

Nathan Subramaniam, PhD, Series Editor

Pathology of hepatic iron overload

Yves Deugnier, Bruno Turlin

Yves Deugnier, Liver Unit and CIC INSERM 0203, Pontchaillou University Hospital, Rennes 35033, France

Bruno Turlin, Department of Pathology and INSERM U 522, Pontchaillou University Hospital, Rennes 35033, France

Correspondence to: Yves Deugnier, Professor of Hepatology, Liver Unit and CIC INSERM 0203, Pontchaillou University Hospital, Rennes 35033, France. yves.deugnier@univ-rennes1.fr
Telephone: +33-2-99284297 Fax: +33-2-99284112

Received: 2007-03-30 Accepted: 2007-05-09

Abstract

Although progress in imaging and genetics allow for a noninvasive diagnosis of most cases of genetic iron overload, liver pathology remains often useful (1) to assess prognosis by grading fibrosis and seeking for associated lesions and (2) to guide the etiological diagnosis, especially when no molecular marker is available. Then, the type of liver siderosis (parenchymal, mesenchymal or mixed) and its distribution throughout the lobule and the liver are useful means for suggesting its etiology: HLA-linked hemochromatosis gene (HFE) hemochromatosis or other rare genetic hemochromatosis, nonhemochromatotic genetic iron overload (ferroportin disease, aceruloplasminemia), or iron overload secondary to excessive iron supply, inflammatory syndrome, noncirrhotic chronic liver diseases including dysmetabolic iron overload syndrome, cirrhosis, and blood disorders.

© 2007 WJG. All rights reserved.

Key words: Iron; Liver; Biopsy; Hemochromatosis; Ferroportin; HLA-linked hemochromatosis gene; Hcpidin; Metabolic syndrome

Deugnier Y, Turlin B. Pathology of hepatic iron overload. *World J Gastroenterol* 2007; 13(35): 4755-4760

<http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/13/4755.asp>

INTRODUCTION

Progress in molecular genetics and in liver imaging have allowed for the noninvasive diagnosis of most cases of inherited disorders of iron metabolism. However, liver pathology remains often useful to assess associated lesions—especially fibrosis—in patients with HLA linked hemochromatosis gene (HFE) and, mainly, nonHFE hemochromato-

sis, and to guide the etiological diagnosis of iron overload in the absence of a molecular marker. Moreover, because iron is more and more considered as a putative (co) factor of morbidity in patients with chronic liver diseases of various causes, iron excess must be recognized, indicated, qualified, quantified and interpreted even when it seems to be contingent upon a well established hepatic disorder.

ASSERTION OF IRON OVERLOAD

In the normal liver, iron is present at a concentration lower than 20 $\mu\text{mol/g}$ of dry weight^[1]. But, it is not histologically visible. Iron deposits are usually difficult to identify on usual stains unless they are abundant. Therefore, every liver biopsy should be routinely stained using not only hematoxylin-eosin-safran and connective stains, but also iron stain. Because it is easier and more reproducible than the Tirmann-Schmeltzer's method, Perls'staining is the most widely used, despite, it identifies Fe^{2+} only^[2].

DESCRIPTION OF IRON OVERLOAD^[2,3]

Distribution

The cellular distribution of iron deposits within hepatocytes, sinusoidal and portal macrophages, sinusoidal and portal endothelial cells, and biliary cells must be precisely described according to lobular areas in order to differentiate the following types of liver siderosis.

Parenchymal iron overload: It is related to intestinal iron hyperabsorption. Then, because it comes to the liver through the portal vein, iron deposits within hepatocytes as fine granules at the biliary pole of cells, and is distributed throughout the lobule according to a decreasing gradient from periportal to centrolobular areas. Mesenchymal iron deposits may be found at a latter stage when the amount of hepatocytic iron is high and responsible for sideronecrosis.

Mesenchymal iron overload: It corresponds to iron deposition within Kupffer cells and/or portal macrophages. Iron loaded cells are either isolated or grouped together without any lobular systematization. When associated, hepatocytic iron deposits are rough, sparse and usually located within cells close to iron loaded macrophages.

