

Cancer Metastases: Early Dissemination and Late Recurrences

Sten Friberg¹ and Andreas Nyström²

¹Swedish Medical Nanoscience Centre, Department of Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. ²Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden.

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Metastatic cells from a primary tumor can occur before the primary cancer is detected. Metastatic cells can also remain in the patient for many years after removal of the primary tumor without proliferating. These dormant malignant cells can awaken and cause recurrent disease decades after the primary treatment. The purpose of this article is to review the clinical evidence for early dissemination and late recurrences in human malignant tumors. We used the following definitions: dormancy of cells may be defined as a nonproliferating state or an arrest in the cell cycle that results in a prolonged G₀ phase. If one accepts the term “late metastases” to indicate a period exceeding 10 years from the removal of the primary tumor, then the two malignancies in which this occurs most frequently are cutaneous malignant melanoma (CMM) and renal cell carcinoma (RCC).

METHODS: PubMed, Web of Science, and Scopus were searched with the keywords “metastases,” “early dissemination,” “late recurrences,” “inadvertently transmitted cancer,” “tumor growth rate,” “dormancy,” “circulating tumor cells,” and “transplantation of cancer.”

RESULTS: Several case reports of early dissemination and late recurrences of various types of malignancies were found. Analyses of the growth rates of several malignant tumors in the original host indicated that the majority of cancers had metastasized years before they were detected. CMM, RCC, and malignant glioblastoma were the three most common malignancies resulting from an organ transplantation. CMM and RCC were also the two most common malignancies that showed dormancy. In several cases of transplanted CMM and RCC, the donor did not have any known malignancy or had had the malignancy removed so long ago that the donor was regarded as cured.

CONCLUSION: (1) Metastases can frequently exist prior to the detection of the primary tumor. (2) Metastatic cells may reside in organs in the original host that are not usually the site of detectable secondary tumors, for example, the kidneys and heart. (3) Metastatic cells remain dormant for decades after the primary tumor has been removed. (4) Dormancy might be reversible and lead to late recurrences.

KEYWORDS: metastases, early dissemination, late recurrences, inadvertently transmitted cancer, tumor growth rate, dormancy, transplantation of cancer

CITATION: Friberg and Nyström. Cancer Metastases: Early Dissemination and Late Recurrences. *Cancer Growth and Metastasis* 2015;8 43–49 doi:10.4137/CGM.S31244.

TYPE: Short Review

RECEIVED: July 1, 2015. **RESUBMITTED:** September 1, 2015. **ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION:** September 3, 2015.

ACADEMIC EDITOR: Marc D. Basson, Editor in Chief

PEER REVIEW: Seven peer reviewers contributed to the peer review report. Reviewers' reports totaled 1939 words, excluding any confidential comments to the academic editor.

FUNDING: Financial support has been provided by The Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences, Percy Falks Foundation, Swedish Research Council, Carl Bennet AB, Karolinska Institutet, and VINNOVA—Swedish Governmental Agency for Innovation Systems. The authors confirm that the funder had no influence over the study design, content of the article, or selection of this journal.

COMPETING INTERESTS: Authors disclose no potential conflicts of interest.

COPYRIGHT: © the authors, publisher and licensee Libertas Academica Limited. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons CC-BY-NC 3.0 License.

CORRESPONDENCE: andreas.nystrom470@gmail.com

Paper subject to independent expert blind peer review. All editorial decisions made by independent academic editor. Upon submission manuscript was subject to anti-plagiarism scanning. Prior to publication all authors have given signed confirmation of agreement to article publication and compliance with all applicable ethical and legal requirements, including the accuracy of author and contributor information, disclosure of competing interests and funding sources, compliance with ethical requirements relating to human and animal study participants, and compliance with any copyright requirements of third parties. This journal is a member of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

Published by Libertas Academica. Learn more about this journal.

Introduction

Since the days of the German pathologist Rudolf Virchow (1821–1902), it has been assumed that the anatomic progression of a malignant cell population is a stepwise process from the primary tumor to the regional lymph nodes and from the regional nodes to more distant organs. For more than a century, this has been the rationale for removal of the draining lymph nodes as part of the primary treatment.

Converging evidence from studies on human tumor cell kinetics, human disease courses, and genetic analyses of patients indicates that dissemination from a primary tumor might be an early event in the malignant progression. Even small cancers (<5 mm in diameter) can have the capacity to generate multiple metastases 5–10 years before they are detected. This has occurred in most of the common solid malignancies in humans, including cancers of the breast, prostate, lungs, colon, kidney, and malignant melanomas among others.

The early disseminated cells might not grow into overt metastases immediately but can remain quiescent in a dormant

state for long periods. Several publications on “early dissemination but late metastases” exist. Only some of the more recent and comprehensive of these articles are reviewed here.

The cases where organs have been transferred from a donor to a recipient, and a malignant cell population has been inadvertently transmitted, are reviewed in this article. These cases lend support to the concept “early dissemination and late metastases”.^{1–17}

Inadvertently Transplanted Malignant Tumors

History. Inadvertent transmission of a malignant tumor has occasionally occurred concomitant with organ transplantation. The first known recipients were immunosuppressed, and the transplanted tumor was detected shortly after the transplantation when the tumor cells grew in several of the recipients' organs. Therefore, in the early days of transplantation surgery, it was recommended that donors with a history of malignant tumors should not be accepted as organ donors.



