

# Seasonal fluxes of carbonyl sulfide in a midlatitude forest

Róisín Commane<sup>a,b,1</sup>, Laura K. Meredith<sup>c,2</sup>, Ian T. Baker<sup>d</sup>, Joseph A. Berry<sup>e</sup>, J. William Munger<sup>a,b</sup>, Stephen A. Montzka<sup>f</sup>, Pamela H. Templer<sup>g</sup>, Stephanie M. Juice<sup>g</sup>, Mark S. Zahniser<sup>h</sup>, and Steven C. Wofsy<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Harvard School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138; <sup>b</sup>Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138; <sup>c</sup>Department of Earth, Atmospheric & Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139; <sup>d</sup>Department of Atmospheric Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523; <sup>e</sup>Department of Global Ecology, Carnegie Institution, Stanford, CA 94305; <sup>f</sup>Global Monitoring Division, Earth System Research Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, CO 80305; <sup>g</sup>Department of Biology, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215; and <sup>h</sup>Center for Atmospheric and Environmental Chemistry, Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, MA 01821

Edited by Graham D. Farquhar, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia, and approved October 9, 2015 (received for review February 27, 2015)

**Carbonyl sulfide (OCS), the most abundant sulfur gas in the atmosphere, has a summer minimum associated with uptake by vegetation and soils, closely correlated with CO<sub>2</sub>. We report the first direct measurements to our knowledge of the ecosystem flux of OCS throughout an annual cycle, at a mixed temperate forest. The forest took up OCS during most of the growing season with an overall uptake of  $1.36 \pm 0.01$  mol OCS per ha ( $43.5 \pm 0.5$  g S per ha, 95% confidence intervals) for the year. Daytime fluxes accounted for 72% of total uptake. Both soils and incompletely closed stomata in the canopy contributed to nighttime fluxes. Unexpected net OCS emission occurred during the warmest weeks in summer. Many requirements necessary to use fluxes of OCS as a simple estimate of photosynthesis were not met because OCS fluxes did not have a constant relationship with photosynthesis throughout an entire day or over the entire year. However, OCS fluxes provide a direct measure of ecosystem-scale stomatal conductance and mesophyll function, without relying on measures of soil evaporation or leaf temperature, and reveal previously unseen heterogeneity of forest canopy processes. Observations of OCS flux provide powerful, independent means to test and refine land surface and carbon cycle models at the ecosystem scale.**

carbonyl sulfide | carbon cycle | sulfur cycle | stomatal conductance

Carbonyl sulfide (OCS) is the most abundant sulfur gas in the atmosphere (1), and biogeochemical cycling of OCS affects both the stratosphere and the troposphere. The tropospheric OCS mixing ratio is between 300 and 550 parts per trillion (ppt) (1) ( $10^{-12}$  mol OCS per mol dry air), decreasing sharply with altitude in the stratosphere (2). In times of low volcanic activity, the sulfur budget and aerosol loading of the stratosphere are largely controlled by transport and photooxidation of OCS from the troposphere (3). The processes regulating emission and uptake of OCS are thus important factors in determining how changes in climate and land cover may affect the stratospheric sulfate layer.

Oceans are the dominant source of atmospheric OCS (4), with smaller emissions from anthropogenic and terrestrial sources, such as wetlands and anoxic soils (e.g., refs. 5 and 6) and oxic soils during times of heat or drought stress (e.g., refs. 7 and 8). The terrestrial biosphere is the largest sink for OCS (1, 4, 9, 10) with uptake by both oxic soils (e.g., ref. 11) and vegetation (e.g., ref. 9). Once OCS molecules pass through the stomata of leaves, the uptake rate of OCS is controlled by reaction with carbonic anhydrase (CA) within the mesophyll, to produce H<sub>2</sub>S and CO<sub>2</sub>. CA is the same enzyme that hydrolyzes carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) in the first chemical step of photosynthesis (12).

Studies considering the large-scale atmospheric variability of OCS have linked OCS fluxes and the photosynthetic uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> for regional and global scales (1, 4, 13). Leaf-scale studies have confirmed the OCS link to photosynthesis (14, 15). Initial OCS ecosystem flux estimations were made using flask sampling

followed by analysis via gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) (13, 16), but these studies did not have sufficient resolution to examine daily or hourly controls on the OCS flux. Laser spectrometers have been developed (17, 18) to enable direct, in situ measurement of OCS fluxes by eddy covariance, and measurements of OCS ecosystem fluxes have been reported, for periods of up to a few weeks, above arid forests (19) and an agricultural field (8, 20).

Net carbon exchange in terrestrial ecosystems [net ecosystem exchange (NEE)] can be measured by eddy flux methods. NEE may be regarded as the sum of two gross fluxes: gross ecosystem productivity (GEP) and ecosystem respiration ( $R_{\text{eco}}$ ). GEP is the light-dependent part of NEE, estimated by subtracting daytime ecosystem respiration ( $R_{\text{eco}}$ ), computed by extrapolation of the temperature dependence of nighttime NEE ( $\text{NEE} - R_{\text{eco}} = \text{GEP}$ ) (e.g., refs. 21–24). At night, NEE includes all autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration processes. During the day, GEP approximates the carboxylation rate minus photorespiration at the ecosystem scale (25). Extrapolation of nighttime  $R_{\text{eco}}$  introduces major uncertainty in the interpretation of GEP, which could be reduced, and the ecological significance of GEP increased, by developing independent methods of measuring rates of photosynthetic processes. As shown below, fluxes of OCS give more

## Significance

**The flux of carbonyl sulfide (OCS) provides a quantitative, independent measure of biospheric activity, especially stomatal conductance and carbon uptake, at the ecosystem scale. We describe the factors controlling the hourly, daily, and seasonal fluxes of OCS based on 1 year of observations in a forest ecosystem. Vegetation dominated uptake of OCS, with daytime fluxes accounting for 72% of the total uptake for the year. Nighttime fluxes had contributions from both incompletely closed stomata and soils. Net OCS emission was observed at high temperature in summer. Diurnal and seasonal variations in OCS flux show variable stoichiometry relative to photosynthetic uptake of CO<sub>2</sub>. An effective model framework is shown, using an explicit representation of ecosystem processing of OCS.**

Author contributions: R.C., M.S.Z., and S.C.W. designed research; R.C. and L.K.M. performed research; I.T.B., J.A.B., J.W.M., S.A.M., P.H.T., and S.M.J. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; R.C. analyzed data; and R.C., L.K.M., I.T.B., J.A.B., J.W.M., S.A.M., P.H.T., S.M.J., M.S.Z., and S.C.W. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Data deposition: The data have been deposited in the Harvard Forest Data Archive, [harvardforest.fas.harvard.edu:8080/exist/apps/datasets/showData.html?id=hf214](http://harvardforest.fas.harvard.edu:8080/exist/apps/datasets/showData.html?id=hf214).

