Original Article Association of DNMT3B -283 T > C and -579 G > T polymorphisms with decreased cancer risk: evidence from a meta-analysis

Yang Zhang*, Haisheng Xu*, Yi Shen, Zhimin Gong, Tianlin Xiao

Department of Oncology, Xiangyang Central Hospital, Medical College, Hubei University of Arts and Science, Xiangyang, Hubei 441000, P.R. China. *Equal contributors and co-first authors.

Received April 18, 2015; Accepted August 12, 2015; Epub August 15, 2015; Published August 30, 2015

Abstract: Numerous studies have explored the association of polymorphisms in the DNA methyltransferase 3b (DNMT3B) gene with the risk of different types of cancer, but yielded controversial results. Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis to derive a more precise estimation of the association between three widely-studied DNMT3B polymorphisms and overall cancer susceptibility. Totally, 4 studies with 1234 cases and 1337 controls were eligible for DNMT3B -283 T > C (rs6087990), 19 studies with 5332 cases and 7407 controls for DNMT3B -149 C > T (rs2424913), and 14 studies with 3933 cases and 4436 controls for DNMT3B -579 G > T (rs1569686). Overall, DNMT3B -283 T > C was associated with a significantly reduced risk of overall cancer (T vs. C: OR = 0.84, 95% CI = 0.71-0.99, P = 0.039). Likewise, the association of DNMT3B-579 G > T with a decreased overall cancer risk was also observed (heterozygous: OR = 0.77, 95% CI = 0.65-0.91, P = 0.003 and dominant: OR = 0.80, 95% CI = 0.66-0.98, P = 0.029); in the subgroup analysis, the protective association was found for lung and colorectal cancer, but not for head and neck cancer. Finally, the pooled analysis showed no significant association between DNMT3B-149 C > T and overall cancer susceptibility, but stratification analysis indicated that this polymorphism decreased the risk of developing head and neck cancer (heterozygous: OR = 0.73, 95% CI = 0.59-0.90, P = 0.003 and dominant: OR = 0.76, 95% CI = 0.61-0.93, P = 0.009). In conclusion, our results suggested that DNMT3B -283 T > C and DNMT3B -579 G > T but DNMT3B -149 C > T might confer protection against overall cancer risk. In the future, large and well-designed case-control studies are needed to validate our findings.

Keywords: DNMT3B, polymorphisms, cancer, risk, meta-analysis

Introduction

Cancer is a serious public health problem, with over 12 million new cancer cases diagnosed worldwide each year [1]. Genetic factors play an important role in the development of cancer [2]. DNA methylation, one of the epigenetic markers, can regulate the gene activity and may contribute to the initiation and development of cancer. In human, aberrations of DNA methylation have been found to associate with inactivating of microRNA, which is involved in the maintenance of global gene expression patterns. Moreover, DNA methylation also participates in the modification of histone structure that is commonly disrupted in cancer cells [3-7]. DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) are the key effectors to establish and maintain proper DNA methylation patterns by converting cytosine residues to 5-methylcytosine (5 mC) within the cytosine-guanine (CpG) dinucleotides [8, 9]. DNMTs family encompasses three active forms, DNMT1, DNMT3A and DNMT3B. DNMT1 has been reported to maintain DNA methylation patterns during replication, whereas DNMT3A and DNMT3B are responsible for *de novo* DNA methylation during embryogenesis and germ cell development [4, 6, 10]. Overexpression of DNMT3B has been found in several different types of tumors, suggesting that DNMT3B plays a critical role in carcinogenesis [11-13].

Human *DNMT3B* gene is located on chromosome 20q11.2 and encodes DNA methyltrans-

ferase 3b (DNMT3B). Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the promoter of DNMT3B gene have been reported to be associated with a wide spectrum of cancer, such as colorectal cancer, head and neck cancer, gastric cancer, lung cancer and acute myeloid leukemia [14-18]. Among them, the following three promoter SNPs may be able to alter the promoter activity: DNMT3B -149 C > T (rs2424913, in the transcription start site), DNMT3B -579 G > T (rs1569686, in the exon 1B transcription start site) and DNMT3B -283 T > C (rs6087990, in the exon 1A transcription start site). The three SNPs and their association with cancer risk have been widely studied. However, the individual studies have appeared to either support or negate the association of these SNPs with cancer susceptibility. Therefore, there is an urgent need to determine whether there is a truly association between them.

So far, there were two previously published meta-analyses conducted in 2012 focusing on the association of DNMT3B polymorphisms with cancer risk. One of them only involved DNMT3B -149 C > T and colorectal cancer, and the other was performed to merely investigate the association between DNMT3B -149 C > T and DNMT3B -579 G > T and cancer risk [19. 20]. Recently, there have been at least 9 newly published studies, concerning the relationship between these two DNMT3B polymorphisms and cancer. In addition, DNMT3B -283 T > C polymorphism was not evaluated in the two previous meta-analyses. Hence, we performed an updated meta-analysis to assess the association between the three most frequently reported DNMT3B SNPs (-149 C > T, -579 G > T and -283 T > C) and overall cancer risk.

Material and methods

Identification and eligibility of relevant studies

A systematic literature review was performed by searching the PubMed and EMBASE electronic databases before December 31, 2014. The following key words were used for the literature search: "DNMT3B or DNA methyltransferase 3B", "polymorphism or variant" and "cancer or carcinoma or tumor or neoplasm". We also conducted a hand search of reference lists of original and review articles for extra eligible studies.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria

Studies were eligible for inclusion in this metaanalysis if they met the following criteria: 1) case-control studies; 2) focused on the association of *DNMT3B* polymorphisms (-149 C > T, -579 G > T and -283 T > C) and cancer risk; 3) studies with sufficient raw data for estimating odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals [21]. Exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) reviews; 2) cancer-only studies; 3) publication with overlapped subjects; 4) genotype distribution of control group was not in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). If studies had partly overlapped subjects, only the latest study or the one with largest sample size was chosen.

