

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript

Diabetes Metab. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 November 09.

Published in final edited form as:

Diabetes Metab. 2014 November; 40(5): 379–385. doi:10.1016/j.diabet.2014.03.003.

# Racial and Ethnic Differences in the Relationship between Birth weight and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Postmenopausal Women

Kelli K Ryckman, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Eileen Rillamas-Sun, PhD<sup>2</sup>, Cassandra N Spracklen, MS<sup>1</sup>, Robert B Wallace, MD, MSc<sup>1</sup>, Lorena Garcia, DRPH, MPH<sup>3</sup>, Frances A Tylavsky, PhD<sup>4</sup>, Barbara V Howard, PhD<sup>5</sup>, Simin Liu, MD, SCD<sup>6</sup>, Yan Song, MD, MS<sup>6</sup>, Erin S LeBlanc, MD, MPH<sup>7</sup>, Mark V White, MD, MPH<sup>8</sup>, Nisha I Parikh, MD, MPH<sup>9</sup>, and Jennifer G Robinson, MD, MPH<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Epidemiology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA

<sup>2</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, Washington

<sup>3</sup>Department of Public Health Sciences, University of California at Davis, Davis, California

<sup>4</sup>Department of Preventive Medicine, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, Tennessee

<sup>5</sup>Medstar Health Research Institute and Department of Medicine, Georgetown University, Washington, District of Columbia

<sup>6</sup>Department of Epidemiology, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California

<sup>7</sup>Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research, Portland, OR

<sup>8</sup>Department of Family, Community and Rural Health, The Commonwealth Medical College, Scranton, Pennsylvania

<sup>9</sup>John A. Burns School of Medicine and Cardiovascular Division, University of Hawaii, The Queens Medical Center, Honolulu, HI

# **Abstract**

**Objective**—To examine the relationship between self-reported birth weight and the adult occurrence of type 2 diabetes mellitus in a large multi-ethnic population of women.

**Research Design and Methods**—Baseline data from the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study [N= 75,993] was used to examine the association between participant birth weight category and prevalent type 2 diabetes. Models were adjusted for age and ethnicity. Adult BMI was investigated as a potential modifying variable. Sub-analyses were performed stratifying by race/ethnicity.

**Results**—Reporting a birth weight of <6 lbs. (OR=1.35, 95% CI=1.21-1.50) was significantly associated with self-reported type 2 diabetes compared to women who reported their birth weight between 7 and 8 lbs. 15 oz. Stratifying by race, birth weight of <6 lbs. was associated with type 2 diabetes in both White (OR=1.43, 95% CI=1.25-1.62) and Black (OR=1.31, 95% CI=1.04-1.64) women. A significant trend was observed in Hispanic women where increasing birth weight was

associated with decreasing type 2 diabetes prevalence (P=0.04) whereas in Asian women increasing birth weight was associated with increasing type 2 diabetes prevalence (P=0.03). Adult socio-demographic covariates such as BMI appear to most significantly influence the direction of the association in White and Black women but not the other racial/ethnic groups.

**Conclusions**—The relationship between birth weight and risk for adult type 2 diabetes appears to be modified by race/ethnicity and to some extent BMI. To our knowledge this is the largest study of birth weight and type 2 diabetes in a multi-ethnic cohort of women to-date.

Type 2 diabetes mellitus is a serious global health problem, which has become more prevalent with each increasing year (1). According to national estimates from the Center for Disease Control, over 8% of the U.S. population in 2011 had type 2 diabetes with a higher prevalence in Hispanics (11.8%), Blacks (12.6%) and American Indians (16.1%) (2). type 2 diabetes has a complex etiology with multiple contributions from adult lifestyle, genetics and early development. There is strong, emerging evidence that low birth weight is a risk factor for type 2 diabetes in adulthood (3). These studies support the "fetal origins" or "Barker hypothesis" that postulates that adult chronic diseases arise due to developmental programming whereby fetal malnutrition and subsequent rapid weight gain in infancy and childhood lead to irrevocable changes in a person which in turn "programs" them for later life chronic disease (4). In addition to the epidemiologic evidence, studies have identified genes that independently increase the risk for low birth weight as well as type 2 diabetes(5). These findings support the "fetal insulin hypothesis", which suggests that the same genetic factors that predispose to decreased fetal insulin secretion *in utero*, therefore resulting in low birth weight, may also affect insulin resistance in adulthood (6).

One of the current limitations on the study of birth weight and type 2 diabetes is a lack of generalizability; many studies focused on White males (3). The largest study on birth weight and type 2 diabetes in women is the Nurse's Health Study, which examined 1,199 women with diabetes and 67,197 without diabetes by six birth weight categories (7). There was a strong inverse relationship between birth weight and risk for type 2 diabetes adjusted for several demographic, early life and adulthood risk factors. Ethnicity was included in the adjusted model; however, this study did not have the capacity to examine the relationship between birth weight and type 2 diabetes in minority populations.

Another limitation of previous studies is how to statistically account for factors such as adult BMI and socioeconomic status that are likely in the causal pathway. Many studies observe a "U"-shaped distribution or positive association in that increasing birth weight is associated with increasing risk for type 2 diabetes; however, including adult BMI often reverses this association so that decreasing birth weight is associated with increasing risk for type 2 diabetes(3). This is known as the "reversal paradox" and has been well documented for the association between blood pressure and birth weight; however, the methodological principles apply to other adult chronic diseases as well (8). It is unclear if this pattern will be observed across different racial/ethnic groups.

We sought to address the challenges of previous studies by examining associations of birth weight and prevalent type 2 diabetes in a large multi-ethnic cohort from the Women's Health Initiative (WHI) Observational Study (OS). We evaluated the relationship of birth weight

with diabetes adjusted and unadjusted for adult BMI as well as stratified by racial/ethnic groups. To date, this is the largest study examining the association of birth weight with type 2 diabetes in a multi-ethnic cohort of postmenopausal women.

