

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Dev Biol*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 January 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Dev Biol. 2016 January 1; 409(1): 139–151. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2015.09.022.

The mouse Foxi3 transcription factor is necessary for the development of posterior placodes

Onur Birol1,¶ , **Takahiro Ohyama**4,5,¶ , **Renée K. Edlund**1, **Katerina Drakou**6, **Pantelis Georgiades**6, and **Andrew K. Groves**1,2,3,*

¹Program in Developmental Biology, Baylor College of Medicine, BCM295, 1 Baylor Plaza, Houston TX 77030

²Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, Baylor College of Medicine, BCM295, 1 Baylor Plaza, Houston TX 77030

³Department of Neuroscience, Baylor College of Medicine, BCM295, 1 Baylor Plaza, Houston TX 77030

⁴USC Caruso Department of Otolaryngology – Head & Neck Surgery, University of Southern California, 1501 San Pablo Street, Los Angeles CA 90033-4503

⁵Zilkha Neurogenetic Institute, Keck Medicine of USC, University of Southern California, 1501 San Pablo Street, Los Angeles CA 90033-4503

⁶Department of Biological Sciences, University of Cyprus, 1 University Avenue, P.O. Box 20537, 1678 Nicosia, Cyprus

Abstract

The inner ear develops from the otic placode, one of the cranial placodes that arise from a region of ectoderm adjacent to the anterior neural plate called the pre-placodal domain. We have identified a Forkhead family transcription factor, Foxi3, that is expressed in the pre-placodal domain and down-regulated when the otic placode is induced. We now show that Foxi3 mutant mice do not form otic placodes as evidenced by expression changes in early molecular markers and the lack of thickened placodal ectoderm, an otic cup or otocyst. Some preplacodal genes downstream of Foxi3 - Gata3, Six1 and Eya1 - are not expressed in the ectoderm of Foxi3 mutant mice, and the ectoderm exhibits signs of increased apoptosis. We also show that Fgf signals from the hindbrain and cranial mesoderm, which are necessary for otic placode induction, are received by pre-placodal ectoderm in Foxi3 mutants, but do not initiate otic induction. Finally, we show that the epibranchial placodes that develop in close proximity to the otic placode and the mandibular division of the trigeminal ganglion are missing in Foxi3 mutants. Our data suggest that Foxi3 is necessary to prime pre-placodal ectoderm for the correct interpretation of inductive signals for the otic and epibranchial placodes.

^{*}Corresponding Author: akgroves@bcm.edu.

These authors contributed equally to this study.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Otic Placode; Craniofacial Placodes; Pre-placodal induction; FGF

INTRODUCTION

The inner ear arises from a population of thickened ectodermal cells adjacent to the hindbrain, termed the otic placode (Groves, 2005). It forms during the differentiation of the neural plate border region through a series of inductive events occurring at the end of gastrulation. The border between neural and non-neural ectoderm contains two populations of cells that are molecularly distinct from the neural plate and future epidermis: the presumptive neural crest and the pre-placodal region (Grocott et al., 2012; Groves and LaBonne, 2014; Milet and Monsoro-Burq, 2012). Pre-placodal ectoderm is induced by a combination of FGF signaling and the attenuation of Wnt and BMP signals (Bailey and Streit, 2006; Grocott et al., 2012; Litsiou et al., 2005; Streit, 2007). Once induced, a second set of signals direct different regions of pre-placodal ectoderm to differentiate into distinct cranial placodes along the anterior-posterior axis of the head (Baker and Bronner-Fraser, 2001; Jidigam and Gunhaga, 2013; Patthey and Gunhaga, 2011, 2013; Schlosser, 2006, 2010). These cranial placodes give rise to paired sensory organs of the vertebrate head, including the entire inner ear and the VIIIth cranial ganglion that innervates the sensory regions of the ear.

The division of embryonic ectoderm into neural plate, neural crest, pre-placodal region and epidermis is achieved in part by the establishment of different domains of transcription factors (Grocott et al., 2012; Groves and LaBonne, 2014). For example, non-neural ectoderm initially expresses Dlx5/6, Ap1, Gata2/3 and Foxi genes, which mutually reinforce each other's expression and inhibit neural transcription factors Sox2 and Sox3 (Grocott et al., 2012). As the pre-placodal region is induced, Dlx5/6, Ap1 and Gata2/3 become restricted to this region, where they are co-expressed with definitive markers of the preplacodal region Six1 and Six4, and their co-factors Eya1 and Eya2 (Christophorou et al., 2009; McLarren et al., 2003; Streit, 2001, 2007). A posterior group of pre-placodal cells responds to FGF signaling from the adjacent hindbrain or cranial mesoderm and differentiates into the otic placode (Ohyama et al., 2007). FGF signaling is necessary for otic placode induction in all vertebrates examined to date, and application of FGF to preplacodal tissue is sufficient to initiate the expression of many, but not all, otic placode genes (Ladher et al., 2000; Ladher et al., 2005; Leger and Brand, 2002; Liu et al., 2003; Maroon et al., 2002; Martin and Groves, 2006; Phillips et al., 2001; Urness et al., 2010; Wright and Mansour, 2003).

We have previously shown that competence to respond to FGF signals correlates with preplacodal identity (Martin and Groves, 2006). However, the molecular basis of this competence and how it is established are still not clear. We have found that Foxi3, a member the Forkhead transcription factor family, is expressed in the pre-placodal region and is sufficient to induce a number of pre-placodal and non-neural ectoderm genes (Khatri et al., 2014; Khatri and Groves, 2013; Ohyama and Groves, 2004a). Knockdown of Foxi3 in

chick embryos, or foxi1 in zebrafish, causes a failure of otic placode induction (Hans et al., 2007; Hans et al., 2013; Khatri et al., 2014; Nissen et al., 2003; Solomon et al., 2003). However, technical limitations of targeting the presumptive otic placode at early stages and the failure of morpholinos to produce a complete loss of function in chick, led us to generate a mouse knockout of Foxi3 (Edlund et al., 2014). Here, we show that Foxi3 mutant mice completely lack an inner ear and show no evidence of expression of any otic placode markers. We show that three transcription factors downstream of Foxi3, Gata3, Eya1 and Six1, are absent in Foxi3 mutants. We also show that the pre-placodal region in Foxi3 mutant tissue received FGF signaling, but that this tissue fails to execute a program of otic placode differentiation. Moreover, the neurogenic epibranchial and trigeminal placodes are either disrupted or completely absent in Foxi3 mutant mice. Together, our data suggest that Foxi3 acts at multiple stages of otic placode induction and may function as a pioneer factor necessary for pre-placodal ectoderm to execute an inner ear program in response to FGF signaling.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mouse Line Maintenance and Genotyping

The generation of Foxi3 mutant mice was recently described (Edlund et al., 2014). The Foxi3 deletion allele (Foxi3-del) was maintained by breeding heterozygous mice. Primers used to genotype embryos were f3G1 (5′-GGC CTT GTC TCA ACC AAC AG-3′), f3G2 (5′-GTT TCC TGT ATC CCT GGC TG-3′) and f3G3 (5′-CTT GGA ATG GGT TGA CTG AG-3′). f3G1 and f3G2 produce a 350bp band corresponding to the wild-type allele and f3G1 and f3G3 yield a 600bp band corresponding to the foxi3-del allele. Foxi2 mice were bred as homozygotes similarly to the Foxi3 line. The primers used for genotyping were as follows: f2G1 (5′-TGG TTA GCT CAG TTC CAC TG-3′), f2G2: (5′-ATT GAT TCC ACT GGT CCC TG-3′) and f2G3: (5′-TGC CTC CCC TCC AAA TAT TCA C-3′). G1 and G2 were used for wild-type band of 220 bp whereas G1 and G3 resulted in a 530 bp deletion band. Foxi2/3 double mutant embryos were generated by breeding Foxi2−/−;Foxi3+/− mice.

Generation of Foxi2 mutant mice

A targeting vector for the mouse Foxi2-floxed-neo allele was constructed using BAC recombineering (Warming et al., 2005). Briefly, an approximately 12.4kb genomic DNA fragment containing exon 2 of mouse Foxi2 was retrieved from a BAC clone bMQ303G3 of a 129Sv BAC genomic library obtained from the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute (Adams et al., 2005). Using recombineering, a loxP site was inserted upstream of exon 2, and an Frt-PGKNeo-Frt-LoxP sequence was inserted downstream of exon 2 (Meyers et al., 1998). Electroporation of the targeting vector into ES cells, screening of the targeted ES cells and blastocyst injection were performed by the transgenic core facility at Norris Cancer Center of the University of Southern California. Germline Foxi2-floxed-neo founder mice were identified and confirmed by genomic Southern blotting to detect the extra EcoRV and NheI sites introduced by the Frt-PGKNeo-Frt-LoxP sequence. The Foxi2-del allele used in this study was generated by crossing the Foxi2-floxed-neo allele with a CMV-Cre line (JAX Mice, stock #003465).