Data extraction

Using a standardized form, information from all the publications were independently extracted by two of the authors. We recorded the first author, year of publication, cancer type, genotype methods, ethnicity, and numbers of cases and controls with the different genotypes for each of *DNMT3B* SNPs. In the subgroup analysis by cancer type, if there was only one study regarding a specific type of cancer, we merged the study into "other" group.

Quality assessment

We assessed the quality of each study using the quality assessment criteria [22, 23]. The quality assessment criteria included seven aspects: 1) representativeness of case, 0-2 points; 2) representativeness of control, 0-3 points; 3) ascertainment of cancer case, 0-2 points; 4) control selection, 0-2 points; 5) genotyping examination, 0-2 points; 6) HWE; 0-1 points; and 7) total sample size, 0-3 points. Quality scores ranged from 0 to 15. Studies with scores > 9 were considered as high quality studies; otherwise, studies were considered to have a low quality.

Statistical analysis

We conducted all statistical analysis using STATA software (Version 11.0; Stata Corp LP, College Station, TX). ORs and their corresponding 95% CI were applied to evaluate the strength of association between *DNMT3B* gene polymorphisms and cancer risk. Heterogeneity between studies was tested by Chi square-



Figure 1. Flow diagram of included studies.

based Q-test. A P > 0.10 indicated a lack of observed heterogeneity between studies, and then, the fixed-effects model was used to calculate the pooled ORs [24]. Otherwise, the random-effects model was used [25]. Publication bias was checked using Begger's funnel plots and Egger's linear regression test [26].

Results

Study characteristics

As shown in **Figure 1**, a total of 101 potential relevant articles were found. After initial examination of titles and abstracts, 64 publications were excluded. Of the remaining 37 relevant publications, 11 studies were further removed for the following reasons: one was cancer-only study [27], two did not investigate any of the three *DNMT3B* polymorphisms [28, 29], one reported on the same population as another included study [30], two failed to provide sufficient genotype distribution data [31, 32], and five were deviated from HWE [33-37]. As a result, 26 eligible studies were included in the final meta-analysis, including 4 articles (1234 cases and 1337 controls) for *DNMT3B*-283 T >

C [17, 18, 38, 39], 19 articles (5332 cases and 7407 controls) for DNMT3B -149 C > T [14-16, 40-55], and 14 articles (3933 cases and 4436 controls) for DNMT3B -579 G > T [15-18, 38-42, 48, 49, 56-58]. The genotype distributions in control groups for the DNMT3B -149 C > T and DNMT3B -579 G > T polymorphism were all in compliance with HWE in all included studies, whereas the genotype distributions of DNMT3B -283 T > C was deviated from HWE in one study [18]. Since the distribution of DNMT3B -579 G > T followed HWE (HWE = 0.27) in this study [18], we decided to include this one in our final meta-analysis.

As summarized in **Table 1**, all the four studies for *DNMT3B* -283 T > C were conducted among Asians. In term of *DNMT3B* -149 C > T, there

were two head and neck cancer, two gastric cancer, three hepatocellular cancer, and seven colorectal cancer studies, as well as five studies on "other" cancer. Eleven studies focused on Caucasians, seven on Asians and one on mixed ethnicity. For DNMT3B -579 G > T, there were 2 lung cancer, 2 gastric cancer and 2 head and neck cancer studies identified. Moreover, 8 studies were merged into "other" group. Among these studies, 3 studies were conducted on Caucasians and 11 on Asians. All 4 studies were classified as high quality studies for DNMT3B -283 T > C, while 7 and 3 studies were classified as low quality studies for DNMT3B -149 C > T and -579 G > T, respectively.

Meta-analysis results

First of all, individuals with rs6087990 (-283 T > C) TT or CT genotype were not significantly association with cancer risk compared with carriers of wild type CC genotype (TT vs. CC: OR = 0.71, 95% CI = 0.45-1.14, P = 0.161 and CT vs. CC: OR = 0.87, 95% CI = 0.72-1.06, P = 0.170). Similarly, no significantly association were found under the recessive and dominant

