

# Multidrug-Resistant *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Isolated From Patients in Kashan, Iran

Atieh Sadat Moini,<sup>1</sup> Babak Soltani,<sup>1,\*</sup> Abbas Taghavi Ardakani,<sup>1</sup> Alireza Moravveji,<sup>2</sup> Mahzad Erami,<sup>3</sup> Mostafa Haji Rezaei,<sup>4</sup> and Mansoor Namazi<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, IR Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Community Medicine, Trauma Research Center, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, IR Iran

<sup>3</sup>Department of Microbiology, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, IR Iran

<sup>4</sup>Trauma Research Center, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, IR Iran

\*Corresponding author: Babak Soltani, Department of Pediatrics, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, IR Iran. Tel: +98-3155575840, Fax: +98-3155548900, E-mail: babak\_soltani1969@yahoo.com

Received 2015 January 30; Revised 2015 June 3; Accepted 2015 July 11.

## Abstract

**Background:** *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* are common human pathogens that cause a wide spectrum of infections. Antimicrobial resistance is a basic obstacle in the management of these infections which has different patterns in various regions.

**Objectives:** In this study, the antibiotic resistance patterns and risk factors for multidrug-resistant (MDR) *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* were determined.

**Patients and Methods:** In this cross-sectional study, a total of 250 isolates (134 *E. coli* and 116 *K. pneumoniae*) were collected and antimicrobial resistances to ampicillin, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, amikacin, gentamycin, ceftriaxone, ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin and imipenem were evaluated by disc diffusion method and confirmed by E-test. Moreover, risk factors for MDR *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* were also detected.

**Results:** The mean ages of the culture-positive cases of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* were  $33.39 \pm 24.42$  and  $36.54 \pm 24.66$  years, respectively ( $P = 0.31$ ); 137 (54.8%) cases were male and 113 (45.2%) were female ( $P = 0.53$ ). Nineteen (14.2%) isolates of *E. coli* and 12 (10.3%) isolates of *K. pneumoniae* were sensitive to all the evaluated antibiotics. The prevalence of MDR *E. coli* and MDR *K. pneumoniae* was 50% and 46.6%, respectively ( $P = 0.59$ ). The highest resistance for both strains was to ampicillin and no imipenem resistance was seen. The risk factors for MDR *E. coli* were admission history during the recent three months ( $P = 0.043$ ) and antibiotic use in the previous month ( $P = 0.03$ ); for MDR *K. pneumoniae*, they were admission in the pediatric ward ( $P = 0.016$ ), surgical ward ( $P = 0.019$ ), or gynecology ward ( $P = 0.12$ ), admission duration of > seven days, and antibiotic use during the past month ( $P = 0.04$ ).

**Conclusions:** The prevalence of multidrug resistance was high compared with developed countries, and history of admission, antibiotic use, admission duration and admission wards were the risk factors for multidrug resistance.

**Keywords:** Multidrug Resistance, Risk Factors, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*

## 1. Background

*Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* are two important members of Gram-negative rods (Enterobacteriaceae), which belongs to a part of human gastrointestinal normal flora (1, 2). These organisms are substantial human pathogens which lead to a wide spectrum of hospital and community-acquired infections such as urinary tract infection (UTI) (3), septicemia, pneumonia, peritonitis, meningitis, etc. (4, 5). In the lack of appropriate treatment of infections by these organisms, noticeable morbidities and mortalities will occur (6).

The most important problem of patients with Enterobacteriaceae infections is the emergence of antimicrobial resistance (7, 8). The development of antibiotic-resistant strains begun shortly after the universal use of antibiotics in 1940s and it has reached a peak, with the

emergence of resistant Enterobacteriaceae families to all antimicrobials in the last decade (9). Multidrug-resistant (MDR) isolates are resistant to at least three antibiotics (10). The evaluation of epidemiological data concerning MDR *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* in different parts of the world indicates their increased prevalence with noticeable varieties in many countries (11-13). These differences necessitate regional surveys about their resistance patterns and risk factors.

## 2. Objectives

Our investigation was carried out to determine the antimicrobial resistance patterns and associated risk factors for MDR *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* in Kashan, Iran.

### 3. Patients and Methods

#### 3.1. Study Design and Population

This cross-sectional study was performed from February 2012 to March 2013 in Shahid Beheshti Hospital, affiliated to Kashan university of medical sciences. Totally, 250 clinical samples, culture-positive for *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* from the admitted patients in various wards (intensive care unit (ICU), pediatric, internal medicine, surgery, infectious and gynecology), were selected nonrandomly in the microbiology laboratory of the hospital. Following taking informed consents from the culture-positive patients, their demographic data such as age, gender, admission ward, clinical sample, history of medical disorders, history of admission in previous three months, and antibiotic or corticosteroid use during the last month were filled in questionnaires. All the stages of study were supervised and approved by the ethical committee of Kashan university of medical sciences (code: 2736).

