	.05)	-			0.02 0.1	1 1 10 50
Test for subgroup differences	$x^2 = 0.0$	00, <i>df</i> = 1	(P = 0.99)	); $I^2 = 0\%$		Lymph node m	etastasis (-) Lymph node metastasis (+)

Figure 5 Forest plot of odds ratio for association between decreased Muc5AC expression and lymph node metastasis in patients with gastric cancer.

find a publication bias of correlation between Muc5AC expression and overall survival of patients with gastric cancer in this study. We tried to get relevant data that were not available from the published reports, but it was unavoidable that some data that might reduce the significance of decreased Muc5AC expression as a poor prognostic maker in gastric cancer might be missed. Third, although decreased Muc5AC expression was associated with stage of disease, it was impossible to make a subgroup analysis of stage because there was no sufficient data in this meta-analysis<sup>[13]</sup>. Luckily, we found trends for correlations of Muc5AC with overall survival and histopathological features in whatever clinical stage. Therefore, although we minimized the bias by confirming a detailed protocol before carrying out this study, by performing a careful search for published studies and by using standard methods for study recruiting, data extraction and

data analysis, conclusions about associations between decreased Muc5AC expression and overall survival and clinicopathological features of gastric cancer still need to be drawn discreetly.

We speculate that Muc5AC could be a candidate biomarker for the prediction of prognosis and aggressiveness in gastric cancer because Muc5AC is frequently used as a marker in the practice of surgical pathology and is easily detected by routine immunohistochemistry of biopsy samples. To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis that suggests decreased Muc5AC expression is an unfavourable prognostic biomarker for gastric cancer patients. Patients with decreased Muc5AC expression are more likely to have poor overall survival and aggressive histopathological features. However, larger studies using standardized unbiased methods are still required before measuring immunohistochemical Muc5AC as a

WJG | www.wjgnet.com

#### Table 3 Meta-analysis of decreased Muc5AC expression and clinicopathological characteristics of gastric cancer

Clinicopathological characteristic	No. of studies	No. of patients	Pooled OR (95%CI)	Hetero	ogeneity
				ľ	<i>P</i> -value
TNM stage	4	801	1.15 (0.56-2.34), Random	78%	0.003
Tumor size	3	257	1.38 (0.84-2.28), Fixed	0%	0.83
Venous invasion	3	394	1.26 (0.82-1.94), Fixed	35%	0.21
Lymphatic invasion	3	650	1.27 (0.89-1.80), Fixed	25%	0.26

#### prognostic tool.

# COMMENTS

## Background

Muc5AC is a secreted gel-forming mucin, playing a very important role in protecting the stomach. Muc5AC expression was reported to be absent or low in some gastric cancer cases. The prognostic role of decreased Muc5AC expression in gastric cancer has been widely investigated.

## **Research frontiers**

The association of decreased Muc5AC expression in gastric cancer and prognosis value has been investigated for years, but the results are controversial and inconclusive. The aim of this meta-analysis is to assess the association between decreased Muc5AC expression and overall survival and clinicopathological features of gastric cancer.

#### Innovations and breakthroughs

This is the first meta-analysis that suggests decreased Muc5AC expression is an unfavourable prognostic biomarker for gastric cancer patients. A more convincing result was obtained by enlarging the sample size.

#### Applications

Patients with decreased Muc5AC expression are more likely to have poor overall survival and aggressive histopathological features. We speculate that Muc5AC could be a candidate biomarker for the prediction of prognosis and aggressiveness in gastric cancer.

#### Peer-review

This is nicely written manuscript providing important information about decreased Muc5AC as a poor prognostic maker for patients with gastric cancer.

# REFERENCES

- Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2011; 61: 69-90 [PMID: 21296855 DOI: 10.3322/caac.20107]
- 2 Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. Int J Cancer 2010; 127: 2893-2917 [PMID: 21351269 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.25516]
- 3 Amedei A, Benagiano M, della Bella C, Niccolai E, D'Elios MM. Novel immunotherapeutic strategies of gastric cancer treatment. J Biomed Biotechnol 2011; 2011: 437348 [PMID: 22253528 DOI: 10.1155/2011/437348]
- 4 Allgayer H, Heiss MM, Schildberg FW. Prognostic factors in gastric cancer. Br J Surg 1997; 84: 1651-1664 [PMID: 9448610 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.1800841206]
- 5 Lazăr D, Tăban S, Dema A, Cornianu M, Goldiş A, Rațiu I, Sporea I. Gastric cancer: the correlation between the clinicopathological factors and patients' survival (I). *Rom J Morphol Embryol* 2009; 50: 41-50 [PMID: 19221644]
- 6 Chiaravalli AM, Klersy C, Vanoli A, Ferretti A, Capella C, Solcia E. Histotype-based prognostic classification of gastric cancer. World J Gastroenterol 2012; 18: 896-904 [PMID: 22408348 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v18.i9.896]