Surname	Year	Ethnicity	Cancer type	Genotype method	Case	Control	HWE	Score
-283 T > C								
Zheng	2013	Asian	Acute myeloid leukemia	HRM	317	406	0.01	12
Chang	2008	Asian	Nasopharyngeal carcinomas	MALDI-TOF	259	250	0.57	11
Chang	2007	Asian	Head and neck cancer	MALDI-TOF	226	249	0.60	10
Lee	2005	Asian	Lung cancer	PCR-RFLP	432	432	0.59	13
-149 C > T								
Succi	2014	Caucasian	Head and neck cancer	Real-Time PCR	237	488	0.12	9
Mostowska	2013	Caucasian	Ovarian cancer	HRM	159	180	0.83	9
Lao	2013	Asian	Hepatocellular carcinoma	PCR-RFLP	108	216	0.84	8
Sotelo	2013	Caucasian	Cervical cancer	PCR-RFLP	70	200	0.17	10
Вао	2011	Asian	Colorectal cancer	PCR-RFLP	544	533	0.79	14
Karpinski	2010	Caucasian	Colorectal cancer	PCR-RFLP	186	140	0.74	9
Hu	2010	Asian	Gastric cancer	PCR-RFLP	259	262	0.93	13
Ezzikouri	2009	Caucasian	Hepatocellular carcinoma	PCR-RFLP	96	222	0.88	10
lacopetta	2009	Caucasian	Colorectal cancer	PCR-RFLP	828	949	0.54	14
de Vogel	2009	Caucasian	Colorectal cancer	SBE	703	1810	0.58	14
Liu	2008	Caucasian	Head and neck cancer	PCR-RFLP	832	843	0.15	15
Fan	2008	Asian	Colorectal cancer	PCR-RFLP	137	308	0.91	12
Reeves	2008	Caucasian	Colorectal cancer	PCR-RFLP	194	210	0.29	8
Wu	2007	Asian	Hepatocellular carcinoma	PCR-RFLP	100	140	0.97	10
Jones	2006	Mixed	Colorectal cancer	PCR-SSCP	74	72	0.05	9
Wang	2005	Asian	Gastric cancer	PCR-RFLP	212	294	0.65	13
Singal	2005	Caucasian	Prostate cancer	PCR-RFLP	81	42	0.75	5
Li	2005	Asian	Acute leukemia	PCR-RFLP	160	240	0.84	10
Montgomery	2004	Caucasian	Breast cancer	PCR-RFLP	352	258	0.13	10
-579 G > T								
Zheng	2013	Asian	Acute myeloid leukemia	HRM	317	406	0.27	12
Mostowska	2013	Caucasian	Ovarian cancer	HRM	159	180	0.74	9
Lao	2013	Asian	Hepatocellular carcinoma	PCR-RFLP	114	210	0.33	8
Sotelo	2013	Caucasian	Cervical cancer	PCR-RFLP	70	200	0.99	10
Liu	2012	Asian	Lung cancer	PCR-RFLP	181	135	0.22	12
Вао	2011	Asian	Colorectal cancer	PCR-RFLP	544	533	0.18	14
Srivastava	2010	Asian	Gallbladder carcinoma	PCR-RFLP	209	218	0.25	9
Hu	2010	Asian	Gastric cancer	PCR-RFLP	259	262	0.90	13
Liu	2008	Caucasian	Head and neck cancer	PCR-RFLP	832	843	0.79	15
Fan1	2008	Asian	Esophagus carcinoma	PCR-RFLP	194	210	0.40	12
Fan2	2008	Asian	Colorectal cancer	PCR-RFLP	137	308	0.29	10
Chang	2008	Asian	Nasopharyngeal carcinomas	MALDI-TOF	259	250	0.19	11
Chang	2007	Asian	Head and neck cancer	MALDI-TOF	226	249	0.22	10
Lee	2005	Asian	Lung cancer	PCR-RFLP	432	432	0.52	13

Table 1. Characteristics of eligible studies in this meta-analysis

HRM, high-resolution melting method; MALDI-TOF, matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight; PCR-RFLP, polymerase chain reaction restriction fragment length polymorphisms; SBE, single base extension, SSCP, single-strand conformational polymorphism; HWE, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

model (recessive: OR = 0.71, 95% CI = 0.44-1.12, P = 0.140 and dominant: OR = 0.85, 95% CI = 0.70-1.02, P = 0.080). Interestingly, comparison of allele frequency revealed that T

allele was associated with significantly decreased risk of cancer compared with C allele (T vs. C: OR = 0.84, 95% CI = 0.71-0.99, P = 0.039) (Table 2 and Figure 2).

