

# Etomidate: to use or not to use for endotracheal intubation in the critically ill?

Nathan J. Smischney<sup>1,2</sup>, Rahul Kashyap<sup>1,2</sup>, Ognjen Gajic<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, <sup>2</sup>Multidisciplinary Epidemiology and Translational Research in Intensive Care (METRIC), <sup>3</sup>Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA

Correspondence to: Nathan J. Smischney, MD. Department of Anesthesiology, Mayo Clinic, 200 First St SW, Rochester, MN 55905, USA. Email: smischney.nathan@mayo.edu.

**Abstract:** Endotracheal intubation is frequently performed in the intensive care unit (ICU). It can be life-saving for many patients who present with acute respiratory distress. However, it is equally associated with complications that may lead to unwanted effects in this patient population. According to the literature, the rate of complications associated with endotracheal intubation is much higher in an environment such as the ICU as compared to other, more controlled environments (i.e., operating room). Thus, the conduct of performing such a procedure needs to be accomplished with the utmost care. To facilitate establishment of the breathing tube, sedation is routinely administered. Given the tenuous hemodynamic status of the critically ill, etomidate was frequently chosen to blunt further decreases in blood pressure and/or heart rate. Recently however, reports have demonstrated a possible association with the use of etomidate for endotracheal intubation and mortality in the critically ill. In addition, this association seems to be predominantly in patients diagnosed with sepsis. As a result, some have advocated against the use of this medication in septic patients. Due to the negative associations identified with etomidate and mortality, several investigators have evaluated potential alternatives to this solution (e.g., ketamine and ketamine-propofol admixture). These studies have shown promise. However, despite the evidence against using etomidate for endotracheal intubation, other studies have demonstrated no such association. This leaves the critical care clinician with uncertainty regarding the best sedative to administer in this patient population. The following editorial discusses current evidence regarding etomidate use for endotracheal intubation and mortality. In particular, we highlight a recent article with the largest population to date that found no association between etomidate and mortality in the critically ill and illustrate important findings that the reader should be aware of regarding this article.

**Keywords:** Endotracheal intubation; etomidate; intensive care unit (ICU); mortality; sepsis

Submitted Aug 27, 2015. Accepted for publication Sep 05, 2015.

doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2015.09.08

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2015.09.08>

Endotracheal intubation in the intensive care unit (ICU) is a common procedure with an estimated incidence of 69% according to one report (1). Routinely, sedation is provided during this procedure to facilitate placement of the endotracheal tube. However, the best way to achieve this task has been questioned in recent years, especially in patients with tenuous hemodynamics (e.g., patients with sepsis and other types of shock). To make matters worse, the rate of hemodynamic perturbations associated with

endotracheal intubation in the ICU is much higher than if the procedure is carried out in the operating room (2).

Etomidate is reported to maintain hemodynamics better than other induction agents and is frequently administered for endotracheal intubation in the ICU (3). Etomidate is a sedative that produces rapid onset of anesthesia with little effects on both heart rate and blood pressure. It is a derivative of the imidazole group, thus possessing properties similar to alpha 2B agonists which

cause peripheral vasoconstriction contributing to the stable hemodynamic profile of this drug (4). Because of its reported hemodynamic stability, it is frequently used in patients with hemodynamic instability in the ICU.

Recently, the use of etomidate for endotracheal intubation in septic patients has been questioned due to possible associations with increased mortality (5-7). These studies have demonstrated a relative increase in both mortality and adrenal insufficiency. The increase in adrenal insufficiency is particularly important within the subgroup of septic patients in the ICU as these patients frequently have a component of relative adrenal insufficiency that can potentially alter hemodynamics and lead to instability, especially if sedation is administered for a procedure such as endotracheal intubation. However, the link between a relative increase in mortality and etomidate is not fully elucidated currently. Continued compromise of the adrenal axis from etomidate administration could be one possible mechanism for the observed mortality increase in some studies. Interestingly, the association between etomidate and mortality is not restricted to the septic population in the ICU. A recent investigation conducted on surgical patients demonstrated that patients who receive etomidate versus an alternative agent intra-operatively have a higher morbidity and mortality (8). Due to the evidence presented above, there are some that question the use of etomidate in the critically ill (9). However, this is not endorsed by all providers as several publications have found no effect on mortality after a single bolus dose of etomidate (10-12).

In this issue of CHEST, Gu *et al.* reported on a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and observational studies which revealed no association between etomidate and mortality, although an association with adrenal insufficiency was demonstrated (13). This study was the largest to date with a sample size of approximately 5,500 patients. Of the included studies, 2 were from randomized controlled trials with 18 coming from observational studies. Both randomized controlled trials found no significant associations with etomidate and mortality. However, these trials, although less likely to introduce bias, enrolled a small number of patients. The observational studies on the other hand were larger with conflicting results among the individual studies. However, these studies are prone to greater biases than their counterparts. One should note that although the present meta-analysis did not find significant associations with mortality, there was a trend toward increased mortality with etomidate use [RR 1.20 (0.84-1.72) for the randomized

controlled trials and RR 1.05 (0.97-1.13) for the observational studies]. Evaluating the two different study designs, one can conclude that the mortality increase is likely less than 20% and possibly closer to 5% as indicated by the confidence intervals. Using 5% as the absolute risk increase, the number needed to harm, if using etomidate in the critically ill, corresponds to a number needed to harm of approximately 20. This may be an unacceptable risk to critical care providers who encounter this population frequently. Solutions to this potential problem have recently been evaluated. For example, Jabre *et al.* demonstrated that ketamine administered in the pre-hospital setting is a safe and valuable alternative to etomidate (14). In addition, a study evaluating ketamine-propofol admixture ("ketofol") versus etomidate among the critically ill is currently under investigation (15).