#### 3.2. Sample Collection and Microbial Detection

Clinical specimens including urine, blood, stool, peritoneal and pleural fluids were cultured on blood agar and MacConkey agar plates (Merk, Germany) at 37°C for 24 hours. The grown isolates were identified by the morphology of colonies, Gram staining, and biochemical test characteristics. On blood agar medium, *E. coli* colonies are round, with flat surface, have diameters of 1-2 mm, juicy consistency and grayish color, whereas *K. pneumoniae* colonies are large, dome shape, mucoid, and tend to coalesce. On MacConkey medium, *E. coli* colonies are red due to lactose fermentation, but *K. pneumoniae* colonies are large, mucoid dark pink, which indicates the fermentation of lactose. *Escherichia coli* biochemical characteristics include indole production, positive methyl red (MR), negative Voges-Proskauer (VP), negative Simmons' citrate agar, negative urease and motile. The biochemical tests for *K. pneumoniae* are negative indole, positive urease, variable MR, positive VP, positive Simmons' citrate agar and nonmotile. Microscopy by itself cannot differentiate the two organisms (14).

#### 3.3. Antibiotic Susceptibility

The evaluation of specimens for antibiotic resistance was conducted according to the clinical and laboratory standards institute (CLSI) guidelines (15). By a sterile loop, the cultured colonies of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* were inoculated directly onto Mueller-Hinton agar (Merk, Germany) by streak method. Screening test for the detection of antibiotic susceptibility was performed by Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion test (MAST, UK). Antibiotic disks impregnated with amikacin (30 µg), ampicillin (10 µg), amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (20/10 µg), ceftriaxone (30 µg), ceftazidime (30 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), gentamycin (10 µg), and imipenem (10 µg) were plated on

Mueller-Hinton agar and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. The inhibition zones of ≤ 12 mm around gentamycin, ≤ 13 mm around ampicillin, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, imipenem and ceftriaxone, ≤ 14 mm around ceftazidime and amikacin, and ≤ 15 mm around ciprofloxacin were considered resistant.

The confirmation of antimicrobial resistance was done by minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) which was measured by epsilometer test (E-test) (Liofilchem, Italy). MICs ≥ 32 µg/mL for ampicillin and amikacin, ≥ 32/16 µg/mL for amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, ≥ 64 µg/mL for ceftriaxone, ≥ 32 µg/mL for ceftazidime, ≥ 16 µg/mL for imipenem, and ≥ 8 µg/mL for gentamycin were considered resistant. MDR was described as resistance to at least three antibiotics. *E. coli* ATCC 25922 and *K. pneumoniae* ATCC 7006039 were used as control isolates (Mast, UK) (15).

#### 3.4. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed by SPSS software version 16. The description of the results was performed by frequencies. The distribution of continuous data was evaluated by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Independent T-test was used to determine the mean age of patients. Chi-squared test with estimation of the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) compared the characteristics of cases and also the antibiotic resistance patterns between the two groups (*E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*). The association of risk factors for MDR was analyzed by two-step logistic regression test. Risk factors with P values < 0.2 in univariate logistic regression were entered in multivariate logistic regression analysis. Two-sided P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

### 4. Results

Totally, 250 clinical samples were culture-positive, of which 116 (46.4%) were *K. pneumoniae* and 134 (53.6%) were *E. coli*. The prevalence rates of culture-positive specimens for *E. coli* included 54.5% for urine, 27.6% for stool, 7.5% for blood, 6.7% for pleural fluid, and 3.7% for ascitic fluid; for *K. pneumoniae*, in urine, stool, blood, pleural and ascitic fluids the rates were 52.6%, 33.6%, 5.2%, 2.6%, and 6%, respectively (P = 0.39). The age range of patients was 2-81 (34.9 ± 24.5) years. The mean ages of culture-positive cases for *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* were 33.39 ± 24.42 and 36.54 ± 24.66 years, respectively (P = 0.31). One hundred thirty seven (54.8%) cases were male and 113 (45.2%) were female. No significant statistical differences were found in gender between the two microbial groups (P = 0.53). The characteristics of subjects are indicated in Table 1.

*Escherichia coli* resistance rates to ampicillin, co-amoxiclav, ceftazidime, gentamycin, amikacin, ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin and imipenem were 76.1%, 26.9%, 30.6%, 38.8%, 26.9%, 26.9%, 21.6%, and 0%, respectively. The *K. pneumoniae* antimicrobial resistance rates were as follows: 77.6% for ampicillin, 28.4% for co-amoxiclav, 28.4% for ceftazidime,

35.3% for gentamycin, 31% for amikacin, 29.3% for ceftriaxone, 23.3% for ciprofloxacin, and 0% for imipenem. Nineteen (14.2%) *E. coli* and 12 (10.3%) *K. pneumoniae* isolates were sensitive to all the evaluated antibiotics.