Mixed iron overload: It presents with the histological characteristics of the previous two types and corresponds usually to complex conditions or to massive iron loading.

Table 1 Histological grading of iron storage^[2]

Grade	Ease of observation and magnification required
0	Granules absent or barely discernible at × 400
1	Granules barely discernible at × 250 and easily confirmed at × 250
2	Discrete granules resolved at × 100
3	Discrete granules resolved at × 25
4	Masses visible at × 10, or naked eye

Table 2 Histological grades of iron storage from Deugnier Y and Turlin B^[3-12]

Hepatocytic iron	0, 3, 6, 9 or 12	HIS
	According granules size In each Rappaport area	0-36
Sinusoidal iron	0, 1, 2, 3 or 4	SIS
	According granules size In each Rappaport area	0-12
Portal iron	0, 1, 2, 3 or 4	PIS
	According to % of iron overloaded macrophages, biliary cells, and vascular walls	0-12
Total iron score		0-60

HIS: hepatocytic iron score; SIS: sinusoidal iron score; PIS: portal iron score.

It is also important to precise whether iron distribution throughout the liver is homogeneous or not, i.e. whether lobules (or, in case of cirrhosis, nodules) are equally iron loaded or not^[4,5].

Quantification

Biochemical hepatic iron concentration: Irrespective of the method used (colorimetry or atomic absorption), the biochemical determination of hepatic iron concentration (HIC) is considered as the reference method for quantifying iron in the liver^[1,6]. In normal subjects, HIC ranges from 10 to 36 μmol/g of dry weight. Iron excess is considered as mild up to 150, moderate between 150 and 300, and important above 300. Cases with HIC greater than 1000 are exceptional. Results obtained from fresh tissue and from deparaffinized blocks are equivalent^[7]. Therefore, determination of HIC on deparaffinized tissue should be the rule because it allows for histological control. This is especially relevant when iron distribution is heterogeneous as in the cirrhotic liver^[4,5].

Histological semiquantitative assessment: Several scoring systems have been proposed. The Scheuer's scoring system, either in its original presentation^[8] or modified according to Rowe *et al*^[9] or to Searle *et al*^[2] is widely used because it is simple (Table 1). However, it was not satisfactorily validated. The system proposed by the authors (Table 2) was well validated in both hemochromatotic^[10,11] and nonhemochromatotic iron overload disorders^[12]. But, it remains mainly used for research purposes due to its relative complexity.

ETIOLOGICAL DIAGNOSIS

Both the type of iron overload and associated hepatic

Table 3 Main causes of hepatic iron overload according to the histological type of siderosis and associated lesions

Parenchymal iron overload
With normal liver
Early genetic hemochromatosis
Nontransfused dysmyelopoiesis
Hereditary aceruloplasminemia
With cirrhosis
Iron overload secondary to cirrhosis
Mixed iron overload
With normal liver
Insulin resistance syndrome
Ferroportin disease
Transfused dysmyelopoiesis
Oral or parenteral iron supplementation
With steatosis or steatohepatitis
Insulin resistance syndrome
Chronic alcoholism
With chronic hepatitis
Hepatitis C or B
Wilson's disease
With intrahepatocytic inclusions
Porphyria cutanea tarda
With cirrhosis
Late genetic hemochromatosis
Mesenchymal iron overload
With normal liver
Inflammatory syndrome
Repeated transfusions

lesions may guide towards the right etiology (Table 3).

Genetic iron overload

Genetic hemochromatosis: Genetic hemochromatosis^[3] corresponds to 4 disorders transmitted as autosomal recessive traits, two with late onset (adult type: HFE hemochromatosis and iron overload related to mutation on the receptor transferrin 2 gene) and two with early onset (juvenile type related to mutations on the hemojuvelin or the hepcidin gene). From a histological point of view, these disorders are very similar because of a common pathophysiology consisting in an impairment of hepcidin production whose degree modulates the severity of iron burden^[13].

