



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Adv Neonatal Care. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 December 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Adv Neonatal Care. 2015 December ; 15(6): 377–385. doi:10.1097/ANC.0000000000000218.

The Maternal Microbiome and Pregnancy Outcomes that Impact Infant Health: A Review

Anne L. Dunlop, MD, MPH, FAAFP [Associate Professor],

Emory University School of Nursing, 1520 Clifton Road NE, Atlanta, GA 30322, 404-712-8520 (phone); 404-727-6945 (fax)

Jennifer G. Mulle, MHS, PhD [Assistant Professor],

Rollins School of Public Health & School of Medicine, Department of Human Genetics, Emory University Atlanta, GA 30322

Erin P. Ferranti, PhD, MPH, RN [Assistant Professor],

Nell Hodgson Woodruff School of Nursing, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322

Sara Edwards, MN, MPH, CNM [Graduate Student],

Nell Hodgson Woodruff School of Nursing, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322

Alexis B. Dunn, MSN, CNM [Graduate Student], and

Nell Hodgson Woodruff School of Nursing, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322

Elizabeth J. Corwin, PhD, RN, FAAN [Associate Dean and Professor]

Nell Hodgson Woodruff School of Nursing, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322

Anne L. Dunlop: amlang@emory.edu

Abstract

The maternal microbiome is recognized as a key determinant of a range of important maternal and child health outcomes, and together with perinatal factors influences the infant microbiome. This manuscript provides a summary review of research investigating: (1) the role of the maternal microbiome in pregnancy outcomes known to adversely influence neonatal and infant health, including preterm birth, cardiometabolic complications of pregnancy such as preeclampsia and gestational diabetes, and excessive gestational weight gain; (2) factors with an established link to adverse pregnancy outcomes that are known to influence the composition of the maternal microbiome; and (3) strategies for promoting a healthy maternal microbiome, recognizing that much more research is needed in this area.

Keywords

Microbiota; Pregnancy Complications; Premature Birth; Women's health

Correspondence to: Anne L. Dunlop, amlang@emory.edu.

Institution: Emory University Nell Hodgson Woodruff School of Nursing, Atlanta, GA 30322

Introduction

The human microbiome – that is the community of microorganisms that live on and in the human body – consists of upwards of 100 trillion cells,^{1,2} which outnumber human cells by a factor of ten and collectively contain 27 times more genes than the human genome.³⁻⁵ Different sites within and upon the human body harbor discrete populations of microbes. For example, the skin, mouth, nasal cavity, gut, reproductive tract, and possibly the placenta host unique microbial communities.^{6,7} Until recently, information about the microbes inhabiting the human body was obtained via conventional culture-based microbiology techniques,⁸ where fluid or epithelial swabs from a given body site are placed in culture media and the organisms that grow are phenotypically and genetically characterized. However, perhaps as many as 50% of the species that are common components of the human microbiome cannot be grown in culture.⁹⁻¹¹ Culture-independent methods were initially developed in 1985,¹² when polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technology was used to exploit characteristics of the 16S ribosomal gene, a section of DNA found in all bacteria but not eukaryotic cells, that is comprised of regions that are highly conserved and regions that are highly variable. PCR primers could be designed to anneal to the conserved regions and amplify through the variable regions; the amplicon could then be sequenced and the variable region used for taxonomic identification of microbes. The potential of this method was realized when combined with next-generation sequencing methods, a mixture of amplicons from a microbiome could all be sequenced simultaneously, and all the taxa present in one microbiome could be identified in a single experiment.¹³ Next-generation sequencing strategies have decreased costs of sequencing while increasing throughput, and have precipitated a revolution in the detection of new microbial species. Another major scientific advantage of sequenced-based analysis is that phylogenetic relationships can be readily inferred from DNA-based comparisons, whereas older approaches to classification of bacterial species, which relied on phenotypic characteristics such as gross morphology (*e.g.*, rods vs. cocci) or nutritional requirements for growth in culture, did not provide systematic information on evolutionary relationships among species. These recent technological advances have led to an explosion of information about the human microbiome.⁷ As such, it is becoming increasingly clear that the human microbiome plays a role in maintaining health, and may also serve to attenuate or exacerbate both genetic and environmental risks for poor health outcomes.⁸ The exciting potential of human microbiome research lies in understanding how this recently recognized “organ” functions to establish and maintain a healthy state, and whether it is possible to promote “healthy” microbiome configurations that protect from negative health outcomes.⁹

The Human Microbiome and Pregnancy Outcomes

A growing body of research demonstrates that the human microbiome, as characterized by new sequencing technologies methods, plays a role in maternal and child health outcomes. In the sections that follow, this manuscript will review research investigating the role of the maternal microbiome in pregnancy outcomes known to impact neonatal and infant health, including preterm birth, including preterm birth, cardiometabolic complications of pregnancy such as preeclampsia and gestational hypertension, and gestational weight gain.

Finally, the manuscript will review research delineating the mechanisms by which the human microbiome may exert its effects upon pregnancy outcomes.

Preterm Birth

Overview of the Problem—Preterm birth (birth prior to 37 weeks' gestation) affects nearly 500,000 – or 1 of every 9 – infants born in the United States.¹⁴ Preterm birth is a leading cause of infant mortality, accounting for ~35% of infant deaths, and substantially contributes to severe morbidity (involving many organ systems) and disability among survivors.¹⁵ Of the known risk factors for preterm birth, among the strongest is African American race. Compared to Caucasian women, African American women have more than 1.5 times the risk of preterm birth (16.8% vs. 10.5%), and more than double the risk of early preterm birth < 32 weeks'.¹⁴ While low socioeconomic status is a risk factor for preterm birth, less than half of the black-white disparity is explained by socioeconomic status and other known risk factors for preterm birth, such as age of the mother (< 20 or >35 years), sexually transmitted infections, underweight, obesity, chronic health conditions, short interpregnancy intervals, and tobacco and substance use.¹⁶⁻¹⁸

Vaginal Microbiome and Preterm Birth—The vaginal tract is home to > 50 microbial species considered non-pathogenic.¹⁹⁻²¹ Among asymptomatic women of reproductive age, the vaginal microbiome varies widely.^{22,23} There is growing evidence that the vaginal microbiome influences risk for preterm birth. The healthy vaginal microbiome plays a role in preventing bacterial vaginosis, sexually transmitted infections, urinary tract infections,²⁴⁻²⁷ and HIV.^{28,29} Protection is attributed to lactic acid-producing bacteria, mainly *Lactobacillus* sp.,^{30,31} and by competitive exclusion such that when *Lactobacillus* sp. are present other more virulent microbes are disadvantaged.^{32,33} Bacterial vaginosis is characterized by a reduction in *Lactobacillus* sp. The CDC estimates 30% of US women suffer from bacterial vaginosis, with prevalence surpassing 60% for AA women. The vaginal microbiome that accompanies bacterial vaginosis is associated with increased risks of sexually transmitted infections^{27,29,34,35} which increase the risk for preterm birth³⁶ and occur with increased incidence among African American women compared to other US women.³⁷ Bacterial vaginosis is also a risk factor for preterm birth itself,³⁸ although a systematic review found no significant reduction in preterm birth risk even with eradication of bacterial vaginosis.³⁹

Characterization of the vaginal microbiome among 396 asymptomatic women from four ethnic groups (white, black, Hispanic, and Asian) reveals clustering of microbial communities into five groups, with lactobacilli predominant in four, with the proportion of each microbial group and the vaginal pH varying significantly by ethnicity.²² Notably, vaginal communities in which lactobacilli are not dominant are significantly more common in African American (33%) compared to Caucasian (7%) women.⁴⁰ These studies suggest that varying levels of protective vaginal microbiota may partly explain observed racial disparities in bacterial vaginosis and sexually transmitted infections.⁴⁰ Moreover, characterization of the vaginal microbiome of pregnant women reveals a substantial reduction in taxonomic diversity as pregnancy advances.⁴¹ Among Caucasian women a

greater diversity of the vaginal microbiome was found for those with term compared with preterm births.⁴²