However, the demand for organs exceeds the supply, and donors with a history of malignancies could not always be excluded. In 2010, in the United States, there were more than 100,000 patients on the waiting list for an organ, but only some 30,000 organs were available. Donors with a history of a malignancy were, therefore, occasionally accepted provided that the disease-free period was so long that the patient was regarded as cured and his/her organs thus deemed free from metastases. However, clinical evidence proved that the transplanted organ could inadvertently transmit an undetected population of tumor cells even decades after the removal of the primary tumor in the donor.

The first two cases of inadvertently transplanted malignant tumors were published in 1965.^{9,18} A registry for transplanted malignancies was started by the American surgeon Israel Penn: The Israel Penn International Transplantation Tumour Registry (IPITTR) in the 1960s, and its first report appeared in 1971.¹⁹ Similar registries have since been established in Great Britain,²⁰ Australia/New Zealand,²¹ and Scandinavia^{22–25} among others. These registries have been the source of several publications on the transmission of malignancies via transplanted organs, and some of these are summarized in this review.^{26–30}

A 2007 review presented more than 160 cases²⁷ that had occurred out of an estimated 1,000,000 organ transplants (the authors' estimate) over the last 50 years.

Frequency and histopathological types of the most common malignancies of donor origin among patients with a transplanted organ. In 1997, Penn²⁹ published a report of 270 organ donors with a history of a malignancy but were considered free of cancer at the time of transplantation. Among the recipients, 117 (43%) developed malignancies, which were transferred via heart, lungs, liver, and kidneys. In 2013, Xiao et al³⁰ published a report of 91 donors of kidneys with a prior history of malignancy. The frequency of these malignancies was highly similar. The histopathological types of these tumors are shown in Table 1 (left column).

The similarity between the two groups of patients is remarkable, despite the fact that the two groups of donors had highly different medical histories and ethnicity.

All the transplanted cancers became detectable in several of the recipients' organs within a few months after the transmission, and they grew in several of the recipients' organs including the bone marrow, lungs, liver, and lymph nodes.

Table 1. The most frequent types of donor-derived malignant tumors.

HISTOLOGIC TYPE	PENN'S PUBLICATION (%)	XIAO ET AL'S PUBLICATION (%)
RCC	38	21
CMM	18	18
LUNG	10	9
GMB	7	5

The tumor cell populations were all of donor origin as shown by sex chromosomes or other markers.²⁷ For example, if the donor was a female (chromosomes XX) and the recipient a male (chromosomes XY), karyotyping of the tumor cells indicated the origin.

For comparison, the 13 most common types of malignancies in the United States in 2007 are shown in Table 2. The year 2007 has been selected because it is the intermediate between the Penn and Xiao publications.

A comparison between Tables 1 and 2 shows that there is a significant difference between the frequency of inadvertently transplanted malignancies and those occurring in the "normal" population. The most commonly transplanted malignancy is cutaneous malignant myeloma (CMM), which is the ninth most common cancer in the normal population. The second most transplanted cancer is renal cell carcinoma (RCC), which is the tenth most common cancer on the frequency list.

CMM transmitted via transplanted organs. In several of these cases, the donor did not have any known CMM or had had a CMM removed such a long time before organ donation that the donor was regarded as cured. The disease-free interval was 10 years or more, and the donors' organs were considered free of tumor cells. The IPITTR published the first of these cases.^{26,29} Organs from 13 patients who had had a CMM more than 10 years before organ donation were transplanted into 28 recipients. Twenty-one of the recipients (75%) developed generalized CMM, and 13 of them succumbed to CMM. The metastases in the recipients had grown to a diagnostic level by about 10 months after the transplantation (range: 2.5–42 months). In the eight survivors, the transplanted organ was surgically removed, the

Table 2. The 13 most frequent cancers in the US in 2007 out of a total of 930,000 cases (derived from AACR Cancer Facts & Figures, 2007).

ORGAN OF ORIGIN	NUMBER OF CASES (×1000)	% OF TOTAL
Lung	149	16
Colon & rectum	140	15
Female breast	123	13
Prostate	90	10
Malignant lymphomas	44	5
Oral cavity	29.5	3
Pancreas	25.5	3
Leukemias	25	3
Cutaneous malignant melanomas (CMM)	23	2
Kidney (RCC)	20	2
Brain (MGB)	13.8	2
Liver	13.6	2
Thyroid	13.5	2



immunosuppression was discontinued, and the remaining malignant cells were rejected by the recipient (a regular host versus graft rejection).

Two of these cases where CMM was transferred are particularly interesting. In the first case, the donor had no known CMM.³¹ She had died from a cerebral hemorrhage, and her liver, heart, and both kidneys were transferred to four individual recipients. All the four recipients developed generalized CMM within a year, and all of them died from the malignancy. In the second case also, the female donor had no known CMM.³² Her liver, heart, and both kidneys were also transferred to four recipients. The recipients of the kidneys and liver developed and died from generalized CMM, but the recipient of the heart did not. A third case was published by MacKie et al.³³ The donor had undergone surgery for a CMM 16 years prior to transplantation. She had not had a local recurrence or metastasis, and she died from cerebral hemorrhage. Her two kidneys were transplanted to two recipients. Both developed generalized CMM and died from that malignancy. A recent review of transmission of donor CMM by organ transplantation is found in Strauss and Thomas.³⁴

RCC transmitted via transplanted organs. The second most common unintentionally transplanted malignancy is RCC. In these cases, the donor had no known RCC or had had the primary tumor removed such a long time prior to organ donation that he/she was regarded as cured. In the first two cases published, the donors did not have a known RCC at the time of donation and their malignancies were detected later. In the recipients, the tumor cell population had grown to diagnostic size before the primary malignancy was detected in the donors. Thus, the tumor cell population grew faster in the foreign recipient than in the original host. The chronological sequence of the expansion of the tumor cell populations in the donors versus the recipients is remarkable. A summary of inadvertently transplanted RCC was reported in 2004.²⁶ In 70 cases, the donors had suffered from RCC but so long ago that they were regarded as cured. Forty-three of the recipients (61%) developed RCC.

