

Vaccination of healthcare workers: A review

Skerdi Haviari¹, Thomas Béné^{1,2,3}, Mitra Saadatian-Elahi¹, Philippe André¹, Pierre Loulergue^{3,4,5}, and Philippe Vanhems^{1,2,3,*}

¹Service d'Hygiène, Epidémiologie et Prévention; Hôpital Edouard Herriot; Hospices Civils de Lyon; Lyon, France; ²Equipe Epidémiologie et Santé Publique; Université de Lyon; Université Lyon 1; Lyon, France; ³Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM); French Clinical Research Investigation Network (F-CRIN); Innovative Clinical Research Network in Vaccinology (I-REIVAC); Lyon, France; ⁴Université Paris Descartes; Sorbonne Paris Cité; INSERM, CIC 1417; Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP); Groupe Hospitalier Cochin Broca Hôtel Dieu; CIC Cochin-Pasteur; Paris, France; ⁵INSERM; F-CRIN; I-REIVAC; Cochin Center; Paris, France

Keywords: coverage, healthcare worker, hepatitis, influenza, measles, mumps, nosocomial, pertussis, vaccination, varicella

Abbreviations: AEs, Adverse events; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; DT, Diphtheria and tetanus; EEA, European economic area; EU, European Union; HBV, Hepatitis B virus; HBs, Hepatitis B virus surface antigen; HCW, Healthcare worker; ICUs, Intensive care units; MMR, Measles; mumps; rubella; RCT, Randomized controlled trial; Tdap, Combined tetanus; diphtheria and pertussis vaccine; VE, Vaccine effectiveness; VZV, Varicella zoster virus; WHO, World Health Organization

Vaccine-preventable diseases are a significant cause of morbidity and mortality. As new vaccines are proving to be effective and as the incidence of some infections decreases, vaccination practices are changing. Healthcare workers (HCWs) are particularly exposed to and play a role in nosocomial transmission, which makes them an important target group for vaccination. Most vaccine-preventable diseases still carry a significant risk of resurgence and have caused outbreaks in recent years. While many professional societies favor vaccination of HCWs as well as the general population, recommendations differ from country to country. In turn, vaccination coverage varies widely for each microorganism and for each country, making hospitals and clinics vulnerable to outbreaks. Vaccine mandates and non-mandatory strategies are the subject of ongoing research and controversies. Optimal approaches to increase coverage and turn the healthcare workforce into an efficient barrier against infectious diseases are still being debated.

Introduction

Patients harbouring vaccine-preventable diseases are treated regularly by healthcare workers (HCWs), who may or may not have received corresponding vaccination. The relevance of immunization differs from one infection to another, depending on the epidemiology of each disease and the risks and benefits of each vaccine. Official recommendations about the best vaccine strategies have been issued for HCWs and management. All these factors participate in final vaccination coverage.

This review summarizes the recent literature on these topics, concerning the most common vaccines (Table 1), without discussing particular modalities of vaccine administration. Interventions to increase influenza vaccination coverage among HCWs have recently been the subject of significant research and are thus also covered. We mainly focus on articles published between

01/01/2011 and 01/02/2015, identified by PubMed search in English and French. Published national guidelines are also reviewed.

Influenza

Background and epidemiology

Influenza is a viral respiratory disease that affects humans as well as animals, such as pigs and chickens. The virus mutates quickly, and its seasonal strains cause outbreaks every year, mostly during winter, affecting 5-10% of the population, killing up to 0.01%,¹ and even spreading in hospitals.²⁻⁴ Major genetic rearrangements between viruses, usually from different species, can create pandemic strains that can infect much of the population. Vaccines against these strains are not the subject of this review. Influenza vaccine formulations are updated annually to match vaccine viruses with those predicted to circulate in the community. Two vaccine versions can be used, inactivated and live-attenuated. Inactivated vaccines seem to be more efficacious in adults aged 50 years or more, whereas the more recent live-attenuated version is more efficacious in children, less sensitive to mismatches with circulating strains and easier to administer (intranasally), but seems to be more likely to cause complications in recipients with underlying conditions.⁵

Seasonal influenza is usually a relatively benign illness in healthy adults, such as HCWs, but can be severe in other groups (children, the elderly, immunocompromised patients and patients with risk conditions). The symptoms include fever, sore throat and musculoskeletal pain typically lasting 3-7 days. Cough and malaise can persist for 2 weeks or more, with high intensity in the first week.⁶ The disease facilitates infections by bacteria, e.g., *Streptococcus pneumoniae* or *Staphylococcus aureus*, which can be fatal.⁷

In healthy adults, incubation time is 1-3 days, viral shedding starts 0-2 days before the onset of symptoms and continues 4-14 days afterwards.^{6,8,9} Pre- and asymptomatic shedding is common and can represent a significant proportion of infectiousness.¹⁰ The reproduction number is around 2. Influenza transmission by HCWs has been observed during nosocomial outbreaks.¹¹ Cross-over immunity from infections in previous

*Correspondence to: Philippe Vanhems; Email: philippe.vanhems@chu-lyon.fr
Submitted: 04/22/2015; Revised: 07/16/2015; Accepted: 08/07/2015
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2015.1082014>

Table 1. Summary of the key facts for each vaccine-preventable disease

Disease	Patients most frequently/seriously affected	Nosocomial transmission	HCW vaccination / seroprevalence rates	Vaccination recommendations
Influenza	Children, elderly, obese, immunocompromised, affected by chronic neurological, hepatic, renal comorbidities	Frequent	15-90%	Recommended for all HCWs in 26/31 EU/EEA countries, the USA and Japan
Hepatitis B	Stay in endemic regions, pregnant women, immunocompromised, disabled, dialyzed, intravenous drug users	Frequent	63-95%	Recommended for all HCWs in high-income countries. Mandatory for medical students in France
Pertussis	Infants, affected by cardiac or respiratory comorbidities	Frequent	14-73%	Recommended for all HCWs in high-income countries
Measles	Infants and children <5 years old, adults > 20, pregnant women, immunocompromised	Frequent	87-97%	Recommended for all HCWs in high-income countries. Mandatory in Finland and for female workers in Slovenia
Mumps	Students, international travellers	Rare		
Rubella	Pregnant women, children <5 years old	Exceptional		
Varicella	Pregnant women, newborns, adults, immunocompromised	Rare	90-100%	Recommended for the general population in the USA, Canada, Australia and 4 EU/EEA countries. Recommended for some or all HCWs in 10 EU/EEA countries
Tetanus	Elderly, affected by chronic conditions	Exceptional	89-97%	Recommended for the general population in 14/30 EU/EEA countries and the USA
Diphtheria	Children <5 years old, adults >40	Exceptional		

years is usually considered weak because of constant changes in the circulating virus genome.

Benefits and risks in healthcare settings

Studying HCW influenza vaccination involves assessing benefits and risks in both HCWs and their patients, and balancing them against one another.

The individual benefits of HCW vaccination are the prevention of influenza and the reduction of influenza-related disability, measured mostly by HCW absenteeism. Major complications of influenza and influenza vaccines are rare among healthy adults; thus, their incidence variations are difficult to ascertain.

Vaccine effectiveness (VE) and efficacy vary significantly from season to season, mainly because of rapid virus evolution and mismatches between vaccines and seasonal strains. This will remain unchanged in the near future, although broader vaccines are the subject of ongoing research.¹² In randomized clinical trials (RCTs) among healthy adults, vaccine efficacy ranges from 16 to 76%, with a median of 50% (interquartile range: 42-68).^{13,14} VE is similar in observational studies. The US Flu Vaccine Effectiveness Network estimates VE every year in the USA, in adults aged 18 to 49 years. Adjusted VE during the 2010-2015 seasons was 51%, 44%, 39%, 60% and 16%, respectively.¹⁵⁻¹⁹ These figures are similar to what has been found elsewhere,²⁰ but somewhat lower than the 88% reported in a RCT conducted among HCWs in the 1990's,²¹ which might have been due to HCW-specific characteristics or selection bias. Temporary disability, measured by influenza-like illness-related absenteeism, was reduced by 28% in a randomized, controlled, double-blind trial among HCWs.²²

Guillain-Barré syndrome is the most severe, well-established adverse effect (AE) of influenza vaccines. An association has been observed for the 1976 pandemic swine flu vaccine, at a rate of 1 per 100,000 vaccinations,²³ with other studies reporting influenza vaccination as a risk factor. However, Guillain-Barré syndrome is also a rare complication of influenza itself, and investigations lasting several years have determined that vaccination has an overall protective effect against this neurological disorder.^{24,25} Case-control studies of another auto-immune disease, narcolepsy, have shown increased risk among children who received ASO3 adjuvanted 2009 pandemic vaccine,^{26,27} although the effect has not been consistent, and, like Guillain-Barré syndrome, the disease may be correlated with influenza itself.²⁸ Seasonal influenza vaccines do not contain squalene-based ASO3 adjuvant, which has been proposed to be the molecular trigger of this AE. It is consistent with studies of non-adjuvanted vaccine recipients in the USA who did not incur heightened risk,²⁹ but not with investigations into another squalene-based additive, MF59[®], which did not discern any increase either,³⁰ making the cause of the complication less clear.

Other reported AEs, also mostly immunological in nature, have been researched to a lesser extent. They include, as reviewed in detail elsewhere:³¹ 1) immune thrombocytopenic purpura, with conflicting studies,^{32,33} and a possible association with influenza itself,³⁴ 2) oculo-respiratory syndrome, defined as bilateral conjunctivitis, facial edema and mild respiratory symptoms, with 2.9% attributable risk in 1 study,³⁵ 3) Bell's palsy, with highly varying effect sizes,³⁶⁻³⁸ and a possible association with influenza itself,³⁹ 4) rheumatoid arthritis, with no association found,^{36,40} 5) inflammatory bowel disease, with a hazard ratio of 1.25, only among early vaccination recipients in one study,³⁶ and 6)

paresthesia, with an adjusted hazard ratio of 1.11, in the same study.³⁶ Overall, the evidence does not argue for the risk of severe complications among healthy recipients of the influenza vaccine.⁴¹

Several trials in long-term care facilities have demonstrated the benefits of HCW influenza vaccination for patient outcomes. The strongest effect was in all-cause mortality, with odds ratios of 0.56,⁴² 0.70 (recalculated, unadjusted),⁴³ 0.61⁴⁴ and 0.80⁴⁵ for patients whose HCWs were allocated to the vaccination group. As discussed elsewhere,⁴⁶ the consistent effect on mortality contrasts with weaker results obtained with more specific outcomes, such as laboratory-confirmed influenza or respiratory diseases. Several factors could contribute, such as the worsening of underlying conditions by influenza infection, reduced herd immunity against co-infections, influenza-induced immunodepression and improper influenza diagnostics tools.

Prospective studies with robust designs are somewhat harder to undertake in the general acute-care population, since the much shorter exposure to risk in tertiary care would require very large cohorts. Case-control studies and open-label interventions to increase influenza vaccination have noted major reductions in the number of nosocomial respiratory illnesses when HCWs are vaccinated.⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹

Recommendations

In light of this evidence, most official recommendations highly favor influenza vaccination of HCWs. All European countries but 1,⁵⁰ the Australian Communicable Diseases Network,⁵¹ the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)⁵² and the World Health Organization (WHO)⁵³ all recommend influenza vaccination of HCWs.

Despite a call by the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America,⁵⁴ and official coverage targets of 90% (USA)⁵⁵ and 75% (European Union (EU)),⁵⁶ no country has made it mandatory for now. Local regulations on mandatory HCW influenza immunization have been implemented, for example, in New York State and British Columbia province in Canada, but have run into legal challenges. Some states, such as California, require unvaccinated employees to sign a form declining vaccination.⁵⁷

Rates and determinants of HCW influenza vaccination

Despite these guidelines, vaccination rates remain low in most countries. **Table 2** reports HCW vaccination rates in different countries for the last 5 years. Vaccination coverage is higher in the USA and has been progressing for several years, perhaps because of more aggressive management policies with respect to influenza immunization.⁵⁸ In contrast, rates in Europe are lower and do not seem to have increased significantly over the years. Several factors influence the vaccination rate and single out target groups for vaccination campaigns. Men get vaccinated somewhat more often,⁵⁹ as do older workers.⁵⁹ Age can have other effects. For example, in a nation-wide Spanish survey,⁶⁰ among unvaccinated workers, being >35 years old was associated with an unwillingness to change one's mind and get vaccinated. Both the use of educational material and the presence of a vulnerable person at home had a lower association with decisions to change

one's behavior after several years without vaccination. In European hospitals, physicians get vaccinated more often than nurses,^{59,61,62} but the situation is different in the USA,⁶³ Korea⁶⁴⁻⁶⁶ and Qatar.⁶⁷ The effect is also not found in primary care professionals.⁶⁰ Interestingly, the discrepancy is not seen with other vaccines, such as hepatitis B virus (HBV).⁶¹ Vaccine uptake seems lower in long-term care facilities,⁶³ although most clinical trials have been conducted in them.