Probe Synthesis and In Situ Hybridization

Digoxygenin-labeled RNA probes for whole mount in situ hybridization were synthesized from cDNA clones using standard techniques (Stern, 1998). Whole mount in situ hybridization was performed using a protocol modified from Domingos Henrique (Henrique et al., 1995) as previously described (Khatri et al., 2014). cDNA plasmids were kindly provided by the following individuals: Dlx5 (Jin-Xian Lie), Gata3 (Doug Engel), Six1, Eya1 and Eya2 (Pin-Xian Xu), Six4 (Pascal Maire), Sox9 (Andreas Schedl), Pax2 (Gregory Dressler), Pax8 (Meinrad Busslinger), Neurog1, Neuro2, NeuroD (Qiufu Ma), Erm (Katherine Shim), Fgf3, Fgf10, and Fgfr2 (Suzi Mansour), and Spry2 (Gail Martin). For Foxi3 in situ hybridization, we used a cDNA probe for exon 2 of mouse Foxi3 (Ohyama and Groves, 2004a). For sectioning, whole mount in situ specimens were transferred to 15% sucrose in PBS for equilibration and embedded in 7.5% gelatin and 15% sucrose in PBS. Frozen embryos were sectioned transversely at 14μm with a Leica cryostat and collected onto Superfrost Plus slides. After drying overnight and mounting in glycerol, they were visualized with an upright microscope and digitally photographed.

Immunohistochemistry

Embryos were fixed and embedded in gelatin (7.5% gelatin, 15% sucrose in PBS). 14μm thick sections were collected on Superfrost Plus slides. Antibodies to activated Caspase-3 (AF835, R&D Systems) and class III Beta-Tubulin (Tuj1; MMS-435P, Covance) were diluted 1:200 and 1:500, respectively, in blocking buffer (PBS with 0.1% Triton X-100 and 10% goat serum) and applied to sections overnight at 4°C. Secondary antibodies (AlexaFluor 488 goat anti-rabbit for Casp3 and AlexaFluor 594 goat anti-mouse for Tuj1) were diluted 1:1000 in blocking buffer and applied to sections for one hour at room temperature. After washing, sections were counterstained with DAPI (10μg/ml).

Statistical analysis of Casp3+ cells

Transverse 14μm sections were collected from Foxi3 mutant and wild-type embryos from the anterior-most region of the head to the level of the first somite and were stained with antibodies to activated Caspase-3 and counterstained with DAPI $(10\mu g/ml)$. Apoptotic cells that are normally observed in the anterior and ventral regions of the developing forebrain of wild-type embryos were used as a positive control. Casp3+ cells in the ectodermal layer adjacent to the neural plate were counted between the posterior forebrain and first somite, as shown in the schematic diagram in Figure 4C. Numbers of apoptotic cells were compared between mutant and wild-type embryos using a paired two sample t-test.

RESULTS

Foxi3 is expressed in mouse pre-placodal ectoderm and is down-regulated as the otic placode is induced

We previously reported that Foxi3 has a dynamic expression profile in pre-placodal ectoderm and branchial arch ectoderm and endoderm between E8.0 and E9.5 (Edlund et al., 2014; Ohyama and Groves, 2004a). To obtain a more detailed picture of the changing pattern of Foxi3 expression during establishment of the neural plate border and induction of

the otic placode, we performed in situ hybridization for Foxi3 from E6.5 to E8.5 (Figure 1). We first detected Foxi3 expression in the anterior epiblast at E7.5, which was then refined to the neural plate border shortly before the first somites appear at about E8.25 (Figure 1). As the first three pairs of somites condense over the next six hours, Foxi3 is rapidly restricted to the anterior region of the embryo. Sections of embryos at these ages reveal Foxi3 expression in the pre-placodal region at the edge of the thickened neural plate as it transitions from nonneural ectoderm, similar to what has been observed in chick (Khatri and Groves, 2013). At the 2 somite stage (2ss), we observed faint Foxi3 expression in pharyngeal endoderm, and this expression strengthened and became restricted to the most lateral out-pocketing of the endoderm over the next few hours (Figure 1). By the 4ss, Foxi3 expression is downregulated from the anterior pre-placodal region and becomes restricted to the posterior preplacodal region and the endoderm beneath it. At this point (4–5ss), the boundary between the neural plate and pre-placodal ectoderm can clearly be seen on sections. Foxi3 is expressed in ectoderm that is starting to thicken to form the otic placode. From the 5ss onwards, Foxi3 is down-regulated in the otic placode region (Figure 1, magenta brackets) and is restricted to the future branchial arch ectoderm and pouch endoderm, beginning in the future first arch at the 5ss. A second patch of arch and pouch expression is apparent at the 8ss (Figure 1; (Edlund et al., 2014; Ohyama and Groves, 2004a)). In summary, Foxi3 is expressed ubiquitously in the epiblast at E7.5, becomes restricted first to non-neural ectoderm along the entire body axis by E8.0 and then to the pre-placodal region and future otic placode as the first somites are added, before disappearing from the otic placode by the 8ss.

The otic placode is not induced in Foxi3 mutant mice

We recently showed that Foxi3 mutant mice lack an external and middle ear and show no evidence of a differentiated inner ear or temporal bone (Edlund et al., 2014). To determine the stage at which inner ear development fails in Foxi3 mutants, we examined early markers of the otic placode and otocyst. Pax2 is the earliest marker of the otic placode in mouse (Ohyama and Groves, 2004b; Urness et al., 2010; Wright and Mansour, 2003). It appears as a faint streak of ectodermal staining contiguous and posterior to the broad domain of Pax2 expression in the future midbrain and anterior hindbrain (Figure 2; Ohyama and Groves, 2004b), before enlarging into a distinct otic placodal domain on either side of the posterior hindbrain. Pax2 expression remains strong in the invaginating otic cup (Figure 2; Ohyama and Groves, 2004b; Urness et al., 2010; Wright and Mansour, 2003). We saw no evidence for Pax2 expression in this region in Foxi3 mutants at any stage examined, although Pax2 expression in the future midbrain-hindbrain region was not affected (Figure 2). We next examined Foxi3 mutant embryos at the otic cup stage to determine whether any morphological or molecular evidence of the otic placode persisted in these mutants. All otic markers tested (Pax8, Dlx5, Sox9, Foxg1) were absent from Foxi3 mutant embryos (Figure 3). Moreover, we saw no evidence for placode-like thickening or invagination of ectoderm adjacent to the hindbrain. In approximately 10% of mutant embryos, we saw a tiny ball of epithelial cells immediately adjacent to the hindbrain that expressed otic markers such as Pax8 or Dlx5 (data not shown). These small structures were not observed in embryos at stages older than E9.5.

To investigate the identity of Foxi3 mutant cells in the region of the posterior hindbrain, we examined the expression of Foxi2. Foxi2 marks the epidermal cells surrounding the otic placode region, but it is completely absent from the otic placode in wild-type embryos ((Khatri and Groves, 2013; Ohyama and Groves, 2004a; Ohyama et al., 2006); Figure 4A). In Foxi3 mutants, however, this region was covered with Foxi2-expressing cells, suggesting that there are no otic placode cells present. To determine whether the absence of the otic placode and otic cup in Foxi3 mutant embryos could be partially explained by the death of differentiating otic placode tissue, we examined activated Caspase-3 in wild-type and Foxi3 mutant embryos between the 4–8ss (Figure 4B). We observed some apoptotic cells around the pre-placodal region in Foxi3 mutant embryos (Figure 4B, white arrows). There was a significant difference in the total number of Casp3+ cells in the posterior pre-placodal region in mutant embryos compared to wild-type embryos (Figure 4C,D; 4±1 (wild type) versus 49 \pm 14 (mutant), p<0.05). This suggests that there is significant cell death in the mutant preplacodal region, especially where the future otic and neurogenic placodes will form.

Foxi3 is necessary for the expression of a subset of non-neural and pre-placodal ectoderm genes

Our data suggest that the induction of the otic placode and formation of the otocyst fail to occur in Foxi3 mutant mice. We recently observed a similar lack of early otic markers in chicken embryos after morpholino knockdown of Foxi3 at late gastrula stages (Khatri et al., 2014). The complete lack of otic placode genes in Foxi3 mutant mice is more severe than the changes seen in foxi1 mutant or morphant zebrafish (Hans et al., 2013; Kwon et al., 2010; Nissen et al., 2003; Solomon et al., 2003). However, since Foxi3 expression commences prior to the induction of the otic placode and decreases as the otic placode differentiates, the loss of Foxi3 may be affecting the segregation of non-neural from neural ectoderm or the induction of the pre-placodal domain. We therefore used a panel of nonneural ectoderm and pre-placodal genes to determine how loss of Foxi3 affected the development of the neural plate border and pre-placodal domain.