Malignant glioblastoma transmitted via transplanted organs. Malignant glioblastoma (MGB) in adults rarely gives rise to metastases outside the central nervous system (CNS) unless there is a shunt from the brain to the abdomen.³⁵ In cases with an existing shunt, the tumor cells grow in several of the hosts' abdominal organs, indicating that these tumor cells can survive and grow outside the CNS. Without a shunt, extracerebral metastases occur in only 0.5%–2.3% of cases, almost exclusively in patients younger than 18 years of age.³⁶ Because metastases to distant organs were so infrequent with this malignancy, it was considered safe to transplant organs from these patients in the early days of transplantation surgery. However, several of the recipients of these organs developed MGB in numerous peripheral organs, such as the bone marrow, lungs, liver, and lymph nodes, but not in the CNS. As of today, about 20 such cases have been published.^{37–39}

The interval between the transplantation and the detection of the transferred malignancy has been short, only a year or two. In the cases where the donor was examined postmortem, no metastases were detected in the peripheral organs. The existence of metastases outside of the CNS in the donor might indicate that the diagnostic biopsies from the primary MGB provided access to other organs.

The Occurrence of Late Metastases in Cancer Patients

Introduction. In the clinical setting, the majority of metastases from malignant tumors are detected within five years of the initial diagnosis of the primary tumor. However, “late metastases” do occur. In the medical literature, “late” is usually defined as >10 years from the removal of the primary tumor and without evidence of a local recurrence at the site of the primary tumor. To explain this latency, it has been assumed that tumor cells can enter a dormant state.

Definition of dormancy. The term “dormant” was introduced by the Australian pathologist Rupert Willis,⁴⁰ but there is no universally accepted definition of the term “dormancy”. Dormancy of cells may be defined as a nonproliferating state or an arrest in the cell cycle that results in a prolonged G₀ phase. It has also been termed “a temporary mitotic arrest.”⁴¹ Quiescence, which is induced via different mechanisms such as withdrawal of growth factors and loss of adhesion mechanisms, is simply not equivalent to exit from the cell cycle. Quiescence includes suppression of differentiation and, unlike senescence, is reversible. Dormancy is a reversible state and is not to be mistaken for senescence, which is irreversible.⁴² If one accepts the term “late metastases” to indicate a period exceeding 10 years from the removal of the primary tumor, then the two malignancies in which this occurs most frequently are CMM and RCC.

Late metastases from CMM. Pulmonary metastases from CMM usually have a tumor volume doubling time of around 30 days.⁴³ This means that if metastasized tumor cell populations in other locations in the same patient have similar growth rates (which is likely), the time required for the tumor cell population to grow from one cell to “lethal burden” (~10 kg tumor weight in an adult patient) is approximately 45 generations × 30 days = around four years (assuming that the apoptotic rate = 0). In CMM, most metastases appearing more than 10 years after removal of the primary tumor can, therefore, be regarded as reflecting a true state of dormancy. Numerous cases with late metastases from CMM have been published; some of the more extensive of these studies are listed in Table 3.

Late metastases from RCC. RCC is a heterogeneous group of malignant tumors, the growth rates of which are highly variable. Most metastases, however, occur within 10 years after removal of the primary tumor. Approximately 75% of the afflicted patients die from their disease and 25% are “cured” by surgery (the word “cure” here denotes patient survival after five years, which is not a true cure). Late metastases



Table 3. List of nine publications on the frequency of late metastases (usually ≥10 years) from CMM.

REFERENCE	NO OF PATIENTS STUDIED	NO OF PATIENTS WITH LATE METASTASES (% IN BRACKETS) ¹
Crowley & Seigler ⁴⁴	7104	168 (2.4)
Schmid-Wendtler et al ⁴⁵	6298	31 (0.5)
Brauer et al ⁴⁶	4196	70 (2.8)#
Leman & MacKie ⁴⁷	3822	25 (0.7)
Tsao et al ⁴⁸	2766	20 (0.7)##
Hohnheiser et al ⁴⁹	2487	34 (1.4)
Hansel et al ⁵⁰	1881	20 (1.1)
Shaw et al ⁵¹	1283	34 (2.7)
Peters et al ⁵²	1015	36 (3.5)
Total	30852	438 (1.7)

Notes: ¹#: “Late” = 8 years, ##: “Late” = 15 years, “Ultra-late” metastases from CMM (≥20 years) have been reported, including metastases 41,⁵³ 46,⁵⁴ and 54 years.⁵⁵

can occur; McNichols et al⁵⁶ found in their analysis of 506 patients that 78% of the metastases occurred within five years and 11% (18 patients) occurred after more than 10 years.⁵⁶ Of these 18 patients, 15 died of metastatic disease within one month to two years after the metastases were diagnosed, which is indicative of fast-growing tumor cell populations. This growth rate is in contrast to the long latency period in the original host.

