# HOXA4 Provides Stronger Engraftment Potential to Short-Term Repopulating Cells Than HOXB4

Marilaine Fournier,<sup>1,2</sup> Charles-Étienne Lebert-Ghali,<sup>1,2</sup> and Janetta J. Bijl<sup>1,3</sup>

Genes of the *HOX4* paralog group have been shown to expand hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs). Endogenous expression of *HOXA4* is 10-fold higher than *HOXB4* in embryonic primitive hematopoietic cells undergoing selfrenewal suggesting a more potent capacity of *HOXA4* to expand HSC. In this study, we provide evidence by direct competitive bone marrow cultures that *HOXA4* and *HOXB4* induce self-renewal of primitive hematopoietic cells with identical kinetics. Transplantation assays show that short-term repopulation by *HOXA4*-overexpressing multilineage progenitors was significantly greater than *HOXB4-*overexpressing progenitors in vivo, indicating differences in the sensitivity of the cells to external signals. Small array gene expression analysis showed an increase in multiple Notch and Wnt signaling -associated genes, including receptors and ligands, as well as pluripotency genes, for both *HOXA4-* and *HOXB4-*overexpressing cells, which was more pronounced for *HOXA4*, suggesting that both HOX proteins may assert their affects through intrinsic and extrinsic pathways to induce selfrenewal of primitive hematopoietic cells. Thus, *HOXA4* increases short-term repopulation to higher levels than *HOXB4*, which may involve Notch signaling.

## Introduction

HOMEOBOX (HOX) TRANSCRIPTION FACTORS are key reg-<br>ulators of hematopoiesis (reviewed in Alharbi et al. [1]). *HOXB4* was the first *HOX* gene shown to play a role in the expansion of human and mouse hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) by promoting self-renewal divisions [2,3]. Importantly, *HOXB4-*overexpressing HSC retained their full differentiation potential, but remained susceptible to external signals, as their numbers did not increase beyond those normally found in mice. The finding that exposure to HOXB4 recombinant protein also enhanced HSC numbers, made *HOXB4* an attractive candidate for clinical ex vivo expansion of HSC [4,5].

Our group recently demonstrated that overexpression of *HOXA4*, which is highly homologous to *HOXB4*, also led to a net expansion of functional mouse HSC in vitro [6]. This was accompanied with expansion of total bone marrow (BM) cultures by *HOXA4* that were up to 100-fold more important than control after 3 weeks of culture [6], and were comparable to earlier reported expansions with *HOXB4*-overexpressing progenitor cells [7]. The capacity to expand primitive hematopoietic cells appeared to be attributed to all paralog four members as evidenced in an embryonic stem cell overexpression model [8]. Moreover, coculture of human CD34<sup>+</sup> cells on stromal cells producing either HOXB4 or HOXC4 protein was shown to augment primitive cell numbers with similar magnitude [9]. These observations suggest that functional redundancy within the *HOX* network not only occurs in developmental programs [10,11], but also in hematopoiesis.

BM transplantation is dependent on the presence of longterm (LT) HSC, which can sustain the production of diverse blood cell types for extended periods (>20 weeks) in myeloablated mice [12]. The repopulation capacity of immediate descendants of LT-HSC, such as short-term HSC and early committed progenitors is limited in time (<20 weeks) and becomes more restricted with differentiation due to decreasing self-renewal potential.

The expression of *HOX* genes, predominantly the *A* and *B* clusters, also decreases with maturation [13–16], suggesting a role for *HOX* genes in the self-renewal potential of hematopoietic cells. Interestingly, quantitative reverse transcription– polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis showed 10 fold higher expression of *HOXA4* than *HOXB4* in E14 fetal liver populations enriched for HSC [13]. The fact that fetal liver HSC are undergoing intensive self-renewal at that time of development to establish the HSC reservoir suggests that *HOXA4* may also be an important determinant of HSC selfrenewal under physiological conditions. These observations indicate that *HOXA4* may be a more potent candidate for ex vivo expansion of HSC than *HOXB4* in a clinical context.

In this study, using retroviral overexpression, we have directly compared the effect of *HOXA4* and *HOXB4* on the capacity of primitive hematopoietic cells to expand in vitro and to engraft in vivo.

<sup>1</sup>Centre de Recherche de l'Hôpital Maisonneuve-Rosemont, Montréal, Québec, Canada.

Départments de <sup>2</sup>Microbiologie et Immunologie et <sup>3</sup>Médecine, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada.

## Materials and Methods

## Retroviral construction and transduction

B6SJL mice were intravenously injected with 150 mg/kg of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU; Mayne Pharma (Canada), Inc.) to recruit HSC into cell cycle, as previously reported [6]. After 4 days, BM cells were isolated from these mice and cocultured for 2 days on confluent layers of the GP+E-86 packaging cell line stably producing MSCV-*HOXA4*-GFP, MSCV-*HOXB4*- GFP, MSCV-*HOXA4*-YFP, or MSCV-GFP retrovirus [17] in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Wisent, Inc.) supplemented with 15% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; PAA Laboratories, Inc.), 6 ng/mL interleukin (IL)-3, 10 ng/mL IL-6, 100 ng/mL stem cell factor (SCF),  $10^{-5}$  M 2mercaptoethanol (Mallinckrodt Baker, Inc.), 50 ng/mL gentamicin (Wisent, Inc.), 10 ng/mL ciprofloxacin (Wisent, Inc.), and 6 ng/mL polybrene (TekniScience, Inc.).