In early GH, iron remains located within hepatocytes, at the biliary pole of cells. It is distributed according to a decreasing gradient from periportal to centrolobular areas. This results in a typical parenchymal iron overload pattern.

With the passage of time, hepatocytic iron load increases, and then periportal sideronecrosis occurs. Sideronecrosis is responsible for macrophage activation, which leads to both development of fibrosis, and redistribution of iron towards nonparenchymal cells. In the absence of other cause of chronic liver disease, cirrhosis develops when hepatic iron concentration exceeds 400 μmol/g. GH related cirrhosis consists of large fibrous septa resembling biliary cirrhosis, while preserving the vascular architecture of the liver for a long time. This likely explains why portal hypertension and hepatic failure are rare features in GH patients. According to series, 25% to 50% of GH patients are still diagnosed at the cirrhotic stage.

Liver cancer is a frequent complication in GH^[10]. Most cases are hepatocellular carcinomas (HCC) developed in

a cirrhotic liver. However, some cases of HCC have been reported in GH patients with no cirrhosis, and frequency of cholangiocarcinoma reports is increasing^[14]. Two types of preneoplastic lesions have been reported and should be sought for at histological examination: (1) Iron-free-foci^[15] consist of sublobular nodular clusters of hepatocytes devoid of iron or with a low iron content within an otherwise iron-overloaded liver. Most often, they exhibit a proliferative pattern with either large or small cell dysplasia in 50% of cases. More than half the patients with IFF on their initial liver biopsy will develop. (2) Von Meyenburg complexes have also been reported as abnormally numerous in the surrounding liver of patients with GH complicated with cholangiocarcinoma^[14].

Nonhemochromatotic genetic iron overload

The ferroportin disease: The ferroportin disease^[16] is a dominant hereditary iron overload disorder characterized by phenotypic variability. In most cases, iron deposits are found within macrophages (mesenchymal type) with no significant fibrosis. This corresponds to the classical asymptomatic form with elevated hyperferritinemia contrasting with normal or mildly increased transferrin saturation. Rarely, iron is predominantly located within parenchymal cells, and the histological picture is similar to that of genetic hemochromatosis with, in some cases, either severe fibrosis or cirrhosis. Then, transferrin saturation is usually markedly elevated.

Hereditary aceruloplasminemia: Hereditary aceruloplasminemia^[17,18] is an exceptional disease transmitted as a recessive trait. Under the lens, iron is found predominantly in parenchymal cells. No case of liver cirrhosis has been described even in the most iron overloaded cases.

African iron overload : African iron overload^[19] is a rare disorder characterized by a mixed hepatic iron overload frequently complicated with cirrhosis. It is related to excessive iron intake, and likely underlain by non*HFE* genetic factors.

Excessive iron supply

When brought parenterally: (i.e. through multiple transfusions), iron is initially localized within Kupffer cells and portal macrophages. With time, it is usually redistributed towards surrounding parenchymal cells, which results in a mixed and heterogenous pattern.

In case of excessive chronic iron intake: mixed hepatic iron overload may develop as reported in elite road cyclists^[20].

Inflammatory syndrome

It is a frequent cause of mesenchymal hepatic siderosis related to a defect of iron release from Kupffer cells due to increased production of hepcidin^[13]. Iron deposits are usually sparse and distributed throughout the lobule.

Noncirrhotic chronic liver diseases.

Dysmetabolic iron overload syndrome (DIOS): Dios

is a frequent condition corresponding to the association of an unexplained hepatic iron overload with usually normal transferrin saturation and features of metabolic syndrome^[21,22]. The histological pattern of DIOS is mixed, both mesenchymal (throughout the entire lobule) and parenchymal (predominating in periportal area)^[23]. Iron excess is usually mild and averages 100 $\mu\text{mol/g}$. However, in 30% of cases, the hepatic iron concentration to age ratio exceeds 2, a threshold previously considered as highly suggestive of GH before the discovery of the *HFE* gene. Either steatosis or steatohepatitis is present in 50% of cases. Bridging fibrosis or cirrhosis is found in 12% of cases. Whether iron may be involved in the development of fibrosis in DIOS patients, and its removal may be beneficial remains debated.

