www.jcbfm.com

BRIEF COMMUNICATION Simultaneous PET/MRI in stroke: a case series

Peter Werner¹, Dorothee Saur², Vilia Zeisig¹, Barbara Ettrich², Marianne Patt¹, Bernhard Sattler¹, Thies Jochimsen¹, Donald Lobsien³, Philipp M Meyer¹, Florian Then Bergh^{2,4}, Antje Dreyer^{4,5}, Johannes Boltze^{5,6}, Joseph Classen², Dominik Fritzsch³, Karl-Titus Hoffmann³, Osama Sabri¹ and Henryk Barthel¹

Prospective studies on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-guided systemic thrombolysis >4.5 hours after stroke onset did not reach their primary end points. It was discussed and observed in *post hoc* data re-assessment that this was partly because of limited MRI accuracy to measure critical hypoperfusion. We report the first cases of simultaneous [^{15}O]H₂O-positron emission tomography (PET)/MRI in stroke patients and an ovine model. Discrepancies between simultaneously obtained PET and MRI readouts were observed that might explain the above current limitations of stroke MRI. By offering highly complementary information, [^{15}O]H₂O-PET/MRI might help to identify critically hypoperfused tissue resulting in an improved patient stratification in thrombolysis trials.

Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism (2015) 35, 1421–1425; doi:10.1038/jcbfm.2015.158; published online 15 July 2015

Keywords: Mismatch; penumbra; PET/MRI; stroke; thrombolysis

INTRODUCTION

Current clinical stroke research aims at selecting ischemic stroke patients who may benefit from systemic thrombolysis beyond the standard therapeutic window of 4.5 hours.¹ For that purpose, the concept of salvageable brain tissue with critical cerebral blood flow (CBF) reduction has been transferred from positron emission tomography (PET)² to magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)³ to allow therapeutic decisions within routine stroke management. Nowadays, brain tissue with perfusion values below established thresholds, but preserved diffusion in MRI is considered as tissue at risk (MR 'mismatch' concept).³ However, selecting stroke patients on the basis of this MR 'mismatch' concept did not improve clinical outcome after systemic thrombolysis³⁻⁵ and even for endovascular treatment, conclusive evidence for this MR-based selection strategy remains to be provided.⁶ The optimal way to derive quantitative CBF values or time-based variables out of perfusion-weighted (PW) MRI that best describe the ischemic penumbra is still a matter of debate. Technical challenges and a lack of standardization³ in acquiring PW MR data have contributed to this situation. As a consequence, a more precise target profile to delineate perfusion deficits on MRI was recently proposed for future stroke trials.

PET with its ability to detect radiotracers at high sensitivity provides highly accurate quantification of pathophysiologic parameters. Cross-calibration of PW MRI against [¹⁵O]H₂O PET, an established technique to noninvasively quantify CBF,⁸ may help to address the above-mentioned problem. Comparison of PW MRI versus [¹⁵O]H₂O PET data in acute stroke has so far only been possible on the basis of sequential data acquisition. As acute stroke is a highly dynamic pathophysiologic situation, the

significant time delay between both modalities possibly affected accuracy in previous research.

We report results from a series of investigations using for the first time simultaneous [¹⁵O]H₂O PET/MR brain imaging in acute stroke. We aimed at providing proof of concept for simultaneous PET/MRI both in patients with acute stroke as well as in a recently established ovine stroke model.⁹ We were able to directly relate CBF estimates from PW MRI to absolute measurements from PET and could exemplify how the same PW MRI threshold can either correctly classify benign oligemia in some patients or fail to do so in other cases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A detailed description of the Materials and Methods is available in the Online Supplementary Methods. Ten patients with symptoms of stroke and contraindication against systemic thrombolysis prospectively underwent simultaneous $[{\rm ^{15}O}]{\rm H_2O}$ PET/MRI. The local ethics committee of the University of Leipzig approved the study and waived requirement for informed consent. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Apart from [¹⁵O]H₂O PET/MRI, instead of MR-only examination, basic stroke care remained unchanged. The degree of perfusion disturbance was evaluated immediately on-site by an experienced neuroradiologist using standard non-quantitative perfusionweighted imaging (PWI) maps (syngo.MR NeuroPerfusion, Siemens Health Care, Erlangen, Germany, not shown). Quantitative maps of cerebral perfusion were calculated post hoc for both PET and MRI. Briefly, the dynamic [¹⁵O]H₂O PET data together with the arterial input functions were used for standard full kinetic modeling using a one-tissue-compartment model, which resulted