#### **RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**

We performed a post hoc analysis using data from the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study (WHI-OS) described in detail elsewhere (9). Women were recruited from 40 WHI clinical centers across the United States between 1993 and 1998 (9). The WHI-OS recruited 93,676 postmenopausal women between the ages of 50 and 79. The purpose of the WHI-OS was to study clinical, behavioral and socio-demographic risk factors for a wide range of specific disease outcomes that are of particular importance to women including cardiovascular disease, breast cancer, obesity and type 2 diabetes. Women reported their birth weight as belonging to one of the following categories: unknown, less than 6 pounds (lbs.), 6 lbs. to 7 lbs. 15 ounces (oz.), 8 lbs. to 9 lbs. 15 oz., and 10 or more lbs. Women also reported if they were born 4 or more weeks premature and if they were a twin or triplet.

For our analysis, we excluded women who reported being a twin or triplet (N=1,615), women with missing birth weight or preterm birth status (N=13,888), women who reported being born 4 or more weeks premature (N=1,991), women missing information on self-reported type 2 diabetes status (N=94) and women who reported receiving a diagnosis of "sugar diabetes" when they were less than 21 years old (N=95) as they might actually be reporting type 1 diabetes. There were 75,993 women included in the final sample.

We defined prevalent type 2 diabetes at baseline as a self-report of a physician diagnosis of 'sugar diabetes when not pregnant' or women who were taking pills for diabetes or receiving insulin shots. This definition had been validated in the WHI study and is consistent with medication inventories and fasting glucose measurements with a concordance of 77% (10).

The baseline characteristics of the cohort were compared according to type 2 diabetes with chi square tests. Logistic regression was used to assess the association between birth weight category and type 2 diabetes at baseline adjusting for baseline age [<50-59, 60-69 and 70-79+] and race/ethnicity [White, Black, Asian/Pacific Islander, Hispanic and Other/ Unknown]. We evaluated the effects of the birth weight-type 2 diabetes association with the addition neighborhood socioeconomic status (NSES), defined elsewhere (11) and body mass index at enrollment [ 25, 25-<30 and 30]. Our third model included other well-established risk factors for type 2 diabetes including family history of adult diabetes, physical activity [No activity, some activity of limited duration, 2-<4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity, 4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity], smoking [never, past and current], alcohol use [never, past and current], hypertension [none, untreated and treated], history of cardiovascular disease, hysterectomy, prior postmenopausal hormone therapy [never used, past user and current user], and having been breastfed. Analyses were performed in Stata version 12.1 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX).

### **RESULTS**

#### **Baseline characteristics**

Of the 75,993 women in this analysis, 4,002 (5.3%) reported prevalent diabetes. At baseline women with type 2 diabetes were older, Black, Hispanic or Asian, less educated and had lower income than those without type 2 diabetes (**Table 1**). Women with type 2 diabetes were more likely to have a higher BMI, a family history of type 2 diabetes, were less physically active, more likely to be current smokers, more likely to be past but not current alcohol users, more likely to have a history of hypertension or cardiovascular disease, more likely to have had a hysterectomy, were more likely to never have used hormone therapy and reported with greater frequency having been breastfed.

#### Birth weight and type 2 diabetes

Women with type 2 diabetes reported lower birth weight (**Table 1**). In the model adjusting for baseline age and ethnicity, there was a "U"-shaped distribution; the lower (<6 lbs.) birth weight category, as compared to the reference birth weight of 6 to 7 lbs. 15 oz., was associated with an increased risk for type 2 diabetes (OR=1.35, 95% CI=1.21-1.50). The higher (10 lbs.) birth weight category trended toward a higher prevalence of type 2 diabetes, although this was not significant (OR=1.13, 95% CI=0.95-1.34) (Table 2). Including BMI and NSES as covariates resulted in an inverse relationship between birth weight and type 2 diabetes (P<0.001). Compared to the reference birth weight category, lower birth weight (<6 lbs.) remained associated with an increased risk for type 2 diabetes (OR=1.30, 95% CI=1.16-1.46); however, the larger birth weight categories (8-9 lbs. 15 oz. and 10 lbs.) were now associated with a decreased risk for type 2 diabetes, although this association was not significant for the 10 lbs. birth weight category (P=0.03 and P=0.17, respectively). Including additional diabetes risk factors strengthened the inverse relationship between birth weight and type 2 diabetes risk, the association between the lower birth weight category and type 2 diabetes was less significant (P=0.04) compared to the model adjusted for age and ethnicity only; however, the higher birth weight categories both became significantly associated with a decreased risk for type 2 diabetes (**Table 2**).

#### Birth weight and type 2 diabetes by race/ethnicity

When adjusting for age and stratifying by race, a "U"-shaped distribution between birth weight and type 2 diabetes prevalence was observed in White women (p<0.01). Including NSES and BMI as covariates resulted in a significant inverse distribution (p<0.001), where lower birth weight was associated with higher type 2 diabetes prevalence (OR=1.35, 95% CI=1.17-1.55) and higher birth weight categories were associated with a lower type 2 diabetes prevalence, although these were not individually significant (**Table 3**). In Black women a "U-shaped" distribution was observed for both the age-adjusted and the adult BMI and NSES adjusted models, but the trends were not significant. The lower birth weight category (<6 lbs.), as compared to the referent, was associated with a higher risk for type 2 diabetes in the model adjusted for age only (OR=1.31, 95% CI=1.04-1.64). Including adjustments for adult BMI and NSES attenuated the association between the low birth weight category and type 2 diabetes (OR=1.24 95% CI=0.95-1.61), as compared to the referent, in Black women (**Table 3**). In Asian women, higher birth weight was associated

with a significant trend toward higher type 2 diabetes prevalence (P=0.03). This trend persisted, but was no longer significant (P=0.22) after adjusting for adult BMI and NSES. None of the individual birth weight categories were significant, as compared to the referent, for either model in Asian women. In Hispanic women, higher birth weight was significantly associated with a lower risk for type 2 diabetes in in the age adjusted model (OR=0.43 95% CI=0.13-1.38) and when including NSES and BMI as covariates (OR=0.25, 95% CI=0.06-1.05) (**Table 3**).

