

Brief Communication

Feasibility of a Mobile Phone-Based Surveillance for Surgical Site Infections in Rural India

Ashish Pathak, DNB, PhD,¹⁻³ Shailendra Sharma, MS,⁴ Megha Sharma, MSc, PhD,^{1,5} Vijay K. Mahadik, DCH,⁶ and Cecilia Stålsby Lundborg, PhD¹

¹Global Health/IHCAR, Department of Public Health Sciences, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden.

Departments of ²Paediatrics, ⁴Surgery, and ⁵Pharmacology, Ruxmaniben Deepchand Gardi Medical College, Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh, India.

³Department of Women and Children's Health, International Maternal and Child Health Unit, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden.

⁶Ruxmaniben Deepchand Gardi Medical College, Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh, India.

Abstract

Objectives: To assess the feasibility of using mobile communication technology in completing a 30-day follow-up of surgical site infection (SSI). **Subjects and Methods:** SSIs are infections occurring up to 30 days after an operative procedure. This prospective exploratory study was conducted in a cohort of patients who were admitted and operated on in the general surgery wards of a rural hospital in India from October 2010 to June 2011. At the time of discharge, all patients were requested to follow-up in the surgical outpatient clinic at 30 days after surgery. If this was not done, a mobile phone-based surveillance was done to complete the follow-up. **Results:** The mean age of the 536 operated-on patients was 40 years (95% confidence interval [CI], 38–41 years). The mean duration of hospital stay was 10.7 days (95% CI, 9.9–11.6 days). Most (81%) operated-on patients were from rural areas, and 397 (75%) were male. Among the operated-on patients the ownership of mobile phones was 75% (95% CI, 73–78%). The remaining 25% of patients (n = 133) used a shared mobile phone. For 380 patients (74.5%) the follow-up was completed by mobile phones. The SSI rate at follow-up was 6.3% (n = 34). In 10 patients, an SSI was detected over the mobile phone. **Conclusions:** Mobile communication technology is feasible to be used in rural settings to complete case follow-up for SSIs.

Key words: surgical site infections, surveillance, mobile phones, rural, India

Introduction

Surgical site infections (SSIs) are one of the most frequent healthcare-associated infections.¹ The SSI rates are 2–20 times higher in low- and middle-income countries compared with high-income countries.¹ However, there is a scarcity of studies done in low- and middle-income countries.² Inability to set up a surveillance system for SSI can, to a large extent, explain the scarcity of studies in resource-constrained settings. For completing the surveillance for SSI, a patient is required to be followed up for 30 days postoperatively.³ Most patients in resource-constrained settings like those in India come to a surgical facility from widely dispersed geographical areas, as facilities for surgery are not easily available. Follow-up studies are therefore difficult to perform, as a majority of patients do not return. This incomplete follow-up is the main hindrance to SSI surveillance.

Because India has one of the largest expanding networks of mobile phones in the world, we decided to assess the feasibility of using mobile communication technology for completion of follow-up for SSI surveillance in a rural hospital in India.

Subjects and Methods

STUDY SETTING

This prospective, exploratory study was conducted in the 90-bed general surgery ward of Chandrikaben Rashmikant Gardi Hospital, a 600-bed teaching hospital associated with Ruxmaniben Deepchand Gardi Medical College (RDGMC), Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh, India. The time period of the study was from October 2010 to June 2011. Chandrikaben Rashmikant Gardi Hospital is located 6 km outside Ujjain City and caters predominantly to the rural population. The patients included in the study traveled an average distance of 31 km (range, 0.4–440 km) to the hospital. Most (70%) patient visits were unscheduled; however, most surgeries (90%) were planned. Four surgery clinical units managed the admitted

© The Author(s) 2015; Published by Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. This Open Access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

SURGICAL SITE INFECTION SURVEILLANCE BY MOBILE PHONES

Table 1. Summary of the Data Collection Form Filled in for All Patients Undergoing Surgery at the Rural Hospital in Ujjain, India	
DATA	PARAMETER
Patient-related	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Age (years): < 18, > 18–35, > 35–50, > 50–65, > 65 Sex: male, female Chronic disease (present/absent): tuberculosis, heart disease, renal disease, hepatic disease, diabetes Immunosuppression (yes/no) History of smoking (present/absent) ASA score: I/II, III/IV, and V^a
Surgery-related	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type of surgery: upper and lower gastrointestinal surgery, genitourinary surgery, skin surgery and minor procedures, superspecialty surgery, exploratory laparotomy, and multiple operations Nature of surgery: elective operation, emergency operation Wound classification: clean/clean-contaminated wound, contaminated/dirty wound Duration of surgery: < 60 min, > 60 min Drains inserted Preoperative shower Hair removal and method Hypoxia during surgery Oxygen administration during surgery
Healthcare-related	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dates of admission, surgery, and discharge Duration of preoperative stay: 0–3 days, 4–7 days, 8–14 days, > 15 days Duration of postoperative stay: 0–3 days, 4–7 days, 8–14 days, > 15 days Previous hospitalization Preoperative infection Dates of start and end of antibiotic administration Antibiotic administration History of antibiotic use
Follow-up	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Postoperative day dressing was opened Method of follow-up: appointment or mobile phone Wound on visual inspection (actual appointment or mobile phone): healthy/infected Characteristics of infected wound: warmth, redness, swelling, pain SSI detected on visual impression on appointment (yes/no)

