Original Article Relationship between epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutation and serum cyclooxygenase-2 Level, and the synergistic effect of celecoxib and gefitinib on EGFR expression in non-small cell lung cancer cells

Na Li^{1*}, Huanhuan Li^{2*}, Fan Su¹, Jing Li¹, Xiaoping Ma¹, Ping Gong¹

¹Department of Oncology, Shihezi University School of Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital, Shihezi 832000, Xinjiang, P. R. China; ²Anyang Tumor Hospital, Anyang, Henan, People's Republic of China. *Equal contributors.

Received June 1, 2015; Accepted July 21, 2015; Epub August 1, 2015; Published August 15, 2015

Abstract: Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutations occur mostly in patients with lung adenocarcinoma; such patients are also more likely to express cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), indicating a possible relationship between EGFR mutation and COX-2. The COX-2 and EGFR pathways mutually enhance their procarcinogenic effects in different tumor types. Therefore, simultaneous EGFR and COX-2 inhibition may be a promising therapeutic approach for patients with lung adenocarcinoma. We obtained tissue and serum samples from patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) to detect the relationship between EGFR mutation and serum COX-2 level. Subsequently, gefitinib was combined with celecoxib to investigate the efficacy of inhibition in vitro in two NSCLC cell lines: HCC827 (del E746-A750) and A549 (wild-type EGFR). The cells were treated with gefitinib or celecoxib alone or with gefitinib plus celecoxib. Cell proliferation and apoptosis were assessed and correlated with expression of COX-2 and phosphorylated (p)-EGFR. The EGFR mutation rate of the high-COX-2 patients was significantly higher than that in the low-COX-2 patients. Multivariate analysis showed that high COX-2 levels were independently associated with EGFR mutation. Celecoxib and gefitinib inhibited cell growth in both cell lines. At sufficiently high concentrations, celecoxib plus gefitinib significantly mutually enhanced their anti-proliferative and apoptotic effects in both cell lines. At low concentrations, the combination had no additional effects on A549 cells. There was increased down regulation of COX-2 and p-EGFR when both cell lines were treated with high-concentration celecoxib plus gefitinib compared to either agent alone. This study demonstrates that high serum COX-2 levels may indicate EGFR mutations and that the efficacy of combined celecoxib and gefitinib is significantly greater in NSCLC cells with EGFR mutations; at high concentrations, the combination is efficacious in wild-type NSCLC cells.

Keywords: Non-small cell lung cancer, epidermal growth factor receptor mutation, cyclooxygenase-2, EGFR

Introduction

Lung cancer is one of the leading causes of cancer-related mortality worldwide, and most patients have advanced disease at diagnosis [1]. Even with the best available chemotherapy strategies, the 5-year survival rate for most patients with progressive non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is disappointing [2]. Studies purporting to improve the survival of patients with NSCLC now mostly focus on new targeted molecular therapies against key signaling pathways, in particular, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-targeted therapy.

EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (EGFR-TKIs) have proven efficacious for treating patients harboring sensitive EGFR mutations [3-7]. EGFR mutation detection has become a routine molecular test with significant implications for prognosis and therapeutic options. However, acquiring sufficient amounts of tissue for analyzing EGFR mutations is not often feasible, and more than 70% of patients with sensitive EGFR mutations do not benefit from EGFR-TKI treatment [8-10]. Therefore, the efficacy of EGFR-TKI is limited.

Cyclooxygenase (COX) is a rate-limiting enzyme that converts arachidonic acid to prostaglandin (PG) [11]; there are two isozymes: COX-1 and COX-2. COX-1 is constitutively expressed in many normal tissue types. COX-2 is inducible and is overexpressed in inflammatory and many neoplastic tissues [12-18]. COX-2 appears to participate in various aspects of carcinogenesis, primarily through PG synthesis [19-22]. *In vitro* studies have suggested that selective COX-2 inhibitors inhibit cancer cell growth and induce apoptosis in lung, digestive tract, and breast cancer [23-28].

Multitargeted therapy is likely to come to the fore as far as future therapeutic approaches for cancer are concerned. As both COX-2 and EGFR appear to be involved in many aspects of carcinogenesis, there has been great interest in evaluating the simultaneous inhibition of both pathways. Preclinical studies on colon, head and neck, and breast cancer reported a synergistic effect when COX-2 inhibitors were combined with EGFR-TKI [29-32]. Although a previous study showed that combined celecoxib and gefitinib did not benefit patients with NSCLC, there was prolonged disease control for one non-smoker female patient with adenocarcinoma who received the therapy for > 3 years [33-35]. Molecular analyses revealed that her tumor harbored an EGFR mutation. It is unknown whether EGFR mutation status is associated with the efficacy of combined celecoxib and gefitinib. Therefore, we performed this study to investigate the relationship between serum COX-2 and tissue EGFR mutation status and the effect of combining the EGFR-TKI gefitinib and the selective COX-2 inhibitor celecoxib in NSCLC cell lines expressing wild-type or mutant EGFR.

Materials and methods

Drugs and reagents

Gefitinib was provided by AstraZeneca UK Limited, celecoxib was provided by Pfizer (Groton, CT, USA). Both drugs were dissolved in 100 mmol/L dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and stored at -20°C until used. Tetrazolium (MTT) was purchased from Amresco. RPMI 1640, 0.25% trypsin-0.02% ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA), and fetal bovine serum were purchased from Gibco (Grand Island, NY, USA). The Annexin V-Fluorescein Isothiocyanate (FITC)/Propidium lodide (PI) Apoptosis Detection Kit was purchased from BioVision. The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit for COX-2 was purchased from USCN Life Science. Primary antibodies for COX-2 were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA, USA). Antibodies for phosphorylated (p)-EGFR were purchased from Abcam Technology.

