

Published in final edited form as:

Am J Nurs. 2015 August; 115(8): 55-58. doi:10.1097/01.NAJ.0000470404.74424.ee.

Vaccine Safety Resources for Nurses:

The CDC supports nurses in promoting vaccination

Elaine R. Miller, MPH, BSN, RN, Tom T. Shimabukuro, MD, MPH, MBA, Beth F. Hibbs, MPH, RN, Pedro L. Moro, MD, MPH, Karen R. Broder, MD, and Claudia Vellozzi, MD, MPH
Elaine R. Miller is an epidemiologist in the Immunization Safety Office at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, GA, where Tom T. Shimabukuro is deputy director, Beth F. Hibbs is a nurse consultant, Pedro L. Moro is an epidemiologist, and Karen R. Broder is team lead of the Clinical Immunization Safety Assessment Project. Claudia Vellozzi is chief of the Prevention Branch at the CDC's Division of Viral Hepatitis

Overview

Nurses are on the front lines of health care delivery, and many of them routinely administer immunizations. The authors describe the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) vaccine safety monitoring systems, explaining how nurses can access inquiry channels and other immunization information resources. Examples of recent vaccine safety inquiries are also provided.

Keywords

vaccine safety; vaccine adverse events; vaccination; immunization; vaccine safety monitoring systems; vaccine safety resources

Vaccines are one of the greatest public health achievements in history. Immunization has dramatically reduced the burden of vaccine-preventable diseases—improvements that have continued into the 21st century. (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2011) A recent analysis of the 20 years since the U.S. Vaccine for Children program was created to pay for vaccines of children whose families could not afford them shows an estimated cost savings in preventing illnesses, hospitalizations, and premature deaths at \$1.38 trillion. (Whitney CG, et al 2014)

Yet even as rates of illness and death from many vaccine-preventable diseases have decreased substantially—and even though the U.S. government maintains a comprehensive safety system governing vaccine development, licensure, and marketing (Salmon, Pavia, & Gellin, 2011)—concerns over vaccine safety persist, and such concerns are often unsupported by scientific evidence. (Larson, Cooper, Eskola, Katz, & Ratzan, 2011) For example, in spite of the Institute of Medicine's exhaustive review of the scientific evidence and conclusion that the measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR) vaccine does not cause autism (Institute of Medicine 2012), this belief still persists among some people.

Correspondence to: Elaine R. Miller.

The authors and planners have disclosed no potential conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise.

What scientists have come to call "vaccine hesitancy" is resulting in a growing number of parents and others refusing or delaying recommended vaccinations for their children and for themselves. (Siddiqui, Salmon, & Omer, 2013) (The CDC's recommended vaccine schedules for infants and children, teens, and adults are available online at www.cdc.gov/vaccines/schedules.) Undervaccination has been implicated in local and regional outbreaks of vaccine-preventable diseases, such as measles. (Gastañaduy, et al., 2014; European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, 2013) For example, between January 4 and April 2 of 2015, 166 measles cases were reported in the United States, and over 80% of those were in people who were unvaccinated or had unknown vaccination status; the main reason for being unvaccinated was philosophical or religious beliefs. (Clemmons, et al., 2015)

Nurses often are the ones administering vaccines and therefore play a central role in teaching parents and patients about the lifesaving function of vaccines and about vaccine safety. According to a 2005 study, parents' main source of information about vaccines is health care professionals, (Salmon et al, 2005) and so it is critical that nurses understand and communicate with parents the importance of vaccines. Nurses might also treat patients when vaccine adverse events require medical attention. The CDC has many resources available to nurses and other health care professionals on vaccine safety, including an established inquiry-and-response program. (Miller, et al. 2011)

In this article we describe the CDC's vaccine-safety monitoring systems, explain how nurses and others can access the CDC's inquiry channels and other resources, and give examples of recent inquiries and their resolution.

THE CDC'S VACCINE SAFETY MONITORING SYSTEMS

The CDC's Immunization Safety Office (CDC-ISO) uses several systems to monitor vaccine safety and communicate this information to health care professionals and others.

The Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) is the national frontline spontaneous reporting surveillance system and provides early warning for identifying potential vaccine safety concerns. (Iskander, Miller, & Chen, 2004) As a spontaneous surveillance system, however, it is not designed to assess whether a vaccine caused an adverse event. (Varricchio, et al., 2004) An example of a signal for an adverse event from VAERS was intussusception after RotaShield[®], the first licensed rotavirus vaccine. RotaShield[®] was withdrawn from use in 1999 after a greater than expected number of reports of intussusception were detected in VAERS and an increased risk was confirmed in other studies. (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1999)

The Vaccine Safety Datalink (VSD) uses electronic health record data from nine integrated health care organizations to conduct surveillance and epidemiologic studies. VSD data can be used to detect safety problems and assess and quantify risk for adverse events. (Baggs, et al., 2011) A VSD study was able to quantify the risk of anaphylaxis following vaccination in general in children and adolescents at one to two cases per million doses of vaccine administered. (Bohlke, et al, 2003)

The Clinical Immunization Safety Assessment (CISA) Project, a network of vaccine safety experts at research medical centers, conducts clinical research, reviews complex vaccine safety cases and issues, and provides guidance to health care professionals on patients. (Williams, et al., 2013) Clinicians with a question about vaccine safety for a patient residing in the United States that isn't answered by Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) guidelines can contact CISA via email at CISAeval@cdc.gov. The ACIP guidelines are recommendations for use of vaccinations in the United States and are available at http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/hcp/acip-recs/index.html.

In addition to using data from VAERS and VSD and leveraging CISA to respond to inquiries, CDC-ISO relies on findings from clinical trials, ACIP recommendations and guidelines, Institute of Medicine reports, published studies, and input from CDC subject matter experts.

HOW TO GET CDC ASSISTANCE ON VACCINE SAFETY

Nurses can contact the CDC for vaccine safety inquiries through various channels (see Table 1).

CDC-INFO, the CDC's National Contact Center is staffed by both nonmedical and medical personnel who answer general and technical questions. Each day they field, on average, 1,000 calls, 250 e-mails, and six letters. They refer challenging questions and those requesting specific data to CDC scientific experts. Nurses with questions about vaccines can call 1-800-CDC-INFO or send a request online at http://wwwn.cdc.gov/dcs/RequestForm.aspx.

NIPINFO (National Immunization Program Information)

The CDC's immunization and vaccine-preventable-disease email inquiry system, NIPINFO, is staffed by physicians, nurses, and health educators from the CDC's National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases to answer immunization-related questions. Complex vaccine safety inquires that cannot be adequately addressed by NIPINFO are referred to CDC-ISO. Nurses can email questions to NIPINFO directly at NIPINFO@cdc.gov.

The CDC Emergency Operations Center (EOC), the command center for monitoring and coordinating emergency response activities, is staffed around the clock and has procedures in place for triaging emergency vaccine safety inquiries to CDC-ISO. The phone number is 770-488-7100.

The VAERS program information line and email box

This resource provides answers on questions specifically related to VAERS reporting. Inquiries of a scientific or clinical nature are forwarded to CDC-ISO for a response. For VAERS reporting questions, nurses can call 1-800-822-7967 or send an email to Info@vaers.org

The Clinical Immunization Safety Assessment (CISA) Project through the CISAeval@cdc.gov email address

CISA consults with and advises health care professionals about patients who experienced an adverse event after a vaccination and makes recommendations regarding future vaccinations for that patient. When it is determined that a submitted request does not require a CISA clinical consultation, it is referred to the CDC-ISO inquiry response program for a response.

EXAMPLES OF VACCINE SAFETY INQUIRIES AND CDC-ISO RESPONSES

Here are several examples of actual questions or concerns sent in to the CDC through various routes and synopses of the responses given.

Neurologic adverse event after influenza vaccine

In 2013 a member of the general public emailed CDC-INFO, which routed the question to NIPINFO, which sent it to CDC-ISO.

Question: "Can flu vaccine cause Guillain-Barré Syndrome, or GBS?"