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

Type 2 diabetes is a complex disease with multiple contributions from genetics, sociodemographic and lifestyle risk factors. There is strong evidence from human and animal studies that early nutrition and growth *in utero* contributes to the development of type 2 diabetes in adulthood (4, 12). However, few studies have had the ability to examine the relationship between birth weight and type 2 diabetes in multiple racial and ethnic groups as well as assess the impact of potential mediators such as adult BMI. We found the relationship between birth weight and type 2 diabetes was different among racial/ethnic groups. We also found that including adult BMI and neighborhood socio-economic status only changed the relationship between birth weight and type 2 diabetes in White women.

Our results were similar to the NHS and other studies. We observed a strong inverse relationship between birth weight and type 2 diabetes when including adult BMI (3, 7). The validity of including adult lifestyle risk factors in models examining birth weight and adult chronic disease is controversial because adult BMI is likely on the causal pathway for type 2 diabetes(8). There have been several studies reporting this "reversal paradox" when including adult BMI and socioeconomic status as covariates in the assessment of birth weight and risk for type 2 diabetes(3). These studies suggest that potential over-fitting could be influencing the associations in a different direction. Indeed, we also observed that the effects were strengthened after adjusting for many type 2 diabetes risk factors. However, we observed that this "reversal paradox" was only apparent in White women and not in the other racial/ethnic groups. In addition, the lower birth weight category (<6 lbs.) was significantly associated with an increased risk for type 2 diabetes in the entire cohort, White women and to some extent Black women, as compared to birth weight of 6 to 7 lbs. 15 oz., regardless of the adjustment for adult BMI and socio-economic status.

The underlying reason for the observed differences in the relationship between birth weight and prevalent type 2 diabetes among different racial/ethnic groups is unclear. The "U-shaped" distribution that was observed in Black women regardless of adjustment for adult BMI may be due to the higher rates of maternal diabetes, maternal obesity and lack of prenatal care compared to other racial/ethnic groups (13-15). In the United States the prevalence of delivering a low birth weight infant is much higher among Black women with a prevalence of ~12% compared to White (7%), Hispanic (6%) and Asian (8%) women (15). It remains unclear as to why lower birth weight is protective for type 2 diabetes in Asian women but not the other racial/ethnic groups. It may be that maternal nutrition plays a large role in these observed differences, as it has been shown that maternal nutrition is not only important for fetal growth but also impacts the child's risk for adult chronic disease (16, 17).

Several studies support that the associations between fetal growth and later-life illness may be attributed, in part, to genetic and epigenetic mechanisms (18-20).

Low birth weight reflects a poor intrauterine environment and can be a product of several maternal complications of pregnancy including preterm birth, preeclampsia and intrauterine growth restriction. This insufficient environment can cause lasting changes through epigenetic, structural and physiological mechanisms that result in altered  $\beta$ -cell mass and function, which in turn leads to inadequate insulin secretion (12). This is supported by recent large genome-wide association (GWA) studies that have identified several genes that have a shared association with low or high birth weight and adult type 2 diabetes(5). Our study was limited in the ability to account for *in utero* and childhood exposures because these variables were not collected in WHI. Additionally, a significant number of women (N=13,888) were excluded from analyses due to missing information on birth weight and preterm birth and these women tended to be older, Black, Hispanic or Asian and more likely to have type 2 diabetes compared to our study population.

Our other study limitations were similar to those of the NHS. We were limited to evaluating self-reported categories of birth weight rather than continuous values derived from vital or medical records (21-23). Yet, validity has been demonstrated for the correlation of categories of self-reported birth weight to medical record information, particularly for low birth weight (24). Higher birth weight categories (10 lbs.) have been shown to be less reliable (24), thus, lower precision among the higher birth weight categories may explain why the association between higher birth weight category and type 2 diabetes was more affected by the adjustment of covariates such as adult BMI and neighborhood socioeconomic status.

We did not have glucose levels measured on all participants in our study. Therefore, we relied on self-reported prevalent type 2 diabetes, which may be vulnerable to reporting bias and thus affect our results. However, the validity of self-report has previously been demonstrated in the WHI cohort, with high concordance to medication inventories (77%) (10). As our women were between 50-70 years of age at enrollment our study may be subject to survivor bias for the association with type 2 diabetes; however, most other studies (7, 17, 25) have studied participants similar in age to those of the women in WHI.

In conclusion we demonstrate that lower birth weight was associated with higher risk for type 2 diabetes in Whites and Blacks in the largest study to-date of postmenopausal women. This study overcomes a major limitation of previous studies, in that we were able to examine the association of birth weight and type 2 diabetes in several ethnic and racial groups including Blacks, Hispanics and Asians. This study suggests and is supported by others that individuals born low birth weight should be closely followed for the development of later life chronic disease as they are at an increased risk to develop conditions such as type 2 diabetes in adulthood. Additionally, our research supports the role of early life development in later-life chronic disease and thus interventions targeted during preconception to reduce the incidence of infants born low birth weight may reduce the burden of later-life chronic disease (26).

## **Acknowledgements**

The authors have no pertinent conflicts of interest to disclose regarding this study. Author contributions are as follows: guarantor – KKR; design of study, analytic plan and initial draft - KKR, JGR, RBW, ERS; analysis – KKR, CNS; all authors contributed to the initial and final draft of the manuscript and were part of the writing working group through the analysis and drafting of the manuscript. This work has been presented at the 2013 American Heart Association Scientific Sessions.