Oral Microbiome and Preterm Birth—The oral cavity has a characteristic microbiome, with > 700 microbial taxa present.^{43,44} Microbial species commensal in the oral cavity but not found in the urogenital tract cause intrauterine infection.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ Interestingly, characterization of the microbiome of 48 term placentae reveals microbes more similar to the oral than the vaginal microbiota.⁴⁸ The primary route theorized for oral microbes to cause intrauterine infection is hematogenous dissemination, particularly with periodontal disease,⁴⁹ however, colonization of the vaginal tract with microbes from the oral cavity during receptive oral sex is also proposed.⁵⁰ Studies show that periodontal disease is associated with a 2-to 7-fold increase in preterm birth^{51,52} and another links maternal periodontal disease to preeclampsia.⁵³ A large US multicenter trial comparing women treated for periodontal disease at < 21 weeks' vs. post-delivery found no reduction in preterm birth but a trend for reduced early preterm birth < 32 weeks'.⁵⁴

Gut Microbiome and Preterm Birth—The gastrointestinal tract is populated by a vast and diverse array of microbes that participates in host metabolism, protects from invading microbes, and facilitates immune system function.⁵⁵ The gut microbiome is also proposed as a possible source of intrauterine infection after finding gut-associated taxa in amniotic fluid of women with preterm premature rupture of membranes.⁵⁶ Gut-associated microbes could colonize the vagina and ascend;^{21,57} hematogenous spread by translocation from the gut lumen into the bloodstream also could occur.⁵⁸

Cardiometabolic Complications of Pregnancy

Overview of the Problem—Gestational diabetes mellitus and gestational hypertension are the two most common cardiometabolic complications of pregnancy, affecting 7-14% and 10% of pregnancies, respectively.^{59,60} Gestational diabetes and gestational hypertension are associated with adverse pregnancy and perinatal outcomes as well as future cardiometabolic disease for both the offspring and the mother.⁶¹

Gestational diabetes increases the risk of maternal complications, such as gestational hypertension, that in turn, increase the risk of Cesarean delivery and indicated preterm birth. In addition, the resulting maternal hyperglycemia is associated with fetal hyperinsulinemia, which is linked to unbalanced fetal growth and macrosomia, increasing the risk for Cesarean delivery, shoulder dystocia, and birth trauma. Hyperinsulinemia is also linked to neonatal metabolic complications that impact the well-being of the neonate, including hypoglycemia, hyperbilirubinemia, polycythemia, hypomagnesemia, hypocalcemia, and respiratory distress syndrome.⁶²⁻⁶⁴ Also, children born to mothers affected by gestational hypertension have been found to have higher body mass index (BMI), systolic blood pressure, glucose and insulin levels;⁶⁵ this risk extends into adulthood, with an 8-fold increased risk of type 2 diabetes among young adults exposed to gestational diabetes during fetal life.⁶⁶

Pregnancies complicated by severe gestational hypertension and preeclampsia, experience an increased risk of maternal and perinatal morbidity, with higher rates of abruption placentae, small-for-gestational-age, and preterm birth.^{67,68} Preeclampsia also poses

significant risk for the offspring later in life, with a 2-fold increase risk for stroke.⁶⁹ This elevated risk has been previously explained both by the “fetal origins of adult disease hypothesis”⁷⁰ and by the shared genetic risk factors between mother and fetus. However, an emerging hypothesis suggests that shifts in the maternal gut microbiome that are associated with preeclampsia may alter fetal gut development and lead to future disease.⁷¹

Gut Microbiome and Cardiometabolic Complications of Pregnancy—There is mounting evidence supporting the role of the gut microbiome in cardiometabolic diseases.^{72,73} Influenced by dietary intake, the gut microbiome interacts with the host to alter energy harvest, energy expenditure and fat storage.⁷⁴ Differences in gut microbiome composition, and its related metabolic activities, distinguish lean versus obese individuals, and those with type 2 diabetes mellitus versus those without,^{73,75} suggesting that imbalance in the gut microbiome contributes to the development of cardiometabolic disease.⁷⁵ Moreover, a dysbiotic microbiome is implicated in the diffusion of gut bacterial endotoxin into systemic circulation, inducing a low-grade inflammatory response,⁷⁶ which is a common feature of cardiometabolic diseases. Combined with insulin resistance, chronic subclinical inflammation characterizes the hallmark pathway to the development of both gestational diabetes and gestational hypertension.⁷⁷⁻⁷⁹

The gut microbiome of pregnant women has been associated with prepregnancy body weight and excessive weight gain during pregnancy.⁸⁰ A recent study that examined the composition of gut microbiome during pregnancy found significant remodeling of the gut microbiome from the first through the third trimester.⁸¹ First trimester composition most resembled that of the non-pregnant state; whereas the changes from the first to the third trimester included a greater between-subject diversity, but a reduced within-subject diversity, with the majority of women demonstrating increasing abundance of Proteobacteria, a microorganism observed in inflammation-associated shifts in the gut microbiome.⁸² Women who developed gestational diabetes had the least diversity in their gut microbiome during the first trimester.⁸¹

While few studies have examined the role of the gut or vaginal microbiome in association with gestational hypertension and preeclampsia, the contribution of periodontal disease and the oral microbiome to the incidence of preeclampsia is established.^{83,84} Despite the significant association between periodontal disease and preeclampsia, periodontal therapy during pregnancy has not been effective in reducing the rate of preeclampsia.⁸⁵ Furthermore, one study has examined the presence of microbes in amniotic fluid in preeclamptic women and concluded that the low presence of microbes and intra-amniotic infection plays a limited role in the incidence of preeclampsia.⁸⁴

Gestational Weight Gain

Overview of the Problem—Pregnancy obesity and excessive maternal weight gain increases the risk of fetal macrosomia, which in turn increases the risk of cesarean delivery, hyperinsulinemia in infancy, and metabolic syndrome in childhood. Among women who are overweight or obese, a healthy gestational weight gain is associated with a significantly lower risk of preeclampsia, cesarean delivery, and large for gestational age birth.⁸⁶ Also, as

maternal BMI increases, folate intake decreases, contributing to an increased incidence of fetal neural tube and other birth defects.⁸⁷⁻⁸⁹ Maternal weight exceeding 200 pounds and gestational weight gain of over 40 pounds have each been found to be associated with increased risk of autism and other intellectual/developmental disabilities in the child.⁹⁰

Nearly two-thirds of American women of childbearing age are classified as overweight or obese and nearly half of women, once pregnant, gain excess gestational weight.⁹¹ African American women are the most likely to enter pregnancy overweight or obese.⁹²⁻¹⁰⁰ In 2009, the Institute of Medicine published their latest recommendations for weight gain during pregnancy.⁹¹ Obese women are to gain only 11-20 pounds compared with the previous recommendation of “at least a 15 pound weight gain”.^{91,92} Among women in all BMI categories, only half of pregnant women achieve weight gain within the recommended range. Women who are overweight prior to becoming pregnant are six times more likely than normal weight women to gain more than the recommended amount of weight.⁹¹

While most women experience declining weight retention as time extends postpartum, weight retention remains a problem for a large proportion of women even at a year postpartum.^{95,101,102} Sixty percent of women retain 10-20 pounds at six months postpartum or later, regardless of prepregnant BMI.¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁵ If women retain weight, their subsequent pregnancies are then subject to both the rise in maternal BMI and advancing age, further multiplying obstetrical risks.^{106,107}