- 7 Oldenhuis CN, Oosting SF, Gietema JA, de Vries EG. Prognostic versus predictive value of biomarkers in oncology. *Eur J Cancer* 2008; 44: 946-953 [PMID: 18396036 DOI: 10.1016/ j.ejca.2008.03.006]
- 8 Verma M, Davidson EA. Mucin genes: structure, expression and regulation. *Glycoconj J* 1994; 11: 172-179 [PMID: 7841791 DOI: 10.1007/BF00731215]
- 9 Kim YS, Gum JR. Diversity of mucin genes, structure, function, and expression. *Gastroenterology* 1995; 109: 999-1001 [PMID: 7657131 DOI: 10.1016/0016-5085(95)90412-3]
- 10 Nordman H, Davies JR, Lindell G, Carlstedt I. Human gastric mucins--a major population identified as MUC5. *Biochem Soc Trans* 1995; 23: 533S [PMID: 8654718]
- 11 Corfield AP, Myerscough N, Longman R, Sylvester P, Arul S, Pignatelli M. Mucins and mucosal protection in the gastrointestinal tract: new prospects for mucins in the pathology of gastrointestinal disease. *Gut* 2000; 47: 589-594 [PMID: 10986224 DOI: 10.1136/ gut.47.4.589]
- 12 Kim SM, Kwon CH, Shin N, Park do Y, Moon HJ, Kim GH, Jeon TY. Decreased Muc5AC expression is associated with poor prognosis in gastric cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2014; 134: 114-124 [PMID: 23801416 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.28345]
- 13 Reis CA, David L, Nielsen PA, Clausen H, Mirgorodskaya K, Roepstorff P, Sobrinho-Simões M. Immunohistochemical study of MUC5AC expression in human gastric carcinomas using a novel monoclonal antibody. *Int J Cancer* 1997; 74: 112-121 [PMID: 9036879 DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0215(19970220)74]
- 14 Carrato C, Balague C, de Bolos C, Gonzalez E, Gambus G, Planas J, Perini JM, Andreu D, Real FX. Differential apomucin expression in normal and neoplastic human gastrointestinal tissues. *Gastroenterology* 1994; 107: 160-172 [PMID: 8020658]
- 15 Ho SB, Shekels LL, Toribara NW, Kim YS, Lyftogt C, Cherwitz DL, Niehans GA. Mucin gene expression in normal, preneoplastic, and neoplastic human gastric epithelium. *Cancer Res* 1995; 55: 2681-2690 [PMID: 7780985]
- 16 Kocer B, Soran A, Kiyak G, Erdogan S, Eroglu A, Bozkurt B, Solak C, Cengiz O. Prognostic significance of mucin expression in gastric carcinoma. *Dig Dis Sci* 2004; 49: 954-964 [PMID: 15309883]
- 17 Suh YS, Lee HJ, Jung EJ, Kim MA, Nam KT, Goldenring JR, Yang HK, Kim WH. The combined expression of metaplasia biomarkers predicts the prognosis of gastric cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2012; 19: 1240-1249 [PMID: 22048633 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-011-2125-1]
- 18 Wang JY, Chang CT, Hsieh JS, Lee LW, Huang TJ, Chai CY, Lin SR. Role of MUC1 and MUC5AC expressions as prognostic indicators in gastric carcinomas. *J Surg Oncol* 2003; 83: 253-260 [PMID: 12884239 DOI: 10.1002/jso.10222]
- 19 Yusuf S, Peto R, Lewis J, Collins R, Sleight P. Beta blockade during and after myocardial infarction: an overview of the randomized trials. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 1985; 27: 335-371 [PMID: 2858114 DOI: 10.1016/S0033-0620(85)80003-7]
- 20 Ospina PA, Nydam DV, DiCiccio TJ. Technical note: The risk ratio, an alternative to the odds ratio for estimating the association between multiple risk factors and a dichotomous outcome. J Dairy Sci 2012; 95: 2576-2584 [PMID: 22541486 DOI: 10.3168/ jds.2011-4515]
- 21 **Tierney JF**, Stewart LA, Ghersi D, Burdett S, Sydes MR. Practical methods for incorporating summary time-to-event data



into meta-analysis. *Trials* 2007; **8**: 16 [PMID: 17555582 DOI: 10.1186/1745-6215-8-16]