Table 1. <i>continued</i>	
DATA	PARAMETER
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SSI detected by mobile phone image (yes/no) Patient outcome: SSI detected/not detected SSI confirmed by Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines (yes/no) Type of SSI: superficial incisional primary, superficial incisional secondary, deep incisional primary, deep incisional secondary
<p>^aAmerican Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) classification: class I–II, a normal healthy patient or a patient with mild systematic disease; class III–V, a patient with severe systematic disease, a patient with severe systematic disease that is a constant threat to life, or a moribund patient who is not expected to survive without the operation.</p> <p>SSI, surgical site infection.</p>	

patients. Each unit consists of at least one professor or associate professor, one assistant professor, and three to four residents. There were four operating theaters available during the study period.

DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURE

For SSI surveillance a trained study-assistant filled a pre-designed form containing SSI risk factors. Details of methods and risk factors for SSI in a larger cohort have been published elsewhere.⁴ *Table 1* shows the template of the data collection form. The study-assistant also collected phone numbers either of self-owned or of a shared mobile number at the time of discharge. For postdischarge SSI surveillance, patients were asked to return for follow-up within 1 week of completing the 30-day postsurgery period. Those patients who did not return for follow-up within the stipulated time were contacted on their mobile phones for an interview regarding the patient's surgical site to complete the SSI surveillance.

To operationalize the actual phone calls, we made a diary of the calendar year with the patient's name in the case of self-owned mobile phones or the name of the patient's relative or friend having the shared mobile phone. The mobile phone numbers were noted at the time of discharge from the hospital. The patients were reached on their mobile phones within 1 week after the 30-day period postsurgery, so as to give the patients a chance to complete the physical follow-up. During the mobile phone contact, the study-assistant asked questions regarding the general well-being of the patient, including the current condition of the wound, in the local language, Hindi. Specific questions were asked regarding wound dehiscence and purulent discharge from the wound. If wound infection was suspected, the patient was requested to visit the surgery

outpatient clinic for a review. All patients who were approached agreed to participate in the study.

ETHICAL STATEMENT AND DATA MANAGEMENT

The Ethical Committee of Ruxmaniben Deepchand Gardi Medical College approved the study (approval number 114/2010). Informed verbal consent was obtained from all study participants prior to enrollment.

EpiData version 3.1 software (EpiData Association, Odense, Denmark) was used for data entry, and STATA version 12.0 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX) was used for data processing and analysis. Descriptive statistics were calculated. The SSI rate was calculated with 95% confidence intervals (CIs), and a value of $p < 0.05$ was considered significant.

Results

In total, 536 patients were operated on during the study period; among them, 397 (75%) were male. The mean age of the operated-on patients was 40 years (95% CI, 38–41 years). The mean duration of hospital stay was 10.7 days (95% CI, 9.9–11.6 days). Most (81%) operated-on patients were from rural areas. The most common surgical procedures included herniotomy or herniorraphy (50%), lower gastrointestinal surgeries (30%), and urogenital surgeries (20%).

Among the surgical patients, ownership of mobile phones was 75% (95% CI, 73–78%). The remaining 25% patients ($n = 133$) used a shared mobile phone. All patients had an ordinary mobile phone. No patient had a self-owned or shared smartphone. For 380 patients (74.5%), the follow-up was completed by mobile phone, as they did not return for follow-up to outpatient clinics. Among the patients who did not return for follow-up within 1 week of completing the 30-day postsurgery period ($n = 380$), most ($n = 289$, 76%) could be contacted during the first mobile phone call. An additional 80 patients (21%) could be reached by a second call, and the remaining 11 (3%) required three or more calls to be reached. If we had considered the follow-up in surgical outpatients only, the SSI rate would have been 15.3% (24/156). But, because SSI surveillance was completed in all 536 patients and an additional 10 cases with SSI were detected over the mobile phone, the corrected SSI rate at the end of follow-up was 6.3% ($n = 34$). Out of these 10 SSI cases detected over mobile phones, 4 cases had shared mobile phones, and the remaining had self-owned mobile phones. All 10 patients had physical follow-up within the next 7 days on an outpatient basis. The distribution of the SSIs according to type of surgeries was four herniorraphies, three exploratory laparotomies for intestinal obstruction, two exploratory laparotomies for intestinal perforation, and one for urogenital surgery.