¹Department of Nuclear Medicine, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany; ²Department of Neurology, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany; ³Department of Neuroradiology, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany; ⁴Translational Centre for Regenerative Medicine, University Hospital Leipzig, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany; ⁵Fraunhofer Institute for Cell Therapy and Immunology, Leipzig, Germany and ⁶Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Stroke and Neurovascular Regulation Laboratory, Charlestown, Massachusetts, USA. Correspondence: Dr H Barthel, Department of Nuclear Medicine, University of Leipzig, Liebigstrasse 18, Leipzig 04103, Germany. E-mail: henryk.barthel@medizin.uni-leipzig.de

The work was supported by the German Research Foundation that funded the PET/MRI system (grantcode: SA 669/9-1). The Max Planck Society co-funded the system. Received 7 November 2014; revised 15 May 2015; accepted 19 May 2015; published online 15 July 2015

TE

in parametric CBF maps (perfusion_[PET]). In parallel, PWI maps (TTP_{delay}, T_{max}, and CBF) were obtained from the motion-corrected EPI data (perfusion_[MRI]) by a model-independent, standard singular value decomposition algorithm¹⁰ using the Perfusion Mismatch Analyzer software (Acute Stroke Imaging Standardization Group *ASIST*, Iwate Medical University Japan). Areas of restricted diffusion were subtracted from perfusion_[MRI] and perfusion_[PET]. *Post hoc* disturbances in perfusion_[PET], perfusion_[MRI], and the initial non-quantitative clinical MR reports were evaluated visually. Further, the presence, location, and extent of a final cerebral infarction were identified on fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (T2 FLAIR) MRI follow-up 5 days after stroke symptom onset.

Likewise, three male merino sheep were examined either 4.5 hours, 27 hours, or 14 days after permanent middle cerebral artery occlusion (pMCAO).⁹ No follow-up imaging was available in the animal studies. The animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the recommendations of the European Convention for the Protection of Vertebrate Animals used for Experimentation and the current ARRIVE guidelines. The animal experiments were approved by the local animal welfare authorities (Directorate Leipzig, Germany).

RESULTS

Ten patients were prospectively imaged in this proof of concept trial. Supplementary Table 1 provides patient characteristics and imaging findings. Standard stroke MRI data acquisition started 43 ± 11 minutes after the patients' informed consent. [^{15}O]H₂O for simultaneous CBF PET and PW MRI data acquisition was available 29 ± 18 minutes later. Total PET/MRI scanning time was 61 ± 16 minutes.

According to visual inspection, there was good correspondence between perfusion_[PET] and perfusion_[MRI] in four patients. In two patients, perfusion_[MRI] deficits were detected, which were not

evidenced by perfusion_[PET], but could correctly be classified as non-critical hypoperfusion with a $T_{max} > 6$ seconds. In two patients, unilateral discrete hypoperfusion according to perfusion_[PET] was not mirrored in perfusion_[MRI]. In one patient, critical hypoperfusion was observed in both perfusion (less severe) perfusion[MRI]. In this case, no infarct growth was seen over time because of spontaneous recanalization of the obstructed MCA. Severe head motion prohibited perfusion[MBI] analysis in one patient, whereas perfusion (PET) did not reveal a perfusion deficit in this case. A typical patient with an MCA infarction is presented in Figure 1. In this case, the final infarction on FLAIR follow-up was almost equally well predicted by perfusion [PET] and perfusion [MRI] (choosing a $T_{max} > 6$ seconds as threshold). A case of transient diffusion restriction in which reversibility was correctly predicted by both perfusion_[MRI] and perfusion_[PET] is illustrated in Supplementary Figure 1.

