



Published in final edited form as:

Acta Psychol (Amst). 2014 November ; 153: 13–19. doi:10.1016/j.actpsy.2014.06.011.

A single bout of resistance exercise can enhance episodic memory performance

Lisa Weinberg^{a,*}, Anita Hasni^a, Minoru Shinohara^b, and Audrey Duarte^a

^aSchool of Psychology, Center for Advanced Brain Imaging, Georgia Institute of Technology 831 Marietta St NW, Atlanta, Georgia, 30318 USA (404) 385-3699
ahasni@gatech.edu audrey.duarte@psych.gatech.edu

^bSchool of Applied Physiology, Georgia Institute of Technology, 555 14th St NW Atlanta, Georgia, 30332 USA (404) 894-1030 shinohara@gatech.edu

Abstract

Acute aerobic exercise can be beneficial to episodic memory. This benefit may occur because exercise produces a similar physiological response as physical stressors. When administered during consolidation, acute stress, both physical and psychological, consistently enhances episodic memory, particularly memory for emotional materials. Here we investigated whether a single bout of resistance exercise performed during consolidation can produce episodic memory benefits 48 hours later. We used a one-leg knee extension/flexion task for the resistance exercise. To assess the physiological response to the exercise, we measured salivary alpha amylase (a biomarker of central norepinephrine), heart rate, and blood pressure. To test emotional episodic memory, we used a remember-know recognition memory paradigm with equal numbers of positive, negative, and neutral IAPS images as stimuli. The group that performed the exercise, the active group, had higher overall recognition accuracy than the group that did not exercise, the passive group. We found a robust effect of valence across groups, with better performance on emotional items as compared to neutral items and no difference between positive and negative items. This effect changed based on the physiological response to the exercise. Within the active group, participants with a high physiological response to the exercise were impaired for neutral items as compared to participants with a low physiological response to the exercise. Our results demonstrate that a single bout of resistance exercise performed during consolidation can enhance episodic memory and that the effect of valence on memory depends on the physiological response to the exercise.

Keywords

Arousal; Emotion; Episodic Memory; Exercise; Stress

*Corresponding Author lweinberg3@gatech.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1. Introduction

Studies examining the effects of exercise on cognition have focused on two types of exercise: aerobic and resistance exercise. Aerobic exercise refers to activities that involve large muscle groups and cause your body to increase its oxygen use. Examples of aerobic exercise include running, bicycling, and swimming. In contrast to aerobic exercise, resistance exercise does not increase oxygen use. Resistance exercise improves muscle tone, bone strength, balance, and coordination. Examples of resistance exercise include weightlifting, push-ups, and sit-ups. Both aerobic and resistance exercise are important for physical health, and both have been linked to cognitive benefits.

Long-term aerobic exercise interventions are associated with broad cognitive benefits (Dresler, et al., 2013), including episodic memory (Kattenstroth, Kalisch, Holt, Tegenthoff, & Dinse, 2013). In addition, evidence suggests that resistance exercise training can improve episodic memory performance and executive functioning (Liu-Ambrose, Nagamatsu, Voss, Khan, & Handy, 2012; Voss, Nagamatsu, Liu-Ambrose, & Kramer, 2011). A variety of exercise regimens have been used to show these benefits, from traditional exercise to exergaming (Anderson-Hanley, et al., 2012; Best, 2013) to dance (Coubard, Duretz, Lefebvre, Lapalus, & Ferrufino, 2011; Kattenstroth, et al., 2013). Overall, there is widespread agreement that various kinds of long-term exercise can improve cognitive function across the lifespan.

Single bouts of aerobic exercise after learning can also produce episodic memory improvements in young adults. For example, performance on recall tests (Labban & Etnier, 2011; Salas, Minakata, & Kelemen, 2011), face-name matching (Griffin, et al., 2011), and paired associates learning (Nanda, Balde, & Manjunatha, 2013; Schmidt-Kassow, et al., 2013; Winter, et al., 2007) improve following a single bout of moderate aerobic exercise. Interestingly, high intensity exercise may impair subsequent recall (Eich & Metcalfe, 2009). These studies provide evidence that single bouts of moderate intensity aerobic exercise can be beneficial to episodic memory.

One reason why a single bout of exercise can yield cognitive benefits is that exercise is a physical stressor (Mastorakos, Pavlatou, Diamanti-Kandarakis, & Chrousos, 2005) and stressors have been shown to facilitate cognition under certain circumstances, as discussed below. Stressors result in the secretion of neuroendocrine mediators, which can be split into an initial rapid response from the sympathetic nervous system resulting in epinephrine and norepinephrine release and a slower response from the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis resulting in cortisol release (for review, see Wolf, 2008). Increases in cortisol have been observed following both acute aerobic exercise (Fryer, et al., 2014; Fryer, et al., 2012; Labsy, et al., 2013; Usui, et al., 2011; VanBruggen, Hackney, McMurray, & Ondrak, 2011; Wahl, Zinner, Achtzehn, Bloch, & Mester, 2010) and resistance exercise (Cadore, et al., 2012; Hough, Papacosta, Wraith, & Gleeson, 2011; Leite, et al., 2011; Pledge, Grosset, & Onambele-Pearson, 2011; Szivak, et al., 2013; Uchida, et al., 2009).