Fig. 1. Healed surgical wound from right-sided hernioplasty of a 45-year-old male patient, taken at 30 days of follow-up postsurgery.

All patients who were suspected to have an SSI based on the mobile phone call were physically followed up in the surgical outpatient clinic where the wound infection was verified by trained surgeons: *Figure 1* shows the healed surgical wound from right-sided hernioplasty of a 45-year-old male patient, taken at 30 days of follow-up postsurgery, and *Figure 2* shows the superficial SSI of an incised wound of a 22-year-old male patient taken at 30 days of follow-up postsurgery.

Discussion

The present study found that a high percentage (75%) of patients do not return for the follow-up visit postsurgery in our set-up in a rural Indian hospital. Surveillance for SSI is difficult to complete in remote rural areas, as by definition SSI



Fig. 2. Superficial surgical site infection of an incised wound of a 22-year-old male patient, taken at 30 days of follow-up postsurgery.

surveillance requires a 30-day follow-up visit postsurgery.³ This gap in surveillance could be filled by mobile-based surveillance. In the future, as increasing numbers of rural residents own a mobile phone or have a shared mobile phone, SSI follow-up can be achieved in other similar settings.

A study from Cambodia significantly increased the follow-up of patients after cleft palate surgery for speech and language therapy (23% pre-intervention to 73% postintervention) by using mobile phone communication.⁵ A study from Nigeria reported significantly higher numbers of follow-up appointments for postcancer care (19% pre-intervention to 98% post-intervention).⁶ Another study from China found short message service provided significantly higher (relative risk=1.5) follow-up adherence in a pediatric cataract treatment program.⁷ India has the second largest subscriber base for mobile phone connections in the world, with nearly 950 million mobile phone connections, of which 41% (384 million) are in rural areas.⁸ Mobile phones have been used for delivering disease control intervention in the field of human immunodeficiency virus infection.⁹ However, the use of mobile technology in the field of surveillance of SSI has remained largely untapped.

LIMITATIONS

Because none of our patient had smartphones, we did not use patient wound photographs sent through mobile phones in our study. Pictorial messages or images using multimedia messaging service could not be used for the same reason. Short messaging service could not be used as the study was done in a rural area with a poor literacy rate, and most (>75%) of the users were unaware how to open or send a text message.

Conclusions

The follow-up of two-thirds of all the operated-on patients for SSI surveillance was completed using mobile phones, and one-third of SSIs were detected over the mobile phone. Patients should also be asked for a shared mobile number in case they do not have a mobile phone of their own. As India has one of the fastest growing mobile networks in the world, this communication technology is feasible to be used in rural settings to complete case follow-up for SSIs.

Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Pittet D, Allegranzi B, Storr J, et al. Infection control as a major World Health Organization priority for developing countries. *J Hosp Infect* **2008**;68:285–292.
2. Allegranzi B, Bagheri Nejad S, Combescure C, et al. Burden of endemic health-care-associated infection in developing countries: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* **2011**;377:228–241.
3. Horan TC, Gaynes RP, Martone WJ, Jarvis WR, Emori TG. CDC definitions of nosocomial surgical site infections, 1992: A modification of CDC definitions of surgical wound infections. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* **1992**;13:606–608.
4. Pathak A, Saliba EA, Sharma S, et al. Incidence and factors associated with surgical site infections in a teaching hospital in Ujjain, India. *Am J Infect Control* **2014**;42:e11–e15.
5. Foong DY, Butler DP, Vanna K, Sok Leng T, Gollogly J. A mobile phone initiative to increase return for speech therapy follow-up after cleft palate surgery in the developing world. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* **2012**;65:e260–e261.
6. Odigie VI, Yusufu LM, Dawotola DA, et al. The mobile phone as a tool in improving cancer care in Nigeria. *Psychooncology* **2012**;21:332–335.
7. Lin H, Chen W, Luo L, et al. Effectiveness of a short message reminder in increasing compliance with pediatric cataract treatment: A randomized trial. *Ophthalmology* **2012**;119:2463–2470.
8. TRAI. Press Release on Telecom Subscription Data as on 31st July, 2014. Available at www.trai.gov.in/WriteReadData/WhatsNew/Documents/PR-TSD-July,14.pdf (last accessed September 20, 2014).
9. DeSouza SI, Rashmi MR, Vasanthi AP, Joseph SM, Rodrigues R. Mobile phones: The next step towards healthcare delivery in rural India? *PLoS One* **2014**; 9:e104895.

Address correspondence to:

Ashish Pathak, DNB, PhD

Global Health/IHCAR

Department of Public Health Sciences

Karolinska Institutet

Tomtebodavägan 18a

Widerströmska Building

Stockholm 17177

Sweden

E-mail: ashish.pathak@ki.se

drashishp@rediffmail.com

Received: September 26, 2014

Revised: January 12, 2015

Accepted: January 13, 2015