#### Animals and transplantation assays

For transplantation assays, congenic C57BL/6 (CD45.2) and B6SJL (CD45.1) mice (The Jackson Laboratories) were used. Mice were bred and maintained in a specific pathogenfree animal facility of the HMR Research Center. Transduced (*HOXA4*, *HOXB4*, *HOXA4/B4*, or control GFP) BM cells from B6SJL mice treated or not with 5-FU were intravenously injected into sublethally irradiated (800 cGy) C57Bl/6 mice together with  $2 \times 10^5$  fresh BM cells. Peripheral blood (PB) repopulation was monitored every 2 weeks by flow cytometry for the presence of fluorescent cells. After 20 weeks posttransplantation, mice were sacrificed. All mouse experiment protocols were approved by the Animal Care Committee of the HMR Research Center.

## In vitro cultures

Transduced BM cells were sorted on a fluorescenceactivated cell sorting (FACS) Aria III with DiVa software (BD Bioscience) and seeded at different doses in duplicate in BM expansion medium (DMEM, 15% FBS, 6 ng/mL IL-3, 10 ng/mL IL-6, 100 ng/mL SCF,  $10^{-5}$  M 2-mercaptoethanol, 50 ng/mL gentamicin, and 10 ng/mL ciprofloxacin). Doubling times were calculated using the Doubling Time website [18].

#### Flow cytometry analysis

BM cells from in vitro cultures were stained once a week for primitive hematopoietic population markers using the following conjugated antibodies: B220-biotin (bio), Gr1 bio, CD11b (MAC1)-bio, CD3-bio, TER119-bio, CD48-bio, c-Kit-APC, and Sca1-PE/Cy7. PB repopulation of the chimeras was monitored every 2 weeks by flow cytometry for the presence of  $GFP^+$  and/or  $YFP^+$  cells, and their contribution to the myeloid, B cell and T cell lineages (LINs), was determined using the following conjugated antibodies: B220-APC, CD11b (MAC1)-A700, and CD3-bio. More than 20 weeks posttransplantation, mice were sacrificed and analyzed by flow cytometry for the contribution of  $GFP<sup>+</sup>$ and/or  $YFP<sup>+</sup>$  cells to the myeloid, lymphoid, and erythroid LINs using the following conjugated antibodies: CD11b (MAC1)-Pacific Blue, Gr1-bio, B220-APC/Cy7, TER119- APC, CD4-APC/Cy7, and CD8a-APC. Biotinylated antibodies were stained with PerCP/Cy5.5-conjugated streptavidin. (All conjugated antibodies and streptavidin were purchased at BioLegend or BD Pharmingen.)

#### Clonogenic progenitor assays

Clonogenic progenitor assays for myeloid progenitors were performed by plating cells from *HOXA4*, *HOXB4*, or both (*HOXA4/B4*)-overexpressing BM cultures or from long-term repopulated *HOXA4-*, *HOXB4-*, *HOXA4*/*B4-*, or GFP-overexpressing BM chimeras in DMEM containing 1% Methocel MC (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% FBS, 5.7% bovine serum albumin (TekniScience, Inc.),  $10^{-5}$ M 2-mercaptoethanol, 5 U/mL erythropoietin, 10 ng/mL IL-3, 10 ng/mL IL-6, 50 ng/mL SCF, 2 mM glutamine (Life Technologies), and 200 mg/mL transferrin (Wisent). Colonies were scored as previously described [19].

## Western blot analysis

Cells were lysed in cell lysis buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 5 mM EDTA (Mallinckrodt Baker, Inc.) with protease inhibitor cocktail (BD Bioscience). Proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Membranes were incubated with a monoclonal anti-HOXB4 antibody (1:1,000 dilution; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank at the University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA) followed by a secondary polyclonal anti-rat antibody coupled with horseradish peroxidase (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, Inc.) or a monoclonal anti-Flag antibody (1:1,000 dilution; Sigma-Aldrich) followed by a secondary polyclonal anti-rabbit antibody coupled with horseradish peroxidase ( Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories, Inc.). For actin detection, membranes were incubated with a monoclonal anti-actin antibody (1:1,000 dillution; Millipore) followed by a secondary polyclonal anti-mouse antibody coupled with horseradish peroxidase ( Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories, Inc.).

#### HSC purification and transduction

For HSC purification, BM cells from wild-type (C57BL/ 6 and/or B6SJL) mice were harvested and LIN-negative cells were purified using the Mouse Hematopoietic Progenitor Cell Enrichment Kit (STEMCELL Technologies).  $LIN^-$  cells were stained using conjugated antibody c-Kit-APC, Sca1-PerCPCy5.5, and CD150-PECy7 (BioLegend) and c-Kit<sup>+</sup>Sca1<sup>+</sup>CD150<sup>+</sup> HSC were sorted on a FACS Aria III with DiVa software (BD Biosciences). After overnight culture in prestimulation media (DMEM, 15% FBS, 6 ng/mL IL-3, 40 ng/mL IL-6, 100 ng/mL SCF,  $10^{-5}$  M 2-mercaptoethanol, 50 ng/mL gentamicin, and 10 ng/mL ciprofloxacin), HSC were subjected to two rounds of retroviral infection by spinoculation at 2,250 rpm for 90 min using MSCV-*HOXA4*- GFP, MSCV-*HOXB4*-GFP, or MSCV-GFP retrovirus. Two days after the last round of infection, GFP<sup>+</sup> cells were sorted on a FACS AriaIII.