Alcoholic liver disease: Mixed and mild hepatic siderosis is found in 5% to 20% of chronic alcoholics, even in the absence of cirrhosis. A direct effect of alcohol on hepcidin production could be involved^[24].

Chronic hepatitis: Hepatic iron deposition is found in 35% to 56% of patients with chronic hepatitis. This was especially demonstrated in patients with chronic hepatitis C. The histological pattern is usually mesenchymal with frequent iron deposits in endothelial cells. Iron excess has been shown to be correlated with necrotico-inflammatory changes, and to decrease after interferon therapy. Moreover, iron removal before or at the time of interferon therapy could result in histological improvement, even in nonresponders^[25].

Wilson disease: Mixed iron overload is frequently found in the liver of patients with Wilson disease. Its mechanism is likely multifactorial, and involves low serum ceruloplasmin levels, hemolysis, necrotico-inflammatory changes and cirrhosis.

Cirrhosis: Significant liver siderosis is found in 35 to 78% of patients with end-stage cirrhosis, irrespective of the cause of cirrhosis^[4,5]. Iron deposits are mainly located within hepatocytes, predominate in remaining periportal areas, and, in some cases, can mimic GH. However, liver siderosis secondary to cirrhosis is distributed very heterogeneously from a nodule to another and absent from fibrous septa, biliary cells and vascular walls. This usually allows for correct diagnosis, and points to the need, in case of cirrhosis, for interpreting hepatic iron concentration according to histological findings. It is likely that nontransferrin bound iron (NTBI) plays a key role in the development of iron overload in cirrhosis^[26]. Indeed, in severe cirrhosis, serum transferrin levels are low due to hepatic failure which results in increased saturation of transferrin and, then, in the appearance of NTBI, a special form of iron that is avidly taken up by hepatocytes.

Hepatocellular carcinoma: Parenchymal or mixed iron overload is frequently present in the nontumorous part of the liver of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), whether they have cirrhosis or not^[27]. This supports the

(co)carcinogenic role of iron suggested by numerous experimental and epidemiological studies^[28].

Blood disorders

Porphyria cutanea tarda: Mixed, heterogeneous and mild hepatic siderosis is encountered in 60% to 70% of patients with porphyria cutanea tarda (PCT). Searching for intrahepatocytic inclusions when faced with mixed iron overload is suitable, because the clinical diagnosis of PCT may be missed.

Dyserythroipoiesis: In well compensated dyserythropoietic syndromes, intestinal iron absorption is increased secondary to impairment in iron incorporation into red cell precursors. With time, severe hepatic iron overload resembling GH may develop, even in the absence of blood transfusions. Once transfusions are required, iron deposits in both parenchymal and mesenchymal cells resulting in a mixed pattern.

PLACE OF LIVER BIOPSY IN THE MANAGEMENT OF IRON OVERLOAD DISORDERS

Recent progress in both imaging and genetics have resulted in reducing the role of liver biopsy in the diagnosis of hepatic iron overload.

Positive diagnosis: MRI allows for a specific and sensitive detection and reliable quantification of excessive hepatic iron content when comprised between twice the upper limit of normal and 300 $\mu\text{mol/g}$ dry weight^[29]. Then, liver biopsy is no longer necessary to ascertain iron overload.

Aetiological diagnosis: MRI also allows for classifying iron excess as parenchymal, mesenchymal or mixed according to organ iron deposition (liver and/or spleen and/or spine)^[30]. Then it provides guidance for the aetiological diagnosis process, especially with respect to the first choice of genotyping tests. This was recently well illustrated by Pietrangelo *et al*^[31] in patients with ferroportin mutations. Moreover, in these patients, MRI contributed to establish phenotypic/genotypic correlations, and to understand the pathophysiology of the disease by demonstrating, beside the classical mixed pattern of iron accumulation, a non-classical parenchymal pattern related to the N144H ferroportin mutation^[31].