Patients and serum samples

From December 2012 to February 2014, 44 patients with newly diagnosed lung adenocarcinoma were enrolled in this study. Forty-four samples were collected from the primary site. All samples were examined histologically to confirm the diagnosis of adenocarcinoma. The tumor tissue samples were fixed in formalin and paraffin-embedded.

To obtain the serum, 5 mL peripheral blood was collected in coagulation-promoting tubes prior to the initiation of therapy, and subsequently centrifuged for 30 min to separate the serum. The tissue EGFR mutation status was assessed using the Amplified Refractory Mutation System. Serum COX-2 levels were determined using ELISA.

The Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital, Shihezi University School of Medicine (Xinjiang, China), approved this study. Eligible patients were provided a written informed consent form; those who signed the form were recruited into the study.

Cell lines and culture

Two NSCLC cell lines with different EGFR mutation status and EGFR-TKI sensitivity were used: A549 (wild-type) and HCC827 (mutant, del E746-A750). The cell lines were purchased from the Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). Both cell lines were grown in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 IU/mL penicillin, and 100 μ g/mL streptomycin in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

Variable	Mutant EGFR (n = 29)	Wild-type EGFR $(n = 15)$	X ²	Р
Gender				
Male	14	12	2.908	0.088
Female	15	3		
Age, y				
< 60	12	6	0.008	0.930
≥ 60	17	9		
Smoking status				
Never-smoker	14	4	1.120	0.290
Current/former smoker	15	11		
Stage				
IIIB	8	5	0.157	0.692
IV	21	10		
ECOG score				
0~1	19	8	0.619	0.431
2~3	10	7		
Serum COX-2 level				
< 100 ng/mL	16	14	4.994	0.025
≥ 100 ng/mL	13	1		

 Table 1. Clinicopathologic features of patients with NSCLC with mutant or wild-type EGFR

 Table 2. Multivariable analysis of predictive factors for incidence of EGFR mutation

Variable	Coefficient	SE	OR	95% CI	Р
Gender	-1.855	1.328	0.156	0.012-2.112	0.162
Age, y	0.022	0.030	1.023	0.965-1.084	0.452
Stage	-0.189	0.853	0.828	0.156-4.411	0.825
Smoking status	-1.076	1.308	0.341	0.026-4.431	0.411
ECOG score	-1.292	0.842	0.275	0.053-1.432	0.125
Serum COX-2 level	2.516	1.178	12.385	1.231-124.567	0.033



Figure 1. Western blot analysis of COX-2 and p-EGFR expression in A549 and HCC827 cells.

Analysis of cell growth inhibition

Cells (6000-8000/well) were seeded in a 96-well plate and incubated overnight at 37°C before continuous 48-h exposure to gefitinib or celecoxib individually (5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160

µmol/L) or in combination (gefitinib: 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160 µmol/L; celecoxib: 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160 µmol/L). Subsequently, MTT (0.5 mg/mL) was added to each well. After 4 h, the medium was aspirated and DMSO was added to each well. Color intensity at 490 nm was measured using a Tecan microplate fluorometer (Research Triangle Park, NC, USA). All experiments were replicated three times.

The formula devised by Jin (q = Ea + b/Ea + Eb-Ea × Eb), which can be used to measure the inhibitory effect of two drugs in combination, was used to analyze the effect of the gefitinib and celecoxib combination. Ea and Eb are the inhibitory effects of drug a or b, respectively. Q-values that are > 1.15, 0.85-1.15, or < 0.85 indicate synergistic, additive, or antagonistic effects, respectively.

Quantification of apoptosis by flow cytometry

The pro-apoptotic effects of gefitinib and celecoxib on the NSCLC cell lines were analyzed by flow cytometry. Cells were seeded in 6-well plates and incubated for 48 h with gefitinib

(40 μ mol/L), celecoxib (80 μ mol/L), or gefitinib (40 μ mol/L) and celecoxib (80 μ mol/L). The cells were stained with annexin V-FITC (0.5 μ g/ mL) and PI (1 μ g/mL) for flow cytometry analysis. All experiments were performed three times.

Protein extraction and western blotting

A549 and HCC827 cells were treated for 48 h with gefitinib (40 μ mol/L), celecoxib (80 μ mol/L), and gefitinib (40 μ mol/L) and celecoxib (80 μ mol/L) and the effects on COX-2 and p-EGFR expression relative to β -actin expression were evaluated. Cells were lysed for 30 min on ice in buffer consisting of 250 nM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5), 1%



Figure 2. Effects of gefitinib and celecoxib on NSCLC cell growth. A549 (A) and HCC827 (B) cells were treated with serial dilutions of gefitinib (Ge, 0-160 µmol/L) and celecoxib (Ce, 0-160 µmol/L) individually and in combination (Ge+Ce). C, Control.

 Table 3. The q-value of growth inhibition of HCC827 and A549 cells treated with gefitinib and celecoxib

	C1+G1	C2+G2	C3+G3	C4+G4	C5+G5	C6+G6
A549	0.95374233	1.015470455	1.0523125	1.344107872	1.777388889	1.482150552
HCC827	1.20338845	1.282147263	1.306860592	1.690951786	1.721800021	1.160257822

The q-value was calculated using the formula by Jin (n = 3). C1-6: 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160 μ mol/L celecoxib, respectively. G1-6: 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160 μ mol/L gefitinib, respectively. Q-values > 1.15 are bolded.



membrane. The membranes were incubated with the appropriate primary antibodies. Signal intensity was measured using a chemiluminescence detection system (Pierce). Autoradiograms of the western blots were scanned, and the bands were quantified using AlphaEaseFC software (Alpha Innotech).