## RNA isolation and amplification

Total RNA was isolated from  $HOXA4^+$ ,  $HOXB4^+$ , or control-transduced HSC using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies), treated with DNase-I (Life Technologies),

and purified using the RNeasy MinElute Kit (Qiagen). RNA was amplified using the MessageAmp II aRNA Amplification Kit (Life Technologies). cDNA was prepared from  $500$  ng of amplified RNA or  $1 \mu$ g of total RNA using MMLV-RT (Life Technologies) and random primers (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's protocols.

# Quantitative reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction

Primers for human and mouse *HOX* genes were used according to previously validated sequences [20,21]. Primers for candidate target genes were selected using the Primer Bank [22]. qRT-PCR was performed on an ABI 7500 Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems) using SYBR Green (Applied Biosystems). Triplicates were accepted in a 0.5 CT range. Relative quantification was achieved using the  $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method.

## **Results**

# HOXA4 and HOXB4 BM cells have equally strong proliferation potential

To compare the effect of *HOXA4* or *HOXB4* overexpression on the growth of primitive hematopoietic cells, cultures were initiated with primary BM cells overexpressing *HOXA4-*IRES-YFP, *HOXB4*-IRES-GFP, or both (Fig. 1A). qRT-PCR analysis confirmed the overexpression of *HOXA4* and/or *HOXB4*, which were up to 1,000-fold higher than the endogenous levels (Fig. 1B). All BM cultures underwent massive proliferation resulting in average expansions of  $5 \times 10^6$ -fold over the initial numbers after 4 weeks for all three conditions (Fig. 1C), which is 100-fold over the expansion of control cultures as reported before [6]. Cooverexpression of *HOXA4* and *HOXB4* did not further enhance proliferation.



FIG. 1. In vitro BM cultures. (A) Overview of the in vitro experimental strategy, including a representative flow cytometry profile showing cells expressing *HOXA4*-YFP, *HOXB4*-GFP, or both. (B) qRT-PCR analysis for endogenous and overexpressed *HOXA4* and *HOXB4* genes in total BM cells transduced with *HOXA4*-YFP, *HOXB4*-GFP, or both vectors. (C) Representative growth curves of individual cultures of total BM cells transduced with *HOXA4*-YFP, *HOXB4*-GFP, or both (*n* = 3). Number of (D) total myeloid progenitors and (E) primitive GEMM progenitors in individual BM cultures transduced with *HOXA4*-YFP, *HOXB4*-GFP, or both vectors  $(n=2)$ . (F) Representative growth curve of *HOXA4*-YFP and *HOXB4*-GFP BM cells in cocultures (*n* = 3). Number of (G) total myeloid progenitors and (H) primitive GEMM progenitors in *HOXA4*-YFP and *HOXB4*-GFP in coculture  $(n=2)$ . \* $P \le 0.05$  two-tailed Student's *t*-test. BM, bone marrow; CFC, colony-forming cell; GEMM, granulocytic– erythroid–megakaryocyte–monocyte; 5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction.

The numbers of myeloid progenitor cells, assessed by colony-forming cell (CFC) assays, were also comparable with few significant transitory differences (Fig. 1D, E), resulting in a net expansion up to  $15 \times 10^6$ -fold. Importantly, the number of granulocytic–erythroid–megakaryocytic–monocytic (GEMM)-CFC was equally increased by *HOXA4* and *HOXB4* (Fig. 1E), indicating self-renewal of primitive hematopoietic cells in vitro. Consistently, flow cytometry analysis showed an increase of LIN<sup>-</sup>CD48<sup>-c</sup>-Kit<sup>+</sup>Sca1<sup>+</sup> primitive cells (data not shown). In competition cultures, the proportions of *HOXA4* and *HOXB4* cells fluctuated around 50% and contained comparable numbers of progenitors, indicating equal proliferative potentials for *HOXA4* and *HOXB4* on hematopoietic cells in vitro (Fig. 1F-H and data not shown).

## HOXA4 promotes better short-term hematopoietic repopulation than HOXB4

To assess the potential of *HOXA4* and *HOXB4* HSC to repopulate lethally irradiated recipients, *HOXA4* or *HOXB4-*transduced BM cells were transplanted at low doses (10,000–30,000 BM cells, corresponding to 5–15 HSC) along with 200,000 total BM cells (Fig. 2A). All mice that received *HOXA4* or *HOXB4-*transduced BM cells were repopulated at higher levels in the periphery than control chimeras (Fig. 2B). Interestingly, compared to *HOXB4*, the short-term repopulation was significantly superior for *HOXA4* BM cells (Fig. 2B). Flow cytometry showed that this elevated shortterm repopulation by *HOXA4* was associated with an increased repopulation of B cells (8 weeks, Fig. 2C, left panel), but was changed to higher myeloid contributions compared to control at long-term repopulation (20 weeks, Fig. 2C, right panel). Only transient fluctuations in myeloid and T cell reconstitution were observed for *HOXB4* (Fig. 2C, left panel).

Higher levels of engraftment were also observed in *HOXA4* and *HOXB4* hematopoietic organs (Fig. 2D), which were sustained by 2-fold higher frequencies of myeloid progenitors (Supplementary Fig. S1; Supplementary Data are available online at www.liebertpub.com/scd).