We would like to acknowledge the WHI Program Office, specifically Jacques Rossouw, Shari Ludlam, Dale Burwen, Joan McGowan, Leslie Ford, and Nancy Geller (National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Bethesda, Maryland). Also individuals at the WHI Clinical Coordinating Center Garnet Anderson, Ross Prentice, Andrea LaCroix, and Charles Kooperberg (Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA). Investigators and Academic Centers contributing to WHI science include: JoAnn E. Manson (Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA); Barbara V. Howard (MedStar Health Research Institute/Howard University, Washington, DC); Marcia L. Stefanick (Stanford Prevention Research Center, Stanford, CA); Rebecca Jackson (The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH); Cynthia A. Thomson (University of Arizona, Tucson/ Phoenix, AZ); Jean Wactawski-Wende (University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY); Marian Limacher (University of Florida, Gainesville/Jacksonville, FL); Robert Wallace (University of Iowa, Iowa City/Davenport, IA); Lewis Kuller (University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA); Sally Shumaker (Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC). The WHI programs is funded by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services through contracts, HHSN268201100004C, HHSN268201100003C, HHSN268201100003C, HHSN268201100003C, HHSN268201100003C.

#### References

- 1. Danaei G, Finucane MM, Lu Y, Singh GM, Cowan MJ, Paciorek CJ, Lin JK, Farzadfar F, Khang YH, Stevens GA, Rao M, Ali MK, Riley LM, Robinson CA, Ezzati M. Global Burden of Metabolic Risk Factors of Chronic Diseases Collaborating Group (Blood Glucose). National, regional, and global trends in fasting plasma glucose and diabetes prevalence since 1980: Systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epidemiological studies with 370 country-years and 2.7 million participants. Lancet. Jul 2; 2011 378(9785):31–40. [PubMed: 21705069]
- 2. [04/26 2013] National diabetes fact sheet: national estimates and general information on diabetes and prediabetes in the United States [article online]. 2011. Available from http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pubs/pdf/ndfs\_2011.pdf.
- 3. Whincup PH, Kaye SJ, Owen CG, Huxley R, Cook DG, Anazawa S, Barrett-Connor E, Bhargava SK, Birgisdottir BE, Carlsson S, de Rooij SR, Dyck RF, Eriksson JG, Falkner B, Fall C, Forsen T, Grill V, Gudnason V, Hulman S, Hypponen E, Jeffreys M, Lawlor DA, Leon DA, Minami J, Mishra G, Osmond C, Power C, Rich-Edwards JW, Roseboom TJ, Sachdev HS, Syddall H, Thorsdottir I, Vanhala M, Wadsworth M, Yarbrough DE. Birth weight and risk of type 2 diabetes: A systematic review. JAMA. Dec 24; 2008 300(24):2886–2897. [PubMed: 19109117]
- 4. Barker DJ. The developmental origins of chronic adult disease. Acta Paediatr Suppl. Dec; 2004 93(446):26–33. [PubMed: 15702667]
- 5. Yaghootkar H, Freathy RM. Genetic origins of low birth weight. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. May; 2012 15(3):258–264. [PubMed: 22406741]
- 6. Hattersley AT, Tooke JE. The fetal insulin hypothesis: An alternative explanation of the association of low birthweight with diabetes and vascular disease. Lancet. May 22; 1999 353(9166):1789–1792. [PubMed: 10348008]
- 7. Rich-Edwards JW, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Gillman MW, Hennekens CH, Speizer FE, Manson JE. Birthweight and the risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus in adult women. Ann Intern Med. Feb 16; 1999 130(4 Pt 1):278–284. [PubMed: 10068385]
- 8. Tu YK, West R, Ellison GT, Gilthorpe MS. Why evidence for the fetal origins of adult disease might be a statistical artifact: The "reversal paradox" for the relation between birth weight and blood pressure in later life. Am J Epidemiol. Jan 1; 2005 161(1):27–32. [PubMed: 15615910]
- 9. Design of the women's health initiative clinical trial and observational study. the women's health initiative study group. Control Clin Trials. Feb; 1998 19(1):61–109. [PubMed: 9492970]
- Margolis KL, Lihong Q, Brzyski R, Bonds DE, Howard BV, Kempainen S, Simin L, Robinson JG, Safford MM, Tinker LT, Phillips LS. Women Health Initiative Investigators. Validity of diabetes