- 22 Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a metaanalysis. *Stat Med* 2002; 21: 1539-1558 [PMID: 12111919 DOI: 10.1002/sim.1186]
- 23 Baldus SE, Mönig SP, Arkenau V, Hanisch FG, Schneider PM, Thiele J, Hölscher AH, Dienes HP. Correlation of MUC5AC immunoreactivity with histopathological subtypes and prognosis of gastric carcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2002; **9**: 887-893 [PMID: 12417511 DOI: 10.1007/BF02557526]
- 24 İlhan Ö, Han Ü, Önal B, Çelık SY. Prognostic significance of MUC1, MUC2 and MUC5AC expressions in gastric carcinoma. *Turk J Gastroenterol* 2010; 21: 345-352 [PMID: 21331986]
- 25 Kim DH, Shin N, Kim GH, Song GA, Jeon TY, Kim DH, Lauwers GY, Park do Y. Mucin expression in gastric cancer: reappraisal of its clinicopathologic and prognostic significance. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2013; 137: 1047-1053 [PMID: 23899060 DOI: 10.5858/arpa.2012-0193-OA]
- 26 Lee HS, Lee HK, Kim HS, Yang HK, Kim YI, Kim WH. MUC1, MUC2, MUC5AC, and MUC6 expressions in gastric carcinomas: their roles as prognostic indicators. *Cancer* 2001; 92: 1427-1434 [PMID: 11745219]
- 27 Shiratsu K, Higuchi K, Nakayama J. Loss of gastric gland mucinspecific O-glycan is associated with progression of differentiatedtype adenocarcinoma of the stomach. *Cancer Sci* 2014; 105: 126-133 [PMID: 24138592 DOI: 10.1111/cas.12305]
- 28 Tajima Y, Shimoda T, Nakanishi Y, Yokoyama N, Tanaka T, Shimizu K, Saito T, Kawamura M, Kusano M, Kumagai K. Gastric and intestinal phenotypic marker expression in gastric carcinomas and its prognostic significance: immunohistochemical analysis of 136 lesions. *Oncology* 2001; 61: 212-220 [PMID: 11574777 DOI: 10.1159/000055377]
- 29 Wang RQ, Fang DC. Alterations of MUC1 and MUC3 expression

in gastric carcinoma: relevance to patient clinicopathological features. *J Clin Pathol* 2003; **56**: 378-384 [PMID: 12719460 DOI: 10.1136/jcp.56.5.378]

- 30 Tanaka S, Mizuno M, Maga T, Yoshinaga F, Tomoda J, Nasu J, Okada H, Yokota K, Oguma K, Shiratori Y, Tsuji T. H. pylori decreases gastric mucin synthesis via inhibition of galactosyltransferase. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2003; 50: 1739-1742 [PMID: 14571831]
- 31 Celli JP, Turner BS, Afdhal NH, Keates S, Ghiran I, Kelly CP, Ewoldt RH, McKinley GH, So P, Erramilli S, Bansil R. Helicobacter pylori moves through mucus by reducing mucin viscoelasticity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009; 106: 14321-14326 [PMID: 19706518 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0903438106]
- 32 Reis CA, David L, Correa P, Carneiro F, de Bolós C, Garcia E, Mandel U, Clausen H, Sobrinho-Simões M. Intestinal metaplasia of human stomach displays distinct patterns of mucin (MUC1, MUC2, MUC5AC, and MUC6) expression. *Cancer Res* 1999; 59: 1003-1007 [PMID: 10070955]
- 33 Kufe DW. Mucins in cancer: function, prognosis and therapy. Nat Rev Cancer 2009; 9: 874-885 [PMID: 19935676 DOI: 10.1038/ nrc2761]
- 34 Sobin LH, Wittekind C. TNM Classification of Malignant Tumors. 5th ed. New York: Wiley, 1997
- 35 Greene FL. Stomach. In: American Joint Committee on Cancer-AJCC Cancer Staging Manual. 6th ed. New York: Springer 2002
- 36 Egger M, Zellweger-Zähner T, Schneider M, Junker C, Lengeler C, Antes G. Language bias in randomised controlled trials published in English and German. *Lancet* 1997; **350**: 326-329 [PMID: 9251637 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(97)02419-7]
- 37 Zhou Y, Li N, Zhuang W, Liu GJ, Wu TX, Yao X, Du L, Wei ML, Wu XT. P53 codon 72 polymorphism and gastric cancer: a meta-analysis of the literature. *Int J Cancer* 2007; 121: 1481-1486 [PMID: 17546594 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.22833]
- P- Reviewer: de Gramont A, Mayer RJ, Meropol NJ S- Editor: Qi Y L- Editor: Wang TQ E- Editor: Zhang DN







# Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx http://www.wjgnet.com





© 2015 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.