The beneficial effects of acute stress during consolidation on episodic memory have been demonstrated using both physical and psychological stressors. The cold pressor test (CPT)

requires participants to hold one hand submerged in cold water for several minutes. Exposure to CPT immediately after learning can increase recall (Cahill, Gorski, & Le, 2003; Schwabe, Bohringer, Chatterjee, & Schachinger, 2008; Smeets, Otgaar, Candel, & Wolf, 2008). A similar finding (Payne, et al., 2006; Preuss & Wolf, 2009; Smeets, Giesbrecht, Jelicic, & Merckelbach, 2007) has been observed for the Trier Social Stress Test (TSST), a psychological stressor that requires participants to give a brief speech in the presence of others and perform a mental arithmetic task (Kirschbaum, Pirke, & Hellhammer, 1993). The benefits of these acute stressors emerge when the stress occurs during consolidation, defined as the period immediately following encoding (for review, see Wolf, 2008). Evidence suggests that the emotional valence of the to-be-remembered material changes the effect of stress on memory. There is evidence that stress selectively enhances emotional memory (Payne, et al., 2007; Smeets, et al., 2008) as well as evidence that stress selectively impairs neutral information (Payne, et al., 2006).

The memory benefits resulting from stress induction are believed to be due to the effect of stress hormones (i.e., cortisol and epinephrine) on interactions between the amygdala and hippocampus; thereby enhancing consolidation of emotionally arousing materials (for review, see Roozendaal, McEwen, & Chattarji, 2009). Epinephrine, which is secreted from the adrenal medulla, induces the release of norepinephrine in the basolateral amygdala (BLA) by activating vagal afferents to the nucleus of the solitary tract (McGaugh & Roozendaal, 2002). In support of this idea, salivary measures of alpha amylase (AA), a marker of central norepinephrine, (van Stegeren, Rohleder, Everaerd, & Wolf, 2006) positively correlate with emotional memory performance. Cortisol also has been shown to positively correlate with the amount of emotional information remembered following CPT administration (Smeets, et al., 2008). Exogenous administration of stress hormones also selectively enhances memory for emotional information (Segal & Cahill, 2009). Because a single bout of exercise produces the same kind of physiological responses, including increases in cortisol and norepinephrine, it is reasonable to predict that exercise during consolidation will improve memory for emotional materials.

Although it is possible that acute exercise during consolidation acts as a stressor, which facilitates memory in a similar manner to stressors like the TSST or CPT, it is also possible that episodic memory improvements following exercise may be the result of general arousal processes. Exercise produces physiological arousal via sympathetic nervous system activation. Arousing material tends to be better remembered than non-arousing material, and this effect has been linked to amygdala activity (for review, see Talmi, 2013). The amygdala also plays a role in memory consolidation, particularly for emotional materials (McGaugh, McIntyre, & Power, 2002), and so increasing amygdala activity during consolidation through exercise could promote memory performance.

The present study investigated the effects of acute resistance exercise performed during consolidation on memory for emotional images. Resistance exercise (i.e. strength training) builds muscle mass and improves muscle tone. As a physical stressor, the body responds to resistance exercise in the same way as it responds to other stressors (Cadore, et al., 2009; Kokalas, Tsalis, Tsigilis, & Mougios, 2004; Mastorakos, et al., 2005). Thus, it is plausible

that even a single bout of resistance exercise might enhance episodic memory accuracy via similar mechanisms as other acute stressors.

We predicted that AA, heart rate (HR), and blood pressure (BP) would increase following exercise in the active group and be related to memory accuracy. Furthermore, we predicted an interaction between emotional content and exercise, such that the active group would exhibit better memory for emotional items only. Extensive behavioral evidence indicates that memory for negative information depends on recollection to a greater extent than does memory for neutral and positive information (Comblain, D'Argembeau, Van der Linden, & Aldenhoff, 2004; D'Argembeau & Van der Linden, 2005; Dolcos, LaBar, & Cabeza, 2004; Kensinger & Corkin, 2003). Because of this, we wanted to examine the independent contributions of recollection and familiarity. To this end, we used a remember-know recognition test to assess memory performance (Yonelinas, Kroll, Dobbins, Lazzara, & Knight, 1998). Consequently, our final prediction was that recollection would be highest for negative items, and greater in the active group compared to the passive group.

2. Method

2.1 Participants

Participants were randomly assigned to either the active or passive exercise conditions. There were 23 active participants (mean age = 20.61, 12 female) and 23 passive participants (mean age = 20.21, 17 female)¹. Active participants engaged in a resistance exercise task, and passive participants did not exercise. Participants received either \$10 per hour and \$5 per day for travel costs or course credit as compensation. Participants completed a health questionnaire to ensure that they did not have any medical conditions that could affect either their ability to complete the exercise task or the results of the study. Specifically, participants who had any of the following conditions were excluded from participating in this study: Parkinson's, Alzheimer's and other forms of dementia, multiple sclerosis, heart disease, untreated high/low blood pressure, a history of stroke or seizure, loss of consciousness, brain damage, heart attack, epilepsy, bipolar disorder, untreated depression or anxiety, Asperger's syndrome, Attention Deficit Disorder, previous brain surgery, untreated cataracts, untreated glaucoma, macular degeneration, hearing difficulties, regular illegal drug use, obesity, left-handed individuals, sensory deficits in hands or legs, neurological disease, arthritis, cardiovascular disease, any fractures in the hand or leg within the past two years, or pregnant women. All participants signed consent forms approved by the Georgia Institute of Technology Institutional Review Board.

2.2 Materials

Stimuli for the episodic memory task consisted of 180 photographs from the International Affective Picture System (IAPS) and contained equal numbers of neutral, positive, and negative emotional images (Bradley & Lang, 2007). Appendix A contains a list of all of the IAPS images used for this study. The average valence and arousal ratings from the normed

¹We ran ANCOVAs with gender as a covariate, because of the gender differences in our groups. There were no main effects of gender or interactions with gender [all $F_s < 1$], so we concluded that gender was not a significant factor in our results.