- self-reports in the women's health initiative: Comparison with medication inventories and fasting glucose measurements. Clin Trials. 2008; 5(3):240–247. [PubMed: 18559413]
- 11. Dubowitz T, Ghosh-Dastidar M, Eibner C, Slaughter ME, Fernandes M, Whitsel EA, Bird CE, Jewell A, Margolis KL, Li W, Michael YL, Shih RA, Manson JE, Escarce JJ. The women's health initiative: The food environment, neighborhood socioeconomic status, BMI, and blood pressure. Obesity (Silver Spring). Apr; 2012 20(4):862–871. [PubMed: 21660076]
- 12. Martin-Gronert MS, Ozanne SE. Metabolic programming of insulin action and secretion. Diabetes Obes Metab. Oct; 2012 14(Suppl 3):29–39. [PubMed: 22928562]
- Link CL, McKinlay JB. Disparities in the prevalence of diabetes: Is it race/ethnicity or socioeconomic status? results from the boston area community health (BACH) survey. Ethn Dis. 2009; 19(3):288–292. Summer. [PubMed: 19769011]
- 14. Chu SY, Kim SY, Bish CL. Prepregnancy obesity prevalence in the united states, 2004-2005. Matern Child Health J. Sep; 2009 13(5):614–620. [PubMed: 18618231]
- 15. Dalenius, K.; Brindley, P.; Smith, B.; Reinold, C.; Grummer-Strawn, L. Pregnancy nutrition surveillance 2010 report. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2012.
- 16. Zadik Z. Maternal nutrition, fetal weight, body composition and disease in later life. J Endocrinol Invest. Sep; 2003 26(9):941–945. [PubMed: 14964450]
- Osmond C, Barker DJ. Fetal, infant, and childhood growth are predictors of coronary heart disease, diabetes, and hypertension in adult men and women. Environ Health Perspect. Jun; 2000 108(Suppl 3):545–553. [PubMed: 10852853]
- Low FM, Gluckman PD, Hanson MA. Developmental plasticity and epigenetic mechanisms underpinning metabolic and cardiovascular diseases. Epigenomics. Jun; 2011 3(3):279–294. [PubMed: 22122338]
- Gluckman PD, Cutfield W, Hofman P, Hanson MA. The fetal, neonatal, and infant environmentsthe long-term consequences for disease risk. Early Hum Dev. Jan; 2005 81(1):51–59. [PubMed: 15707715]
- Godfrey KM, Lillycrop KA, Burdge GC, Gluckman PD, Hanson MA. Epigenetic mechanisms and the mismatch concept of the developmental origins of health and disease. Pediatr Res. May; 2007 61(5 Pt 2):5R–10R.
- Allen DS, Ellison GT, dos Santos Silva I, De Stavola BL, Fentiman IS. Determinants of the availability and accuracy of self-reported birth weight in middle-aged and elderly women. Am J Epidemiol. Feb 15; 2002 155(4):379–384. [PubMed: 11836203]
- 22. Kemp M, Gunnell D, Maynard M, Smith GD, Frankel S. How accurate is self reported birth weight among the elderly?. J Epidemiol Community Health. Aug.2000 54(8):639. [PubMed: 10890878]
- 23. Andersson SW, Niklasson A, Lapidus L, Hallberg L, Bengtsson C, Hulthen L. Poor agreement between self-reported birth weight and birth weight from original records in adult women. Am J Epidemiol. Oct 1; 2000 152(7):609–616. [PubMed: 11032155]
- Wodskou PM, Hundrup YA, Obel EB, Jorgensen T. Validity of self-reported birthweight among middle-aged and elderly women in the danish nurse cohort study. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. Sep; 2010 89(9):1134–1139. [PubMed: 20804338]
- 25. Risnes KR, Vatten LJ, Baker JL, Jameson K, Sovio U, Kajantie E, Osler M, Morley R, Jokela M, Painter RC, Sundh V, Jacobsen GW, Eriksson JG, Sorensen TI, Bracken MB. Birthweight and mortality in adulthood: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Epidemiol. Jun; 2011 40(3): 647–661. [PubMed: 21324938]
- Hanson MA, Gluckman PD, Ma RC, Matzen P, Biesma RG. Early life opportunities for prevention of diabetes in low and middle income countries. BMC Public Health. Nov 23.2012 12:1025– 2458-12-1025. [PubMed: 23176627]

