



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

JAMA Psychiatry. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 December 01.

Published in final edited form as:

JAMA Psychiatry. 2015 December 1; 72(12): 1256–1257. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.1902.

Depression and Mood Disorder Among African American and White Women

Katherine M. Keyes, PhD, David M. Barnes, PhD, and Lisa M. Bates, ScD

Department of Epidemiology, Columbia University, New York, New York

To the Editor

We have critical concerns with the article by Weaver et al.¹ There were very few cases of major depressive disorder and mood disorder among focal subgroups (often <10). Misclassification of depression can thus have a disproportionate impact on estimates. Furthermore, age, education, household income, and marital status adjustment assumes there are, within the joint distributions of factors, at least some white and African American women with depression in all urbanicity subgroups. Mathematically, this is impossible, and basic positivity assumptions are not satisfied.

Regarding the urbanicity comparisons, rural white women had higher prevalence than their urban counterparts for just 2 of 4 outcomes; in the other 2, results were in a similar direction as those of African American women (although of less magnitude), with rural women having slightly lower prevalence. To infer distinct etiologies of mood disorders for white and African American women based on analyses in which half of the results are consistent across demographic groups is puzzling.

Finally, the national sample weights cannot be assumed to be appropriate for approximating regional-specific estimates, especially if weighting factors interact with exposures in association with depression.²

The authors speculated that increased risk for depression among rural white women may be owing to labor force pressure, multiple role strain, and traditional sex roles.¹ They implicitly assume that these same causal factors are less operative in African American women. Instead, they suggest that African American women disproportionately benefit from “resources and coping strategies” including religiosity, social ties, and reliance on grandmothers for care-giving. While these coping differences may be operative in some pathways (eg, religiosity is associated with lower depression risk, and the African American population has higher reported religiosity), these conclusions risk minimizing the role of structural, economic, and sex discrimination experienced by African American women, while potentially reifying stereotypes. Attempts to separate depression etiologies based on lived experiences of white and African American individuals are often fraught with problematic assumptions lacking empirical support. For example, the Weaver et al¹

Corresponding Author: Katherine M. Keyes, PhD, Columbia University, Mailman School of Public Health, 722 W 168th St, Ste 503 New York, NY 10032 (kmk2104@columbia.edu).

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

conclusion is consistent with literature suggesting stressors affect African American mental health to a lesser extent than that of white individuals.³ We have^{4,5} and will continue to refute such hypotheses based on poor conceptualization and contradictory empirical evidence. Existing theories do point to fruitful paths forward; for example, established experimental research on cognitive reappraisal and external attribution in the context of systematic marginalization and discrimination should, perhaps, be given more attention in epidemiology. Off-support analyses and ill-conceived conclusions move us back rather than forward.

References

1. Weaver A, Himle JA, Taylor RJ, Matusko NN, Abelson JM. Urban vs rural residence and the prevalence of depression and mood disorder among African American women and non-Hispanic white women. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2015; 72(6):576–583. [PubMed: 25853939]
2. Pfeffermann D. The role of sampling weights when modeling survey data. *Int Stat Rev*. 1993; 61(2): 317–337.10.2307/1403631
3. Mezuk B, Abdou CM, Hudson D, et al. “White box” epidemiology and the social neuroscience of health behaviors: the environmental affordances model. *Soc Ment Health*. 2013; 3(2)
4. Keyes KM, Barnes DM, Bates LM. Stress, coping, and depression: testing a new hypothesis in a prospectively studied general population sample of US-born whites and blacks. *Soc Sci Med*. 2011; 72(5):650–659. [PubMed: 21227557]
5. Barnes DM, Keyes KM, Bates LM. Racial differences in depression in the United States: how do subgroup analyses inform a paradox? *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 2013; 48(12):1941–1949. [PubMed: 23732705]