Almost 50 case reports of “late” metastases from RCC have been published. “Late” has usually been arbitrarily defined as ≥10 years after radical nephrectomy. Thirteen cases with late metastases and a disease-free survival (DFS) of more than 20 years have been published, with the longest being 31,⁵⁷ 38,⁵⁸ 45,⁵⁹ and 50 years.⁶⁰ Two recent reviews of these cases have been published.^{61,62} In these clinical cases, late metastases are usually attributed to dormant tumor cells. However, some RCCs are very slow growing with tumor volume doubling times of 300 days or more. With such a slow growth rate, it may take decades—not years—for a single cell to reach the

diagnostic level (0.2–1.0 cm in diameter). Thus, some of these late metastases may not depend on dormancy.

When do malignant tumors in humans start to metastasize? In 1997, Friberg and Mattson published a review of the growth rates of human malignant tumors that had been studied radiographically in living patients.⁴³ That review was based on 2,000 patients with more than 6,000 measurements, and several of the more common malignancies in humans were included. By measuring the same tumor (primary and/or secondary) at various time intervals, the growth rate could be estimated. With this information, and extrapolation backward in time, the time for the start of growth of the first metastatic cell could be approximated. Seven publications have tried to answer the question in the title to this paragraph, and they are summarized in Table 4.

The conclusions from these seven publications are converging, and it appears that the majority (>75%) of human malignant tumors are already disseminated when the primary tumor is detected.

Table 4. Summary of seven publications on the estimated time point of the first dissemination of human malignant tumors.

AUTHOR(S)	DIAGNOSIS/NO OF PATIENTS	CONCLUSION
Collins et al ⁶³	Various pulmonary metastases/23	“In 22/23 cases the metastases started to grow before the first symptoms from the primary.”
Breur ⁶⁴	Various pulmonary metastases/86	“In 76/86 cases, metastases originated before the first symptoms from the primary.”
Tubiana et al ⁶⁵	Cancer of the breast. Pulmonary metastases/98	“50% of the metastases started to grow many years before the diagnosis of the primary.”
Von Fournier et al ⁶⁶	Cancer of the breast. Primary/147	“Metastases start their growth many years before the diagnosis of the primary.”
Bauer et al ⁶⁷	Cancer of the breast. Primary/337	“90% of the metastases started to grow when the primary was <6 mm in diameter.”
Rooser et al ⁶⁸	Soft tissue sarcoma/11	“In 10/11 cases, pulmonary metastases were present when the primary was detected.”
Eskelin et al ⁶⁹	Ocular malignant melanoma/37	“Metastases were present 5 years before the primary was detected.”



Discussion

Medical professionals, including biologists and researchers, might have initially been skeptical of these cases of inadvertently transmitted malignant tumors. Most malignant tumors that metastasize do so within five years after the primary tumor has been detected, so this raises the question of how one can explain “dormancy” among tumor cells for decades. One consequence of this skepticism is that some of these “late” recurrences may have been classified as “tumors of unknown primary.” In Australia, where CMM is a common malignancy, cancer of unknown primary is the seventh most common oncologic diagnosis.⁷⁰ The truth may be, in fact, that some of these cases were actually late metastases from a CMM that had been removed decades earlier, and this could be a potential error in mortality registers.

Cases with long tumor-free intervals between the removal of the primary and the detection of the secondary tumors in the original host, and cases where a transplanted organ has transferred a malignant tumor to the foreign recipient, point to the existence of control mechanisms that can block the proliferation of disseminated tumor cells. However, once transferred to another host, this block can be removed and the tumor cells can start to proliferate, sometimes at a higher rate than that in the original host. As described above, the two cases reported by Stephen et al³¹ and Morris-Stiff et al³² are most thought-provoking; neither of the two donors had a known CMM, but seven of the eight recipients of their organs developed generalized CMM and died from the malignancy. The one recipient who did not develop CMM had received the donor's heart.

In the cases where the organ donor had succumbed to MGB, it must have been assumed that there were no disseminated tumor cells in the donor's peripheral organs. However, such cells were present in quite a number of the donor's organs because several of the recipients developed the donor's malignancy regardless of whether the transplanted organ was a heart, a kidney, or a liver. The diagnostic and/or therapeutic efforts against the tumor while it was still within the donor must have opened up the communication between tumor cells and non-CNS organs. Thus, one may ask why such generalized metastases from MGB are so rare. The American neuropathologist EC Alvord⁷¹ offered an answer. MGB is frequently multifocal,^{72,73} and the biopsy is usually taken from the largest of these foci for diagnostic purposes. This focus is later removed as part of the primary therapy. If generalized metastases are shed during the biopsy or the removal of the largest focus, they might not have reached diagnosable size in other organs before the patient succumbed to the remaining foci in the brain. Thus, generalized metastases existed in the patient but remained undetected at the postmortem examination. The donor succumbed to the MGB before the metastatic cells had grown to a diagnosable level.

The two most frequent unintentionally transplanted malignancies—CMM and RCC—pose a paradox. They are two of the most malignant tumors that exist in humans, and both are almost 100% fatal once generalized. Death also comes

quite rapidly, especially in the case of CMM. Paradoxically, however, both of these malignancies can have clones of cells that can remain dormant for decades only to start proliferating again once transferred to a foreign host. Not infrequently, the transplanted tumor cell population grew faster in the recipient than in the original host despite the fact that they are growing against the immune barrier—albeit weak—of the recipient. No matter how careful the selection of the donor has been to match the antigens of the recipient, a transplantation barrier always remains.

