Open Access



Asian Journal of Andrology (2015) 17, 333 © 2015 AJA, SIMM & SJTU. All rights reserved 1008-682X

www.asiaandro.com; www.ajandrology.com

INVITED COMMENTARY

Commentary on "validation of robot-assisted vasectomy reversal" by Dr. Parviz K Kavoussi

Sijo J Parekattil

Asian Journal of Andrology (2015) **17**, 333; doi: 10.4103/1008-682X.145631; published online: 30 December 2014

The author should be commended for this early work on the reproducibility and application of robotic assisted microsurgery for vasectomy reversal.¹ Initial prospective randomized control studies by Schiff *et al.*² in a rodent model illustrated potential advantages to the use of robotics in microsurgery in terms of increased surgical efficiency and a decreased surgical learning curve. A follow-up prospective cohort trial by our group continued to show evidence of such advantages in the clinical setting in a single surgeon experience.³ There have been small clinical series reports from other institutions as well. Robotic-assisted vasectomy reversal is in its infancy and more comparative studies from other institutions are very beneficial in truly assessing the value of such techniques. Dr. Kavoussi's work

in this manuscript adds valuable assessment data to continue our journey in exploring potential benefits or disadvantages of this technology.¹ As more robotic platforms become available and as the technology evolves, it is likely that the application and use of such technology will only continue to expand further. If we can use this technology to allow urologists to become more proficient micro surgeons in a shorter and more efficient pathway compared with traditional pure microsurgery, it is our patients and the general community who benefits in the end. This ultimately is our most noble goal.

REFERENCES

- Kavoussi PK. Validation of robot Assisted vasectomy reversal. Asian J Androl 2015 doi: 10.4103/1008-682X.142141.
- 2 Schiff J, Li PS, Goldstein M. Robotic microsurgical vasovasostomy and vasoepididymostomy: a prospective randomized study in a rat model. *J Urol* 2004; 171: 1720–5.
- 3 Parekattil SJ, Gudeloglu A, Brahmbhatt J, Wharton J, Priola KB. Robotic assisted versus pure microsurgical vasectomy reversal: technique and prospective database control trial. J *Reconstr Microsurg* 2012; 28: 435–44.

The PUR Clinic, South Lake Hospital, Clermont, FL, USA. Correspondence: Dr. SJ Parekattil (sijojp@gmail.com)