Response: In 1976 an increased risk of GBS associated with swine influenza vaccine was reported—one to two additional cases per 100,000 people vaccinated. (Institute of Medicine, 2004) The exact reason for this association remains unknown. Studies assessing the risk of GBS after seasonal influenza vaccine since 1976 have shown either no risk or a small increased risk on the order of one case per million doses administered. (Vellozzi, Iqbal, & Broder, 2014) Studies assessing an association of GBS with the 2009 H1N1 pandemic vaccine have shown a risk on the order of one to two GBS cases per million doses administered. (Salmon, et al., 2013)

A history of GBS within six weeks of receiving an influenza vaccine is a precaution for future doses of influenza vaccine in the patient. (Grohskopf, et al., 2014) A precaution is a condition that increases the risk for an adverse event in the vaccine recipient. In the case of a precaution, the health care provider must decide if the benefits of vaccination outweigh the risks. A contraindication indicates that the vaccine should not be given. (Kroger et al, 2011)

Vaccine administration errors or suspected errors involving seasonal influenza vaccine

Here are two examples.

The first was sent in 2013 by a U.S. military health care professional to the state health department and on to the CDC Emergency Operations Center and the CDC Influenza Division and finally to CDC-ISO.

Concern: Twenty personnel "were given inactivated influenza vaccine intranasally. This was not live influenza vaccine. Please provide guidance." (Inactivated influenza vaccine is administered by intranuscular injection, and live attenuated influenza vaccine is administered by intranasal spray.)

Response: The 20 people who received inactivated influenza vaccine intranasally should be considered unvaccinated, advised of this, and be re-vaccinated with either inactivated

vaccine given intramuscularly or live attenuated influenza vaccine given intranasally. A search of the VAERS database for similar events revealed 72 people who had received inactivated influenza vaccine administered intranasally in error. None of these vaccine recipients reported adverse health events.

The second example was sent in 2012 by a community nurse practitioner (NP) to NIPINFO and on to CDC-ISO.

Concern: "I recently received a flu shot that was improperly given. It was given 1 to 2 millimeters (not centimeters) below my acromion. At the time it felt like it went into a tendon or joint and it hurt. It was extremely painful that day and night and has since improved greatly. Are you familiar with this happening?"

Response: In its 2012 report, the Institute of Medicine concluded that the evidence convincingly supports a causal relationship between the injection of a vaccine and deltoid bursitis. (Institute of Medicine, 2012) A search of the VAERS database for similar reports for the 2011–2012 influenza season found 50 reports of the influenza vaccine being administered at an inappropriate site. Most reports stated that the injection was given "too high" in the arm, close to or at the shoulder area; a few stated the vaccine was given "too low" in the arm. Forty-nine of the 50 reported an adverse health event such as pain, decreased arm mobility, or bursitis.

As a result of this and similar inquiries, the CDC reached out to the American Nurses Association, the American College of Physicians, and the American Pharmacists Association to remind them of the importance of training and education in proper vaccine administration techniques (for additional information on this topic, see www.cdc.gov/flu/professionals/vaccination). The outreach included a statement that "Giving the injection too low or too high can result in injection too close to the bone or joint."

Sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) following vaccination

In 2014, a health educator sent a question to CDC-INFO, which was sent on to NIPINFO and CDC-ISO.

Question: "Can vaccines cause SIDS?"

Response: The body of scientific evidence overwhelming supports the safety of childhood vaccination, and there is no credible evidence to suggest that vaccination increases the risk of SIDS or other unexpected infant death. (Institute of Medicine 2003; Vennemann, et al., 2007)

In addition to the information and references provided on the CDC website at http://www.cdc.gov/vaccinesafety/Concerns/sids.html, this response is supported by the results of a 2013 study by McCarthy and colleagues. (McCarthy, et al., 2013) which used VSD on more than 13 million vaccinated people in 10 U.S. health care organizations. Causes of death among those who died within 60 days of vaccination were compared with causes of death in the U.S. general population. The mortality rate among the vaccinated population was lower than that in the general U.S. population, and the causes of death were similar.