In addition, three merino sheep with pMCAO underwent simultaneous $[^{15}O]H_2O$ PET/MRI. Perfusion_[PET] and perfusion_[MRI] image data 4.5 hours after pMCAO in a sheep are provided in Figure 2. Here, a considerable difference between the PET penumbra and the MR penumbra was observed, and the application of alternative PW MR thresholds did not lead to perfusion_[MRI] maps similar to the perfusion_[PET] maps.

Supplementary Figures 2 and 3 provide an overview on the individual image data obtained in sheep and humans. In four human patients, arterial cannulation allowed to establish an arterial input function for full kinetic modeling of the dynamic PET data for absolute perfusion_[PET] quantification. The CBF values in the unaffected cerebral hemispheres were 0.43 ± 0.03 mL/g per minute for gray matter and 0.23 ± 0.03 mL/g per minute for white matter. On a regional brain level, linear relation between pooled perfusion_[PET] and perfusion_[MRI] in stroke patients was weak to moderate for T_{max}/TTP_{delay}/CBF (R = 0.24/0.27/0.47, all P < 0.001), however intra-subject correlations between perfusion_[PET] and CBF from perfusion_[MRI] were moderate to very strong (R = 0.96, 0.95,



Figure 1. $[^{15}O]H_2O$ PET/MRI in a typical human MCA infarction. A 78-year-old, right-handed woman with left hemiparesis and dysarthria upon waking up. Diffusion-weighted MRI showed a disturbance in the right MCA territory (red-bordered area, 22 mL). Perfusion_[MRI] flow delays in the right PCA territory did not result in a perfusion restriction according to PET and may thus indicate sufficient collateral flow secondary to the MCA occlusion. Perfusion_[MRI] indicated a penumbral volume (white-bordered areas) of 42 mL for T_{max} >6 seconds. According to perfusion_[PET] (17 mL, white-bordered area) a smaller penumbral volume was predicted that corresponded better with the follow-up infarct size according to T2 FLAIR (infarct growth of 7.5 mL) on day 5. Note: a slight change to time-based variables (TTP_{delay} >4.2 seconds, T_{max} >4 seconds; see Supplementary Methods) leads to misclassification and overestimation of the penumbra (79 mL and 121 mL, respectively). MCA, middle cerebral artery; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PCA, posterior cerebral artery; PET, positron emission tomography.



PET/MRI in stroke

Figure 2. (A) [¹⁵O]H₂O PET/MRI 4.5 hours after middle cerebral artery occlusion in sheep. ADC imaging indicated a respective disturbance (blue-bordered area). Applying several thresholds for perfusion_[MRI] could not reveal the same extent of the critically hypoperfused brain tissue as defined by perfusion_[PET]. MR hypoperfusion either extended to the contralateral hemisphere or did not account for the penumbral part below the infarction core. According to perfusion_[PET], penumbral volume was 9.8 mL (white-bordered area in upper row). Perfusion_[MRI] yielded penumbral volumes of 27.2/6.3/5.4 mL ($T_{max} = 2/4/6$ seconds) or 14.2/5.0/4.5 mL ($TTP_{delay} = 2/4.2/6$ seconds; white-bordered areas in lower rows). Note: susceptibility artefacts in perfusion_[MRI], leading to slight spatial distortions especially close to the surface of the upper part of the sheep brain, were not corrected and may have affected correct ROI overlap and the resulting penumbral volumes. (**B** and **C**) Pooled regression analysis of regional values of perfusion_[MRI] (TTP_{delay} (top) T_{max} (second row) and CBF (third row)) and the reference method perfusion_[PET]. The data were obtained in four human stroke patients (**B**) on the basis of 83 brain volumes of interest defined by an anatomic standard atlas, and in stroke sheep (**C**) on the basis of 15 manually defined brain volumes of interest. The dotted lines in the top and middle rows of **B** represent respective MR penumbra thresholds applied in this study. In humans and sheep, a moderate-to-strong linear relation between pooled TTP_{delay}/T_{max}/CBF and the PET-derived gold standard CBF values was observed. Individual regression was performed for CBF in humans (bottom row) and yielded stronger linear relations between both measures. ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PET, positron emission tomography; ROI, region of interest.