Variables	N (Case/Control)	Homozygous		Heterozygous		Recessive		Dominant		Allele		
		OR (95% CI)	Phet	OR (95% CI)	P ^{het}	OR (95% CI)	Phet	OR (95% CI)	P ^{het}	OR (95% CI)	P ^{het}	
-283 T > C	3 T > C		TT vs. CC		CT vs. CC		TT vs. (CT + CC)		(CT +TT) vs. CC		T vs. C	
All	4 (1234/1337)	0.71 (0.45-1.14)	0.969	0.87 (0.72-1.06)	0.370	0.71 (0.44-1.12)	0.976	0.85 (0.70-1.02)	0.540	0.84 (0.71-0.99)	0.717	
-149 C > T		CC vs. TT	CC vs. TT CT vs. TT			CC vs. (CT + TT)		(CT +CC) vs. TT		C vs. T		
All	19 (5332/7407)	1.00 (0.88-1.13)	0.132	1.10 (0.89-1.36)	< 0.001	1.00 (0.91-1.10)	0.258	1.07 (0.88-1.30)	0.001	1.01 (0.91-1.12)	0.015	
Cancer type												
Head and neck	2 (1069/1331)	0.80 (0.63-1.01)	0.332	0.73 (0.59-0.90)	0.335	1.00 (0.84-1.20)	0.666	0.76 (0.61-0.93)	0.300	0.91 (0.81-1.02)	0.443	
Hepatocellular	3 (304/578)	1.16 (0.55-2.43)	-	1.20 (0.46-3.15)	0.266	0.98 (0.59-1.63)	-	1.18 (0.45-3.04)	0.267	1.06 (0.47-2.38)	0.273	
Colorectal	7 (2666/4022)	1.06 (0.90-1.25)	0.370	1.08 (0.93-1.26)	0.685	1.00 (0.88-1.13)	0.047	1.07 (0.93-1.23)	0.799	1.01 (0.91-1.11)	0.325	
Gastric	2 (471/556)	-	-	0.64 (0.28-1.45)	0.956	-	-	0.64 (0.28-1.45)	0.956	0.65 (0.29-1.45)	0.962	
Other	5 (822/920)	1.19 (0.85-1.67)	0.147	1.65 (0.92-2.97)	0.003	0.99 (0.77-1.28)	0.300	1.59 (0.89-2.82)	0.002	1.23 (0.87-1.75)	0.004	
Ethnicity												
Caucasian	11 (3738/5342)	1.01 (0.89-1.14)	0.199	1.08 (0.86-1.34)	0.001	1.02 (0.92-1.12)	0.909	1.06 (0.87-1.30)	0.002	1.02 (0.95-1.09)	0.253	
Asian	7 (1520/1993)	4.94 (0.20-122.01)	-	1.06 (0.46-2.47)	0.015	4.52 (0.18-111.74)	-	1.07 (0.45-2.55)	0.011	1.08 (0.45-2.57)	0.009	
Mixed	1(74/72)	0.43 (0.17-1.11)	-	1.67 (0.73-3.80)	-	0.30 (0.14-0.66)	-	1.04 (0.48-2.23)	-	0.64 (0.40-1.02)	-	
-579 G > T	GG vs. TT			GT vs. TT		GG vs. (GT + TT)		(GT + GG) vs. TT		G vs. T		
All	14 (3933/4436)	1.16 (0.73-1.87)	0.006	0.77 (0.65-0.91)	0.021	1.26 (0.85-1.87)	0.014	0.80 (0.66-0.98)	< 0.001	0.86 (0.71-1.04)	< 0.001	
Cancer type												
Lung	2 (613/567)	0.63 (0.20-2.01)	0.442	0.70 (0.52-0.93)	0.323	0.68 (0.21-2.15)	0.431	0.69 (0.52-0.92)	0.416	0.72 (0.56-0.93)	0.525	
Colorectal	2 (681/841)	0.69 (0.06-7.75)	0.139	0.51 (0.37-0.69)	0.410	0.75 (0.07-8.63)	0.136	0.51 (0.38-0.70)	0.698	0.55 (0.41-0.73)	0.953	
Head and neck	2 (1058/1092)	0.86 (0.65-1.13)	-	0.82 (0.64-1.04)	0.950	0.99 (0.81-1.21)	-	0.83 (0.66-1.04)	0.898	0.93 (0.82-1.07)	0.595	
Other	8 (1581/1936)	1.39 (0.71-2.73)	0.014	0.88 (0.69-1.11)	0.073	1.47 (0.81-2.68)	0.014	0.93 (0.69-1.24)	0.004	0.99 (0.74-1.32)	< 0.001	
Ethnicity												
Caucasian	3 (1061/1223)	0.89 (0.70-1.14)	0.813	0.81 (0.65-1.02)	0.925	1.02 (0.85-1.22)	0.803	0.84 (0.70-1.04)	0.906	0.95 (0.84-1.08)	0.783	
Asian	11 (2872/3213)	1.19 (0.55-2.59)	0.014	0.76 (0.61-0.94)	0.005	1.25 (0.59-2.64)	0.020	0.78 (0.60-1.02)	< 0.001	0.82 (0.62-1.08)	< 0.001	

Table 2. Meta-analysis of the association between studied DNMT3B polymorphisms and cancer risk



Figure 2. Forest plot for the association between DNMT3B -283 T > C polymorphism and overall cancer risk (T vs. C).



Figure 3. Forest plot for the association between DNMT3B -579 G > T polymorphism and overall cancer risk (GT + GG vs. TT).

For rs2424913 (-149 C > T), its null association with risk of overall cancer was found (homozygous: OR = 1.00, 95% CI = 0.88-1.13, P = 0.973; heterozygous: OR = 1.10, 95% CI = 0.89-1.36, P = 0.392; recessive: OR = 1.00, 95% CI = 0.91-1.10, P = 0.963; dominant: OR = 1.07, 95% CI = 0.88-1.30, P = 0.513, and comparison of allele frequency: OR = 1.01, 95% Cl = 0.91-1.12, P = 0.210). In the stratified analysis by ethnicity, we did not detect significant association between DNMT3B -149 C > T polymorphism and cancer susceptibility in Asian or in Caucasian populations. While data was stratified by cancer type, this polymorphism was shown to significantly decreased the risk of head and neck cancer (heterozygous: OR = 0.73, 95% CI = 0.59-0.90, P = 0.003 and dominant: OR = 0.76, 95% CI = 0.61-0.93, P =

13033

0.009), but not the risk of hepatocellular cancer, gastric cancer, colorectal cancer and others (**Table 2**).

For rs1569686 (-579 G > T), interestingly, the DNMT3B -579 G > T polymorphism seemed to confer decreased overall cancer risk (heterozygous: OR = 0.77, 95% CI = 0.65 - 0.91, P = 0.003; dominant: OR = 0.80, 95% CI = 0.66-0.98, P = 0.029, Table 2 and Figure 3). The protective association remained significant for lung cancer (heterozygous: OR = 0.70, 95% CI = 0.52-0.93, P = 0.013; dominant: OR = 0.69, 95% CI = 0.52-0.92, P = 0.011 and allele: OR = 0.72, 95% CI = 0.56-0.93, P = 0.013), colorectal cancer (heterozygous: OR = 0.51, 95% CI = 0.37-0.69, P < 0.001; dominant: OR = 0.51, 95% CI = 0.38-0.70, P < 0.001 and comparison of allele frequency: OR = 0.55, 95% CI = 0.41-0.73, P < 0.001), and Asians (heterozygous: OR = 0.76, 95% CI = 0.61-0.94, P = 0.014).