Ryckman et al. Page 9

**Table 1**Baseline characteristics of study participants by prevalent type 2 diabetes

Age at baseline (years)         24,167 (33.6)         1,004 (25.1)         0.001           <50-59         24,167 (33.6)         1,004 (25.1)         0.001           60-69         31,375 (43.6)         1,1915 (47.9)         0.001           70-79+         16,449 (22.9)         1,083 (27.1)         0.001           White         61,589 (86.1)         2,720 (68.0)         0.001           Black         4,801 (67)         778 (19.4)         4.83 (32.0)         2.52 (63.0)           Assian or Pacific Islander         1,699 (24.1)         141 (35.0)         1.107 (30.2)         1.000           Hispanic         2,334 (3.2)         252 (63.)         0.001         2.000         1.117 (30.2)         0.001         2.000         2.000         2.000         2.000         1.117 (30.2)         0.001         2.000         2.000         2.000         1.197 (30.2)         0.001		No diabetes N=71,991	Type 2 Diabetes N=4,002	P
60-69         31,375 (43.6)         1,915 (47.9)           70-79+         16,449 (22.9)         1,083 (27.1)           Ethnicity         -0.000           White         61,989 (86.1)         2,720 (68.0)           Black         4,801 (6.7)         778 (19.4)           Asian or Pacific Islander         1,699 (2.4)         141 (3.5)           Hispanic         2,334 (3.2)         252 (6.3)           Other Unknown         1,168 (1.6)         1,117 (30.2)           Education         1,598 (1.6)         1,197 (30.2)           Celligh school diploma/GED         13,983 (19.6)         1,179 (30.2)           School after high school         26,117 (36.6)         1,590 (40.1)           Cellege degree or higher         31,349 (43.9)         1,174 (29.6)           School after high school         28,955 (40.2)         1,152 (84.1)           School 49,999         28,965 (40.2)         1,152 (84.1)           School 49,999         28,965 (40.2)         1,652 (41.3)           School 57,4999         14,670 (20.4)         3,71 (9.3)           BMI at baseline         2,26         2,25           25-30         1,185 (29.2)         1,185 (29.2)           25-30         1,185 (19.1)         1,185 (29.2)	Age at baseline (years)			< 0.001
670-79+         16,449 (22.9)         1,083 (27.1)         Ethnicity          <0.001	<50-59	24,167 (33.6)	1,004 (25.1)	
Ethnicity	60-69	31,375 (43.6)	1,915 (47.9)	
White         61,989 (86.1)         2,720 (88.0)         Image: Control of the part of	70-79+	16,449 (22.9)	1,083 (27.1)	
Black         4,801 (6.7)         778 (19.4)           Asian or Pacific Islander         1,699 (2.4)         141 (3.5)           Hispanic         2,334 (3.2)         252 (6.3)           Other/Unknown         1,168 (1.6)         111 (2.8)           Education         -         <0.001	Ethnicity			< 0.001
Asian or Pacific Islander       1,699 (2.4)       141 (3.5)         Hispanic       2,334 (3.2)       252 (6.3)         Other/Unknown       1,168 (1.6)       111 (2.8)         Education       -0,0001         <= High school diploma/GED       13,983 (19.6)       1,197 (30.2)         School after high school       26,117 (36.6)       1,590 (4.1)         College degree or higher       31,349 (33.9)       1,174 (29.6)       -0.001         <\$20,000       49,377 (13.1)       1,135 (28.4)       -0.001         \$20,000       49,399       14,067 (19.5)       558 (13.9)       -0.001         \$75,000+       14,670 (20.4)       37 (9.3)       -0.001         Winnown/missing       48,207       266 (21.8)       -0.001         BMI at baseline       - 25       626 (15.8)       - 0.001         <=25       30       1,152 (29.2)       - 0.001         \$25<<30       26 (15.8)       - 0.001         Family history of adult diabetes       - 2,309 (30.3)       1,152 (29.2)         Yes       1,000       4,8039 (69.3)       1,239 (35.8)       - 0.001         Physical activity       9,100 (12.8)       796 (20.2)       - 0.001         Some activity of limited duration       2,880 (30	White	61,989 (86.1)	2,720 (68.0)	
Hispanic         2,334 (3.2)         252 (6.3)           Other/Unknown         1,168 (1.6)         111 (2.8)           Education         < (0.00)	Black	4,801 (6.7)	778 (19.4)	
Other/Unknown         1,168 (1.6)         111 (2.8)           Education         < 0,000	Asian or Pacific Islander	1,699 (2.4)	141 (3.5)	
Education	Hispanic	2,334 (3.2)	252 (6.3)	
Calligh school diploma/GED	Other/Unknown	1,168 (1.6)	111 (2.8)	
School after high school         26,117 (36.6)         1,590 (40.1)           College degree or higher         31,349 (43.9)         1,174 (29.6)           Family income         \$437 (13.1)         1,135 (28.4)           \$20,000 \$49,999         28,965 (40.2)         1,652 (41.3)           \$50,000 \$74,999         14,670 (20.4)         371 (9.3)           Uknkown/missing         4,852 (6.7)         286 (7.2)           BMI at baseline         \$0,254 (42.5)         626 (15.8)           \$25 < 30         24,309 (34.2)         1,152 (29.2)           \$30         1,659 (23.3)         1,152 (29.2)           \$30         1,659 (33.4)         1,152 (29.2)           \$30         1,152 (29.2)         2.4309 (34.2)         1,152 (29.2)           \$30         1,152 (29.2)         2.4309 (34.2)         1,152 (29.2)           \$30         1,152 (29.2)         2.4309 (34.2)         1,152 (29.2)           \$4,000         2,173 (55.0)         2.173 (55.0)           Yes         2,1264 (30.7)         2,389 (64.3)           Yes         2,1264 (30.7)         2,389 (64.3)           Yes         2,000 (31.1)         3,415 (18.8)           Yes         2,49 pisodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity (>20 min/episod)         1,3415 (18.8) <td>Education</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>&lt; 0.001</td>	Education			< 0.001
College degree or higher       31,349 (43.9)       1,174 (29.6)         Family income       < 0,001         ≤\$20,000       9,437 (13.1)       1,135 (28.4)         \$20,000-\$49,999       28,965 (40.2)       1,652 (41.3)         \$55,000+\$74,999       14,067 (19.5)       558 (13.9)         \$75,000+       14,670 (20.4)       371 (9.3)         Unknown/missing       4,852 (6.7)       286 (7.2)         BMI at baseline       < 0,001         <25       30       626 (15.8)          25-<30       1,152 (29.2)          530       16,599 (23.3)       2,173 (55.0)          Family history of adult diabetes       48,039 (69.3)       1,329 (35.8)          Yes       21,264 (30.7)       2,389 (64.3)          No       48,039 (69.3)       1,329 (35.8)          Yes       21,000 (12.8)       796 (20.2)          Some activity       1,000 (12.8)       796 (20.2)          Some activity of limited duration       26,864 (37.7)       1,744 (44.2)          2-4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity (>=20 min/episode)       1,380 (30.7)       767 (19.4)          Somoking Status       30,918 (43.5) </td <td>&lt;= High school diploma/GED</td> <td>13,983 (19.6)</td> <td>1,197 (30.2)</td> <td></td>	<= High school diploma/GED	13,983 (19.6)	1,197 (30.2)	
Family income         <0.001           \$20,000         9,437 (13.1)         1,135 (28.4)           \$20,000-\$49,999         28,965 (40.2)         1,652 (41.3)           \$55,000-\$74,999         14,067 (19.5)         558 (13.9)           \$75,000+         14,670 (20.4)         371 (9.3)           \$1,000         286 (7.2)         286 (7.2)           \$1,000         286 (7.2)         286 (7.2)           \$1,000         286 (7.2)         286 (7.2)           \$1,000         286 (7.2)         286 (7.2)           \$1,000         286 (7.2)         286 (7.2)           \$1,000         286 (7.2)         286 (7.2)           \$25         30         626 (15.8)         286 (7.2)           \$25         30         24,309 (34.2)         1,152 (29.2)           \$230         1,529 (23.3)         2,173 (55.0)         286 (7.2)           Yes         21,264 (30.7)         2,339 (35.8)         287 (7.2)           Yes         9,100 (12.8)         796 (20.2)         98 (7.2)           \$1,524 (21.3)         48,039 (69.3)         1,744 (44.2)         198 (42.2)           \$2,4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity (>=20 min/episode)         13,415 (18.8)         60 (16.2)         49 (16.2)	School after high school	26,117 (36.6)	1,590 (40.1)	