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: [rcommane@seas.harvard.edu](mailto:rcommane@seas.harvard.edu).

<sup>2</sup>Present address: Stanford School of Earth, Energy & Environmental Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305.

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1504131112/-DCSupplemental](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1504131112/-DCSupplemental).

direct information on one of the major controls on GEP, stomatal conductance, rather than GEP itself, providing a powerful means for testing and improving ecosystem models and for scaling up leaf-level processes to the whole ecosystem.

Here we describe the factors controlling the hourly, daily, seasonal, and total fluxes of OCS in a forest ecosystem, using a year (2011) of high-frequency, direct measurements at Harvard Forest, MA. We report the seasonal cycle, the response to environmental conditions, and the total deposition flux of OCS throughout the year 2011. We compare these fluxes to corresponding measurements of  $\text{CO}_2$  flux and to simulations using the Simple Biosphere model (SiB3).

## Results and Discussion

Details of the measurement method and deployment at the Environmental Measurement Site (EMS) flux tower at Harvard Forest are presented in *Methods* and *Supporting Information*.

**Seasonal Fluxes of OCS Show Strong Vegetative Uptake.** Ecosystem fluxes of OCS ( $F_{\text{OCS}}$ ) varied with air and surface soil temperature through the year and showed complex behavior (Fig. 1). The observed time series of OCS mixing ratios in 2011 followed the typical seasonal cycle measured previously at Harvard Forest (Fig. S1) (1). Total net OCS flux for 2011 was  $-1.36 \pm 0.01$  mol OCS per ha per y ( $-43.5 \pm 0.5$  g S per ha per y, uptake from the atmosphere). The nighttime flux accounted for  $-0.38 \pm 0.01$  mol OCS per ha per y ( $-12.3 \pm 0.4$  g S per ha per y),  $\sim 28\%$  of total uptake, peaking in spring and autumn (Fig. 1B and *Supporting Information*).

As expected, the largest uptake fluxes were observed during the growing season (Fig. 1), starting in April when conifer trees became active and the snowpack melted to expose the forest soil. Daytime uptake of OCS (Fig. 1A) increased through May and June in parallel with photosynthesis, marked by bud break of deciduous trees (May 5) and sharply increased rates of sap flow


(May 19). This trend was unexpectedly interrupted by strong emission of OCS during midday hours in late July, when soil moisture was lowest and air temperature was the warmest of the year. As soil moisture gradually increased in August, net OCS uptake resumed in the daytime, but net OCS emission was observed at night (Fig. 1B). In September and October, the daily total and daytime OCS uptake flux diminished as air and soil temperature decreased, whereas nighttime OCS uptake resumed. Daytime emissions of OCS were observed yet again in early November, during the senescence of red oak (*Quercus rubra*) leaves, cancelling the nighttime uptake and resulting in a daily mean  $F_{\text{OCS}} \sim 0$ . In mid-December 2011, anomalously low snowfall and above-freezing air and soil temperature appeared to stimulate daytime OCS uptake, possibly reflecting uptake by conifer trees.

**Nighttime OCS Uptake.** Nighttime, light-independent uptake of OCS is likely mediated by both soils and vegetation. Nighttime transpiration through incompletely closed stomata has been observed in many tree species (26, 27), and nighttime OCS uptake has been observed in deciduous and conifer forests during the growing season (28). Soil fluxes are significant for both  $\text{CO}_2$  and OCS but typically have opposite signs:  $\text{CO}_2$  is respired from soils, whereas OCS is generally taken up. Carbonic anhydrase is present in the soil microorganisms typical of oxic soils found at Harvard Forest (29). OCS has been observed to be taken up by oxic soils, but the rate is notably slower on the ecosystem scale than OCS uptake by vegetation (30). Maseyk et al. (8) attributed  $\sim 29\%$  of total OCS flux by winter wheat to nighttime OCS uptake by foliage, with only 1–6% due to soils, at the peak of the growing season (8). The results of these studies generally agree with our results during the growing season. However, the continued strong uptake of OCS from October through December (Table S1), after the decline in activity of the deciduous canopy, implicates soil uptake as a significant portion of annual uptake, when accounting for the dormant season. We infer that uptake by soils, and potentially by conifer leaves, may contribute to the strong vertical gradient in OCS mixing ratios observed over North America from October to December (1).

**Separating Vegetative and Soil Uptake of OCS and  $\text{CO}_2$ .** To separate the influence of soil and vegetative processes, we examined time periods when each process dominates: early December (soil uptake dominant), April/November (soil and conifer) and May–October (soil, conifer, and deciduous trees).

In early December, before the air temperature warmed again in mid-December, deciduous leaves were absent, and air temperature was below freezing. The soil temperature at Harvard Forest in 2011 was  $2.5^\circ\text{C}$  higher than the 12-y average (2001–2012) all the way through October and November, encouraging microbial activity late in the year, even when air temperature dropped below freezing. Measurements of sap flow rate (*Supporting Information*) show that the red oak trees activity was sharply diminished after November 13. The early December OCS uptake [ $7.2 \pm 3.4$  (95% confidence interval; CI)  $\text{pmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ; Fig. S2] was similar to the total ecosystem OCS uptake in late November, with no statistical difference between daytime ( $6.0 \pm 10.9$   $\text{pmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ) and nighttime ( $10.3 \pm 7.6$   $\text{pmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ) OCS uptake. We infer that the OCS uptake from cold but unfrozen soils is about  $7$   $\text{pmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ . After the soils froze, the OCS flux was not measurably different from zero throughout the winter (Fig. S2).