MDR was observed in 67 (50%) *E. coli* and 54 (46.6%) *K. pneumoniae* strains ( $P = 0.59$ ,  $OR = 0.87$ ,  $CI: 0.53 - 1.43$ ). The antibiotic susceptibility patterns among MDR strains are shown in Table 2. Using logistic regression test for the

analysis of the associated risk factors of MDR organisms indicated that admission history during the prior three months and antibiotic use in a month before were risk factors for MDR *E. coli* isolates. Admission wards, admission duration more than seven days and antibiotic use in the last month were the associated risk factors for MDR *K. pneumoniae* isolates. The independent associated risk factors for MDR isolates are depicted in Tables 3 and 4.

**Table 1.** Patients' Baseline Characteristics <sup>a,b</sup>

| Variables                        | Organisms                |                                | P Value |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
|                                  | <i>E. coli</i> (N = 134) | <i>K. pneumoniae</i> (N = 116) |         |
| <b>Gender</b>                    |                          |                                | 0.53    |
| Male                             | 71 (53.0)                | 66 (56.9)                      |         |
| Female                           | 63 (47.0)                | 50 (43.1)                      |         |
| <b>Age, y</b>                    |                          |                                | 0.6     |
| ≤ 10                             | 28 (20.9)                | 23 (19.8)                      |         |
| 11-20                            | 33 (24.6)                | 21 (18.1)                      |         |
| 21-60                            | 44 (32.8)                |                                | (37.1)  |
| >60                              | 29 (21.6)                | 29 (25.0)                      |         |
| <b>Admission wards</b>           |                          |                                | 0.6     |
| ICU                              | 20 (14.9)                | 19 (16.4)                      |         |
| Pediatric                        | 34 (25.4)                | 21 (18.1)                      |         |
| Internal medicine                | 19 (14.2)                | 16 (13.8)                      |         |
| Surgery                          | 23 (17.2)                | 17 (14.7)                      |         |
| Infectious                       | 21 (15.7)                | 21 (18.1)                      |         |
| Gynecology                       | 17 (12.7)                | 22 (19.0)                      |         |
| <b>Admission duration, d</b>     |                          |                                | 0.96    |
| ≤ 7                              | 87 (64.9)                | 75 (64.7)                      |         |
| > 7                              | 47 (35.1)                | 41 (35.7)                      |         |
| <b>Diabetes</b>                  |                          |                                | 0.8     |
| No                               | 114 (85.1)               | 100 (86.2)                     |         |
| Yes                              | 20 (14.9)                | 16 (13.8)                      |         |
| <b>Renal failure</b>             |                          |                                | 0.18    |
| No                               | 127 (94.8)               | 114 (98.3)                     |         |
| Yes                              | 7 (5.2)                  | 2 (1.7)                        |         |
| <b>History of admission</b>      |                          |                                | 0.4     |
| No                               | 109 (81.3)               | 99 (85.3)                      |         |
| Yes                              | 25 (18.7)                | 17 (14.7)                      |         |
| <b>History of antibiotic use</b> |                          |                                | 0.76    |
| No                               | 88 (65.7)                | 74 (63.8)                      |         |
| Yes                              | 46 (34.3)                | 42 (36.2)                      |         |
| <b>History of steroid use</b>    |                          |                                | 0.03    |
| No                               | 127 (94.8)               | 101 (87.1)                     |         |
| Yes                              | 7 (5.2)                  | 15 (12.9)                      |         |

<sup>a</sup> Abbreviations: *E. coli*, *Escherichia coli*; *K. pneumoniae*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*; ICU, intensive care unit.

<sup>b</sup> All the values are present as No. (%).

**Table 2.** Antibiotic Susceptibility Among Multidrug-Resistant Isolates<sup>a,b</sup>

| Antibiotics          | <i>E. coli</i> (N = 67) |           | <i>K. pneumoniae</i> (N = 54) |           | P Value |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------|---------|
|                      | S                       | R         | S                             | R         |         |
| <b>Amikacin</b>      | 37 (55.2)               | 30 (44.8) | 28 (51.9)                     | 26 (48.1) | 0.7     |
| <b>Ampicillin</b>    | 2 (3.0)                 | 65 (97.0) | 2 (3.7)                       | 52 (96.3) | 0.83    |
| <b>Ceftazidime</b>   | 30 (44.8)               | 37 (55.2) | 27 (50)                       | 27 (50)   | 0.59    |
| <b>Ceftriaxone</b>   | 41 (61.2)               | 26 (38.8) | 26 (48.1)                     | 28 (51.9) | 0.15    |
| <b>Ciprofloxacin</b> | 41 (61.2)               | 26 (38.8) | 29 (53.7)                     | 25 (46.3) | 0.46    |
| <b>Co-Amoxiclav</b>  | 36 (53.7)               | 31 (46.3) | 29 (53.7)                     | 25 (46.3) | 0.9     |
| <b>Gentamycin</b>    | 21 (31.3)               | 46 (68.7) | 22 (40.7)                     | 32 (59.3) | 0.3     |
| <b>Imipenem</b>      | 67 (100)                | 0 (0)     | 54 (100)                      | 0 (0)     | -       |

<sup>a</sup> Abbreviations: *E. coli*, *Escherichia coli*; *K. pneumoniae*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*; S, sensitive; R, resistant.