An early decision in the induction of the nervous system is the division of embryonic ectoderm into neural and non-neural ectoderm (Groves and LaBonne, 2014; Streit, 2007). The transcription factors Dlx5, Dlx6 and Gata3 are initially expressed in non-neural ectoderm and are later restricted to the pre-placodal region and ultimately the otic placode (Brown et al., 2005; Groves and Bronner-Fraser, 2000; Groves and LaBonne, 2014; Kwon et al., 2010; Lillevali et al., 2006; Sheng and Stern, 1999; Streit, 2007; Zheng et al., 2003). We observed no differences in the expression of Dlx5 in pre-placodal ectoderm of Foxi3 mutant embryos compared to wild type at E8.0 (Figure 5). In contrast, Gata3 was absent from the pre-placodal region in Foxi3 mutant embryos, although we still observed Gata3 signal in pharyngeal endoderm at these ages (Figure 5). Mouse Gata3 appeared at the headfold stage (3–4ss), after Dlx5 and Foxi3 were expressed, which is later than reported in zebrafish and chick ((Bhat et al., 2013; Khatri et al., 2014; Kwon et al., 2010); Figures S1 and S2).

Shortly after the segregation of neural and non-neural ectoderm, a region of cells at the neural plate border forms the pre-placodal region (Ahrens and Schlosser, 2005; Bhat et al., 2013; Brugmann et al., 2004; Kwon et al., 2010; Litsiou et al., 2005) and acquires a

molecularly distinct identity defined by expression of Six and Eya family genes (Grocott et al., 2012; Groves and LaBonne, 2014; Streit, 2007). We next examined the expression of Six1, Six4, Eya1 and Eya2 in 3–4ss Foxi3 mutant and wild-type embryos. Eya2 and Six4 were expressed normally in the pre-placodal region, cranial mesoderm and endoderm of Foxi3 mutants (Figure 6). In contrast, Six1 and Eya1 were not expressed in pre-placodal ectoderm of Foxi3 mutants, although we continued to see robust expression in cranial mesoderm (Six1 and Eya1) and endoderm (Six1; Figure 6). Together, these results suggest that Foxi3 regulates the expression of some non-neural ectoderm and pre-placodal genes (Gata3, Six1, Eya1) but not others (Dlx5, Six4, Eya2).

Foxi3 is not necessary for expression of FGF signaling pathway components or reception of FGF signals during otic placode induction

Many studies in multiple species have confirmed the essential role for FGF signaling in otic placode induction (reviewed in (Groves, 2005; Groves and Fekete, 2012)). In mice, the sequential actions of FGF8 in embryonic endoderm followed by FGF10 in cranial mesoderm and FGF3 in the hindbrain induce the otic placode from pre-placodal ectoderm (Ladher et al., 2005; Schimmang, 2007; Urness et al., 2010; Wright and Mansour, 2003). Since at least some pre-placodal markers are expressed in Foxi3 mutant embryos (Figure 6), we next tested whether this ectoderm remained competent to respond to FGF signaling. First, we examined expression of the FGF ligands Fgf3 and Fgf10. Both ligands are expressed normally in the hindbrain (Fgf3) and cranial mesoderm (Fgf10) of Foxi3 mutants (Figure 7A). The FGF receptor 2 (Fgfr2) is expressed in the neural plate and non-neural ectoderm, and at lower levels in mesoderm and endoderm (Figure 7B). Fgfr2 expression was still present in Foxi3 mutants in the pre-placodal region, although the intensity of the in situ hybridization signal in this region was somewhat lower than in controls. We saw no significant differences in Fgfr1 expression between Foxi3 mutant and wild-type embryos (Figure S3). Finally, we examined expression of several downstream markers of FGF signaling. Induction of Ets family transcription factors is commonly seen during FGFmediated developmental events (Firnberg and Neubüser, 2002; Tsang and Dawid, 2004), including otic placode induction (Leger and Brand, 2002; Urness et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2013). Reception of FGF signaling also leads to the up-regulation of negative regulators of receptor tyrosine kinases, such as members of the Sprouty gene family (Cabrita and Christofori, 2008; Mahoney Rogers et al., 2011; Urness et al., 2010). To test whether FGFs induced downstream effectors of the FGF pathway in Foxi3 mutant embryos, we examined expression of the Ets factor Erm and of Spry2 in the placodal region. In both cases we saw no difference between wild-type and mutant embryos (Figure 7C). Taken together, our data suggest that the FGF signaling pathway remains intact in Foxi3 mutant embryos and that cells in the pre-placodal ectoderm are capable of responding to FGF signaling by upregulating known FGF-responsive genes. Moreover, the loss of Foxi3 can functionally uncouple induction of the otic placode from reception of FGF signaling.

Wnt signaling promotes differentiation of Pax2-expressing progenitors into an otic placode fate (Ohyama et al., 2007). In mutants where Wnt signaling is strongly attenuated, these progenitors differentiate into epidermis (Freter et al., 2008; Jayasena et al., 2008; Ohyama et al., 2006). It is therefore possible that the failure to form an otic placode in Foxi3 mutants

might be due to a loss of Wnt signaling. To test whether Foxi3 mutant ectoderm was still able to respond to Wnt signaling, we examined expression of Axin2, a negative regulator of canonical Wnt signaling, which is itself induced by the Wnt pathway (Yamamoto et al., 1998). We observed Axin2 expression throughout the neural plate and in pre-placodal ectoderm at the level of the presumptive otic placode in wild-type and Foxi3 mutant embryos (Figure S4), suggesting that pre-placodal region ectoderm adjacent to the hindbrain still receives and responds to Wnt signaling in Foxi3 mutants.

Loss of Foxi3 perturbs the induction of neurogenic but not anterior placodes

Foxi3 is expressed throughout the pre-placodal region at E8.0 and is subsequently downregulated from the anterior pre-placodal region (Figure 1). To determine if the transient expression of Foxi3 in the anterior pre-placodal domain was necessary for the induction of anterior placodes, we examined Foxi3 mutant embryos for expression of Pax2 in the olfactory and lens placodes (Figure 8). In both cases, Pax2 continued to be expressed in these placodes as previously reported (Ohyama and Groves, 2004b), and we saw no obvious morphological differences in these structures at later stages.

To determine whether posterior neurogenic placodes developed in the absence of Foxi3, we examined Neurog1 and Neurog2 expression to observe the development of the trigeminal and epibranchial ganglia, respectively, in E9.5 embryos. NeuroD is expressed in all neurogenic ganglia at slightly later stages than Neurog1 and 2. Foxi3 mutant embryos displayed decreased expression of all three markers in the epibranchial and trigeminal ganglia (Figure 9). Closer examination of Neurog2 expression showed that the geniculate (G) and petrosal (P) placodes were absent in Foxi3 mutant embryos. However, Neurog2 positive cells were still present in the nodose placode (N; Figure 9). When we visualized the neurons a day later (E10.5) with the Tuj1 anti beta-tubulin antibody, we saw that the seventh, eighth and ninth cranial ganglia were absent from Foxi3 mutants, as expected since these structures are derived from the missing geniculate, otic and petrosal placodes. However, the nodose ganglion appeared largely unaffected in Foxi3 mutants.

We also examined the development of the trigeminal ganglion with Neurog1 and NeuroD. In both cases, we observed a smaller patch of cells expressing both markers in Foxi3 mutants (Tg; Figure 9). Staining with the TuJ1 antibody at E10.5 showed that the ophthalmic (Vo) and maxillary (Vmx) branches of the trigeminal ganglion were present, but the mandibular branch (Vm) was completely missing in Foxi3 mutants (Figure 9). We speculate that the loss of this division of the trigeminal ganglion may be due to the failure of Foxi3 mutants to develop a first branchial arch (Edlund et al., 2014) into which the mandibular branch of the trigeminal ganglion projects.

Since Foxi2 is expressed extensively in cranial ectoderm, we considered the possibility that Foxi2 could compensate for loss of Foxi3 during nodose and trigeminal ganglia development. Foxi2 null mutants have no detectable phenotype and breed normally (Ohyama, Edlund and Groves, unpublished observations). We generated Foxi2;Foxi3 double mutant embryos and compared them to the Foxi3 single mutant and wild-type embryos. (Figure 9, right panels) In Foxi2;Foxi3 double mutants the expression of Neurog1, Neurog2 and NeuroD was not significantly different from Foxi3 single mutants. Similarly, we saw no

difference in TuJ1 staining of the cranial ganglion between Foxi3 and Foxi2;Foxi3 mutants at E10.5. This suggests that Foxi2 and Foxi3 do not act redundantly in cranial ganglion development.