As expected, believing the vaccine is effective⁵⁹ and unlikely to cause significant AEs⁶⁸⁻⁷² is correlated with higher uptake. The conviction that influenza is a serious disease,^{60,63} the willingness to prevent influenza transmission,^{59,60,63,71} to protect oneself^{59,60,63,71} and one's patients^{59,60,71} are also correlated with vaccine uptake. However, the wish to protect oneself seems to have a greater effect than the desire to protect patients,⁵⁹ suggesting that selfish motivations might provide more leverage than altruistic reasons. This is in line with a survey showing that 95% of unvaccinated HCWs in a geriatric ward knew that influenza could kill their patients.⁷¹ Other similar factors associated with higher uptake include the belief that influenza prevention is important,^{59,73} suffering from a chronic condition^{60,62} or having a high-risk person in one's household.^{60,62}

In addition, the strong effect of habit has been found to be somewhat independent of intent,⁷⁴ indicating that convincing HCWs might not be enough. In a pilot Dutch study over 1 season,⁷³ 26.1% of HCWs who intended to get vaccinated eventually did not (they represented 5.2% of the total). In addition, while 52% of participants did not want to be vaccinated, final vaccine coverage was only 19.7%, making the case for more convenient vaccination programmes. In this regard, relevant organizational factors include the distribution of free vaccines^{59,63} and the ability to arrange immunization at convenient times.^{68,75}

Policies to increase influenza vaccination rates

Different strategies are being adopted in hospitals worldwide to increase influenza vaccination rates among HCWs. Free vaccines, on-site vaccinations, mobile vaccination carts, walk-in vaccinations, educational materials, communication campaigns and declination forms have had a limited effect of less than 10 points in vaccination coverage,^{57,76,77} with 1 exception yielding a 18 percentage point increase,⁷⁸ possibly due to the distribution of vaccine kits in units for on-site vaccination of colleagues.

One strategy that seems to have a strong effect is the requirement that all unvaccinated staff wear a mask when in contact with patients, to prevent transmission. An intervention, conducted in 2009 in a US healthcare network employing 161,000 people, combined such a mandate with previous policies and reported increased coverage (from 58 to 95% in 1 year).⁷⁹ Similar interventions in different settings, with the addition of manager accountability for coverage rates in some cases, have yielded good results.⁸⁰⁻⁸⁴ One post-intervention survey established that employees explicitly cited the inconvenience and stigma of having to wear a mask as the strongest motivator to get vaccinated.⁸¹ These multifaceted, sometimes logistically-challenging interventions have nevertheless been ascertained to be relatively inexpensive in industrialized countries, around US\$ 20-30 per vaccine

Table 2. Vaccination coverage against influenza

Country	Year	Setting	Type of staff	Respondents	Rate	References	
China	2010	—	—	576	11%	218	
	2011	—	—	576	12%	218	
China (HK)	2010	H	—	1,556	30%	70	
Croatia	2013	—	—	—	19%	219	
France	2009	H	Direct-care	451	35%	61	
	2009	PC	MD	1,431	78%	220	
	2010	PC	MD	1,431	77%	220	
	2011	—	—	—	28%	221	
Germany	2008	—	—	738	22%	222	
	2009	—	—	578	20%	222	
	2011	—	—	—	26%	221	
Greece	2008	H	N	606	21%	223	
Hungary	2011	—	—	—	41%	221	
	2013	—	—	—	29%	219	
Ireland	2013	—	—	—	30%	219	
Italy	2005	—	Mixed	5,336	21%	224	
	1990-2003	—	N, ancillary	13,989	13%	225	
Lithuania	2013	—	—	—	37%	219	
Ireland	2012	—	—	—	18%	226	
	2013	—	—	—	30%	219	
Norway	2011	—	—	—	14%	221	
Poland	2012	—	—	—	6%	226	
	2013	—	—	—	10%	219	
Portugal	2012	—	—	—	32%	226	
	2013	—	—	—	28%	219	
Qatar	2012	H (1)	N, MD, Tc	209	62%	67	
	2013	H (1)	N, MD, Tc	325	71%	67	
Romania	2011	—	—	—	64%	221	
	2012	—	—	—	54%	226	
	2013	—	—	—	42%	219	
Singapore	2010	H	—	284	43%	70	
South Korea	2008	H	—	8,827	58%	64	
	2009	H	—	8,996	61%	64	
Spain	2006	—	—	497	22%	227	
	2007	—	—	435	27%	227	
	2009	—	—	325	29%	227	
	2010	—	—	312	31%	227	
	2009	PC	—	2,625	58%	60	
	2010	PC	—	2,625	57%	60	
	2011	PC	—	2,625	53%	60	
	2012	PC	—	2,625	49%	60	
	2011	—	—	—	21%	221	
	2012	—	—	—	25%	226	
	2013	—	—	—	23%	219	
	UK (ENG)	2010	—	N	522	37%	228
		2010	PC	N, MD	205	36%	229
2011		PC	MW	266	43%	230	
2012		—	—	—	45%	226	
2013		—	—	—	46%	219	
UK (NIR)	2013	—	—	—	15%	219	
UK (SCO)	2013	—	—	—	34%	219	
UK (WAL)	2013	—	—	—	36%	219	
USA	2010	—	Mixed	16,975	52%	231	
	2010	—	—	1,860	60%	232	
	2011	—	Mixed	1,937	64%	233	
	2011	—	—	*	61%	234	
	2012	—	Mixed	2,348	67%	235	
	2013	—	Mixed	2,005	72%	236	
	2014	—	Mixed	1,949	75%	237	
	2014	H	—	**	82%	238	
India	2010	H (3)	—	1,421	<5%	75	
Slovenia	2010	—	MD	1,718	51%	72	

Setting: PC: primary care, H: hospitals, Tc: tertiary care. Numbers in parentheses represent number of hospitals in local surveys.

Type of staff: MD: medical doctors, N: nurses, MW: midwives.

Country: HK: Hong Kong, UK: United Kingdom, ENG: England, NIR: Northern Ireland, SCO: Scotland, WAL: Wales.

*Survey of 111 employers.

**4,254 employers, accounting for 8 million staff members.

administered,⁸⁵ which is cost-effective when accounting for reduced employee absenteeism.²⁰

Mandatory influenza vaccination, with employment termination for unvaccinated employees without serious exemptions, is another frequently-used strategy endorsed in official recommendations.⁵⁴ This type of measure is mostly well-accepted.^{86,87} In many instances, such mandates have been put in place after years of partially successful educational campaigns, and have resulted in coverage rates >95%,⁸⁸⁻⁹¹ even in 1 situation where it was low (<30%) before mandate implementation.⁹² Terminations remained rare, around 1 per 1,000 employees at most.⁸⁸⁻⁹² However, low compliance can also occur, which makes enforcement difficult and fraught with legal challenges. This happened to a British Columbia province-wide mandate,⁹³ which, unlike the other examples discussed here, was enacted by a body not directly responsible for its local implementation.

Measles, Mumps, Rubella

Background and epidemiology

Measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR) are highly contagious viral infections that can result in severe complications, sequelae and congenital anomalies. The MMR vaccine, first licensed in 1971, is indicated for simultaneous vaccination in individuals ≥12 months of age. The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices-recommended schedule of MMR vaccine is a 2-dose series at ages 12-15 months and 4-6 years.⁹⁴ With more than 575 million doses released in over 60 countries since its introduction, the MMR vaccine is the most widely-distributed combination vaccine world-wide.⁹⁵

In the pre-vaccine era, MMR were considered as universal childhood diseases with peak incidence among 5-9-year-olds.⁹⁶ Reported cases of these 3 vaccine-preventable diseases declined considerably after the MMR vaccine was introduced in the late 1960s.⁹⁶ However, they can still be contracted, possibly because of suboptimal immunization levels.

Measles

Measles, one of the most transmissible of all human diseases, is caused by an RNA virus belonging to the *Paramyxoviridae* family. Humans are the only known reservoir of the virus. Measles is considered to be one of the most deadly vaccine-preventable diseases. Complications associated with measles include neurological (encephalitis), respiratory (pneumonia), ocular (keratoconjunctivitis) and gastrointestinal (diarrhea) manifestations as well as death. From 2000 to 2013, the world-wide annual incidence of measles was reduced by 72% (from 146 to 40 per million).⁹⁷ However, 92-95% vaccination coverage is required to achieve herd immunity and protect unvaccinated, susceptible individuals against measles.⁹⁸ Suboptimal vaccination rates can lead to regular outbreaks.

Europe is still far from a vaccine coverage rate of 95%.⁹⁹ It is probably the reason why this infectious disease has become more common since 2009, provoking several epidemic peaks throughout Europe. In 2011, measles outbreaks were reported by 36 of

56 European countries,¹⁰⁰ with France, Italy, Romania, Spain and Germany accounting for more than 90% of cases. From May 2014 to June 2015, 4,284 cases (74% unvaccinated) were reported by 30 EU/European economic area (EEA) states. One measles-related death and 9 encephalitis cases were noted during this period.¹⁰¹

The USA has documented the elimination of endemic measles with incidence rates of 1 case per 1,000,000 population reported since 2001.¹⁰² The latest measles outbreak in the USA included 125 cases in California (n=110, 45% non-vaccinated) and neighboring states (n=15) after exposure in a Disney theme park.¹⁰³ Other outbreaks in the USA originated mainly from import-associated cases.¹⁰⁴ Increasing rates of vaccination refusal may be involved.¹⁰⁵

Mumps

Mumps is a viral disease whose main target organ is the parotid salivary gland. Complications include encephalitis, meningitis, orchitis, oophoritis, deafness and pancreatitis.¹⁰⁶ The disease is no longer very common in the developed world, but outbreaks have struck both Europe and the USA. The latest mumps outbreaks in the USA occurred in Orthodox Jewish communities,¹⁰⁷ at university campuses in California¹⁰⁸ and in New York.¹⁰⁹ Similarly, several mumps outbreaks were reported in populations with vaccine coverage >80% in Europe,¹¹⁰⁻¹¹² stressing that the herd immunity threshold for mumps is about 90%.⁹⁸

Rubella

Rubella is a rather mild infection in children but can become a serious concern in pregnant women due to the risk of congenital infection. In the WHO European region, rubella is still rather common with >11,000 cases and 17 congenital rubella syndrome infants.^{113,114} During the first half of 2012, the number of rubella cases increased by 400% compared to the same period in 2011, with nearly all cases registered in Romania, Poland and the Russian Federation.¹¹⁵ In contrast, rubella was considered eliminated in the USA in 2004, with a median of 11 rubella cases (range: 4-18) reported yearly from 2005 to 2011.⁹⁶ At least 85% of the population should be immune to rubella to prevent outbreaks.¹⁰⁴

Benefits and risks in healthcare settings

Measles

Nosocomial measles transmission is facilitated by its highly contagious nature (reproduction number ranging from 7 to 15),¹¹⁶ and its ability to persist in aerosol suspension for at least 1 hour.¹¹⁷ Compared to the general population, HCWs are estimated to be at 13- to 19-fold greater risk of acquiring measles.^{117,118} Transmission from patients to unprotected HCWs can occur via infected individuals who seek medical care before developing clinically-recognizable disease, i.e., before rash onset. Thus, measles represents an occupational risk for HCWs. On the other hand, susceptible HCWs may expose their colleagues/patients to risk.

Nosocomial infections may be associated with a high risk of poor outcomes in hospitalized patients with chronic conditions, who are prone to complications from infectious diseases.^{119,120} Many nosocomial measles cases have been reported in the literature during the last 2 decades.¹¹⁷ As with other highly contagious diseases, nosocomial measles transmission may involve a large number of potential contacts that should be traced quickly for implementation of appropriate isolation measures to stop the within-hospital spread of the virus. In 2 studies, 84 and 110 subjects were respectively identified as having been exposed to index HCWs.^{121,122}

Mumps

Mumps is no longer a common disease in most high-income countries. Nosocomial mumps outbreaks have been infrequent.¹²³ Transmission from one HCW to another occurred in a neonatal intensive care unit (ICU) in Syracuse, New York.¹²⁴ No nosocomial transmission of mumps has been documented in Europe in recent years.¹²⁵

Rubella

There have been no cases of nosocomial rubella transmission in Europe¹²⁵ and in the USA, where the disease's elimination was announced in 2004.¹²⁶

Vaccine-associated risks

More than 25 AEs have been proposed to be linked with the MMR vaccine.⁴¹ Those for which there is supporting evidence in adults include encephalitis in immunocompromised patients, anaphylaxis, and transient arthralgia.⁴¹ The available evidence argues against widely-publicized side-effects, such as autism and type 1 diabetes.⁴¹

Recommendations

MMR vaccination is recommended for HCWs in some European countries,¹²⁵ the USA,⁹⁶ Canada,¹²⁷ Australia¹²⁸ and the Caribbean¹²⁹ while it is mandated in Finland¹³⁰ and for female HCWs in Slovenia.¹³¹ The WHO has not provided any specific recommendations or evidence of measles immunity in HCWs.¹³²

Currently-accepted proof of immunity includes documented administration of 2 MMR vaccine doses, laboratory evidence of immunity and laboratory confirmation of disease. Being born before 1957 is considered acceptable evidence of immunity.¹²⁶ In the USA, during nosocomial outbreaks, 1 or 2 doses of MMR vaccine are recommended for all unvaccinated HCWs without laboratory-confirmed disease or immunity against rubella and measles/mumps, respectively.⁹⁶

Vaccination coverage

In 2013, world-wide coverage with measles-containing vaccine has been estimated to be 84%, ranging from 97% in the WHO Western Pacific region to 74% in India.⁹⁷ Few studies have reported MMR vaccine coverage in HCWs. In the Puglia region of southern Italy, MMR vaccine coverage among HCWs was 9.7%.¹³³ Self-reported vaccination rates of 23.3%, 23.3% and 29.8% were attributed to measles, rubella and mumps,

respectively, among HCWs in Greece.¹³⁴ In a review by the European Center for Disease Control, coverage rates for 2 measles doses among HCWs were 43.6% and 62.3% in France and Denmark, respectively.¹²⁵ A measles coverage rate of 33.3% was reported at Edouard Herriot Hospital in Lyon, France.¹³⁵ Initiatives for improving vaccination coverage among HCWs in Europe include serological screening, pre-employment screening, and mandatory vaccination.¹²⁵

Demonstrated susceptibility to measles among HCWs comes mainly from seroprevalence studies that have been the subject of a recent systematic review.¹³² Overall, 6% of HCWs in Europe were seronegative for measles. This proportion rose to 9.2% in the Middle East and 10% in Asia and the western Pacific but was lower (3.5%) in a South African study published in 1990.¹³² These authors found differences in seroprevalence according to age, with older people being less likely to be seronegative. In a study from Catalonia, Spain, the overall prevalence of susceptibility to mumps was 12.5%, with the highest proportion of susceptible HCWs (23.6%) being those aged <27 years.¹³⁶ In Greece, 25% of HCWs from 152 primary healthcare centers turned out to be susceptible to mumps.¹³⁴ Earlier studies recorded mumps susceptibility rates of 8-13% in HCWs in the USA.^{137,138} Seroprevalence investigations of rubella have confirmed antibodies in 97.2% of HCWs. Workers aged <30 years had a high susceptibility.¹³⁹

Hepatitis B

Background and epidemiology

The prevalence of chronic HBV infections varies widely between countries, with higher rates in developing nations. Global incidence has declined from 4.2% in 1990 to 3.7% in 2005.¹⁴⁰ Nosocomial exposure is an important risk factor for HBV infection. In 2012, 20.7% of notified acute HBV infections were related to nosocomial exposure.¹⁴¹ HCWs are particularly exposed to HBV because of frequent contact with blood and other bodily fluids.