Heterogeneity and sensitivity analyses

There were substantial between-studies heterogeneities observed for DNMT3B -149 C > T while calculating pooled risk estimates under the heterozygous model (P < 0.001), dominant model (P = 0.001), and comparison of allele frequency (P = 0.015), but not homozygous model (P = 0.132) and recessive model (P = 0.258). The leave-one-out sensitivity analysis showed that six studies changed the pooled ORs. After excluding the six studies [42, 44, 46, 48, 54, 55], the degree of heterogeneity dramatically decreased (homozygous model, P = 0.426; recessive model, P = 0.163; heterozygous model, P = 0.440; dominant model, P = 0.577 and comparison of allele frequency, P = 0.466), without qualitatively altering the overall estimates (homozygous: OR = 0.92, 95% CI = 0.73-1.17; heterozygous: OR = 0.94, 95% CI = 0.771.15; recessive: OR = 0.91, 95% CI = 0.76-1.10; dominant: OR = 0.92, 95% CI = 0.76-1.11; and comparison of allele frequency: OR = 0.94, 95% CI = 0.83-1.05). For DNMT3B -283 T > C, no significant heterogeneity was found (homozygous model, P = 0.969; recessive model, P = 0.976; heterozygous model, P = 0.370; dominant model, P = 0.540 and comparison of allele frequency, P = 0.717). On the contrary, there is obvious heterogeneity under all the modes of inheritance for DNMT3B -579 G > T (homozygous model, P = 0.006; recessive model: P = 0.014; heterozygous model, P = 0.021; dominant model, P < 0.001 and comparison of allele frequency, P < 0.001). We next performed a leave-one-out sensitivity analysis. After excluding six studies [15, 16, 18, 40, 41, 57], the heterogeneity dramatically disappeared (homozygous model, P = 0.682; recessive model, P =0.625; heterozygous model, P = 0.297; dominant model, P = 0.254 and comparison of allele frequency, P = 0.079), without qualitatively altering the overall estimates (homozygous: OR = 0.81, 95% CI = 0.63-1.04; heterozygous: OR = 0.77, 95% CI = 0.67-0.89; recessive: OR = 0.95, 95% CI = 0.79-1.15; dominant: OR = 0.77, 95% CI = 0.67-0.88), except for comparison of allele frequency (OR = 0.85, 95% CI = 0.77-0.94).

Publication bias

There was no obvious asymmetry in Begger's funnel plots and no significant publication bias detected by Egger's linear regression test in the current meta-analysis, DNMT3B -283 T > C (P = 0.546 for homozygous model; P = 0.724for recessive model; P = 0.684 for heterozygous model; P = 0.611 for dominant model, and P = 0.488 for comparison of allele frequency), DNMT3B -149 C > T (P = 0.535 for homozygous model; P = 0.103 for recessive model; P =0.516 for heterozygous model; P = 0.668 for dominant model and P = 0.879 for comparison of allele frequency) and DNMT3B -579 G > T (P = 0.860 for homozygous model; P = 0.772 for recessive model; P = 0.706 for heterozygous model; P = 0.505 for dominant model and P =0.274 for comparison of allele frequency).

Discussion

DNA methyltransferase 3b is necessary for the establishment and maintenance of genomic methylation patterns and facilitate proper emboryonic development [59]. It was demonstrated that some polymorphisms in the *DNMT3B* gene could significantly increase the promoter activity in lung cancer in 2002 [35]. Since then, a number of epidemiological studies have assessed the association between *DNMT3B* gene polymorphisms and the risk of different types of cancer, but the findings are inconclusive. In order to resolve this conflict, this meta-analysis with 26 studies was performed to provide an updated, more precise estimation of the associations of three *DNMT3B* polymorphisms (-283 T > C, -149 C > T and -579 G > T) with cancer risk.

To the best of our knowledge, no previous meta-analysis has comprehensively assessed the association between the three DNMT3B polymorphisms and overall cancer risk. To data, there were only two meta-analyses investigating the association between DNMT3B polymorphisms and cancer risk [19, 20]. Fang et al. attempted to evaluate the association of the DNMT3B -149 C > T polymorphism with the risk of colorectal cancer. They pooled together seven eligible studies, comprising 2666 cases and 4022 controls, and failed to provide the evidence of such association [19]. Zhu et al. performed a meta-analyses including 5229 cases/6910 controls from 17 case-control studies for DNMT3B -149 C > T and 3513 cases/3714 controls from 11 case-control studies for DNMT3B -579 G > T in 2012 [20]. The results indicated that there was no significant association between DNMT3B -149 C > T and cancer risk under all genetic model, even in the stratified analysis by cancer type. However, a significant association of DNMT3B -579 G > T with decreased cancer risk, particularly for colorectal cancer, were found while using heterozygous model, dominant model and comparison of allele frequency. It was worth noting that Zhu et al. included some publications that were deviated from HWE [20]. In contrast, in the current meta-analysis, we excluded all publications deviate from HWE [34, 35, 37]. Moreover, we included several extra case-control studies about these two polymorphisms that were published since 2012 [14, 18, 40-42, 56]. As results, some findings in the present meta-analysis were different from those in the previous meta-analysis: 1) Unlike the previous meta-analysis, we found that DNMT3B -149 C > T was associated with a decreased risk of head

and neck cancer under the heterozygous model and dominant model; 2) We failed to replicate the significant association between DNMT3B -579 G > T and overall cancer risk under the comparison of allele frequency: 3) We found a significant association between DNMT3B -579 G > T and lung cancer under the heterozygous, dominant, and allele comparison model for the first time. The exclusion of the publication deviated from HWE and the inclusion of several additional eligible studies might reduce the chance of false positive results and increase the statistical power to evaluate the association of interest. Taken together, this meta-analysis provided some new insight into the mechanisms of the development of cancer, especially for head and neck cancer, lung cancer and colorectal cancer.