IAPS data are in Table 1. Negative images were more arousing than neutral [$t(59) = 32.3, p < 0.001$] and to a lesser extent positive images [$t(59) = 2.34, p = 0.02$]. Positive images were also more arousing than neutral images [$t(59) = 29.9, p < 0.001$]. The IAPS images consist of indoor and outdoor scenes containing people, objects, and animals. Negative images depict graphic and distressing scenes, for example mutilated bodies. Positive images included pleasant or uplifting scenes, such as children on a water slide. Neutral images do not evoke an emotional response, for example a wall clock.

Emotional state was assessed using the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS) scale (Watson, Clark, & Tellegen, 1988). Participants completed the PANAS questionnaire at the beginning and end of both sessions. The PANAS is a 20-item questionnaire with 10 positive and 10 negative emotions or feelings. Participants are asked to indicate on a scale of 1 (very slightly or not at all) to 5 (extremely) the extent they feel this way at the present moment. BP and HR were recorded throughout both sessions using a vital monitor (Cardiocard/5, General Electric, Fairfield, CT). BP was assessed using systolic (Sys), diastolic (Dias), and mean arterial pressure (MAP). AA was measured 3 times during the first session: 45 min before exercise, halfway through exercise, and immediately after exercise. AA samples were acquired via salivette strips and analyzed using a salivary amylase activity monitor (Cocoro, Nipro Co, Osaka, Japan) (Yamaguchi, et al., 2004). An isokinetic dynamometer (Humac Norm, CSMi Medical Solutions, Stoughton, MA) was used for the exercise portion of the study.

2.3 Procedure

There were 2 lab sessions 48 hours apart. Participants were instructed to refrain from caffeine, nicotine, and physical exercise for the 2 hours prior to each session, and strenuous physical exercise between sessions. Figure 1 shows the procedure for the experiment.

2.3.1 Session 1—The first session lasted between 1.5 and 2 hours. First, participants performed a serial reaction-time (SRT) test. Immediately after the SRT, participants completed a practice encoding block of 12 trials to familiarize themselves with the episodic memory task. The encoding task contained 90 images and was split into 2 blocks of 45 images each to avoid fatigue. There were brief breaks (about 2 minutes) between blocks. There were 15 neutral images, 15 positive images, and 15 negative images in each block. Participants viewed one stimulus at a time in the center of the screen for 3000 milliseconds, and a fixation cross was displayed in the center of the screen for 500 milliseconds between stimuli. For each image, participants indicated whether the image could be found indoors and responded with 1 for yes and 2 for no on the keyboard. The question “Would you find this indoors?” and the response choices (1=yes, 2=no) were displayed with every stimulus. We used this encoding task to ensure that participants would be paying attention to the stimuli and to promote deep encoding of the material. Participants were not informed that they would be tested on these images.

The exercise portion of the study consisted of a knee extension/flexion task and was performed using an isokinetic dynamometer (Humac Norm, CSMi Medical Solutions, Stoughton, MA) immediately after memory encoding. Participants were seated in the

dynamometer chair with their back erect, hips flexed to 85°, and knees flexed to 90°. In the active group, the protocol consisted of 1) warm-up with submaximal voluntary dynamic contractions, 2) maximal voluntary isometric contractions, and 3) maximal voluntary dynamic contractions. First, for the warm-up, participants performed 10 repetitions of submaximal knee extension and flexion in their maximal range of motion, gradually increasing their effort between the first and last repetitions. Second, to facilitate maximal voluntary activation in the next dynamic contractions, participants performed 5 repetitions of maximal voluntary isometric contractions with their leg held in 60° of extension. Each repetition consisted of 5-s maximal voluntary knee extension effort followed by 5-s maximal knee flexion effort. Third, for the maximal voluntary dynamic contractions, participants performed 6 sets of 10 repetitions of knee extension and flexion in their maximal range of motion with maximal effort. A 30-s rest was provided between sets and between isometric repetitions. A 5-min rest was provided between legs. The order of the leg was randomized across participants. In the passive group, participants remained relaxed and allowed the machine and the experimenter to passively move their leg between extension and flexion.

2.3.2 Session 2—The second session, conducted 48 hours after the end of session 1, lasted approximately 1 hour. For the procedural memory task, participants performed the same SRT task as the first session. Immediately after the SRT, participants completed a practice of the retrieval task with 24 trials. The retrieval task included the 90 studied images (30 neutral, 30 positive, and 30 negative) from the session 1 as well as 90 new images (30 neutral, 30 positive, and 30 negative). Retrieval was split into 4 blocks to avoid fatigue, with brief breaks (about 2 minutes) between blocks. Stimuli were displayed one at a time in the center of the screen for 6000 milliseconds and a fixation cross was displayed for 500 milliseconds between stimuli. Participants were instructed to respond “remember” if they were certain that they had seen the object and could recollect specific associations that occurred at study, “familiar” if they were certain about previously studying the object but could not recollect any specific associations, and “new” if they were certain they had never previously studied the object (Yonelinas, et al., 1998).

3. Results

For all statistical tests, the Huynh-Feldt correction was applied where appropriate and is reflected in the p values and the error terms.