Competitive transplantation (Fig. 3A) assays also demonstrated an early transient higher reconstitution by *HOXA4* compared to *HOXB4* cells in the periphery, and similar longterm repopulation (Fig. 3B), but in contrast to single *HOX* chimeras, no significant differences between *HOXA4* and *HOXB4* were observed in LIN distribution (B220<sup>+</sup>, CD3<sup>+</sup>, and MAC1<sup>+</sup>) (Fig. 3C). However, in the hematopoietic organs a significant higher contribution was observed in the BM for *HOXB4*-transduced cells (Fig. 3D). Concurrently, more



FIG. 2. Hematopoietic reconstitution in vivo. (A) Overview of the in vivo experimental strategy used in this study. (B) Engraftment (in  $\%$ GFP) of *HOXA4* ( $n=15$ ), *HOXB4* ( $n=5$ ), and control chimeras ( $n=13$ ) in the periphery over time. (C) PB analysis of *HOXA4*, *HOXB4*, and control-transduced BM chimeras for myeloid and lymphoid LIN contribution at 8 weeks (*left panel*) and 20 weeks (*right panel*) reconstituted mice assessed by flow cytometry. B cells and T cells were detected using antibodies against B220 and CD3 surface markers, respectively. Antibody for MAC1 was used to detect myeloid cells. (D) Hematopoietic organ repopulation (in %GFP) of long-term (>20 weeks) *HOXA4*, *HOXB4,* and control GFP chimeras by flow cytometry analysis. For *HOXA4* and control chimeras the results are pooled from three independent experiments.\**P*  $\leq$  0.05 (over control); \*\**P*  $\leq$  0.05 (*HOXA4* vs *HOXB4*) one-tailed (**B**) and two-tailed (**C**, **D**) Student's *t*-test. LIN, lineage; PB, peripheral blood; Spl, spleen; Thy, thymus.



FIG. 3. *HOXA4* and *HOXB4* in vivo competition. (A) Overview of the in vivo experimental strategy used in this study. (B) Engraftment of *HOXA4* (%YFP) and *HOXB4* (%GFP) in competitive chimeras  $(n=5)$  in the periphery over time. (C) PB analysis of *HOXA4*, *HOXB4,* and control chimeras for myeloid and lymphoid LIN contribution in short-term (8 weeks, *top panel*) and long-term (20 weeks, *lower panel*) reconstituted mice assessed by flow cytometry. B cells and T cells were detected using antibodies against B220 and CD3 surface markers, respectively. Antibody for MAC1 was used to detect myeloid cells. (D)<br>Hematopoietic organ re-Hematopoietic population (in %GFP and  $\sqrt[7]{9}$   $\sqrt[7]{8}$   $\sqrt[7]{9}$  of long-term (>20 weeks) competitive chimeras by flow cytometry analysis. (E) Flow cytometry analysis of LIN repopulation in BM of long-term (<20 weeks) competitive chimeras using antibodies against B220, MAC1, Gr1, and TER119 surface marker. (F) Frequency of *HOXA4* and *HOXB4* myeloid progenitor in BM of longterm (>20 weeks) competitive chimeras.  $P \leq 0.05$  twotailed Student's *t*-test.

*HOXB4* positive B, myeloid, and erythroid cells were observed in the BM, but not in other organs (Fig. 3E and data not shown). In agreement with this observation, more *HOXB4* progenitors were present in the BM, although frequencies of myeloid progenitors within the pool of either *HOXA4* or *HOXB4* cells were the same (Fig. 3F).

# Increased expression of Notch-related genes by HOXA4 and HOXB4

To gain insight into the molecular mechanisms by which *HOXA4* and *HOXB4* mediate the expansion of primitive hematopoietic cells, the expression levels of a panel of candidate genes were measured in *HOX* and control transduced  $CDI50+LIN^-c-Kit+Sca1+ (LKS)$  cells by qRT-PCR (Fig. 4A). Potential candidate target genes were selected based on previous screens with HOXB4 and/or HOXC4 (Supplementary Table S1) and based on their reported role in HSC biology, self-renewal, or cancer biology [3,9,23,24]. Ectopic expression levels for *HOXA4* and *HOXB4* were similar and about 100-fold above the endogenous levels (Fig. 4B, C). HOXA4 and HOXB4 modulated the expression of 51 of the 87 candidates tested (Supplementary Table S2). Among them, 23 were  $\geq$ 2-fold upregulated by both HOXA4 and HOXB4, whereas 17 and 11 were  $\geq$ 2-fold upregulated by either HOXA4 or HOXB4 only, respectively (Fig. 4D and Table 1). Differentially expressed genes were involved in processes such as regulation of transcription, cell adhesion, stem cell maintenance/differentiation, pluripotency, cell cycle, and proliferation (Supplementary Table S3).