Gut Microbiome and Maternal Weight—A number of genetic and environmental factors are linked to obesity, including diet quality and quantity, cultural behaviors, and socio-economic factors.¹⁰⁸ Also linked to obesity is the composition of the gut microbiome, which affects health by extracting nutrients and energy from ingested food, producing essential metabolites, serving as a barrier against harmful microbes, and promoting immune function. Those who are obese have a gut microbiome that varies compared to those who are not obese.¹⁰⁹ Lean individuals have more Bacteroidetes, while obese individuals harbor more Firmicutes, including Clostridium clusters, in their gut.¹¹⁰⁻¹¹⁴ Differences in gut microbiota between normal versus obese pregnant women suggests the microbiome may be important in weight management during pregnancy, as in other populations.^{111,112,115} Among pregnant women, those who have greater numbers of Lactobacillus appear protected against the highest degrees of excessive gestational weight gain; likewise, their infants also appear less likely to be large for gestational age at birth.¹¹⁶⁻¹¹⁸

The Maternal Microbiome Sets the Neonatal Microbiome

The birth process plays an important role in the microbial colonization of the infant gut, and is influenced by the composition of the mother's microbiome, the mode of delivery, genetic, and other perinatal factors.^{119,120} Vaginally delivered infants acquire microbes resembling mother's vaginal microbiome, while caesarean delivered infants acquire those found on maternal skin.^{119,120} The size and gestational age of the neonate also affects the composition of the infant microbiome. Preterm infants lack two of the main bacterial genera of healthy term infants (Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus) instead displaying a dominance of Proteobacteria.¹²¹ Further, the abundance of Proteobacteria is higher in neonates born large-

for-gestational age whereas Firmicutes is more abundant in those appropriate-for-gestational age.¹²² As infants develop over the first month of life, they begin to develop body site-specific microbiomes, with feeding patterns heavily influencing gut microbiome composition. The microbiome of breastfed infants is characterized by substantially higher abundance of Bifidobacteria, believed to be beneficial to immune functioning.^{123,124} The type and timing of introduction of complementary foods in the first year influences microbiome composition, with their earlier introduction being associated with important microbiome shifts linked with risk for gastrointestinal infection. In fact, during the first 2-3 years the microbiome is a dynamic entity with each dietary juncture.¹²⁵ Hospitalization and antibiotics also affect gut microbiome composition, being associated with substantial loss of diversity.¹²⁶ Recent findings suggest that the fetal microbiome may even begin to be colonized in utero, further underscoring the important role of the maternal microbiome in neonatal and infant health.¹²⁷

Factors that Influence the Maternal Microbiome and Pregnancy Outcomes

A variety of modifiable and non-modifiable factors are known to affect the human microbiome.^{128,129} In fact, the development of the microbiome begins in utero and is further influenced by factors at or soon after birth, such as gestational age at birth, mode of delivery, antibiotic use, and breastfeeding.¹³⁰ Breastmilk is rich in viable skin and non-skin bacteria, suggesting a critical role of the maternal transfer of microbes, which may be enhanced further with skin to skin measures such as kangaroo care.¹³¹ The microbiome is thereafter influenced throughout life by environmental exposures, diet and nutrition, clinical infections and disease states, antibiotic and anti-inflammatory medication, the inflammatory-immune response, and myriad health behaviors.¹²⁹ Dietary and weight status, health behaviors, and stress are a subset of biobehavioral factors with great potential to impact the human microbiome, and are theorized to contribute to the high and disparate rates of preterm birth and cardiovascular complications of pregnancy observed in the United States.¹³²

Diet and Probiotics—Both low and high BMI are linked to risk of preterm birth and gestational hypertension and are known to influence the composition of the maternal microbiome. Low BMI (< 18.5 kg/m²) is linked to spontaneous preterm birth.¹³³ There is conflicting evidence regarding the association between obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²) and preterm birth, with growing clarity that the association may be mediated by obesity's impact on indicated preterm birth due to preeclampsia, rather than spontaneous preterm birth.¹³⁴

In addition to body weight status (discussed above), other aspects of the diet are key factors in determining the composition of the gut microbiome. The Western diet, high in simple carbohydrates, fats, and animal proteins, is linked with an imbalance of the gut microbiome, in which there are increased numbers of *Clostridium innocuum*, *Eubacterium dolichum*, *Catenibacterium mitsuokai* and *Enterococcus spp.* and decreasing Bifidobacteria and Bacteroidetes.¹³⁵ Research supports that placing obese individuals on calorie-restricted diets and increasing physical activity changes the gut microbiome composition toward a pattern that is typical of non-obese individuals.¹³⁶

Consumption of probiotic-rich food during pregnancy has been associated with lower rates of preterm birth and preeclampsia,¹³⁷ which could be attributed to the beneficial effect of probiotic supplementation on placental inflammatory responses.¹³⁸ While randomized trials of probiotic supplementation in pregnancy are few, there have been some investigating the role of probiotic supplementation on the occurrence of preterm birth, gestational hypertension, and excessive gestational weight gain. The two trials investigating the role of probiotics on preterm birth were small and could not conclude that the probiotic supplementation decreased preterm birth, however, they did find that probiotics shift the composition of the vaginal microbiome in a manner that inhibits pathogens and modulates the inflammation commonly associated with preterm birth.^{139,140} A single randomized controlled trial on gestational weight gain reports that probiotic supplementation did not significantly alter gestational weight gain or postpartum weight retention, but significantly decreased postpartum weight circumference.¹⁴¹ Another trial reports that the incidence of gestational diabetes was significantly reduced among those supplemented with probiotics vs. dietary alteration alone.¹⁴²

There is some evidence that probiotics might have a role in preventing severe gestational hypertension and preeclampsia. In an observational Norwegian cohort, high maternal intake of dairy products containing Lactobacilli (>200 ml/day) was associated with reduced risk of overall preeclampsia and severe preeclampsia, controlling for maternal age, smoking, BMI, smoking, socioeconomic status, and diet;¹⁴³ this study did not assess for changes in the composition of the vaginal and/or gut microbiome with the probiotic supplementation to establish if probiotics.

Health behaviors—A range of behaviors – including smoking and substance use and hygiene practices (*e.g.*, douching, poor oral hygiene) – are linked with preterm birth and are known to impact the vaginal, oral, or gut microbiome.^{128,129,144} For most of these health behaviors, a mechanistic link with preterm birth remains to be elucidated, highlighting the microbiome as a potential connection. For example, many studies show a dose-response in risk of preterm birth and number of cigarettes smoked daily. Smoking also affects the composition of the oral microbiome. Periodontal pathogens are more common and persistent in smokers, and smokers demonstrate a pro-inflammatory response to colonization by these microbes.¹⁴⁵

Oral hygiene practices alter the oral microbiome and also initiate imbalance in the gut microbiome.¹⁴⁶ Poor oral hygiene is greatly responsible for the accumulation of bacteria within biofilms. Failure to detach accumulating plaque will lead to overgrowth of bacteria that may become pathogenic, reduce biodiversity of the oral cavity, and ultimately cause diseases such as dental caries or periodontal disease.¹⁴⁷

A variety of health behaviors are also known to contribute to shifts in the composition of the vaginal microbiome. For example, frequent sexual intercourse, multiple sex partners, frequent episodes of receptive oral sex, douching and use of spermicides all contribute to destabilization of vaginal microbial bacterial communities and thereby increase the chance of pathogenic bacteria to colonize the reproductive tract and contribute to infection and inflammation.¹⁴⁸