3.1 Physiological Data

Raw means across each group for the physiological measures are in Table 2. Physiological data were missing for 3 passive group participants due to technical difficulties and were replaced with the mean of the passive group². Group differences were assessed with difference scores (score after exercise minus score before exercise). Figure 2 displays the average change scores transformed into z-scores for the physiological measures for each

²We conducted an additional analysis of the physiological data that omitted these 3 passive group participants. The results of this analysis do not differ from the results of the analysis that replaced these data with the mean of the passive group. There was a main effect of Time [*Pilai's Trace* = .804, $F(5, 37) = 30.301, p < .001$], a main effect of Group [$F(1, 41) = 4.072, p = .050, \eta^2 = .090$], and a Time \times Group interaction [*Pilai's Trace* = .306, $F(5, 37) = 3.270, p = .015$].

group. The physiological data were submitted to a 2 (Time: before exercise, after exercise) \times 2 (Group: passive, active) MANOVA with AA, HR, and BP³ as dependent variables. There was a main effect of Time [*Pilai's Trace* = .815, $F(5, 40) = 35.215$, $p < .001$], a main effect of Group [$F(1, 44) = 4.697$, $p = .036$, $\eta^2 = .096$], and a Time \times Group interaction [*Pilai's Trace* = .322, $F(5, 40) = 3.800$, $p = .007$]. The Time \times Group interaction indicated that AA, HR, and BP were higher in the active group than in the passive group after the exercise.

To determine if the physiological measures changed significantly after exercise, the change scores for each group were compared to zero. In the active group, the changes in AA [$t(22) = 2.279$, $p = .033$], HR [$t(22) = 1.971$, $p = .061$], and BP [$t(22) = 3.932$, $p = .001$] were greater than zero. In the passive group, the change in HR was less than zero [$t(22) = 3.011$, $p = .006$], and the changes in AA [$t(22) = 1.429$, $p = .167$], and BP [$t(22) < 1$] were not different from zero.

3.2 Episodic Memory Data

Recognition memory was assessed using the P_r discrimination index; i.e. hit rate – false alarm rate (Snodgrass & Corwin, 1988). The P_r indices for each valence category and group can be seen in Figure 3a. The data were submitted to a 2 (Group: passive, active) \times 3 (Valence: neutral, positive, negative) ANOVA. There was a main effect of Group [$F(1, 44) = 5.430$, $p = .024$, $\eta^2 = .110$], indicating that the active group had higher accuracy than the passive group, as can be seen in Figure 3a. There was a main effect of Valence [$F(1.798, 79.132) = 16.348$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2 = .271$], but no Valence \times Group interaction [$F(1.798, 79.132) < 1$]. Follow-up t-tests indicated that participants remembered positive and negative stimuli better than neutral stimuli [$t(45) = 4.970$, $p < .001$] and [$t(45) = 4.377$, $p < .001$] respectively, with no difference between positive and negative stimuli [$t(45) = .429$, $p = .670$].

Estimates of recollection, calculated as remember hit rate – remember false alarm rate (Yonelinas, et al., 1998) are shown in Figure 3b. The data were submitted to a 2 (Group: passive, active) \times 3 (Valence: neutral, positive, negative) ANOVA. There was a main effect of Valence [$F(2, 88) = 15.331$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2 = .258$], which followed the same pattern as the effect for item memory. Participants recollected more positive and negative items than neutral items, [$t(45) = 4.840$, $p < .001$] and [$t(45) = 4.707$, $p < .001$] respectively, with no difference between positive and negative items [$t(45) = 1.118$, $p = .269$]. No other reliable effects were observed [$F's < 1.806$, $p's > .186$].

To assess how the physiological response to exercise impacted recognition performance, data from the active group were submitted to a 2 (Response: high, low) \times 3 (Valence: neutral, positive, negative) ANOVA. Response status was determined by averaging the z-score of each of the physiological measures and then dividing the group by a median split. Participants with scores above the median were classified as high responders, and participants with scores below the median were low responders. There was a main effect of Valence [$F(2, 42) = 10.987$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2 = .343$], no main effect of Response [$F(1, 21) <$

³BP is the mean arterial pressure in these analyses. We also conducted analyses for systolic and diastolic pressure, and the results were not as robust.

1], and a Response X Valence interaction [$F(2,42) = 3.950, p = .027, \eta^2 = .158$]. Importantly, the interaction reflects the fact that only the high responders show an effect of valence (high responders: [$F(2, 20) = 14.520, p < .001, \eta^2 = .592$]; low responders [$F(1, 21) < 1$]), as can be seen in Figure 4. Follow-up ANOVAs were conducted for each valence category, and these results confirmed that low responders had higher recognition accuracy than high responders for neutral items [$F(1, 21) = 4.825, p = .039, \eta^2 = .187$], and high and low responders had similar accuracy for both positive [$F(1, 21) < 1$] and negative items [$F(1, 21) < 1$].

4. Discussion

The results of this study indicate that a single bout of resistance exercise performed during consolidation can enhance episodic memory performance. This effect is consistent with studies of acute aerobic exercise that have also found memory improvements following exercise (Griffin, et al., 2011; Labban & Etnier, 2011; Nanda, et al., 2013; Salas, et al., 2011; Schmidt-Kassow, et al., 2013; Winter, et al., 2007). Furthermore, we found that resistance exercise can produce physiological changes that follow the same pattern as physiological changes produced by acute stress. We found an average increase in AA of 12.22, which is consistent with an increase of 10.6 following the TSST found by Payne and colleagues (2007), but a smaller increase than that found by Smeets and colleagues (2008) of 30.98. Although HR and BP did increase following exercise, these increases were smaller in magnitude to those found previously in response to CPT (Cocoz, Maldonado, & Delorenzi, 2011; Schwabe, et al., 2008; Schwabe & Wolf, 2010a, 2010b) and the TSST (Payne, et al., 2007; Smeets, et al., 2007). While the current study employed a one-leg knee extension/flexion task, it is possible that a more intense resistance exercise task (e.g., two-leg squat exercise with weight) would elicit greater increases in AA, HR, and BP. It would be interesting for future work to directly compare the physiological response to different types of acute stressors, as well as different intensities of acute bouts of exercise.