DISCUSSION

A large number of mouse mutants display abnormal development of the inner ear, and many of these mutations manifest their effects at early stages in ear development (Acampora et al., 1999; Bouchard et al., 2010; Burton et al., 2004; Robledo and Lufkin, 2006; Robledo et al., 2002; Torres et al., 1996; Xu et al., 1999; Zheng et al., 2003; Zou et al., 2004). However, to our knowledge, Foxi3 is the first mouse gene whose loss of function causes a complete failure of the inner ear developmental program from the earliest stages of otic placode induction. Foxi3 mutant mice fail to express all markers of the otic placode we have tested, including Sox9, Foxg1 and Dlx5, as well as Pax2 and Pax8, which are generally accepted as the earliest genes expressed in the otic placode. Foxi3 mutants also lack any morphological evidence of a thickened or invaginating otic placode. These data suggest that mutation of Foxi3 completely abolishes the induction of the inner ear.

Loss of Foxi3 causes a failure of otic placode induction

Foxi3 expression commences at a significantly earlier stage than the onset of otic placode induction. We first observed Foxi3 expression in the epiblast during gastrulation. Its expression is then refined to non-neural ectoderm and thence to the pre-placodal region before becoming extinguished from the otic placode (Figure 1). Consequently, there are several possible reasons why otic placode induction does not occur in Foxi3 mutants. These include the disruption of transcriptional cascades in the non-neural ectoderm or pre-placodal domain, a failure of the pre-placodal ectoderm to receive or respond to FGF signals, and a reduction in survival of otic placode cells as they are induced. Our results suggest that two of these possibilities explain the Foxi3 mutant phenotype: we see evidence for disrupted gene expression in the pre-placodal domain and some cell death in the otic placode, but the pre-placodal ectoderm itself appears competent to respond to FGF signaling in the absence of Foxi3.

The establishment of definitive neural, neural crest, placodal and epidermal territories in the vertebrate head occurs through an iterative process of transcription factor induction and refinement into distinct territories (Grocott et al., 2012; Groves and LaBonne, 2014; Milet and Monsoro-Burq, 2012). This refinement occurs by reciprocal activation of transcription factors in the same territory and mutual repression of transcription factors in adjacent territories. For example, Dlx5 and Foxi3 are both initially expressed in non-neural ectoderm before becoming refined to the pre-placodal domain (Figure S1), and ectopic expression of either factor in chick ectoderm is sufficient to induce expression of the other factor (Khatri et al., 2014). In the present study, we show that Dlx5 continues to be expressed in Foxi3 mutants, and although Foxi3 expression has not been examined in Dlx5 mutants or Dlx5;6 double mutants, otic placode induction appears to occur normally in these mutants, with ear defects only apparent at later stages (Acampora et al., 1999; Robledo and Lufkin, 2006). Together, these data suggest that although Dlx5 and Foxi3 may reinforce one another's

expression, they are not necessary for either the induction or maintenance of each other. We found mouse Gata3 is expressed at the pre-placodal stage, significantly later than Foxi3 or Dlx5, in contrast with its expression in chicken and zebrafish (Figure S2). Gata3 is absent from the pre-placodal region of Foxi3 mutants (Figure 5). Morpholino knock down of foxi1 in zebrafish also reduces gata3 expression (Bhat et al., 2013) suggesting the regulation of Gata3 genes by Foxi1/3 factors is conserved between amniotes and anamniotes.

We also observed a loss of Six1 and Eya1 specifically from the pre-placodal region of Foxi3 mutant embryos (Figure 6). However, Six4 and Eya2 are expressed in the pre-placodal region in our mutants. We previously reported that morpholino knockdown of Foxi3 in stage 3 chick embryos does not affect pre-placodal gene expression (Khatri et al., 2014), suggesting that Foxi3 acts to promote pre-placodal gene expression at or before this stage in chick. Six1 directly binds to and positively regulates the Six4 locus in some tissues (Liu et al., 2010), but additional factors likely regulate Six4 expression in the pre-placodal region. Single mutants of Six1, Six4, Eya1 and Eya2 all develop an otic placode and otocyst, although several of these mutants later develop ear defects (Ozaki et al., 2001; Xu et al., 1999; Zheng et al., 2003). Six1;Six4 and Eya1;Eya2 double mutants also develop inner ears (Grifone et al., 2007; Zou et al., 2006), as do Six1;Eya1 mutants, although some studies have reported the otocyst in these mutants to be hypoplastic (Ahmed et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2003; Zou et al., 2004). Together, these results suggest that the absence of an otic placode in Foxi3 mutants is likely due to more than the loss of Six1 and Eya1 from the preplacodal region. It is possible that the combined loss of Six1, Eya1 and Gata3 in our mutants is sufficient to lead to a failure of otic placode induction, but there are no zebrafish or mouse studies to date in which all three genes have been simultaneously inactivated or knocked down.

What happens to pre-placodal ectoderm that would normally form the otic placode in Foxi3 mutants? Although this question can only be answered definitively by lineage tracing in Foxi3 mutant embryos, our data suggest that changes in both cell fate and cell survival may be responsible. We have previously shown that a related Foxi gene, Foxi2, is expressed in the epidermis surrounding the otic placode but specifically excluded from the placode itself (Jayasena et al., 2008; Khatri and Groves, 2013; Ohyama and Groves, 2004a; Ohyama et al., 2006). In Foxi3 mutants, Foxi2 expression is broader and covers the region where the otic cup would normally form in wild-type embryos. We observed a small but significant increase in apoptotic cells in the pre-placodal region of Foxi3 mutants. However, the persistence of Six4+, Eya2+ FGF-responsive ectoderm in Foxi3 mutants suggests that apoptosis alone cannot account for the absence of the otic placode in Foxi3 mutants. Rather, it is likely that both a change from otic placode to epidermal fates and compromised survival of presumptive otic progenitors account for the Foxi3 mutant phenotype.

Direct and indirect functions of Foxi3 in the induction of other cranial placodes

Foxi3 is initially expressed throughout the developing pre-placodal region before being down-regulated from the anterior neural plate border (Figure 1). Despite early Foxi3 expression in the progenitors of the olfactory and lens placodes, we saw no obvious defects in these placodes in Foxi3 mutants (Figure 8). This suggests either that Foxi3 does not

regulate anterior placode genes, or that its function can be replaced by other transcription factors in the anterior region, such as Dlx5 and Dlx6, Pax6 or Otx2, all of which are expressed in the anterior pre-placodal region (Bhattacharyya et al., 2004; Khatri et al., 2014; Steventon et al., 2012). In contrast, we observed a reduction in the size of the trigeminal ganglion and an almost complete loss of the petrosal (IXth) and geniculate (VIIth) ganglia (Figure 9). The mandibular branch of the trigeminal ganglion was the only branch to be significantly compromised in Foxi3 mutants. Since Foxi3 mutants have a severely hypoplastic first pharyngeal arch and lack most of the mandible (Edlund et al., 2014), it is possible that the lack of a suitable target is responsible for a failure of this part of the trigeminal ganglion to develop. Likewise, since the epibranchial placodes and their derived ganglia develop in response to signals from the pharyngeal pouches (Begbie et al., 1999; Ladher et al., 2010), the lack of clear pharyngeal pouches in Foxi3 mutants (Edlund et al., 2014) may result in a failure of epibranchial placode induction. Furthermore, the early loss of Six1 and Eya1 from the pre-placodal region of Foxi3 mutants might be responsible for the loss of the geniculate and petrosal placodes, since these placodes are also absent in Six1;Eya1 mutant mice (Zou et al., 2004). Interestingly, the nodose (Xth) ganglion is unaffected in both Foxi3 and Foxi2;Foxi3 double mutants (Figure 9). Unlike other epibranchial placodes, the nodose placode arises from a region of ectoderm outside the Pax2-expressing otic-epibranchial precursor domain or OEPD (Freter et al., 2008; Ladher et al., 2010; Ohyama and Groves, 2004b), and so it is possible that either the inductive signals for the nodose placode are distinct from the otic and other epibranchial placodes, or that its competence to respond to placode-inducing signals is regulated by factors distinct from other placodes in the OEPD.