Also, the expression of several genes of the Wnt and Notch signaling pathways were affected, more notably by HOXA4. Among 30 Notch-related genes tested, the levels of 24 were at least 1.5-fold changed by the overexpression of either *HOXA4* and/or *HOXB4* (Fig. 4E, F and Table 2). These included Notch receptors (*Notch1* and *Notch2*) and Notch ligands (*Dll1*, *Dll3*, and *Jag1*). The expression level of *Notch2* was higher than *Notch1* in primitive hematopoietic



FIG. 4. Candidate gene expression in primary HSC. (A) Overview of the experimental strategy used in this study and representation of *HOXA4*-GFP, *HOXB4*-GFP, and control GFP retroviral vectors. (B) Western blot analysis for retroviral HOXA4 or HOXB4 expression in NIH-3t3 cells transduced with *HOXA4*, *HOXB4,* or control vectors. (C) qRT-PCR analysis for *HOXA4* and *HOXB4* genes in sorted HSC (LIN<sup>-</sup>c-Kit<sup>+</sup>Sca1<sup>+</sup>CD150<sup>+</sup>) transduced with *HOXA4*, *HOXB4*, or control vector. (D) Venn diagram showing the total number of genes that are  $\geq 2$ -fold upregulated by HOXA4 and/or HOXB4 in HSC. (E) Log2 Fold change of candidate genes from the Notch signaling pathway in HSC overexpressing *HOXA4* or *HOXB4* compared to control HSC. *Dark region* corresponds to 1.5-fold change value. (F) Venn diagram showing the total number of the Notch signaling pathway genes that are  $\geq 1.5$ -fold upregulated by HOXA4 and/or HOXB4 in HSC. HSC, hematopoietic stem cells; RQ, relative quantification; LKS, LIN<sup>-</sup>c-Kit<sup>+</sup>Sca1<sup>+</sup>.

cells (data not shown), which corresponds with previous reports using reporter mice [25]. An upregulation of Notch target genes such as *Hey1* and *Runx1* indicates potential activation of the canonical Notch signaling pathway. Furthermore, in agreement with the larger B cell population the expression of *Pax5* was increased in *HOXA4-*transduced CD150<sup>+</sup> LKS cells. Together these data suggest that the Notch signaling pathway plays a role in *HOX4*-mediated self-renewal of HSC.

# **Discussion**

In this study, we demonstrate that *HOXA4* and *HOXB4* promote significant expansion of BM cells in vitro through maintenance and expansion of the progenitor pool. The magnitude of expansion induced by *HOXA4* and *HOXB4* was similar in vitro; however, when transplanted into mice, *HOXA4*-transduced cells clearly provided better short-term repopulation of BM than *HOXB4*. This was associated with a higher contribution of lymphoid cells compared to *HOXB4-*transduced cells, indicating that *HOXA4* is particularly important in restoring the B cell compartment, congruent with our previous observations [6].

In agreement with our data, it has been reported that other *HOX4* paralog genes confer similar potential expansion advantages to mouse or human primitive hematopoietic cells in vitro, when overexpressed [8] or cultured on engineered stromal cells (HOXB4 or HOXC4) [9]. Thus, in the absence of cues from the hematopoietic niche and its possible physical restraints, the proliferative potential of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells is equally enhanced by *HOXA4* and *HOXB4.*

Whether the enhanced short-term repopulation in *HOXA4* BM recipients derives from a higher sensitivity of committed early progenitors, in particular those of the B cell LIN, to *HOXA4* or from a stronger response of HSC is not





HSC, hematopoietic stem cells.

Gene	Name	Log2 fold change	
		HOXA4	HOXB4
Notch receptors Notch1 Notch2	Notch 1 Notch 2	1.50 1.00	1.55 0.52
Notch ligands Dll1 Dll3 Jag1	Delta-like 1 (Drosophila) Delta-like 3 (Drosophila) Jagged 1	3.13 0.49 2.91	3.18 1.15 0.23
Regulators Dtx1 Dtx2 Dtx4 $D\{x\}$ Dlx2 Numb	Deltex homolog 1 (Drosophila) Deltex homolog 2 (Drosophila) Deltex homolog 4 (Drosophila) Distal-less homeobox 1 Distal-less homeobox 2 Numbhomolog (Drosophila)	1.38 0.88 0.39 1.65 1.33 $-0.03$	0.61 0.09 0.58 1.21 1.64 $-0.09$
Cofactors Hdac1 Hdac2 EP300	Histone deacetylase 1 Histone deacetylase 2 E1A binding protein p300	0.43 0.46 $-0.75$	$-0.59$ $-0.32$ 1.46
Targets Hes1 Hey1 Runx1 Zfpm1 Kat2a Ccnd1 Cdknla Cd44 Nr4a2 Pax5	Hairy and enhancer of split 1 (Drosophila) Hairy/enhancer-of-split related with YRPW motif 1 Runt-related transcription factor 1 Zinc finger protein FOG family member 1 K(lysine) acetyltransferase 2A Cyclin D1 Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor $1A$ (p21, Cip1) CD44 molecule (Indian blood group) Nuclear receptor subfamily 4 group A member 2 Paired box 5	0.39 1.73 0.83 1.69 0.21 0.75 1.87 0.95 1.93 0.59	$-0.23$ 0.79 0.22 1.02 $-0.43$ $-0.39$ 0.25 0.59 0.52 $-0.06$
Fzd1 $Fz$ d4 Egrl Sox2 Sox4 Dvl1	Genes related to the Wnt pathway that crosstalk with Notch Frizzled family receptor 1 Frizzled family receptor 4 Early growth response 1 SRY (sex determining region Y)-box 2 SRY (sex determining region Y)-box 4 Dishevelled segment polarity protein 1	1.03 3.06 2.20 2.12 1.11 0.50	2.20 0.94 1.93 1.67 $-1.54$ $-0.35$

Table 2. Relative Expression of Genes Implicated in the Notch Signaling Pathway IN HSC OVEREXPRESSING HOXA4 OF HOXB4

*Bold* indicates  $\geq$ 2-fold change in expression.

clear, but a HSC subset with a greater proliferative shortterm phenotype and better B cell reconstitution potential has been defined [26].