We predicted that exercise during consolidation would result in greater recognition accuracy particularly for recollection of negative items. While exercise during consolidation facilitated item recognition estimates across valence categories, with a similar albeit less robust pattern observed for recollection, memory was not particularly enhanced by exercise for emotional relative to neutral items. As predicted, both active and passive groups showed robust valence benefits in memory, recognizing positive and negative items better than neutral items, with no differences in accuracy between positive and negative items. There are a few possible explanations for the lack of interaction between valence and exercise and the lack of difference in memory between positive and negative items. First, we included positive and negative pictures that were roughly matched for arousal; with negative images only slightly more arousing than positive ones. The lack of difference between positive and negative memory estimates is therefore consistent with previous evidence suggesting that arousal, not valence, is the key factor determining why emotional items are often better remembered than neutral items (Dolcos et al 2012, Dolcos et al 2013, Dolcos et al 2004a, Kensinger et al 2011, Kensinger & Schacter 2005, Kensinger & Schacter 2008). However, because the negative images were somewhat more arousing than the positive images as can be seen in Table 1, the effects of valence and arousal cannot be disentangled in the present

study. Second, we used complex visual scenes as stimuli. Compared to words, pictures have been shown to engage emotional processing brain areas earlier (Giannotti et al., 2008; Schacht, 2008) and activate emotional responses more easily (Leclerc, 2011). Indeed, the high level of memory for emotional pictures is one reason why we included a 48 hour delay between study and test. It is possible that the high memory estimates across valence masked any more subtle effects of valence (i.e. positive vs. negative).

Importantly, although exercise during consolidation did not disproportionately improve emotional memory across the entire active group, we did find evidence for an interaction between physiological response and emotional memory in the active participants. Specifically, high responders in the active group were impaired for neutral items compared to low responders, while memory for the emotional items was the same for both high and low responders. This result is consistent with a previous finding that the TSST administered during consolidation selectively impaired neutral memory while leaving emotional memory intact (Payne, et al., 2006). These results are consistent with the theory that acute stress during consolidation can be beneficial to memory for emotional, but not neutral information. This may be because memory for neutral information is dependent on the hippocampus, while memory for emotional information relies on the amygdala. Increases in cortisol from stress facilitate activity in the amygdala (McGaugh, 2000), whereas high levels of cortisol disrupts hippocampal function (Kim & Diamond, 2002; Lupien & McEwen, 1997; Payne, Nadel, Britton, & Jacobs, 2004).

The neurological mechanisms underlying the beneficial effects of acute stress during consolidation on episodic memory are unknown, but rodent research points to a prominent role of both the amygdala and the hippocampus. Stress triggers the release of hormones like cortisol and epinephrine which facilitate noradrenergic activity in the basolateral nucleus of the amygdala (BLA) (McGaugh & Roozendaal, 2002). It is believed that this noradrenergic enhancement in the BLA is essential for consolidation. Indirect evidence for a similar mechanism in humans has been shown with administration of adrenergic antagonists, which inhibit central noradrenergic activity and reduce amygdala activity related to emotional memory processes (van Stegeren, et al., 2005; van Stegeren, Wolf, Everaerd, & Rombouts, 2008; van Stegeren, et al., 2007). Further work is needed to better determine whether the same mechanisms underlying stress-induced memory benefits in rodents exist in humans.

Although the results of this study appear to be consistent with studies finding episodic memory improvements following acute stress during consolidation, our effects may be driven by arousal rather than stress. A limitation of this study is that we did not measure the cortisol response to the resistance exercise. Cortisol is an important measure of HPA activity, and an increase in cortisol following exercise would bolster the argument that our resistance exercise task can be considered a physical stressor. We measured salivary AA, which increases following both stress and arousal. Therefore, it is possible that our observed increase in AA in the active group reflects increased arousal rather than stress. However, previous studies have found increases in cortisol following resistance exercise (Cadore, et al., 2012; Hough, et al., 2011; Leite, et al., 2011; Pledge, et al., 2011; Szivak, et al., 2013; Uchida, et al., 2009), so it is plausible that the resistance exercise task we used could also result in increased cortisol levels.

There are many potential therapeutic benefits of resistance exercise, both physical and cognitive, like the episodic memory benefit we have shown here. Unlike moderate long-term aerobic exercise, single bouts of resistance exercise are easy for a wide range of people with variable levels of physical ability to perform. We are not suggesting that single bouts of resistance exercise can replace the obvious health and cognitive benefits incurred from long-term aerobic interventions. Indeed, future research should examine the potential benefits of long-term resistance exercise interventions on the kinds of memory tasks used in the present study in order to determine the duration of these benefits and whether they may even delay cognitive decline in older adults and neurological patients.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by PHS Grant UL1RR025008 from the Clinical and Translational Science Award Program, National Institutes of Health, National Center for Research Resource.