0.49, 0.78, all P < 0.001). Moderate-to-strong linear relations were observed for pooled T_{max}/TTP_{delay} and CBF in the animal data (R = 0.49/0.31/0.58, P < 0.05/P < 0.001/P < 0.01); see Figures 2B and 2C.

DISCUSSION

We were able to demonstrate for the first time that simultaneous $[1^{15}O]H_2O$ PET/MRI is feasible in a large animal stroke model and in a clinical acute stroke setting without significantly delaying diagnostic MRI routines and without compromising standard MRI diagnosis. Compared with sequential studies, the comparability of perfusion_[MRI] and perfusion_[PET] is not only improved by simultaneous data acquisition, but also by the inherent spatial image data match. Gray matter and white matter CBF values as measured by the PET component of the integrated PET/MRI system were in good agreement with values reported in the

literature.^{11–13} Furthermore, the diagnostic quality of the obtained MRI data was not affected by the concurrent PET data acquisition.

Notably, we observed limited correspondence between perfusion_[PET] and perfusion_[MRI] on an pooled basis with a higher variability of MRI-based blood flow estimates as compared with the gold standard PET-based CBF values in human patients and sheep. In pooled regression analyses of PWI CBF and PET CBF in prior sequential PET/MRI studies, R^2 ranged from 0.96¹⁴ in pigs to 0.35–0.4^{10,15} in human patients. Similarly, we observed a stronger correlation in sheep (R = 0.58) than in humans (R = 0.47), which can be most likely explained by the more homogeneous disease etiology in the animal model. Of note, intra-subject correlations between PWI CBF and PET CBF in the humans were much higher (mean R = 0.8) but also showed substantial variability across patients, which has been observed before.^{10,15} Moreover, we observed the same overestimation of PWI CBF as compared with PET CBF, which was more pronounced for higher flow values

(see Figure 2C and Supplementary Figure 4) as in previous studies.^{10,15} Likewise, there was a weak-to-moderate correlation between the pooled T_{max} and PET CBF values in animals (R = 0.49) and humans (R = 0.24), which is also in line with previous studies, where the regression analyses of the pooled time-based variables and PET CBF values were considered weak.^{10,16} Despite the low number of patients and animals examined in this pilot study and despite the inhomogeneous disease etiologies observed, quantitative results are therefore comparable with prior studies. It has to be mentioned, however, that unlike in prior studies,^{10,15,16} the quantity of data is not sufficient for the calibration of PWI parameters. Nonetheless, we observed a correct PW MR classification of non-critical hypoperfusion by the use of a T_{max} thresholds of >6 seconds in two patients with MCA infarction.

The grouped quantitative differences observed in this study cannot anymore be related to a different disease state after an imaging delay ~ 1 hour between sequential PET and MRI perfusion measures. $^{10,14-16}$ These differences must solely be because of the different nature of the imaging modalities and are potentially related to the nonlinear relation between signal intensity and the concentration of the contrast agent in MRI and to the fact that gadolinium is an intravascular contrast agent, whereas [¹⁵O]H₂O is freely diffusible. Both shortcomings can lead to an incorrect patient selection for late thrombolysis in PW MRI.⁸ Arterial spin labeling (ASL), a more recently developed MRI perfusion measurement technique as compared with PW MRI, better corresponds to $[^{15}\text{O}]\text{H}_2\text{O}$ PET CBF and has recently been shown to correlate well with the PET CBF measure in healthy young humans.¹⁷ However, exact ASL measurements require prior knowledge about the degree of perfusion disturbance, which makes it hard to implement in the diseased brain. Future translational studies in larger cohorts will have to elucidate the exact relationship between perfusion [PET] and perfusion [MBI] and to possibly correct perfusion [MRI] by factors obtained from this headto-head PET versus MRI comparison. In our view, simultaneous PET/MRI studies could possibly deliver a nonlinear correction function to derive a pseudo-CBF from time-based MR variables in the future.

