

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Nat Cell Biol.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 May 18.

Published in final edited form as:

Nat Cell Biol. 2015 December; 17(12): 1546–1555. doi:10.1038/ncb3266.

IRE1a is an endogenous substrate of endoplasmic reticulumassociated degradation

Shengyi Sun^{1,17}, Guojun Shi^{2,3,17}, Haibo Sha², Yewei Ji², Xuemei Han⁴, Xin Shu², Hongming Ma⁵, Takamasa Inoue⁶, Beixue Gao⁷, Hana Kim², Pengcheng Bu⁸, Robert D. Guber², Xiling Shen⁸, Ann-Hwee Lee⁹, Takao Iwawaki¹⁰, Adrienne W. Paton¹¹, James C. Paton¹¹, Deyu Fang⁷, Billy Tsai⁶, John R. Yates III⁴, Haoquan Wu⁵, Sander Kersten¹², Qiaoming Long^{13,18}, Gerald E. Duhamel¹⁴, Kenneth W. Simpson¹⁵, and Ling Qi^{1,2,16,18}

¹Graduate Program in Biochemistry, Molecular and Cell Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853 ²Division of Nutritional Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853 ³Shanghai Institute of Endocrine and Metabolic Diseases, Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200025, China ⁴Department of Chemical Physiology, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037 ⁵Department of Biomedical Sciences, Paul L. Foster School of Medicine, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, El Paso, TX 79905 ⁶Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI 48105 ⁷Department of Pathology, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL 60611 ⁸Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, and Department of Biomedical Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853 ⁹Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY 10021, USA ¹⁰Education and Research Support Center, Gunma University Graduate School of Medicine, 3-39-22 Showa-machi, Maebashi, Gunma 371-8511, Japan ¹¹Research Centre for Infectious Diseases, School of Molecular and Biomedical Science, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia ¹²Nutrition Metabolism and Genomics group, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands ¹³Laboratory Animal Research Center, Medical College of Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, Jiangsu, China ¹⁴Department of Biomedical Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853 ¹⁵Department of Clinical Science, College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY

MICROARRAY DATASET ACCESSION NUMBER: GSE70563.

Users may view, print, copy, and download text and data-mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use:http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms

 $^{^{18}}$ Correspondence should be addressed to: ; Email: lq35@cornell.edu (L. Qi); ; Email: qmlong@suda.edu.cn (Q. Long) 17 These authors contribute equally

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

S.S. designed and performed most in vivo experiments; G.S. designed and performed most in vitro experiments; X.H. and J.R.Y. performed the proteomic analysis; S.S., P.B. and X.S. performed the organoid culture; Y.J. assisted some in vivo experiments; H.S. generated various enterocyte cell lines; H.S., S.S. and X.S. performed some in vitro analyses; H.K. and R.D.G. repeated some in vitro experiments; B.G. and D.F. generated inducible Hrd1 knockout mice; H.M. and H.W. generated SEL1L-knockout HEK293T cells; T.Inoue and B.T. performed limited proteolysis analysis; T.I., A.L., A.W.P., J.C.P. and Q.L. provided key reagents; S.K. performed microarray analyses; G.E.D. performed histological examination of the tissue; K.W.S. performed FISH and designed the experiments; L.Q. and S.S. wrote the manuscript, S.S. and G.S. wrote the methods and figure legends, and everybody edited and approved the manuscript.

14853 ¹⁶Department of Immunology, School of Medicine, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212013, China

Abstract

Endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-associated degradation (ERAD) represents a principle quality control mechanism to clear misfolded proteins in the ER; however its physiological significance and the nature of endogenous ERAD substrates remain largely unexplored. Here we discover that IRE1 α , the sensor of unfolded protein response (UPR), is a bona fide substrate of the Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD complex. ERAD-mediated IRE1 α degradation occurs under basal conditions in a BiP-dependent manner, requires both intramembrane hydrophilic residues of IRE1 α and lectin protein OS9, and is attenuated by ER stress. ERAD deficiency causes IRE1 α protein stabilization, accumulation and mild activation both in vitro and in vivo. Although enterocyte-specific Sel1L-knockout mice (*Sel1L IEC*) are viable and appear normal, they are highly susceptible to experimental colitis and inflammation-associated dysbiosis, in an IRE1 α -dependent but CHOP-independent manner. Hence, Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD serves a distinct, essential function in restraint of IRE1 α signaling in vivo by managing its protein turnover.

Keywords

ER; Sel1L; Hrd1; ERAD; UPR; intestinal epithelium; inflammation; colitis

INTRODUCTION

The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is the principle site for the folding and quality-control (QC) of proteins destined for the secretory pathway. Both physiological and pathological processes affect the workload in the ER. The imbalance of ER homeostasis often results in the aggregation of misfolded proteins in different cellular compartments, which are hallmarks of human diseases including cystic fibrosis, α 1-antitrypsin deficiency and aging. Misfolded proteins in the ER are mainly recognized and targeted for proteasomal degradation by the ER-associated degradation (ERAD) machinery^{1,2}. Identification of artificial proteins and disease-related mutant proteins as ERAD substrates has brought important insights into ERAD biology and the pathogenesis of ERAD-associated diseases, respectively¹. However, our understanding of the importance of ERAD function under physiological settings is very limited because of the lack of animal models and physiologically relevant endogenous substrates.

Among several known mammalian ERAD complexes, the Sel1L-Hrd1 complex forms a principle ERAD machinery, responsible for the recognition and retrotranslocation of a subset of misfolded proteins in the ER for cytosolic proteasomal degradation^{3_9}. Using inducible and adipocyte-specific Sel1L-deficient mouse and cell models, we recently provided in vivo evidence demonstrating that Sel1L is a key component of mammalian Hrd1 ERAD machinery and that Sel1L-Hrd1 complex plays a critical role in the maintenance of ER homeostasis^{10,11}. Loss of Sel1L leads to a profound reduction of Hrd1 protein level with a concomitant accumulation of a lectin protein OS9, pointing to an indispensable role of

Sel1L in Hrd1 function^{10,11}. Intriguingly, loss of Sel1L in the pancreatic acinar cells causes exocrine pancreatic insufficiency associated with significant cell atrophy¹⁰. By contrast, Sel1L deficiency in adipocytes has no obvious effect on cell death, but rather causes intracellular retention of lipoprotein lipase in the ER, leading to postprandial hypertriglyceridemia¹¹. These intriguing findings point to a cell type-specific effect of Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD as well as the significance of specific substrates in mediating the ERAD effect in vivo.

Accumulation of misfolded proteins in the ER activates unfolded protein response (UPR). IRE1 α , the most conserved UPR sensor, is a type-1 transmembrane ER-resident protein with bifunctional cytosolic kinase and RNase domains. IRE1 α is associated with the ER chaperone BiP (or GRP78) under basal conditions¹². Upon sensing of misfolded proteins in the ER, IRE1 α dissociates from BiP, undergoes dimer-/oligomerization and transautophosphorylation via its kinase domain, which activates its RNase activity towards *Xbp1* mRNA and leads to the generation of an active transcription factor XBP1s^{13_15}. XBP1s transcriptionally regulates the expression of many ER chaperone, lipogenic and ERAD genes¹⁶. Genetic studies have revealed that XBP1 is a risk factor for inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)¹⁷. In intestinal epithelium-specific *Xbp1*-deficient mice, IRE1 α protein is hyperactivated, which promotes the development of IBD in part via the activation of JNK^{17,18}.

Here we report the discovery of IRE1a as a bona fide endogenous substrate of the Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD complex both in vitro and in vivo, and elucidate underlying molecular mechanisms under both basal and stress conditions. Using intestinal epithelial-specific *Sel1L*-deficient mice, we further demonstrate the pathological significance of ERADmediated IRE1a degradation in experimental colitis. Our data illustrates how the actions of ER QC systems, ERAD and UPR, are coordinated to cultivate a dynamic nature of ER homeostasis.

RESULTS

IRE1a is an endogenous ERAD substrate in vitro

Acute loss of Sel1L in *Sel1Lf/f;ERCre+* mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) treated with tamoxifen analog 4-OHT (*Sel1L^{IKO}*) leads to ERAD defect¹⁰ with dilated ER, when compared to that of *Sel1Lf/f;ERCre+* MEFs treated with vehicle (WT) (Fig. 1a). In a search for endogenous Sel1L-Hrd1 substrates in the ER, we performed quantitative LC-MS/MS analysis of purified microsomal/ER fractions from *Sel1L^{IKO}* and WT MEFs (Supplementary Fig. 1a), which identified a total of 3,220 proteins (Supplementary Table 1). Among many proteins overrepresented in *Sel1L^{IKO}* MEFs were the lectin protein OS9, a known substrate of Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD^{10,11}, and surprisingly, the UPR sensor IRE1 α (Supplementary Fig. 1b). Western blot analysis of total cell lysates confirmed the accumulation of OS9 and IRE1 α and OS9 were not due to increased transcription as the abundance of *Ire1a* and *Os9* mRNA was actually reduced in *Sel1L^{IKO}* MEFs (Supplementary Fig. 1d). Moreover, IRE1 α protein level, but not PERK, was negatively correlated with the levels of Sel1L and Hrd1 (Fig. 1b–c). Excluding a non-specific drug effect, 4-OHT treatment had no effect on IRE1 α

protein level in *f/f;ERCre*–MEFs (Fig. 1d). Pointing to a role of Hrd1 in IRE1a protein turnover, IRE1a protein was stabilized and accumulated in Hrd1-deficient MEFs (Fig. 1e–f and Supplementary Fig. 1e).

To determine whether IRE1a ERAD is conserved in humans, we employed the CRISPR/ Cas9-mediated knockout of *SEL1L* in human HEK293T cells. Endogenous IRE1a and OS9 proteins were greatly stabilized in *SEL1L*-deficient HEK293T cells, which was not seen for the cytosolic protein BAG6 (Fig. 1g). In both *Hrd1*–/– MEFs and *SEL1L*–/– HEK293T cells, IRE1a protein accumulation was independent of transcriptional upregulation (Supplementary Fig. 1f–g). Moreover, IRE1a protein synthesis rate was comparable between WT and *SEL1L*–/– HEK293T cells as demonstrated by the³⁵S-labelled methionine/cysteine incorporation assay (Supplementary Fig. 1h). Thus, IRE1a is a substrate of SEL1L-HRD1 ERAD, conserved from mice to humans.