Disease severity: Once the positive and aetiological diagnosis of hepatic iron overload has been made, it is mandatory to assess the degree of hepatic damage, that is to determine whether severe fibrosis has developed or not. This will remain the major goal of liver biopsy as long as noninvasive tests for fibrosis-including biochemical markers, and elastometry-are not validated in patients with iron overload syndromes.

Currently, indication of liver biopsy can be discussed according to the phenotypic presentation of iron excess.

In case of hemochromatotic phenotype (= increased transferrin saturation with parenchymal iron deposition),

performing liver biopsy depends on HFE genotyping:

In C282Y homozygotes, liver biopsy is no longer necessary for diagnosis, but remains suitable with respect to prognosis. Guyader *et al*^[32] demonstrated that, when the liver was not clinically enlarged AND serum ferritin level was lower than 1000 ng/mL AND serum AST level was normal, there was never significant liver fibrosis (i.e. grade 3 or 4 fibrosis according to the METAVIR scoring system). On the contrary, when one, two or all these conditions were not met, there was a significant risk of fibrosis calculated as $1/(1 + \exp[-(-6.7620 + 3.2934 \text{AST}_{(\text{u/l})} + 0.0013 \text{ferritin}_{(\text{ng/ml})} + 2.5317 \text{hepatomegaly}_{(0-1)})])$. Accuracy of Guyader's algorithm was further validated in Canadian patients^[32]. Since then, other equations of prediction of (non)fibrosis have been proposed^[33,34] based upon age and serum ferritin level or serum ferritin level, serum AST level and platelets count. But, either they were not further correctly validated or they were not as simple for clinical use as Guyader's algorithm. Then, currently there is a global consensus to perform liver biopsy for fibrosis evaluation in C282Y homozygotes with either increased liver size, serum ferritin level higher than 1000 ng/mL or abnormal serum AST levels except when the diagnosis of cirrhosis is clinically obvious or when the predictive equation gives a risk close to 100%. Recently, Powell *et al*^[35] showed that obesity-related steatosis may have a role as a cofactor in liver injury-especially fibrosis-in C282Y homozygotes. This has clinically important implications, but does not modify indications of liver biopsy in these patients.

In a C282Y-H63D compound heterozygote presenting with mild increase in transferrin saturation-usually comprised between 45% and 60% and in serum ferritin-usually < 500 ng/mL and with no biochemical abnormalities and clinical liver symptoms, it can be reasonably assumed that the HFE genotype is responsible for the abnormalities in iron metabolism^[36], and that the patient is free of risk of fibrosis. Then, liver biopsy is not necessary.

In all other cases, the diagnostic procedure must be conducted irrespective of HFE genotype. Indeed, C282Y and H63D heterozygosity as well as H63D homozygosity not only are frequent (up to 1/3 of subjects in European general populations), but do not result, in a given subject, in clinically relevant perturbances of iron metabolism even if large genotyping studies^[36-39] have shown that some of them induced slight but significant increase in serum ferritin and/or transferrin saturation. Then, liver biopsy remains suitable to search for an additional cause of either iron overload or chronic liver disease. The most frequent finding is heterogeneous parenchymal iron overload complicating alcoholic or viral liver cirrhosis^[4,5,40]. Much more rarely, liver biopsy discovers marked iron overload suggesting an associated mutation on another gene involved in iron metabolism. In that case, the precise description of iron deposition, and associated lesions may participate in defining the choice of diagnostic molecular tests: mesenchymal or mixed iron deposition with no significant fibrosis is suggestive of ferroportin disease (which sometimes presents with TS > 60%) while parenchymal iron overload suggests the diagnostic

of juvenile haemochromatosis in a young adult with usually severe fibrosis (mutation on the hemojuvelin or hepcidin gene) and that of transferrin receptor 2-related haemochromatosis in an adult with or without fibrosis.