As a limitation of this present study, the PET attenuation correction (see Supplementary Methods), as currently implemented in this hybrid PET/MR system, is still suboptimal and can lead to underestimations of the PET signal in the vicinity of cortical bone.¹⁸ Further, in the sheep data, spatial distortion of perfusion [MRI] and DWI was present but was not corrected in this pilot study. This may have affected region of interest overlap and quantitative results in the animal experiments. Moreover, we mainly examined mildly affected patients at relatively late time points after stroke onset at which penumbral tissue cannot necessarily be expected anymore. Moreover, the variety of disease etiologies, ranging from, e.g., lacunar infarctions over cerebral bleeding to typical MCA territory infarctions, poses another drawback. This may have contributed to the correlations found in this present study, which are not stronger between perfusion[PET] and perfusion_{IMRI]} as compared with those reported in prior sequential studies with more homogenous patient collectives.^{10,15} Further, it would be desirable to measure the oxygen extraction fraction (OEF) as the gold standard PET technique to delineate the ischemic penumbra by means of PET² also in a simultaneous PET/ MRI environment. Because of the fact that an MR-compatible multitracer administration equipment, which needs to be operated close to the patient to achieve OEF measurements, is currently not available, we focused our research on one-if not the (with regard to pathogenesis and therapy decisions) decisive aspect of ischemic stroke pathophysiology, i.e., measuring CBF. It will remain the task of future investigations with more advanced technical opportunities to relate the PW/DW mismatch MRI penumbra concept against simultaneous OEF CBF PET measurements. Those investigations will then, by providing the full picture, potentially offer the optimization of stroke MR imaging, for instance by the introduction of PW MR CBF correction factors.

Taken together, combined PET/MRI is a promising tool for validating MR-based stroke imaging concepts and provides excellent preclinical stroke imaging opportunities to improve the assessment of novel diagnostic and therapeutic concepts. As such, hybrid PET/MRI provides the opportunity to obtain synergistic PET data during standard stroke MRI, which then may guide individual treatment decisions, for instance within therapeutic trials, more precisely.

DISCLOSURE/CONFLICT OF INTEREST

HB and OS served as consultants and speakers for Bayer Healthcare and Piramal Imaging. OS served as primary investigator for Bayer Healthcare, Piramal Imaging, Siemens Healthcare, and GE Healthcare. HB and OS received speaker honoraria from Siemens Healthcare. K-TH served as speaker for Bayer Healthcare and Bracco.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Julian Klingbeil for patient recruitment. The authors are particularly grateful for the invaluable support of Torsten Böhm, Tanja Uhlisch, and Tanja Winkler in patient preparation and PET/MRI data acquisition. The cyclotron and radio-pharmacy staff of the Department of Nuclear Medicine is greatly acknowledged for radiotracer production.