Substrate recognition by Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD

ERAD proceeds through four tightly coupled steps, substrate selection, retrotranslocation to the cytosol, ubiquitination conjugation, and proteasomal degradation². Hrd1 interacted with IRE1 α and prompted IRE1 α polyubiquitination via its catalytic RING finger domain in transfected HEK293T cells (Fig. 2a). Sample denaturation prior to IRE1 α immunoprecipitation confirmed ubiquitination directly on IRE1 α protein (Supplementary Fig. 2a). Consistent with the idea that disruption of the RING finger motif of Hrd1 prolongs the interaction with its substrate¹⁹, IRE1 α interacted much stronger with the catalytic inactive Hrd1 compared to WT Hrd1 (Fig. 2a). Overexpression of Hrd1 partially reversed IRE1 α accumulation in *Sel1L^{IKO}* MEFs (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. 2b), suggesting that both Sel1L and Hrd1 are indispensable for IRE1 α degradation.

We next addressed how Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD complex identifies IRE1 α as a potential ERAD substrate. OS9, a lectin protein, is known to recruit misfolded substrates, both glycosylated and non-glycosylated, to the Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD complex^{20,21}. OS9 interacted strongly with IRE1 α (Supplementary Fig. 2c). Deletion of OS9 increased IRE1 α protein level and its stability (Fig. 2c–e and Supplementary Fig. 2d–e).

Inspired by the elegant work in yeast²², we explored the role of intramembrane hydrophilic residues of IRE1 α in its degradation. The transmembrane domain of IRE1 α contains three hydrophilic amino acids (T446, S450, T451), highly conserved from humans to fish (Fig. 2f). Mutating them to alanine (T446A, S450A and T451A, in short T3A) led to IRE1 α protein accumulation and stabilization (Fig. 2g–i). Indeed, the T3A mutation reduced IRE1 α ubiquitination and attenuated its interaction with Hrd1 (Fig. 2j), without affecting protein intracellular distribution or stress responsiveness (Supplementary Fig. 2f–g). Thus, both OS9 and intramembrane hydrophilic residues of IRE1 α may operate in parallel in ERAD-mediated IRE1 α degradation.

The role of ER stress in IRE1a degradation

MG132 treatment significantly increased IRE1 α ubiquitination (Fig. 3a), pointing to the involvement of proteasome in IRE1 α degradation. Intriguingly, IRE1 α ubiquitination was reduced when challenged with pharmacological ER stressor thapsigargin (Fig. 3a and

Supplementary Fig. 2h). The effect of ER stress on IRE1a ubiquitination was persistent even in the presence of MG132 (Fig. 3a), suggesting that ER stress affects IRE1a degradation upstream of protein ubiquitination. Moreover, IRE1a interaction with Hrd1 and endogenous Sel1L was attenuated in response to ER stress (Fig. 3b–c). IRE1a protein increased by nearly 5-fold during ER stress in WT cells whereas only 1.5 fold in *Sel1L^{IKO}* MEFs (Supplementary Fig. 2i), pointing to the contribution of IRE1a protein stabilization to its accumulation during ER stress. Indeed, IRE1a protein was dramatically stabilized under ER stress (Fig. 3d). Hence, ER stress attenuates Sel1L-Hrd1-mediated IRE1a ubiquitination and degradation.

ER stress is triggered by the accumulation of misfolded proteins in the ER, and is known to initiate dimer-/oligomerization of IRE1 α . To test whether misfolded proteins may compete with IRE1 α for ERAD, we overexpressed a model ERAD substrate α 1-antitrypsin variant null^{HongKong} (NHK) in HEK293T cells. Indeed, model substrates interacted strongly with endogenous SEL1L and significantly attenuated the interaction between IRE1 α and SEL1L (Fig. 3e). Moreover, ER stress induced dimer-/oligomerization of IRE1 α protein as revealed by sucrose density gradient ultracentrifugation followed by non-reducing SDS-PAGE analyses (Fig. 3f) and was associated with increased resistance to trypsin digestion (Fig. 3g), pointing to a conformational change of IRE1 α during ER stress. Thus, ER stress increases the level of ERAD substrates in the ER and triggers conformational change of IRE1 α , which may attenuate the interaction between IRE1 α and the ERAD complex.

The role of Bip in IRE1a degradation

BiP dissociates from IRE1 α during ER stress (Fig. 4a)¹². Given the role of BiP in protein folding and degradation²³, we next explored its role in IRE1 α degradation. Cleavage of BiP with the subtilase cytotoxin SubAB²⁴ abolished the interaction between IRE1 α and Sel1L (Fig. 4b), reduced IRE1 α ubiquitination (Supplementary Fig. 2j) and importantly, significantly stabilized IRE1 α (Fig. 4c). Conversely, ectopic expression of BiP attenuated the accumulation of IRE1 α protein during ER stress (Fig. 4d), without affecting its mRNA level (Supplementary Fig. 2k). The effect of BiP overexpression on IRE1 α protein level was seen only under ER stress condition (Fig. 4d), consistent with the notion that BiP becomes limited and dissociates from IRE1 α during ER stress¹².

We next investigated BiP association and ERAD degradation of a dimerization-incompetent IRE1 α mutant^{25,26}. Mutation of IRE1 α at the residue Asp123 to Pro (D123P) rendered IRE1 α largely irresponsive to ER stress as demonstrated by the lack of ER stress-induced IRE1 α phosphorylation (Fig. 4e). Unlike WT protein, D123P IRE1 α interacted constitutively with endogenous BiP (Fig. 4e) and failed to be stabilized under stress conditions (Fig. 4f). Hence, we conclude that BiP plays a key role in targeting IRE1 α for ERAD degradation under basal conditions and that ER stress triggers BiP-IRE1 α dissociation from the ERAD complex and protein stabilization.

IRE1a is an endogenous ERAD substrate in vivo

As dysregulated IRE1 α signaling in intestinal epithelium has been implicated in the pathogenesis of IBD^{17,18}, we generated intestinal epithelial cell (IEC)-specific Sel1L-deficient mice (*Sel1L ^{IEC}*). *Sel1L ^{IEC}* and *Sel1L^{flox/flox}* (WT) control littermates were born at the expected Mendelian ratios (Supplementary Fig. 3a) and grew at comparable rates for both females and males (Fig. 5a). Both Sel1L and Hrd1 protein levels was significantly reduced in the gut of *Sel1L ^{IEC}* mice (Fig. 5b–c). These mice were deficient for epithelial ERAD function as demonstrated by the accumulation of OS9 protein (Fig. 5b–c).

IRE1 α protein level was elevated by over 15-fold in the colonic epithelium of *Sel1L* ^{*IEC*} mice (Fig. 5b–c), while its mRNA level was not altered (Fig. 5d). The accumulation of both IRE1 α and OS9 proteins in the colonic epithelium was confirmed by immunohistochemistry (Fig. 5e and Supplementary Fig. 3b). To argue against developmental defects as a possible cause for IRE1 α accumulation in *Sel1L* ^{*IEC*} mice, acute loss of either Sel1L or Hrd1 caused a significant accumulation of IRE1 α protein without affecting its mRNA level (Fig. 5f–i). Further supporting the notion that epithelial IRE1 α is an ERAD substrate, IRE1 α protein was highly stabilized in primary intestinal crypt organoids from *Sel1L* ^{*IEC*} mice (Fig. 5j–k). By contrast, ERAD deficiency had no effect on the protein or mRNA levels of IRE1 α homolog IRE1 β (Supplementary Fig. 3c), despite a ~50% identity in amino acid sequences. Of note, three intramembrane hydrophilic residues were not conserved between IRE1 α and IRE1 β proteins (Supplementary Fig. 3d).

We next tested whether Sel1L-Hrd1-mediated IRE1 α degradation is a general phenomenon. IRE1 α protein level was highly elevated in the pancreas of *Sel1L^{IKO}* mice at day 4 and 8 post-tamoxifen injection¹⁰ (Supplementary Fig. 3e) and white adipose tissue of adipocyte-specific *Sel1L*-deficient (AKO or *Sel1L adipo*) mice¹¹ (Supplementary Fig. 3f). *Ire1a* mRNA level was not affected by *Sel1L* deficiency (Supplementary Fig. 3g and not shown). IRE1 α protein was significantly stabilized in *Sel1L adipo* primary adipocytes (Fig. 51). Taken together, our data demonstrate that IRE1 α is a bona fide endogenous ERAD substrate in vivo.

A regulatory circuit of IRE1a and Sel1L-Hrd1

In both yeast and mammalian cells, IRE1 α signaling pathway regulates the expression of ERAD components¹⁵. We next performed both gain- and loss-of-function studies to determine whether this is true in enterocytes. Overexpression of XBP1s in small intestinal crypt m-IC_{cl2} enterocytes increased the expression of *Sel1L*, *Hrd1* and *Os9* (Supplementary Fig. 4a). Conversely, knockout of IRE1 α or XBP1 in m-IC_{cl2} enterocytes attenuated the expression of ERAD components including *Sel1L*, *Hrd1* and *Os9* (Supplementary Fig. 4b– c). Thus, our data establish a negative regulatory circuit consisting of IRE1 α -XBP1 and the Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD in enterocytes (Supplementary Fig. 4d).