References

- Anderson-Hanley C, Arciero PJ, Brickman AM, Nimon JP, Okuma N, Westen SC, Merz ME, Pence BD, Woods JA, Kramer AF, Zimmerman EA. Exergaming and older adult cognition: a cluster randomized clinical trial. *Am J Prev Med.* 2012; 42:109–119. [PubMed: 22261206]
- Best JR. Exergaming in Youth Effects on Physical and Cognitive Health. *Zeitschrift Fur Psychologie-Journal of Psychology.* 2013; 221:72–78.
- Bradley, MM.; Lang, PJ. The International Affective Picture System (IAPS) in the study of emotion and attention. In: Coan, JA.; Allen, JJB., editors. *Handbook of Emotion Elicitation and Assessment.* Oxford University Press; New York: 2007. p. 29-46.
- Cadore EL, Izquierdo M, dos Santos MG, Martins JB, Rodrigues Lhullier FL, Pinto RS, Silva RF, Krueel LF. Hormonal responses to concurrent strength and endurance training with different exercise orders. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2012; 26:3281–3288. [PubMed: 22222319]
- Cadore EL, Lhullier FLR, Brentano MA, Da Silva EM, Ambrosini MB, Spinelli R, Silva RF, Krueel LFM. Salivary hormonal responses to resistance exercise in trained and untrained middle-aged men. *Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness.* 2009; 49:301–307. [PubMed: 19861937]
- Cahill L, Gorski L, Le K. Enhanced human memory consolidation with post-learning stress: interaction with the degree of arousal at encoding. *Learn Mem.* 2003; 10:270–274. [PubMed: 12888545]
- Cocoz V, Maldonado H, Delorenzi A. The enhancement of reconsolidation with a naturalistic mild stressor improves the expression of a declarative memory in humans. *Neuroscience.* 2011; 185:61–72. [PubMed: 21514368]
- Comblain C, D'Argembeau A, Van der Linden M, Aldenhoff L. The effect of ageing on the recollection of emotional and neutral pictures. *Memory.* 2004; 12:673–684. [PubMed: 15724356]
- Coubard OA, Duretz S, Lefebvre V, Lapalus P, Ferrufino L. Practice of contemporary dance improves cognitive flexibility in aging. *Front Aging Neurosci.* 2011; 3:13. [PubMed: 21960971]
- D'Argembeau A, Van der Linden M. Influence of emotion on memory for temporal information. *Emotion.* 2005; 5:503–507. [PubMed: 16366754]
- Dolcos F, LaBar KS, Cabeza R. Interaction between the amygdala and the medial temporal lobe memory system predicts better memory for emotional events. *Neuron.* 2004; 42:855–863. [PubMed: 15182723]

- Dresler M, Sandberg A, Ohla K, Bublitz C, Trenado C, Mroczko-Wasowicz A, Kuhn S, Repantis D. Non-pharmacological cognitive enhancement. *Neuropharmacology*. 2013; 64:529–543. [PubMed: 22828638]
- Eich TS, Metcalfe J. Effects of the stress of marathon running on implicit and explicit memory. *Psychon Bull Rev*. 2009; 16:475–479. [PubMed: 19451371]
- Fryer S, Dickson T, Hillier S, Stoner L, Scarrott C, Draper N. A Comparison of Capillary, Venous and Salivary Cortisol Sampling Following Intense Exercise. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2014
- Fryer S, Hillier S, Dickson T, Draper N, Stoner L, Winter D, Young J, Cohen L. Capillary cortisol sampling during high-intensity exercise. *Int J Sports Med*. 2012; 33:842–845. [PubMed: 22592547]
- Griffin EW, Mullally S, Foley C, Warmington SA, O'Mara SM, Kelly AM. Aerobic exercise improves hippocampal function and increases BDNF in the serum of young adult males. *Physiol Behav*. 2011; 104:934–941. [PubMed: 21722657]
- Hough JP, Papacosta E, Wraith E, Gleeson M. Plasma and salivary steroid hormone responses of men to high-intensity cycling and resistance exercise. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2011; 25:23–31. [PubMed: 21157386]
- Kattenstroth JC, Kalisch T, Holt S, Tegenthoff M, Dinse HR. Six months of dance intervention enhances postural, sensorimotor, and cognitive performance in elderly without affecting cardio-respiratory functions. *Front Aging Neurosci*. 2013; 5:5. [PubMed: 23447455]
- Kensinger EA, Corkin S. Memory enhancement for emotional words: are emotional words more vividly remembered than neutral words? *Mem Cognit*. 2003; 31:1169–1180.
- Kim JJ, Diamond DM. The stressed hippocampus, synaptic plasticity and lost memories. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2002; 3:453–462. [PubMed: 12042880]
- Kirschbaum C, Pirke KM, Hellhammer DH. The 'Trier Social Stress Test'--a tool for investigating psychobiological stress responses in a laboratory setting. *Neuropsychobiology*. 1993; 28:76–81. [PubMed: 8255414]
- Kokalas N, Tsalis G, Tsigilis N, Mougios V. Hormonal responses to three training protocols in rowing. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2004; 92:128–132. [PubMed: 15024667]
- Labban JD, Etnier JL. Effects of acute exercise on long-term memory. *Res Q Exerc Sport*. 2011; 82:712–721. [PubMed: 22276413]
- Labsy Z, Prieur F, Le Panse B, Do MC, Gagey O, Lasne F, Collomp K. The diurnal patterns of cortisol and dehydroepiandrosterone in relation to intense aerobic exercise in recreationally trained soccer players. *Stress*. 2013; 16:261–265. [PubMed: 22734443]
- Leite RD, Prestes J, Rosa C, De Salles BF, Maior A, Miranda H, Simao R. Acute effect of resistance training volume on hormonal responses in trained men. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*. 2011; 51:322–328. [PubMed: 21681169]
- Liu-Ambrose T, Nagamatsu LS, Voss MW, Khan KM, Handy TC. Resistance training and functional plasticity of the aging brain: a 12-month randomized controlled trial. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2012; 33:1690–1698. [PubMed: 21741129]
- Lupien SJ, McEwen BS. The acute effects of corticosteroids on cognition: integration of animal and human model studies. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev*. 1997; 24:1–27. [PubMed: 9233540]
- Mastorakos G, Pavlatou M, Diamanti-Kandarakis E, Chrousos GP. Exercise and the stress system. *Hormones (Athens)*. 2005; 4:73–89. [PubMed: 16613809]
- McGaugh JL. Memory--a century of consolidation. *Science*. 2000; 287:248–251. [PubMed: 10634773]
- McGaugh JL, McIntyre CK, Power AE. Amygdala modulation of memory consolidation: interaction with other brain systems. *Neurobiol Learn Mem*. 2002; 78:539–552. [PubMed: 12559833]
- McGaugh JL, Roozendaal B. Role of adrenal stress hormones in forming lasting memories in the brain. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 2002; 12:205–210. [PubMed: 12015238]
- Nanda B, Balde J, Manjunatha S. The Acute Effects of a Single Bout of Moderate-intensity Aerobic Exercise on Cognitive Functions in Healthy Adult Males. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2013; 7:1883–1885. [PubMed: 24179888]
- Payne JD, Jackson ED, Hoscheidt S, Ryan L, Jacobs WJ, Nadel L. Stress administered prior to encoding impairs neutral but enhances emotional long-term episodic memories. *Learn Mem*. 2007; 14:861–868. [PubMed: 18086830]