Stress—With mental or physical stress, a complex neuroendocrine-immune response is initiated,¹⁴⁹ leading to increased pro-inflammatory cytokines and cortisol.^{150,151} Although protective against infection, acute inflammation increases the risk of preterm birth.¹⁵²⁻¹⁵⁴ Chronic inflammation increases risks for preterm birth,¹⁵⁵ gestational hypertension,¹⁵⁶⁻¹⁵⁸ and diabetes,¹⁵⁹⁻¹⁶¹ each of which are associated with adverse maternal and infant consequences. Among low-income African American women, stressful exposures are associated with bacterial vaginosis during pregnancy, and stressors explain a significant proportion of the racial disparity in rates of bacterial vaginosis.¹⁶² Among non-pregnant women, there is also an association between stress and bacterial vaginosis.¹⁶³

Chronic stress also affects gut microbiota and increases translocation of bacterial cell components from the gut into the blood stream.¹²⁸ Animal models suggest that stress-induced shifts in gut microbiota increase circulating inflammatory cytokines and activate the HPA axis.¹⁶⁴ Gut microbiota can also influence depression symptoms and psychological responses to stress, which are in turn associated with poor pregnancy outcomes such as preterm birth.¹²⁸

Summary

Perinatally the maternal microbiome serves as the source for the infant microbiome, and within the context of pregnancy, the maternal microbiome is being shown to play an important role in the occurrence of adverse pregnancy outcomes that greatly influences the health of the neonate and infant. Further characterization of the maternal microbiome and identification of various factors that facilitate changes in microbial profiles preconceptionally and during pregnancy may elucidate preconception and prenatal strategies for improving pregnancy outcomes and, thereby, neonatal and infant health. Neonatal nurses who care for and investigate medical conditions and pregnancy outcomes that influence the well-being of neonates will be critical for advancing the research in this field and for drawing attention to the consequences of this knowledge gap on neonatal and infant health.

Furthermore, neonatal nurses are critical to the health and development of newborns and are well positioned to influence factors that are involved in the establishment of the microbiome. Key target areas for nursing intervention include promotion of breastfeeding, and encouragement of kangaroo care, and the appropriate selection and use of antibiotics, since nurses are key agents involved in breastfeeding initiation, skin to skin care, and medication administration.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by a grant from the National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Nursing Research (R01NR014800)

References

1. Whitman WB, Coleman DC, Wiebe WJ. Prokaryotes: the unseen majority. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1998; 95:6578–83. [PubMed: 9618454]
2. Peterson J, Garges S, Giovanni M, et al. The NIH Human Microbiome Project. *Genome research*. 2009; 19:2317–23. [PubMed: 19819907]

3. Gill SR, Pop M, Deboy RT, et al. Metagenomic analysis of the human distal gut microbiome. *Science*. 2006; 312:1355–9. [PubMed: 16741115]
4. Qin J, Li R, Raes J, et al. A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. *Nature*. 2010; 464:59–65. [PubMed: 20203603]
5. Zhu B, Wang X, Li L. Human gut microbiome: the second genome of human body. *Protein & cell*. 2010; 1:718–25. [PubMed: 21203913]
6. A framework for human microbiome research. *Nature*. 2012; 486:215–21. [PubMed: 22699610]
7. Aagaard K, Ma J, Antony KM, Ganu R, P J, Versalovic J. The placenta harbors a unique microbiome. *Sci Transl Med*. 2014; 6:237.
8. Staley JT, Konopka A. Measurement of in situ activities of nonphotosynthetic microorganisms in aquatic and terrestrial habitats. *Annual review of microbiology*. 1985; 39:321–46.
9. Wade W. Unculturable bacteria—the uncharacterized organisms that cause oral infections. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*. 2002; 95:81–3. [PubMed: 11823550]
10. Duncan SH, Louis P, Flint HJ. Cultivable bacterial diversity from the human colon. *Letters in applied microbiology*. 2007; 44:343–50. [PubMed: 17397470]
11. Eckburg PB, Bik EM, Bernstein CN, et al. Diversity of the human intestinal microbial flora. *Science*. 2005; 308:1635–8. [PubMed: 15831718]
12. Lane DJ, Pace B, Olsen GJ, Stahl DA, Sogin ML, Pace NR. Rapid determination of 16S ribosomal RNA sequences for phylogenetic analyses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1985; 82:6955–59. [PubMed: 2413450]
13. Shendure J, Ji H. Next-generation DNA sequencing. *Nature biotechnology*. 2008; 26:1135–45.
14. Hamilton BE, Martin JA, Ventura SJ. Births: Preliminary data for 2011. *National Vital Statistics Report*. 2012; 61:1–20.
15. Callaghan WM, McDorman MF, Rasmussen SA. The contribution of preterm birth to infant mortality rates in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2006; 118:1566–73. [PubMed: 17015548]
16. Marret S, A PY, Parpeau L, Marchad L, Pierrat V, Larroque B. Neonatal and 5-year outcomes after birth at 30–34 weeks of gestation. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2007; 110:72–80. [PubMed: 17601899]
17. Goldenberg RL, Cliver SP, Mulvihill FX, Hickey CA, Hoffman HJ, Klerman LV. Medical, psychosocial, and behavioral risk factors do not explain the increased risk for low birth weight among black women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1996; 175:1317–24. [PubMed: 8942508]
18. McGrady GA, Sung JF, Rowley DL, Hogue CJ. Preterm delivery and low birth weight among firstborn infants of black and white college graduates. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1992; 136
19. Oakley BB, Fieldler TL, Marrazzo JM, Fredricks DN. Diversity of human vaginal bacterial communities and associations with clinically defined bacterial vaginosis. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2008; 2008:15.
20. Swidsinski A, Mendding W, Loening-Baucke V. Effect of *Lactobacillus* challenge on *Gardnerella vaginalis* biofilms. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2005; 106:1013–23. [PubMed: 16260520]
21. Cribby S, Taylor M, Reid G. Vaginal microbiota and the use of probiotics. *Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Infectious Disease*. 2008:e256490.
22. Ravel J, Gajera P, Abdob Z, et al. Vaginal microbiome of reproductive-age women. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2011; 108:4680–7. [PubMed: 20534435]
23. Costello EK, Lauber CL, Hamady M, Fierer N, Gordon JI, Knight R. Bacterial community variation in human body habitats across space and time. *Science*. 2009; 326:1694–7. [PubMed: 19892944]
24. Donders GG, Dekeersmaeker A, Vereecken A, Van Bulck B, Spitz B. Pathogenesis of abnormal vaginal bacterial flora. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2000; 182:872–8. [PubMed: 10764465]
25. Wiesenfeld HC, Hillier SL, Krohn MA, Landers DV, Sweet RL. Bacterial vaginosis is a strong predictor of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2003; 36:663–8. [PubMed: 12594649]
26. Gupta K, Stapleton AE, Hooten TM, Roberts PL, Fennell CL, Stamm WE. Inverse association of H2O2-producing lactobacilli and vaginal *Escherichia coli* colonization in women with recurrent urinary tract infections. *J Infect Dis*. 1998; 1998:446–50. [PubMed: 9697725]