Restraint of IRE1a signaling by ERAD

In *Sel1L* ^{*IEC*} enterocytes, IRE1 α was hyperphosphorylated and activated as measured by mobility shift of phosphorylated IRE1 α protein^{27_29} and *Xbp1* mRNA splicing (Fig. 6a–c). Similar observations were obtained in other models with acute or chronic Sel1L deficiency,

including *Sel1L^{IKO}* MEFs (Fig. 6d–e), pancreas (Supplementary Fig. 3e), ileum (Supplementary Fig. 4e) and *Sel1L adipo* adipose tissue (Supplementary Fig. 4f–g). Without Sel1L, accumulated IRE1 α proteins, including phosphorylated IRE1 α , did not form NP-40 insoluble aggregates in the epithelium (Fig. 6f) and were highly responsive to ER stress (Fig. 6g–h). Consistently, *Xbp1s* mRNA level was much higher in *Sel1L*-deficient adipocytes when treated with thapsigargin (Fig. 6i). Moreover, crypt organoids isolated from *Sel1L IEC* mice exhibited elevated JNK phosphorylation in response to TNF α stimulation (Fig. 6j). Similarly, knockdown of Sel1L in enterocytes elevated TNF α -induced JNK phosphorylation (Supplementary Fig. 4h–j) and *Tnfa* expression (Fig. 6k). Therefore, Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD restrains IRE1 α signaling and attenuates IRE1 α -associated inflammation, at least in part, via ERAD-mediated IRE1 α degradation.

Sensitivity to experimental colitis

To our surprise, the length and gross morphology of the colon of *Sel1L* ^{*IEC*} mice appeared normal, indistinguishable from those of WT littermates under basal conditions (Fig. 7a and Supplementary Fig. 5a). There was no increase of cell death or signs of spontaneous colitis in the colonic epithelium of *Sel1L* ^{*IEC*} mice (Fig. 7a–b). Genome-wide microarray analysis of colonic epithelium revealed that inflammation and cell death pathways were not upregulated in *Sel1L* ^{*IEC*} mice under basal conditions (Supplementary Table 2). In keeping with elevated IRE1 α signaling (Fig. 6), top upregulated pathways identified by the microarray were the "protein processing in the ER", "UPR" and "activation of ER chaperones by IRE1 α " (Supplementary Fig. 5b). Genes involved in UPR and ERAD such as *Derlin 3, Pdia6, Trib3*, and *BiP* were among the top genes induced in the epithelium of *Sel1L* ^{*IEC*} mice when compared to WT littermates (Supplementary Fig. 5c and Table 3).

Treatment with dextran sodium sulfate (DSS) induces epithelial TNFα signaling and triggers epithelial injury and colitis, a widely used model to study disease pathogenesis of IBD³⁰. Indeed, colonic epithelia of *Sel1L* ^{*IEC*} mice exhibited a significant increase of cell death following DSS challenge (Fig. 7c–d and Supplementary Fig. 5d). *Sel1L* ^{*IEC*} mice developed more severe clinical signs of mucosal inflammation and colitis, including body weight loss (Fig. 7e), gross rectal bleeding and diarrhea (Supplementary Fig. 5e). The majority of *Sel1L* ^{*IEC*} mice (~90%) succumbed to DSS treatment by day 12 (Fig. 7f). Colon shortening, a typical clinical feature of colonic inflammation, was more pronounced in *Sel1L* ^{*IEC*} mice (Fig. 7g). Histological examination of the distal colon of *Sel1L* ^{*IEC*} mice revealed a near complete loss of epithelium and crypts, increased colonic mucosal damage/erosion, massive immune cell infiltration and lymphoid foci formation, and edema (Fig. 7h–i). The difference in colitis sensitivity was not due to variable DSS intake (Supplementary Fig. 5f).

DSS-induced colitis and lethality were prevented by co-administration of broad-spectrum antibiotics in the drinking water (Fig. 7f). FISH analysis of *Eubacteria* demonstrated more severe bacterial invasion of the colonic mucosa of DSS-treated *Sel1L* ^{IEC} mice. Patchy bacterial invasion into the epithelium, thick mats of superficial invasive bacteria associated with diffuse ulceration and intraluminal bacterial proliferation in epithelial cell debris were commonly observed in the *Sel1L* ^{IEC} samples (Supplementary Fig. 5g). Interestingly, although not commonly present in the colon under basal conditions, invasive *E. coli* were

observed on and within colonic mucosa of *Sel1L* ^{*IEC*} mice (panel 1, Fig. 7j), or associated with dead cells sloughed off the epithelium (panel 2, Fig. 7j). Adherent and invasive *E. coli* has been previously associated with pathogenesis of human Crohn's disease and murine ileitis³¹. Gut microbial composition was expectedly very similar in co-housed WT and *Sel1L* ^{*IEC*} mice under basal conditions (Supplementary Fig. 5h), with Enterobacteriaceae including the Family of *E. coli* barely detectable in the feces of both cohorts (not shown). Thus, intestinal inflammation may drive *E. coli*-dominated dysbiosis and bacterial invasion as previously reported³².

In keeping with increased invasive and adherent bacteria in the colonic epithelium of DSStreated *Sel1L* ^{*IEC*} mice, activated CD8⁺ T cells were elevated in the spleen and mesenteric lymph nodes (Supplementary Fig. 5i). Inflammatory cytokines that have been linked to endotoxemia and sepsis³³, including macrophage inflammatory protein 2 (MIP2), granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) and platelet-derived growth factor β polypeptide (PDGF-BB), were significantly increased in the circulation of DSS-treated *Sel1L* ^{*IEC*} mice (Supplementary Fig. 5j). Similar trend was observed for IL-1 β , TNF α and IL-6, albeit not statistically significant. These data point to a severe systemic proinflammatory response in DSS-treated *Sel1L* ^{*IEC*} mice. In the aggregate, Sel1L is dispensable for the gross morphology of the colon, but its deficiency sensitizes the animal to experimental colitis and inflammation-induced dysbiosis.

Pathological significance of IRE1a degradation

To determine whether IRE1 α accumulation modulates the pathogenesis of experimental colitis, we generated *Sel1L* ^{IEC} mice with only one copy of epithelial *Ire1a* gene (*Sel1L* ^{IEC}; *Ire1a*+/–) (Supplementary Fig. 6a–b) and subjected them, together with three other control cohorts, to DSS-induced colitis. *Sel1L* ^{IEC}; *Ire1a*+/– mice were less sensitive to DSS-induced colitis, with ameliorated body weight loss and colon shortening when compared to that of DSS-treated *Sel1L* ^{IEC} mice (Fig. 8a–b). Histological assessment revealed attenuated colonic damage in DSS-treated *Sel1L* ^{IEC}; *Ire1a*+/– mice compared to that of *Sel1L* ^{IEC} mice (Fig. 8c). IRE1 α protein level in the epithelium of *Sel1L* ^{IEC}; *Ire1a*+/– mice was 6–8 fold less than that of *Sel1L* ^{IEC} mice, but remained 3-fold more than that of WT mice (Fig. 8d). BiP levels, both mRNA and protein, were not affected by IRE1 α heterozygosity in *Sel1L* ^{IEC} mice (Fig. 8d–e). Moreover, treatment with a JNK-specific inhibitor SP600125 attenuated inflammation and ameliorated DSS sensitivity of *Sel1L* ^{IEC} mice (Supplementary Fig. 6c–f).

Both protein and mRNA levels of PERK were elevated in the gut epithelium of *Sel1L* ^{IEC} mice (Supplementary Fig. 7a–b), independently of IRE1α protein levels (Supplementary Fig. 7c), and so was its downstream target CHOP (Fig. 8f and Supplementary Fig. 7d). To determine the role of the PERK-CHOP axis in disease pathogenesis, we generated *Sel1L* ^{IEC} mice on the *Chop*–/– background (*Sel1L* ^{IEC}; *Chop*–/–) (Supplementary Fig. 7e). Loss of *Chop* in *Sel1L* ^{IEC} mice had no effect on IRE1α protein level and its phosphorylation (Supplementary Fig. 7f–h). To our surprise, we found no evidence for a possible role of *Chop* in hypersensitivity to experimental colitis of *Sel1L* ^{IEC} mice, in terms of body weight, colon length and lesions (Fig. 8g–i). Hence, IRE1α accumulation, but not

CHOP, contributes directly to inflammation and the pathogenesis of experimental colitis in *Sel1L* IEC mice.

Lack of haploinsufficiency of Sel1L in vivo

Lastly, we generated *Sel1L* $^{IEC/+}$ (HET) mice as littermates to WT and *Sel1L* IEC mice (Supplementary Fig. 8a–b). *Sel1L* $^{IEC/+}$ mice were indistinguishable from their WT littermates, in terms of IRE1 α protein level, ER stress, and sensitivity to experimental colitis (Supplementary Fig. 8c–h). As *Sel1L* $^{IEC/+}$ mice express the Cre recombinase, these data excluded a possible effect of the Cre recombinase in the disease pathogenesis of *Sel1L* IEC mice. Thus, one copy of Sel1L in enterocytes is sufficient for ERAD function, IRE1 α degradation and colon homeostasis.

DISCUSSION

Both UPR and ERAD are evolutionarily conserved QC systems in the cell, whose coordinated activities are essential for the maintenance of ER homeostasis. However, how they are coordinated remains poorly understood. Here our data establish ERAD-mediated IRE1 α protein turnover as a general self-regulatory mechanism within the ER QC systems that occurs in many cell types, both in vitro and in vivo, and is conserved from mice to humans. This study fills an important gap in the QC mechanisms by demonstrating an ingenious built-in system of "checks and balances" to dynamically fine-tune IRE1 α protein level, thereby its activity and ER folding and degradation capacity, in response to dynamic fluctuation of ER homeostasis. Using an acute injury model, we further establish that ERAD-mediated IRE1 α degradation is an important regulatory mechanism in restraining IRE1 α signaling in enterocytes in the pathogenesis of experimental colitis in vivo (Fig. 8j).