- Payne JD, Jackson ED, Ryan L, Hoscheidt S, Jacobs JW, Nadel L. The impact of stress on neutral and emotional aspects of episodic memory. *Memory*. 2006; 14:1–16. [PubMed: 16423737]
- Payne, JD.; Nadel, L.; Britton, WB.; Jacobs, WJ. The biopsychology of trauma and memory. In: Reisberg, D.; Hertel, P., editors. *Memory and Emotion*. Oxford University Press; London: 2004. p. 76-128.
- Pledge D, Grosset JF, Onambele-Pearson GL. Is there a morning-to-evening difference in the acute IL-6 and cortisol responses to resistance exercise? *Cytokine*. 2011; 55:318–323. [PubMed: 21632260]
- Preuss D, Wolf OT. Post-learning psychosocial stress enhances consolidation of neutral stimuli. *Neurobiol Learn Mem*. 2009; 92:318–326. [PubMed: 19362598]
- Roosendaal B, McEwen BS, Chattarji S. Stress, memory and the amygdala. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2009; 10:423–433. [PubMed: 19469026]
- Salas CR, Minakata K, Kelemen WL. Walking before study enhances free recall but not judgement-of-learning magnitude. *Journal of Cognitive Psychology*. 2011; 23:507–513.
- Schmidt-Kassow M, Deusser M, Thiel C, Otterbein S, Montag C, Reuter M, Banzer W, Kaiser J. Physical exercise during encoding improves vocabulary learning in young female adults: a neuroendocrinological study. *PLoS One*. 2013; 8:e64172. [PubMed: 23700461]
- Schwabe L, Bohringer A, Chatterjee M, Schachinger H. Effects of pre-learning stress on memory for neutral, positive and negative words: Different roles of cortisol and autonomic arousal. *Neurobiol Learn Mem*. 2008; 90:44–53. [PubMed: 18334304]
- Schwabe L, Wolf OT. Learning under stress impairs memory formation. *Neurobiol Learn Mem*. 2010a; 93:183–188. [PubMed: 19796703]
- Schwabe L, Wolf OT. Stress impairs the reconsolidation of autobiographical memories. *Neurobiol Learn Mem*. 2010b; 94:153–157. [PubMed: 20472089]
- Segal SK, Cahill L. Endogenous noradrenergic activation and memory for emotional material in men and women. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2009; 34:1263–1271. [PubMed: 19505766]
- Smeets T, Giesbrecht T, Jelacic M, Merckelbach H. Context-dependent enhancement of declarative memory performance following acute psychosocial stress. *Biol Psychol*. 2007; 76:116–123. [PubMed: 17689852]
- Smeets T, Otgaar H, Candel I, Wolf OT. True or false? Memory is differentially affected by stress-induced cortisol elevations and sympathetic activity at consolidation and retrieval. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2008; 33:1378–1386. [PubMed: 18790572]
- Szivak TK, Hooper DR, Dunn-Lewis C, Comstock BA, Kupchak BR, Apicella JM, Saenz C, Maresh CM, Denegar CR, Kraemer WJ. Adrenal cortical responses to high-intensity, short rest, resistance exercise in men and women. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2013; 27:748–760. [PubMed: 22561973]
- Talmi D. Enhanced Emotional Memory: Cognitive and Neural Mechanisms. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*. 2013; 22:430–436.
- Uchida MC, Crewther BT, Ugrinowitsch C, Bacurau RF, Moriscot AS, Aoki MS. Hormonal responses to different resistance exercise schemes of similar total volume. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2009; 23:2003–2008. [PubMed: 19855324]
- Usui T, Yoshikawa T, Orita K, Ueda SY, Katsura Y, Fujimoto S, Yoshimura M. Changes in salivary antimicrobial peptides, immunoglobulin A and cortisol after prolonged strenuous exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2011; 111:2005–2014. [PubMed: 21249386]
- van Stegeren AH, Goekoop R, Everaerd W, Scheltens P, Barkhof F, Kuijjer JP, Rombouts SA. Noradrenaline mediates amygdala activation in men and women during encoding of emotional material. *Neuroimage*. 2005; 24:898–909. [PubMed: 15652324]
- van Stegeren AH, Rohleder N, Everaerd W, Wolf OT. Salivary alpha amylase as marker for adrenergic activity during stress: effect of betablockade. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2006; 31:137–141. [PubMed: 16046076]
- van Stegeren AH, Wolf OT, Everaerd W, Rombouts SA. Interaction of endogenous cortisol and noradrenaline in the human amygdala. *Prog Brain Res*. 2008; 167:263–268. [PubMed: 18037022]
- van Stegeren AH, Wolf OT, Everaerd W, Scheltens P, Barkhof F, Rombouts SA. Endogenous cortisol level interacts with noradrenergic activation in the human amygdala. *Neurobiol Learn Mem*. 2007; 87:57–66. [PubMed: 16884932]