Statistical analysis

Figure 3. Induction of apoptosis by gefitinib and celecoxib in NSCLC cell lines. A549 and HCC827 cells were treated with gefitinib (40 μ mol/L), celecoxib (80 μ mol/L) individually and in combination. Flow cytometry revealed significant differences (P \leq 0.05) when the combination treatment and each single treatment were compared with the control.

NP-40, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 50 mM sodium fluoride, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 1 μ g/mL pepstatin. Protein concentrations were measured using a BCA Protein Assay Kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). The samples were loaded on an SDS-polyacrylamide gel, and then electrophoretically transferred to a nitrocellulose

The data are expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation. The χ^2 test or Fisher's exact test was used to assess the association between EGFR mutation and each cliniarameter. Logistic regression

copathologic parameter. Logistic regression models were used to assess the association between individual factors and incidence of EGFR mutation. Variance analysis was used to determine the remaining level of significance. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 18.0 (IBM, New York, NY, USA). A *P*-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.



Figure 4. COX-2 and p-EGFR expression in NSCLC cells treated with gefitinib, celecoxib, and gefitinib plus celecoxib. A549 and HCC827 cells were treated for 48 h with gefitinib (40 µmol/L), celecoxib (80 µmol/L), or gefitinib and celecoxib combined. There was significant COX-2 and p-EGFR downregulation both cell lines following treatment with gefitinib plus celecoxib compared to that following treatment with either drug alone.

Results

Correlation between serum COX-2 levels and EGFR mutation

We analyzed the correlation between tissue EGFR mutations and clinical characteristics, and found that serum COX-2 levels were the only correlative factor (Table 1). Mutations at EGFR gene were found in 29 of the 44 patients. In 29 cases (65.91%) were observed EGFR gene mutations, including 12 cases of L858R mutation, 15 cases of exon 19 mutation, and 2 cases of exon 18 mutation. The EGFR mutation rates of the patients with high serum COX-2 levels (\geq 100 ng/mL) were significantly higher than that for the patients with low COX-2 levels (< 100 ng/mL) (92.9% vs. 53.3%, P = 0.025). Multivariate analysis also showed that high levels of COX-2 were independently associated with EGFR gene mutations (Table 2, P = 0.033, odds ratio [OR] = 12.385, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.231-124.567). We analyzed the potential for predicting mutations based on high COX-2 levels. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value of high COX-2 levels for predicting EGFR mutations were 44.8%, 93.3%, 92.9%, and 46.7%, respectively.

COX-2 and p-EGFR expression in NSCLC cells

Figure 1 depicts the baseline expression of COX-2 and p-EGFR in the two cell lines. Both cell lines expressed COX-2 and p-EGFR proteins; however, COX-2 and p-EGFR expression in HCC827 cells was higher than that in A549 cells.

Gefitinib and celecoxib induction of growth inhibition

Cell growth inhibition of the A549 and HCC827 cells was measured by detecting cell density following the addition of gefitinib and celecoxib. The median inhibitory concentration (IC50) of celecoxib, gefitinib, and celecoxib plus gefitinib in the A549 and HCC827 cells were 163.4 μ mol/L, 105.6 μ mol/L, and 43.68 μ mol/L, respectively, and 69.2 μ mol/L, 14.9 μ mol/L, and 7.9 μ mol/L, respectively (**Figure 2**). High-dose celecoxib enhanced the growth inhibitory effect of gefitinib in both cell lines, but no enhancement of inhibition was observed in the A549 cell line when low-dose celecoxib were used. The q-value indicated the same synergistic therapeutic effect (**Table 3; Figure 2**).

Induction of apoptosis by gefitinib, celecoxib, and gefitinib plus celecoxib

Apoptosis assays were performed on the A549 and HCC827 cells to determine the mechanism of the observed growth inhibition. Administered individually, both gefitinib (40 μ mol/L) and celecoxib (80 μ mol/L) induced apoptosis in both cell lines (**Figure 3**). However, apoptosis was higher in HCC827 cells than in A549 cells following single-agent gefitinib and celecoxib treatment. Compared to their use as single agents, celecoxib and gefitinib combined significantly enhanced apoptosis in the HCC827 and A549 cells.

Modulation of COX-2 and p-EGFR

COX-2 and p-EGFR protein expression was determined in A549 and HCC827 cells treated

with gefitinib (40 μ mol/L), celecoxib (80 μ mol/L), or gefitinib and celecoxib (**Figure 4**). No significant change in COX-2 expression was observed in either cell line following treatment with gefitinib alone, nor was there a significant change in p-EGFR expression in either cell line following treatment with celecoxib alone. COX-2 and p-EGFR protein levels were significantly decreased in both cell lines after the combined drug treatment.

Discussion

The EGFR signal transduction pathway has been a hotspot for research on cancer, lung cancer in particular. In the past few years, there has been rapid development of EGFR-TKIs for treating NSCLC, and reports on their efficacy [36-39]. However, EGFR-TKI efficacy is most common in patients with sensitive EGFR mutations [3-7]. EGFR mutations are associated with better prognosis in patients treated with EGFR-TKIs [40], and occur more frequently in patients with lung adenocarcinoma [41]. The samples used for detecting EGFR mutations are usually tumor tissue; however, insufficient tissue quantities limit detection for most cases of advanced NSCLC. A previous study showed that COX-2 expression was increased in human lung cancer, specifically in adenocarcinoma [42]. In the present study, we investigated the relationship between EGFR mutation status and serum COX-2 level, and found that serum COX-2 levels were independently associated with the presence of EGFR mutations and that the incidence rate of EGFR mutations significantly increased in tandem with serum COX-2 levels. We observed similar results in the NSCLC cell lines. Flow cytometry determined that expression of COX-2 and p-EGFR proteins in the HCC827 cells, which express mutant EGFR, was higher than that in the A549 cells expressing wild-type EGFR. High COX-2 levels appear to be related to EGFR mutation.