Similar to the case of HMG-CoA reductase (HMGCR) where its degradation is high responsive to host physiology 3,34 , our data demonstrate that IRE1a degradation by ERAD is highly responsive to ER homeostasis (Fig. 4g). IRE1a interacts with and is ubiquitinated by the Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD core complex under basal conditions. The selection of IRE1a for degradation may involve BiP and OS9 as well as the intramembrane hydrophilic residues of IRE1a. ER stress triggers IRE1a-ERAD complex dissociation and hence attenuates IRE1a ubiquitination, which coincides with IRE1a dimer-/oligo-merization and activation. Our data show that the key player involved in the ER stress-mediated regulation of IRE1a protein turnover is likely to be BiP. BiP is indispensable for IRE1a degradation as depletion of BiP leads to the dissociation between IRE1 α and ERAD and hence IRE1 α protein stabilization while overexpression of BiP had the opposite effect. Providing further support to the key role of BiP in IRE1 α degradation, dimerization-defective D123P IRE1 α mutant associates constitutively with BiP and has a shorter half-life than that of WT IRE1 α under stress conditions. Collectively, our data show that BiP plays a key role in targeting IRE1a for ERAD degradation under basal conditions and that ER stress triggers BiP dissociation from IRE1 α and dimer-/oligomerization of IRE1 α , leading to the IRE1 α -ERAD complex dissociation and IRE1a protein stabilization. Hence, BiP restrains IRE1a signaling under basal conditions not only by sequestering IRE1 α in an inactive state, but also by targeting it

for ERAD. This finding is consistent with the known role of BiP in substrate recruitment in $ERAD^{35_{-}39}$.

As Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD deficiency is intrinsically associated with the accumulation of misfolded proteins in general and hence ER stress, it may follow that the phenotypes of Sel1L ^{IEC} mice are a result of ER stress. Recent studies have also supported the role of ER stress in the pathogenesis of spontaneous and experimental colitis 17, 18, 40, 41. In the absence of Sel1L, ER stress is moderately induced but well tolerated by the colonic epithelium as the Sel1L IEC mice are surprisingly asymptomatic under basal conditions. Morphology of colonic epithelium and goblet cells - the major secretory cell type in the colon - appear largely normal. Thus, moderate ER stress associated with Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD deficiency is not sufficient to alter normal physiology in the colon and induce spontaneous colitis under basal conditions. Nonetheless, Sel1L IEC mice are more susceptible to DSS-induced experimental colitis in an IRE1a-dependent and Chop-independent manner. Thus, our study reveals the pathological importance of gut epithelial Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD and the regulation of IRE1a protein turnover in vivo. While Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD likely has other substrates in colonic epithelium, these findings provide strong support for the notion that IRE1 α degradation by ERAD is pathologically significant. This regulatory mechanism may be of great therapeutic importance as IRE1 α signaling may play a critical role in intestinal inflammation^{17,18}

METHODS

Mice

Sel1L ^{IEC}, *Sel1L* ^{IEC/+}, *Sel1L* ^{IEC}; *Ire1a^{+/-}*, *Sel1L* ^{IEC}; *Chop^{-/-}*, inducible Sel1L KO (*Sel1L^{IKO}*) and inducible Hrd1 KO (*Hrd1^{-/-}*) mice were used in this study. *Sel1L^{flox/flox}*, *Sel1L* ^{adipo}, *Hrd1^{flox/flox}* and *Ire1a^{flox/flox}* mice were described previously ^{1011,4243}. *Sel1L^{IKO}* and inducible Hrd1 KO mice were generated using intraperitoneal injection of tamoxifen as previously described ¹⁰. *Sel1L^{flox/flox}* mice were crossed with villin-1 promoter-driven Cre mice (B6.SJL-Tg(Vil-cre)997Gum/J, JAX 004586). Different breeding schemes are shown in Supplementary Figures 3a, 6a and 8a. In addition, *Sel1L* ^{IEC} mice were crossed with *Chop^{-/-}* mice (B6.129S(Cg)-*Ddit3^{tm2.1Dron/}*, JAX 005530) to generate *Sel1L^{flox/flox}; VillinCre⁺; Chop^{-/-}* double knockout (*EKO;Chop-/-*) mice. Mice were housed under specific pathogen-free conditions and fed on a low-fat diet consisting of 13% fat, 67% carbohydrate and 20% protein (Harlan Teklad 2914). Cohoused age-matched adult littermates were used at the age of 6–12 weeks in all in vivo experiments. Animal euthanasia was performed by cervical dislocation. Tissues were immediately harvested and either fixed in 10% neutralized formalin for histology or snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen for protein and RNA analyses. Frozen tissues were stored at -80°C.

Power analysis of the animal size: Based on sample size formula of the power analysis, $N=8(CV)^2[1+(1-PC)^2]/(PC)^2$, to reach the error = 0.05, Power = 0.80, percentage change in means (PC) = 20%, co-efficient of variation (CV) = 10 ~ 15% (varies between the experiments), 5 mice per group are the minimal number of mice to obtain statistical significance. Hence, in general, we used a total of 5 mice in each study to ensure adequate power. Mice in each group were randomly chosen based on the age, genotype and gender.

All animal procedures have been approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at Cornell University and Northwestern University.

Cell lines

Sel1L flox/flox; ERCre⁺ MEFs were generated and Sel1L deletion was induced by treating with 400 nM 4-Hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT, Sigma H7904) as previously described¹⁰. In some studies, parental Sel1L^{flox/flox}:ERCre⁺ MEFs were transfected to stably express pcDNA3-His-Myc-HRD1 using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) per supplier's protocol. 24 h later, cells were selected with 400 µg/ml G418 (EMD Millipore) and G418-resistant cells were expanded for further analysis. These cells were treated with 4-OHT or vehicle as described above to delete Sel1L. Hrd1-/- and WT MEFs were kindly provided by Dr. Toshihiro Nakajima (Tokyo Medical University)⁴⁴. IRE1α-/- MEFs stably expressing pMSCV-hIRE1a-HA (WT or D123P mutant) were previously described²⁶. All cells including HEK293T and MEFs were maintained in DMEM (Cellgro, Herndon, VA) supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Cellgro). Mouse intestinal m-IC_{cl2} cells were a kind gift from Dr. Judith Appleton (Cornell) and were cultured as previously described⁴⁵. For stable shRNA knockdown, Sel1L and Hrd1 shRNA targeting sequences (GGTTACACTGTGGCTAGAA and GGAGAGTTTCAGATGATTA) were cloned into pSuper/retro vector (H1 promoter). Control RNAi were against the firefly luciferase. m-IC_{cl2} cells stably expressing XBP1s were generated using retroviral transduction as previously described²⁷. MEFs expressing BiP- or control LacZ were generated using adenoviral infection at 1,000 multiplicity of infection (MOI) per well of a 6 well plate (adenoviruses were kindly provided by Drs. Heinrich Lob and Robin Davisson at Cornell University). 4 days after infection, cells were treated with 300 nM Tg for 12 h and snap-frozen for Western blot.

CRISPR-based knockout cell lines

CRISPR targeting oligos designed for human SEL1L (#1 ACTGCAGGCAGAGTAGTTGC, #2 GACATCAGATGAGTCAGTAA) and human OS9 (5'GCAAGTCTGACCGGCGGTGTC) were inserted into the pX330-U6-Chimeric_BB-CBh-hSpCas9 vector (Addgene 42230). Oligos targeting mouse Xbp1 (GCTCATGGTACCCGGTCCGC) and mouse Ire1α (CTTGTTGTTTGTCTCGACCC) were used in m-ICc12 cells. Cells were co-transfected with CRISPR constructs, together with the pBabe-puro vector, and selected with 2 or 3 µg/ml puromycin (Invitrogen) for 48 h. Surviving cells were plated to 96-wells with one cell per well for single colony selection. Knockout efficiency in HEK293T cells was assessed by Western blot analysis. In m-ICc12 cells, knockout lines were sequenced using primer sets GCCCCCAAAGTGCTACTCTTA and CCGTGAGTTTTCTCCCGTAA for Xbp1 and TTTTGGAAGAACCAGCACAG and GCCAGTCAGGAGGTCGATAA for Ire1α.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

Sel1L^{flox/flox};ERCre⁺ MEFs were treated with vehicle (WT) or 4-OHT (*Sel1L^{IKO}*) for 3 days, harvested and fixed as cell pellets. Samples were stained, dehydrated, and processed as described before 10 .

ER purification and precipitation

ER purification was performed as previously described⁴⁶. Briefly, *Sel1L^{flox/flox};ERCre*⁺ MEFs were treated with 4-OHT or vehicle for 4 days. Subsequent steps were performed at 4°C in the presence of the protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma). A total of 1×10^7 cells were homogenized in Dounce grinder with buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM β -mercaptoethanol, 1 mM EDTA, and 0.32 M sucrose), and then centrifuged at 5,000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was centrifuged at 105,000 g for 1 h (XL-80K, Beckman). The pellet was disrupted in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate and 10 mM sodium fluoride) followed by centrifugation at 15,000 g for 20 min. The resulting supernatant, the ER fraction, was quantitated using the Bradford assay and adjusted to the same protein concentration. 200 µL ER fraction was precipitated by methanol and chloroform and subjected to mass spectrometric analysis.

Mass spectrometry

Mass spectrometry to identify ERAD substrates was performed previously described⁴⁷.

Protein lysate preparation, Western blot and quantitation

Preparation of cell and tissue lysates and Western blot were performed as previously described²⁷. IRE1a phosphorylation was measured by a Phos-tag-based Western blot method^{27_29}. For non-reducing SDS-PAGE, lysates were prepared in 5 X non-denaturing sample buffer (250 mM Tris HCl pH 6.8, 1% SDS, 50% glycerol and 0.05% bromophenyl blue) without boiling prior to be separated on a SDS-PAGE gel. Antibodies used in this study were: HSP90 (sc-7947, 1:6,000), GFP (sc-8334, 1:2000), BiP (sc-1051, 1:1,000), IRE1β (sc-20575, 1:1000), JNK1 (sc-571, 1:1000), α-Tubulin (sc-5286, 1:2000) from Santa Cruz; IRE1a (#3294, 1:2000), PERK (#3192, 1:2,000), Caspase-3 (#9665, 1:1000), p-T183/ Y185-JNK (#9255, 1:2000), from Cell Signaling; Sel1L (ab78298, 1:2,000), OS9 (ab109510, 1:10,000) from Abcam; Hrd1 (NB100-2526, 1:8,000) from Novus Biologicals; Calnexin (SPA-860, 1:8,000) and PDIA1 (SPA-890, 1:8,000) from Enzo Life Sciences; Flag-HRP (A-8592, 1:8,000), HA (H9658, 1:5,000) from Sigma. Antibodies for Bag6 (rabbit, 1:10,000) and H2A (rabbit, 1:10,000) were kind gifts from Dr. Yihong Ye (NIDDK). Band density was quantitated using the Image Lab software on the ChemiDOC XRS⁺ system (Bio-Rad). Protein levels were normalized to HSP90 or a-Tubulin and are presented as mean \pm s.e.m. unless otherwise specified.