Competitive transplantation assays confirmed the advantage of *HOXA4* in short-term repopulation, but the dominant lymphoid reconstitution of *HOXA4* was absent in the presence of *HOXB4*-transduced cells. These data suggest that a paracrine effect between *HOXA4-* and *HOXB4*-transduced cells exist that could be direct or indirect through the hematopoietic niche as has been reported for HOXB4 [27].

qRT-PCR data on a panel of candidate genes demonstrated modulation of the Notch pathway, predominantly by HOXA4, in primitive hematopoietic cells. The Notch signaling pathway is known to play a critical role in HSC selfrenewal [28–31] and some synergism between HOXB4 and Dll1 in the expansion of primate  $CD34<sup>+</sup>$  cord blood cells has been reported [32,33]. Although crosstalk between the Notch and HOX pathway have been reported [34,35], we show for the first time that Notch genes are common downstream targets of *HOXA4* and *HOXB4* in primitive hematopoietic cells, suggesting that HOX4-induced selfrenewal may involve Notch signaling. The Notch-related target genes included those coding for receptors, ligands, and cofactors, indicating that HOXA4 and HOXB4 may regulate the responsiveness of hematopoietic cells to Notch ligands, and amplify the signal response through enhanced ligand production. However, the enhanced lymphoid repopulation by *HOXA4* BM cells could not be explained solely by higher *Notch1* expression, which is important for lymphoid differentiation [25,36,37], as equal levels were found in *HOXB4* cells.

In addition to the Notch pathway, the expression levels of Wnt-related genes were also increased by *HOXA4* and *HOXB4*. Notch and Wnt are major pathways in HSC selfrenewal and embryonic studies demonstrated that *HOX* genes integrate their signals to establish segment identity [34,38]. Crosstalk between these signaling cascades has also been demonstrated in HSC [39] and modulation of genes in both pathways maybe essential for the prolonged self-renewal of HSC induced by HOXA4 or HOXB4. Furthermore, the observation that activation of the Wnt signaling pathway by *Wnt3a* promotes short-term multilineage reconstitution of  $LIN^-c$ -Kit<sup>-</sup>Sca1<sup>+</sup> BM cells in vivo [40] indicate that Wnt signaling might contribute to the enhanced HOXA4-induced short-term repopulation, as *HOXA4* overexpression induced higher expression of Wnt-associated genes.

qRT-PCR data also showed the increase in expression of several self-renewal and pluripotency genes, which indicate that HOXA4 and HOXB4 may activate intrinsic selfrenewal pathways. Among these genes are *Lin28b*, a micro RNA-binding protein that, when overexpressed, increases self-renewal activity of adult HSC [41], and the transcription factors *Sox2* and Po*u*5f1 (*Oct4*), also known for their critical role in pluripotency. The co-overexpression of the latter has also been shown to enhance the proliferation and differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells [42]. Thus, upregulation of those genes by HOXA4 and HOXB4 likely contributes to the induction of HSC self-renewal.

In conclusion, our results show that overexpression of *HOXA4* and *HOXB4* results in the activation of genes involved in both intrinsic and extrinsic pathways, and suggest a potential role for the Notch pathway in association with Wnt signaling downstream HOXA4 and HOXB4 in primitive hematopoietic cells. Moreover, in the absence of nichederived signals delivered, HSC-overexpressing *HOX4* genes have the same potency in culture, but show paralog-specific differences in vivo. Together, based on our results, manipulation of the Notch pathway in conjunction with *HOX4* merits further exploration for the expansion of primitive hematopoietic cells for therapeutic strategies.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank Martine Dupuis from the HMR flow cytometry platform for cell sorting and flow cytometry analysis. The authors also thank the staff of the animal care facility for taking care of the animals. The authors are also grateful for the excellent comments and suggestions on the article by Drs. Alex Thompson, Nicolas Pineault, and Heather Melichar. This study was supported by the Canadian Cancer Society Research Institute, grant no. 20399, and a Discovery grant of NSERC; M.F. is a fellow of the Cole Foundation; C.E.L.G. and M.F. are recipients of departmental scholarships.

## Author Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

## **References**

- 1. Alharbi RA, R Pettengell, HS Pandha and R Morgan. (2013). The role of HOX genes in normal hematopoiesis and acute leukemia. Leukemia 27:1000–1008.
- 2. Sauvageau G, U Thorsteinsdottir, CJ Eaves, HJ Lawrence, C Largman, PM Lansdorp and RK Humphries. (1995). Overexpression of HOXB4 in hematopoietic cells causes the selective expansion of more primitive populations in vitro and in vivo. Genes Dev 9:1753–1765.
- 3. Schiedlmeier B, H Klump, E Will, G Arman-Kalcek, Z Li, Z Wang, A Rimek, J Friel, C Baum and W Ostertag.

(2003). High-level ectopic HOXB4 expression confers a profound in vivo competitive growth advantage on human cord blood CD34 + cells, but impairs lymphomyeloid differentiation. Blood 101:1759–1768.