In late April, once the soils thawed with warming air temperature and conifer activity began, daytime uptake ( $18.8 \pm 18.0$   $\text{pmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ) was greater than nighttime OCS uptake ( $7.7 \pm 5.4$   $\text{pmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ), suggesting daytime conifer leaf uptake of  $11 \pm 18$   $\text{pmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ . The late April nighttime uptake, after soils thaw, is comparable to early December total uptake, which we have attributed to soil uptake. However, we were not able to partition the nighttime uptake of OCS into soil and vegetative contributions in late April. This estimate, for active soils in April and December is in close agreement with the average soil uptake measured in a creek area in Colorado (28) of  $7 \pm 2.6$   $\text{pmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$  and is slightly greater



**Fig. 1.** Monthly mean OCS ( $F_{\text{OCS}}$ ,  $\text{pmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ; black) and  $\text{CO}_2$  ( $F_{\text{CO}_2}$ ,  $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ; green squares) fluxes for 2011.  $u^* > 0.17$   $\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$  for all data. (A) Total OCS and  $\text{CO}_2$  flux by month. Air temperature (red triangles;  $^\circ\text{C}$ ) and surface soil temperature (orange diamonds;  $^\circ\text{C}$ );  $\text{CO}_2$  net flux includes changes in storage, but this is not required for OCS. (B) Nighttime OCS (black) and  $\text{CO}_2$  (green) flux ( $\text{PAR} < 40$   $\mu\text{E}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ). (C) Daytime OCS and  $\text{CO}_2$  fluxes with  $\text{PAR} > 600$   $\mu\text{E}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ . Error bars indicate the 95% confidence intervals for all data within the month.

than the average uptake rate for soil in a mixed forest reported for China of  $4.8 \pm 2.9 \text{ pmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$  (31).

**Dependence of OCS Flux on Wind Direction and Temperature.** Fluxes of OCS reveal heterogeneity by wind direction, reflecting the tree species distribution within the flux tower footprint (*Supporting Information*). In June, August, and September, daytime fluxes of OCS for air from the northwest (NW; mixed conifer and deciduous,  $40.9 \pm 8.2 \text{ pmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ) were almost twice as large as the OCS uptake in air from the southwest (SW; deciduous dominated,  $23.5 \pm 8.2 \text{ pmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ). By contrast, the net daytime  $\text{CO}_2$  flux was roughly the same in both wind directions [ $F_{\text{CO}_2}$  (NW) =  $-23.0 \pm 1.0 \text{ }\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$  vs.  $F_{\text{CO}_2}$  (SW) =  $-22.1 \pm 0.9 \text{ }\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ]. The higher daytime OCS uptake flux in air from the NW sector, combined with larger nighttime ecosystem respiration ( $R_{\text{eco}}$ ) from this sector, suggests that the magnitudes of daytime  $R_{\text{eco}}$  and GEP are both greater in this conifer-dominated sector, compared with the deciduous-dominated SW sector. In this example,  $F_{\text{OCS}}$  data, combined with  $F_{\text{CO}_2}$  data, provide unique information about the metabolic activity of plant leaves at the ecosystem scale, which are traceable to the controlling factors of photosynthesis. However, careful interpretation is required because  $F_{\text{OCS}}$  is not a direct measure of photosynthesis.

Fluxes of OCS,  $\text{CO}_2$ , and GEP showed strong dependence on air temperature (Fig. 2A). When the air temperature rose above  $16^\circ\text{C}$ , net  $F_{\text{CO}_2}$  changed from positive (respiration dominated) to negative (photosynthesis dominated). When the canopy was fully developed and leaves in the canopy were most active, uptakes of both OCS and  $\text{CO}_2$  were strongest, peaking at the highest temperature, except for the anomalous period in July when OCS was emitted by leaves but  $\text{CO}_2$  uptake continued.

#### Ecosystem OCS Flux Dependence on Light and Stomatal Conductance.

Both OCS and  $\text{CO}_2$  diffuse from the atmosphere through stomata into leaves, where they are hydrolyzed by the light-independent enzyme carbonic anhydrase (CA). For OCS, the products are  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  and  $\text{CO}_2$ , and the process is thought to be irreversible. In contrast, fixation of  $\text{CO}_2$  through photosynthesis is a two-step process: diffusion into the leaves, reversible hydration by CA, then light-dependent and irreversible fixation by RuBisCo. Uptake of OCS does not require light, but OCS fluxes covary with light indirectly, via stomatal opening. The OCS flux is largely controlled by the conductance of the stomata in series with the mesophyll (cell walls and membranes), which regulate the rate of diffusion of OCS from the air to the site of the CA reaction. Gas exchange studies with leaves indicate that the


mesophyll component of the effective conductance scales with the amount of RuBisCo in leaves of C3 and C4 species. The stomatal component is linked to the instantaneous rate of photosynthesis, humidity, and the chloroplast  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration (4).

We infer that measurements of ecosystem OCS fluxes promise to provide new means to determine stomatal conductance on the ecosystem scale. The fluxes of OCS,  $\text{CO}_2$ , and GEP show strong dependences on photosynthetically active radiation (PAR; Fig. 2B), with important differences among them. We observed strong OCS uptake earlier in the day and persisting later in the day than net  $\text{CO}_2$  uptake, which is offset by respiration. This behavior was predicted by Goldan et al. (9) and is observed here for the first time to our knowledge at the ecosystem scale (Fig. 2B).

We explored the link between  $F_{\text{OCS}}$  and stomatal conductance using the SiB3 model (Methods). The SiB3 model uses the Ball-Berry equation for stomatal conductance and has a simple parameterization of the mesophyll component. The mean diel cycle of the observed OCS flux and the calculated stomatal conductance are very similar, with enhanced activity at low-light conditions in August and September (Fig. 3C). The simulated OCS uptake shows good agreement at times of high light (Fig. 3A) but is underestimated compared with the observed fluxes, especially at times of low light and at night (Fig. 3B). This highlights the need for model refinements, such as using OCS fluxes to constrain stomatal conductance at night.