Although we have not found conclusive evidence that etomidate increases mortality in critically ill patients, it does appear to increase the risk of adrenal insufficiency thereby possibly contributing to multi-organ system dysfunction according to a recent study (16). The clinical implications of this have yet to be determined. Regardless, when faced with a patient in acute respiratory distress who has a precarious hemodynamic status, establishment of the breathing tube can be accomplished by alternative means [e.g., ketamine and possibly ketamine-propofol admixture ("ketofol")] (14,17,18). Until more is known about the potential risks of etomidate, the clinician should be aware of these alternatives in an effort to maximize benefit for critically ill patients.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Division of Critical Care Medicine with no direct financial support.

### Footnote

*Provenance:* This is a Guest Editorial commissioned by the Section Editor Wan-Jie Gu (Department of Anesthesiology, Affiliated Drum Tower Hospital, Medical College of Nanjing University, China).

*Conflicts of Interest:* The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

### References

1. Simpson GD, Ross MJ, McKeown DW, et al. Tracheal

- intubation in the critically ill: a multi-centre national study of practice and complications. *Br J Anaesth* 2012;108:792-9.
2. Griesdale DE, Bosma TL, Kurth T, et al. Complications of endotracheal intubation in the critically ill. *Intensive Care Med* 2008;34:1835-42.
  3. Kaushal RP, Vatal A, Pathak R. Effect of etomidate and propofol induction on hemodynamic and endocrine response in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting/mitral valve and aortic valve replacement surgery on cardiopulmonary bypass. *Ann Card Anaesth* 2015;18:172-8.
  4. De Jong A, Jaber S. Etomidate for anesthesia induction: friends or foe in major cardiac surgery? *Crit Care* 2014;18:560.
  5. Sunshine JE, Deem S, Weiss NS, et al. Etomidate, adrenal function, and mortality in critically ill patients. *Respir Care* 2013;58:639-46.
  6. Chan CM, Mitchell AL, Shorr AF. Etomidate is associated with mortality and adrenal insufficiency in sepsis: a meta-analysis\*. *Crit Care Med* 2012;40:2945-53.
  7. Albert SG, Ariyan S, Rather A. The effect of etomidate on adrenal function in critical illness: a systematic review. *Intensive Care Med* 2011;37:901-10.
  8. Komatsu R, You J, Mascha EJ, et al. Anesthetic induction with etomidate, rather than propofol, is associated with increased 30-day mortality and cardiovascular morbidity after noncardiac surgery. *Anesth Analg* 2013;117:1329-37.
  9. Bloomfield R, Noble DW. Etomidate and fatal outcome—even a single bolus dose may be detrimental for some patients. *Br J Anaesth* 2006;97:116-7.
  10. Tekwani KL, Watts HF, Rzechula KH, et al. A prospective observational study of the effect of etomidate on septic patient mortality and length of stay. *Acad Emerg Med* 2009;16:11-4.
  11. Tekwani KL, Watts HF, Sweis RT, et al. A comparison of the effects of etomidate and midazolam on hospital length of stay in patients with suspected sepsis: a prospective, randomized study. *Ann Emerg Med* 2010;56:481-9.
  12. McPhee LC, Badawi O, Fraser GL, et al. Single-dose etomidate is not associated with increased mortality in ICU patients with sepsis: analysis of a large electronic ICU database. *Crit Care Med* 2013;41:774-83.
  13. Gu WJ, Wang F, Tang L, et al. Single-dose etomidate does not increase mortality in patients with sepsis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and observational studies. *Chest* 2015;147:335-46.
  14. Jabre P, Combes X, Lapostolle F, et al. Etomidate versus ketamine for rapid sequence intubation in acutely ill patients: a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2009;374:293-300.
  15. Smischney NJ, Hoskote SS, Gallo de Moraes A, et al. Ketamine/propofol admixture (ketofol) at induction in the critically ill against etomidate (KEEP PACE trial): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials* 2015;16:177.
  16. Bruder EA, Ball IM, Ridi S, et al. Single induction dose of etomidate versus other induction agents for endotracheal intubation in critically ill patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015;1:CD010225.
  17. Smischney NJ, Beach ML, Loftus RW, et al. Ketamine/propofol admixture (ketofol) is associated with improved hemodynamics as an induction agent: a randomized, controlled trial. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2012;73:94-101.
  18. Gallo de Moraes A, Racedo Africano CJ, Hoskote SS, et al. Ketamine and propofol combination ("ketofol") for endotracheal intubations in critically ill patients: a case series. *Am J Case Rep* 2015;16:81-6.

**Cite this article as:** Smischney NJ, Kashyap R, Gajic O. Etomidate: to use or not to use for endotracheal intubation in the critically ill? *J Thorac Dis* 2015;7(9):E347-E349. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2015.09.08