<sup>b</sup> All the values are present as No. (%).

**Table 3.** Univariate and Multivariate Analysis of Potential Risk Factors for Multidrug-Resistant *Escherichia coli*<sup>a</sup>

| Variables                         | MDR <i>E. coli</i> (n = 134) |                   | P Value   | Logistic Regression |         |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------|
|                                   | Negative, No. (%)            | Positive, No. (%) |           | OR (95% CI)         | P value |
| <b>Gender</b>                     |                              |                   | 0.86      |                     |         |
| Male                              | 35 (52.2)                    | 36 (53.7)         |           |                     |         |
| Female                            | 32 (47.8)                    | 31 (46.3)         |           |                     |         |
| <b>Age, y</b>                     |                              |                   | 0.016     |                     |         |
| ≤ 10                              | 20 (29.9)                    | 8 (11.9)          |           |                     | -       |
| 11 - 20                           | 19 (28.4)                    | 14 (20.9)         |           |                     | 0.68    |
| 21 - 60                           | 19 (28.4)                    | 25 (37.3)         |           |                     | 0.44    |
| > 60                              | 60                           | 9 (13.4)          | 20 (29.9) |                     | 0.94    |
| <b>Admission wards</b>            |                              |                   | 0.019     |                     |         |
| ICU                               | 5 (7.5)                      | 15 (22.4)         |           |                     | -       |
| Pediatric                         | 24 (35.8)                    | 10 (14.9)         |           |                     | 0.56    |
| Internal medicine                 | 6 (9)                        | 13 (19.4)         |           |                     | 0.42    |
| Surgery                           | 14 (20.9)                    | 9 (13.4)          |           |                     | 0.21    |
| Infectious                        | 10 (14.9)                    | 11 (16.4)         |           |                     | 0.5     |
| Gynecology                        | 8 (11.9)                     | 9 (13.4)          |           |                     | 0.68    |
| <b>Admission duration, d</b>      |                              |                   | 0.007     |                     |         |
| ≤ 7                               | 51 (76.1)                    | 36 (53.7)         |           |                     | -       |
| > 7                               | 16 (23.9)                    | 31 (46.3)         |           |                     | 0.07    |
| <b>Diabetes</b>                   |                              |                   | 0.15      |                     |         |
| No                                | 60 (89.6)                    | 54 (80.6)         |           |                     | -       |
| Yes                               | 7 (10.4)                     | 13 (19.4)         |           |                     | 0.76    |
| <b>Renal failure</b>              |                              |                   | 0.09      |                     |         |
| No                                | 66 (98.5)                    | 61 (91)           |           |                     | -       |
| Yes                               | 1 (1.5)                      | 6 (9)             |           |                     | 0.7     |
| <b>Admission history</b>          |                              |                   | < 0.001   |                     |         |
| No                                | 65 (97)                      | 44 (65.7)         |           |                     | -       |
| Yes                               | 2 (3)                        | 23 (34.3)         |           | 6.2 (1.06-36.4)     | 0.043   |
| <b>Antibiotic use history</b>     |                              |                   | < 0.001   |                     |         |
| No                                | 57 (85.1)                    | 31 (46.3)         |           |                     | -       |
| Yes                               | 10 (14.9)                    | 36 (53.7)         |           | 3.3 (1.1-9.5)       | 0.03    |
| <b>Corticosteroid use history</b> |                              |                   | 0.7       |                     |         |
| No                                | 64 (95.5)                    | 63 (94)           |           |                     |         |
| Yes                               | 3 (4.5)                      | 4 (6)             |           |                     |         |

<sup>a</sup> Abbreviations: *E. coli*, *Escherichia coli*; MDR, multidrug resistant; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; ICU, intensive care unit.