Benefits and risks in healthcare settings

In the USA, it has been estimated that the number of HBV infections among HCWs declined from 17,000 in 1983 to 263 in 2010,¹⁴² owing to the introduction of routine hepatitis B vaccination and improvements in healthcare practices.¹⁴³ Several recent outbreaks involving patient-to-patient HBV transmission have been recorded.¹⁴⁴⁻¹⁴⁶ Outbreaks mostly occurred in renal ward/hemodialysis patients and were frequently related to lack of infection control measures.^{145,146} Transmission from HCWs to patients has also been observed.¹⁴⁷ The risk of HCWs acquiring HBV infection is related to the extent of percutaneous or mucosal exposure to blood and other bodily fluids.

Several neurological syndromes, including multiple sclerosis and other demyelinating affections, have been suspected to be linked with hepatitis B vaccination.⁴¹ However, there is no evidence to confirm this relationship.^{41,148}

Recommendations

As part of standard precautions and other mechanical control measures, vaccination is a major tool for preventing HBV infections in HCWs. HBV vaccination is recommended for all HCWs, whatever their specialty, in high-income countries. For unvaccinated HCWs, or if no proof of vaccination is available, a 3-dose series (on days 0, 30 and 180) of Hepatitis B vaccines should be administered. Testing for antibodies against HBV surface antigen (HBs) is recommended 1-2 months after the 3rd dose for HCWs at high risk of blood exposures, to evaluate the response to vaccination.¹⁴² Re-vaccination with at least 1 dose of HBV vaccine should be considered for non-responders after the 3-dose series. In developing countries, due to the high prevalence of HBV infection, a universal vaccination strategy is probably not enough to control the occupational risk of HBV. Prevention of occupational blood exposures should be emphasized.¹⁴⁹ In addition, management of percutaneous or mucosal blood exposure should include the use of soaps, antiseptics and testing of patient HBs status. Depending on the immune status of HCWs, vaccination should be considered as soon as possible after exposure.¹⁴²

Vaccination coverage

Notwithstanding these recommendations, vaccination coverage against HBV remains suboptimal, albeit higher than with other recommended vaccines. For example, in Italy, vaccination coverage was 24.8% for influenza compared to 70.1% for HBV;¹³³ vaccination coverage of HCWs against HBV in the USA was 63.4%.¹⁵⁰ The vaccination rate was higher in French healthcare students (91.8%), probably because of mandatory vaccination.¹⁵¹

Pertussis

Background and epidemiology

Whooping cough, a highly-transmissible respiratory disease caused by *Bordetella pertussis*, is life-threatening for unvaccinated infants and remains a public health concern, even in countries with high vaccine coverage. Pertussis was first recognized as an epidemic disease in the 16th century. In the pre-vaccine era, the calculated attack rate was 872/100,000, and the majority of cases were children <5 years of age.^{152,153} On average, 5,000-10,000 deaths occurred per year; the death rate began to decline before anti-microbial therapy and vaccination.^{152,154} Although precise quantitative data are lacking, available clinical case reports indicate that re-infections in atypical forms are common in adults.¹⁵² Incidence and mortality were reduced dramatically (>90%) in the industrialized world after large-scale vaccination during the 1950's and 1960's. However, the disease is still endemic nowadays, and pertussis cycles are witnessed every 3-5 years, even in regions with high vaccine coverage. Between-cycle intervals as well as disease intensity and incidence during cycles present variations that are not well-understood. Outbreak cycles may, therefore, result from the continued transmission of pertussis among adolescents and adults, with passage to susceptible infants

(unimmunized or partially immunized).^{155,156} In Western countries during the last few decades, there has been a shift of peak incidence from children to adolescents, adults and infants aged <1 year.¹⁵⁵⁻¹⁵⁸ The number of pertussis cases has generally risen since the 1990s. Recent reports from around the world suggest that more pertussis cases are occurring in adolescents and adults than can be explained by better observation and better diagnostic methods, and experts differ on the role of several potential explanatory factors, including strain changes, clustering of susceptible individuals, and differences in efficacy profiles between acellular and whole-cell vaccines.^{156,158,159} To date, no evidence of widespread pertussis resurgence exists. However, the SAGE Pertussis Working Group provided proof of resurgence in 5 of 19 countries reviewed.¹⁵⁸

Benefits and risks in healthcare settings

Pertussis outbreaks in nosocomial settings are well-described in many countries with more than 30 reported nosocomial outbreaks.¹⁶⁰⁻¹⁶² The index cases were often found among healthcare staff, and *B. pertussis* transmission occurred more frequently among colleagues than between HCWs and their patients.¹⁶⁰⁻¹⁶² HCWs and patients may serve as pertussis sources in nosocomial outbreaks, which can result in substantial morbidity and constrain infection control measures.¹⁶⁰⁻¹⁶² Pertussis HCW immunization, testing and limitation of patient contact in case of prolonged cough could reduce the morbidity of pertussis outbreaks.¹⁶⁰⁻¹⁶² Currently, no RCT data support the effectiveness of pertussis vaccination in HCWs to prevent outbreaks and infant morbidity/mortality.¹⁶⁰⁻¹⁶³ Furthermore, there are no approved protection correlates for pertussis vaccines.¹⁶⁴ However, recent modeling approaches are confirming that booster vaccination of pediatric HCWs is an effective intervention to reduce the risk of pertussis transmission¹⁶⁵ and is cost-effective or cost-saving, even at low coverage levels.¹⁶⁶

Pertussis transmission from HCWs to their patients has been documented. A 2014 study found that between 2002 and 2011, in a large quaternary pediatric care network, a total of 1,193 confirmed exposures were linked to 219 index cases. Of these, 38% were infants <6 months old, and 7 were HCWs. Most exposures (77.5%) occurred in emergency departments or at ambulatory sites; 27.0% of exposures transpired after documented infection control intervention.¹⁶⁷ In a recent study among Spanish HCWs, the seroprevalence of anti-pertussis antibodies was 51.7%, and the incidence of recent infection, estimated by anti-pertussis toxin antibodies, was 15%.¹⁶⁸ This prevalence of recent infection concurs with previous investigations.^{169,170}

No evidence has been documented on the effect of vaccination among HCWs in averting transmission to newborns and/or infants, but many case and outbreak reports have demonstrated their role in nosocomial pertussis transmission. Transmission has also been tracked from HCWs after tetanus, diphtheria, and pertussis (Tdap) administration in the previous 3 years,¹⁵⁹ showing that the vaccine is only partially effective.

Comparative data on AEs are sparse. Immuno-neurological manifestations, the most publicized type of serious vaccine-related AEs, are not increased by acellular pertussis

vaccine,^{171,172} and no other significant AEs have been found to be caused by it.⁴¹

Recommendations

To control these risks, public health authorities have introduced vaccine recommendations for HCWs. HCW vaccination with pertussis-containing vaccine is recommended in many countries.^{50,130,173} This may include either all HCWs or special groups of HCWs for whom close contact with pregnant women, newborns and infants is assumed, such as pediatricians or obstetricians.

Aside from personal protection, vaccination of HCWs is recommended in many countries to fulfil legal requirements minimizing potential exposure of patients to infectious agents. In countries where vaccination of adults is recommended for either universal or special groups, HCWs should be highly prioritized for vaccination. In countries where no adult programs exist, their implementation would be difficult logistically.^{50,130}

Recommendations favor HCW pertussis vaccination in Belgium, Germany, Luxemburg, the Netherlands, and the UK. In Austria, Finland, and Norway, vaccination is recommended for pediatricians and HCWs in neonatal departments, whereas in France it is only recommended for HCWs involved in direct patient care. In other European countries, no recommendations are in place for pertussis vaccination of HCWs.⁵⁰

Vaccination coverage

Recent publications have provided data on vaccine coverage against pertussis among HCWs. Globally, the reported vaccine coverage varies from 14.4% to 72.7%.^{135,151,174-178} In France, HCW vaccination coverage in published studies ranges from 12 to 66% in different settings: pediatric, obstetric, internal medicine and ICUs.^{135,175,177,178} A French national survey of HCWs in 2009 reported 11.4% pertussis vaccine coverage.⁶¹ Among healthcare students, coverage was high for compulsory vaccinations, but largely insufficient for recommended ones, such as pertussis, varying from 44 to 72%.^{151,176}

Policies to increase pertussis vaccination rates

Two recent publications ascertained the effect of active intervention in implementing mandatory vaccination against pertussis. A 1-year active campaign in a group of hospitals strongly increased coverage among HCWs in high-risk areas, from 50 to 98.6% (100% when accounting for legitimate exemptions). The final figure for all medical workers was 97.6% (100% with exemptions), while it was 88.3% (90%) among non-medical (volunteer) staff.¹⁷⁹ In the University of North Carolina Health Care Network, the Tdap vaccine was recommended for all healthcare personnel who provided direct patient care unless medically contraindicated.¹⁸⁰ Employment was made conditional upon Tdap vaccination. Implementation among newly-hired employees quickly resulted in complete compliance, but achieving adherence among previously-hired workers required setting a deadline for non-compliance.

Tetanus, Diphtheria

Background and epidemiology

Most tetanus cases are birth-associated and occur in developing countries among newborn babies or their mothers owing to poor peri- and post-natal hygiene. Tetanus in children and adults after injuries constitutes a considerable public health problem.

Tetanus, a sporadic and relatively uncommon infection in EU/EEA countries, as in other developed nations, is caused by the bacterium *Clostridium tetani*. Contamination of wounds with tetanus spores in unimmunized persons can evoke illness with muscular spasms and sometimes death. Tetanus is included in the primary vaccination schedule of all EU/EEA countries, and periodic boosters in adulthood are required to maintain immunity.¹⁵⁶

Tetanus appears to be under control in all EU/EEA countries, thanks to good general hygiene and effective universal vaccination.¹⁵⁶ The total number of reported cases remains very low (0.03 per 100,000 population). The highest rate was documented in Italy (0.09 per 100,000), and elderly women (65 years or older) were affected the most. To prevent future outbreaks of diphtheria in Europe, efforts must continue to maintain national capacities for rapid case identification. Furthermore, immunisation programmes should be shielded from budgetary constraints to maintain high diphtheria routine and booster vaccination coverage, especially in adult and elderly populations.

Diphtheria is a very rare disease in the EU and in other developed countries. It is caused by *Corynebacterium diphtheriae* and *Corynebacterium ulcerans*. It usually produces respiratory symptoms, although some forms affect other organs, including the skin. Certain strains are toxin-producing and can be lethal. Diphtheria is largely under control in Europe.¹⁵⁶ In 2012, 27 diphtheria cases were reported across EU/EEA countries, with a notification rate of 0.01 per 100,000 population. The majority of *C. diphtheriae* cases are 45-64-year-olds, while the majority of *C. ulcerans* cases are ≥65-year-olds. High vaccination coverage must be sustained, adult booster coverage increased, and epidemiological surveillance and laboratory capacity maintained.

More than 25 AEs have been proposed to be linked to the vaccine, anaphylaxis being the only one with supporting evidence.⁴¹ A case of Guillain-Barré syndrome has been reported¹⁸¹ but increased risk of this and other serious AEs has not been confirmed by small cohort studies^{182,183} or larger scale data.^{41,171,172,184,185} The vaccine could have a protective effect against multiple sclerosis.¹⁴⁸

Recommendations

Recommendations regarding tetanus-diphtheria vaccine vary across countries for HCWs and the general population.^{50,130,173} 13 European countries recommend it, 16 do not, and 1 (France) has made it mandatory for the entire population. The USA also recommends it for HCWs with undocumented vaccination status.¹⁸⁶ In general, there are no specific recommendations for HCWs (compared to the general population).

Vaccination Coverage

Recent publications report vaccine coverage among HCWs that varies from 35.7% to 66% in different settings: pediatric, obstetric, internal medicine and ICUs.^{134,175,177,187,188} A French national survey of HCWs in 2009 recorded 95.5% coverage for the diphtheria-tetanus (DT) vaccine.⁶¹ In the CDC's 2007 National Immunization Survey, 70.4% of HCWs received tetanus vaccination in the past 10 years.¹⁸⁹ In French healthcare students, vaccination coverage was high because of compulsory vaccinations, such as tetanus and diphtheria, varying from 96.7 to 96.9% for DT vaccination.^{151,176}

Protective antibody titers have been defined and validated as a correlate of protection against tetanus and diphtheria,¹⁶⁴ so that seroprevalence data can provide reliable information on protection among HCWs. Protective antibody values against diphtheria were found in 89.2% (83.3%-91.5%) of 250 Thai HCWs, growing to 97.2% (95% CI: 95.1%-99.3%) after DT booster administration¹⁹⁰ and demonstrating the effectiveness of booster vaccination in maintaining protective antibody levels. A seroprevalence study of tetanus and diphtheria was carried out in 537 HCWs in Catalonia, Spain.¹⁹¹ The prevalence of protective antibodies was 93.9% (95% CI: 91.5-95.7) against tetanus and 46.4% (95% CI: 42.1-50.7) against diphtheria, with lower rates found in people born before 1975.