- VanBruggen MD, Hackney AC, McMurray RG, Ondrak KS. The Relationship Between Serum and Salivary Cortisol Levels in Response to Different Intensities of Exercise. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*. 2011; 6:396–407. [PubMed: 21911864]
- Voss MW, Nagamatsu LS, Liu-Ambrose T, Kramer AF. Exercise, brain, and cognition across the life span. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. 2011; 111:1505–1513. [PubMed: 21527670]
- Wahl P, Zinner C, Achtzehn S, Bloch W, Mester J. Effect of high- and low-intensity exercise and metabolic acidosis on levels of GH, IGF-I, IGFBP-3 and cortisol. *Growth Horm IGF Res*. 2010; 20:380–385. [PubMed: 20801067]
- Watson D, Clark LA, Tellegen A. Development and validation of brief measures of positive and negative affect: the PANAS scales. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 1988; 54:1063–1070. [PubMed: 3397865]
- Winter B, Breitenstein C, Mooren FC, Voelker K, Fobker M, Lechtermann A, Krueger K, Fromme A, Korsukewitz C, Floel A, Knecht S. High impact running improves learning. *Neurobiol Learn Mem*. 2007; 87:597–609. [PubMed: 17185007]
- Wolf OT. The influence of stress hormones on emotional memory: relevance for psychopathology. *Acta Psychol (Amst)*. 2008; 127:513–531. [PubMed: 17900515]
- Yamaguchi M, Kanemori T, Kanemaru M, Takai N, Mizuno Y, Yoshida H. Performance evaluation of salivary amylase activity monitor. *Biosens Bioelectron*. 2004; 20:491–497. [PubMed: 15494230]
- Yonelinas AP, Kroll NE, Dobbins I, Lazzara M, Knight RT. Recollection and familiarity deficits in amnesia: convergence of remember-know, process dissociation, and receiver operating characteristic data. *Neuropsychology*. 1998; 12:323–339. [PubMed: 9673991]

Highlights

- We investigate the effects of resistance exercise on emotional episodic memory.
- We measure physiological state with heart rate, blood pressure, and alpha amylase.
- We use a knee extension/flexion task for resistance exercise.
- We find that resistance exercise during consolidation can benefit memory.
- We find effects of valence based on the physiological response to the exercise.

STUDY PROCEDURES




Figure 1. Procedure

Flow chart of the procedure for both sessions

Note: HR = Heart Rate, BP = Blood Pressure, AA = Alpha Amylase




Figure 2. Physiological Data

Shows the change in the physiological measures after exercise converted into z-scores

Note: Data were converted to z-scores to show the change scores (after – before exercise) on a single scale. AA = alpha amylase, HR = heart rate, BP = blood pressure.




Figure 3. Explicit Memory Data

a) Item Memory: Shows the Pr for each group for each valence category

b) Recall Estimates: Shows the remember Pr for each group for each category




Figure 4. Item memory accuracy for high and low responders in the active group
Shows the Pr for the high and low responders within the active group for each valence category

Table 1

Normed Valence and Arousal Ratings for IAPS Images

	Valence	Arousal
Neutral	5.25 (0.73)	2.71 (0.31)
Positive	7.05 (0.67)	4.92 (0.57)
Negative	3.17 (0.95)	5.16 (0.56)

Note: Standard deviations in parentheses

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2

Physiological data

		Before exercise	During exercise	After exercise	
Active	AA	39.02 (32.43)	50.37 (43.51)	51.24 (44.15)	
	HR	76.04 (11.30)	79.78 (8.73)	80.13 (9.92)	
	BP	Systolic	118.83 (12.88)	119.09 (15.28)	120.35 (14.96)
		Diastolic	70.43 (7.92)	72.87 (8.26)	75.74 (10.489)
		Mean	87.00 (8.98)	88.52 (9.21)	92.39 (10.48)
	Passive	AA	36.53 (25.69)	32.33 (25.16)	29.19 (15.38)
HR		77.89 (8.28)	76.65 (8.51)	75.33 (8.38)	
BP		Systolic	115.44 (15.40)	111.78 (15.07)	113.17 (13.25)
		Diastolic	69.50 (8.29)	70.11 (8.41)	69.56 (8.30)
		Mean	81.56 (10.32)	80.83 (8.53)	82.67 (9.99)

Note: Standard deviations in parentheses. AA = Alpha Amylase, HR = Heart Rate, BP = Blood Pressure.

Table 3

Physiological Data for High and Low Responders

		Before Exercise	During Exercise	After Exercise	
High Responders	AA	45.45 (31.55)	49.82 (48.50)	61.69 (54.32)	
	HR	75.36 (12.38)	80.36 (9.58)	84.00 (10.88)	
	BP	Systolic	117.64 (14.52)	121.55 (19.30)	125.91 (17.08)
		Diastolic	71.45 (8.44)	74.18 (7.86)	80.55 (7.20)
	Mean	86.82 (10.45)	89.45 (10.88)	97.45 (10.39)	
Low Responders	AA	33.13 (33.45)	50.88 (40.58)	41.75 (31.78)	
	HR	76.67 (10.74)	79.25 (8.27)	76.58 (7.79)	
	BP	Systolic	119.92 (11.71)	116.83 (10.80)	115.25 (11.09)
		Diastolic	69.50 (7.67)	71.67 (8.78)	71.33 (11.34)
	Mean	87.17 (7.87)	87.67 (7.77)	87.75 (8.52)	

Note: Standard deviations in parentheses. AA = Alpha Amylase, HR = Heart Rate, BP = Blood Pressure.