Elevated COX-2 levels have been implicated in apoptosis, tumor invasion, angiogenesis, and suppression of anti-tumor immunity [43-47]. COX-2 inhibitors play a role in the growth inhibition and apoptosis of many cancer cell lines, including NSCLC [48-53]. In our study, celecoxib inhibited proliferation of the NSCLC cell lines A549 and HCC827 in a dose-dependent manner. We also verified the pro-apoptotic effect of high-dose celecoxib single-agent treatment on both NSCLC cell lines.

Not all patients harboring sensitive EGFR mutations benefit from EGFR-TKI treatment [8-10]. Both EGFR and COX-2 are overexpressed in NSCLC and are associated with tumorigenesis, and the use of COX-2 inhibitors combined with EGFR-TKI treatment has generated much interest in recent years [54, 55]. In colon, head and neck, and breast cancer, a preliminary synergistic growth inhibitory effect was observed when COX-2 inhibitors were combined with EGFR-TKIs [8-10]. However, in NSCLC, Gadgeel et al. showed that in unselected patients with platinum-refractory NSCLC, the response rate to combined celecoxib and gefitinib was similar to that following treatment with gefitinib alone. The authors reported that one female; nonsmoker patient was free of tumor progression for > 3 years after study enrollment. The drug combination was well tolerated, and the most common adverse effects were skin rash and diarrhea [33-35]. These results suggest that combined gefitinib and celecoxib therapy may be more effective in patients with EGFR mutation. Another recent study reported that the efficacy of combining celecoxib with an EGFR-TKI was significantly greater in NSCLC cells with EGFR mutation than in NSCLC cells with wildtype EGFR; the authors attributed it to more complete inhibition of both pathways [56]. Chen et al. showed that when combined with ZD1839, celecoxib induced stronger inhibition of the related cell signal transduction pathways in NSCLC [57]. However, the authors did not determine EGFR mutation status. We performed this study to investigate the effects of gefitinib and celecoxib co-treatment on A549 and HCC827 cells. At high concentrations, celecoxib strengthened the cell proliferation inhibitory effects of gefitinib in both cell lines, and the q-value indicated that the effect of the two drugs in combination was greater than that for either drug as a single agent, indicating a synergistic growth inhibitory effect. At low drug concentrations, however, synergistic growth inhibitory effects were not observed in the A549 cell line. Subsequent flow cytometry analysis showed that high-dose gefitinib (40 µmol/L) and celecoxib (80 µmol/L) led to a synergistic apoptotic effect in both cell lines.

Cell signal transduction systems involved in tumorigenesis are complex and are targeted by many new therapeutic drugs, including EGFR-TKI and COX-2 inhibitors. In a recent review,

Chen and colleagues suggested that EGFR and COX-2 potentially interact in cell signal transduction [57]. We used western blotting to detect changes in p-EGFR and COX-2 expression in A549 and HCC827 cells following treatment with gefitinib or celecoxib as single agents, or in combination. There have been many studies on the biology of EGFR signal transduction in the past 40 years [58, 59]. We found that using gefitinib to inactivate EGFR in the A549 and HCC827 cells downregulated p-EGFR expression. COX-2 is induced by many stimuli, including oncogenes, tumor promoters, and growth factors [60]. Recent studies have indicated that EGFR activation might lead to COX-2 expression [61-63]. In our study, gefitinib decreased COX-2 expression in the A549 and HCC827 cells, indicating that the EGFR signal transduction pathway may participate in COX-2 stimulation. Conversely, other studies have shown that the PGE2-activated signal transduction pathways are implicated in carcinogenesis [64-68]. In our study, p-EGFR expression was downregulated when A549 and HCC827 cells were treated with high concentrations of celecoxib, indicating that COX-2 inhibitors can inhibit EGFR activation. When the A549 and HCC827 cells were treated with the combined celecoxib and gefitinib, we detected enhanced inhibition of p-EGFR and COX-2 expression, indicating the synergistic effect of the drug combination in NSCLC cells.

In conclusion, our results demonstrate that high serum COX-2 levels may predict EGFR mutations and that combining celecoxib with gefitinib enhances growth inhibition and apoptosis in NSCLC cell lines with mutated EGFR; at high drug concentrations, inhibition and apoptosis in NSCLC cell lines with wild-type EGFR are enhanced. We also show that in NSCLC cell lines, combining gefitinib and celecoxib results in more effective inhibition of both the EGFR and COX-2 pathways compared to the effect of either agent alone. Our results suggest that this combination will be beneficial for treating NSCLC with mutated EGFR; in NSCLC with wildtype EGFR, the benefit is likely to be limited to the use of higher drug concentrations. We plan to conduct animal studies to develop our in vitro findings and to conduct further in vitro studies to better define the mechanisms underlying our observations.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the native English speaking scientists at ELIXIGEN (Huntington Beach, CA) for proofreading the manuscript. This study was supported by the Graduate Student Innovation Project of Xinjiang Uighur Autonomous Region (XJGRI2012067) and the Department of Cell Research of Shihezi University (YL2012-S021).