Varicella

Background and epidemiology

Varicella-zoster virus (VZV) is one of the herpes viruses. It is responsible for chickenpox in primary infections (mostly in children, teenagers and young adults) and for herpes zoster (mostly in older people) when it reactivates, after a latent period in sensory nerve ganglia. Epidemiology varies between temperate/climate areas, where transmission is seasonal (winter-spring) and affects young children, and tropical areas, where infections occur later, resulting in higher rates of susceptible adults in tropical regions.

VZV is a highly-contagious, widespread virus. Infection during childhood induces long-lasting immunity; thus, seroprevalence of anti-VZV IgG antibodies in adults ranges from 90 to 100%,¹⁹² although it may be lower in people living in or native to tropical countries.¹⁹³ Although still endemic, VZV prevalence is starting to decline because of varicella vaccine implementation in some countries. Consequently, increased varicella incidence in adults, including HCWs, is likely in these countries.

Benefits and risks in healthcare settings

Varicella transmission in healthcare settings from HCWs to susceptible patients has been reported, mostly in tropical countries,¹⁹⁴ or in HCWs who received only 1 vaccine dose.¹⁹⁵

HCW susceptibility is country-dependent, and ranges from 5%¹⁹⁶ to 50%.¹⁹⁷ Special attention should focus on medical students who represent the most susceptible population.

Infection control measures should be set up as soon as the first nosocomial case is diagnosed – to mitigate the risk of exposure

and secondary cases.¹⁹⁸ Both varicella zoster intravenous immunoglobulin and varicella vaccine can be provided as post-exposure prophylaxis.

Varicella vaccine, a live-attenuated vaccine licensed since the mid-1990's, is available alone (monovalent) or associated with the MMR vaccine. Vaccine immunogenicity after 2 shots is above 95%. No booster doses are recommended so far. VE is estimated to be around 85%.¹⁹⁹ AEs for which there is evidence include: anaphylaxis, systemic infection by the live-attenuated vaccine Oka VZV strain with organ lesions in individuals with demonstrated immunodeficiencies, and vaccine strain viral reactivation with or without meningitis or encephalitis.^{41,200,201} In addition, case reports of granulomatous dermatitis,²⁰² rash²⁰³ and optic neuritis²⁰⁴ have been published but the putative risk increase has not been confirmed by large scale comparative data.^{41,200,201,205} At least 1 fatal systemic infection in an immunocompromised patient²⁰⁶ has been observed. Less widespread types of VZV vaccines seem to have a good safety profile.^{207,208}

Recommendations

Recommendations vary from country to country: vaccination may be recommended for all HCWs (Belgium, Ireland, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, USA), may prioritize HCWs in contact with high-risk patients (Austria, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, UK), or may not be recommended at all (Denmark, Netherlands, Portugal, Poland).⁵⁰ Some countries recommend universal varicella vaccination for children (USA, Australia, Canada, Costa Rica, Germany, Greece, Korea, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Spain, Switzerland, United Arab Emirates, and Uruguay).

Some data suggest that varicella vaccine recommendations are not very well-known by HCWs,²⁰⁹ partly because of unawareness of the vaccine itself or because of ignorance of their immune status relative to VZV.

Vaccination coverage

Seroprevalence of anti-VZV IgG antibodies in adults ranges from 90 to 100% in Western countries,¹⁹² but is probably lower among people who have lived in tropical countries during their childhood.¹⁹³

Varicella vaccine coverage depends on vaccine recommendations for people entering the healthcare workforce. It is usually above 95% in countries where the vaccine is recommended for children (combined with the MMR vaccine). Vaccine coverage is low (<5%) in countries with recommendations for seronegative adults, because most people have already encountered the virus by the time they reach vaccination age. Nevertheless, healthcare students merit consideration, because they may be the most at-risk group of susceptible HCWs and because they may be neglected by healthcare-setting vaccination policies.²¹⁰

Ethical Issues Associated with HCW Vaccination

The role of institutions in terms of promoting or mandating vaccination is the subject of ongoing ethics debates. The main principles that have been invoked are autonomy, beneficence,

non-maleficence, fairness and virtue ethics.²¹¹ The main levels at which ethical decisions must be made are individual,²¹² institutional²¹³ and governmental.²¹⁴

Judging HCW vaccination by any ethical standard requires good assessment of empirical and theoretical evidence of each vaccine's benefits and risks, the lack of which is the most common cause of opposition to vaccination by individual HCWs. When benefits are low to moderate and risks are negligible, which is actually the case with most vaccines, all frameworks would recommend active educational campaigns and a mandate for alternative infection control measures for unvaccinated professionals. All frameworks could accommodate a mandatory vaccination policy with termination of employment for offenders,²¹¹ but it should be preceded by a successful educational campaign for most of them, and a general consensus should be reached before the implementation of a mandate.^{215,216} This is especially true when considering that a mandate without a consensus can lead to a serious backlash against vaccines in general.²¹⁷

Conclusion

Nosocomial transmission of vaccine-preventable diseases can be avoided thanks to immunization. The ideal coverage is dynamic for each disease, depending on the effective reproductive rate, which itself varies with the level of herd immunity in the population (from vaccination and infection), and the density of

contacts. Improving vaccine coverage among HCWs is challenging, but benefits patients who might face contagious HCWs as well as HCWs who provide care to contagious patients.

In order to reach good immunization rates, we must acknowledge that vaccines have benefits and risks, about which misunderstanding or misinformation can occur among healthcare communities, as in the rest of the population. Education and academic leadership play a role here, but other interventions can be useful and must continue to be tried and implemented. Public education on vaccines may take a more operational approach in the future, perhaps translating to the general public some of the strategies that have proved successful in HCWs.

In addition to vaccination, traditional infection control measures also have a role to play; the adequate balance between them and their synergistic effects should be further investigated.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Innovative Clinical Research Network in Vaccinology (I-REIVAC) and the French Clinical Research Investigation Network (F-CRIN) for their scientific support and Ovid M. Da Silva for editing this manuscript.

References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Estimates of deaths associated with seasonal influenza — United States, 1976-2007. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* (2010); 59:1057-62; PMID:20798667
- Vanhems P, Voirin N, Bénet T, Roche S, Escuret V, Régis C, Giard M, Lina B, Comte B, Coppéré B, et al. Detection of hospital outbreaks of influenza-like illness based on excess of incidence rates compared to the community. *Am J Infect Control* (2014); 42:1325-7; PMID:25444307; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2014.08.011>
- Voirin N, Barret B, Metzger MH, Vanhems P. Hospital-acquired influenza: a synthesis using the Outbreak Reports and Intervention Studies of Nosocomial Infection (ORION) statement. *J Hosp Infect* (2009); 71:1-14; PMID:18952319; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2008.08.013>
- Vanhems P, Voirin N, Roche S, Escuret V, Régis C, Gorain C, Pires-Cronenberg S, Giard M, Lina B, Najjoulah F, et al. Risk of influenza-like illness in an acute health care setting during community influenza epidemics in 2004-2005, 2005-2006, and 2006-2007: a prospective study. *Arch Intern Med* (2011); 171:151-7; PMID:21263105; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2010.500>
- Grohskopf LA, Olsen SJ, Sokolow LZ, Bresee JS, Cox NJ, Broder KR, Karron RA, Walter EB; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Prevention and control of seasonal influenza with vaccines: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) — United States, 2014-15 influenza season. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* (2014); 63:691-7; PMID:25121712
- Carrat F, Vergu E, Ferguson NM, Lemaître M, Cauchemez S, Leach S, Valleron AJ. Time lines of infection and disease in human influenza: a review of volunteer challenge studies. *Am J Epidemiol* (2008); 167:775-85; PMID:18230677; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwm375>
- Joseph C, Togawa Y, Shindo N. Bacterial and viral infections associated with influenza. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses* (2013); 7 Suppl 2:105-13; PMID:24034494; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/irv.12089>
- Suess T, Remschmidt C, Schink SB, Schweiger B, Heider A, Milde J, Nitsche A, Schroeder K, Doellinger J, Braun C, et al. Comparison of shedding characteristics of seasonal influenza virus (sub)types and influenza A(H1N1)pdm09; Germany, 2007–2011. *PLoS One* (2012); 7(12):e51653; PMID:23240050; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051653>
- Loeb M, Singh PK, Fox J, Russell ML, Pabbaraju K, Zarra D, Wong S, Neupane B, Singh P, Webby R, et al. Longitudinal study of influenza molecular viral shedding in Hutterite communities. *J Infect Dis* (2012); 206:1078-84; PMID:22837493; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jis450>
- Lau LL, Cowling BJ, Fang VJ, Chan KH, Lau EH, Lipsitch M, Cheng CK, Houck PM, Uyeki TM, Peiris JS, et al. Viral shedding and clinical illness in naturally acquired influenza virus infections. *J Infect Dis* (2010); 201:1509-16; PMID:20377412; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/652241>
- Eibach D, Casalegno JS, Bouscambert M, Bénet T, Régis C, Comte B, Kim BA, Vanhems P, Lina B. Routes of transmission during a nosocomial influenza A(H3N2) outbreak among geriatric patients and healthcare workers. *J Hosp Infect* (2014); 86:188-93; PMID:24468292; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2013.11.009>
- Francis JN, Bunce CJ, Horlock C, Watson JM, Warrington SJ, Georges B, Brown CB. A novel peptide-based pan-influenza A vaccine: a double blind, randomised clinical trial of immunogenicity and safety. *Vaccine* (2015); 33:396-402; PMID:24928790; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.06.006>
- Osterholm MT, Kelley NS, Sommer A, Belongia EA. Efficacy and effectiveness of influenza vaccines: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* (2012); 12:36-44; PMID:22032844; [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(11\)70295-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(11)70295-X)
- Michiels B, Govaerts F, Remmen R, Vermeire E, Coenen S. A systematic review of the evidence on the effectiveness and risks of inactivated influenza vaccines in different target groups. *Vaccine* (2011); 29:9159-70; PMID:21840359; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.08.008>
- Treanor JJ, Talbot HK, Ohmit SE, Coleman LA, Thompson MG, Cheng PY, Petrie JG, Lofthus G, Meece JK, Williams JV, et al., US Flu-VE Network. Effectiveness of seasonal influenza vaccines in the United States during a season with circulation of all three vaccine strains. *Clin Infect Dis* (2012); 55:951-9; PMID:22843783; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/cis574>
- Ohmit SE, Thompson MG, Petrie JG, Thaker SN, Jackson ML, Belongia EA, Zimmerman RK, Gaglani M, Lamerato L, Spencer SM, et al. Influenza vaccine effectiveness in the 2011-2012 season: protection against each circulating virus and the effect of prior vaccination on estimates. *Clin Infect Dis* (2014); 58:319-27; PMID:24235265; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/cit736>
- McLean HQ, Thompson MG, Sundaram ME, Kieke BA, Gaglani M, Murthy K, Piedra PA, Zimmerman RK, Nowalk MP, Raviotta JM, et al. Influenza vaccine effectiveness in the United States during 2012-2013: variable protection by age and virus type. *J Infect Dis* (2015); 211(10):1529-40; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiu647>
- Flannery B, Thaker SN, Clippard J, Monto AS, Ohmit SE, Zimmerman RK, Nowalk MP, Gaglani M, Jackson ML, Jackson LA, et al., Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Interim estimates of 2013-14 seasonal influenza vaccine