In the absence of hemochromatotic phenotype (= low, normal or slightly elevated transferrin saturation), the question is whether increased serum ferritin levels are related to iron overload or not. MRI can replace liver biopsy to answer this question, and histological examination of the liver can be restricted to patients with significant iron deposition at MRI (i.e. hepatic iron concentration > 100 µmol/g dry weight) and/or elevated serum transaminase levels and/or abnormal noninvasive predictive tests of fibrosis^[41]. In such a situation, the most frequent finding is mild and mixed iron overload with either metabolic or alcoholic steatohepatitis or chronic hepatitis C or porphyria cutanea tarda. Much more rarely, histological examination reveals marked iron overload with no significant fibrosis corresponding to ferroportin disease (mesenchymal type-normal or slightly increased transferrin saturation) or to hereditary aceruloplasminemia (parenchymal type-low transferrin saturation).

Due to the widespread use of genotyping, of MRI and of noninvasive predictive markers of hepatic fibrosis, liver biopsy is less and less performed for diagnostic and prognostic purposes in C282Y homozygous patients. Conversely, it remains often necessary in other patients in order to guide the etiological diagnosis of hepatic iron overload by describing and semi-quantifying iron excess and by assessing associated lesions.

REFERENCES

- 1 **Imbert-Bismut F**, Charlotte F, Turlin B, Khalil L, Piton A, Brissot P, Le Charpentier Y, Delattre J, Opolon P, Deugnier Y, Poynard T. Low hepatic iron concentration: evaluation of two complementary methods, colorimetric assay and iron histological scoring. *J Clin Pathol* 1999; **52**: 430-434
- 2 **Turlin B**, Deugnier Y. Evaluation and interpretation of iron in the liver. *Semin Diagn Pathol* 1998; **15**: 237-245
- 3 **Deugnier YM**, Loréal O, Turlin B, Guyader D, Jouanolle H, Moirand R, Jacquelinet C, Brissot P. Liver pathology in genetic hemochromatosis: a review of 135 homozygous cases and their bioclinical correlations. *Gastroenterology* 1992; **102**: 2050-2059
- 4 **Deugnier Y**, Turlin B, le Quilleuc D, Moirand R, Loréal O, Messner M, Meunier B, Brissot P, Launois B. A reappraisal of hepatic siderosis in patients with end-stage cirrhosis: practical implications for the diagnosis of hemochromatosis. *Am J Surg Pathol* 1997; **21**: 669-675
- 5 **Ludwig J**, Hashimoto E, Porayko MK, Moyer TP, Baldus WP. Hemosiderosis in cirrhosis: a study of 447 native livers. *Gastroenterology* 1997; **112**: 882-888
- 6 **Brissot P**, Bourel M, Herry D, Verger JP, Messner M, Beaumont C, Regnouard F, Ferrand B, Simon M. Assessment of liver iron content in 271 patients: a reevaluation of direct and indirect methods. *Gastroenterology* 1981; **80**: 557-565
- 7 **Olynyk JK**, O'Neill R, Britton RS, Bacon BR. Determination of hepatic iron concentration in fresh and paraffin-embedded tissue: diagnostic implications. *Gastroenterology* 1994; **106**: 674-647
- 8 **Scheuer P**, Williams R, Muir A. Hepatic pathology in relatives of patients with haemochromatosis. *J Pathol Bacteriol* 1962; **84**: 53-64
- 9 **Rowe JW**, Wands JR, Mezey E, Waterbury LA, Wright JR, Tobin J, Andres R. Familial hemochromatosis: characteristics of the precirrhotic stage in a large kindred. *Medicine* (Baltimore) 1977; **56**: 197-211
- 10 **Deugnier YM**, Guyader D, Crantock L, Lopez JM, Turlin B, Yaouanq J, Jouanolle H, Campion JP, Launois B, Halliday JW. Primary liver cancer in genetic hemochromatosis: a clinical, pathological, and pathogenetic study of 54 cases. *Gastroenterology* 1993; **104**: 228-234
- 11 **Deugnier YM**, Turlin B, Powell LW, Summers KM, Moirand R, Fletcher L, Loréal O, Brissot P, Halliday JW. Differentiation between heterozygotes and homozygotes in genetic hemochromatosis by means of a histological hepatic iron index: a study of 192 cases. *Hepatology* 1993; **17**: 30-34