Among children under 18 years of age, fewer deaths were due to SIDS in the vaccinated, thanin the general population (13% versus 16% respectively). (McCarthy, et al., 2013)

In conclusion, vaccines are recommended by the CDC, the American Academy of Pediatrics, the American Academy of Family Physicians, and many other organizations because they save lives and the health benefits far outweigh any risks. When vaccination coverage rates decrease, the potential for life-threatening vaccine-preventable diseases to return increases. Nurses have a key role in helping to maintain high vaccination coverage by communicating with patients and parents about the benefits of vaccination and vaccine safety. Providing accurate and timely information on vaccine safety can help address nurses' and other health care professionals' concerns and might help to allay fears of vaccine hesitant parents and patients.

References

- Baggs J, Gee J, Lewis E, Fowler G, Benson P, Lieu T, et al. The Vaccine Safety Datalink: A model for monitoring immunization safety. Pediatrics. 2011; 127(Suppl 1):S45–S53. [PubMed: 21502240]
- Bohlke K, Davis RL, Marcy SM, Braun MM, DeStefano F, Black SB, et al. Vaccine Safety Datalink Team.; Risk of anaphylaxis after vaccination of children and adolescents. Pediatrics. 2003; 112(4): 815–820. [PubMed: 14523172]
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Withdrawal of Rotavirus Vaccine Recommendation. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 1999; 48(43):1007. [PubMed: 10577495]
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Ten great public health achievements -- United States, 2001 2010. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2011; 60(19):619–623. [PubMed: 21597455]
- Clemmons NS, Gastanaduy PA, Fiebelkorn AP, Redd SB, Wallace GS. Measles United States, January 4-April 2, 2015. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2015; 64(14):373–376. [PubMed: 25879894]
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Annual Epidemiological Report. Reporting on 2011 surveillance data and 2012 epidemic intelligence data. Stockholm: Author; 2013.
- Gastañaduy PA, Redd SB, Fiebelkorn AP, Rota JS, Rota PA, Bellini WJ, et al. Measles United States, January 1- May 23, 2014. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2014; 63(22):496–499. [PubMed: 24898167]
- Grohskopf LA, Olsen SJ, Sokolow LZ, Bresee JS, Cox NJ, Broder KR, et al. Prevention and control of seasonal influenza with vaccines: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) -- United States, 2014-15 influenza season. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2014; 63(32):691–697. [PubMed: 25121712]
- Stratton, K.; Almario, DA.; Wizemann, TM.; McCormick, MC. Institute of Medicine (US) Immunization Safety Review Committee. Immunization Safety Review: Vaccinations and Sudden Unexpected Death in Infancy. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2003.
- Stratton, K.; Alamario, DA.; Wizemann, T.; McCormick, MC. Institute of Medicine (US) Immunization Safety Review Committee. Immunization Safety Review: Influenza Vaccines and Neurological Complications. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2004.
- Stratton, K.; Ford, A.; Rusch, E.; Clayton, EW. Institute of Medicine. Adverse effects of vaccines: Evidence and causality. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2012.
- Iskander JK, Miller ER, Chen RT. The role of the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) in monitoring vaccine safety. Pediatric Annals. 2004; 33(9):599–606. [PubMed: 15462575]
- Kroger AT, Sumaya CV, Pickering LK, Atkinson WL. General recommendations on immunization --- recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2011; 60(RR02):1–60.
- Larson HJ, Cooper LZ, Eskola J, Katz SL, Ratzan S. Addressing the vaccine confidence gap. The Lancet. 2011; 378(9790):526–535.