The kidneys and heart pose another paradox. Metastases to these two organs are seldom encountered clinically,^{40,74} which is surprising because 25% of the heart's minute volume is filtered through the narrow mesh of the glomeruli. Billions of circulating cancer cells face the fate of being trapped in the kidney, but clinical metastases to the kidney are still very rare events. However, transplanted kidneys show that metastatic tumor cells can not only exist in these organs but also survive there for decades without proliferating. In autopsy studies, however, the picture is different from the clinical one. Here, where patients have died from their widespread malignancies, the kidneys are the fifth most common site of metastases, occurring in up to 20% of the cases.⁷⁵ The heart is another organ considered to be a very rare localization for metastases. However, it turns out that the heart is frequently involved in malignancies (up to 50% in autopsy series). The most common type is—again—CMM.^{76–79} Some of the publications cited above dealing with inadvertently transplanted tumors have been criticized: “based on single observations,” “small numbers,” “selected populations,” “shifting immunosuppression over the years,” “inexact risk estimates,” and so forth. These objections notwithstanding, the cases described above allow some important conclusions to be drawn.

Dormancy is often regarded as an uncommon phenomenon. On the contrary, it is so common that it has been appointed as one of the two prerequisites for life.⁸⁰ Species that have not had the capacity to retreat into dormancy (torpor) whenever faced with unfavorable or dangerous environments (lack of oxygen, lack of nutrients, unfavorable temperature, light, moisture, pH, etc) have been eliminated during evolution. Dormancy has been shown to occur in viruses, bacteria, fungi, plants, amphibians, insects, fishes, reptiles, birds, and mammals. If human malignant tumors follow the same rules that apply to evolutionary processes in other organisms, then a state of dormancy in the tumor cells may be a rescue path from hostile microenvironments.⁸¹ Evidence indicates that dormant, microscopic tumors not only are common in cancer patients but also can exist in otherwise healthy individuals. Due to their small size and noninvasive nature, these dormant tumor cells remain asymptomatic and, in most cases, undetected. However, the dormant state is labile, and a number of factors can trigger proliferation. Several mechanisms that induce or reverse dormancy in malignant tumor cells have been discovered, and these have been explained in many



extensive and excellent reviews.^{82–92} These mechanisms can operate separately or in collaboration, and they can be genetic as well as epigenetic. Not infrequently, the epigenetic factors have been dietary ingredients, such as the curry spice (Curcumin), soybean extract, or vegetables (Broccoli).^{93–96}

Dormant cancer cells share numerous characteristics with cancer stem cells, and the two may represent two sides of the same coin.⁹⁷ They constitute a lifelong threat to the patient's life. The term “cancer without a disease” is appropriate.⁹⁸

The cases with inadvertent transmission of human malignant tumors support the results from experiments with molecular genetics and mathematical models/simulations that point to early dissemination.^{99–102}

The risk of an inadvertently transmitted malignancy from a donor to an organ recipient might seem alarming and has received some attention in the media. However, that risk is overestimated. Today, that risk is actually very small (0.01%–0.05%). The risk of dying during the first year while on the waiting list for a life-saving organ is considerably larger and ranges from 2% for kidney candidates to 17% for lung candidates, according to Desai et al.¹⁰³

Israel Penn,¹² the founder of the first transplantation registry, stated that, “Transplantation surgery has contributed to our knowledge of cancer,” in which we concur.

Conclusion

1. Disseminated tumor cells frequently exist in the original host prior to the detection of the primary tumor.
2. Metastatic cells can reside in organs that are not usually the site of secondary tumors, that is, the kidneys and the heart.
3. Metastatic cells in the original host can remain dormant for decades after the primary tumor has been removed.
4. The mechanisms capable of inducing dormancy may be reversible, leading to late recurrences.