Immunoprecipitation (IP)

Cells were lysed in lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris HCl pH 7.5 or 8.0, protease inhibitor and protein phosphatase inhibitor and 10 mM N-ethymaleimide) supplemented with 1% Triton X-100 or 1% Nonidet P-40 (NP-40). A total of ~ 2 mg protein lysates was incubated with 2 μ l primary or irrelevant antibody overnight at 4°C with gentle rocking. Antibodies used for IP: IRE1 α (Cell Signaling, #3294), GFP (Santa Cruz, sc-8334), and normal rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz, sc-2027). Immunocomplexes were precipitated with protein A-agarose beads (Invitrogen, 15918014) for 8 h at 4°C, washed and eluted by boiling for 5 min in 2 X SDS sample buffer. For immunoprecipitation of tagged proteins, lysates

were immunoprecipitated overnight at 4°C with HA- (Sigma, A2095) or FLAG-agarose beads (Sigma, A2220). For denaturing IP, HEK293T cells transfected with indicated plasmids in each 10 cm dish were lysed in 200 µl NP-40 lysis buffer with 1% SDS and 5 mM DTT, and denatured at 95°C for 10 min. Subsequently, samples were diluted 1:10 with NP-40 lysis buffer followed by IP as described above.

Substrate competition

HEK293FT cells were transfected with empty vector or pEGFP-N1 plasmid (Clontech) containing NHK-GFP using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) per manufacturer's instruction. Two days after transfection, cells were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. IP was carried out as described above.

In vitro drug treatment

Thapsigargin (Tg), tunicamycin (Tm) and MG132 were purchased from Millipore, dissolved in DMSO and used at 150–300 nM, 2.5 μ g/ml, and 10 μ M, respectively. Cycloheximide (CHX, Millipore) was dissolved in ethanol and used at 50 μ g/ml. To evaluate inflammatory responses, cells were treated with 50 ng/ml murine TNF α for 10 min with or without 1 h pretreatment with 50 μ M SP600125⁴⁸. SubAB and its catalytic inactive mutant form SubA_{A272}B were used at 1 μ g/ml as previously described²⁴.

Plasmids

(h denotes human genes; m denotes mouse genes): pCMV-Tag4A-hIRE1α-Flag (gift from Dr. Yong Liu, Institute of Nutritional Sciences, China)⁴⁹; pcDNA3-His-Myc-hHrd1 WT and ring-finger mutant C2A (C291A/C294A) (gifts from Dr. Yihong Ye, NIDDK)¹⁹; pcDNA3-HA-Ub and pcDNA3-HA-hSel1L plasmids (gifts from Dr. Hideki Nishitoh, University of Miyazaki). IRE1α T3A mutants (T446A, S450A and T451A) were generated using site-directed mutagenesis of pCMV-Tag4A-hIRE1α-Flag and mutagenesis primers are Forward: 5' CTTAAGGACATGGCTGCCATCATCCTGGCCGCCTTCCTGCTGATTG, Reverse 5'CAATCAGCAGGAAGGCGGCCAGGATGATGGCAGCCATGTCCTTAAG.

Sucrose gradient sedimentation analysis

Confluent WT MEFs in two 10 cm plates either mock-treated or treated with 300 nM Tg for 4 h were lysed in 0.5ml 1% NP40 lysis buffer. Extracts were centrifuged through 20%– 40% sucrose gradients (in 150 mM NaCl, 1mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris HCl pH 7.5 and protease inhibitor) prepared freshly by progressively layering higher to lower density sucrose fractions in 5% increments. Extracts were centrifuged at 58,000 rpm for 14.5 hours at 4°C using an SW 60 Ti rotor (Beckman Coulter). Each 4 ml gradient was divided evenly into 10 fractions (400 µl each), and aliquots of fractions 5–10 were subjected to Western blot analyses under denaturing or non-denaturing conditions.

Limited proteolysis

HEK293T cells were incubated in the absence or presence of 100 nM Tg for 3 h, harvested, and lysed in lysis buffer containing 50 mM HEPES (pH=7.5), 150 mM NaCl and 1% Triton-X 100 on ice for 15 min. Aliquots of the lysates were mixed with various concentrations of

trypsin and incubated on ice for 30 min. Reaction was quenched by adding SDS sample buffer and immediately heated at 95°C for 10 min followed by Western blot analysis.

Pulse labeling and pulse-chase analysis

Cells transfected with Flag-IRE1 α or HA-IRE1 α were cultured with cysteine and methionine-free medium (Invitrogen, 21013024) containing 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4 at 37°C for 30 min and pulse labeled with 100 µCi/ml [³⁵S]-cysteine and methionine (EasyTag, PerkinElmer) at 37°C for 30 min. In the pulse-chase assay, following 30 min pulse, cells were cultured in chase medium (10 mM HEPES, 5 mM cysteine, 5 mM methionine) for the indicated time in the presence or absence of 300 nM Tg. Cells were then extensively washed with ice-cold HBSS buffer (Gibco, Life Technologies) and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Cells were lysed and immunoprecipitated as described above using NP-40 as detergent. Lysates were separated on a 7% SDS-PAGE gel, which was subsequently incubated with the neutralizing buffer (30% (v/v) methanol in PBS) for 10 min followed by the enhancer buffer (1.5 M sodium salicylate (Sigma) in 30% (v/v) methanol) for 10 min. The gel was then dried at 80°C for 2 h using a gel drier (Model 583, Bio-Rad) and subjected to autoradiography with X-film (Kodak).

Histological analysis

Tissues were fixed in 10% neutralized formalin and processed by the Cornell Histology Core Facility on a fee-for-service basis. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) slides were photographed as previously described¹⁰. Tissue sections were examined blindly by a board-certified anatomic pathologist (G.E.D.). For the assessment of the severity of experimental colitis, histological scores of the distal colon were blindly determined based on globet cell loss, crypt abscesses, immune cell infiltration and epithelial erosion (0, none; 1, low; 2, moderate; 3, high; 4, maximal) as previously described⁵⁰. Of note, other animal experiments were not performed blindly.

Animal experiments

High through-put sequencing of 16S rRNA V4 region of fecal pellets from cohoused 8week-old mice were performed as previously described⁵¹. Mice were fed ad libitum with 3% (wt/vol) DSS (molecular weight 40-50 kDa; Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA) dissolved in drinking water for 5 days and then fresh water thereafter. Body weights were monitored every other day until the end of experiments. Stool consistency (0, normal; 1, soft but still formed; 2, very soft; 3, diarrhea) and stool blood (0, negative; 1, positive hemoccult; 2, blood traces in stool visible; 3, rectal bleeding) were assessed on day 6 after DSS treatment started⁵⁰. At day 7, flow cytometric analysis of activated CD8+ T cells was performed as previously described⁵² and serum cytokine levels were measured using the Bio-Plex ProTM Mouse Cytokine 9- and 23-plex Assay and the Bio-Plex MAGPIX[™] system (Bio-Rad #M6009RDPD) per manufacture's instruction. For antibiotic treatment, mice were given ampicillin (1 g/l; Fisher) and neomycin sulfate (1 g/l; Cellgro) dissolved in drinking water during and after DSS treatment. For humane reasons and IACUC guidelines, mice were declared "dead" and were euthanized when body weight dropped by 20%. SP600125 (LC Laboratories), a JNK-specific inhibitor⁴⁸, was dissolved in 7% Kolliphor[™] Solutol HS-15 (Sigma, in PBS) at 3 mg/ml. Age-matched littermates were injected intraperitoneally with

SP600125 or vehicle at a volume of $10 \mu l/g$ body weight every other day with concurrent 5day 3% DSS feeding regimen until the end of the experiment. Mice were monitored daily and body weights were measured every other day.

Colonic epithelium isolation

After removing surrounding fat and connective tissues, the colon was cut longitudinally into small pieces shaken in 5 mL PBS containing 30mM EDTA at 37°C for 20 min. Colonic epithelial cells were released by vortexing at max setting for 15 sec twice and collected from supernatant. Purified cells were used for protein, mRNA and microarray analyses. The purity of colonic epithelial cells was examined using RT-PCR analysis of the enterocyte-specific gene villin and leukocyte-specific gene CD45.

Organoid culture

Small intestine crypts were isolated and cultured as described previously⁵³. Briefly, small intestines from adult mice were rinsed with ice-cold PBS, cut longitudinally and shaken vigorously in ice-cold PBS to remove villi. Tissues were cut into small pieces and shaken gently in 30 ml PBS containing 2 mM EDTA at 4°C for 1 h. Crypts were released by vigorous shaking and were pelleted at 230 rcf for 2 min at 4°C. Isolated crypts were mixed with Matrigel (Corning), plated in 24-well plates, and cultured in crypt culture medium (Advanced DMEM/F12, Invitrogen) containing growth factors (50 ng/ml EGF (Invitrogen), 500 ng/ml R-spondin-1 (R&D) and 100 ng/ml Noggin (Peprotech)). For drug treatment, organoids were either treated with 25 μ g/ml CHX for 2 h or 50 ng/ml murine TNF α for 20 min, then removed from Matrigel mechanically in ice-cold PBS and pelleted immediately for protein or RNA extraction.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded intestinal sections were processed for IHC and TUNEL staining as previously described¹⁰. IHC was performed with the Histostain kit and DAB substrate from Invitrogen. Primary antibodies used for IHC were IRE1 α (#3294, 1:100) from Cell Signaling and OS9 (ab109510, 1:200) from Abcam. Sections were scanned and images were taken using the Aperio Scanscope. TUNEL staining was performed with the in Situ Death Detection TUNEL Kit (Roche 11684795910). The fluorescence was visualized and images were captured under a Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope with 10× magnification at Cornell Biotechnology Resource Center Imaging Facility.