**Table 4.** Univariate and Multivariate Analysis of Potential Risk Factors for Multidrug-Resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*<sup>a</sup>

| Variable                          | MDR <i>K. pneumoniae</i> (N = 116) |                   | P Value | Logistic Regression |         |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|
|                                   | Negative, No. (%)                  | Positive, No. (%) |         | OR (95% CI)         | P value |
| <b>Gender</b>                     |                                    |                   | 0.63    |                     |         |
| Male                              | 34 (54.8)                          | 32 (59.3)         |         |                     |         |
| Female                            | 28 (45.2)                          | 22 (40.7)         |         |                     |         |
| <b>Age, y</b>                     |                                    |                   | 0.21    |                     |         |
| ≤ 10                              | 16 (25.8)                          | 7 (13)            |         |                     |         |
| 11-20                             | 13 (21)                            | 8 (14.8)          |         |                     |         |
| 21-60                             | 20 (32.3)                          | 23 (42.6)         |         |                     |         |
| > 60                              | 13 (21)                            | 16 (29.6)         |         |                     |         |
| <b>Admission wards</b>            |                                    |                   | 0.001   |                     |         |
| ICU                               | 3 (4.8)                            | 16 (29.6)         |         |                     | -       |
| Pediatric                         | 16 (25.8)                          | 5 (9.3)           |         | 0.11 (0.02 - 0.67)  | 0.016   |
| Internal medicine                 | 6 (9.7)                            | 10 (18.5)         |         |                     | 0.44    |
| Surgery                           | 12 (19.4)                          | 5 (9.3)           |         | 0.12 (0.02 - 0.7)   | 0.019   |
| Infectious                        | 9 (14.5)                           | 12 (22.2)         |         |                     | 0.35    |
| Gynecology                        | 16 (25.8)                          | 6 (11.1)          |         | 0.11 (0.02 - 0.6)   | 0.012   |
| <b>Admission duration, d</b>      |                                    |                   | < 0.001 |                     |         |
| ≤ 7                               | 50 (80.6)                          | 25 (46.3)         |         |                     | -       |
| > 7                               | 12 (19.4)                          | 29 (53.7)         |         | 4.2 (1.5 - 11.6)    | 0.006   |
| <b>Diabetes</b>                   |                                    |                   | 0.06    |                     |         |
| No                                | 57 (91.9)                          | 43 (79.6)         |         |                     | -       |
| Yes                               | 5 (8.1)                            | 11 (20.4)         |         |                     | 0.9     |
| <b>Renal failure</b>              |                                    |                   | 0.99    |                     |         |
| No                                | 62 (100)                           | 52 (96.3)         |         |                     |         |
| Yes                               | 0 (0)                              | 2 (3.7)           |         |                     |         |
| <b>Admission history</b>          |                                    |                   | 0.11    |                     |         |
| No                                | 56 (90.3)                          | 43 (79.6)         |         |                     | -       |
| Yes                               | 6 (9.7)                            | 11 (20.4)         |         |                     | 0.9     |
| <b>Antibiotic use history</b>     |                                    |                   | < 0.001 |                     |         |
| No                                | 49 (79)                            | 25 (46.3)         |         |                     | -       |
| Yes                               | 13 (21)                            | 29 (53.7)         |         | 3.2 (1.09 - 9.8)    | 0.04    |
| <b>Corticosteroid use history</b> |                                    |                   | 0.01    |                     |         |
| No                                | 59 (95.2)                          | 42 (77.8)         |         |                     | -       |
| Yes                               | 3 (4.8)                            | 12 (22.2)         |         |                     | 0.74    |

<sup>a</sup> Abbreviations: *K. pneumoniae*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*; MDR, multidrug resistant; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; ICU, intensive care unit.

## 5. Discussion

The emergence of MDR *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* is a noticeable problem in different parts of the world and many investigations with various findings has been conducted in recent years (16). In this study, the prevalence of multidrug resistance was 50% among the *E. coli* isolates and 46.6% among the *K. pneumoniae* isolates. During a survey in Iran by Shams et al. (17) on 134 culture-positive clinical samples for *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*, the prevalence

of MDR isolates was reported 83% and 74%, respectively, which is more than our results. Rezaee et al. (16) reported 84.2% MDR *E. coli* from different specimens in Iran. The MDR rates in the two previous studies were substantially higher than ours and the probable explanation may be improper antibiotic prescription and genetic variations in different parts of Iran.

During a study in Egypt on *E. coli* isolated from urine

samples, the MDR isolates prevalence was 87% and resistance to ampicillin, amoxicillin, cephalexin and chloramphenicol was 100%. Resistance to ampicillin-sulbactam, co-amoxiclav and imipenem was 67.1%, 45.7% and 10.64%, respectively (18). The multidrug resistance and all antimicrobial resistance rates were more than our results. In northern Ethiopia, culture-positive clinical specimens for *E. coli* such as urine, purulent otorrhea, wound and eye drainage were studied and the prevalence of MDR was reported 74.6%. The highest resistance to erythromycin (89.4%), amoxicillin (86%), tetracycline (72.6%) and the most sensitivity to nitrofurantoin (96.4%), norfloxacin (90.6%) and gentamycin (79.6%) were documented (19). Resistance to gentamycin was less than that of our findings. Similar results about MDR rate were reported in Sudan and Nigeria (20, 21).