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Ping Gong, Department of Oncology, The First Affiliated Hospital, Shihezi University School of Medicine, North 4th Road, Shihezi 832002, China. Tel: +86-137-0993-2929; +86-189-9953-6479; E-mail: 18999536479@163. com

References

- [1] Siegel R, Ma J, Zou Z, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2014. CA Cancer J Clin 2014; 64: 9-29.
- [2] Edwards BK, Noone AM, Mariotto AB, Simard EP, Boscoe FP, Henley SJ, Jemal A, Cho H, Anderson RN, Kohler BA, Eheman CR, Ward EM. Annual Report to the Nation on the status of cancer, 1975-2010, featuring prevalence of comorbidity and impact on survival among persons with lung, colorectal, breast, or prostate cancer. Cancer 2014; 120: 1290-1314.
- [3] Fukuoka M, Wu YL, Thongprasert S, Sunpaweravong P, Leong SS, Sriuranpong V, Chao TY, Nakagawa K, Chu DT, Saijo N, Duffield EL, Rukazenkov Y, Speake G, Jiang H, Armour AA, To KF, Yang JC, Mok TS. Biomarker analyses and final overall survival results from a phase III, randomized, open-label, first-line study of gefitinib versus carboplatin/paclitaxel in clinically selected patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer in Asia (IPASS). J Clin Oncol 2011; 29: 2866-2874.
- [4] Inoue A, Kobayashi K, Maemondo M, Sugawara S, Oizumi S, Isobe H, Gemma A, Harada M, Yoshizawa H, Kinoshita I, Fujita Y, Okinaga S, Hirano H, Yoshimori K, Harada T, Saijo Y, Hagiwara K, Morita S, Nukiwa T; North-East Japan Study Group. Updated overall survival results from a randomized phase III trial comparing gefitinib with carboplatin-paclitaxel for chemo-naive non-small cell lung cancer with sensitive EGFR gene mutations (NEJ002). Ann Oncol 2013; 24: 54-59.
- [5] Mitsudomi T, Morita S, Yatabe Y, Negoro S, Okamoto I, Tsurutani J, Seto T, Satouchi M, Tada H, Hirashima T, Asami K, Katakami N,

Takada M, Yoshioka H, Shibata K, Kudoh S, Shimizu E, Saito H, Toyooka S, Nakagawa K, Fukuoka M; West Japan Oncology Group. Gefitinib versus cisplatin plus docetaxel in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer harbouring mutations of the epidermal growth factor receptor (WJTOG3405): an open label, randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2010; 11: 121-128.

- [6] Zhou C, Wu YL, Chen G, Feng J, Liu XQ, Wang C, Zhang S, Wang J, Zhou S, Ren S, Lu S, Zhang L, Hu C, Hu C, Luo Y, Chen L, Ye M, Huang J, Zhi X, Zhang Y, Xiu Q, Ma J, Zhang L, You C. Erlotinib versus chemotherapy as first-line treatment for patients with advanced EGFR mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer (OPTIMAL, CTONG-0802): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 3 study. Lancet Oncol 2011; 12: 735-742.
- [7] Rosell R, Carcereny E, Gervais R, Vergnenegre A, Massuti B, Felip E, Palmero R, Garcia-Gomez R, Pallares C, Sanchez JM, Porta R, Cobo M, Garrido P, Longo F, Moran T, Insa A, De Marinis F, Corre R, Bover I, Illiano A, Dansin E, de Castro J, Milella M, Reguart N, Altavilla G, Jimenez U, Provencio M, Moreno MA, Terrasa J, Muñoz-Langa J, Valdivia J, Isla D, Domine M, Molinier O, Mazieres J, Baize N, Garcia-Campelo R, Robinet G, Rodriguez-Abreu D, Lopez-Vivanco G, Gebbia V, Ferrera-Delgado L, Bombaron P, Bernabe R, Bearz A, Artal A, Cortesi E, Rolfo C, Sanchez-Ronco M, Drozdowskyj A, Queralt C, de Aguirre I, Ramirez JL, Sanchez JJ, Molina MA, Taron M, Paz-Ares L; Spanish Lung Cancer Group in collaboration with Groupe Français de Pneumo-Cancérologie and Associazione Italiana Oncologia Toracica. Erlotinib versus standard chemotherapy as first-line treatment for European patients with advanced EGFR mutation-positive non-smallcell lung cancer (EURTAC): a multicentre, openlabel, randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2012; 13: 239-246.
- [8] Maemondo M, Inoue A, Kobayashi K, Sugawara S, Oizumi S, Isobe H, Gemma A, Harada M, Yoshizawa H, Kinoshita I, Fujita Y, Okinaga S, Hirano H, Yoshimori K, Harada T, Ogura T, Ando M, Miyazawa H, Tanaka T, Saijo Y, Hagiwara K, Morita S, Nukiwa T; North-East Japan Study Group. Gefitinib or chemotherapy for nonsmall-cell lung cancer with mutated EGFR. N Engl J Med 2010; 362: 2380-2388.
- [9] Zhou C, Wu YL, Chen G, Feng J, Liu XQ, Wang C, Zhang S, Wang J, Zhou S, Ren S, Lu S, Zhang L, Hu C, Hu C, Luo Y, Chen L, Ye M, Huang J, Zhi X, Zhang Y, Xiu Q, Ma J, Zhang L, You C. Erlotinib versus chemotherapy as first-line treatment for patients with advanced EGFR mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer (OPTIMAL,

CTONG-0802): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 3 study. Lancet Oncol 2011; 12: 735-742.