FISH

Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded intestine sections were mounted on Probe-On Plus slides (Fisher) and evaluated by FISH with probes to all bacteria (EUB338-5'Cy3) or *E.coli/ Shigella* (*E.coli*-5'Cy3, 16S rRNA), in combination with a non-specific binding control probe (non-EUB338-5'FAM) (IDT, Coralville, IA), as previously described³². Sections were examined with an Olympus BX51 fluorescence microscope and images were captured with an Olympus DP-7 camera.

Immunocytochemistry

HEK293T cells were plated on poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips and transfected with Flag-IRE1a WT or T3A mutant plasmids for 24 h. Cells were processed and labeled with anti-IRE1a (#3294, Cell Signaling) and anti-BiP (sc-1051, Santa Cruz) as previously described⁴⁷. Cells were then labeled with donkey anti-rabbit AF594 (711-585-152) and donkey anti-goat AF680 (705-625-147) from Jackson ImmunoResearch, and mounted with Prolong Gold Antifade Reagent with DAPI (Invitrogen). Fluorescent microscopic images were taken under a Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope at Cornell Biotechnology Resource Center Imaging Facility.

NP-40 solubility assay

Frozen terminal ileum tissue was weighed and homogenized in NP-40 lysis buffer as previously described¹⁰. The lysate volume was normalized by tissue weight, centrifuged at $12,000 \times g$ for 10 min and the supernatant was collected as NP-40S fraction. The pellet was then resuspended in $1 \times SDS$ sample buffer with the volume normalized to initial tissue weight, heated at 95°C for 30 min and collected as the NP-40P fraction.

RNA extraction, RT-PCR for Xbp1 mRNA splicing and qPCR

Total RNA were extracted from mouse tissues and cells using Trizol and RNA miniprep kit (Sigma RTN350) with DNaseI digestion (New England Biolabs). RNA quality was determined by measuring the OD260/280 and visualized on an agarose gel. RT-PCR were performed as previously described²⁷. Percent of *Xbp1* mRNA splicing defined as the ratio of *Xbp1s* level to total *Xbp1* (*Xbp1u* +*Xbp1s*) levels was quantitated using the ImageLab software. All qPCR data for mouse tissues and cells were normalized to ribosomal *132* gene in the corresponding sample. Q-PCR primer sequences (forward, reverse) are: (mouse genes if not specified)

Ire1a (CTGTGGTCAAGATGGACTGG, GAAGCGGGAAGTGAAGTAGC), Ire1b (CCGCTCATACAAAGGGACAT, ATGAAGCCAGCAGGAAGTTG), Xbp1s (GAGTCCGCAGCAGGTG, GTGTCAGAGTCCATGGGA), Perk (TCAAGTTTCCTCTACTGTTCACTCA, CGGGAAACTCCAAGTTCTCA), Chop (TATCTCATCCCCAGGAAACG, GGGCACTGACCACTCTGTTT), BiP (TGTGGTACCCACCAAGAAGTC, TTCAGCTGTCACTCGGAGAAT), Erdj4 (CTTAGGTGTGCCAAAGATCTGC, GGCATCCGAGAGTGTTTCATA), Sel11 (TGGGTTTTCTCTCTCTCTCTG, CCTTTGTTCCGGTTACTTCTTG), Hrd1 (AGCTACTTCAGTGAACCCCACT, CTCCTCTACAATGCCCACTGAC), Os9 (GCTCACGCCTACTACCTCAAA, GCCAGACAAGTCTCTGTGACG), Edem1 (GGGACCAAGAGGAAAAGTTTG, GAGGTGAGCAGGTCAAATCAA), Pdia6 (TGGTTCCTTTCCTACCATCACT, ACTTTCACTGCTGGAAAACTGC), Tnfa (TCAGCCGATTTGCTATCTCATA, AGTACTTGGGCAGATTGACCTC),

$L32\,({\sf GAGCAACAAGAAAAACCAAGCA},\,{\sf TGCACAAAGCCATCTACTCA}),$

Human IRE1a (AGAGAAGCAGCAGACTTTGTC, GTTTTGGTGTCGTACATGGTGA),

Human OS9 (GCTCACGCCTACTACCTCAAA, GCCAGACAAGTCTCTGTGACG),

 ${\it Human\,L32\,(AGTTCCTGGTCCACAACGTC,\,TTGGGGGTTGGTGACTCTGAT).}$

Human Xbp1 splicing (CTGGAACAGCAAGTGGTAGA, CTGGGTCCTTCTGGGTAGAC).

Microarray analysis

Colon epithelium was isolated from 8-week-old WT and *Sel1L*^{*IEC*} male mice and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen (n=4 each). RNA was extracted as described above. RNA quality and concentration were determined using the RNA 6000 Nano kit on an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer. The cDNA array of colonic RNA was prepared as previously described⁵⁴.

Statistical analysis

Results are expressed as mean \pm SEM. Comparisons between groups were made by unpaired two-tailed Student's *t* test unless otherwise indicated. Survival curves were compared by the Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test. *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All experiments were repeated at least twice or performed with independent samples. Representative data are shown.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank for Kuei-Ling Tung for help with the organoid culture; and other members of the Qi lab for comments and technical assistance. S.S. is an International Student Research Fellow of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (59107338). L.Q. is the recipient of the Junior Faculty and Career Development Awards from American Diabetes Association (ADA). This work was supported by R21AI085332 (G.D.), 1R03AI114344 (H.W.), Chinese National Natural Science Foundation Grant 31371391 (Q. L.), National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Proteomics Center Award HHSN268201000035C, R01 MH067880 and 8P41GM103533-17 (J.R.Y), NIH R01DK105393, R01GM113188, UL1TR000457 of the Clinical and Translation Science Center at Weill Cornell Medical College, ADA 1-12-CD-04 and Cornell VERG seed grant (L.Q.).

REFERENCES

- Guerriero CJ, Brodsky JL. The delicate balance between secreted protein folding and endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation in human physiology. Physiol Rev. 2012; 92:537–576. [PubMed: 22535891]
- 2. Olzmann JA, Kopito RR, Christianson JC. The Mammalian Endoplasmic Reticulum-Associated Degradation System. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology. 2013; 5
- Hampton RY, Gardner RG, Rine J. Role of 26S proteasome and HRD genes in the degradation of 3hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase, an integral endoplasmic reticulum membrane protein. Mol Biol Cell. 1996; 7:2029–2044. [PubMed: 8970163]
- 4. Christianson JC, et al. Defining human ERAD networks through an integrative mapping strategy. Nat Cell Biol. 2012; 14:93–105. [PubMed: 22119785]

- Mueller B, Lilley BN, Ploegh HL. SEL1L, the homologue of yeast Hrd3p, is involved in protein dislocation from the mammalian ER. J Cell Biol. 2006; 175:261–270. [PubMed: 17043138]
- Gardner RG, et al. Endoplasmic reticulum degradation requires lumen to cytosol signaling. Transmembrane control of Hrd1p by Hrd3p. J Cell Biol. 2000; 151:69–82. [PubMed: 11018054]
- Plemper RK, et al. Genetic interactions of Hrd3p and Der3p/Hrd1p with Sec61p suggest a retrotranslocation complex mediating protein transport for ER degradation. J Cell Sci. 1999; 112(Pt 22): 4123–4134. [PubMed: 10547371]
- Carvalho P, Goder V, Rapoport TA. Distinct ubiquitin-ligase complexes define convergent pathways for the degradation of ER proteins. Cell. 2006; 126:361–373. [PubMed: 16873066]
- Mueller B, Klemm EJ, Spooner E, Claessen JH, Ploegh HL. SEL1L nucleates a protein complex required for dislocation of misfolded glycoproteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008; 105:12325– 12330. [PubMed: 18711132]
- Sun S, et al. Sel1L is indispensable for mammalian endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation, endoplasmic reticulum homeostasis, and survival. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014; 111:E582– E591. [PubMed: 24453213]
- 11. Sha H, et al. The ER-associated degradation adaptor protein Sel1L regulates LPL secretion and lipid metabolism. Cell Metab. 2014; 20:458–470. [PubMed: 25066055]
- Bertolotti A, Zhang Y, Hendershot LM, Harding HP, Ron D. Dynamic interaction of BiP and ER stress transducers in the unfolded-protein response. Nat Cell Biol. 2000; 2:326–332. [PubMed: 10854322]
- Mori K, Ma W, Gething MJ, Sambrook J. A transmembrane protein with a cdc2+/CDC28-related kinase activity is required for signaling from the ER to the nucleus. Cell. 1993; 74:743–756. [PubMed: 8358794]
- Cox JS, Shamu CE, Walter P. Transcriptional induction of genes encoding endoplasmic reticulum resident proteins requires a transmembrane protein kinase. Cell. 1993; 73:1197–1206. [PubMed: 8513503]
- Walter P, Ron D. The unfolded protein response: from stress pathway to homeostatic regulation. Science. 2011; 334:1081–1086. [PubMed: 22116877]
- 16. He Y, et al. Emerging roles for XBP1, a sUPeR transcription factor. Gene Expr. 2010; 15:13–25. [PubMed: 21061914]
- 17. Kaser A, et al. XBP1 links ER stress to intestinal inflammation and confers genetic risk for human inflammatory bowel disease. Cell. 2008; 134:743–756. [PubMed: 18775308]
- Adolph TE, et al. Paneth cells as a site of origin for intestinal inflammation. Nature. 2013; 503:272–276. [PubMed: 24089213]
- 19. Kikkert M, et al. Human HRD1 is an E3 ubiquitin ligase involved in degradation of proteins from the endoplasmic reticulum. J Biol Chem. 2004; 279:3525–3534. [PubMed: 14593114]
- Kim W, Spear ED, Ng DT. Yos9p detects and targets misfolded glycoproteins for ER-associated degradation. Mol Cell. 2005; 19:753–764. [PubMed: 16168371]
- Bhamidipati A, Denic V, Quan EM, Weissman JS. Exploration of the topological requirements of ERAD identifies Yos9p as a lectin sensor of misfolded glycoproteins in the ER lumen. Mol Cell. 2005; 19:741–751. [PubMed: 16168370]
- Sato BK, Schulz D, Do PH, Hampton RY. Misfolded membrane proteins are specifically recognized by the transmembrane domain of the Hrd1p ubiquitin ligase. Mol Cell. 2009; 34:212– 222. [PubMed: 19394298]
- Gething MJ. Role and regulation of the ER chaperone BiP. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 1999; 10:465– 472. [PubMed: 10597629]
- Paton AW, et al. AB5 subtilase cytotoxin inactivates the endoplasmic reticulum chaperone BiP. Nature. 2006; 443:548–552. [PubMed: 17024087]
- 25. Zhou J, et al. The crystal structure of human IRE1 luminal domain reveals a conserved dimerization interface required for activation of the unfolded protein response. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2006; 103:14343–14348. [PubMed: 16973740]
- Xue Z, et al. A conserved structural determinant located at the interdomain region of mammalian inositol-requiring enzyme 1alpha. J Biol Chem. 2011; 286:30859–30866. [PubMed: 21757700]