- effectiveness - United States, February 2014. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* (2014); 63:137-42; PMID:24553196
19. Flannery B, Clippard J, Zimmerman RK, Nowalk MP, Jackson ML, Jackson LA, Monto AS, Petrie JG, McLean HQ, Belongia EA, et al. Early estimates of seasonal influenza vaccine effectiveness - United States, January 2015. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* (2015); 64:10-5; PMID:25590680
 20. Jefferson T, et al. Vaccines for preventing influenza in healthy adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* (2014); 3:CD001269; PMID:24623315
 21. Wilde JA, McMillan JA, Serwint J, Butta J, O'Riordan MA, Steinhoff MC. Effectiveness of influenza vaccine in health care professionals: a randomized trial. *JAMA* (1999); 281:908-13; PMID:10078487; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.281.10.908>
 22. Saxén H, Virtanen M. Randomized, placebo-controlled double blind study on the efficacy of influenza immunization on absenteeism of health care workers. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* (1999); 18:779-83; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00006454-199909000-00007>
 23. Safranek TJ, Lawrence DN, Kurland LT, Culver DH, Wiederholt WC, Hayner NS, Osterholm MT, O'Brien P, Hughes JM. Reassessment of the association between Guillain-Barré syndrome and receipt of swine influenza vaccine in 1976-1977: results of a two-state study. *Expert Neurology Group. Am J Epidemiol* (1991); 133:940-51; PMID:1851395
 24. Kwong JC, Vasa PP, Campitelli MA, Hawken S, Wilson K, Rosella LC, Stukel TA, Crowcroft NS, McGeer AJ, Zinman L, et al. Risk of Guillain-Barré syndrome after seasonal influenza vaccination and influenza health-care encounters: a self-controlled study. *Lancet Infect Dis* (2013); 13:769-76; PMID:23810252; [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(13\)70104-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(13)70104-X)
 25. Tam CC, O'Brien SJ, Petersen I, Islam A, Hayward A, Rodrigues LC. Guillain-Barré syndrome and preceding infection with campylobacter, influenza and Epstein-Barr virus in the general practice research database. *PLoS ONE* (2007); 2:e344; PMID:17406668; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000344>
 26. Miller E, Andrews N, Steltitano L, Stowe J, Winstone AM, Shneerson J, Verity C. Risk of narcolepsy in children and young people receiving AS03 adjuvanted pandemic A/H1N1 2009 influenza vaccine: retrospective analysis. *BMJ* (2013); 346:f794; PMID:2344425; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f794>
 27. Nohynek H, Jokinen J, Partinen M, Vaarala O, Kirjavainen T, Sundman J, Hisanen SL, Hublin C, Julkunen I, Olsén P, et al. AS03 adjuvanted A/H1N1 vaccine associated with an abrupt increase in the incidence of childhood narcolepsy in Finland. *PLoS ONE* (2012); 7:e33536; PMID:22470453; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0033536>
 28. Han F, Lin L, Warby SC, Faraco J, Li J, Dong SX, An P, Zhao L, Wang LH, Li QY, et al. Narcolepsy onset is seasonal and increased following the 2009 H1N1 pandemic in China. *Ann Neurol* (2011); 70:410-7; PMID:21866560; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ana.22587>
 29. Duffy J, Weintraub E, Vellozzi C, DeStefano F. Vaccine Safety Datalink. Narcolepsy and influenza A (H1N1) pandemic 2009 vaccination in the United States. *Neurology* (2014); 83:1823-30; PMID:25320099; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000000987>
 30. Tsai T, Giudice GD, Crucitti A, Weil J, Narasimhan V. Is the adjuvant solely to blame? *BMJ* (2013); 346:f2375; PMID:23596217; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f2375>
 31. Demicheli V, et al. Vaccines for preventing influenza in healthy adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* (2014); 3:CD001269
 32. Garbe E, Andersohn F, Bronder E, Salama A, Klimpel A, Thomae M, Schrezenmeier H, Hildebrandt M, Späth-Schalwe E, Grüneisen A, et al. Drug-induced immune thrombocytopenia: results from the Berlin Case-Control Surveillance Study. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* (2011); 68:821-32; PMID:22187020; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00228-011-1184-3>
 33. Grimaldi-Bensouda L, Michel M, Aubrun E, Leighton P, Viillard JF, Adoue D, Magy-Bertrand N, Tisserand G, Khellaf M, Durand JM, et al. A case-control study to assess the risk of immune thrombocytopenia associated with vaccines. *Blood* (2012); 120:4938-44; PMID:23100310; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/blood-2012-05-431098>
 34. Shizuma T. Immune thrombocytopenia following influenza virus infection and influenza vaccine administration. *Virology & Mycology* (2014); S2:003
 35. Scheifele DW, Duval B, Russell ML, Warrington R, DeSerres G, Skowronski DM, Dionne M, Kellner J, Davies D, MacDonald J. Ocular and respiratory symptoms attributable to inactivated split influenza vaccine: evidence from a controlled trial involving adults. *Clin Infect Dis* (2003); 36:850-857; PMID:12652385; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/368189>
 36. Bardage C, Persson I, Orqvist A, Bergman U, Ludvigsson JF, Granath F. Neurological and autoimmune disorders after vaccination against pandemic influenza A (H1N1) with a monovalent adjuvanted vaccine: population based cohort study in Stockholm, Sweden. *BMJ* (2011); 343:d5956; PMID:21994316; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.d5956>
 37. Baxter R, Toback SL, Sifakis F, Hansen J, Bartlett J, Aukes L, Lewis N, Wu X, Ambrose CS. A postmarketing evaluation of the safety of Ann Arbor strain live attenuated influenza vaccine in adults 18-49 years of age. *Vaccine* (2012); 30:3053-60; PMID:22425787; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.02.080>
 38. Mutsch M, Zhou W, Rhodes P, Bopp M, Chen RT, Linder T, Spyr C, Steffen R. Use of the inactivated intranasal influenza vaccine and the risk of Bell's palsy in Switzerland. *N Engl J Med* (2004); 350:896-903; PMID:14985487; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa030595>
 39. Kanerva M, Nissinen J, Moilanen K, Mäki M, Lahdenne P, Pitkäranta A. Microbiologic findings in acute facial palsy in children. *Otol Neurotol* (2013); 34:e82-e87; PMID:23657208; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MAO.0b013e318289844c>
 40. Ray P, Black S, Shinefield H, Dillon A, Carpenter D, Lewis E, Ross P, Chen RT, Klein NP, Baxter R; Vaccine Safety Datalink Team. Risk of rheumatoid arthritis following vaccination with tetanus, influenza and hepatitis B vaccines among persons 15-59 years of age. *Vaccine* (2011); 29:6592-7; PMID:21763385; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.06.112>
 41. Institute of Medicine. *Adverse Effects of Vaccines: Evidence and Causality*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press (2012) at <<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK190024/>>
 42. Potter J, Stott DJ, Roberts MA, Elder AG, O'Donnell B, Knight PV, Carman WF. Influenza vaccination of health care workers in long-term-care hospitals reduces the mortality of elderly patients. *J Infect Dis* (1997); 175:1-6; PMID:8985189; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/175.1.1>
 43. Hayward AC, Harling R, Wetten S, Johnson AM, Munro S, Smedley J, Murad S, Watson JM. Effectiveness of an influenza vaccine programme for care home staff to prevent death, morbidity, and health service use among residents: cluster randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* (2006); 333:1241; PMID:17142257; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39010.581354.55>
 44. Carman WF, Elder AG, Wallace LA, McAulay K, Walker A, Murray GD, Stott DJ. Effects of influenza vaccination of health-care workers on mortality of elderly people in long-term care: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* (2000); 355:93-7; PMID:10675165; [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(99\)05190-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(99)05190-9)
 45. Lemaitre M, Meret T, Rothan-Tondeur M, Belmin J, Lejonc JL, Luquel L, Piette F, Salom M, Verny M, Vetel JM. Effect of influenza vaccination of nursing home staff on mortality of residents: a cluster-randomized trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc* (2009); 57:1580-6; PMID:19682118; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2009.02402.x>
 46. Ahmed F, Lindley MC, Allred N, Weinbaum CM, Grohskopf L. Effect of influenza vaccination of health-care personnel on morbidity and mortality among patients: systematic review and grading of evidence. *Clin Infect Dis* (2014); 58:50-7; PMID:24046301; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/cit580>
 47. Bénet T, Régis C, Voirin N, Robert O, Lina B, Cronenberger S, Comte B, Coppéré B, Vanhems P. Influenza vaccination of healthcare workers in acute-care hospitals: a case-control study of its effect on hospital-acquired influenza among patients. *BMC Infect Dis* (2012); 12:30; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-12-30>
 48. Salgado CD, Giannetta ET, Hayden FG, Farr BM. Preventing nosocomial influenza by improving the vaccine acceptance rate of clinicians. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* (2004); 25:923-8; PMID:15566025; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/502321>
 49. Riphagen-Dalhuisen J, Burgerhof JG, Frijstein G, van der Geest-Blankert AD, Danhof-Pont MB, de Jager HJ, Bos AA, Smeets EE, de Vries MJ, Gallee PM, et al. Hospital-based cluster randomised controlled trial to assess effects of a multi-faceted programme on influenza vaccine coverage among hospital healthcare workers and nosocomial influenza in the Netherlands:2009 to 2011. *Euro Surveill* (2013); 18:20512; PMID:23827527
 50. Maltezos HC, Poland GA. Vaccination policies for healthcare workers in Europe. *Vaccine* (2014); 32:4876-80; PMID:24161573; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2013.10.046>
 51. Australia Communicable Diseases Network and Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing. *Influenza Infection: CDNA National Guidelines for Public Health Unit*. at <<http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/cdna-song-influenza.htm>>
 52. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). *Prevention and control of seasonal influenza with vaccines. Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices—United States, 2013–2014*. *MMWR Recomm Rep* (2013); 62:1-43; PMID:AMBIGUOUS
 53. Vaccines against influenza WHO position paper – November 2012. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec* (2012); 87:461-76; PMID:23210147
 54. Talbot TR, Babcock H, Caplan AL, Cotton D, Maragakis LL, Poland GA, Septimus EJ, Tapper ML, Weber DJ. Revised SHEA position paper: influenza vaccination of healthcare personnel. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* (2010); 31:987-95; PMID:20807037; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/656558>
 55. Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. *Immunization and Infectious Diseases | Healthy People 2020*. IID-12.13 Increase the percentage of health care personnel who are vaccinated annually against seasonal influenza at <<http://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/immunization-and-infectious-diseases/objectives>>
 56. Council of the European Union. Council recommendation of 22 December 2009 on seasonal influenza vaccination. *Official Journal of the European Union* (2009); 71-2
 57. Sawyer MH, Peddecord KM, Wang W, Deguire M, Miskewitch-Dzulynsky M, Vuong DD. A public health initiative to increase annual influenza immunization among hospital health care personnel: the San Diego Hospital Influenza Immunization Partnership. *Am J Infect Control* (2012); 40:595-600; PMID:22264558; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2011.09.007>
 58. Miller BL, Ahmed F, Lindley MC, Wortley PM. Institutional requirements for influenza vaccination of health-care personnel: results from a nationally representative survey of acute care hospitals—United States, 2011. *Clin*