There were several limitations inherited from the published studies in this meta-analysis. First, the sample size was not large enough to draw a convincing conclusion for *DNMT3B* -283 T > C and the sample size in some subgroup analysis was also relatively small for *DNMT3B* -149 C > T and *DNMT3B* -579 G > T. Second, significant heterogeneities were observed under a few genetic models, thus we chose the random-effects to calculate ORs and 95% Cls. Third, due to lacking other important original data, our conclusions were based on unadjusted estimates of ORs without adjustment for environment factors such as smoking or drinking habits.

In conclusion, our meta-analysis suggests that DNMT3B -283 T > C and DNMT3B -579 G > T may play a protective role against cancer. Moreover, in the subgroup analysis, DNMT3B -579 G > T appeared to contribute to decreased risk of lung cancer and colorectal cancer as well as decreased cancer risk among Asians; meanwhile, DNMT3B -149 C > T was associated with a reduced risk of head and neck cancer. Future large-scale case-control designed prospective studies are warranted to confirm our finding in different ethnicities and in different cancer types.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

Address correspondence to: Zhimin Gong and Tianlin Xiao, Department of Oncology, Xiangyang

Central Hospital, Medical College, Hubei University of Arts and Science, Xiangyang, Hubei 441000, P.R. China. Tel: +86 13871712903; Fax: +86 710-33581341; E-mail: 1019292285@qq.com (ZMG); Tel: +86 13607271396; Fax: +86 71033581341; E-mail: Xiaotl120@163.com (TLX)

References

- Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E and Forman D. Global cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin 2011; 61: 69-90.
- [2] Lichtenstein P, Holm NV, Verkasalo PK, Iliadou A, Kaprio J, Koskenvuo M, Pukkala E, Skytthe A and Hemminki K. Environmental and heritable factors in the causation of cancer--analyses of cohorts of twins from Sweden, Denmark, and Finland. N Engl J Med 2000; 343: 78-85.
- [3] Esteller M. Epigenetics in cancer. N Engl J Med 2008; 358: 1148-1159.
- [4] Luczak MW and Jagodzinski PP. The role of DNA methylation in cancer development. Folia Histochem Cytobiol 2006; 44: 143-154.
- [5] Sharma S, Kelly TK and Jones PA. Epigenetics in cancer. Carcinogenesis 2010; 31: 27-36.
- [6] McCabe MT, Brandes JC and Vertino PM. Cancer DNA methylation: molecular mechanisms and clinical implications. Clin Cancer Res 2009; 15: 3927-3937.
- [7] Esteller M. Epigenetic gene silencing in cancer: the DNA hypermethylome. Hum Mol Genet 2007; 16: R50-59.
- [8] Robertson KD. DNA methylation, methyltransferases, and cancer. Oncogene 2001; 20: 3139-3155.
- [9] Razin A and Riggs AD. DNA methylation and gene function. Science 1980; 210: 604-610.
- [10] Gopalakrishnan S, Van Emburgh BO, Shan J, Su Z, Fields CR, Vieweg J, Hamazaki T, Schwartz PH, Terada N and Robertson KD. A novel DNMT3B splice variant expressed in tumor and pluripotent cells modulates genomic DNA methylation patterns and displays altered DNA binding. Mol Cancer Res 2009; 7: 1622-1634.
- [11] Robertson KD, Keyomarsi K, Gonzales FA, Velicescu M and Jones PA. Differential mRNA expression of the human DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) 1, 3a and 3b during the G(0)/G(1) to S phase transition in normal and tumor cells. Nucleic Acids Res 2000; 28: 2108-2113.
- [12] Girault I, Tozlu S, Lidereau R and Bieche I. Expression analysis of DNA methyltransferases 1, 3A, and 3B in sporadic breast carcinomas. Clin Cancer Res 2003; 9: 4415-4422.
- [13] Jin F, Dowdy SC, Xiong Y, Eberhardt NL, Podratz KC and Jiang SW. Up-regulation of DNA methyltransferase 3B expression in endometrial cancers. Gynecol Oncol 2005; 96: 531-538.