27. Cherpes TL, Meyn LA, Krohn MA, Lurie JG, Hillier SL. Association between acquisition of herpes simplex virus type 2 in women and bacterial vaginosis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2003; 37:319–25. [PubMed: 12884154]
28. Lai SK, Hida K, Shukair S, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 is trapped by acidic but not by neutralized human cervicovaginal mucus. *J Virol*. 2009; 83:11196–200. [PubMed: 19692470]
29. Martin HL, Richardson BA, Nyange PM, et al. Vaginal lactobacilli, microbial flora, and risk of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 and sexually transmitted disease acquisition. *J Infect Dis*. 1999; 180:1863–68. [PubMed: 10558942]
30. Boskey ER, Cone RA, Whaley KJ, Moench TR. Origins of vaginal acidity: High D/L lactate ratio is consistent with bacteria being the primary source. *Human Reproduction*. 2001; 16:1809–13. [PubMed: 11527880]
31. Kaewsrichan J, Peeyanjarassri K, Kongprasertkit J. Selection and identification of anaerobic lactobacilli producing inhibitory compounds against vaginal pathogens. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol*. 2006; 48:75–83. [PubMed: 16965354]
32. Klebanoff SJ, Hillier SL, Eschenbach DA, Waltersdorff AM. Control of the microbial flora of the vagina by H2O2-generating lactobacilli. *J Infect Dis*. 1991; 164:94–100. [PubMed: 1647428]
33. Voravuthikunchai SP, Bilasoi S, Supamala O. Antagonistic activity against pathogenic bacteria by human vaginal lactobacilli. *Anaerobe*. 2006; 12:221–6. [PubMed: 16931064]
34. Peipert JF, Lapane KL, Allsworth JE, Redding CA, Blume JD, Stein MD. Bacterial vaginosis, race, and sexually transmitted infections: does race modify the association. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*. 2008; 35:363–7. [PubMed: 18360319]
35. Brotman RM, Nansel TR, Yu KF, Andrews WW, Zhang J, Schwebke JP. Bacterial vaginosis assessed by gram stain and diminished colonization resistance to incident gonococcal, chlamydial, and trichomonal genital infection. *J Infect Dis*. 2010; 202:1907–15. [PubMed: 21067371]
36. Mullick S, Watson-Jones D, Beksinska M, Mabey D. Sexually transmitted infections in pregnancy: prevalence, impact on pregnancy outcomes, and approach to treatment in developing countries. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2005; 81:294–302. [PubMed: 16061534]
37. Hogben M, Leichter JS. Social determinants and sexually transmitted disease disparities. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*. 2008; 35:S13–8. [PubMed: 18936725]
38. Leitich H, Kiss H. Asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis and intermediate flora as risk factors for adverse pregnancy outcome. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol*. 2007; 21:375–90. [PubMed: 17241817]
39. Brocklehurst P, Gordon A, Heatley E, Milan SJ. Antibiotics for treating bacterial vaginosis in pregnancy. *Cochrane Db Syst Rev*. 2013; 1
40. Harwich MD, Serrano M, Fettweis JM, et al. Genomic sequence analysis and characterization of *Sneathia amnii* sp. nov. *BMC Genomics*. 2012; 13:S4. [PubMed: 23281612]
41. Aagaard KM, Riehle K, Ma J, et al. A Metagenomic Approach to Characterization of the Vaginal Microbiome Signature in Pregnancy. *PLoS ONE*. 2012; 7:e364666.
42. Hyman RW, Fukushima M, Jiang H, et al. Diversity of the vaginal microbiome correlates with preterm birth. *Reprod Sci*. 2014; 21:32–40. [PubMed: 23715799]
43. Aas JA, Paster BJ, Stokes LN, Olsen I, Dewhirst FE. Defining the normal bacterial flora of the oral cavity. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2005; 43:5721–32. [PubMed: 16272510]
44. Paster BJ, Boches SK, Galvin JL, et al. Bacterial diversity in human subgingival plaque. *J Bacteriol*. 2001; 183:3770–83. [PubMed: 11371542]
45. Hill GB. Preterm birth: Associations with genital and possibly oral microflora. *Ann Periodontol*. 1998; 3:222–32. [PubMed: 9722706]
46. Han YW, Shen T, Chung P, Buhimschi IA, Buhimschi CS. Uncultivated bacteria as etiologic agents of intra-amniotic inflammation leading to preterm birth. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2009; 47:38–47. [PubMed: 18971361]
47. Han YW, Ikegami A, Bissada NF, Herbst M, Redline RW, Ashmead GG. Transmission of an uncultivated *Bergeyella* strain from the oral cavity to amniotic fluid in a case of preterm birth. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2006; 44:1475–83. [PubMed: 16597879]

48. Aagaard KM, Ganu R, Ma J, et al. Abstract: Whole metagenomic shotgun sequencing reveals a vibrant placental microbiome harboring metabolic function. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2013:S5.
49. Bearfield C, Davenport ES, Sivapathasandarem V, Allaker RP. Possible association between amniotic fluid micro-organism infection and microflora in the mouth. *BJOG.* 2002; 109:527–33. [PubMed: 12066942]
50. Alanen A, Laurikainen E. Second-trimester abortion caused by *Capnocytophaga sputigena*: case report. *Am J Perinatol.* 1999; 16:181–3. [PubMed: 10458530]
51. Jeffcoat MK, Hauth JC, Geurs NC. Periodontal disease and preterm birth: results of a pilot intervention study. *J Periodontol.* 2003; 74:1214–18. [PubMed: 14514236]
52. Offenbacher S, Lief S, Boggess KA. Maternal periodontitis and prematurity: Part I - Obstetric outcome of prematurity and growth restriction. *Ann Periodontol.* 2001; 6:164–74. [PubMed: 11887460]
53. Boggess KA, Lief S, Murtha AP, Moss K, Beck J, Offenbacher S. Maternal periodontal disease is associated with an increased risk for preeclampsia. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2003; 101:227–31. [PubMed: 12576243]
54. Michaelowica BS, Hodges JS, DiAngelis AJ. Treatment of periodontal disease and the risk of preterm birth. *The New England journal of medicine.* 2006; 2006:1885–94.
55. Wardwell LH, Huttenhower C, Garrett WS. Current concepts of the intestinal microbiota and pathogenesis of infection. *Curr Infect Dis Rep.* 2011; 13:28–34. [PubMed: 21308452]
56. DiGuilio DB, Romero R, Kusanovic JP. Prevalence and diversity of microbes in the amniotic fluid, the fetal inflammatory response, and pregnancy outcome in women with preterm pre-labor rupture of membranes. *Am J Reprod Immunol.* 2010; 64:38–57. [PubMed: 20331587]
57. Goldenberg RL, Hauth JC, Andrews WW. Intrauterine infection and preterm delivery. *The New England journal of medicine.* 2000; 342:1500–7. [PubMed: 10816189]
58. Abdulmir AS, Hafidh RR, Bakar FA. The association of *Streptococcus bovis/gallolyticus* with colorectal tumors: The nature and the underlying mechanisms of its etiological role. *Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research.* 2011; 30:11. [PubMed: 21247505]
59. Association AD. Gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care.* 2004; 27:S88–90. [PubMed: 14693936]
60. Wagner SJ, Barac S, Garovic VD. Hypertensive pregnancy disorders: current concepts. *Journal of Clinical Hypertension.* 2007; 9:560–6. [PubMed: 17617769]
61. Ryckman KK, Borwoski KS, Parikh NI, Saftlas AF. Pregnancy Complications and the Risk of Metabolic Syndrome for the Offspring. *Curr Cardiovasc Risk Rep.* 2013; 7:217–23. [PubMed: 23997844]
62. Lapolla A, Dalfrà MG, Fedele D. Management of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes, metabolic syndrome and obesity: targets and therapy.* 2009; 2:73.
63. Group HSCR. The hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcome (HAPO) study. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics.* 2002; 78:69–77. [PubMed: 12113977]
64. Fraser R, Heller SR. Gestational diabetes: aetiology and management. *Obstetrics, Gynaecology & Reproductive Medicine.* 2007; 17:345–8.
65. Cho NH, Silverman BL, Rizzo TA, Metzger BE. Correlations between the intrauterine metabolic environment and blood pressure in adolescent offspring of diabetic mothers. *J Pediatr.* 2000; 136:587–92. [PubMed: 10802488]
66. Damm P. Future risk of diabetes in mother and child after gestational diabetes mellitus. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2009; 104:15.
67. Buchbinder A, Sibai BM, Caritis S, et al. Adverse perinatal outcomes are significantly higher in severe gestational hypertension than in mild preeclampsia. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology.* 2002; 186:66–71. [PubMed: 11810087]
68. Villar J, Carroli G, Wojdyla D, et al. Preeclampsia, gestational hypertension and intrauterine growth restriction, related or independent conditions? *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology.* 2006; 194:921–31. [PubMed: 16580277]
69. Kajantie E, Eriksson JG, Osmond C, Thornburg K, Darker DJ. Pre-eclampsia is associated with increased risk of stroke in the adult offspring: the Helsinki birth cohort study. *Stroke.* 2009; 40:1176–80. [PubMed: 19265049]