- [10] Mok T, JJ Yang, KC Lam. Treating patients with EGFR-sensitizing mutations: first line or second line--is there a difference? J Clin Oncol 2013; 31: 1081-1088.
- [11] Smith WL, DeWitt DL, Garavito RM. Cyclooxygenases: structural, cellular, and molecular biology. Annu Rev Biochem 2000; 69: 145-182.
- [12] Uefuji K, Ichikura T, Mochizuki H. Increased expression of interleukin-1alpha and cyclooxygenase-2 in human gastric cancer: a possible role in tumor progression. Anticancer Res 2005; 25: 3225-3230.
- [13] Barisik NO, Keser SH, Gul AE, Sensu S, Kandemir NO, Kucuk HF, Gumus M, Karadayı N. The value of COX-2 expression in the prognostic parameters of invasive ductal carcinoma of the breast. Med Oncol 2011; 28: 703-708.
- [14] Wang D, Guo XZ, Li HY, Zhao JJ, Shao XD, Wu CY. Prognostic significance of cyclooxygenase-2 protein in pancreatic cancer: a metaanalysis. Tumour Biol 2014; 35: 10301-10307.
- [15] He S, Xiao Z, Zeng G, Chen L. Letter regarding the article of Jiao G. J. et al. entitled "Prognostic significance of cyclooxygenase-2 in osteosarcoma: a meta-analysis". Tumour Biol 2014; 35: 6187-6189.
- [16] Lee JW, Park JH, Suh JH, Nam KH, Choe JY, Jung HY, Chae JY, Moon KC. Cyclooxygenase-2 expression and its prognostic significance in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Korean J Pathol 2012; 46: 237-245.
- [17] Lee TS, Jeon YT, Kim JW, Won JK, Park NH, Park IA, Juhnn YS, Kang SB, Lee HP, Song YS. Increased cyclooxygenase-2 expression associated with inflammatory cellular infiltration in elderly patients with vulvar cancer. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2007; 1095: 143-153.
- [18] Huang M, Chen Q, Xiao J, Liu C, Zhao X. Prognostic significance of cyclooxygenase-2 in cervical cancer: a meta-analysis. Int J Cancer 2013; 132: 363-373.
- [19] Goodwin JS, Ceuppens J. Regulation of the immune response by prostaglandins. J Clin Immunol 1983; 3: 295-315.
- [20] Sheng H, Shao J, Morrow JD, Beauchamp RD, DuBois RN. Modulation of apoptosis and Bcl-2 expression by prostaglandin E2 in human colon cancer cells. Cancer Res 1998; 58: 362-366.
- [21] Tsujii M, Kawano S, Tsuji S, Sawaoka H, Hori M, DuBois RN. Cyclooxygenase regulates angiogenesis induced by colon cancer cells. Cell 1998; 93: 705-716.
- [22] Sheng H, Shao J, Washington MK, DuBois RN. Prostaglandin E2 increases growth and motili-

ty of colorectal carcinoma cells. J Biol Chem 2001; 276: 18075-18081.

- [23] Hida T, Kozaki K, Muramatsu H, Masuda A, Shimizu S, Mitsudomi T, Sugiura T, Ogawa M, Takahashi T. Cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor induces apoptosis and enhances cytotoxicity of various anticancer agents in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines. Clin Cancer Res 2000; 6: 2006-2011.
- [24] Sawaoka H, Kawano S, Tsuji S, Tsujii M, Murata H, Hori M. Effects of NSAIDs on proliferation of gastric cancer cells in vitro: possible implication of cyclooxygenase-2 in cancer development. J Clin Gastroenterol 1998; 27: S47-S52.
- [25] Harris RE, Alshafie GA, Abou-Issa H, Seibert K. Chemoprevention of breast cancer in rats by celecoxib, a cyclooxygenase 2 inhibitor. Cancer Res 2000; 60: 2101-2103.
- [26] Oshima M, Dinchuk JE, Kargman SL, Oshima H, Hancock B, Kwong E, Trzaskos JM, Evans JF, Taketo MM. Suppression of intestinal polyposis in Apc delta716 knockout mice by inhibition of cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2). Cell 1996; 87: 803-809.
- [27] Tomozawa S, Nagawa H, Tsuno N, Hatano K, Osada T, Kitayama J, Sunami E, Nita ME, Ishihara S, Yano H, Tsuruo T, Shibata Y, Muto T. Inhibition of haematogenous metastasis of colon cancer in mice by a selective COX-2 inhibitor, JTE-522. Br J Cancer 1999; 81: 1274-1279.
- [28] Giardiello FM, Hamilton SR, Krush AJ, Piantadosi S, Hylind LM, Celano P, Booker SV, Robinson CR, Offerhaus GJ. Treatment of colonic and rectal adenomas with sulindac in familial adenomatous polyposis. N Engl J Med 1993; 328: 1313-1316.
- [29] Tortora G, Caputo R, Damiano V, Melisi D, Bianco R, Fontanini G, Veneziani BM, De Placido S, Bianco AR, Ciardiello F. Combination of a selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor with epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor ZD1839 and protein kinase A antisense causes cooperative antitumor and antiangiogenic effect. Clin Cancer Res 2003; 9: 1566-1572.
- [30] Chen Z, Zhang X, Li M, Wang Z, Wieand HS, Grandis JR, Shin DM. Simultaneously targeting epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase and cyclooxygenase-2, an efficient approach to inhibition of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Clin Cancer Res 2004; 10: 5930-5939.
- [31] Torrance CJ, Jackson PE, Montgomery E, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Wissner A, Nunes M, Frost P, Discafani CM. Combinatorial chemoprevention of intestinal neoplasia. Nat Med 2000; 6: 1024-1028.