\$2,000	College degree or higher	31,349 (43.9)	1,174 (29.6)	
\$20,000-\$49,999       28,965 (40.2)       1,652 (41.3)         \$50,000-\$74,999       14,067 (19.5)       558 (13.9)         \$75,000+       14,670 (20.4)       371 (9.3)         Unknown/missing       4,852 (6.7)       286 (7.2)         BMI at baseline       < (0.001	Family income			< 0.001
\$50,000-\$74,999       14,067 (19.5)       558 (13.9)         \$75,000+       14,670 (20.4)       371 (9.3)         Unknown/missing       4,852 (6.7)       286 (7.2)         BMI at baseline       < (0.001)	<\$20,000	9,437 (13.1)	1,135 (28.4)	
\$75,000+       14,670 (20.4)       371 (9.3)         Unknown/missing       4,852 (6.7)       286 (7.2)         BMI at baseline       < 0.001         <=25       30,254 (42.5)       626 (15.8)         25-<30       24,309 (34.2)       1,152 (29.2)         ≥30       16,599 (23.3)       2,173 (55.0)         Family history of adult diabetes       < 0.001         No       48,039 (69.3)       1,329 (35.8)         Yes       21,264 (30.7)       2,389 (64.3)         Physical activity       9,100 (12.8)       796 (20.2)         Some activity of limited duration       26,864 (37.7)       1,744 (44.2)         2-<4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity (>=20 min/episode)       13,415 (18.8)       640 (16.2)         4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity (>=20 min/episode)       21,880 (30.7)       767 (19.4)         Smoking Status       35,713 (50.3)       2,007 (51.1)       20.2         Never       35,713 (50.3)       2,007 (51.1)       4,638 (41.7)         Current       4,444 (6.3)       282 (7.2)          Alcohol use       7,225 (10.1)       736 (18.6)	\$20,000-\$49,999	28,965 (40.2)	1,652 (41.3)	
Unknown/missing       4,852 (6.7)       286 (7.2)         BMI at baseline       <0.001	\$50,000-\$74,999	14,067 (19.5)	558 (13.9)	
BMI at baseline       <0.001	\$75,000+	14,670 (20.4)	371 (9.3)	
<=25	Unknown/missing	4,852 (6.7)	286 (7.2)	
25-<30	BMI at baseline			< 0.001
>=30       16,599 (23.3)       2,173 (55.0)         Family history of adult diabetes       < 0.001         No       48,039 (69.3)       1,329 (35.8)         Yes       21,264 (30.7)       2,389 (64.3)         Physical activity       9,100 (12.8)       796 (20.2)         Some activity of limited duration       26,864 (37.7)       1,744 (44.2)         2-<4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity (>=20 min/episode)       13,415 (18.8)       640 (16.2)         4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity (>=20 min/episode)       21,880 (30.7)       767 (19.4)       0.02         Smoking Status       0.02         Never       35,713 (50.3)       2,007 (51.1)       0.02         Past       30,918 (43.5)       1,638 (41.7)	<=25	30,254 (42.5)	626 (15.8)	
Family history of adult diabetes       <0.001         No       48,039 (69.3)       1,329 (35.8)         Yes       21,264 (30.7)       2,389 (64.3)         Physical activity       <0.001         No activity       9,100 (12.8)       796 (20.2)         Some activity of limited duration       26,864 (37.7)       1,744 (44.2)         2-<4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity (>=20 min/episode)       13,415 (18.8)       640 (16.2)         4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity (>=20 min/episode)       21,880 (30.7)       767 (19.4)         Smoking Status       0.02         Never       35,713 (50.3)       2,007 (51.1)         Past       30,918 (43.5)       1,638 (41.7)         Current       4,444 (6.3)       282 (7.2)         Alcohol use       7,225 (10.1)       736 (18.6)	25-<30	24,309 (34.2)	1,152 (29.2)	
No 48,039 (69.3) 1,329 (35.8) Yes 21,264 (30.7) 2,389 (64.3) Physical activity	>=30	16,599 (23.3)	2,173 (55.0)	
Yes       21,264 (30.7)       2,389 (64.3)         Physical activity       < 0.001	Family history of adult diabetes			< 0.001
Physical activity       < 0.001         No activity       9,100 (12.8)       796 (20.2)         Some activity of limited duration       26,864 (37.7)       1,744 (44.2)         2-<4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity (>=20 min/episode)       13,415 (18.8)       640 (16.2)         4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity (>=20 min/episode)       21,880 (30.7)       767 (19.4)         Smoking Status       0.02         Never       35,713 (50.3)       2,007 (51.1)         Past       30,918 (43.5)       1,638 (41.7)         Current       4,444 (6.3)       282 (7.2)         Alcohol use       < 0.001	No	48,039 (69.3)	1,329 (35.8)	
No activity  Some activity of limited duration  26,864 (37.7)  1,744 (44.2)  2-<4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity (>=20 min/episode)  4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity (>=20 min/episode)  Smoking Status  Never  35,713 (50.3)  2,007 (51.1)  Past  30,918 (43.5)  1,638 (41.7)  Current  4,444 (6.3)  282 (7.2)  Alcohol use  Never drinker  7,225 (10.1)  736 (18.6)	Yes	21,264 (30.7)	2,389 (64.3)	
Some activity of limited duration       26,864 (37.7)       1,744 (44.2)         2-<4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity (>=20 min/episode)       13,415 (18.8)       640 (16.2)         4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity (>=20 min/episode)       21,880 (30.7)       767 (19.4)         Smoking Status       0.02         Never       35,713 (50.3)       2,007 (51.1)         Past       30,918 (43.5)       1,638 (41.7)         Current       4,444 (6.3)       282 (7.2)         Alcohol use           Never drinker       7,225 (10.1)       736 (18.6)	Physical activity			< 0.001
2-<4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity (>=20 min/episode)       13,415 (18.8)       640 (16.2)         4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity (>=20 min/episode)       21,880 (30.7)       767 (19.4)         Smoking Status       0.02         Never       35,713 (50.3)       2,007 (51.1)         Past       30,918 (43.5)       1,638 (41.7)         Current       4,444 (6.3)       282 (7.2)         Alcohol use           Never drinker       7,225 (10.1)       736 (18.6)	No activity	9,100 (12.8)	796 (20.2)	
4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity (>=20 min/episode)       21,880 (30.7)       767 (19.4)         Smoking Status       0.02         Never       35,713 (50.3)       2,007 (51.1)         Past       30,918 (43.5)       1,638 (41.7)         Current       4,444 (6.3)       282 (7.2)         Alcohol use        < 0.001	Some activity of limited duration	26,864 (37.7)	1,744 (44.2)	
Smoking Status       0.02         Never       35,713 (50.3)       2,007 (51.1)         Past       30,918 (43.5)       1,638 (41.7)         Current       4,444 (6.3)       282 (7.2)         Alcohol use       < 0.001	2-<4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity (>=20 min/episode)	13,415 (18.8)	640 (16.2)	
Never       35,713 (50.3)       2,007 (51.1)         Past       30,918 (43.5)       1,638 (41.7)         Current       4,444 (6.3)       282 (7.2)         Alcohol use        < 0.001	4 episodes/week of moderate to strenuous activity (>=20 min/episode)	21,880 (30.7)	767 (19.4)	
Past       30,918 (43.5)       1,638 (41.7)         Current       4,444 (6.3)       282 (7.2)         Alcohol use       <0.001	Smoking Status			0.02
Current       4,444 (6.3)       282 (7.2)         Alcohol use       <0.001	Never	35,713 (50.3)	2,007 (51.1)	
Alcohol use <0.001  Never drinker 7,225 (10.1) 736 (18.6)	Past	30,918 (43.5)	1,638 (41.7)	
Never drinker 7,225 (10.1) 736 (18.6)	Current	4,444 (6.3)	282 (7.2)	
	Alcohol use			< 0.001
Past drinker 12,306 (17.2) 1,544 (39.0)	Never drinker	7,225 (10.1)	736 (18.6)	
	Past drinker	12,306 (17.2)	1,544 (39.0)	