70. Barker DJ. Developmental origins of chronic disease. *Public Health*. 2012; 126:185–9. [PubMed: 22325676]
71. Gohir W, Ratcliffe EM, Sloboda DM. Of the bugs that shape us: maternal obesity, the gut microbiome and long-term disease risk. *Pediatr Res*. 2014; 14:169.
72. Stock J. Gut microbiota: an environmental risk factor for cardiovascular disease. *Atherosclerosis*. 2013; 229:440–2. [PubMed: 23880200]
73. Zhang X, Shen D, Fang Z, et al. Human gut microbiota changes reveal the progression of glucose intolerance. *PLoS ONE*. 2013; 8:0071108.
74. Tremaroli V, Backhed F. Functional interactions between the gut microbiota and host metabolism. *Nature*. 2012; 489:242–9. [PubMed: 22972297]
75. Shen J, Obin MS, Zhao L. The gut microbiota, obesity and insulin resistance. *Molecular Aspects of Medicine*. 2013; 34:39–58. [PubMed: 23159341]
76. Cani PD, Osto M, Geurts L, Everard A. Involvement of gut microbiota in the development of low-grade inflammation and type 2 diabetes associated with obesity. *Gut Microbes*. 2012; 3:279–88. [PubMed: 22572877]
77. Vrachnis N, Belitsos P, Sifakis S, et al. Role of adipokines and other inflammatory mediators in gestational diabetes mellitus and previous gestational diabetes mellitus. *Int J Endocrinol*. 2012; 549748:9.
78. Wolf M, Sandler L, Jimenez-Kimble R, Shah A, Ecker JL, Thadhani R. Insulin resistance but not inflammation is associated with gestational hypertension. *Hypertension*. 2002; 40:886–91. [PubMed: 12468574]
79. Armanini D, Ambrosini G, Sabbadin C, Dona G, Clari G, Bordin L. Microalbuminuria and hypertension in pregnancy: role of aldosterone and inflammation. *Journal of Clinical Hypertension*. 2013; 15:612–4. [PubMed: 24034651]
80. Santacruz A, Collado MC, Garcia-Valdes L, et al. Gut microbiota composition is associated with body weight, weight gain and biochemical parameters in pregnant women. *British Journal of Nutrition*. 2010; 104:83–92. [PubMed: 20205964]
81. Koren O, Goodrich JK, Cullender TC, et al. Host remodeling of the gut microbiome and metabolic changes during pregnancy. *Cell*. 2012; 150:470–80. [PubMed: 22863002]
82. Mukhopadhyaya I, Hansen R, El-Omar EM, Hold GL. IBD-what role do Proteobacteria play? *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2012; 9:219–30. [PubMed: 22349170]
83. Ide M, Papananou PN. Epidemiology of association between maternal periodontal disease and adverse pregnancy outcomes—systematic review. *Journal of clinical periodontology*. 2013; 40:S181–S94. [PubMed: 23627328]
84. Amarasekara R, Jayasekara RW, Senanayake H, Dissanayake VH. Microbiome of the placenta in pre-eclampsia supports the role of bacteria in the multifactorial cause of pre-eclampsia. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research*. 2014
85. Kunnen A, Van Doormaal JJ, Abbas F, Aarnoudse JG, Van Pampus MG, Faas MM. Review Article: Periodontal disease and pre-eclampsia: a systematic review. *Journal of clinical periodontology*. 2010; 37:1075–87. [PubMed: 21070324]
86. Kiel DW, Dodson EA, Artal R, Boehmer TK, Leet TL. Gestational weight gain and pregnancy outcomes in obese women: how much is enough? *Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 2007; 110:752–8. [PubMed: 17906005]
87. Bodnar LM, Hutcheon JA, Platt RW, Himes KP, Simhan HN, Abrams B. Should gestational weight gain recommendations be tailored by maternal characteristics? *American journal of epidemiology*. 2011; 174:136–46. [PubMed: 21633118]
88. Bodnar LM, Siega-Riz AM, Simhan HN, Himes KP, Abrams B. Severe obesity, gestational weight gain, and adverse birth outcomes. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2010; 91:1642–8. [PubMed: 20357043]
89. Davies GA, Maxwell C, McLeod L, et al. Obesity in pregnancy. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can*. 2010; 32:165–73. [PubMed: 20181319]
90. Krakowiak P, Walker CK, Bremer AA, et al. Maternal metabolic conditions and risk for autism and other neurodevelopmental disorders. *Pediatrics*. 2012; 129:e1121–e8. [PubMed: 22492772]

91. Guidelines CtRIPW. Weight Gain During Pregnancy: Reexamining the Guidelines. Washington, DC: National Academies Press: Food and Nutrition Board and Board on Children, Youth, and Families; 2009.
92. Krukowski RA, Bursac Z, McGehee MA, West D. Exploring potential health disparities in excessive gestational weight gain. *Journal of women's health*. 2013; 22:494–500. 2002.
93. Bowers K, Laughon SK, Kiely M, Brite J, Chen Z, Zhang C. Gestational diabetes, pre-pregnancy obesity and pregnancy weight gain in relation to excess fetal growth: variations by race/ethnicity. *Diabetologia*. 2013; 56:1263–71. [PubMed: 23571827]
94. Brooten D, Youngblut JM, Golembeski S, Magnus MH, Hannan J. Perceived weight gain, risk, and nutrition in pregnancy in five racial groups. *Journal of the American Academy of Nurse Practitioners*. 2012; 24:32–42. [PubMed: 22243679]
95. Gould Rothberg BE, Magriples U, Kershaw TS, Rising SS, Ickovics JR. Gestational weight gain and subsequent postpartum weight loss among young, low-income, ethnic minority women. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*. 2011; 204:52.e1–11. [PubMed: 20974459]
96. Headen IE, Davis EM, Mujahid MS, Abrams B. Racial-ethnic differences in pregnancy-related weight. *Advances in nutrition (Bethesda, Md)*. 2012; 3:83–94.
97. Marshall NE, Guild C, Cheng YW, Caughey AB, Halloran DR. Racial disparities in pregnancy outcomes in obese women. *Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine*. 2014; 27:122–6. [PubMed: 23682611]
98. Misra VK, Hobel CJ, Sing CF. The effects of maternal weight gain patterns on term birth weight in African-American women. *The journal of maternal-fetal & neonatal medicine: the official journal of the European Association of Perinatal Medicine, the Federation of Asia and Oceania Perinatal Societies, the International Society of Perinatal Obstetricians*. 2010; 23:842–9.
99. Paul KH, Graham ML, Olson CM. The web of risk factors for excessive gestational weight gain in low income women. *Maternal and child health journal*. 2013; 17:344–51. [PubMed: 22415812]
100. Wise LA, Palmer JR, Heffner LJ, Rosenberg L. Prepregnancy body size, gestational weight gain, and risk of preterm birth in African-American women. *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass)*. 2010; 21:243–52.
101. Amorim Adegboye AR, Linne YM. Diet or exercise, or both, for weight reduction in women after childbirth. *UOF - Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2007; (3):CD005627. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews 2013;7:CD005627. [PubMed: 17636810]
102. Biesmans K, Franck E, Ceulemans C, Jacquemyn Y, Van Bogaert P. Weight during the postpartum period: what can health care workers do? *Maternal and child health journal*. 2013; 17:996–1004. [PubMed: 22798141]
103. Boghossian NS, Yeung EH, Lipsky LM, Poon AK, Albert PS. Dietary patterns in association with postpartum weight retention. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2013; 97:1338–45. [PubMed: 23576044]
104. Choi J, Fukuoka Y, Lee JH. The effects of physical activity and physical activity plus diet interventions on body weight in overweight or obese women who are pregnant or in postpartum: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Preventive medicine*. 2013; 56:351–64. [PubMed: 23480971]
105. Lipsky LM, Strawderman MS, Olson CM. Maternal weight change between 1 and 2 years postpartum: the importance of 1 year weight retention. *Obesity (Silver Spring, Md)*. 2012; 20:1496–502.
106. Nehring I, Schmoll S, Beyerlein A, Hauner H, von Kries R. Gestational weight gain and long-term postpartum weight retention: a meta-analysis. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2011; 94:1225–31. [PubMed: 21918221]
107. Ruchat SM, Mottola MF. Preventing long-term risk of obesity for two generations: prenatal physical activity is part of the puzzle. *Journal of pregnancy*. 2012; 2012:470247. [PubMed: 23316363]
108. Davies GA, Maxwell C, McLeod L, et al. Obesity in pregnancy. *Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology Canada : JOGC = Journal d'obstetrique et gynecologie du Canada : JOGC*. 2010; 32:165–73.