Acknowledgment

Karolinska Institutet is gratefully acknowledged for the generous support of this work.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript: SF. Contributed to the writing of the manuscript, agreed with manuscript results and conclusion, jointly developed the structure and arguments for the paper, and made critical revisions and approved final version: SF and AN. All authors reviewed and approved of the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Castano Z, Tracy K, McAllister SS. The tumor macroenvironment and systemic regulation of breast cancer progression. *Int J Dev Biol*. 2011;55(7–9):889–897.
2. Coghlin C, Murray GI. Current and emerging concepts in tumour metastasis. *J Pathol*. 2010;222(1):1–15.
3. Comen EA. Tracking the seed and tending the soil: evolving concepts in metastatic breast cancer. *Discov Med*. 2012;14(75):97–104.
4. Eccles SA, Welch DR. Metastasis: recent discoveries and novel treatment strategies. *Lancet*. 2007;369(9574):1742–1757.
5. Fehm T, Muller V, Alix-Panabieres C, Pantel K. Micrometastatic spread in breast cancer: detection, molecular characterization and clinical relevance. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2008;10(suppl 1):S1.
6. Holzel D, Eckel R, Emeny RT, Engel J. Distant metastases do not metastasize. *Cancer Metastasis Rev*. 2010;29(4):737–750.
7. Husemann Y, Geigl JB, Schubert F, et al. Systemic spread is an early step in breast cancer. *Cancer Cell*. 2008;13(1):58–68.
8. Klein CA. Parallel progression of primary tumours and metastases. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2009;9(4):302–312.
9. Martin DC, Rubini M, Rosen VJ. Cadaveric renal homotransplantation with inadvertent transplantation of carcinoma. *JAMA*. 1965;192:752–754.
10. Navin N, Kendall J, Troge J, et al. Tumour evolution inferred by single-cell sequencing. *Nature*. 2011;472(7341):90–94.
11. Páez D, Labonte MJ, Bohanes P, et al. Cancer dormancy: a model of early dissemination and late cancer recurrence. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2012;18(3):645–653.
12. Penn I. Some contributions of transplantation to our knowledge of cancer. *Transplant Proc*. 1980;12(4):676–680.
13. Podsypanina K, Du YC, Jechlinger M, Beverly LJ, Hambardzumyan D, Varmus H. Seeding and propagation of untransformed mouse mammary cells in the lung. *Science*. 2008;321(5897):1841–1844.
14. Rocken M. Early tumor dissemination, but late metastasis: insights into tumor dormancy. *J Clin Invest*. 2010;120(6):1800–1803.
15. Steeg PS. Tumor metastasis: mechanistic insights and clinical challenges. *Nat Med*. 2006;12(8):895–904.
16. Valastyan S, Weinberg RA. Tumor metastasis: molecular insights and evolving paradigms. *Cell*. 2011;147(2):275–292.
17. Klein CA. Framework models of tumor dormancy from patient-derived observations. *Curr Opin Genet Dev*. 2011;21(1):42–49.
18. McIntosh DA, McPhaul JJ, Peterson EW, Harvin JS, Smith JR, Humphreys JW Jr. Homotransplantation of a cadaver neoplasm and a renal homograft. *JAMA*. 1965;192:1171–1173.
19. Penn I, Halgrimson CG, Starzl TE. De novo malignant tumors in organ transplant recipients. *Transplant Proc*. 1971;3(1):773–778.
20. Collett D, Mumford L, Banner NR, Neuberger J, Watson C. Comparison of the incidence of malignancy in recipients of different types of organ: a UK registry audit. *Am J Transplant*. 2010;10(8):1889–1896.
21. Vajdic CM, McDonald SP, McCredie MR, et al. Cancer incidence before and after kidney transplantation. *JAMA*. 2006;296(23):2823–2831.
22. Adami J, Gabel H, Lindelöf B, et al. Cancer risk following organ transplantation: a nationwide cohort study in Sweden. *Br J Cancer*. 2003;89(7):1221–1227.
23. Birkeland SA, Storm HH, Lamm LU, et al. Cancer risk after renal transplantation in the Nordic countries, 1964–1986. *Int J Cancer*. 1995;60(2):183–189.
24. Kyllönen L, Salmela K, Pukkala E. Cancer incidence in a kidney-transplanted population. *Transpl Int*. 2000;13(suppl 1):S394–S398.
25. Lindelöf B, Sigurgeirsson B, Gabel H, Stern RS. Incidence of skin cancer in 5356 patients following organ transplantation. *Br J Dermatol*. 2000;143(3):513–519.
26. Buell JF, Beebe TM, Trofe J, et al. Donor transmitted malignancies. *Ann Transplant*. 2004;9(1):53–56.
27. Gandhi MJ, Strong DM. Donor derived malignancy following transplantation: a review. *Cell Tissue Bank*. 2007;8(4):267–286.
28. Kauffman HM, Cherikh WS, McBride MA, Cheng Y, Hanto DW. Post-transplant de novo malignancies in renal transplant recipients: the past and present. *Transpl Int*. 2006;19(8):607–620.
29. Penn I. Transmission of cancer from organ donors. *Ann Transplant*. 1997;2(4):7–12.
30. Xiao D, Craig JC, Chapman JR, Dominguez-Gil B, Tong A, Wong G. Donor cancer transmission in kidney transplantation: a systematic review. *Am J Transplant*. 2013;13(10):2645–2652.
31. Stephens JK, Everson GT, Elliott CL, et al. Fatal transfer of malignant melanoma from multiorgan donor to four allograft recipients. *Transplantation*. 2000;70(1):232–236.
32. Morris-Stiff G, Steel A, Savage P, et al; Welsh Transplantation Research Group. Transmission of donor melanoma to multiple organ transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant*. 2004;4(3):444–446.
33. MacKie RM, Reid R, Junor B. Fatal melanoma transferred in a donated kidney 16 years after melanoma surgery. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;348(6):567–568.
34. Strauss DC, Thomas JM. Transmission of donor melanoma by organ transplantation. *Lancet Oncol*. 2010;11(8):790–796.
35. Schweitzer T, Vince GH, Herbold C, Roosen K, Tonn JC. Extraneural metastases of primary brain tumors. *J Neurooncol*. 2001;53(2):107–114.
36. Smith DR, Hardman JM, Earle KM. Metastasizing neuroectodermal tumors of the central nervous system. *J Neurosurg*. 1969;31(1):50–58.