Amniote Foxi3 and zebrafish foxi1 show sequence and functional homology

The present study and our previous analyses of Foxi3 in mouse and chick suggest that the amniote Foxi3 gene is a true homologue of zebrafish foxi1. The zebrafish foxi1 protein is more closely related to mouse and chick Foxi3 protein than to either mouse or chick Foxi1 (Edlund et al., 2015). Mouse Foxi3 mutants completely lack an otocyst and have a greatly reduced lower jaw (Edlund et al., 2014), while zebrafish foxi1 mutants have a greatly reduced otocyst and jaw, lack expression of many otic placode markers (Hans et al., 2007; Hans et al., 2013; Nissen et al., 2003; Solomon et al., 2003) and have reduced expression of the non-neural ectoderm marker gata3 (Bhat et al., 2013). Although some preplacodal markers (six4.1 and eya1) are unaffected in foxi1 morphants (Kwon et al., 2010), no data is available for the expression of these or other preplacodal genes in foxi1 null mutants. Finally, it should be noted that, just as amniote Foxi3 and fish foxi1 appear to be functional homologues, a case can be made for functional homology between amniote Foxi1 and fish foxi3. Here, both genes are expressed later in development and appear to regulate genes involved in the function of transport epithelium. In the case of zebrafish, foxi3 regulates the development of epidermal ionocytes (Cruz et al., 2013; Esaki et al., 2009; Hsiao et al., 2007; Janicke et al., 2007; Janicke et al., 2010; Thermes et al., 2010), while Foxi1 regulates genes important for production and homeostasis of endolymphatic fluid, such as SLC26A4 which encodes pendrin (Blomqvist et al., 2004; Hulander et al., 2003; Raft et al., 2014; Vidarsson et al., 2009).

Is Foxi3 acting as a pioneer factor?

Fgf signaling plays key roles in the early induction of the neural plate border region and its division into the neural plate, neural crest, pre-placodal region and epidermis (Edlund et al., 2015; Grocott et al., 2012; Groves and LaBonne, 2014; Milet and Monsoro-Burq, 2012). Fgf signaling is also necessary for otic placode induction in all vertebrate groups examined to date (Ohyama et al., 2007; Riley and Phillips, 2003; Solomon et al., 2004). Our data suggest that the Fgf signaling pathway remains intact in Foxi3 mutant mice. The Fgf ligands Fgf3 and Fgf10, together with their receptors Fgfr1 and 2, were present in mutant embryos. Furthermore, the expression of the downstream transcription factors Pea3 (data not shown) and Erm was unaffected. Finally, the downstream negative regulator of Fgf signaling, Spry2 was also expressed in Foxi3 mutant ectoderm (Figure 7). In addition, Axin2 was expressed normally in mutant ectoderm, indicating that the canonical Wnt pathway is activated. Together these data suggest that the action of Foxi3 is necessary for pre-placodal ectoderm to initiate a program of otic placode induction in response to Fgf and Wnt signals, but is not necessary for the integrity or function of either the Fgf or Wnt pathways. It is therefore possible that Foxi3 renders preplacodal cells in a transcriptionally competent state to correctly interpret Fgf and Wnt siganls. Some Forkhead transcription factors are able to access the outer face of DNA in condensed chromatin through their winged helix domains, which have homology to linker histones (Iwafuchi-Doi and Zaret, 2014; Zaret and Carroll, 2011). In this capacity they can act as pioneer factors, recruiting other transcription factors such as Gata family proteins to tissue-specific loci, which become progressively more transcriptionally active (Zaret et al., 2008). Indeed, the zebrafish functional homologue of Foxi3, foxi1, remains bound to chromatin during DNA replication (Yan et al., 2006), another hallmark of pioneer factors. At present, we do not know the direct targets of Foxi3 during establishment of the neural plate border region and induction of the otic placode. Moreover, with a few exceptions (Ishihara et al., 2008; Sato et al., 2012), we know very little about the regulatory regions that control expression of pre-placodal and otic placode genes. Identifying these regions and the transcription factors that bind them is a prerequisite for assembling a gene regulatory network for the sensory placodes of the head (Grocott et al., 2012) and for establishing the function of Foxi3 in this regulatory network.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Pin Xu, Doug Engel, Jian-Xian Lie, Pascal Maire, Gail Martin, Kathy Shim, Suzi Mansour, Meinrad Busslinger, Andreas Schedl, Qiufu Ma and Greg Dressler for probes. We thank Alyssa Crowder, Hongyuan Zhang and Huiling Li for excellent technical assistance. This work was supported by RO1 DC013072 and RO1 DC004675 (A.K.G.), and RO3 DC007349 (T.O.). R.K.E. was supported in part by T32 HD055200.

References

Acampora D, Simeone A, Levi G. Craniofacial, vestibular and bone defects in mice lacking the Distalless-related gene Dlx5. Development. 1999; 126:3795–3809. [PubMed: 10433909]

Adams DJ, Quail MA, Cox T, van der Weyden L, Gorick BD, Su Q, Chan WI, Davies R, Bonfield JK, Law F, Humphray S, Plumb B, Liu P, Rogers J, Bradley A. A genome-wide, end-sequenced 129Sv

BAC library resource for targeting vector construction. Genomics. 2005; 86:753–758. [PubMed: 16257172]

- Ahmed M, Xu J, Xu PX. EYA1 and SIX1 drive the neuronal developmental program in cooperation with the SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling complex and SOX2 in the mammalian inner ear. Development. 2012; 139:1965–1977. [PubMed: 22513373]
- Ahrens K, Schlosser G. Tissues and signals involved in the induction of placodal Six1 expression in Xenopus laevis. Dev Biol. 2005; 288:40–59. [PubMed: 16271713]
- Bailey AP, Streit A. Sensory organs: making and breaking the pre-placodal region. Current topics in developmental biology. 2006; 72:167–204. [PubMed: 16564335]
- Baker CV, Bronner-Fraser M. Vertebrate cranial placodes I. Embryonic induction. Dev Biol. 2001; 232:1–61. [PubMed: 11254347]
- Begbie J, Brunet JF, Rubenstein JL, Graham A. Induction of the epibranchial placodes. Development. 1999; 126:895–902. [PubMed: 9927591]
- Bhat N, Kwon HJ, Riley BB. A gene network that coordinates preplacodal competence and neural crest specification in zebrafish. Dev Biol. 2013; 373:107–117. [PubMed: 23078916]
- Bhattacharyya S, Bailey AP, Bronner-Fraser M, Streit A. Segregation of lens and olfactory precursors from a common territory: cell sorting and reciprocity of Dlx5 and Pax6 expression. Dev Biol. 2004; 271:403–414. [PubMed: 15223343]
- Blomqvist SR, Vidarsson H, Fitzgerald S, Johansson BR, Ollerstam A, Brown R, Persson AE, Bergstrom GG, Enerback S. Distal renal tubular acidosis in mice that lack the forkhead transcription factor Foxi1. J Clin Invest. 2004; 113:1560–1570. [PubMed: 15173882]
- Bouchard M, de Caprona D, Busslinger M, Xu P, Fritzsch B. Pax2 and Pax8 cooperate in mouse inner ear morphogenesis and innervation. BMC Dev Biol. 2010; 10:89–89. [PubMed: 20727173]
- Brown ST, Wang J, Groves AK. Dlx gene expression during chick inner ear development. J Comp Neurol. 2005; 483:48–65. [PubMed: 15672396]
- Brugmann SA, Pandur PD, Kenyon KL, Pignoni F, Moody SA. Six1 promotes a placodal fate within the lateral neurogenic ectoderm by functioning as both a transcriptional activator and repressor. Development. 2004; 131:5871–5881. [PubMed: 15525662]
- Burton Q, Cole LK, Mulheisen M, Chang W, Wu DK. The role of Pax2 in mouse inner ear development. Dev Biol. 2004; 272:161–175. [PubMed: 15242798]
- Cabrita MA, Christofori G. Sprouty proteins, masterminds of receptor tyrosine kinase signaling. Angiogenesis. 2008; 11:53–62. [PubMed: 18219583]
- Christophorou NAD, Bailey AP, Hanson S, Streit A. Activation of Six1 target genes is required for sensory placode formation. Dev Biol. 2009; 336:327–336. [PubMed: 19781543]
- Cruz SA, Chao PL, Hwang PP. Cortisol promotes differentiation of epidermal ionocytes through Foxi3 transcription factors in zebrafish (Danio rerio). Comparative biochemistry and physiology Part A, Molecular & integrative physiology. 2013; 164:249–257.
- Edlund RK, Birol O, Groves AK. The role of foxi family transcription factors in the development of the ear and jaw. Current topics in developmental biology. 2015; 111:461–495. [PubMed: 25662269]
- Edlund RK, Ohyama T, Kantarci H, Riley BB, Groves AK. Foxi transcription factors promote pharyngeal arch development by regulating formation of FGF signaling centers. Dev Biol. 2014; 390:1–13. [PubMed: 24650709]
- Esaki M, Hoshijima K, Nakamura N, Munakata K, Tanaka M, Ookata K, Asakawa K, Kawakami K, Wang W, Weinberg ES, Hirose S. Mechanism of development of ionocytes rich in vacuolar-type H(+)-ATPase in the skin of zebrafish larvae. Dev Biol. 2009; 329:116–129. [PubMed: 19268451]
- Firnberg N, Neubüser A. FGF Signaling Regulates Expression of Tbx2, Erm, Pea3, and Pax3 in the Early Nasal Region. Dev Biol. 2002; 247:237–250. [PubMed: 12086464]
- Freter S, Muta Y, Mak SS, Rinkwitz S, Ladher RK. Progressive restriction of otic fate: the role of FGF and Wnt in resolving inner ear potential. Development. 2008; 135:3415–3424. [PubMed: 18799542]
- Grifone R, Demignon J, Giordani J, Niro C, Souil E, Bertin F, Laclef C, Xu PX, Maire P. Eya1 and Eya2 proteins are required for hypaxial somitic myogenesis in the mouse embryo. Dev Biol. 2007; 302:602–616. [PubMed: 17098221]