- Infect Dis (2011); 53:1051-9; PMID:22045954; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/cir633>
59. Riphagen-Dalhuisen J, Gefenaite G, Hak E. Predictors of seasonal influenza vaccination among healthcare workers in hospitals: a descriptive meta-analysis. *Occup Environ Med* (2012); 69:230-5; PMID:22172951; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2011-100134>
 60. Castilla J, Martínez-Baz I, Godoy P, Toledo D, Astray J, García S, Mayoral JM, Martín V, González-Candelas F, Guevara M, et al., CIBERESP Working Group for the Survey on Influenza Vaccination in Primary Healthcare Professionals. Trends in influenza vaccine coverage among primary healthcare workers in Spain:2008-2011. *Prev Med* (2013); 57:206-11; PMID:23732251; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2013.05.021>
 61. Guthmann JP, Fonteneau L, Ciotti C, Bouvet E, Pellissier G, Lévy-Bruhl D, Abiteboul D. Vaccination coverage of health care personnel working in health care facilities in France: results of a national survey, 2009. *Vaccine* (2012); 30:4648-54; PMID:22579863; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.04.098>
 62. Bonaccorsi G, Lorini C, Santomauro F, Guarducci S, Pellegrino E, Puggelli F, Balli M, Bonanni P. Predictive factors associated with the acceptance of pandemic and seasonal influenza vaccination in health care workers and students in Tuscany, Central Italy. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* (2013); 9:2603-12; PMID:23954990; <http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/hv.26036>
 63. Lu P, Santibanez TA, Williams WW, Zhang J, Ding H, Bryan L, O'Halloran A, Greby SM, Bridges CB, Grais SB, et al., Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Surveillance of influenza vaccination coverage—United States, 2007-08 through 2011-12 influenza seasons. *MMWR Surveill Summ* (2013); 62:1-28; PMID:24157710
 64. Yoon HJ, Lim J, Choi B, Kim J, Kim J, Kim C, Park JS, Hong SB, Seo J, Bae GR, et al. Vaccination rates and related factors among health care workers in South Korea, 2009. *Am J Infect Control* (2013); 41:753-4; PMID:23660111; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2013.01.034>
 65. Boccia A, Di Thiene D, De Giusti M, La Torre G. Seasonal and pandemic influenza: the role of communication and preventive strategies. *J Prev Med Hyg* (2011); 52:124-6; PMID:22010540
 66. Song JY, Park CW, Jeong HW, Cheong HJ, Kim WJ, Kim SR. Effect of a hospital campaign for influenza vaccination of healthcare workers. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* (2006); 27:612-7; PMID:16755482; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/504503>
 67. Garcell HG, Ramirez EC. Influenza immunization coverage for healthcare workers in a community hospital in Qatar (2011-2012 and 2012-2013 seasons). *J Infect Public Health* (2014); 7:70-2; PMID:24284023; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2013.06.007>
 68. Isaacs A, Chryssanthakis A, Abraham S. A cross-sectional audit of the uptake of the seasonal influenza vaccination by medical staff at a London hospital. *Clin Med* (2013); 13:633; PMID:24298125; <http://dx.doi.org/10.7861/clinmedicine.13-6-633>
 69. Rebmann T, Wright KS, Anthony J, Knaup RC, Peters EB. Seasonal and H1N1 influenza vaccine compliance and intent to be vaccinated among emergency medical services personnel. *Am J Infect Control* (2012); 40:632-6; PMID:22464038; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2011.12.016>
 70. Chor JS, Pada SK, Stephenson I, Goggins WB, Tambyah PA, Clarke TW, Medina M, Lee N, Leung TF, Ngai KL, et al. Seasonal influenza vaccination predicts pandemic H1N1 vaccination uptake among healthcare workers in three countries. *Vaccine* (2011); 29:7364-9; PMID:21807048; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.07.079>
 71. Gavazzi G, Filali-Zegzouti Y, Guyon AC, De Wazieres B, Lejeune B, Golmard JL, Belmin J, Piette F, Rothan-Tondeur M; French Geriatric Infection Risk Institute (ORIG), French Geriatric Infection Risk Institute (ORIG). French healthcare workers in geriatric healthcare settings staunchly opposed to influenza vaccination: the VESTA study. *Vaccine* (2011); 29:1611-6; PMID:21211582; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.12.067>
 72. Sočan M, Erčulj V, Lajovic J. Knowledge and attitudes on pandemic and seasonal influenza vaccination among Slovenian physicians and dentists. *Eur J Public Health* (2013); 23:92-7; PMID:22366387; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/cks006>
 73. Lehmann BA, Ruitter RA, Chapman G, Kok G. The intention to get vaccinated against influenza and actual vaccination uptake of Dutch healthcare personnel. *Vaccine* (2014); 32:6986-91; PMID:25454867; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.10.034>
 74. Johansen LJ, Stenvig T, Wey H. The decision to receive influenza vaccination among nurses in North and South Dakota. *Public Health Nurs* (2012); 29:116-25; PMID:22372448; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1446.2011.00966.x>
 75. Bali NK, Ashraf M, Ahmad F, Khan UH, Widowson MA, Lal RB, Koul PA. Knowledge, attitude, and practices about the seasonal influenza vaccination among healthcare workers in Srinagar, India. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses* (2013); 7:540-5; PMID:22862774; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-2659.2012.00416.x>
 76. Friedl A, Aegerter C, Saner E, Meier D, Beer JH. An intensive 5-year-long influenza vaccination campaign is effective among doctors but not nurses. *Infection* (2012); 40:57-62; PMID:21956456; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s15010-011-0193-6>
 77. Ribner BS, Hall C, Steinberg JP, Bornstein WA, Beasley K, Duffell JM, De Gennaro M, Garner D. A Web-based program to ensure compliance of medical staff providers with mandated health care facility requirements. *Am J Infect Control* (2011); 39:511-4; PMID:21496954; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2010.08.021>
 78. Cadena J, Prigmore T, Bowling J, Ayala BA, Kirkman L, Parekh A, Scepanski T, Patterson JE. Improving influenza vaccination of healthcare workers by means of quality improvement tools. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* (2011); 32:616-8; PMID:21558776; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/660198>
 79. Perlin JB, Septimus EJ, Cormier SB, Moody JA, Hickok JD, Bracken RM. Developing a program to increase seasonal influenza vaccination of healthcare workers: lessons from a system of community hospitals. *J Health Qual* (2013); 35:5-15; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jhq.12005>
 80. Esolen LM, Kilheeny KL, Merkle RE, Bothe A. An alternate approach to improving healthcare worker influenza vaccination rates. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* (2011); 32:703-5; PMID:21666402; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/660762>
 81. Modak RM, Parris SM, Dilisi JP, Premkumar A. Increasing influenza vaccination rates among hospital employees without a mandatory policy. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* (2012); 33:1288-9; PMID:23143379; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/667384>
 82. Quan K, Tehrani DM, Dickey L, Spiritus E, Hizon D, Heck K, Samuelson P, Kornhauser E, Zeitany R, Mancía S, et al. Voluntary to mandatory: evolution of strategies and attitudes toward influenza vaccination of healthcare personnel. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* (2012); 33:63-70; PMID:22173524; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/663210>
 83. Honda H, Sato Y, Yamazaki A, Padival S, Kumagai A, Babcock H. A successful strategy for increasing the influenza vaccination rate of healthcare workers without a mandatory policy outside of the United States: a multifaceted intervention in a Japanese tertiary care center. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* (2013); 34:1194-200; PMID:24113604; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/673452>
 84. Heinrich-Morrison K, McLellan S, McGinnes U, Carroll B, Watson K, Bass P, Worth LJ, Cheng AC. An effective strategy for influenza vaccination of healthcare workers in Australia: experience at a large health service without a mandatory policy. *BMC Infect Dis* (2015); 15:42; PMID:25656220; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12879-015-0765-7>
 85. Lin CJ, Nowalk MP, Zimmerman RK. Estimated costs associated with improving influenza vaccination for health care personnel in a multihospital health system. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf* (2012); 38:67-72; PMID:22372253
 86. Daugherty EL, Speck KA, Rand CS, Perl TM. Perceptions and influence of a hospital influenza vaccination policy. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* (2011); 32:449-55; PMID:21515975; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/659406>
 87. Maurer J, Harris KM, Black CL, Euler GL. Support for seasonal influenza vaccination requirements among US healthcare personnel. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* (2012); 33:213-21; PMID:22314055; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/664056>
 88. Karanfil LV, Bahner J, Hovatter J, Thomas WL. Championing patient safety through mandatory influenza vaccination for all healthcare personnel and affiliated physicians. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* (2011); 32:375-9; PMID:21460489; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/659155>
 89. Huynh S, Poduska P, Mallozzi T, Culler F. Mandatory influenza vaccination of health care workers: a first-year success implementation by a community health care system. *Am J Infect Control* (2012); 40:771-3; PMID:22325484; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2011.10.011>
 90. Kidd F, Wones R, Momper A, Bechtle M, Lewis M. From 51% to 100%: mandatory seasonal influenza vaccination. *Am J Infect Control* (2012); 40:188-90; PMID:21764181; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2011.02.022>
 91. Floyd B. Mandatory influenza vaccination program proves successful in its first year. *N C Med J* (2013); 74:426; PMID:24165776
 92. Rakita RM, Hagar BA, Crome P, Lammert JK. Mandatory influenza vaccination of healthcare workers: a 5-year study. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* (2010); 31:881-8; PMID:20653445; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/656210>
 93. Ksienki DS. Mandatory seasonal influenza vaccination or masking of British Columbia health care workers: year 1. *Can J Public Health* (2014); 105, e312-e316; PMID:25166135
 94. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Recommended Immunization Schedule for Persons Aged 0 Through 18 Years, United States (2015) at <<http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/schedules/hcp/imz/child-adolescent.html>>
 95. Lievano F, Galea SA, Thornton M, Wiedmann RT, Manoff SB, Tran TN, Amin MA, Seminack MM, Vagie KA, Dana A, et al. Measles, mumps, and rubella virus vaccine (M-M-RTMII): a review of 32 years of clinical and postmarketing experience. *Vaccine* (2012); 30:6918-26; PMID:22959986; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.08.057>
 96. McLean HQ, Fiebelkorn AP, Temte JL, Wallace GS., Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevention of measles, rubella, congenital rubella syndrome, and mumps:2013: summary recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR Recomm Rep* (2013); 62:1-34; PMID:23760231
 97. Perry RT et al. Global progress towards regional measles elimination, worldwide, 2000-2013. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec* (2014); 89:509-16; PMID:25401192
 98. Anderson RM, May RM. Immunisation and herd immunity. *The Lancet* (1990); 335:641-5; [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736\(90\)90420-A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736(90)90420-A)
 99. Simone B, Carrillo-Santistevé P, Lopalco PL. Healthcare workers role in keeping MMR vaccination uptake

- high in Europe: a review of evidence. *Euro Surveill* (2012); 17:pii: 20206
100. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Increased transmission and outbreaks of measles–European Region, 2011. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* (2011); 60:1605-10; PMID:22129994
 101. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Measles surveillance data. at <http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/healthtopics/measles/epidemiological_data/Pages/annual_epidemiological_reports.aspx>
 102. Papania MJ, Wallace GS, Rota PA, Icenogle JP, Fiebelkorn AP, Armstrong GL, Reef SE, Redd SB, Abernathy ES, Barskey AE, et al. Elimination of endemic measles, rubella, and congenital rubella syndrome from the Western hemisphere: The US experience. *JAMA Pediatr* (2014) 168:148-55; PMID:24311021; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.4342>
 103. Zipprich J, Winter K, Hacker J, Xia D, Watt J, Harriman K; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Measles outbreak—California, December 2014–February 2015. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* (2015); 64:153-4; PMID:25695321
 104. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Measles - United States, 2011. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* (2012); 61:253-7; PMID:22513526
 105. McCarthy M. Measles cases exceed 100 in US outbreak. *BMJ* (2015); 350:h622; PMID:25646696; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h622>
 106. Hviid A, Rubin S, Mühlemann K. Mumps. *The Lancet* (2008); 371:932-44; [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(08\)60419-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60419-5)
 107. Barskey AE, Schulte C, Rosen JB, Handschur EF, Rausch-Phung E, Doll MK, Cummings KP, Alleyne EO, High P, Lawler J, et al. Mumps outbreak in Orthodox Jewish communities in the United States. *N Engl J Med* (2012); 367:1704-13; PMID:23113481; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1202865>
 108. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Mumps outbreak on a university campus—California, 2011. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* (2012); 61:986-9; PMID:23222373
 109. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Update: Mumps outbreak - New York and New Jersey, June 2009–January 2010. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* (2010); 59:125-9; PMID:20150887
 110. Maillet M, Bouvat E, Robert N, Baccard-Longère M, Morel-Baccard C, Morand P, Vabret A, Stahl JP. Mumps outbreak and laboratory diagnosis. *J Clin Virol* (2015); 62:14-9; PMID:25542464; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2014.11.004>
 111. Sane J, Gouma S, Koopmans M, de Melker H, Swaan C, van Binnendijk R, Hahné S. Epidemic of mumps among vaccinated persons, The Netherlands, 2009–2012. *Emerg Infect Dis* (2014); 20:643-8; PMID:24655811; <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2004.131681>
 112. Gobet A, Mayer A, Journaux L, Dia A, Aigle L, Dubrous P, Michel R. Mumps among highly vaccinated people: investigation of an outbreak in a French military parachuting unit, 2013. *J Infect* (2014); 68:101-2; PMID:24035994; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2013.09.004>
 113. Strebel PM, Gacic-Dobo M, Reef S, Cochi SL. Global use of rubella vaccines, 1980–2009. *J Infect Dis* (2011); 204 Suppl 2:S579-84; PMID:21954250; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jir447>
 114. Zimmerman LA, Muscat M, Jankovic D, Goel A, Bang H, Khetsuriani N, Martin R. Status of rubella and congenital rubella syndrome surveillance, 2005–2009, the World Health Organization European Region. *J Infect Dis* (2011); 204 Suppl 1:S381-8; PMID:21666188; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jir104>
 115. WHO Epidemiological Brief 26: Measles, rubella and polio update from WHO/Europe. at <<http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/disease-prevention/vaccines-and-immunization/publications/2012/who-epidemiological-brief-26-measles-rubella-and-polio-update-from-who-europe>>
 116. Mossong J, Muller CP. Estimation of the basic reproduction number of measles during an outbreak in a partially vaccinated population. *Epidemiol Infect* (2000); 124:273-8; PMID:10813153; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0950268899003672>
 117. Botelho-Nevers E, Cassir N, Minodier P, Laporte R, Gautret P, Badiaga S, Thiberville DJ, Ninove L, Charrel R, Brouqui P. Measles among healthcare workers: a potential for nosocomial outbreaks. *Euro Surveill* (2011); 16:pii: 19764; PMID:21284921
 118. Muscat M. Who gets measles in Europe? *J Infect Dis* (2011); 204 Suppl 1:S353-S365; PMID:21666185; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jir067>
 119. Choi WS, Sniadack DH, Jee Y, Go UY, So JS, Cho H, Bae GR, Lee DH, Kim K, Yoon HS, et al. Outbreak of measles in the Republic of Korea, 2007: importance of nosocomial transmission. *J Infect Dis* (2011); 204 Suppl 1:S483-S490; PMID:21666204; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jir087>
 120. Chen SY, Anderson S, Kutty PK, Lugo F, McDonald M, Rota PA, Ortega-Sanchez IR, Komatsu K, Armstrong GL, Sunenshine R, et al. Health care-associated measles outbreak in the United States after an importation: challenges and economic impact. *J Infect Dis* (2011); 203:1517-25; PMID:21531693; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jir115>
 121. Tajima K, Nishimura H, Hongo S, Hazawa M, Saotome-Nakamura AI, Tomiyama K, Obara C, Kato T. Estimation of secondary measles transmission from a healthcare worker in a hospital setting. *Int J Infect Dis* (2014); 24:11-3; PMID:24780918; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2014.03.1377>
 122. Baxi R, Mytton OT, Abid M, Maduma-Butshe A, Iyer S, Ephraim A, Brown KE, O'Moore É. Outbreak report: nosocomial transmission of measles through an unvaccinated healthcare worker—implications for public health. *J Public Health (Oxf)* (2014); 36:375-81; PMID:24099734; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdt096>
 123. Bonebrake AL, Silkaitis C, Monga G, Galat A, Anderson J, Trad JT, Hedley K, Burgess N, Zembower TR. Effects of mumps outbreak in hospital, Chicago, Illinois, USA, 2006. *Emerg Infect Dis* (2010); 16:426-32; PMID:20202417; <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1603.090198>
 124. Gilroy SA, Domachowski JB, Johnson L, Martin D, Gross S, Bode M, Costello K, Sikora R, Richey D, Watkins J, et al. Mumps exposure of a health care provider working in a neonatal intensive care unit leads to a hospital-wide effort that prevented an outbreak. *Am J Infect Control* (2011); 39:697-700; PMID:21641085; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2010.12.011>
 125. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Review of outbreaks and barriers to MMR vaccination coverage among hard-to-reach populations in Europe: Venice II Consortium. (ECDC, 2013)
 126. Plotkin SA, Orenstein W, Offit PA. *Vaccines*. Philadelphia: Saunders (2012)
 127. Measles Vaccine - Part 4 - Active Vaccines - Canadian Immunization Guide - Public Health Agency of Canada. at <<http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/publicat/cig-gci/p04-meas-roug-eng.php>>
 128. The Australian Immunization Handbook (Immunise - 4.9 Measles). at <<http://health.gov.au/internet/immunise/publishing.nsf/Content/handbook10-4-9>>
 129. Prabhakar P, Irons B, Figueroa JP, de Quadros C. Immunization of health care workers in the CARICOM countries. *West Indian Med J* (2000); 49:353-5; PMID:11211552
 130. Maltzou HC, Wicker S, Borg M, Heinger U, Puro V, Theodoridou M, Poland GA. Vaccination policies for health-care workers in acute health-care facilities in Europe. *Vaccine* (2011); 29:9557-62; PMID:21964058; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.09.076>
 131. O'Flanagan D, Cotter S, Mereckiene J. Analysis of determinants for low MMR vaccination coverage in Europe. at <http://venice.cineca.org/MMR_report_2010_1.0.pdf>
 132. Fiebelkorn AP, Seward JF, Orenstein WA. A global perspective of vaccination of healthcare personnel against measles: systematic review. *Vaccine* (2014); 32:4823-39; PMID:24280280; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2013.11.005>
 133. Fortunato F, Tafuri S, Cozza V, Martinelli D, Prato R. Low vaccination coverage among Italian healthcare workers in 2013. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* (2015); 11:133-9; PMID:25483526; <http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/hv.34415>
 134. Maltzou HC, Katerelos P, Poufta S, Pavli A, Maragos A, Theodoridou M. Attitudes toward mandatory occupational vaccinations and vaccination coverage against vaccine-preventable diseases of health care workers in primary health care centers. *Am J Infect Control* (2013); 41:66-70; PMID:22709989; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2012.01.028>
 135. Hees L, Afroukh N, Floret D. ; Vaccination coverage among health care workers in the pediatric emergency and intensive care department of Edouard Herriot Hospital in 2007, against influenza, pertussis, varicella, and measles. *Arch Pediatr* (2009); 16:14-22; PMID:19095425; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arcped.2008.10.017>
 136. Campins M, Urbiztondo L, Costa J, Broner S, Esteve M, Bayas JM, Borrás E, Dominguez A; Working Group for the Study of the Immune Status in Healthcare Workers of Catalonia., Serological survey of mumps immunity among health care workers in the Catalonia region of Spain. *Am J Infect Control* (2013); 41:378-80; PMID:23040604; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2012.04.327>
 137. Mohammad A, Trape M, Khan Y. Serological survey of mumps immunity among healthcare workers in Connecticut, December 2006–May 2007. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* (2009); 30:202-3; PMID:19146465; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/593966>
 138. Weber SH, et al. Hospital and clinical laboratory response to mumps exposures. *Lab Med* (2007); 38:285-8; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1309/PG44B3AALG8VQMF5>
 139. Borrás E, Campins M, Esteve M, Urbiztondo L, Broner S, Bayas JM, Costa J, Domínguez A. Working Group for the Study of the Immune Status in Healthcare Workers. Are healthcare workers immune to rubella? *Hum Vaccin Immunother* (2014); 10:686-91; <http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/hv.27498>
 140. Ott JJ, Stevens GA, Groeger J, Wiersma ST. Global epidemiology of hepatitis B virus infection: new estimates of age-specific HBSAg seroprevalence and endemicity. *Vaccine* (2012); 30:2212-9; PMID:22273662; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.12.116>
 141. Duffell EF, van de Laar MJ, Amato-Gauci AJ. Enhanced surveillance of hepatitis B in the EU:2006–2012. *J Viral Hepat* (2015); 22:581-9; PMID:25417854; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jvh.12364>
 142. Schillie S, Murphy TV, Sawyer M, Ly K, Hughes E, Jiles R, de Perio MA, Reilly M, Byrd K, Ward JW; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). CDC guidance for evaluating health-care personnel for hepatitis B virus protection and for administering postexposure management. *MMWR Recomm Rep* (2013); 62:1-19; PMID:24352112
 143. Alter MJ, Hadler SC, Margolis HS, Alexander WJ, Hu PY, Judson FN, Mares A, Miller JK, Moyer LA. The changing epidemiology of hepatitis b in the United States. Need for alternative vaccination strategies. *JAMA* (1990); 263:1218-22; PMID:2304237; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.1990.03440090052025>
 144. Wise ME, Marquez P, Sharapov U, Hathaway S, Katz K, Tolan S, Beaton A, Drobeniuc J, Khudiyakov Y, Hu DJ. Outbreak of acute hepatitis B virus infections associated with podiatric care at a psychiatric long-