Previous laboratory studies had proposed that OCS fluxes should scale directly with stomatal conductance (32, 33); however, this is the first evidence to our knowledge demonstrating this relationship in a forest ecosystem. We find, from the observed nocturnal uptake of OCS by the canopy, strong evidence for, and potential quantification of, incomplete stomatal closure at night. The results support the view that we can use the OCS flux as a means to measure the stomatal conductance independently of the water vapor flux, providing a major advance in our capability to assess ecosystem response to environmental forcing, in a simple model framework.

**Normalized Flux of OCS and  $\text{CO}_2$ .** We define the flux per mole in air as  $f_X = F_X/[X]$  (units:  $\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ), where  $F_X$  represents the observed flux of OCS,  $\text{CO}_2$ , or GEP and  $[X]$  is the ambient mole fraction of OCS or  $\text{CO}_2$  in dry air. When adjusted for ambient temperature and pressure, this is comparable to the flux per unit molecule (units:  $\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ). For periods when the canopy takes up OCS, the flux per mole represents the apparent canopy conductance of OCS that includes the stomatal, boundary layer, and



**Fig. 2.** The relationship of OCS flux ( $F_{\text{OCS}}$ ,  $\text{pmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ; black circles),  $\text{CO}_2$  flux ( $F_{\text{CO}_2}$ ,  $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ; green squares), and photosynthesis (calculated as GEP,  $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ; red triangles) with (A) air temperature and (B) PAR. Values of  $F_{\text{OCS}}$  and  $F_{\text{CO}_2}$  include nighttime values. (C) The  $f_{\text{OCS}}/f_{\text{GEP}}$  ratio with air temperature, for PAR >  $300 \mu\text{E}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ . (D) The  $f_{\text{OCS}}/f_{\text{GEP}}$  ratio with PAR, for PAR >  $300 \mu\text{E}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ . Black dashed lines show 95% CI. July data are excluded. Only data with  $u^* > 0.17 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$  are used.





**Fig. 3.** Monthly mean observed OCS ( $F_{\text{OCS}}$  Obs,  $\text{pmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ; black) and Simple Biosphere (SiB3) model simulated OCS ( $F_{\text{OCS}}$  SiB,  $\text{pmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ; red) fluxes for (A) daytime ( $\text{PAR} > 600 \mu\text{E}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ) and (B) nighttime. (C) Mean diel cycle of observed (black) and simulated (red) OCS fluxes and stomatal conductance of OCS,  $g_s$  ( $\text{cm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ; green) for August–September 2011.

internal leaf conductances in series (following equation 3 in ref. 4). We observe that the ratio of the flux per mole of OCS ( $f_{\text{OCS}}$ ) to the gross ecosystem photosynthesis per mole of atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  ( $f_{\text{GEP}}$ ), the  $f_{\text{OCS}}:f_{\text{GEP}}$  ratio, varied through the season, with relatively high values in May and November (greater relative OCS uptake) decreasing to a (negative) minimum in July (due to OCS emission) (Table S1). The daytime  $f_{\text{OCS}}:f_{\text{GEP}}$  ratio increased with air temperature to  $24^\circ\text{C}$  before decreasing at higher temperatures (Fig. 2C), suggesting a physiological optimum. The  $f_{\text{OCS}}:f_{\text{GEP}}$  ratio was not constant with PAR, with the highest values at times of low light, early and late in the day (Fig. 2D).


If we assume that changes in soil flux are small across the day compared with the vegetative uptake of OCS,  $f_{\text{OCS}}:f_{\text{GEP}}$  may be compared with the leaf-scale relative uptake (LRU), which can be measured using leaf chambers. Leaf chamber studies reported LRU values of 1–4 over a large range of light conditions and tree species (15) or 1.3–2.3 (28) for a variety of tree species. A field study of wheat reported LRU values of 0.9–1.9 for various light conditions (8). We calculate a mean daytime  $f_{\text{OCS}}:f_{\text{GEP}}$  ratio for air temperatures above  $14^\circ\text{C}$  (i.e., times of full canopy) of  $1.4 \pm 0.3$ , within the range of the previous values. The variations in apparent flux ratio are somewhat more complex than commonly assumed, due to the strong light dependence. Nevertheless, they can be well represented in simulations of SiB3 modified to include soil and canopy exchange of OCS (4) (Fig. 3).

**Application of OCS Fluxes to Estimation of GEP.** Ecosystem-scale fluxes of OCS have been proposed as a means to directly determine the photosynthetic uptake of carbon in the biosphere, independently of soil and plant respiration (1, 13, 14, 19). However, for this approach to work as proposed, a number of requirements must be met, many of which are not realized year-round at Harvard Forest. These conditions include the following: (i)  $F_{\text{OCS}}$  should be unidirectional (i.e., no OCS emission). We observed net OCS emission at times of ecosystem stress. (ii) Nighttime uptake of OCS

should be negligible or relatively constant and quantifiable. We found nighttime uptake varies throughout the year and accounts for  $\sim 28\%$  of the annual OCS uptake. (iii) The LRU of OCS/ $\text{CO}_2$  for the ecosystem type should be known. Our study shows that the ecosystem  $f_{\text{OCS}}:f_{\text{GEP}}$ , as related to LRU, is not constant but may be predicted, with observed values falling within the reported range of LRU values, provided that environmental conditions are restricted to air temperature between  $14^\circ\text{C}$  and  $28^\circ\text{C}$  (Fig. 2B),  $\text{PAR} > 600 \mu\text{E}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$  (Fig. 2D), times of full canopy and average soil moisture.