- 12 **Turlin B**, Deugnier Y. Histological assessment of liver siderosis. *J Clin Pathol* 1997; **50**: 971
- 13 **Loréal O**, Haziza-Pigeon C, Troadec MB, Detivaud L, Turlin B, Courselaud B, Ilyin G, Brissot P. Hepcidin in iron metabolism. *Curr Protein Pept Sci* 2005; **6**: 279-291
- 14 **Morcos M**, Dubois S, Bralet MP, Belghiti J, Degott C, Terris B. Primary liver carcinoma in genetic hemochromatosis reveals a broad histologic spectrum. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2001; **116**: 738-743
- 15 **Deugnier YM**, Charalambous P, Le Quilleuc D, Turlin B, Searle J, Brissot P, Powell LW, Halliday JW. Preneoplastic significance of hepatic iron-free foci in genetic hemochromatosis: a study of 185 patients. *Hepatology* 1993; **18**: 1363-1369
- 16 **Pietrangelo A**. The ferroportin disease. *Blood Cells Mol Dis* 2004; **32**: 131-138
- 17 **Kono S**, Suzuki H, Takahashi K, Takahashi Y, Shirakawa K, Murakawa Y, Yamaguchi S, Miyajima H. Hepatic iron overload associated with a decreased serum ceruloplasmin level in a novel clinical type of aceruloplasminemia. *Gastroenterology* 2006; **131**: 240-245
- 18 **Miyajima H**. Genetic disorders affecting proteins of iron and copper metabolism: clinical implications. *Intern Med* 2002; **41**: 762-769
- 19 **Gordeuk VR**. African iron overload. *Semin Hematol* 2002; **39**: 263-269
- 20 **Deugnier Y**, Loréal O, Carré F, Duvallet A, Zoulum F, Vinel JP, Paris JC, Blaison D, Moirand R, Turlin B, Gandon Y, David V, Mégret A, Guinot M. Increased body iron stores in elite road cyclists. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2002; **34**: 876-880
- 21 **Mendler MH**, Turlin B, Moirand R, Jouanolle AM, Sapey T, Guyader D, Le Gall JY, Brissot P, David V, Deugnier Y. Insulin resistance-associated hepatic iron overload. *Gastroenterology* 1999; **117**: 1155-1163
- 22 **Moirand R**, Mortaji AM, Loréal O, Paillard F, Brissot P, Deugnier Y. A new syndrome of liver iron overload with normal transferrin saturation. *Lancet* 1997; **349**: 95-97
- 23 **Turlin B**, Mendler MH, Moirand R, Guyader D, Guillygomarc'h A, Deugnier Y. Histologic features of the liver in insulin resistance-associated iron overload. A study of 139 patients. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2001; **116**: 263-270
- 24 **Bridle K**, Cheung TK, Murphy T, Walters M, Anderson G, Crawford DG, Fletcher LM. Hepcidin is down-regulated in alcoholic liver injury: implications for the pathogenesis of alcoholic liver disease. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 2006; **30**: 106-112
- 25 **Guyader D**, Thirouard AS, Erdtmann L, Rakba N, Jacquelinet S, Danielou H, Perrin M, Jouanolle AM, Brissot P, Deugnier Y. Liver iron is a surrogate marker of severe fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C. *J Hepatol* 2007; **46**: 587-955
- 26 **Brissot P**, Loréal O. Role of non-transferrin-bound iron in the pathogenesis of iron overload and toxicity. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2002; **509**: 45-53
- 27 **Turlin B**, Juguet F, Moirand R, Le Quilleuc D, Loréal O, Campion JP, Launois B, Ramée MP, Brissot P, Deugnier Y. Increased liver iron stores in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma developed on a noncirrhotic liver. *Hepatology* 1995; **22**: 446-450
- 28 **Deugnier Y**. Iron and liver cancer. *Alcohol* 2003; **30**: 145-150
- 29 **Gandon Y**, Olivie D, Guyader D, Aubé C, Oberti F, Sebillé V, Deugnier Y. Non-invasive assessment of hepatic iron stores by MRI. *Lancet* 2004; **363**: 357-362
- 30 **Pietrangelo A**. Non-invasive assessment of hepatic iron overload: are we finally there? *J Hepatol* 2005; **42**: 153-154
- 31 **Pietrangelo A**, Corradini E, Ferrara F, Vegetti A, De Jong G, Luca Abbati G, Paolo Arcuri P, Martinelli S, Cerofolini E.