37. Armanios MY, Grossman SA, Yang SC, et al. Transmission of glioblastoma multiforme following bilateral lung transplantation from an affected donor: case study and review of the literature. *Neuro Oncol.* 2004;6(3):259–263.
38. Jimshelishvili S, Alshareef AT, Papadimitriou K, et al. Extracranial glioblastoma in transplant recipients. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* 2014;140(5):801–807.
39. Zhen L, Yufeng C, Zhenyu S, Lei X. Multiple extracranial metastases from secondary glioblastoma multiforme: a case report and review of the literature. *J Neurooncol.* 2010;97(3):451–457.
40. Willis RA. *The Spread of Tumours in the Human Body.* London: J. & A. Churchill; 1934.
41. Hadfield G. The dormant cancer cell. *Br Med J.* 1954;2(4888):607–610.
42. Blagosklonny MV. Cell cycle arrest is not senescence. *Aging.* 2011;3(2):94–101.
43. Friberg S, Mattson S. On the growth rates of human malignant tumors: implications for medical decision making. *J Surg Oncol.* 1997;65(4):284–297.
44. Crowley NJ, Seigler HF. Late recurrence of malignant melanoma. Analysis of 168 patients. *Ann Surg.* 1990;212(2):173–177.
45. Schmid-Wendtner MH, Baumert J, Schmidt M, et al. Late metastases of cutaneous melanoma: an analysis of 31 patients. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2000;43(4):605–609.
46. Brauer JA, Wriston CC, Troxel AB, et al. Characteristics associated with early and late melanoma metastases. *Cancer.* 2010;116(2):415–423.
47. Leman JA, Mac Kie RM. Late (10 years) recurrence of melanoma: the Scottish experience. *Br J Dermatol.* 2003;148(2):372–373.
48. Tsao H, Cosimi AB, Sober AJ. Ultra-late recurrence (15 years or longer) of cutaneous melanoma. *Cancer.* 1997;79(12):2361–2370.
49. Hohnheiser AM, Gefeller O, Gohl J, Schuler G, Hohenberger W, Merkel S. Malignant melanoma of the skin: long-term follow-up and time to first recurrence. *World J Surg.* 2011;35(3):580–589.
50. Hansel G, Schonlebe J, Haroske G, Wollina U. Late recurrence (10 years or more) of malignant melanoma in south-east Germany (Saxony). A single-centre analysis of 1881 patients with a follow-up of 10 years or more. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* 2010;24(7):833–836.
51. Shaw HM, Beattie CW, McCarthy WH, Milton GW. Late relapse from cutaneous stage I malignant melanoma. *Arch Surg.* 1985;120(10):1155–1159.
52. Peters A, Lippold A, Hundeiker M. [First melanoma metastases after 10 years and more of remission]. *Hautarzt.* 1997;48(5):311–317.
53. Terhorst D, Radke C, Trefzer U. Ultra-late recurrence of malignant melanoma after a disease-free interval of 41 years. *Clin Exp Dermatol.* 2010;35(3):e20–e21.
54. Rosenkranz L, Schroeder C. Recurrent malignant melanoma following a 46-year disease-free interval. *NY State J Med.* 1985;85(3):95.
55. Saleh D, Peach AH. Ultra-late recurrence of malignant melanoma after 40 years of quiescent disease. *J Surg Oncol.* 2011;103(3):290–291.
56. McNichols DW, Segura JW, DeWeerd JH. Renal cell carcinoma: long-term survival and late recurrence. *J Urol.* 1981;126(1):17–23.
57. Kradjian RM, Bennington JL. Renal carcinoma recurrent 31 years after nephrectomy. *Arch Surg.* 1965;90:192–195.
58. Featherstone JM, Bass P, Cumming J, Smart CJ. Solitary, late metastatic recurrence of renal cell carcinoma: two extraordinary cases. *Int J Urol.* 2006;13(12):1525–1527.
59. Tapper H, Klein H, Rubenstein W, Intriери L, Choi Y, Kazam E. Recurrent renal cell carcinoma after 45 years. *Clin Imaging.* 1997;21(4):273–275.
60. Walter CW, Gillespie DR. Metastatic hypernephroma of fifty years' duration. *Minn Med.* 1960;43:123–125.
61. Kim SP, Weight CJ, Leibovich BC, et al. Outcomes and clinicopathologic variables associated with late recurrence after nephrectomy for localized renal cell carcinoma. *Urology.* 2011;78(5):1101–1106.
62. Miyao N, Naito S, Ozono S, et al; Japanese Society of Renal Cancer. Late recurrence of renal cell carcinoma: retrospective and collaborative study of the Japanese Society of Renal Cancer. *Urology.* 2011;77(2):379–384.
63. Collins VP, Loeffler RK, Tivey H. Observations on growth rates of human tumors. *Am J Roentgenol Radium Ther Nucl Med.* 1956;76(5):988–1000.
64. Breur K. Growth rate and radiosensitivity of human tumours. II. Radiosensitivity of human tumours. *Eur J Cancer.* 1966;2(2):173–188.
65. Tubiana M, Chauvel P, Renaud A, Malaise EP. [Growth rate and natural history of breast cancer]. *Bull Cancer.* 1975;62(4):341–358.
66. von Fournier D, Weber E, Hoeffken W, Bauer M, Kubli F, Barth V. Growth rate of 147 mammary carcinomas. *Cancer.* 1980;45(8):2198–2207.
67. Bauer W, Igot JP, Legal Y. The chronology of carcinoma of the breast using a compertz growth-model. *Ann Anat Pathol.* 1980;25(1):39–56.
68. Rooser B, Pettersson H, Alvegard T. Growth rate of pulmonary metastases from soft tissue sarcoma. *Acta Oncol.* 1987;26(3):189–192.
69. Eskelin S, Pyrhonen S, Summanen P, Hahka-Kemppinen M, Kivela T. Tumor doubling times in metastatic malignant melanoma of the uvea: tumor progression before and after treatment. *Ophthalmology.* 2000;107(8):1443–1449.