- [32] Shin DM, Zhang H, Saba NF, Chen AY, Nannapaneni S, Amin AR, Müller S, Lewis M, Sica G, Kono S, Brandes JC, Grist WJ, Moreno-Williams R, Beitler JJ, Thomas SM, Chen Z, Shin HJ, Grandis JR, Khuri FR, Chen ZG. Chemoprevention of head and neck cancer by simultaneous blocking of epidermal growth factor receptor and cyclooxygenase-2 signaling pathways: preclinical and clinical studies. Clin Cancer Res 2013; 19: 1244-1256.
- [33] Reckamp KL, Krysan K, Morrow JD, Milne GL, Newman RA, Tucker C, Elashoff RM, Dubinett SM, Figlin RA. A phase I trial to determine the optimal biological dose of celecoxib when combined with erlotinib in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2006; 12: 3381-3388.
- [34] Gadgeel SM, Ruckdeschel JC, Heath EI, Heilbrun LK, Venkatramanamoorthy R, Wozniak A. Phase II study of gefitinib, an epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor (EGFR-TKI), and celecoxib, a cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) inhibitor, in patients with platinum refractory non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). J Thorac Oncol 2007; 2: 299-305.
- [35] Reckamp KL, Krysan K, Morrow JD, Milne GL, Newman RA, Tucker C, Elashoff RM, Dubinett SM, Figlin RA. A phase I trial to determine the optimal biological dose of celecoxib when combined with erlotinib in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2006; 12: 3381-3388.
- [36] Woodburn JR. The epidermal growth factor receptor and its inhibition in cancer therapy. Pharmacol Ther 1999; 82: 241-250.
- [37] Valentini V, De Paoli A, Gambacorta MA, Mantini G, Ratto C, Vecchio FM, Barbaro B, Innocente R, Rossi C, Boz G, Barba MC, Frattegiani A, Lupattelli M, Doglietto GB. Infusional 5-fluorouracil and ZD1839 (Gefitinib-Iressa) in combination with preoperative radiotherapy in patients with locally advanced rectal cancer: a phase I and II Trial (1839-IL/0092). Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2008; 72: 644-649.
- [38] Mackenzie MJ, Hirte HW, Glenwood G, Jean M, Goel R, Major PP, Miller WH Jr, Panasci L, Lorimer IA, Batist G, Matthews S, Douglas L, Seymour L. A phase II trial of ZD1839 (Iressa) 750 mg per day, an oral epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. Invest New Drugs 2005; 23: 165-170.
- [39] Dawson NA, Guo C, Zak R, Dorsey B, Smoot J, Wong J, Hussain A. A phase II trial of gefitinib (Iressa, ZD1839) in stage IV and recurrent renal cell carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2004; 10: 7812-7819.

- [40] Toyooka S, Takano T, Kosaka T, Hotta K, Matsuo K, Ichihara S, Fujiwara Y, Soh J, Otani H, Kiura K, Aoe K, Yatabe Y, Ohe Y, Mitsudomi T, Date H. Epidermal growth factor receptor mutation, but not sex and smoking, is independently associated with favorable prognosis of gefitinib-treated patients with lung adenocarcinoma. Cancer Sci 2008; 99: 303-308.
- [41] Rosell R, Moran T, Queralt C, Porta R, Cardenal F, Camps C, Majem M, Lopez-Vivanco G, Isla D, Provencio M, Insa A, Massuti B, Gonzalez-Larriba JL, Paz-Ares L, Bover I, Garcia-Campelo R, Moreno MA, Catot S, Rolfo C, Reguart N, Palmero R, Sánchez JM, Bastus R, Mayo C, Bertran-Alamillo J, Molina MA, Sanchez JJ, Taron M; Spanish Lung Cancer Group. Screening for epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2009; 361: 958-967.
- [42] Hida T, Yatabe Y, Achiwa H, Muramatsu H, Kozaki K, Nakamura S, Ogawa M, Mitsudomi T, Sugiura T, Takahashi T. Increased expression of cyclooxygenase 2 occurs frequently in human lung cancers, specifically in adenocarcinomas. Cancer Res 1998; 58: 3761-3764.
- [43] Koki AT, Masferrer JL. Celecoxib: a specific COX-2 inhibitor with anticancer properties. Cancer Control 2002; 9: 28-35.
- [44] Cao Y, Pearman AT, Zimmerman GA, McIntyre TM, Prescott SM. Intracellular unesterified arachidonic acid signals apoptosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2000; 97: 11280-11285.
- [45] Dannenberg AJ, Altorki NK, Boyle JO, Dang C, Howe LR, Weksler BB, Subbaramaiah K. Cyclooxygenase-2: a pharmacological target for the prevention of cancer. Lancet Oncol 2001; 2: 544-551.
- [46] Chan TA, Morin PJ, Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW. Mechanisms underlying nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug-mediated apoptosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1998; 95: 681-686.
- [47] Stolina M, Sharma S, Lin Y, Dohadwala M, Gardner B, Luo J, Zhu L, Kronenberg M, Miller PW, Portanova J, Lee JC, Dubinett SM. Specific inhibition of cyclooxygenase 2 restores antitumor reactivity by altering the balance of IL-10 and IL-12 synthesis. J Immunol 2000; 164: 361-370.
- [48] Koki AT, Khan NK, Woerner BM, Seibert K, Harmon JL, Dannenberg AJ, Soslow RA, Masferrer JL. Characterization of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) during tumorigenesis in human epithelial cancers: evidence for potential clinical utility of COX-2 inhibitors in epithelial cancers. Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids 2002; 66: 13-18.
- [49] Leahy KM, Ornberg RL, Wang Y, Zweifel BS, Koki AT, Masferrer JL. Cyclooxygenase-2 inhibition by celecoxib reduces proliferation and in-

duces apoptosis in angiogenic endothelial cells in vivo. Cancer Res 2002; 62: 625-631.