- [14] Succi M, de Castro TB, Galbiatti AL, Arantes LM, da Silva JN, Maniglia JV, Raposo LS, Pavarino EC and Goloni-Bertollo EM. DNMT3B C46359T and SHMT1 C1420T polymorphisms in the folate pathway in carcinogenesis of head and neck. Mol Biol Rep 2014; 41: 581-589.
- [15] Bao Q, He B, Pan Y, Tang Z, Zhang Y, Qu L, Xu Y, Zhu C, Tian F and Wang S. Genetic variation in the promoter of DNMT3B is associated with the risk of colorectal cancer. Int J Colorectal Dis 2011; 26: 1107-1112.
- [16] Hu J, Fan H, Liu D, Zhang S, Zhang F and Xu H. DNMT3B promoter polymorphism and risk of gastric cancer. Dig Dis Sci 2010; 55: 1011-1016.
- [17] Lee SJ, Jeon HS, Jang JS, Park SH, Lee GY, Lee BH, Kim CH, Kang YM, Lee WK, Kam S, Park RW, Kim IS, Cho YL, Jung TH and Park JY. DNMT3B polymorphisms and risk of primary lung cancer. Carcinogenesis 2005; 26: 403-409.
- [18] Zheng Q, Zeng TT, Chen J, Liu H, Zhang H and Su J. Association between DNA methyltransferases 3B gene polymorphisms and the susceptibility to acute myeloid leukemia in Chinese Han population. PLoS One 2013; 8: e74626.
- [19] Fang C, Sun W, Han H, Shi L, Wang L, Zhao Y and Tan Y. The -149C > T polymorphism of DNMT3B is not associated with colorectal cancer risk: Evidence from a meta-analysis based on case-control studies. Exp Ther Med 2012; 4: 728-732.
- [20] Zhu S, Zhang H, Tang Y, Liu P and Wang J. DNMT3B polymorphisms and cancer risk: a meta analysis of 24 case-control studies. Mol Biol Rep 2012; 39: 4429-4437.
- [21] Fenton C, Anderson J, Lukes Y, Dinauer CA, Tuttle RM and Francis GL. Ras mutations are uncommon in sporadic thyroid cancer in children and young adults. J Endocrinol Invest 1999; 22: 781-789.
- [22] Thakkinstian A, McKay GJ, McEvoy M, Chakravarthy U, Chakrabarti S, Silvestri G, Kaur I, Li X and Attia J. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between complement component 3 and age-related macular degeneration: a HuGE review and metaanalysis. Am J Epidemiol 2011; 173: 1365-1379.
- [23] He J, Liao XY, Zhu JH, Xue WQ, Shen GP, Huang SY, Chen W and Jia WH. Association of MTHFR C677T and A1298C polymorphisms with non-Hodgkin lymphoma susceptibility: evidence from a meta-analysis. Sci Rep 2014; 4: 6159.
- [24] Mantel N and Haenszel W. Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J Natl Cancer Inst 1959; 22: 719-748.
- [25] DerSimonian R and Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 1986; 7: 177-188.

- [26] Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M and Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997; 315: 629-634.
- [27] Azad AK, Bairati I, Samson E, Cheng D, Cheng L, Mirshams M, Savas S, Waldron J, Wang C, Goldstein D, Xu W, Meyer F and Liu G. Genetic sequence variants and the development of secondary primary cancers in patients with head and neck cancers. Cancer 2012; 118: 1554-1565.
- [28] Sun MY, Yang XX, Xu WW, Yao GY, Pan HZ and Li M. Association of DNMT1 and DNMT3B polymorphisms with breast cancer risk in Han Chinese women from South China. Genet Mol Res 2012; 11: 4330-4341.
- [29] Yang XX, He XQ, Li FX, Wu YS, Gao Y and Li M. Risk-association of DNA methyltransferases polymorphisms with gastric cancer in the southern chinese population. Int J Mol Sci 2012; 13: 8364-8378.
- [30] Bao Q, He BS, Chen LP, Gu L, Nie ZL and Wang SK. [Correlation between polymorphism in the promoter of DNA methyltransferase-3B and the risk of colorectal cancer]. Zhonghua Yu Fang Yi Xue Za Zhi 2012; 46: 53-57.
- [31] Cebrian A, Pharoah PD, Ahmed S, Ropero S, Fraga MF, Smith PL, Conroy D, Luben R, Perkins B, Easton DF, Dunning AM, Esteller M and Ponder BA. Genetic variants in epigenetic genes and breast cancer risk. Carcinogenesis 2006; 27: 1661-1669.
- [32] Guo X, Zhang L, Wu M, Wang N, Liu Y, Er L, Wang S, Gao Y, Yu W, Xue H and Xu Z. Association of the DNMT3B polymorphism with colorectal adenomatous polyps and adenocarcinoma. Mol Biol Rep 2010; 37: 219-225.
- [33] Eftekhar E, Rasti M, Nahgibalhossaini F and Sadeghi Y. The Study of DNA Methyltransferase-3B Promoter Variant Genotype among Iranian Sporadic Breast Cancer Patients. Iran J Med Sci 2014; 39: 268-274.
- [34] Aung PP, Matsumura S, Kuraoka K, Kunimitsu K, Yoshida K, Matsusaki K, Nakayama H and Yasui W. No evidence of correlation between the single nucleotide polymorphism of DNMT-3B promoter and gastric cancer risk in a Japanese population. Oncol Rep 2005; 14: 1151-1154.
- [35] Shen H, Wang L, Spitz MR, Hong WK, Mao L and Wei Q. A novel polymorphism in human cytosine DNA-methyltransferase-3B promoter is associated with an increased risk of lung cancer. Cancer Res 2002; 62: 4992-4995.
- [36] Daraei A, Salehi R and Mohamadhashem F. DNA-methyltransferase 3B 39179 G > T polymorphism and risk of sporadic colorectal cancer in a subset of Iranian population. J Res Med Sci 2011; 16: 807-813.