- term care facility. *Am J Infect Control* (2012); 40:16-21; PMID:21835502; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2011.04.331>
145. Kliner M, Dardamissis E, Abraham KA, Sen R, Lal P, Pandya B, Mutton KJ, Wong C. Identification, investigation and management of patient-to-patient hepatitis B transmission within an inpatient renal ward in North West England. *Clin Kidney J* (2015); 8:102-6; PMID:25713718; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ckj/sfu130>
 146. Perz JF, Grytdal S, Beck S, Fireteanu AM, Poissant T, Rizzo E, Bornschlegel K, Thomas A, Balter S, Miller J, et al. Case-control study of hepatitis B and hepatitis C in older adults: do healthcare exposures contribute to burden of new infections? *Hepatology* (2013); 57:917-24; PMID:22383058; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hep.25688>
 147. Harpaz R, Von Seidlein L, Averhoff FM, Tormey MP, Sinha SD, Kotsopoulou K, Lambert SB, Robertson BH, Cherry JD, Shapiro CN. Transmission of hepatitis B virus to multiple patients from a surgeon without evidence of inadequate infection control. *N Engl J Med* (1996); 334:549-54; PMID:8569821; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199602293340901>
 148. Farez MF, Correale J. Immunizations and risk of multiple sclerosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Neurol* (2011); 258:1197-206; PMID:21431896; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00415-011-5984-2>
 149. Pellissier G, Yazdanpanah Y, Adehossi E, Tosini W, Madougou B, Ibrahim K, Lolom I, Legac S, Rouveix E, Champenois K. Is universal HBV vaccination of healthcare workers a relevant strategy in developing endemic countries? The case of a university hospital in Niger. *PLoS ONE* (2012); 7:e44442; PMID:22970218; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0044442>
 150. Byrd KK, Lu P, Murphy TV. Hepatitis B vaccination coverage among health-care personnel in the United States. *Public Health Rep* (2013); 128:498-509; PMID:24179261
 151. Loulergue P, Fonteneau L, Armengaud JB, Momcilovic S, Levy-Brühl D, Launay O, Guthmann JP, Studyvax survey group. Vaccine coverage of healthcare students in hospitals of the Paris region in 2009: the Studyvax survey. *Vaccine* (2013); 31:2835-8; PMID:23623864; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2013.04.004>
 152. Cherry JD. Pertussis in the preantibiotic and prevaccine era, with emphasis on adult pertussis. *Clin Infect Dis* (1999); 28:S107-S111; PMID:10447027; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/515057>
 153. Gordon JE, Hood RI. Whooping cough and its epidemiological anomalies. *Am J Med Sci* (1951); 222:333-61; PMID:14877820; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00000441-195109000-00011>
 154. US Bureau of the Census. Historical Statistics of the United States. Colonial times to 1970, Bicentennial Edition. 1-58 Washington, DC (1975) at <https://fraser.stlouisfed.org/docs/publications/histstatus/hstat1970_ce_n_1975_v1.pdf>
 155. Cherry JD. Epidemic pertussis in 2012 — the resurgence of a vaccine-preventable disease. *N Engl J Med* (2012); 367:785-7; PMID:22894554; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1209051>
 156. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control Annual epidemiological report 2014 - Vaccine-preventable diseases. Stockholm: ECDC (2014); at <http://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications/Publications/AER-2014-VPD-FINAL.pdf>
 157. Celentano LP, Massari M, Paramatti D, Salmasso S, Tozzi AE; EUVAC-NET Group. Resurgence of pertussis in Europe. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* (2005); 24:761-5; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.inf.0000177282.53500.77>
 158. WHO SAGE Pertussis Working Group. Background paper. SAGE April 2014. Available at http://www.who.int/immunization/sage/meetings/2014/april/1_Pertussis_background_FINAL4_web.pdf?ua=1; accessed February 2015
 159. Plotkin SA. The pertussis problem. *Clin Infect Dis* (2014); 58:830-3; PMID:24363332; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/cit934>
 160. Bechini A, Tiscione E, Boccalini S, Levi M, Bonanni P. Acellular pertussis vaccine use in risk groups (adolescents, pregnant women, newborns and health care workers): a review of evidences and recommendations. *Vaccine* (2012); 30:5179-90; PMID:22709953; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.06.005>
 161. Heininger U. Vaccination of health care workers against pertussis: meeting the need for safety within hospitals. *Vaccine* (2014); 32:4840-3; PMID:24183977; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2013.10.062>
 162. Maltzou HC, Fika L, Theodoridou M. Nosocomial pertussis in neonatal units. *J Hosp Infect* (2013); 85:243-8; PMID:24156850; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2013.09.009>
 163. Rivero-Santana A, Cuéllar-Pompa L, Sánchez-Gómez LM, Perestelo-Pérez L, Serrano-Aguilar P. Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of different immunization strategies against whooping cough to reduce child morbidity and mortality. *Health Policy* (2014); 115:82-91; PMID:24444703; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2013.12.007>
 164. Plotkin SA. Correlates of protection induced by vaccination. *Clin Vaccine Immunol* (2010); 17:1055-65; PMID:20463105; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CVI.00131-10>
 165. Greer AL, Fisman DN. Keeping vulnerable children safe from pertussis: preventing nosocomial pertussis transmission in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* (2009); 30:1084-9; PMID:19785517; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/644755>
 166. Greer AL, Fisman DN. Use of models to identify cost-effective interventions: pertussis vaccination for pediatric health care workers. *Pediatrics* (2011); 128:e591-e599; PMID:21844056
 167. Kuncio DE, Middleton M, Cooney MG, Ramos M, Coffin SE, Feemster KA. Health care worker exposures to pertussis: missed opportunities for prevention. *Pediatrics* (2014); 133:15-21; PMID:24344101; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2013-0745>
 168. Urbiztondo L, Broner S, Costa J, Rocamora L, Bayas JM, Campins M, Esteve M, Borrás E, Domínguez A, For The Study Of The Immune Status In Health Care TW. Seroprevalence study of B. pertussis infection in health care workers in Catalonia, Spain. *Hum Vaccine Immunother* (2015); 11:293-7; <http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/hv.36167>
 169. Wright SW, Decker MD, Edwards KM. Incidence of pertussis infection in healthcare workers. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* (1999); 20:120-3; PMID:10064216; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/501593>
 170. Faruque MO, Senanayake S, Meyer AD, Dear KB. Emergency department staff and susceptibility to pertussis: a seroprevalence study. *Emerg Med Australas* (2008); 20:45-50; PMID:18062780; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-6723.2007.01044.x>
 171. Yih WK, Nordin JD, Kuldorff M, Lewis E, Lieu TA, Shi P, Weintraub ES. An assessment of the safety of adolescent and adult tetanus-diphtheria-acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccine, using active surveillance for adverse events in the Vaccine Safety Datalink. *Vaccine* (2009); 27:4257-62; PMID:19486957; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2009.05.036>
 172. Daley MF, Yih WK, Glanz JM, Hambidge SJ, Narwane KJ, Yin R, Li L, Nelson JC, Nordin JD, Klein NP, et al. Safety of diphtheria, tetanus, acellular pertussis and inactivated poliovirus (DTaP-IPV) vaccine. *Vaccine* (2014); 32:3019-24; PMID:24699471; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.03.063>
 173. Weber DJ, Rutala WA. in *Vaccines* (Plotkin SA, Orenstein WA, Offit PA, Eds., Philadelphia: Saunders (2008) 1453-78)
 174. Taddei C, Ceccherini V, Nicolai G, Porchia BR, Boccalini S, Levi M, Tiscione E, Santini MG, Baretti S, Bonanni P, et al. Attitude toward immunization and risk perception of measles, rubella, mumps, varicella, and pertussis in health care workers working in 6 hospitals of Florence, Italy 2011. *Hum Vacc Immunother* (2014); 10:2612-22; <http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/21645515.2014.970879>
 175. Mir O, Adam J, Gaillard R, Gregory T, Veyrie N, Yordanov Y, Berveiller P, Chousterman B, Loulergue P. Vaccination coverage among medical residents in Paris, France. *Clin Microbiol Infect* (2012); 18:E137-E139; PMID:22404767; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2012.03788.x>
 176. Faure E, Cortot C, Gosset D, Cordonnier A, Deruelle P, Guery B. Vaccinal status of healthcare students in Lille. *Méd Mal Infect* (2013); 43:114-7; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.medmal.2013.02.002>
 177. Duong M, Mahy S, Binois R, Buisson M, Piroth L, Chavanet P. ; Vaccination coverage of healthcare professionals in an infectious diseases department. *Méd Mal Infect* (2011); 41:135-9; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.medmal.2010.11.018>
 178. Vic P, Puech J. ; Health care workers' knowledge and vaccination coverage against pertussis in a French pediatric and maternity ward. *Arch Pediatr* (2011); 18:1339-40; PMID:22041593; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arcped.2011.09.029>
 179. Esolen LM, Kilheeny KL. A mandatory campaign to vaccinate health care workers against pertussis. *Am J Infect Control* (2013); 41:740-2; PMID:23394887; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2012.10.012>
 180. Weber DJ, Consoli SA, Sickbert-Bennett E, Rutala WA. Assessment of a mandatory tetanus, diphtheria, and pertussis vaccination requirement on vaccine uptake over time. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* (2012); 33:81-3; PMID:22173527; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/663337>
 181. Mayer A, Duron S, Meynard JB, Koeck JL, Déparis X, Migliani R. Surveillance of adverse events following vaccination in the French armed forces, 2011–2012. *Public Health* (2015); 129:763-8; PMID:25890634; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2015.03.003>
 182. Beytout J, Launay O, Guiso N, Fiquet A, Baudin M, Richard P, Baptiste C, Soubeyrand B. Safety of Tdap-IPV given one month after Td-IPV booster in healthy young adults: a placebo-controlled trial. *Hum Vaccin* (2009); 5:315-21; PMID:19011374; <http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/hv.5.5.6911>
 183. Talbot EA, Brown KH, Kirkland KB, Baughman AL, Halperin SA, Broder KR. The safety of immunizing with tetanus-diphtheria-acellular pertussis vaccine (Tdap) less than 2 years following previous tetanus vaccination: experience during a mass vaccination campaign of healthcare personnel during a respiratory illness outbreak. *Vaccine* (2010); 28:8001-7; PMID:20875487
 184. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Updated recommendations for use of tetanus toxoid, reduced diphtheria toxoid and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccine from the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, 2010. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* (2011); 60:13-5; PMID:21228763
 185. Bar-On ES, Goldberg E, Hellmann S, Leibovici L. Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and haemophilus influenzae B (HIB). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* (2012); 4:CD005530; PMID:22513932
 186. CDC. Tetanus: vaccine recommendations. at <<http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vpd-vac/tetanus/#recs>>
 187. Maltzou HC, Lourida A, Katragkou A, Grivea IN, Katerelos P, Wicker S, Syrogiannopoulos GA, Roides E, Theodoridou M. Attitudes regarding occupational vaccines and vaccination coverage against vaccine-preventable diseases among healthcare workers working in pediatric departments in Greece. *Pediatr*