- Sha H, et al. The IRE1alpha-XBP1 pathway of the unfolded protein response is required for adipogenesis. Cell Metab. 2009; 9:556–564. [PubMed: 19490910]
- Qi L, Yang L, Chen H. Detecting and quantitating physiological endoplasmic reticulum stress. Meth Enzymol. 2011; 490:137–146. [PubMed: 21266248]
- 29. Yang L, et al. A Phos-tag-based method reveals the extent of physiological endoplasmic reticulum stress. PLos ONE. 2010; 5:e11621. [PubMed: 20661282]
- 30. Okayasu I, et al. A novel method in the induction of reliable experimental acute and chronic ulcerative colitis in mice. Gastroenterology. 1990; 98:694–702. [PubMed: 1688816]
- Dogan B, et al. Inflammation-associated adherent-invasive Escherichia coli are enriched in pathways for use of propanediol and iron and M-cell translocation. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2014; 20:1919–1932. [PubMed: 25230163]
- Craven M, et al. Inflammation drives dysbiosis and bacterial invasion in murine models of ileal Crohn's disease. PLoS ONE. 2012; 7:e41594. [PubMed: 22848538]
- 33. Redl H. Cytokines in Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock. Birkhäuser. 2013
- Goldstein JL, Brown MS. Regulation of the mevalonate pathway. Nature. 1990; 343:425–430. [PubMed: 1967820]
- 35. Ushioda R, Hoseki J, Nagata K. Glycosylation-independent ERAD pathway serves as a backup system under ER stress. Mol Biol Cell. 2013; 24:3155–3163. [PubMed: 23966469]
- Zhang T, Ye Y. The final moments of misfolded proteins en route to the proteasome. DNA and cell biology. 2014; 33:477–483. [PubMed: 24833120]
- Plemper RK, Bohmler S, Bordallo J, Sommer T, Wolf DH. Mutant analysis links the translocon and BiP to retrograde protein transport for ER degradation. Nature. 1997; 388:891–895. [PubMed: 9278052]
- Brodsky JL, et al. The requirement for molecular chaperones during endoplasmic reticulumassociated protein degradation demonstrates that protein export and import are mechanistically distinct. J Biol Chem. 1999; 274:3453–3460. [PubMed: 9920890]
- Okuda-Shimizu Y, Hendershot LM. Characterization of an ERAD Pathway for Nonglycosylated BiP Substrates, which Require Herp. Mol Cell. 2007; 28:544–554. [PubMed: 18042451]
- 40. Park SW, et al. The protein disulfide isomerase AGR2 is essential for production of intestinal mucus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009; 106:6950–6955. [PubMed: 19359471]
- 41. Zhao F, et al. Disruption of Paneth and goblet cell homeostasis and increased endoplasmic reticulum stress in Agr2–/– mice. Dev Biol. 2010; 338:270–279. [PubMed: 20025862]
- 42. Wu T, et al. Hrd1 suppresses Nrf2-mediated cellular protection during liver cirrhosis. Genes Dev. 2014; 28:708–722. [PubMed: 24636985]
- Iwawaki T, Akai R, Yamanaka S, Kohno K. Function of IRE1 alpha in the placenta is essential for placental development and embryonic viability. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009; 106:16657– 16662. [PubMed: 19805353]
- Yagishita N, et al. Essential role of synoviolin in embryogenesis. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280:7909– 7916. [PubMed: 15611074]
- 45. Bens M, et al. Transimmortalized mouse intestinal cells (m-ICc12) that maintain a crypt phenotype. The American journal of physiology. 1996; 270:C1666–C1674. [PubMed: 8764149]
- 46. Sheng YH, et al. The MUC13 cell-surface mucin protects against intestinal inflammation by inhibiting epithelial cell apoptosis. Gut. 2011; 60:1661–1670. [PubMed: 21636645]
- He Y, et al. Non-muscle myosin IIB links cytoskeleton to IRE1α signaling during ER stress. Dev Cell. 2012; 23:1141–1152. [PubMed: 23237951]
- Bennett BL, et al. SP600125, an anthrapyrazolone inhibitor of Jun N-terminal kinase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001; 98:13681–13686. [PubMed: 11717429]
- 49. Qiu Y, et al. A crucial role for RACK1 in the regulation of glucose-stimulated IRE1alpha activation in pancreatic beta cells. Science Signaling. 2010; 3:ra7. [PubMed: 20103773]
- 50. Wirtz S, Neufert C, Weigmann B, Neurath MF. Chemically induced mouse models of intestinal inflammation. Nat Protoc. 2007; 2:541–546. [PubMed: 17406617]
- 51. Ji Y, et al. Diet-Induced Alterations in Gut Microflora Contribute to Lethal Pulmonary Damage in TLR2/TLR4-Deficient Mice. Cell reports. 2014; 8:137–149. [PubMed: 24953658]

- 52. Xia S, et al. Gr-1+ CD11b+ Myeloid-derived Suppressor Cells Suppress Inflammation and Promote Insulin Sensitivity in Obesity. J Biol Chem. 2011; 286:23591–23599. [PubMed: 21592961]
- 53. Sato T, et al. Single Lgr5 stem cells build crypt-villus structures in vitro without a mesenchymal niche. Nature. 2009; 459:262–265. [PubMed: 19329995]
- 54. Sun S, Xia S, Ji Y, Kersten S, Qi L. The ATP-P2X7 Signaling Axis Is Dispensable for Obesity-Associated Inflammasome Activation in Adipose Tissue. Diabetes. 2012; 61:1471–1478. [PubMed: 22415881]



Figure 1. IRE1a is a Sel1L-Hrd1 substrate in vitro

(a) Representative TEM images of *Sel1Lf/f;ERCre+* MEFs treated with vehicle (WT) or 4-OHT (IKO) for 3 days. ER, endoplasmic reticulum (arrows); M, mitochondrion; N, nucleus. (**b–c**) Western blot analysis of *Sel1Lf/f;ERCre+* (*f/f;ERCre+*) MEFs treated with 4-OHT for the indicated time (**b**), with quantitation shown in (**c**). (**d**) Western blot analysis of *Sel1Lf/ f;ERCre-* MEFs treated with 4-OHT. (**e**) Western blot analysis of IRE1 α in WT and Hrd1–/ – MEFs, with quantitation shown in Supplementary Fig. 1e. (**f**) Western blot analysis of IRE1 α in MEFs treated with 50 µg/ml cycloheximide (CHX) for the indicated time, with quantitation shown below. (**g**) Western blot analysis of IRE1 α in CHX-treated WT and SEL1L-deficient (*SEL1L^{CRISPR}*) HEK293T cells, with quantitation shown on the right. The ERAD substrate OS9 and the cytosolic proteins BAG6 and HSP90 are shown as controls. Representative data from three independent experiments shown. Unprocessed original scans of blots are shown in Supplementary Fig. 9.

Sun et al.

Page 22



Figure 2. The role of OS9 and intramembrane hydrophilic residues of IRE1a in Sel1L-Hrd1mediated IRE1a degradation

(a) Western blot analysis of immunoprecipitates of Flag-agarose in transfected HEK293T, showing the ubiquitination of IRE1 α by HRD1 in vitro. (b) Western blot analysis of IRE1 α in WT and IKO MEFs stably expressing HRD1-myc, with quantitation shown in Supplementary Fig. 2b. (c-e) Western blot analysis of IRE1 α decay in CHX-treated WT and OS9-deficient (OS9^{CRISPR}) HEK293T cells, with quantitation of total protein at basal state and protein decay shown in (d) and (e), respectively. (f) Alignment of IRE1 α transmembrane domain (444–464aa) across species showing three hydrophilic residues. (g-i) Western blot analysis of IRE1 α in CHX-treated HEK293T cells transfected with WT and T3A Flag-IRE1 α , with quantitation of total protein at basal state and protein decay shown in (h) and (i), respectively. (j) Western blot analysis of immunoprecipitates of Flag-IRE1 α in transfected HEK293T cells, showing reduced ubiquitination of T3A IRE1 α and interaction with Hrd1. (a), (b) and (j) are representative of 2 independent experiments, while others are representative of 3 independent experiments. (d) and (h), data represent sem of n=3 independent experiments. **, *p*<0.01, ***, *p*<0.001 Student's two-tailed *t* test. Unprocessed original scans of blots are shown in Supplementary Fig. 9.

Sun et al.