- [37] Hong YS, Lee HJ, You CH, Roh MS, Kwak JY, Lee MJ and Kim JY. DNMT3b 39179GT polymorphism and the risk of adenocarcinoma of the colon in Koreans. Biochem Genet 2007; 45: 155-163.
- [38] Chang KP, Hao SP, Tsang NM, Chang YL, Cheng MH, Liu CT, Lee YS, Tsai CL, Lee TJ, Wang TH and Tsai CN. Gene expression and promoter polymorphisms of DNA methyltransferase 3B in nasopharyngeal carcinomas in Taiwanese people: a case-control study. Oncol Rep 2008; 19: 217-222.
- [39] Chang KP, Hao SP, Liu CT, Cheng MH, Chang YL, Lee YS, Wang TH and Tsai CN. Promoter polymorphisms of DNMT3B and the risk of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma in Taiwan: a case-control study. Oral Oncol 2007; 43: 345-351.
- [40] Mostowska A, Sajdak S, Pawlik P, Lianeri M and Jagodzinski PP. DNMT1, DNMT3A and DNMT3B gene variants in relation to ovarian cancer risk in the Polish population. Mol Biol Rep 2013; 40: 4893-4899.
- [41] Lao Y, Wu H, Zhao C, Wu Q, Qiao F and Fan H. Promoter polymorphisms of DNA methyltransferase 3B and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. Biomed Rep 2013; 1: 771-775.
- [42] Hernandez-Sotelo D, Garcia-Aguilar R, Castro-Coronel Y, Magana JJ, Leyva-Vazquez MA, Alarcon-Romero Ldel C, Lopez-Bayghen E and Illades-Aguiar B. The 46359CT polymorphism of DNMT3B is associated with the risk of cervical cancer. Mol Biol Rep 2013; 40: 4275-4280.
- [43] Karpinski P, Myszka A, Ramsey D, Misiak B, Gil J, Laczmanska I, Grzebieniak Z, Sebzda T, Smigiel R, Stembalska A and Sasiadek MM. Polymorphisms in methyl-group metabolism genes and risk of sporadic colorectal cancer with relation to the CpG island methylator phenotype. Cancer Epidemiol 2010; 34: 338-344.
- [44] Iacopetta B, Heyworth J, Girschik J, Grieu F, Clayforth C and Fritschi L. The MTHFR C677T and DeltaDNMT3B C-149T polymorphisms confer different risks for right- and left-sided colorectal cancer. Int J Cancer 2009; 125: 84-90.
- [45] Ezzikouri S, El Feydi AE, Benazzouz M, Afifi R, El Kihal L, Hassar M, Akil A, Pineau P and Benjelloun S. Single nucleotide polymorphism in DNMT3B promoter and its association with hepatocellular carcinoma in a Moroccan population. Infect Genet Evol 2009; 9: 877-881.
- [46] de Vogel S, Wouters KA, Gottschalk RW, van Schooten FJ, de Goeij AF, de Bruine AP, Goldbohm RA, van den Brandt PA, Weijenberg MP and van Engeland M. Genetic variants of methyl metabolizing enzymes and epigenetic regulators: associations with promoter CpG island hypermethylation in colorectal cancer.

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2009; 18: 3086-3096.

- [47] Reeves SG, Mossman D, Meldrum CJ, Kurzawski G, Suchy J, Lubinski J and Scott RJ. The -149C>T SNP within the DeltaDNMT3B gene, is not associated with early disease onset in hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer. Cancer Lett 2008; 265: 39-44.
- [48] Liu Z, Wang L, Wang LE, Sturgis EM and Wei Q. Polymorphisms of the DNMT3B gene and risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: a case-control study. Cancer Lett 2008; 268: 158-165.
- [49] Fan H, Zhang F, Hu J, Liu D and Zhao Z. Promoter polymorphisms of DNMT3B and the risk of colorectal cancer in Chinese: a casecontrol study. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2008; 27: 24.
- [50] Wu Y and Lin JS. DNA methyltransferase 3B promoter polymorphism and its susceptibility to primary hepatocellular carcinoma in the Chinese Han nationality population: a case-control study. World J Gastroenterol 2007; 13: 6082-6086.
- [51] Jones JS, Amos CI, Pande M, Gu X, Chen J, Campos IM, Wei Q, Rodriguez-Bigas M, Lynch PM and Frazier ML. DNMT3b polymorphism and hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer age of onset. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2006; 15: 886-891.
- [52] Wang YM, Wang R, Wen DG, Li Y, Guo W, Wang N, Wei LZ, He YT, Chen ZF, Zhang XF and Zhang JH. Single nucleotide polymorphism in DNA methyltransferase 3B promoter and its association with gastric cardiac adenocarcinoma in North China. World J Gastroenterol 2005; 11: 3623-3627.
- [53] Singal R, Das PM, Manoharan M, Reis IM and Schlesselman JJ. Polymorphisms in the DNA methyltransferase 3b gene and prostate cancer risk. Oncol Rep 2005; 14: 569-573.
- [54] Li Y, Dai Y, Wu SL, Pei P, Cao XH and Pu DF. [The C46359T polymorphism of DNMT3B promoter gene and pathogenesis of acute leukemia]. Zhonghua Nei Ke Za Zhi 2005; 44: 588-591.
- [55] Montgomery KG, Liu MC, Eccles DM and Campbell IG. The DNMT3B C->T promoter polymorphism and risk of breast cancer in a British population: a case-control study. Breast Cancer Res 2004; 6: R390-394.
- [56] Liu H, Jiao Y, Guan Y, Lao Y, Zhao C and Fan H. The DNMT3B -579 G>T promoter polymorphism and risk of lung cancer. Exp Ther Med 2012; 3: 525-529.
- [57] Srivastava K, Srivastava A and Mittal B. DNMT3B -579 G>T promoter polymorphism and risk of gallbladder carcinoma in North Indian population. J Gastrointest Cancer 2010; 41: 248-253.

- [58] Fan H, Liu DS, Zhang SH, Hu JB, Zhang F and Zhao ZJ. DNMT3B 579 G>T promoter polymorphism and risk of esophagus carcinoma in Chinese. World J Gastroenterol 2008; 14: 2230-2234.
- [59] Bachman KE, Rountree MR and Baylin SB. Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b are transcriptional repressors that exhibit unique localization properties to heterochromatin. J Biol Chem 2001; 276: 32282-32287.