- [50] Chang HC, Weng CF. Cyclooxygenase-2 level and culture conditions influence NS398-induced apoptosis and caspase activation in lung cancer cells. Oncol Rep 2001; 8: 1321-1325.
- [51] Sun SY, Schroeder CP, Yue P, Lotan D, Hong WK, Lotan R. Enhanced growth inhibition and apoptosis induction in NSCLC cell lines by combination of celecoxib and 4HPR at clinically relevant concentrations. Cancer Biol Ther 2005; 4: 407-413.
- [52] Sanchez-Alcazar JA, Bradbury DA, Pang L, Knox AJ. Cyclooxygenase (COX) inhibitors induce apoptosis in non-small cell lung cancer through cyclooxygenase independent pathways. Lung Cancer 2003; 40: 33-44.
- [53] Liu X, Yue P, Zhou Z, Khuri FR, Sun SY. Death receptor regulation and celecoxib-induced apoptosis in human lung cancer cells. J Natl Cancer Inst 2004; 96: 1769-1780.
- [54] Richardson CM, Sharma RA, Cox G, O'Byrne KJ. Epidermal growth factor receptors and cyclooxygenase-2 in the pathogenesis of nonsmall cell lung cancer: potential targets for chemoprevention and systemic therapy. Lung Cancer 2003; 39: 1-13.
- [55] Dannenberg AJ, Lippman SM, Mann JR, Subbaramaiah K, DuBois RN. Cyclooxygenase-2 and epidermal growth factor receptor: pharmacologic targets for chemoprevention. J Clin Oncol 2005; 23: 254-266.
- [56] Gadgeel SM, Ali S, Philip PA, Ahmed F, Wozniak A, Sarkar FH. Response to dual blockade of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and cycloxygenase-2 in nonsmall cell lung cancer may be dependent on the EGFR mutational status of the tumor. Cancer 2007; 110: 2775-2784.
- [57] Chen L, He Y, Huang H, Liao H, Wei W. Selective COX-2 inhibitor celecoxib combined with EGFR-TKI ZD1839 on non-small cell lung cancer cell lines: in vitro toxicity and mechanism study. Med Oncol 2008; 25: 161-171.
- [58] Ullrich A, Schlessinger J. Signal transduction by receptors with tyrosine kinase activity. Cell 1990; 61: 203-212.
- [59] Sako Y, Minoghchi S, Yanagida T. Singlemolecule imaging of EGFR signalling on the surface of living cells. Nat Cell Biol 2000; 2: 168-172.
- [60] Xie W, Herschman HR. Vsrc induces prostaglandin synthase 2 gene expression by activation of the c-Jun N-terminal kinase and the c-Jun transcription factor. J Biol Chem 1995; 270: 27622-27628.
- [61] Coffey RJ, Hawkey CJ, Damstrup L, Graves-Deal R, Daniel VC, Dempsey PJ, Chinery R,

Kirkland SC, DuBois RN, Jetton TL, Morrow JD. Epidermal growth factor receptor activation induces nuclear targeting of cyclooxygenase-2, basolateral release of prostaglandins, and mitogenesis in polarizing colon cancer cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1997; 94: 657-662.

- [62] Yucel-Lindberg T, Ahola H, Carlstedt-Duke J, Modéer T. Involvement of tyrosine kinases on cyclooxygenase expression and prostaglandin E2 production in human gingival fibroblasts stimulated with interleukin-1beta and epidermal growth factor. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1999; 257: 528-532.
- [63] Mestre JR, Subbaramaiah K, Sacks PG, Schantz SP, Tanabe T, Inoue H, Dannenberg AJ. Retinoids suppress epidermal growth factor-induced transcription of cyclooxygenase-2 in human oral squamous carcinoma cells. Cancer Res 1997; 57: 2890-2895.
- [64] Tsujii M, DuBois RN. Alterations in cellular adhesion and apoptosis in epithelial cells overexpressing prostaglandin endoperoxide synthase 2. Cell 1995; 83: 493-501.

- [65] Buchanan FG, Wang D, Bargiacchi F, DuBois RN. Prostaglandin E2 regulates cell migration via the intracellular activation of the epidermal growth factor receptor. J Biol Chem 2003; 278: 35451-35457.
- [66] Shao J, Lee SB, Guo H, Evers BM, Sheng H. Prostaglandin E2 stimulates the growth of colon cancer cells via induction of amphiregulin. Cancer Res 2003; 63: 5218-5223.
- [67] Krysan K, Reckamp KL, Dalwadi H, Sharma S, Rozengurt E, Dohadwala M, Dubinett SM. Prostaglandin E2 activates mitogen-activated protein kinase/Erk pathway signaling and cell proliferation in non-small cell lung cancer cells in an epidermal growth factor receptor-independent manner. Cancer Res 2005; 65: 6275-6281.
- [68] Fujino H, West KA, Regan JW. Phosphorylation of glycogen synthase kinase-3 and stimulation of T-cell factor signaling following activation of EP2 and EP4 prostanoid receptors by prostaglandin E2. J Biol Chem 2002; 277: 2614-2619.