In view of these limitations, we tested the applicability of OCS for the approximation of GEP ( $\text{GEP}_{\text{OCS}}$ ) during ideal conditions (high illumination with moderate temperature and soil moisture) in September 2011 ( $\text{LRU} = f_{\text{OCS}}:f_{\text{GEP}} = 1.5 \pm 0.5$ ; Fig. 4). Using the mean LRU of 1.5 calculated for September, the total daily sum of  $\text{GEP}_{\text{OCS}}$  and  $\text{GEP}_{\text{CO}_2}$  agrees to within 3.5%, a good agreement given the  $\sim 10\%$  uncertainty estimated for  $\text{GEP}_{\text{CO}_2}$  (23). However, this result depends on the value of LRU assumed (8, 19): varying the LRU between 2 and 1 results in a 29% underestimation or a 36% overestimation, respectively (Fig. 4).  $\text{GEP}_{\text{OCS}}$  extends through more of the day than  $\text{GEP}_{\text{CO}_2}$  (earlier morning and later evening uptake), highlighting the differing light dependence of uptake pathways of OCS and  $\text{CO}_2$  discussed earlier. Thus, the OCS fluxes are closely related to GEP (through stomatal conductance) during the dominant flux-weighted carbon uptake periods, with anomalies to be expected during periods of high stress. The SiB3 model framework evidently offers a way to extend beyond the gross daily averages, as may be desired to understand large-scale ecological processes and their response to environmental and ecological change.

**Emission of OCS.** Both light-dependent and light-independent mechanisms appear to contribute to the net OCS emissions from the ecosystem observed during an anomalous period in July. Net emissions were observed forest-wide (all wind directions), both day and night, under the conditions of high air temperature ( $>30^\circ\text{C}$ ) in late July and early August. Net OCS emission was also observed in the deciduous-dominated wind sector in late June and in August and yet again during senescence in November. Heat stress may have been a determining factor in the observed OCS emission in summer, which was strongly enhanced at air temperature above  $21^\circ\text{C}$ . During July 19–31, OCS emission increased with rising vapor pressure deficit (VPD) and bulk sap flow rate for maple trees (Fig. S3). The peak  $F_{\text{OCS}}$  ( $+26.3 \pm 17.3 \text{ pmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ) coincided with a slight depression in stomatal conductance in the afternoon. In the absence of OCS emission from the ecosystem, the expected daytime net OCS flux due to hydrolysis by CA (based on June and August peak OCS ecosystem uptake) should have been around  $-30 \text{ pmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ , and hence, the observed net flux of  $+20 \text{ pmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$  in late July could correspond to a maximum gross emission by the responsible mechanisms of  $50 \text{ pmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$  at midday. A recent study reported OCS emissions from temperature-stressed soils and senescent wheat at harvest time (8, 20). The



**Fig. 4.** GEP calculated directly from OCS fluxes ( $\text{GEP}_{\text{OCS}}$ ; yellow) with LRU values of 1 (brown long-dashed line), 1.5 (black points), and 2 (orange dashed line) and indirectly extrapolated from nighttime temperature-dependent respiration ( $\text{GEP}_{\text{CO}_2}$ ; green diamonds) for September 2011.

metabolism of sulfur containing amino acids, which increases with temperature and plant stress, may lead to OCS production (8) in a similar manner to CO (34) and CH<sub>4</sub> production (35) from thermal degradation. However, the emission observed here occurred at temperatures much lower than in the wheat field study. Nighttime OCS emission peaked in August (Fig. 1B), when CO<sub>2</sub> respiration was greatest, indicating that there is also a different, light-independent emission mechanism, possibly associated with decomposition.

In early November, OCS emissions of  $\sim 5 \text{ pmol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$  were observed briefly during the leaf senescence of the red oak trees. It is possible this emission occurred through a process similar to that observed during wheat senescence in Oklahoma (8). High surface soil temperature was also implicated as a source of OCS in that study. However, the high soil temperature observed in Oklahoma (45 °C) was never reached at Harvard Forest because the canopy shielded the forest floor from direct light, and there is no correlation of OCS emission with soil temperature in November. Therefore, we suspect that the source of OCS may have been within the senescent canopy or from freshly fallen leaves in the litter layer on the forest floor.

Because the air temperature at Harvard Forest has warmed 1.5 °C over the past 50 years (36, 37) with increasingly large interannual variability, drought and heat stress events may increase in frequency (38). Our results suggest that climate change may shift the balance between OCS uptake and emission processes at Harvard Forest and in similar terrestrial ecosystems, leading to changes in the global OCS budget.

## Conclusions

Our year-long measurements at Harvard Forest demonstrate that OCS flux observations provide quantitative, independent measures of metabolic activity and biophysical properties of the forest canopy at the ecosystem scale. We observed net uptake of OCS totaling  $1.36 \pm 0.01 \text{ mol OCS per ha per y}$  ( $43.5 \pm 0.5 \text{ g S per ha per y}$ ), predominantly in the daytime (72%), with the balance at night attributed to soil consumption and to vegetative uptake through incompletely closed stomata. The flux of OCS was found to be bidirectional, with net emission during very hot, dry conditions and when vegetation senesced in autumn.

Uptake of OCS by a forest canopy is regulated by stomatal conductance, mesophyll conductance, and the activity of carbonic anhydrase, acting in series. At times of peak carbon uptake (full canopy, high illumination, and adequate soil moisture), OCS fluxes are directly proportional to photosynthetic carbon flux, with minor contributions from soils and other processes. However, because OCS uptake does not depend on light levels and Rubisco activity directly as for CO<sub>2</sub>, the leaf scale relative uptake ( $f_{\text{OCS}}/f_{\text{GEP}}$ ) has systematically higher values at dawn and dusk than at midday and, likewise, spring and fall versus summer.

We found that daytime OCS uptake was well simulated by the Simple Biosphere model (SiB3), using a basic, low-dimensional representation of OCS metabolism by plants. Thus, the observations can quantitatively constrain the aggregated functioning of the photosynthetic apparatus, at ecosystem scale, in the model framework. SiB3 underestimated uptake of OCS at times of low light and at night and did not account for production processes observed under stress conditions and during senescence. Refinement of the model is needed to account for these features, but these influences on total fluxes are relatively modest.

We conclude that OCS fluxes provide a powerful means for quantitatively measuring the large-scale photosynthetic activity of the terrestrial biosphere. By using a proper model formulation, OCS flux measurements over a forest allow us to directly observe and quantify the mechanisms that mediate temporal changes and spatial heterogeneity of canopy gas exchange of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O at the ecosystem scale.