70. Luke C, Koczwarza B, Karapetis C, et al. Exploring the epidemiological characteristics of cancers of unknown primary site in an Australian population: implications for research and clinical care. *Aust N Z J Public Health.* 2008;32(4):383–389.
71. Alvord EC Jr. Why do gliomas not metastasize. *Arch Neurol.* 1976;33(2):73–75.
72. Showalter TN, Andrel J, Andrews DW, Curran WJ Jr, Daskalakis C, Werner-Wasik M. Multifocal glioblastoma multiforme: prognostic factors and patterns of progression. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2007;69(3):820–824.
73. Thomas RP, Xu LW, Lober RM, Li G, Nagpal S. The incidence and significance of multiple lesions in glioblastoma. *J Neurooncol.* 2013;112(1):91–97.
74. Bracken RB, Chica G, Johnson DE, Luna M. Secondary renal neoplasms: an autopsy study. *South Med J.* 1979;72(7):806–807.
75. Klinger ME. Secondary tumors of the genito-urinary tract. *J Urol.* 1951;65(1):144–153.
76. Allen BC, Mohammed TL, Tan CD, Miller DV, Williamson EE, Kirsch JS. Metastatic melanoma to the heart. *Curr Probl Diagn Radiol.* 2012;41(5):159–164.
77. Bussani R, De-Giorgio F, Abbate A, Silvestri F. Cardiac metastases. *J Clin Pathol.* 2007;60(1):27–34.
78. Glancy DL, Roberts WC. The heart in malignant melanoma. A study of 70 autopsy cases. *Am J Cardiol.* 1968;21(4):555–571.
79. Villa A, Eshja E, Dallavalle S, Bassi EM, Turco A. Cardiac metastases of melanoma as first manifestation of the disease. *J Radiol Case Rep.* 2014;8(4):8–15.
80. Sneath PH. Some considerations of the theoretical limits for living organisms. *Life Sci Space Res.* 1975;13:75–82.
81. Merlo LM, Pepper JW, Reid BJ, Maley CC. Cancer as an evolutionary and ecological process. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2006;6(12):924–935.
82. Aguirre-Ghiso JA. Models, mechanisms and clinical evidence for cancer dormancy. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2007;7(11):834–846.
83. Almog N. Molecular mechanisms underlying tumor dormancy. *Cancer Lett.* 2010;294(2):139–146.
84. Naumov GN, Folkman J, Straume O, Akslen LA. Tumor-vascular interactions and tumor dormancy. *APMIS.* 2008;116(7–8):569–585.
85. Peeters CF, de Waal RM, Wobbes T, Ruers TJ. Metastatic dormancy imposed by the primary tumor: does it exist in humans? *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2008;15(11):3308–3315.
86. Quesnel B. Cancer vaccines and tumor dormancy: a long-term struggle between host antitumor immunity and persistent cancer cells? *Expert Rev Vaccines.* 2006;5(6):773–781.
87. Sleeman JP, Nazarenko I, Thiele W. Do all roads lead to Rome? Routes to metastasis development. *Int J Cancer.* 2011;128(11):2511–2526.
88. Townson JL, Chambers AF. Dormancy of solitary metastatic cells. *Cell Cycle.* 2006;5(16):1744–1750.
89. Udagawa T. Tumor dormancy of primary and secondary cancers. *APMIS.* 2008;116(7–8):615–628.
90. Uhr JW, Pantel K. Controversies in clinical cancer dormancy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2011;108(30):12396–12400.
91. Weinberg RA. The many faces of tumor dormancy. *APMIS.* 2008;116(7–8):548–551.
92. Wikman H, Vessella R, Pantel K. Cancer micrometastasis and tumour dormancy. *APMIS.* 2008;116(7–8):754–770.
93. Chambers AF. Influence of diet on metastasis and tumor dormancy. *Clin Exp Metastasis.* 2009;26(1):61–66.
94. Hardy TM, Tollefsbol TO. Epigenetic diet: impact on the epigenome and cancer. *Epigenomics.* 2011;3(4):503–518.
95. Rodriguez-Casado A. The health potential of fruits and vegetables phytochemicals: notable examples. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* 2014;16:0.
96. Taby R, Issa JP. Cancer epigenetics. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2010;60(6):376–392.
97. Kleffel S, Schatton T. Tumor dormancy and cancer stem cells: two sides of the same coin? *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 2013;734:145–179.
98. Folkman J, Kalluri R. Cancer without disease. *Nature.* 2004;427(6977):787.
99. Weedon-Fekjaer H, Romundstad PR, Vatten LJ. Modern mammography screening and breast cancer mortality: population study. *BMJ.* 2014;348:g3701.
100. Hanin L, Bunimovich-Mendrazitsky S. Reconstruction of the natural history of metastatic cancer and assessment of the effects of surgery: gompertzian growth of the primary tumor. *Math Biosci.* 2014;247:47–58.
101. Hartung N, Mollard S, Barbolosi D, et al. Mathematical modeling of tumor growth and metastatic spreading: validation in tumor-bearing mice. *Cancer Res.* 2014;74(22):6397–6407.
102. Enderling H, Chaplain MA. Mathematical modeling of tumor growth and treatment. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2014;20(30):4934–4940.
103. Desai R, Collett D, Watson CJ, Johnson P, Evans T, Neuberger J. Estimated risk of cancer transmission from organ donor to graft recipient in a national transplantation registry. *Br J Surg.* 2014;101(7):768–774.