- Grocott T, Tambalo M, Streit A. The peripheral sensory nervous system in the vertebrate head: a gene regulatory perspective. Dev Biol. 2012; 370:3–23. [PubMed: 22790010]
- Groves, AK. The Induction of the Otic Placode. In: Popper, AN.; Kelley, MW.; Wu, DK., editors. Development of the Inner Ear. Springer Verlag; New York: 2005. p. 10-42.
- Groves AK, Bronner-Fraser M. Competence, specification and commitment in otic placode induction. Development. 2000; 127:3489–3499. [PubMed: 10903174]
- Groves AK, Fekete DM. Shaping sound in space: the regulation of inner ear patterning. Development. 2012; 139:245–257. [PubMed: 22186725]
- Groves AK, LaBonne C. Setting appropriate boundaries: fate, patterning and competence at the neural plate border. Dev Biol. 2014; 389:2–12. [PubMed: 24321819]
- Hans S, Christison J, Liu D, Westerfield M. Fgf-dependent otic induction requires competence provided by Foxi1 and Dlx3b. BMC Dev Biol. 2007; 7:5. [PubMed: 17239227]
- Hans S, Irmscher A, Brand M. Zebrafish Foxi1 provides a neuronal ground state during inner ear induction preceding the Dlx3b/4b-regulated sensory lineage. Development. 2013; 140:1936–1945. [PubMed: 23571216]
- Henrique D, Adam J, Myat A, Chitnis A, Lewis J, Ish-Horowicz D. Expression of a Delta homologue in prospective neurons in the chick. Nature. 1995; 375:787–790. [PubMed: 7596411]
- Hsiao CD, You MS, Guh YJ, Ma M, Jiang YJ, Hwang PP. A positive regulatory loop between foxi3a and foxi3b is essential for specification and differentiation of zebrafish epidermal ionocytes. PloS one. 2007; 2:e302. [PubMed: 17375188]
- Hulander M, Kiernan AE, Blomqvist SR, Carlsson P, Samuelsson EJ, Johansson BR, Steel KP, Enerback S. Lack of pendrin expression leads to deafness and expansion of the endolymphatic compartment in inner ears of Foxi1 null mutant mice. Development. 2003; 130:2013–2025. [PubMed: 12642503]
- Ishihara T, Sato S, Ikeda K, Yajima H, Kawakami K. Multiple evolutionarily conserved enhancers control expression of Eya1. Dev Dyn. 2008; 237:3142–3156. [PubMed: 18816442]
- Iwafuchi-Doi M, Zaret KS. Pioneer transcription factors in cell reprogramming. Genes Dev. 2014; 28:2679–2692. [PubMed: 25512556]
- Janicke M, Carney TJ, Hammerschmidt M. Foxi3 transcription factors and Notch signaling control the formation of skin ionocytes from epidermal precursors of the zebrafish embryo. Dev Biol. 2007; 307:258–271. [PubMed: 17555741]
- Janicke M, Renisch B, Hammerschmidt M. Zebrafish grainyhead-like1 is a common marker of different non-keratinocyte epidermal cell lineages, which segregate from each other in a Foxi3 dependent manner. Int J Dev Biol. 2010; 54:837–850. [PubMed: 19757382]
- Jayasena CS, Ohyama T, Segil N, Groves AK. Notch signaling augments the canonical Wnt pathway to specify the size of the otic placode. Development. 2008; 135:2251–2261. [PubMed: 18495817]
- Jidigam VK, Gunhaga L. Development of cranial placodes: insights from studies in chick. Dev Growth Differ. 2013; 55:79–95. [PubMed: 23278869]
- Khatri SB, Edlund RK, Groves AK. Foxi3 Is necessary for the induction of the chick otic placode in response to FGF signaling. Dev Biol. 2014
- Khatri SB, Groves AK. Expression of the Foxi2 and Foxi3 transcription factors during development of chicken sensory placodes and pharyngeal arches. Gene expression patterns : GEP. 2013; 13:38–42. [PubMed: 23124078]
- Kwon HJ, Bhat N, Sweet EM, Cornell RA, Riley BB. Identification of early requirements for preplacodal ectoderm and sensory organ development. PLoS Genet. 2010; 6
- Ladher RK, Anakwe KU, Gurney AL, Schoenwolf GC, Francis-West PH. Identification of synergistic signals initiating inner ear development. Science. 2000; 290:1965–1967. [PubMed: 11110663]
- Ladher RK, O'Neill P, Begbie J. From shared lineage to distinct functions: the development of the inner ear and epibranchial placodes. Development. 2010; 137:1777–1785. [PubMed: 20460364]
- Ladher RK, Wright TJ, Moon AM, Mansour SL, Schoenwolf GC. FGF8 initiates inner ear induction in chick and mouse. Genes Dev. 2005; 19:603–613. [PubMed: 15741321]
- Leger S, Brand M. Fgf8 and Fgf3 are required for zebrafish ear placode induction, maintenance and inner ear patterning. Mechanisms of development. 2002; 119:91–108. [PubMed: 12385757]

- Lillevali K, Haugas M, Matilainen T, Pussinen C, Karis A, Salminen M. Gata3 is required for early morphogenesis and Fgf10 expression during otic development. Mechanisms of development. 2006; 123:415–429. [PubMed: 16806848]
- Litsiou A, Hanson S, Streit A. A balance of FGF, BMP and WNT signalling positions the future placode territory in the head. Development. 2005; 132:4051–4062. [PubMed: 16093325]
- Liu D, Chu H, Maves L, Yan YL, Morcos PA, Postlethwait JH, Westerfield M. Fgf3 and Fgf8 dependent and independent transcription factors are required for otic placode specification. Development. 2003; 130:2213–2224. [PubMed: 12668634]
- Liu Y, Chu A, Chakroun I, Islam U, Blais A. Cooperation between myogenic regulatory factors and SIX family transcription factors is important for myoblast differentiation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010; 38:6857–6871. [PubMed: 20601407]
- Mahoney Rogers AA, Zhang J, Shim K. Sprouty1 and Sprouty2 limit both the size of the otic placode and hindbrain Wnt8a by antagonizing FGF signaling. Dev Biol. 2011; 353:94–104. [PubMed: 21362415]
- Maroon H, Walshe J, Mahmood R, Kiefer P, Dickson C, Mason I. Fgf3 and Fgf8 are required together for formation of the otic placode and vesicle. Development. 2002; 129:2099–2108. [PubMed: 11959820]
- Martin K, Groves AK. Competence of cranial ectoderm to respond to Fgf signaling suggests a twostep model of otic placode induction. Development. 2006; 133:877–887. [PubMed: 16452090]
- McLarren KW, Litsiou A, Streit A. DLX5 positions the neural crest and preplacode region at the border of the neural plate. Dev Biol. 2003; 259:34–47. [PubMed: 12812786]
- Meyers EN, Lewandoski M, Martin GR. An Fgf8 mutant allelic series generated by Cre- and Flpmediated recombination. Nat Genet. 1998; 18:136–141. [PubMed: 9462741]
- Milet C, Monsoro-Burq AH. Neural crest induction at the neural plate border in vertebrates. Dev Biol. 2012; 366:22–33. [PubMed: 22305800]
- Nissen RM, Yan J, Amsterdam A, Hopkins N, Burgess SM. Zebrafish foxi one modulates cellular responses to Fgf signaling required for the integrity of ear and jaw patterning. Development. 2003; 130:2543–2554. [PubMed: 12702667]
- Ohyama T, Groves AK. Expression of mouse Foxi class genes in early craniofacial development. Dev Dyn. 2004a; 231:640–646. [PubMed: 15376323]
- Ohyama T, Groves AK. Generation of Pax2-Cre mice by modification of a Pax2 bacterial artificial chromosome. Genesis. 2004b; 38:195–199. [PubMed: 15083520]
- Ohyama T, Groves AK, Martin K. The first steps towards hearing: mechanisms of otic placode induction. Int J Dev Biol. 2007; 51:463–472. [PubMed: 17891709]
- Ohyama T, Mohamed OA, Taketo MM, Dufort D, Groves AK. Wnt signals mediate a fate decision between otic placode and epidermis. Development. 2006; 133:865–875. [PubMed: 16452098]
- Ozaki H, Watanabe Y, Takahashi K, Kitamura K, Tanaka A, Urase K, Momoi T, Sudo K, Sakagami J, Asano M, Iwakura Y, Kawakami K. Six4, a putative myogenin gene regulator, is not essential for mouse embryonal development. Mol Cell Biol. 2001; 21:3343–3350. [PubMed: 11313460]
- Patthey C, Gunhaga L. Specification and regionalisation of the neural plate border. Eur J Neurosci. 2011; 34:1516–1528. [PubMed: 22103410]
- Patthey C, Gunhaga L. Signaling pathways regulating ectodermal cell fate choices. Exp Cell Res. 2013
- Phillips BT, Bolding K, Riley BB. Zebrafish fgf3 and fgf8 encode redundant functions required for otic placode induction. Dev Biol. 2001; 235:351–365. [PubMed: 11437442]
- Raft S, Andrade LR, Shao D, Akiyama H, Henkemeyer M, Wu DK. Ephrin-B2 governs morphogenesis of endolymphatic sac and duct epithelia in the mouse inner ear. Dev Biol. 2014; 390:51–67. [PubMed: 24583262]
- Riley BB, Phillips BT. Ringing in the new ear: resolution of cell interactions in otic development. Dev Biol. 2003; 261:289–312. [PubMed: 14499642]
- Robledo RF, Lufkin T. Dlx5 and Dlx6 homeobox genes are required for specification of the mammalian vestibular apparatus. Genesis. 2006; 44:425–437. [PubMed: 16900517]