Ryckman et al.

No diabetes N=71,991 Type 2 Diabetes N=4,002 P 52,042 (72.7) 1,679 (42.4) Current drinker Hypertension at baseline < 0.001 No 48,955 (69.2) 1,394 (35.7) Untreated 5,396 (7.6) 436 (11.2) Treated 16,423 (23.2) 2,071 (53.1) History of cardiovascular disease < 0.001 No 57,821 (82.0) 2,571 (65.9) Yes 12,681 (18.0) 1,330 (34.1) < 0.001 Hysterectomy No 42,435 (59.0) 1,974 (49.4) 29,500 (41.0) 2,026 (50.7) Yes < 0.001 Prior postmenopausal hormone therapy (HT) 28,029 (39.0) 2,093 (52.4) Never used Past user 10,663 (14.8) 645 (16.1) Current user 33,238 (46.2) 1,258 (31.5) Birth weight < 0.001 <6 lbs 5,891 (8.2) 458 (11.4) 6-7.99 lbs 48,991 (68.1) 2,639 (65.9) 8-9.99 lbs 14,622 (20.3) 756 (18.9) >=10 lbs 2,487 (3.5) 149 (3.7) Breastfed < 0.001 No 15,764 (26.9) 716 (21.6) Yes 43,119 (73.2) 2,598 (78.4)

Page 10

Ryckman et al. Page 11

Table 2

Relationship of birth weight to prevalent type 2 diabetes among postmenopausal women in the Women's Health Initiative

		Birt	Birth Weight Category	y	
	<6 lbs.	6-7.9 lbs.	8-9.9 lbs.	>=10 lbs.	*4
N	6,349	51,630	15,378	2,636	
+age and ethnicity (N=75,993)	1.35 (1.21-1.50) Reference	Reference		1.01 (0.93-1.10) 1.13 (0.95-1.34)	0.02
+NSES and BMI (N=67,210)	1.30 (1.16-1.46) Reference	Reference	0.90 (0.82-0.99)	0.90 (0.82-0.99) 0.88 (0.73-1.06)	<0.001
+other diabetes risk factors <sup>†</sup> (N=51,030)	1.16 (1.01-1.33) Reference	Reference	0.88 (0.79-0.98)	0.88 (0.79-0.98) 0.72 (0.57-0.92)	<0.001

Data are odds ratio (95% CI).

\* P for linear trend across all four birth weight categories.

†Adjusted for age, ethnicity, neighborhood socioeconomic status (NSES), body mass index (BMD, family history of diabetes, physical activity, smoking, alcohol, hypertension, history of cardiovascular disease, hysterectomy, prior postmenopausal hormone therapy, breastfed. Ryckman et al.

Table 3

Relationship of birth weight to prevalent type 2 diabetes by race/ethnicity

		Birt	Birth Weight Category	<b>x</b>	
	<6 lbs.	6-7.9 lbs.	8-9.9 lbs.	>=10 lbs.	$\mathbf{P}_{*}^{*}$
+age					
White (N=64,709)	1.43 (1.25-1.62) Reference	Reference	0.99 (0.90-1.10)	0.99 (0.90-1.10) 1.07 (0.88-1.31)	0.007
Black (N=5,579)	1.31 (1.04-1.64)	Reference	1.12 (0.91-1.38)	1.44 (0.95-2.19)	0.98
Asian (N=1,840)	0.87 (0.53-1.42)	Reference	1.56 (0.92-2.66)	2.77 (0.91-8.43)	0.03
Hispanic (N=2,586)	1.39 (0.96-2.02)	Reference	0.95 (0.66-1.37)	0.43 (0.13-1.38)	0.04
+NSES and BMI					
White (N=57,547)	1.35 (1.17-1.55) Reference	Reference	0.88 (0.79-0.97)	0.86 (0.69-1.07)	<0.001
Black (N=4,526)	1.24 (0.95-1.61)	Reference	1.06 (0.84-1.35)	1.07 (0.64-1.77)	0.55
Asian (N=1,660)	0.87 (0.52-1.47)	Reference	1.16 (0.64-2.10)	2.02 (0.62-6.56)	0.22
Hispanic (N=2,373) 1.30 (0.88-1.94) Reference	1.30 (0.88-1.94)	Reference	0.84 (0.57-1.24)	0.25 (0.06-1.05)	0.01

Data are odds ratio (95% CI).

\* P for linear trend across birth weight categories.

Page 12