- Infect Dis J (2012); 31:623-5; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/INF.0b013e31824dde1e>
188. Maltezou HC, Gargalianos P, Nikolaidis P, Katerelos P, Tedoma N, Maltezou E, Lazanas M. Attitudes towards mandatory vaccination and vaccination coverage against vaccine-preventable diseases among health-care workers in tertiary-care hospitals. *J Infect* (2012); 64:319-24; PMID:22198739; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2011.12.004>
 189. Lu PJ, Euler GL. Influenza, hepatitis B, and tetanus vaccination coverage among health care personnel in the United States. *Am J Infect Control* (2011); 39:488-94; PMID:21288599; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2010.10.009>
 190. Wiboonchutikul S, Manosuthi W, Sangsajja C, Thientong V, Likanonakul S, Srisopha S, Termvisev P, Rujitip J, Louisirirotchanakul S, Puthavathana P. Baseline immunity to diphtheria and immunologic response after booster vaccination with reduced diphtheria and tetanus toxoid vaccine in Thai health care workers. *Am J Infect Control* (2014); 42:e81-e83; PMID:24751139; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2014.03.005>
 191. Esteve M, Domínguez A, Urbiztondo L, Borrás E, Costa J, Broner S, Campins M, Bayas JM: Working Group for the Study of the Immune Status in Healthcare Workers in Catalonia. Prevalence of susceptibility to tetanus and diphtheria in health care workers in Catalonia. *Am J Infect Control* (2012); 40:896-8; PMID:22386155; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2011.11.012>
 192. Urbiztondo L, Bayas JM, Broner S, Costa J, Esteve M, Campins M, Borrás E, Domínguez A; Working Group for the Study of the Immune Status in Healthcare Workers of Catalonia. Varicella-zoster virus immunity among health care workers in Catalonia. *Vaccine* (2014); 32:5945-8; PMID:25219564; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.08.055>
 193. Heininger U, Seward JF. Varicella. *The Lancet* (2006); 368:1365-76; [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(06\)69561-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(06)69561-5)
 194. Apisarnthanarak A, Kitphati R, Tawatsupha P, Thongphubeth K, Apisarnthanarak P, Mundy LM. Outbreak of Varicella-Zoster virus infection among Thai healthcare workers. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* (2007); 28:430-4; PMID:17385149; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/512639>
 195. Wurtz R, Check IJ. Breakthrough Varicella infection in a healthcare worker despite immunity after varicella vaccination. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* (1999); 20:561-2; PMID:10466558; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/501670>
 196. Fernández-Cano MI, Armadans L, Sulleiro E, Espuga M, Ferrer E, Martínez-Gómez X, Vaqué J, Campins M. ; Susceptibility to measles and varicella in health-care workers in a tertiary hospital in Catalonia. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin* (2012); 30:184-8; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eimc.2011.10.002>
 197. Kurukulasooriya GM, Thevanesam V, Agampodi SB, Abeykoon AM, Amarasingi SP, Goonasekera KP. Susceptibility of new entrant university students in Sri Lanka to varicella zoster infection. *Asia Pac J Public Health* (2010); 22:219-24; PMID:19411280; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1010539509334625>
 198. Kellie SM, Makvandi M, Muller ML. Management and outcome of a varicella exposure in a neonatal intensive care unit: lessons for the vaccine era. *Am J Infect Control* (2011); 39:844-8; PMID:21600672; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2011.02.006>
 199. Vázquez M, LaRussa PS, Gershon AA, Nicolai LM, Muehlenbein CE, Steinberg SP, Shapiro ED. Effectiveness over time of varicella vaccine. *JAMA* (2004); 291:851-5; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.291.7.851>
 200. Goulleret N, Mauvisseau E, Essevaz-Roulet M, Quinlivan M, Breuer J. Safety profile of live varicella virus vaccine (Okta/Merck): five-year results of the European Varicella Zoster Virus Identification Program (EU VZVIP). *Vaccine* (2010); 28:5878-82; PMID:20600487; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.06.056>
 201. Galea SA, Sweet A, Beninger P, Steinberg SP, Larussa PS, Gershon AA, Sharrar RG. The safety profile of Varicella vaccine: a 10-year review. *J Infect Dis* (2008); 197:S165-S169; PMID:18419392; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/522125>
 202. Ferenczi K, Berke A, Cichon D, Jurzyk R, Somach SC. Varicella-zoster virus vaccination-induced granulomatous dermatitis. *J Am Acad Dermatol* (2014); 71:e131-e132; PMID:25219728; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2014.03.045>
 203. Bernstein P, Furuya Y, Steinberg S, Scully B, Larussa P, Gershon AA. Vaccine-related varicella-zoster rash in a hospitalized immunocompetent patient. *Am J Infect Control* (2011); 39:247-9; PMID:21269735; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2010.06.027>
 204. Han SB, Hwang JM, Kim JS, Yang HK. Optic neuritis following Varicella zoster vaccination: report of two cases. *Vaccine* (2014); 32:4881-4; PMID:25045807; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.07.023>
 205. Macartney K, Heywood A, McIntyre P. Vaccines for post-exposure prophylaxis against varicella (chickenpox) in children and adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* (2014); 6:CD001833; PMID:24954057
 206. Bhalla P, Forrest GN, Gershon M, Zhou Y, Chen J, LaRussa P, Steinberg S, Gershon AA. Disseminated, persistent, and fatal infection due to the vaccine strain of varicella-zoster virus in an adult following stem cell transplantation. *Clin Infect Dis* (2015); 60:1068-74; PMID:25452596
 207. Lal H, Cunningham AL, Godeaux O, Chlibek R, Diez-Domingo J, Hwang SJ, Levin MJ, McElhaney JE, Poder A, Puig-Barberà J, et al., ZOE-50 Study Group. Efficacy of an adjuvanted herpes zoster subunit vaccine in older adults. *N Engl J Med* (2015); 372:2087-2096; PMID:25916341; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1501184>
 208. Vesikari T, Hardt R, Rümke HC, Icardi G, Montero J, Thomas S, Sadorge C, Fiquet A. Immunogenicity and safety of a live attenuated shingles (herpes zoster) vaccine (Zostavax®) in individuals aged ≥70 years. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* (2013); 9:858-64; PMID:23319176; <http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/hv.23412>
 209. Loulergue P, Moulin F, Vidal-Treca G, Absi Z, Demontpion C, Menager C, Gorodetsky M, Gendrel D, Guillevin L, Launay O. Knowledge, attitudes and vaccination coverage of healthcare workers regarding occupational vaccinations. *Vaccine* (2009); 27:4240-3; PMID:19481314; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2009.03.039>
 210. Loulergue P, Launay O. Vaccinations among medical and nursing students: coverage and opportunities. *Vaccine* (2014); 32:4855-9; PMID:24503273; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.01.014>
 211. Galanakis E, Jansen A, Lopalco PL, Giesecke J. Ethics of mandatory vaccination for healthcare workers. *Euro Surveill* (2013); 18:20627; PMID:24229791
 212. Van den Hoven M, Verweij M. Professional solidarity: the case of influenza immunization. *Am J Bioeth* (2013); 13:51-2; PMID:23952837; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15265161.2013.813606>
 213. Zimmerman RK. Ethical analyses of institutional measures to increase health care worker influenza vaccination rates. *Vaccine* (2013); 31:6172-6; PMID:24188752; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2013.10.066>
 214. Ulrich MR. Guidance from vaccination jurisprudence. *Am J Bioeth* (2013); 13:40-2; PMID:23952832; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15265161.2013.813608>
 215. Perry JE. Before the mandate: cultivating an organizational culture of trust and integrity. *Am J Bioeth* (2013); 13:42-4; PMID:23952833; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15265161.2013.813600>
 216. Omer SB. Applying Kass's public health ethics framework to mandatory health care worker immunization: the devil is in the details. *Am J Bioeth* (2013); 13:55-7; PMID:23952839; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15265161.2013.825122>
 217. Schwartz JL. Evidence and ethics in mandatory vaccination policies. *Am J Bioeth* (2013); 13:46-8; PMID:23952835; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15265161.2013.815023>
 218. Zhou L, Su Q, Xu Z, Feng A, Jin H, Wang S, Feng Z. Seasonal influenza vaccination coverage rate of target groups in selected cities and provinces in China by season (2009/10 to 2011/12). *PLoS ONE* (2013); 8:e73724; PMID:24040041; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0073724>
 219. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Seasonal influenza vaccination in Europe – Overview of vaccination recommendations and coverage rates in the EU Member States for the 2012–13 influenza season. Stockholm: ECDC (2015)
 220. Pulcini C, Massin S, Launay O, Verger P. Factors associated with vaccination for hepatitis B, pertussis, seasonal and pandemic influenza among French general practitioners: a 2010 survey. *Vaccine* (2013); 31:3943-9; PMID:23806242; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2013.06.039>
 221. VENICE II Consortium. Seasonal influenza vaccination in EU/EEA, influenza season 2010–11. (2012)
 222. Böhmer MM, Walter D, Müters S, Krause G, Wichmann O. Seasonal influenza vaccine uptake in Germany 2007/2008 and 2008/2009: results from a national health update survey. *Vaccine* (2011); 29:4492-8; PMID:21545822; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.04.039>
 223. Toska A, Saridi M, Wozniak G, Souliotis K, Koroveisis K, Apostolopoulou E. Influenza vaccination among nurses in Greece. *Am J Infect Control* (2012); 40:276-8; PMID:21840087; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2011.04.050>
 224. Barbadoro P, Marigliano A, Di Tondo E, Chiatti C, Di Stanislao F, D'Errico MM, Prospero E. Determinants of influenza vaccination uptake among Italian healthcare workers. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* (2013); 9:911-6; PMID:24064543
 225. La Torre G, Mannocci A, Ursillo P, Bontempi C, Firenze A, Panico MG, Sferrazza A, Ronga C, D'Anna A, Amodio E, et al. Prevalence of influenza vaccination among nurses and ancillary workers in Italy: systematic review and meta analysis. *Hum Vaccin* (2011); 7:728-33; PMID:21705859
 226. VENICE II Consortium. Seasonal influenza vaccination in EU/EEA, influenza season 2011–12. (2013)
 227. Rodríguez-Rieiro C, Hernández-Barrera V, Carrasco-Garrido P, de Andres AL, Jiménez-García R. Vaccination against 2008/2009 and 2009/2010 seasonal influenza in Spain: coverage among high risk subjects, HCWs, immigrants and time trends from the 2005/2006 campaign. *Vaccine* (2011); 29:6029-34; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.06.030>
 228. Zhang J, While AE, Norman IJ. Seasonal influenza vaccination knowledge, risk perception, health beliefs and vaccination behaviours of nurses. *Epidemiol Infect* (2012); 140:1569-77; PMID:22093804; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0950268811002214>
 229. Hothersall EJ, de Bellis-Ayres S, Jordan R. Factors associated with uptake of pandemic influenza vaccine among general practitioners and practice nurses in Shropshire, UK. *Prim Care Respir J* (2012); 21:302-7; PMID:22811218; <http://dx.doi.org/10.4104/pcrj.2012.00056>
 230. Ishola DA, Permalloo N, Cordery RJ, Anderson SR. Midwives' influenza vaccine uptake and their views on vaccination of pregnant women. *J Public Health (Oxf)* (2013); 35:570-7; PMID:23365262; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fds109>
 231. Lu P, Ding H, Black CL. H1N1 and seasonal influenza vaccination of US healthcare personnel, 2010. *Am J Prev Med* (2012); 43:282-92; PMID:22898121; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2012.05.005>
 232. Lu PJ, Singleton JA, Euler GL, Williams WW, Bridges CB. Seasonal influenza vaccination coverage among adult populations in the United States, 2005-2011. *Am J Epidemiol* (2013); 178:1478-87;

- PMID:24008912; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwt158>
233. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Influenza vaccination coverage among health-care personnel—United States, 2010-11 influenza season. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* (2011); 60:1073-7; PMID:21849963
234. Lee SJ, Harrison R, Rosenberg J, McLendon P, Boston E, Lindley MC. Influenza vaccination among health care personnel in California: 2010-2011 influenza season. *Am J Infect Control* (2013); 41:e65-e71; PMID:23394860; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2012.10.014>
235. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Influenza vaccination coverage among health-care personnel: 2011-12 influenza season, United States. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. (2012); 61:753-7; PMID:23013720
236. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Influenza vaccination coverage among health-care personnel—United States, 2012-13 influenza season. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* (2013); 62:781-6; PMID:24067582
237. Black CL, Yue X, Ball SW, Donahue SM, Izrael D, de Perio MA, Laney AS, Lindley MC, Graitcer SB, Lu PJ, et al., Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Influenza vaccination coverage among health care personnel—United States, 2013-14 influenza season. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* (2014); 63:805-11; PMID:25233281
238. Lindley MC, Bridges CB, Strikas RA, Kalayil EJ, Woods LO, Pollock D, Sievert D; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)., Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Influenza vaccination performance measurement among acute care hospital-based health care personnel—United States, 2013-14 influenza season. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* (2014); 63:812-5; PMID:25233282