- Robledo RF, Rajan L, Li X, Lufkin T. The Dlx5 and Dlx6 homeobox genes are essential for craniofacial, axial, and appendicular skeletal development. Genes Dev. 2002; 16:1089–1101. [PubMed: 12000792]
- Sato S, Ikeda K, Shioi G, Nakao K, Yajima H, Kawakami K. Regulation of Six1 expression by evolutionarily conserved enhancers in tetrapods. Dev Biol. 2012; 368:95–108. [PubMed: 22659139]
- Schimmang T. Expression and functions of FGF ligands during early otic development. Int J Dev Biol. 2007; 51:473–481. [PubMed: 17891710]
- Schlosser G. Induction and specification of cranial placodes. Dev Biol. 2006; 294:303–351. [PubMed: 16677629]
- Schlosser G. Making senses development of vertebrate cranial placodes. Int Rev Cell Mol Biol. 2010; 283:129–234. [PubMed: 20801420]
- Sheng G, Stern CD. Gata2 and Gata3: novel markers for early embryonic polarity and for non-neural ectoderm in the chick embryo. Mechanisms of development. 1999; 87:213–216. [PubMed: 10495290]
- Solomon KS, Kudoh T, Dawid IB, Fritz A. Zebrafish foxi1 mediates otic placode formation and jaw development. Development. 2003; 130:929–940. [PubMed: 12538519]
- Solomon KS, Kwak SJ, Fritz A. Genetic interactions underlying otic placode induction and formation. Dev Dyn. 2004; 230:419–433. [PubMed: 15188428]
- Stern CD. Detection of multiple gene products simultaneously by in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry in whole mounts of avian embryos. Current topics in developmental biology. 1998; 36:223–243. [PubMed: 9342531]
- Steventon B, Mayor R, Streit A. Mutual repression between Gbx2 and Otx2 in sensory placodes reveals a general mechanism for ectodermal patterning. Dev Biol. 2012; 367:55–65. [PubMed: 22564795]
- Streit A. Origin of the vertebrate inner ear: evolution and induction of the otic placode. J Anat. 2001; 199:99–103. [PubMed: 11523832]
- Streit A. The preplacodal region: an ectodermal domain with multipotential progenitors that contribute to sense organs and cranial sensory ganglia. Int J Dev Biol. 2007; 51:447–461. [PubMed: 17891708]
- Thermes V, Lin CC, Hwang PP. Expression of Ol-foxi3 and $Na(+)/K(+)$ -ATPase in ionocytes during the development of euryhaline medaka (Oryzias latipes) embryos. Gene expression patterns : GEP. 2010; 10:185–192. [PubMed: 20388555]
- Torres M, Gomez-Pardo E, Gruss P. Pax2 contributes to inner ear patterning and optic nerve trajectory. Development. 1996; 122:3381–3391. [PubMed: 8951055]
- Tsang M, Dawid IB. Promotion and attenuation of FGF signaling through the Ras-MAPK pathway. Science's STKE : signal transduction knowledge environment. 2004; 2004:pe17.
- Urness LD, Paxton CN, Wang X, Schoenwolf GC, Mansour SL. FGF signaling regulates otic placode induction and refinement by controlling both ectodermal target genes and hindbrain Wnt8a. Dev Biol. 2010; 340:595–604. [PubMed: 20171206]
- Vidarsson H, Westergren R, Heglind M, Blomqvist SR, Breton S, Enerback S. The forkhead transcription factor Foxi1 is a master regulator of vacuolar H-ATPase proton pump subunits in the inner ear, kidney and epididymis. PloS one. 2009; 4:e4471. [PubMed: 19214237]
- Warming S, Costantino N, Court DL, Jenkins NA, Copeland NG. Simple and highly efficient BAC recombineering using galK selection. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005; 33:e36. [PubMed: 15731329]
- Wright TJ, Mansour SL. Fgf3 and Fgf10 are required for mouse otic placode induction. Development. 2003; 130:3379–3390. [PubMed: 12810586]
- Xu PX, Adams J, Peters H, Brown MC, Heaney S, Maas R. Eya1-deficient mice lack ears and kidneys and show abnormal apoptosis of organ primordia. Nat Genet. 1999; 23:113–117. [PubMed: 10471511]
- Yamamoto H, Kishida S, Uochi T, Ikeda S, Koyama S, Asashima M, Kikuchi A. Axil, a member of the Axin family, interacts with both glycogen synthase kinase 3beta and beta-catenin and inhibits axis formation of Xenopus embryos. Mol Cell Biol. 1998; 18:2867–2875. [PubMed: 9566905]

- Yan J, Xu L, Crawford G, Wang Z, Burgess SM. The forkhead transcription factor FoxI1 remains bound to condensed mitotic chromosomes and stably remodels chromatin structure. Mol Cell Biol. 2006; 26:155–168. [PubMed: 16354687]
- Yang L, O'Neill P, Martin K, Maass JC, Vassilev V, Ladher R, Groves AK. Analysis of FGFdependent and FGF-independent pathways in otic placode induction. PloS one. 2013; 8:e55011. [PubMed: 23355906]
- Zaret KS, Carroll JS. Pioneer transcription factors: establishing competence for gene expression. Genes Dev. 2011; 25:2227–2241. [PubMed: 22056668]
- Zaret KS, Watts J, Xu J, Wandzioch E, Smale ST, Sekiya T. Pioneer factors, genetic competence, and inductive signaling: programming liver and pancreas progenitors from the endoderm. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol. 2008; 73:119–126. [PubMed: 19028990]
- Zheng W, Huang L, Wei ZB, Silvius D, Tang B, Xu PX. The role of Six1 in mammalian auditory system development. Development. 2003; 130:3989–4000. [PubMed: 12874121]
- Zou D, Silvius D, Davenport J, Grifone R, Maire P, Xu PX. Patterning of the third pharyngeal pouch into thymus/parathyroid by Six and Eya1. Dev Biol. 2006; 293:499–512. [PubMed: 16530750]
- Zou D, Silvius D, Fritzsch B, Xu PX. Eya1 and Six1 are essential for early steps of sensory neurogenesis in mammalian cranial placodes. Development. 2004; 131:5561–5572. [PubMed: 15496442]

AUTHOR SUMMARY

Foxi3 is a Forkhead transcription factor that is expressed in the "pre-placodal" domain that gives rise to all vertebrate craniofacial sensory organs, including the inner ear. We generated a mouse knock-out of Foxi3 and found that the inner ear completely fails to form in these mutants. We saw no molecular evidence for formation of the otic placode, the earliest step in inner ear development. We showed that in the absence of Foxi3, three pre-placodal transcription factors - Gata3, Six1 and Eya1 - are not expressed, indicating that the pre-placodal ectoderm is not properly induced. Fgf signals are essential for embryonic ectoderm to differentiate into the otic placode. We found that Fgf ligands and receptors are correctly expressed in Foxi3 mutants and that the future ear field receives Fgf signaling. This argues that Foxi3 mutant cells lack the competence to interpret Fgf signals and are unable to differentiate in response to those signals. Our data suggest that Foxi3 may be a pioneer factor that enables the cells in the pre-placodal region to interpret the Fgf signal and turn on a program of inner ear induction.