During a study in Pakistan on positive urine cultures for *K. pneumoniae*, 71% were MDR and high resistance rates to ampicillin (100%), co-trimoxazole (93%) and cefaclor (80%) were detected. Eighty seven percent and 93% of isolates were sensitive to imipenem and meropenem, respectively (22). MDR, ampicillin and imipenem resistance rates were dramatically higher compared to our findings. Lina et al. (23) detected MDR rates of 86% and 85% among *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*, respectively, from urine samples. *E. coli* strains had resistance rates of 85% to ampicillin, 72% to co-trimoxazole, 84% to ciprofloxacin and 50% to gentamycin, and *K. pneumoniae* isolates showed resistance rates of 100%, 54%, 54% and 27% to ampicillin, ciprofloxacin, co-trimoxazole and gentamycin, respectively. Both isolates were 100% susceptible to imipenem. The resistance rates of isolates to ampicillin and ciprofloxacin were more than ours, but *E. coli* resistance rate to gentamycin was lower and *K. pneumoniae* resistance rate to gentamycin was higher in our findings. Subha et al. (24) reported 100% MDR *Klebsiella* strains compared to 46.6% in our study.

Oteo et al. (25) did a survey in Spain on 7098 positive *E. coli* cultures and showed increase of MDR rate from 13.8% in 2001 to 20.6% in 2003, which in spite of this increment, was substantially less than our results. Moreover, they reported high resistance rates to amoxicillin (59.9%), co-trimoxazole (32.6%) and ciprofloxacin (19.3%). MDR and ciprofloxacin resistance rates in our survey were higher. An investigation in the United States on 38835 positive urine cultures for *E. coli* reported 7.1% MDR rate, and high resistance rates to ampicillin (97.8%), co-trimoxazole (92.8%) and cephalothin (86.6%) were detected (26).

In the present study, among the MDR strains, high resistance rates to ampicillin, third-generation cephalosporins and aminoglycosides, especially gentamycin were determined, but despite of MDR rates of 50% and 46.6% among *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* isolates, there was an overall relatively acceptable susceptibility to oral antimicrobial agents such as co-amoxiclav and ciprofloxacin. The comparison of our results with the aforementioned surveys stated more MDR and antibiotic resistance rates

in developing countries, which may be attributable to inappropriate use of antimicrobial agents, geographic and social variations, sampling biases, and different patients' characteristics. In our survey, ampicillin resistance rates of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* were 76.1% and 77.6% respectively, which showed more favorable condition, despite of Ullah et al. (22) who reported ampicillin resistance rate of 100%. Fortunately, in our investigation all the isolates were sensitive to imipenem.

In contrast to lesser antimicrobial resistance rates of the present study compared to the majority of other investigations, judicious antibiotic prescription is mandatory to prevent the emergence of severe resistances in future. Our research revealed that antibiotic usage during a month before and admission during three months prior to the study were risk factors for MDR *E. coli*. Moreover, the present study detected admission more than seven days, antibiotic use in recent month, and admission wards as the potential associated risk factors of MDR *K. pneumoniae*. Serephanoglu et al. (27) in Turkey determined that duration of admission was the only risk factor for the bacteremia caused by MDR *E. coli*. According to Park et al. (28), antibiotic use, implementation of peripheral catheter and neutropenia were the associated risk factors for MDR bacteremia by *E. coli* and *Klebsiella*. In other studies, hospitalization, attending to residential care centers, antimicrobial use, and increase of age were mentioned as the risk factors for multidrug resistance (29-32).

According to the present study, gender was not known as a risk factor of MDR strains, whereas Ibrahim et al. (20) documented the male gender as the MDR risk factor, which is inconsistent with our investigation. Moreover, no significant differences were found in MDR rates between age groups according to Ibrahim et al. (20), which is congruent with the current research. Based on the aforementioned results, antibiotic use can be considered as an important risk factor of MDR infections; so, the rational prescription of antimicrobial agents is mandatory. The strong point of the current study was the evaluation of independent associated risk factors for MDR *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*, which has been evaluated in a few surveys.

The limitations of our study included the following: molecular detection was not conducted and is recommended for further investigations; MDR-extended spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL) *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* were not evaluated; thus, more surveys are needed in future; finally, larger sample size studies in different centers are necessary to achieve more comprehensive results about other risk factors of multidrug resistance.

In conclusion, the present study revealed high antimicrobial resistance rates among MDR isolates, but totally, there was a relatively acceptable antibiotic susceptibility among the isolates. No imipenem-resistant strains were found. Furthermore, the MDR rate compared to developed countries was high and its risk factors included history of hospitalization, admission duration, antimicrobial use, and admission wards. Periodic surveillance

of drug resistance and epidemiological data collection from patients can assist to develop the strategies to manage antibiotic resistance.