109. Ismail NA, Ragab SH, ElBaky AA, Shoeib AR, Alhosary Y, Fekry D. Frequency of Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes in gut microbiota in obese and normal weight Egyptian children and adults. *Arch Med Sci.* 2010; 7:501–7.
110. Wardwell LH, Huttenhower C, Garrett WS. Current concepts of the intestinal microbiota and the pathogenesis of infection. *Current Infectious Disease Reports.* 2011; 13:28–34. [PubMed: 21308452]
111. Cox LM, Blaser MJ. Pathways in microbe-induced obesity. *Cell Metabolism.* 2013; 17:883–94. [PubMed: 23747247]
112. Aitken JD, Gewirtz AT. Gut microbiota in 2012: Toward understanding and manipulating the gut microbiota. *Nature Reviews: Gastroenterology & hepatology.* 2013; 10:72–4. [PubMed: 23296245]
113. Backhed F. Programming of host metabolism by the gut microbiota. *Annals of Nutrition & Metabolism.* 2011; 58:44–52. [PubMed: 21846980]
114. Santacruz A, Collado MC, Garcia-Valdes L, et al. Gut microbiota composition is associated with body weight, weight gain and biochemical parameters in pregnant women. *The British journal of nutrition.* 2010; 104:83–92. [PubMed: 20205964]
115. Collado MC, Isolauri E, Laitinen K, Salminen S. Distinct composition of gut microbiota during pregnancy in overweight and normal-weight women. *The American journal of clinical nutrition.* 2008; 88:894–9. [PubMed: 18842773]
116. Collado MC, Laitinen K, Salminen S, Isolauri E. Maternal weight and excessive weight gain during pregnancy modify the immunomodulatory potential of breast milk. *Pediatric research.* 2012; 72:77–85. [PubMed: 22453296]
117. Sanz Y. Gut microbiota and probiotics in maternal and infant health. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition.* 2011; 94:2000S–5S. [PubMed: 21543533]
118. Gronlund MM, Grzeskowiak L, Isolauri E, Salminen S. Influence of mother's intestinal microbiota on gut colonization in the infant. *Gut Microbes.* 2011; 2:227–33. [PubMed: 21983067]
119. Dominguez-Bello MG, Costello EK, Contreras M, et al. Delivery mode shapes the acquisition and structure of the initial microbiota across multiple body habitats in newborns. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* 2010; 107:11971–5. [PubMed: 20566857]
120. Penders J, Thijs C, Vink C, et al. Factors influencing the composition of the intestinal microbiota in early infancy. *Pediatrics.* 2006; 118:511–21. [PubMed: 16882802]
121. Barrett E, Kerr C, Murphy K, et al. The individual-specific and diverse nature of the preterm infant microbiota. *Archives of Disease in Childhood Fetal and Neonatal Edition.* 2013; 98:F334–40. [PubMed: 23303303]
122. Karlsson CLJ, Molin G, Cilio CM, Ahrné S. The pioneer gut microbiota in human neonates vaginally born at term—a pilot study. *Pediatr Res.* 2011; 70:282–6. [PubMed: 21629156]
123. Harmsen HJ, Wildeboer-Veloo aC, Raangs GC, et al. Analysis of intestinal flora development in breast-fed and formula-fed infants by using molecular identification and detection methods. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition.* 2000; 30:61–7. [PubMed: 10630441]
124. Bezirtzoglou E, Tsiotsias A, Welling GW. Microbiota profile in feces of breast- and formula-fed newborns by using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). *Anaerobe.* 2011; 17:478–82. [PubMed: 21497661]
125. Ursell LK, Clemente JC, Rideout JR, Gevers D, Caporaso JG, Knight R. The interpersonal and intrapersonal diversity of human associated microbiota in key body sites. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology.* 2012; 129:1204–8. [PubMed: 22541361]
126. Johnson CL, Versalovic J. The human microbiome and its potential importance to pediatrics. *Pediatrics.* 2012; 129:950–60. [PubMed: 22473366]
127. Borre YE, O'Keefe GW, Clarke G, Stanton C, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. Microbiota and neurodevelopmental windows: Implications for brain disorders. *Trends in Molecular Medicine.* 2014; 20:509–18. [PubMed: 24956966]
128. Cryan JF, Dinan TG. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience.* Mind-altering microorganisms: the impact of the gut microbiota on brain and behavior. 2012; 13:701–12.