## Methods

A tunable infra-red laser direct absorption spectrometer (TILDAS; Aerodyne Research Inc.) was used to measure atmospheric mixing ratios and derive gradients and fluxes of carbonyl sulfide and water vapor at  $2,048.495 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $2,048.649 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , respectively. Mixing ratios of OCS and H<sub>2</sub>O at a frequency of

4 Hz for eddy covariance flux ( $eF_{\text{OCS}}$ ; August 2011 to December 2011) or 1 Hz for gradient flux ( $gF_{\text{OCS}}$ ; January 2011 to August 2011) were calculated using TDL Vintel software (Aerodyne Research Inc.). The  $1\sigma$  instrument precision was typically 14 ppt at 4 Hz, averaging down to <1 ppt at 60 s. The sensor is a further development of earlier instruments (17, 18). More details about the measurement technique and associated instrumental tests and the theory behind the flux calculations are provided in [Supporting Information](#) and [Figs. S4–S7](#). Tests were conducted to ensure continuity of measurement techniques. A comparison of the OCS mixing ratios (TILDAS) observed at the same time as National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) flask samples is shown in [Fig. S1](#).

Measurements were made at the Environmental Measurement Site (EMS) at Harvard Forest, Petersham, MA (42.54°N, 72.17°W, elevation 340 m). The CO<sub>2</sub> flux has been measured at this Long-Term Ecological Research (LTER) site since 1990 (24). Details about the site, environmental conditions, and ancillary measurements during the study period are described in [Supporting Information](#). Environmental conditions for the study were typical of New England. Up to 75 cm of snow accumulated between January and April in 2011. The air temperature ranged from  $-28 \text{ °C}$  in January to  $35 \text{ °C}$  in July. At Harvard Forest, conifer trees are generally not active when the air temperature is consistently below  $0 \text{ °C}$  (39). The CO<sub>2</sub> flux from soil respiration depends mainly on microbial activity and CO<sub>2</sub> diffused through the snowpack, with increased exchange from wind pumping. Microbial activity continued through the winter as the soil temperature was partially shielded from the low air temperature by the insulating snow pack (40) before the frost depth extended down to 10 cm into the soil in early March. Bud break was observed for deciduous species around May 5, and senescence began late in October. Prolonged power loss resulted from damage to power lines and damage to electronic equipment due to lightning on May 28. Because no OCS fluxes were measured during the first 2 wk of May and again the first 2 wk of June, the mean uptake for both May and June was based only on measurements during the last half of each month.

There was less than 60 mm precipitation during June and July, and this precipitation was concentrated into four short events. Prolonged high temperature ( $>30 \text{ °C}$ ) affected the site in mid-July, resulting in low soil moisture in the area. Storms arrived in early August, bringing prolonged and heavy precipitation and increasing soil moisture. Hurricane Irene on August 28 caused extensive flooding in the region. October was unseasonably warm, and leaves were still on trees when a snowstorm on October 29 brought almost 50 cm of snow to the area, again resulting in a brief power cut at the site and flooding in the area on thaw. Although soils were dry in July, these large moisture events resulted in greater cumulative precipitation for 2011 (1,635 mm) than the 10-y average for the site (1,226 mm).

OCS fluxes derived during times of low turbulence ( $u^* < 0.17 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ) and during periods of precipitation were removed (21), leaving valid data covering 34% of the 30-min periods over the entire year, slightly less than the 45% reported by Urbanski et al. (24) as the mean valid CO<sub>2</sub> flux data points for the years 1992–2004. The valid data (approximately six thousand 30-min values) were uniformly distributed over the year, and every hour for each composite month throughout the year had valid OCS flux data, allowing the yearly flux of OCS to be calculated for 2011 as  $-136 \text{ }\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$ , corresponding to a net uptake of  $43.5 \pm 0.5 \text{ g S}$  (as OCS) per ha per y or  $16.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ g C}$  (as OCS) per ha per y by the biosphere. The total CO<sub>2</sub> uptake for the year, selected from times of valid OCS fluxes, was  $22.6 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$  or  $2.7 \text{ Mg C per ha per y}$  for 2011. This value is within the observed range of net CO<sub>2</sub> uptake of  $1.0\text{--}4.7 \text{ Mg C per ha per y}$  for the years 1992–2004 (25). Overall, the OCS fluxes had a greater relative uncertainty than fluxes of CO<sub>2</sub>, reflecting a combination of both a less precise measurement of the OCS flux (the gradient flux calculated OCS flux has more uncertainty than the eddy covariance calculated OCS flux) and more variability of the actual daytime OCS fluxes.

The Simple Biosphere Model version 3 (SiB3), adapted to include OCS, was run using 2011 meteorology data from Harvard Forest (41). SiB3 links stomatal conductance (both C3 and C4) to the energy budget (42, 43) and incorporates satellite-specified phenology (44). Stomatal conductance, determined by the Ball–Berry equation (45), has a direct dependence on relative humidity and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and indirect dependence on soil water, temperature, light, and humidity through the assimilation term. Both leaf and soil uptake of OCS are explicitly represented in SiB3 (4) independently with the same mechanistic framework as CO<sub>2</sub> but with differing mass, geometry, and reactivity (OCS only reacts with CA). The OCS soil flux represents soil uptake only, and there is as yet no mechanism to represent emission of OCS from soils or the whole ecosystem (e.g., the net emission in July is not captured).

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** We thank Mark Vanscoy for help with the long-term operation of the instrument at Harvard Forest and flask sampling, Carolina Siso for analysis at National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Brad Hall for OCS standardization at NOAA, Ryan McGovern at



Aerodyne for instrumental repairs, and Richard Wehr for helpful discussion. The instrument was developed and deployed as part of US Department of Energy (DOE) Small Business Innovation Research DE-SC0001801. Funding for flask analysis was provided in part by NOAA Climate Program Office's Atmospheric Chemistry, Carbon Cycle and Climate (AC4) Program. EMS tower and CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements are a component of the Harvard Forest Long-Term Ecological

Research site supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) and additionally by the Office of Science (Biological and Environmental Research), DOE. P.H.T. was supported by a Charles Bullard fellowship at Harvard University during the writing of this manuscript. I.T.B. was sponsored by the NSF Science and Technology Center for Multi-scale Modeling of Atmospheric Processes, managed by Colorado State University under Cooperative Agreement ATM-0425247.