- 4. Amsellem S, F Pflumio, D Bardinet, B Izac, P Charneau, PH Romeo, A Dubart-Kupperschmitt and S Fichelson. (2003). Ex vivo expansion of human hematopoietic stem cells by direct delivery of the HOXB4 homeoprotein. Nat Med 9:1423–1427.
- 5. Krosl J, P Austin, N Beslu, E Kroon, RK Humphries and G Sauvageau. (2003). In vitro expansion of hematopoietic stem cells by recombinant TAT-HOXB4 protein. Nat Med 9:1428–1432.
- 6. Fournier M, CE Lebert-Ghali, G Krosl and JJ Bijl. (2012). HOXA4 induces expansion of hematopoietic stem cells in vitro and confers enhancement of pro-B-cells in vivo. Stem Cells Dev 21:133–142.
- 7. Antonchuk J, G Sauvageau and RK Humphries. (2002). HOXB4-induced expansion of adult hematopoietic stem cells ex vivo. Cell 109:39–45.
- 8. Iacovino M, C Hernandez, Z Xu, G Bajwa, M Prather and M Kyba. (2009). A conserved role for Hox paralog group 4 in regulation of hematopoietic progenitors. Stem Cells Dev 18:783–792.
- 9. Auvray C, A Delahaye, F Pflumio, R Haddad, S Amsellem, A Miri-Nezhad, L Broix, A Yacia, F Bulle, S Fichelson and I Vigon. (2012). HOXC4 homeoprotein efficiently expands human hematopoietic stem cells and triggers similar molecular alterations as HOXB4. Haematologica 97:168–178.
- 10. Greer JM, J Puetz, KR Thomas and MR Capecchi. (2000). Maintenance of functional equivalence during paralogous Hox gene evolution. Nature 403:661–665.
- 11. Horan GS, R Ramirez-Solis, MS Featherstone, DJ Wolgemuth, A Bradley and RR Behringer. (1995). Compound mutants for the paralogous hoxa-4, hoxb-4, and hoxd-4 genes show more complete homeotic transformations and a dose-dependent increase in the number of vertebrae transformed. Genes Dev 9:1667–1677.
- 12. Jordan CT and IR Lemischka. (1990). Clonal and systemic analysis of long-term hematopoiesis in the mouse. Genes Dev 4:220–232.
- 13. Bijl J, A Thompson, R Ramirez-Solis, J Krosl, DG Grier, HJ Lawrence and G Sauvageau. (2006). Analysis of HSC activity and compensatory Hox gene expression profile in Hoxb cluster mutant fetal liver cells. Blood 108:116–122.
- 14. Lebert-Ghali CE, M Fournier, GJ Dickson, A Thompson, G Sauvageau and JJ Bijl. (2010). HoxA cluster is haploinsufficient for activity of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells. Exp Hematol 38:1074–1086.e1–e5.
- 15. Pineault N, CD Helgason, HJ Lawrence and RK Humphries. (2002). Differential expression of Hox, Meis1, and Pbx1 genes in primitive cells throughout murine hematopoietic ontogeny. Exp Hematol 30:49–57.
- 16. Sauvageau G, PM Lansdorp, CJ Eaves, DE Hogge, WH Dragowska, DS Reid, C Largman, HJ Lawrence and RK Humphries. (1994). Differential expression of homeobox genes in functionally distinct CD34 + subpopulations of human bone marrow cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 91:12223–12227.
- 17. Markowitz D, C Hesdorffer, M Ward, S Goff and A Bank. (1990). Retroviral gene transfer using safe and efficient packaging cell lines. Ann N Y Acad Sci 612:407–414.
- 18. Roth V. (2006). Doubling time. Available at: www .doubling-time.com/compute.php. Accessed 2014.
- 19. Humphries RK, AC Eaves and CJ Eaves. (1981). Self-renewal of hemopoietic stem cells during mixed colony formation in vitro. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 78:3629–3633.
- 20. Dickson GJ, TR Lappin and A Thompson. (2009). Complete array of HOX gene expression by RQ-PCR. Methods Mol Biol 538:369–393.
- 21. Thompson A, MF Quinn, D Grimwade, CM O'Neill, MR Ahmed, S Grimes, MF McMullin, F Cotter and TR Lappin. (2003). Global down-regulation of HOX gene expression in PML-RARalpha + acute promyelocytic leukemia identified by small-array real-time PCR. Blood 101:1558–1565.
- 22. Wang X, A Spandidos, H Wang and B Seed. (2012). PrimerBank: a PCR primer database for quantitative gene expression analysis, 2012 update. Nucleic Acids Res 40: D1144–D1149.
- 23. Deneault E, BT Wilhelm, A Bergeron, F Barabe and G Sauvageau. (2013). Identification of non-cell-autonomous networks from engineered feeder cells that enhance murine hematopoietic stem cell activity. Exp Hematol 41:470– 478.e4.
- 24. Oshima M, M Endoh, TA Endo, T Toyoda, Y Nakajima-Takagi, F Sugiyama, H Koseki, M Kyba, A Iwama and M Osawa. (2011). Genome-wide analysis of target genes regulated by HoxB4 in hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells developing from embryonic stem cells. Blood 117:e142–e150.
- 25. Oh P, C Lobry, J Gao, A Tikhonova, E Loizou, J Manent, B van Handel, S Ibrahim, J Greve, et al. (2013). In vivo mapping of notch pathway activity in normal and stress hematopoiesis. Cell Stem Cell 13:190–204.