129. Gregory KE. Microbiome aspects of perinatal and neonatal health. *The Journal of perinatal & neonatal nursing*. 2011; 25:158–62. [PubMed: 21540692]
130. Kaplan JL, Shi HN, Walker WA. The role of microbes in developmental immunologic programming. *Pediatric research*. 2011; 69:465–72. [PubMed: 21364495]
131. LaTuga MS, Stuebe A, Seed PC. A review of the source and function of microbiota in breast milk. *Seminars in reproductive medicine*. 2014; 2014:68–73. [PubMed: 24390923]
132. Behrman, RE.; Butler, AS. *Preterm birth: Causes, consequences, and prevention*. Washington, D.C.: Institute of Medicine; 2007 Jul 13. 2006
133. Salihi HM, Mbah AK, Alio AP, Clayton HB, Lynch O. Low pre-pregnancy body mass index and risk of medically indicated versus spontaneous preterm singleton birth. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Repro Biol*. 2009; 144:119–23.
134. Hendler I, Goldenberg RL, Mercer BM, et al. The Preterm Prediction study: Association between maternal body mass index and spontaneous and indicated preterm birth. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2005; 192:882–6. [PubMed: 15746686]
135. Duda-Chodak A, Tarko T, Satora P, Sroka P. Interaction of dietary compounds, especially polyphenols, with the intestinal microbiota: a review. *European Journal of Nutrition*. 2015:1–17. [PubMed: 25296886]
136. Santacruz A, Marcos A, Wärnberg J, et al. Interplay between weight loss and gut microbiota composition in overweight adolescents. *Obesity*. 2009; 17:1906–15. [PubMed: 19390523]
137. Brantsaeter AL, Myhre R, Haugen M, et al. Intake of probiotic food and risk of preeclampsia in primiparous women - the Norwegian mother and child cohort study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2011; 174:807–15. [PubMed: 21821542]
138. Myhre R, Brantsaeter AL, Myking S, et al. Intake of probiotic food and risk of spontaneous preterm delivery. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2011; 93:81–6. [PubMed: 20980486]
139. Vitali B, Cruciani F, Baldassarre ME, et al. Dietary supplementation with probiotics during late pregnancy: outcome on vaginal microbiota and cytokine secretion. *BMC microbiology*. 2012; 12:236. [PubMed: 23078375]
140. Polatti F. Bacterial vaginosis, *Atopobium vaginae* and nifuratel. *Current clinical pharmacology*. 2012; 7:36. [PubMed: 22082330]
141. Ilmonen J, Isolauri E, Poussa T, Laitinen K. Impact of dietary counselling and probiotic intervention on maternal anthropometric measurements during and after pregnancy: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Clinical Nutrition*. 2011; 30:156–64. [PubMed: 20970896]
142. Luoto R, Laitinen K, Nermes M, Isolauri E. Impact of maternal probiotic-supplemented dietary counselling on pregnancy outcome and prenatal and postnatal growth: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *British journal of nutrition*. 2010; 103:1792–9. [PubMed: 20128938]
143. Brantsæter AL, Myhre R, Haugen M, et al. Intake of Probiotic Food and Risk of Preeclampsia in Primiparous Women The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2011; 174:807–15. [PubMed: 21821542]
144. DiGuilio DB. Diversity of microbes in amniotic fluid. *Seminars in Fetal & Neonatal Medicine*. 2012; 17:2–11. [PubMed: 22137615]
145. Kumar PS, Griffen AL, Moeschberger ML, Leys EM. Identification of candidate periodontal pathogens and beneficial species by quantitative 16S clonal analysis. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2005; 43:3944–55. [PubMed: 16081935]
146. Singhal S, Dian D, Keshavarzian A, Fogg L, Fields JZ, Farhadi A. The role of oral hygiene in inflammatory bowel disease. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2011; 56:170–5. [PubMed: 20458622]
147. Zaura E, Keijsers BJ, Huse SM, Crielaard W. Defining the healthy. *BMC microbiology*. 2009; 9:259. [PubMed: 20003481]
148. Hickey RJ, Zhou X, Pierson JD, Ravel J, Forney LJ. Understanding vaginal microbiome complexity from an ecological perspective. *Translational Research*. 2012; 160:267–82. [PubMed: 22683415]
149. Sapolsky RM, Romero LM, Munck AU. How do glucocorticoids influence stress responses? Integrating permissive, suppressive, stimulatory, and preparative actions. *Endocr Rev*. 2000; 21:55–89. [PubMed: 10696570]

150. Yang EV, Glaser R. Stress-induced immunomodulation and the implications for health. *Int Immunopharmacol.* 2002; 2:315–24. [PubMed: 11811934]
151. Steptoe A, Hamer M, Chida Y. The effects of acute psychological stress on circulating inflammatory factors in humans: a review and meta-analysis. *Brain, behavior, and immunity.* 2007; 21:901–12.
152. Romero R, Espinoza J, Goncalves LF, Kusanovic JP, Friel LA, Nien JK. Inflammation in preterm and term labour and delivery. *Semin Fetal Neonat M.* 2006; 11:317–26.
153. Dizon-Townson DS. Preterm labour and delivery: a genetic predisposition. *Paediatr Perinat Ep.* 2001; 15(Suppl 2):57–62.
154. Ruma M, Horton A, Boggess K, et al. Systemic inflammation: Its role in periodontal disease and preterm birth. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2007; 197:S73–S.
155. Giurgescu C. Are maternal cortisol levels related to preterm birth? *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs.* 2009; 38:377–90.
156. Conrad KP, Miles TM, Benyo DF. Circulating levels of immunoreactive cytokines in women with preeclampsia. *Am J Reprod Immunol.* 1998; 40:102–11. [PubMed: 9764352]
157. Freeman DJ, McManus F, Brown EA, et al. Short- and long-term changes in plasma inflammatory markers associated with preeclampsia. *Hypertension.* 2004; 44:708–14. [PubMed: 15452036]
158. Granger JP, Alexander BT, Bennett WA, Khalil RA. Pathophysiology of pregnancy-induced hypertension. *Am J Hypertens.* 2001; 14:178S–85S. [PubMed: 11411754]
159. Lowe LP, Metzger BE, Lowe WL Jr, Dyer AR, McDade TW, McIntyre HD. Inflammatory mediators and glucose in pregnancy: results from a subset of the Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) Study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2010; 95:5427–34. [PubMed: 20843942]
160. Kinalski M, Telejko B, Kuzmicki M, Kretowski A, Kinalska I. Tumor necrosis factor alpha system and plasma adiponectin concentration in women with gestational diabetes. *Horm Metab Res.* 2005; 37:450–4. [PubMed: 16034719]
161. Richardson AC, Carpenter MW. Inflammatory mediators in gestational diabetes mellitus. *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am.* 2007; 34:213–24. viii. [PubMed: 17572268]
162. Culhane JF, Rauh V, McCollum KF, Elo IT, Vogan V. Exposure to chronic stress and ethnic differences in rates of bacterial vaginosis among pregnant women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2002; 187:1272–6. [PubMed: 12439519]
163. Nansel TR, Riggs MA, Yu KF, Andrews WW, Schwebke JP, Klebanoff MA. The association of psychosocial stress and bacterial vaginosis in a longitudinal cohort. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2006; 194:381–6. [PubMed: 16458633]
164. Ait-Belgnaoui A, Durand H, C C, Chaumaz G, et al. Prevention of gut leakiness by a probiotic treatment leads to attenuated HPA response to an acute psychological stress in rats. *Psychoneuroendocrinology.* 2012; 37:1885–95.

What we know about this topic: The maternal oral, vaginal, and gut microbiome influence the risk of pregnancy outcomes that have profound impacts upon the health of the neonate and infant, including preterm birth, preeclampsia, gestational diabetes, and excessive gestational weight gain. Although incompletely elucidated, there are a number of modifiable factors that shape the composition of the maternal microbiome, including maternal diet, prepregnancy weight and gestational weight gain, and hygiene practices. The maternal microbiome and perinatal factors establish the fetal and infant microbiome.

What needs to be studied: There is a need for research to further elucidate maternal microbiome patterns that protect against and elevate the risk for adverse pregnancy outcomes that impact neonatal and infant health and, thereafter, to identify modifiable factors that influence the composition of the maternal and infant microbiome to support the targeting of health strategies to improve pregnancy outcomes and infant health.

What we can do today: As a strategy for reducing the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes that negatively impact neonatal and infant health, practitioners can further women's attainment of a healthy maternal microbiome before and during pregnancy (via preconception and prenatal care) through the promotion of a healthy diet, attainment of a healthy weight status and weight gain during pregnancy, and oral hygiene (such as regular brushing, flossing, and dental care). In the perinatal period, key target for promoting a healthy infant microbiome include the promotion of breastfeeding and kangaroo care along with the judicious use and appropriate selection of antibiotics.