## Acknowledgments

The authors of this manuscript would like to express their gratitude to Kashan Shahid Beheshti hospital microbiology laboratory staff for their cooperation. They are also thankful to ICU, pediatric, internal medicine, surgery, infectious and gynecology wards personnel of Shahid Beheshti hospital for their help and support.

## Footnotes

**Authors' Contributions:**Development of original idea: Babak Soltani, Mahzad Erami. Study concept and design: Babak Soltani, Abbas Taghavi Ardakani, Mahzad Erami. Analysis and interpretation of data: Alireza Moravveji. Data collection: Atieh Sadat Moini, Mostafa Haji Rezaei, Mansoor Namazi. Preparation of manuscript: Babak Soltani, Mostafa Haji Rezaei. Laboratory testing: Mahzad Erami. Revision of the manuscript: Babak Soltani.

**Funding/Support:**The present study was financially supported by Kashan University of medical sciences, grant number 91062.

## References

1. Ayatollahi J, Vahidi A, Shahcheraghi SH, Bagheripour A, Lotfi M, Lotfi M, et al. Investigating the Resistance of Escherichia coli Against Some Selected Antimicrobials in Bam. *Jundishapur J Microbiol.* 2013;**6**(8):e7407. doi: 10.5812/jjm.7407.
2. Rodriguez-Bano J, Alcalá JC, Cisneros JM, Grill F, Oliver A, Horcajada JP, et al. Community infections caused by extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli. *Arch Intern Med.* 2008;**168**(17):1897-902. doi: 10.1001/archinte.168.17.1897. [PubMed: 18809817]
3. Dormanesh B, Safarpour Dehkordi F, Hosseini S, Momtaz H, Mirnejad R, Hoseini MJ, et al. Virulence factors and o-serogroups profiles of uropathogenic Escherichia coli isolated from Iranian pediatric patients. *Iran Red Crescent Med J.* 2014;**16**(2):e14627. doi: 10.5812/ircmj.14627. [PubMed: 24719745]
4. Centers for Disease C, Prevention. Vital signs: carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2013;**62**(9):165-70. [PubMed: 23466435]
5. Allocati N, Masulli M, Alexeyev MF, Di Ilio C. Escherichia coli in Europe: an overview. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2013;**10**(12):6235-54. doi: 10.3390/ijerph10126235. [PubMed: 24287850]
6. Russo T. Medical and economic impact of extraintestinal infections due to Escherichia coli: focus on an increasingly important endemic problem. *Microbes Infect.* 2003;**5**(5):449-56. doi: 10.1016/s1286-4579(03)00049-2.
7. Heidary M, Momtaz H, Madani M. Characterization of Diarrheagenic Antimicrobial Resistant Escherichia coli Isolated From Pediatric Patients in Tehran, Iran. *Iran Red Crescent Med J.* 2014;**16**(4):e12329. doi: 10.5812/ircmj.12329. [PubMed: 24910786]
8. Mantzarlis K, Makris D, Manoulakas E, Karvouniaris M, Zakyntinos E. Risk Factors for the First Episode of Klebsiella pneumoniae Resistant to Carbapenems Infection in Critically Ill Patients: A Prospective Study. *BioMed Res Int.* 2013;1-8. doi: 10.1155/2013/850547.
9. Tang SS, Apisarnthanarak A, Hsu LY. Mechanisms of beta-lactam antimicrobial resistance and epidemiology of major community- and healthcare-associated multidrug-resistant bacteria. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 2014;**78**:3-13. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2014.08.003. [PubMed: 25134490]
10. Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG, et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2012;**18**(3):268-81. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x. [PubMed: 21793988]
11. Tsukamoto N, Ohkoshi Y, Okubo T, Sato T, Kuwahara O, Fujii N, et al. High prevalence of cross-resistance to aminoglycosides in fluoroquinolone-resistant Escherichia coli clinical isolates. *Chemotherapy.* 2013;**59**(5):379-84. doi: 10.1159/000361011. [PubMed: 24852043]
12. Vernaz N, Huttner B, Musciconic D, Salomon JL, Bonnabry P, Lopez-Lozano JM, et al. Modelling the impact of antibiotic use on antibiotic-resistant Escherichia coli using population-based data from a large hospital and its surrounding community. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2011;**66**(4):928-35. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkq525. [PubMed: 21393172]
13. Mohamed Ali MM, Alemay F, Alrtail A, Rzeg MM, Albakush AM, Ghenghesh KS. High isolation rates of multidrug-resistant bacteria from water and carpets of mosques. *Libyan J Med.* 2014;**9**. doi: 10.3402/ljm.v9.25415.
14. Forbes BA, Sahm DF, Weissfeld AS. *Bailey & Scott's Diagnostic Microbiology.* St. Louis: Mosby; 2007.
15. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. *17th Informational Supplement.* 2007. Available from: <http://www.microbiolab-bg.com/CLSI.pdf>.
16. Rezaee MA, Sheikhalizadeh V, Hasani A. Detection of integrons among multi-drug resistant (MDR) Escherichia coli strains isolated from clinical specimens in northern west of Iran. *Braz J Microbiol.* 2011;**42**(4):1308-13. doi: 10.1590/S1517-838220110004000010. [PubMed: 24031757]
17. Shams F, Hasani A, Pormohammad A, Rezaee MA, Reza M, Nahaie AH. A implicated quinolone resistance in Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae clinical isolates from a University Teaching Hospital. *Life Sci J.* 2014;**11**(12)
18. Salem MM, Muharram M, Alhosiny IM. Distribution of classes 1 and 2 integrons among multi drug resistant E. coli isolated from hospitalized patients with urinary tract infection in Cairo, Egypt. *Aust J Basic Appl Sci.* 2010;**4**(3):398-407.
19. Kibret M, Abera B. Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of E. coli from clinical sources in northeast Ethiopia. *Afr Health Sci.* 2011;**11** Suppl 1:S40-5. [PubMed: 22135643]
20. Ibrahim ME, Bilal NE, Hamid ME. Increased multi-drug resistant Escherichia coli from hospitals in Khartoum state, Sudan. *Afr Health Sci.* 2012;**12**(3):368-75. [PubMed: 23382754]
21. Ngwai YB, Akpotu MO, Obidake RE, Sounyo AA, Onanuga A, Origo SO. Antimicrobial susceptibility of Escherichia coli and other coliforms isolated from urine of asymptomatic students in Bayelsa State, Nigeria. *Afr J Microbiol Res.* 2010;**5**(3):184-91.
22. Ullah F, Malik SA, Ahmed J. Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern and ESBL prevalence in Klebsiella pneumoniae from urinary tract infections in the North-West of Pakistan. *Afr J Microbiol Res.* 2009;**3**(11):676-80.
23. Lina TT, Rahman SR, Gomes DJ. Multiple-antibiotic resistance mediated by plasmids and integrons in uropathogenic Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae. *Bangladesh J Microbiol.* 2007;**24**(1):19-23.
24. Subha A, Ananthan S, Alavandi SV. Extended spectrum beta lactamase production & multidrug resistance in Klebsiella species isolated from children under five with intestinal & extraintestinal infections. *Indian J Med Res.* 2001;**113**:181-5. [PubMed: 11968952]
25. Oteo J, Lazaro E, de Abajo FJ, Baquero F, Campos J. Spanish members of E. Antimicrobial-resistant invasive Escherichia coli, Spain. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2005;**11**(4):546-53. doi: 10.3201/eid1104.040699. [PubMed: 15829192]
26. Sahm DF, Thornsberry C, Mayfield DC, Jones ME, Karlowsky JA. Multidrug-resistant urinary tract isolates of Escherichia coli: prevalence and patient demographics in the United States in 2000. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2001;**45**(5):1402-6. doi: 10.1128/AAC.45.5.1402-1406.2001. [PubMed: 11302802]
27. Serephanoglu K, Turan H, Timurkaynak FE, Arslan H. Blood-stream infections caused by ESBL-producing E. coli and K. pneumoniae: risk factors for multidrug-resistance. *Braz J Infect Dis.*