- Magnetic resonance imaging to identify classic and nonclassic forms of ferroportin disease. *Blood Cells Mol Dis* 2006; **37**: 192-196
- 32 **Guyader D**, Jacquelinet C, Moirand R, Turlin B, Mendler MH, Chaperon J, David V, Brissot P, Adams P, Deugnier Y. Noninvasive prediction of fibrosis in C282Y homozygous hemochromatosis. *Gastroenterology* 1998; **115**: 929-936
- 33 **Beaton M**, Guyader D, Deugnier Y, Moirand R, Chakrabarti S, Adams P. Noninvasive prediction of cirrhosis in C282Y-linked hemochromatosis. *Hepatology* 2002; **36**: 673-678
- 34 **Morrison ED**, Brandhagen DJ, Phatak PD, Barton JC, Krawitt EL, El-Serag HB, Gordon SC, Galan MV, Tung BY, Ioannou GN, Kowdley KV. Serum ferritin level predicts advanced hepatic fibrosis among U.S. patients with phenotypic hemochromatosis. *Ann Intern Med* 2003; **138**: 627-633
- 35 **Powell EE**, Ali A, Clouston AD, Dixon JL, Lincoln DJ, Purdie DM, Fletcher LM, Powell LW, Jonsson JR. Steatosis is a cofactor in liver injury in hemochromatosis. *Gastroenterology* 2005; **129**: 1937-1943
- 36 **Moirand R**, Jouanolle AM, Brissot P, Le Gall JY, David V, Deugnier Y. Phenotypic expression of HFE mutations: a French study of 1110 unrelated iron-overloaded patients and relatives. *Gastroenterology* 1999; **116**: 372-377
- 37 **Beutler E**, Felitti VJ, Koziol JA, Ho NJ, Gelbart T. Penetrance of 845G--> A (C282Y) HFE hereditary haemochromatosis mutation in the USA. *Lancet* 2002; **359**: 211-218
- 38 **Deugnier Y**, Jouanolle AM, Chaperon J, Moirand R, Pithois C, Meyer JF, Pouchard M, Lafraise B, Brigand A, Caserio-Schoenemann C, Mosser J, Adams P, Le Gall JY, David V. Gender-specific phenotypic expression and screening strategies in C282Y-linked haemochromatosis: a study of 9396 French people. *Br J Haematol* 2002; **118**: 1170-1178
- 39 **Adams PC**, Reboussin DM, Barton JC, McLaren CE, Eckfeldt JH, McLaren GD, Dawkins FW, Acton RT, Harris EL, Gordeuk VR, Leiendecker-Foster C, Speechley M, Snively BM, Holup JL, Thomson E, Sholinsky P. Hemochromatosis and iron-overload screening in a racially diverse population. *N Engl J Med* 2005; **352**: 1769-1778
- 40 **Villeneuve JP**, Bilodeau M, Lepage R, Côté J, Lefebvre M. Variability in hepatic iron concentration measurement from needle-biopsy specimens. *J Hepatol* 1996; **25**: 172-177
- 41 **Lainé F**, Bendavid C, Moirand R, Tessier S, Perrin M, Guillygomarc'h A, Guyader D, Calon E, Renault A, Brissot P, Turlin B, Deugnier Y. Prediction of liver fibrosis in patients with features of the metabolic syndrome regardless of alcohol consumption. *Hepatology* 2004; **39**: 1639-1646

S- Editor Liu Y L- Editor Alpini GD E- Editor Ma WH