- Montzka SA, et al. (2007) On the global distribution, seasonality, and budget of atmospheric carbonyl sulfide (COS) and some similarities to CO<sub>2</sub>. *J Geophys Res* 112(D9):D09302.
- Barkley MP, Palmer PI, Boone CD, Bernath PF, Suntharalingam P (2008) Global distributions of carbonyl sulfide in the upper troposphere and stratosphere. *Geophys Res Lett* 35(14):L14810.
- Brühl C, Lelieveld J, Crutzen PJ, Tost H (2012) The role of carbonyl sulphide as a source of stratospheric sulphate aerosol and its impact on climate. *Atmos Chem Phys* 12(3):1239–1253.
- Berry J, et al. (2013) A coupled model of the global cycles of carbonyl sulfide and CO<sub>2</sub>: A possible new window on the carbon cycle. *J Geophys Res Biogeosci* 118(2):842–852.
- Li X, Liu J, Yang J (2006) Variation of H<sub>2</sub>S and COS emission fluxes from *Calamagrostis angustifolia* Wetlands in Sanjiang Plain, Northeast China. *Atmos Environ* 40(33):6303–6312.
- Whelan ME, Min D-H, Rhew RC (2013) Salt marsh vegetation as a carbonyl sulfide (COS) source to the atmosphere. *Atmos Environ* 73(C):131–137.
- Liu J, et al. (2010) Exchange of carbonyl sulfide(COS) between the atmosphere and various soils in China. *Biogeosciences* 7(2):753–762.
- Maseyk K, et al. (2014) Sources and sinks of carbonyl sulfide in an agricultural field in the Southern Great Plains. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111(25):9064–9069.
- Goldan PD, Fall R, Kuster WC, Fehsenfeld FC (1988) Uptake of COS by growing vegetation: A major tropospheric sink. *J Geophys Res* 93(D11):14186–14192.
- Kettle AJ, Kuhn U, von Hobe M, Kesselmeier J, Andreae MO (2002) Global budget of atmospheric carbonyl sulfide: Temporal and spatial variations of the dominant sources and sinks. *J Geophys Res* 107(D22):4658.
- Kuhn U, et al. (1999) Carbonyl sulfide exchange on an ecosystem scale: Soil represents a dominant sink for atmospheric COS. *Atmos Environ* 33(6):995–1008.
- Protoschill-Krebs G, Wilhelm C, Kesselmeier J (1996) Consumption of carbonyl sulphide (COS) by higher plant carbonic anhydrase (CA). *Atmos Environ* 30(18):3151–3156.
- Campbell JE, et al. (2008) Photosynthetic control of atmospheric carbonyl sulfide during the growing season. *Science* 322(5904):1085–1088.
- Sandoval-Soto L, et al. (2005) Global uptake of carbonyl sulfide (COS) by terrestrial vegetation: Estimates corrected by deposition velocities normalized to the uptake of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>). *Biogeosciences* 2(2):125–132.
- Stimler K, Montzka SA, Berry JA, Rudich Y, Yakir D (2010) Relationships between carbonyl sulfide (COS) and CO<sub>2</sub> during leaf gas exchange. *New Phytol* 186(4):869–878.
- Blonquist JM, Jr, et al. (2011) The potential of carbonyl sulfide as a proxy for gross primary production at flux tower sites. *J Geophys Res* 116(G4):G04019.
- Stimler K, Nelson DD, Yakir D (2009) High precision measurements of atmospheric concentrations and plant exchange rates of carbonyl sulfide using mid-IR quantum cascade laser. *Glob Change Biol* 16(9):2496–2503.
- Commane R, et al. (2013) Carbonyl sulfide in the planetary boundary layer: Coastal and continental influences. *J Geophys Res Atmos* 118(14):8001–8009.
- Asaf D, et al. (2013) Ecosystem photosynthesis inferred from measurements of carbonyl sulphide flux. *Nat Geosci* 6(3):186–190.
- Billesbach DP, et al. (2014) Growing season eddy covariance measurements of carbonyl sulfide and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes: COS and CO<sub>2</sub> relationships in Southern Great Plains winter wheat. *Agric For Meteorol* 184:48–55.
- Goulden ML, Munger JW, Fan S-M, Daube BC, Wofsy SC (1996) Measurements of carbon sequestration by long-term eddy covariance: Methods and a critical evaluation of accuracy. *Glob Change Biol* 2(3):169–182.
- Reichstein M, et al. (2005) On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: Review and improved algorithm. *Glob Change Biol* 11(9):1424–1439.
- Desai AR, et al. (2008) Cross-site evaluation of eddy covariance GPP and RE decomposition techniques. *Agric For Meteorol* 148(6-7):821–838.
- Urbanski S, et al. (2007) Factors controlling CO<sub>2</sub> exchange on timescales from hourly to decadal at Harvard Forest. *J Geophys Res* 112(G2):G02020.
- Keenan TF, et al. (2013) Increase in forest water-use efficiency as atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations rise. *Nature* 499(7458):324–327.
- Caird MA, Richards JH, Donovan LA (2007) Nighttime stomatal conductance and transpiration in C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> plants. *Plant Physiol* 143(1):4–10.
- Daley MJ, Phillips NG (2006) Interspecific variation in nighttime transpiration and stomatal conductance in a mixed New England deciduous forest. *Tree Physiol* 26(4):411–419.
- Berkelhammer M, et al. (2014) Constraining surface carbon fluxes using in situ measurements of carbonyl sulfide and carbon dioxide. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 28(2):161–179.
- Smith KS, Jakubczik C, Whittam TS, Ferry JG (1999) Carbonic anhydrase is an ancient enzyme widespread in prokaryotes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 96(26):15184–15189.
- Van Diest H, Kesselmeier J (2008) Soil atmosphere exchange of carbonyl sulfide (COS) regulated by diffusivity depending on water-filled pore space. *Biogeosciences* 5(2):475–483.
- Yi Z, et al. (2007) Soil uptake of carbonyl sulfide in subtropical forests with different successional stages in south China. *J Geophys Res* 112(D8):D08302.