McCarthy NL, Weintraub E, Vellozzi C, Duffy J, Gee J, Donahue JG, et al. Mortality rates and cause-of-death patterns in a vaccinated population. American Journal of Preventive Medicine. 2013; 45(1):91–97. [PubMed: 23790993]

- Miller E, Batten B, Hampton L, Campbell SR, Gao J, Iskander J. Tracking vaccine-safety inquiries to detect signals and monitor public concerns. Pediatrics. 2011; 127(Suppl 1):S87–91. [PubMed: 21502241]
- Salmon DA, Moulton LH, Omer SB, DeHart MP, Stokley S, Halsey NA. Factors associated with refusal of childhood vaccines among parents of school-aged children: a case-control study. Archives of Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine. 2005; 159(5):470–476.
- Salmon DA, Pavia A, Gellin B. Editors' introduction: Vaccine safety throughout the product life cycle. Pediatrics. 2011; 127(Suppl 1):S1–S4. [PubMed: 21502245]
- Salmon DA, Proschan M, Forshee R, Gargiullo P, Bleser W, Burwen DR, et al. Association between Guillain-Barré syndrome and influenza A (H1N1) 2009 monovalent inactivated vaccines in the USA: a meta-analysis. The Lancet. 2013; 381(9876):1461–1468.
- Siddiqui M, Salmon DA, Omer SB. Epidemiology of vaccine hesitancy in the United States. Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics. 2013; 9(12):2643–2648. [PubMed: 24247148]
- Varricchio F, Iskander J, Destefano F, Ball R, Pless R, Braun MM, Chen RT. Understanding vaccine safety information from the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System. Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal. 2004; 23(4):287–294. [PubMed: 15071280]
- Vellozzi C, Iqbal S, Broder K. Guillain-Barre syndrome, influenza, and influenza vaccination: the epidemiologic evidence. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2014; 58(8):1149–1155. [PubMed: 24415636]
- Vennemann MM, Butterfass-Bahloul T, Jorch G, Brinkmann B, Findeisen M, Sauerland C, et al. Sudden infant death syndrome: no increased risk after immunisation. Vaccine. 2007; 25(2):336–340. [PubMed: 16945457]
- Whitney CG, Zhou F, Singleton J, Schuchat A. Benefits from Immunization during the Vaccines for Children Program Era United States, 1994 2013. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2014; 63(16):352–355. [PubMed: 24759657]
- Williams SE, Edwards KM, Baxter RP, LaRussa PS, Halsey NA, Dekker CL, et al. Comprehensive assessment of serious adverse events following immunization by health care providers. Journal of Pediatrics. 2013; 162(6):1276–1281. [PubMed: 23452584]

Table 1

CDC Inquiry Portals

Resource	Description	Email address, telephone number, or website	Purpose
CDC-INFO	CDC's national contact center	http://wwwn.cdc.gov/dcs/RequestForm.aspx, 1-800-CDC-INFO	Answer health and public health questions
NIPINFO	CDC's immunization and vaccine-preventable disease email inquiry system	NIPINFO@cdc.gov	Answer questions on vaccination
CDC EOC	CDC's Emergency Operations Center	770-488-7100	Respond to public health emergencies
VAERS ¹	Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System	Info@vaers.org, 1-800-822-7967	Answer questions on VAERS reporting
CISA ²	Clinical Immunization Safety Assessment Project	CISAeval@cdc.gov	Provide consultation to health care professionals on patients with complex vaccine safety issues

 $^{{\}it ^{I.}} CDC \ administers \ and \ manages \ the \ VAERS \ program \ through \ a \ contractor \ that \ provides \ help \ desk \ services.$

^{2.} CISA requests that do not meet the requirements for a clinical review are referred to the CDC Immunization Safety Office inquiry response program

TABLE 2

Additional Resources for Nurses

In addition to the CDC inquiry portals, there are several publicly available sources of vaccine safety information.

 Food and Drug Administration (FDA) vaccine package inserts: www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/Vaccines/ ApprovedProducts/UCM093833

- CDC Immunization information for health care professionals: www.cdc.gov/vaccines/hcp.htm
- CDC Vaccine Safety information: www.cdc.gov/vaccinesafety/index.htm
- $\bullet \qquad CDC\ Immunization\ education\ and\ training\ for\ health\ professionals:\ www.cdc.gov/vaccines/ed/default.htm$
- CDC Immunization training and information on Twitter: https://twitter.com/cdcizlearn/
- CDC Vaccine Information Statements: www.cdc.gov/vaccines/hcp/vis/index.html
- ACIP Vaccine Recommendations and Guidelines: www.cdc.gov/vaccines/hcp/acip-recs/index.html