- 2009;**13**(6):403-7. [PubMed: 20464329]
28. Park SY, Kang CI, Joo EJ, Ha YE, Wi YM, Chung DR, et al. Risk factors for multidrug resistance in nosocomial bacteremia caused by extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Microb Drug Resist*. 2012;**18**(5):518-24. doi: 10.1089/mdr.2012.0067. [PubMed: 22742454]
29. Ahmed MO, Williams NJ, Clegg PD, van Velkinburgh JC, Baptiste KE, Bennett M. Analysis of risk factors associated with antibiotic-resistant *Escherichia coli*. *Microb Drug Resist*. 2012;**18**(2):161-8. doi: 10.1089/mdr.2011.0213. [PubMed: 22229818]
30. Aliberti S, Di Pasquale M, Zanaboni AM, Cosentini R, Brambilla AM, Seghezzi S, et al. Stratifying risk factors for multidrug-resistant pathogens in hospitalized patients coming from the community with pneumonia. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2012;**54**(4):470-8. doi: 10.1093/cid/cir840. [PubMed: 22109954]
31. Clark NM, Patterson J, Lynch J3. Antimicrobial resistance among gram-negative organisms in the intensive care unit. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. 2003;**9**(5):413-23. [PubMed: 14508155]
32. O'Fallon E, Kandell R, Schreiber R, D'Agata E. Acquisition of Multidrug - Resistant Gram - Negative Bacteria: Incidence and Risk Factors within a Long - Term Care Population. *Infect Control Hospital Epidemiol*. 2010;**31**(11):1148-53. doi: 10.1086/656590.