Figure 3. Sel1L-Hrd1-mediated IRE1a degradation is regulated by ER stress

(**a–b**) Western blot analysis of immunoprecipitates of Flag-agarose in transfected HEK293T treated with 200 nM thapsigargin (Tg) and/or 10 μ M MG132. (**c**) Western blot analysis of immunoprecipitates of HA-agarose in IRE1 α –/– MEFs stably expressing HA-IRE1 α . Tm, 2.5 μ g/ml tunicamycin for 2 h. (**d**) Pulse-chase analysis of IRE1 α decay in HEK293T cells transfected with HA-IRE1 α . Cells were treated with 300 nM Tg during the chase. Quantitation shown below. Phos-tag-based (P–T) Western blot analysis on the right indicated strong IRE1 α phosphorylation and activation in response to Tg. (**e**) Western blot analysis of immunoprecipitates in WT and SEL1L-deficient (*SEL1L^{CRISPR}*) HEK293T cells transiently transfected with NHK-GFP. (**f**) Sucrose gradient followed by Western blot analyses under non-reducing and reducing conditions in mock- or Tg-treated MEFs. (**g**) Limited proteolysis digestion of IRE1 α in lysates from HEK293T cells treated with or without 100 nM Tg for 3 h, showing conformational change of IRE1 α . *, degradation intermediates. Representative data from two independent experiments shown. Unprocessed original scans of blots are shown in Supplementary Fig. 9.

Sun et al.

Page 24



Figure 4. Sel1L-Hrd1-mediated IRE1a degradation requires BiP

(a) Western blot analysis of immunoprecipitates in mock- or Tg-treated HEK293T cells expressing HA-IRE1a. (b) Western blot analysis of immunoprecipitates in HEK293T cells treated with 1 µg/mL WT SubAB or mutant SubAA272B (mut) for 1 h, showing that BiP links IRE1 α to Sel1L. (c) Western blot analysis of IRE1 α in MEFs pre-treated with 1 µg/mL WT SubAB or mutant SubA_{A272}B (mut) for 1 h followed by cycloheximide (CHX) for the indicated time with quantitation shown on the right. Error bars represent sem, n=3independent experiments. (d) Western blot analysis of IRE1 α in MEFs with ectopic expression of BiP, with or without Tg treatment. (e) Western blot analysis of immunoprecipitates in IRE1 α -/- MEFs stably expressing either WT or D123P HA-IRE1 α , with or without Tg treatment. (f) Western blot analysis of IRE1 α in IRE1 α -/- MEFs stably expressing either WT or D123P HA-IRE1a, pre-treated with 300 nM Tg for 2 h followed by CHX treament. Quantitation shown below. (a) and (c) are representative of 3 independent experiments, while others are representative of 2 independent experiments. P-T, phos-tagbased Western blot to visualize IRE1a phosphorylation. (g) Model for ERAD-mediated IRE1a degradation under basal and stress conditions. Unprocessed original scans of blots are shown in Supplementary Fig. 9.

Sun et al.

Page 25



Figure 5. IRE1a is an endogenous Sel1L-Hrd1 substrate in vivo

(a) Growth curve of adult WT and Sel1L ^{IEC} (EKO) mice (showing the mean for 5 mice of each genotype at each time point). (b-c) Western blot analysis of IRE1a and Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD protein levels in isolated colonic epithelium of WT and EKO mice, with quantitation shown in (c). Each lane represents an independent sample. HSP90, a loading control. Error bars represent sem, n=3 mice of each genotype. (d) Q-PCR analysis of Sel11, Hrd1 and *Ire1a* mRNA levels in the gut. Error bars represent sem, n=3 mice of each genotype. (e) Immunohistochemical staining of IRE1 α in colon. Representative images of 3 mice studied. (f-g) Western blot and Q-PCR analyses of Sel1L and IRE1a in the gut of Sel1Lf/f;ERCre-(WT) and Sel1Lf/f;ERCre+(IKO) mice 13 days post-tamoxifen injection. Error bars represent sem, n=5 mice of each genotype (only two shown for Western blots). (h-i) Western blot and Q-PCR analyses of Hrd1 and IRE1 α in the gut of Hrd1+/+;ERCre+ (WT) and *Hrd1f/f;ERCre+* (*Hrd1-/-*) mice 10 days post-tamoxifen injection. n=2 mice of each genotype. (j) Representative images of primary crypt organoids in culture. (k) Western blot analysis of primary intestinal crypt organoids treated with 25 µg/ml cycloheximide (CHX) for 2 h, with quantitation shown below the blot. (I) Western blot analysis of IRE1 α in primary adipocytes from WT or adipocyte-specific Sel1L-deficient (AKO) mice treated with $50 \,\mu\text{g/ml}$ cycloheximide (CHX) for the indicated time, with quantitation shown on the right. Representative data from two independent repeats shown. *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01 by Student's two-tailed t test. Unprocessed original scans of blots are shown in Supplementary Fig. 9.

Sun et al.

Page 26



Figure 6. Consequences of Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD deficiency on IRE1a activation and inflammation (a) Phos-tag-based (P-T) Western blot analysis of IRE1a phosphorylation in isolated colonic epithelium of WT and Sel1L ^{IEC} (EKO) mice. N=3 mice of each genotype. (b-c) RT-PCR analysis of Xbp1 mRNA splicing, with quantitation shown in (c). Error bars represent sem, n=3 mice of each genotype. (d-e) Western blot and RT-PCR analyses of IRE1a activation in WT and IKO MEFs, with quantitation of spliced *Xbp1* shown in (e). Error bars represent sem, n=3 independent experiments. (f) Western blot analysis of IRE1a in the NP-40 soluble (S) and insoluble (P) fractions of the colon using lysis buffer containing 0.5% NP-40. The distribution of Bag6 and H2A marks the soluble and insoluble pellet fractions, respectively. (g-h) Western blot analysis of IRE1a activation in WT and IKO MEFs treated with thapsigargin (Tg) at the indicated concentration for 2 h, with quantitation of total p-IRE1 α and percent of p-IRE1 α shown in (h). In (g), data was derived from the same blot at the same exposure, with irrelevant lanes in the middle cut off. (i) Q-PCR analysis of mRNA levels of Xbp1s in WT and Sel1L-deficient primary adipocytes that were either mock (CON) or treated with 150 nM Tg for 3 h. n=2 mice per genotype. (j-k) Sel1L deficiency enhances inflammatory tone of enterocytes. (j) Primary crypt organoids were treated with 50 ng/ml TNF α for 20 min and analyzed for JNK phosphorylation. Quantitation of the average of n=2 mice of each genotype shown below the blot. (k) m-IC_{cl2}enterocytes stably expressing either control (CONi) or Sel1L knockdown (Sel1Li) were treated with 50 ng/mL murine TNFa for 60 min and analyzed for *Tnfa* gene expression. Error bars represent sem, n=3 independent experiments. (a-e) and (k) are representative of 3 independent experiments, while others are representative of 2 independent experiments. *, p<0.05; ***, p<0.001 by Student's twotailed t test. Unprocessed original scans of blots are shown in Supplementary Fig. 9.

Sun et al.

Page 27



Figure 7. Sel1L ^{IEC} (EKO) mice are susceptible to experimental colitis

(a) Gross colon morphology of 14-wk-old WT and Sel1L ^{IEC} mice. Representative images of 5 mice studied. (b-j)12-wk-old mice were treated with 3% DSS for 5 days followed by fresh water. (b-c) Western blot analysis of caspase-3 or -8 cleavage in colon epithelium before or on day 7 after DSS-treatment. Quantitation is shown in (d). CON, before DSS treatment. Error bars represent sem, n=3 mice of each genotype. (e) Body weight change. Error bars represent sem from n=11 mice of each genotype pooled from two independent experiments. (f) Survival curve of DSS-treated mice with or without antibiotics (Abx). ***, p<0.001 comparing EKO to the other cohorts by the log-rank Mantel–Cox test. Combined data from n=7 (with Abx) and 13 mice (without Abx) each genotype. (g) Colon length on day 9. Error bars represent sem from n=6 WT and n=5 EKO mice. (h) Inflammatory score of colon on day 9 as a blind study. 0, none; 1, low; 2, moderate; 3, high; 4, maximal. Error bars represent sem from n=11 mice of each genotype. (i) H&E images of colon on day 9 showing epithelial ulceration (arrow heads), severe edema (asterisks), and regenerative crypts (arrows). Representative images of 5 mice studied. (j) FISH staining showing the presence of *E. coli* (red), rarely found in WT mice, in the colonic mucosa of DSS-treated mice on day 9. Green, non-specific signal; blue, DAPI-stained nucleus. Panels 1 and 2 show invasive E. coli in colonic mucosa and sloughed-off epithelia, respectively, of EKO mice. Representative images of 3 mice studied. n.s., not significant; **, p<0.01; ***, p<0.001 by Student's twotailed t test unless otherwise indicated. Unprocessed original scans of blots are shown in Supplementary Fig. 9.

Sun et al.



Figure 8. IRE1a protein accumulation is critical for the pathogenesis of colitis in *Sel1L IEC* (EKO) mice

(a-c) 7-week-old littermates were treated with 3% DSS for 5 days followed by fresh water: (a) body weight change. *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01 comparing EKO to EKO; Ire1a+/- by Student's t test. (b) Colon length on day 7. (a-b), error bars represent sem from n=6 mice of each genotype pooled from three independent experiments. (c) Representative H&E images of colon on day 7 showing epithelial ulceration (arrows), severe edema (asterisks), and regenerative crypts (arrow heads). Representative images of 6 mice studied. (d) Western blot analysis of IRE1a and Sel1L protein levels in the gut, with quantitation shown on the right (n=3 mice for EKO; Ire1a+/- and n=2 for the rest). Each lane represents an independent sample. a Tubulin, a loading control. Unprocessed original scans of blots are shown in Supplementary Fig. 9. (e) Q-PCR analysis of BiP mRNA levels in the gut. Error bars represent sem, n=3 mice of each genotype. (f) Q-PCR analysis of Chop mRNA levels in isolated colon epithelium of WT and Sel1L IEC mice. Error bars represent sem, n=3 mice of each genotype. (g-i) The experiments and data presentation are the same as those shown above in (a-c), with the exception that EKO; Chop-/- and their control cohorts were characterized. Error bars represent sem from n=6 mice of each genotype pooled from two independent experiments. n.s., not significant. *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ***, p<0.001 by Student's two-tailed t test. (i) Our model: Sel1L-Hrd1 ERAD degrades IRE1 α protein, thereby restraining IRE1a activation and signaling. Subsequently, IRE1a signaling modulates inflammation and the pathogenesis of experimental colitis. The finding reported in this study is shown in red.