A Randomized Controlled Trial to Compare Heated Humidified High-Flow Nasal Cannulae with Nasal Continuous Positive Airway Pressure Postextubation in Premature Infants: Retraction

The article "A Randomized Controlled Trial to Compare Heated Humidified High-Flow Nasal Cannulae with Nasal Continuous Positive Airway Pressure Postextubation in Premature Infants"[1] that was published in the Journal of Clinical Neonatology | Vol. 2 | Issue 2 | April-June 2013 has been retracted due to a copyright issue raised by the author of the original article in the Journal of Pediatrics.

A revised version of the above article has been published in a previous issue of Journal of Clinical Neonatology | Vol. 3 | Issue 3 | July-September 2014 on page 139 with the title "Heated Humidified High-Flow Nasal Cannulae: A Good Alternative to Nasal Continuous Positive Airway Pressure".[2]

Saleh Al-Alaiyan

Editor in Chief - Journal of Clinical Neonatology

REFERENCES

- 1. Ignacio L, Alfaleh K. A randomized controlled trial to compare heated humidified high-flow nasal cannulae with nasal continuous positive airway pressure postextubation in premature infants. J Clin Neonatol. 2013 Apr;2:75-7.
- 2. Ignacio L, Alfaleh K. Heated Humidified High-Flow Nasal Cannulae: A Good Alternative to Nasal Continuous Positive Airway Pressure. J Clin Neonatol. 2014 Jul;3:139-40.

DOI: 10.4103/2249-4847.144767