- 26. Challen GA, NC Boles, SM Chambers and MA Goodell. (2010). Distinct hematopoietic stem cell subtypes are differentially regulated by TGF-beta1. Cell Stem Cell 6:265–278.
- 27. Jackson M, RA Axton, AH Taylor, JA Wilson, SA Gordon-Keylock, KD Kokkaliaris, JM Brickman, H Schulz, O Hummel, N Hubner and LM Forrester. (2012). HOXB4 can enhance the differentiation of embryonic stem cells by modulating the hematopoietic niche. Stem Cells 30:150–160.
- 28. Guiu J, R Shimizu, T D'Altri, ST Fraser, J Hatakeyama, EH Bresnick, R Kageyama, E Dzierzak, M Yamamoto, L Espinosa and A Bigas. (2013). Hes repressors are essential regulators of hematopoietic stem cell development downstream of Notch signaling. J Exp Med 210:71–84.
- 29. Stier S, T Cheng, D Dombkowski, N Carlesso and DT Scadden. (2002). Notch1 activation increases hematopoietic stem cell self-renewal in vivo and favors lymphoid over myeloid lineage outcome. Blood 99:2369–2378.
- 30. Varnum-Finney B, C Brashem-Stein and ID Bernstein. (2003). Combined effects of Notch signaling and cytokines induce a multiple log increase in precursors with lymphoid and myeloid reconstituting ability. Blood 101: 1784–1789.
- 31. Varnum-Finney B, LE Purton, M Yu, C Brashem-Stein, D Flowers, S Staats, KA Moore, I Le Roux, R Mann, et al. (1998). The Notch ligand, Jagged-1, influences the development of primitive hematopoietic precursor cells. Blood 91:4084–4091.
- 32. Watts KL, C Delaney, RK Humphries, ID Bernstein and HP Kiem. (2010). Combination of HOXB4 and Delta-1 ligand improves expansion of cord blood cells. Blood 116:5859–5866.
- 33. Watts KL, C Delaney, V Nelson, GD Trobridge, BC Beard, RK Humphries and HP Kiem. (2013). CD34(+) expansion with Delta-1 and HOXB4 promotes rapid engraftment and transfusion independence in a Macaca nemestrina cord blood transplant model. Mol Ther 21:1270–1278.
- 34. Cordes R, K Schuster-Gossler, K Serth and A Gossler. (2004). Specification of vertebral identity is coupled to Notch signalling and the segmentation clock. Development 131:1221–1233.
- 35. Sengupta A, D Banerjee, S Chandra, SK Banerji, R Ghosh, R Roy and S Banerjee. (2007). Deregulation and cross talk among Sonic hedgehog, Wnt, Hox and Notch signaling in chronic myeloid leukemia progression. Leukemia 21: 949–955.
- 36. Tanigaki K, H Han, N Yamamoto, K Tashiro, M Ikegawa, K Kuroda, A Suzuki, T Nakano and T Honjo. (2002). Notch-RBP-J signaling is involved in cell fate determination of marginal zone B cells. Nat Immunol 3:443–450.
- 37. Ciofani M and JC Zuniga-Pflucker. (2005). Notch promotes survival of pre-T cells at the beta-selection checkpoint by regulating cellular metabolism. Nat Immunol 6:881–888.
- 38. Deschamps J and J van Nes. (2005). Developmental regulation of the Hox genes during axial morphogenesis in the mouse. Development 132:2931–2942.
- 39. Clements WK, AD Kim, KG Ong, JC Moore, ND Lawson and D Traver. (2011). A somitic Wnt16/Notch pathway specifies haematopoietic stem cells. Nature 474:220–224.
- 40. Trowbridge JJ, B Guezguez, RT Moon and M Bhatia. (2010). Wnt3a activates dormant c-Kit(-) bone marrowderived cells with short-term multilineage hematopoietic reconstitution capacity. Stem Cells 28:1379–1389.
- 41. Copley MR, S Babovic, C Benz, DJ Knapp, PA Beer, DG Kent, S Wohrer, DQ Treloar, C Day, et al. (2013). The Lin28b-let-7-Hmga2 axis determines the higher selfrenewal potential of fetal haematopoietic stem cells. Nat Cell Biol 15:916–925.
- 42. Han SM, SH Han, YR Coh, G Jang, J Chan Ra, SK Kang, HW Lee and HY Youn. (2014). Enhanced proliferation and differentiation of Oct4- and Sox2-overexpressing human adipose tissue mesenchymal stem cells. Exp Mol Med 46: e101.
- 43. Schiedlmeier B, AC Santos, A Ribeiro, N Moncaut, D Lesinski, H Auer, K Kornacker, W Ostertag, C Baum, et al. (2007). HOXB4's road map to stem cell expansion. PNAS 104:16952–16957.
- 44. Lee HM, H Zhang, V Schulz, DP Tuck and BG Forget. (2010). Downstream targets of HOXB4 in a cell line model of primitive hematopoietic progenitor cells. Blood 116: 720–730.
- 45. Fan R, S Bonde, P Gao, B Sotomayor, C Chen, T Mouw, N Zavazava and K Tan. (2012). Dynamic HOXB4-regulatory network during embryonic stem cell differentiation to hematopoietic cells. Blood 119:e139–147.

Address correspondence to: *Janetta J. Bijl, PhD Centre de Recherche de l'Hoˆpital Maisonneuve-Rosemont 5415 Boul. De l'Assomption Montre´al, QC H1T 2M4 Canada*

*E-mail:* janettabijl@yahoo.ca

Received for publication February 13, 2015

Accepted after revision July 1, 2015

Prepublished on Liebert Instant Online July 13, 2015