- Seibt U, Kesselmeier J, Sandoval-Soto L, Kuhn U, Berry JA (2010) A kinetic analysis of leaf uptake of COS and its relation to transpiration, photosynthesis and carbon isotope fractionation. *Biogeosciences* 7(1):333–341.
- Stimler K, Berry JA, Yakir D (2012) Effects of carbonyl sulfide and carbonic anhydrase on stomatal conductance. *Plant Physiol* 158(1):524–530.
- Conrad R, Seiler W (1985) Characteristics of abiological carbon monoxide formation from soil organic matter, humic acids, and phenolic compounds. *Environ Sci Technol* 19(12):1165–1169.
- Nisbet RER, et al. (2009) Emission of methane from plants. *Proc Biol Sci* 276(1660):1347–1354.
- Boose E, Gould E (1999), Shaler Meteorological Station at Harvard Forest 1964-2002 (Harvard Forest, Petersham, MA), Harvard Forest Data Archive HF000. Available at [harvardforest.fas.harvard.edu:8080/exist/apps/datasets/showData.html?id=hf000](http://harvardforest.fas.harvard.edu:8080/exist/apps/datasets/showData.html?id=hf000).
- Boose E (2001), Fisher Meteorological Station at Harvard Forest since 2001 (Harvard Forest, Petersham, MA), Harvard Forest Data Archive HF001. Available at [harvardforest.fas.harvard.edu:8080/exist/apps/datasets/showData.html?id=hf001](http://harvardforest.fas.harvard.edu:8080/exist/apps/datasets/showData.html?id=hf001).
- Diffenbaugh SN, Scherer M (2013) Likelihood of July 2012 U.S. temperatures in pre-industrial and current forcing regimes. *Bull Am Meteorol Soc* 94(9):56–59.
- Hadley JL (2000) Effect of daily minimum temperature on photosynthesis in eastern hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis* L.) in autumn and winter. *Arct Antarct Alp Res* 32(4):368–374.
- Sharratt BS, Baker DG, Wall DB, Skaggs RH, Ruschy DL (1992) Snow depth required for near steady-state soil temperatures. *Agric For Meteorol* 57(4):243–251.
- Farquhar GD, von Caemmerer S, Berry JA (1980) A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation in leaves of C<sub>3</sub> species. *Planta* 149(1):78–90.
- Collatz GJ, Ribas-Carbo M, Berry JA (1992) Coupled photosynthesis-stomatal conductance model for leaves of C<sub>4</sub> plants. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 19(5):519–538.
- Collatz GJ, Ball JT, Griivet C, Berry JA (1991) Physiological and environmental regulation of stomatal conductance, photosynthesis and transpiration: A model that includes a laminar boundary layer. *Agric For Meteorol* 54(2-4):107–136.
- Sellers PJ, et al. (1996) A revised land surface parameterization (SiB2) for atmospheric GCMs. Part I: Model formulation. *J Clim* 9(4):676–705.
- Ball JT, Woodrow IE, Berry JA (1987) A model predicting stomatal conductance and its contribution to the control of photosynthesis under different environmental conditions. *Progress in Photosynthesis Research* (Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands), pp 221–224.
- Meyers TP, Hall ME, Lindberg SE, Kim K (1996) Use of the modified Bowen-ratio technique to measure fluxes of trace gases. *Atmos Environ* 30(19):3321–3329.
- Meredith LK, et al. (2014) Ecosystem fluxes of hydrogen: A comparison of flux-gradient methods. *Atmos Meas Tech* 7(9):2787–2805.
- Goldstein AH, Daube BC, Munger JW, Wofsy SC (1995) Automated in-situ monitoring of atmospheric non-methane hydrocarbon concentrations and gradients. *J Atmos Chem* 21(1):43–59.
- Goldstein AH, Fan S-M, Goulden ML (1996) Emissions of ethene, propene, and 1-butene by a midlatitude forest. *J Geophys Res* 101(D10):9149–9157.
- Goldstein AH, Goulden ML, Munger JW, Wofsy SC, Geron C (1998) Seasonal course of isoprene emissions from a midlatitude deciduous forest. *J Geophys Res* 103(D23):31045–31056.
- McKinney KA, Lee BH, Vasta A, Pho TV, Munger JW (2011) Emissions of isoprenoids and oxygenated biogenic volatile organic compounds from a New England mixed forest. *Atmos Chem Phys* 11(10):4807–4831.
- Wilczak J, Oncley S, Stage S (2001) Sonic Anemometer Tilt Correction Algorithm. *Boundary Layer Meteorol* 99(1):127–150.
- Belviso S, Nguyen BC, Allard P (1986) Estimate of carbonyl sulfide (OCS) volcanic source strength deduced from OCS/CO<sub>2</sub> ratios in volcanic gases. *Geophys Res Lett* 13(2):133–136.
- Contosta AH, Frey SD, Ollinger SV, Cooper AB (2012) Soil respiration does not acclimatize to warmer temperatures when modeled over seasonal timescales. *Biogeochemistry* 112(1-3):555–570.
- Mellillo JM, Steudler PA (1989) The effect of nitrogen fertilization on the COS and CS<sub>2</sub> emissions from temperature forest soils. *J Atmos Chem* 9(4):411–417.
- Sakai RK, Fitzjarrald DR, Moore KE (2001) Importance of low-frequency contributions to eddy fluxes observed over rough surfaces. *J Appl Meteorol* 40(12):2178–2192.
- Fierer N, Jackson RB (2006) The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial communities. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103(3):626–631.
- Hutya LR, et al. (2008) Resolving systematic errors in estimates of net ecosystem exchange of CO<sub>2</sub> and ecosystem respiration in a tropical forest biome. *Agric For Meteorol* 148(8-9):1266–1279.
- Tang J, et al. (2006) Sap flux-upscaled canopy transpiration, stomatal conductance, and water use efficiency in an old growth forest in the Great Lakes region of the United States. *J Geophys Res* 111(G2):G02009.
- Granier A (1987) Evaluation of transpiration in a Douglas-fir stand by means of sap flow measurements. *Tree Physiol* 3(4):309–320.