REFERENCES

- 1 Hacke W, Kaste M, Bluhmki E, Brozman M, Dávalos A, Guidetti D *et al.* Thrombolysis with alteplase 3 to 4.5 hours after acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med* 2008; **359**: 1317–1329.
- 2 Furlan M, Marchal G, Viader F, Derlon JM, Baron JC. Spontaneous neurological recovery after stroke and the fate of the ischemic penumbra. *Ann Neurol* 1996; 40: 216–226.
- 3 Merino JG, Warach S. Imaging of acute stroke. Nat Rev Neurol 2010; 6: 560-571.
- 4 Hacke W, Furlan AJ, Al-Rawi Y, Davalos A, Fiebach JB, Gruber F et al. Intravenous desmoteplase in patients with acute ischaemic stroke selected by MRI perfusion-diffusion weighted imaging or perfusion CT (DIAS-2): a prospective, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Lancet Neurol* 2009; **8**: 141–150.
- 5 Davis SM, Donnan GA, Parsons MW, Levi C, Butcher KS, Peeters A *et al.* Effects of alteplase beyond 3 h after stroke in the Echoplanar Imaging Thrombolytic Evaluation Trial (EPITHET): a placebo-controlled randomised trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2008; 7: 299–309.
- 6 Kidwell CS, Jahan R, Gornbein J, Alger JR, Nenov V, Ajani Z et al. A trial of imaging selection and endovascular treatment for ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med 2013; 368: 914–923.
- 7 Lansberg MG, Straka M, Kemp S, Mlynash M, Wechsler LR, Jovin TG *et al.* MRI profile and response to endovascular reperfusion after stroke (DEFUSE 2): a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Neurol* 2012; **11**: 860–867.
- 8 Sobesky J. Refining the mismatch concept in acute stroke: lessons learned from PET and MRI. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2012; **32**: 1416–1425.
- 9 Boltze J, Förschler A, Nitzsche B, Waldmin D, Hoffmann A, Boltze CM et al. Permanent middle cerebral artery occlusion in sheep: a novel large animal model of focal cerebral ischemia. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2008; 28: 1951–1964.
- 10 Zaro-Weber O, Moeller-Hartmann W, Heiss W-D, Sobesky J. MRI perfusion maps in acute stroke validated with 15O-water positron emission tomography. *Stroke J Cereb Circ* 2010; **41**: 443–449.
- 11 Huisman MC, van Golen LW, Hoetjes NJ, Greuter HN, Schober P, Ijzerman RG et al. Cerebral blood flow and glucose metabolism in healthy volunteers measured using a high-resolution PET scanner. EJNMMI Res 2012; 2: 63.
- 12 Momjian S, Owler BK, Czosnyka Z, Czosnyka M, Pena A, Pickard JD. Pattern of white matter regional cerebral blood flow and autoregulation in normal pressure hydrocephalus. *Brain J Neurol* 2004; **127**: 965–972.
- 13 Frackowiak RS, Lenzi GL, Jones T, Heather JD. Quantitative measurement of regional cerebral blood flow and oxygen metabolism in man using 15O and positron emission tomography: theory, procedure, and normal values. J Comput Assist Tomogr 1980; 4: 727–736.
- 14 Sakoh M, Røhl L, Gyldensted C, Gjedde A, Ostergaard L. Cerebral blood flow and blood volume measured by magnetic resonance imaging bolus tracking after acute stroke in pigs: comparison with [(15)0]H(2)O positron emission tomography. *Stroke J Cereb Circ* 2000; **31**: 1958–1964.



- 15 Takasawa M, Jones PS, Guadagno JV, Christensen S, Fryer TD, Harding S et al. How reliable is perfusion MR in acute stroke? Validation and determination of the penumbra threshold against quantitative PET. Stroke J Cereb Circ 2008; 39: 870–877.
- 16 Zaro-Weber O, Moeller-Hartmann W, Heiss W-D, Sobesky J. Maps of time to maximum and time to peak for mismatch definition in clinical stroke studies validated with positron emission tomography. *Stroke J Cereb Circ* 2010; **41**: 2817–2821.
- 17 Zhang K, Herzog H, Mauler J, Filss C, Okell TW, Kops ER *et al.* Comparison of cerebral blood flow acquired by simultaneous [(15)O]water positron emission tomography and arterial spin labeling magnetic resonance imaging. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2014; **34**: 1373–1380.
- 18 Izquierdo-Garcia D, Hansen AE, Förster S, Benoit D, Schachoff S, Fürst S et al. An SPM8-based approach for attenuation correction combining segmentation and nonrigid template formation: application to simultaneous PET/MR brain imaging. J Nucl Med 2014; 55: 1825–1830.

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on the Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism website (http://www.nature. com/jcbfm)