HIGHLIGHTS

• Foxi3 is an early marker of non-neural ectoderm and the pre-placodal domain

- **•** Foxi3 can regulate non-neural ectoderm and pre-placodal transcription factors.
- **•** Foxi3 is necessary for otic placode induction in response to FGF
- **•** Foxi3 is necessary for the development of epibranchial placodes
- **•** However, Foxi3 is not necessary for ectoderm to receive FGF signals.

Figure 1.

Dynamic expression of Foxi3 in embryonic ectoderm between stages E6.5 and E8.5. Foxi3 expression is observed in the most anterior region of the epiblast at E7.5 and is slowly refined to non-neural ectoderm by E8.0. At the 0 somite/headfold stage (E8.25/0ss) it is only expressed in non-neural ectoderm at the neural plate border and is absent from all other regions of the embryo. Over the next 12 hours, Foxi3 gradually refines to pre-placodal ectoderm and pharyngeal endoderm at the level of the future midbrain and hindbrain. By the 3–5ss, Foxi3 expression is restricted to the posterior pre-placodal ectoderm and pharyngeal endoderm. After otic placode induction, Foxi3 is down-regulated from dorsal pre-placodal ectoderm (magenta brackets) and is only found in ventral parts of the head ectoderm. ss – number of pairs of somites. Green arrowheads mark the neural plate-epidermis boundary. Scale $bar = 150 \mu m$

Figure 2.

Pax2, the earliest marker of the otic placode, is absent in Foxi3 mutants. Pax2 is expressed in the midbrain-hindbrain region of wild-type and Foxi3 mutant embryos and in the developing otic region of wild-type embryos (red arrows). However, Pax2 is absent from the otic region of Foxi3 mutants (asterisks). Number of wild type embryos examined: 2 (1ss), 3 (2ss), 4 (4ss), 20 (E9.5). Number of mutant embryos examined: 5 (1ss), 4 (2ss), 4 (4ss), 10 (E9.5). At E9.5, Pax2 expression persists in the wild-type otic cup, but neither Pax2 expression nor an otic cup is visible in Foxi3 mutants. The dotted lines indicate the approximate plane of section in the far right panels. ss – numbers of pairs of somites. Scale $bar = 150 \mu m$

Figure 3.

Foxi3 mutants do not express markers of the otic cup

Four genes that mark the otic placode, Dlx5, Foxg1, Pax8 and Sox9, were examined in wildtype and Foxi3 mutant embryos at the otic cup stage (E9.0–9.5). Foxi3 mutants lack an otic cup or thickened invaginating placodal ectoderm (asterisks) and none of the four otic genes are expressed in ectoderm adjacent to the hindbrain, which normally differentiates into the otic placode. However, all four markers are expressed normally in other tissues with the exception of the pharyngeal arch region, which is disrupted in Foxi3 mutants (Edlund et al., 2014). 5–6 wild-type or mutant embryos were examined for each marker. Scale bar = $150\mu m$

Figure 4.

Expression of epidermal and apoptotic markers in Foxi3 mutants

(A) Foxi2 is a marker that is normally absent in otic tissue (dotted area; brackets on section) and strongly expressed in the first pharyngeal cleft (black arrowhead). The Foxi2-negative otic region is absent in Foxi3 mutants, and instead, Foxi2-expressing epidermis covers this region. The first pharyngeal arch is also disrupted in Foxi3 mutants (asterisk; Edlund et al., 2014). 10 wild-type and 7 mutant embryos were examined... (B) Wild-type and Foxi3 mutant embryos between 4–8ss were stained with an activated Caspase-3 antibody (green) to reveal apoptotic cells and counterstained with DAPI (magenta) to reveal nuclei. White arrows indicate the presence of apoptotic cells in the pre-placodal region. (C) Schematic diagram of a 4–8ss embryo and a transverse section to show the regions of the embryos that were quantified for Casp3+ cells in the anterior-posterior and medial-lateral axes (red lines). (D) Quantification of apoptotic cells in wild-type and Foxi3 mutant embryos. Standard error is shown. n=7 for both wild-type and mutant embryos (p <0.05). Scale bar = 150 μ m

Figure 5.

Expression of non-neural ectoderm transcription factors in head-fold stage Foxi3 mutants. Dlx5 expression persists in the non-neural ectoderm of Foxi3 mutant embryos at the 0–2ss. Gata3 is expressed in the pre-placodal ectoderm of wild-type embryos (red arrows) but not Foxi3 mutants (asterisks). However, Gata3 is still expressed in the anterior endoderm in mutant embryos (open arrowheads). 7–8 wild-type or mutant embryos were examined for each marker. Scale bar = 150μm

Figure 6.

Differential regulation of the definitive pre-placodal Six/Eya genes in Foxi3 mutants. Analysis of Six1, Six4, Eya1 and Eya2 in wild-type and Foxi3 mutant embryos at the preplacodal (2–5ss) stage. Six1 and Eya1 are absent from the pre-placodal region of Foxi3 mutants (asterisks) but are expressed in the underlying mesoderm (Six1, Eya1) and endoderm (Eya1) 4 wild-type or mutant embryos were examined for each marker. Preplacodal expression of Six1 and Eya1 in wild-type embryos is indicated with red arrows. In contrast, Six4 and Eya2 remain expressed in the pre-placodal ectoderm of Foxi3 mutants. 6– 7 wild-type or mutant embryos were examined for each marker. Scale bar $= 150 \mu m$

Figure 7.

Fgf signals are presented and received normally in Foxi3 mutants.

The central summary diagram shows a simplified version of the Fgf signaling pathway, with different components examined in panels A–C. (A) Fgf3 and Fgf10 are necessary for otic placode induction. Both ligands are expressed normally in Foxi3 mutant embryos: Fgf3 is expressed in neural tissue and Fgf10 is expressed in mesodermal tissue underlying the presumptive otic placode. 3 wild-type or mutant embryos were examined for each marker. (B) Fgfr2 is expressed in the neural plate and pre-placodal ectoderm (red arrows) of wildtype and Foxi3 mutant embryos (n=20). (C) The Erm transcription factor and the Fgf pathway negative regulator Spry2 are both expressed in Foxi3 mutant pre-placodal ectoderm (red arrows). 6–9 wild-type or mutant embryos were examined for each marker. Scale bar = 300μm

Figure 8.

The olfactory and lens placodes develop normally in Foxi3 mutants.

Sections through the lens and olfactory regions of E9.5 Foxi3 mutant and wild-type embryos were stained with antibodies to Pax2 (green) and counter-stained with DAPI (magenta). Both of these anterior placodes develop normally in Foxi3 mutants. 4 wild-type or mutant embryos were examined.

Figure 9.

Loss of Foxi3 leads to a loss of the geniculate and petrosal placodes and their ganglia and to defects in the mandibular branch of the trigeminal ganglion E9.5 wild-type, Foxi3 mutant and Foxi2;Foxi3 mutant embryos were examined for expression of Neurog1 and 2 and NeuroD. In mutant embryos, Neurog1 and NeuroD expression is reduced in the trigeminal (Vth) ganglion and absent from the cochleovestibular (VIIIth) ganglion (arrowhead in wild type; CVG), consistent with the absence of the otic placode. Neurog2 is not expressed in the mutant geniculate (G) or petrosal (P) placodes, and NeuroD expression in these regions is either absent or strongly reduced. However, the nodose placode (N) expresses Neurog2 and NeuroD at relatively normal levels. 7–8 wildtype, single or double mutant embryos were examined for each marker. At E10.5, Tuj1 staining for neurons shows the absence of the mandibular branch of the trigeminal ganglion

(Vm) while the ophthalmic (Vo) and maxillary (Vmx) branches remain. The geniculate and vestibuloacoustic (VII, VIII) ganglia are severely deformed and or absent. The nodose (Xth) ganglion develops normally in all cases. We observed no significant difference between Foxi3 mutants and Foxi2;Foxi3 double mutants. 9 wild-type embryos and 4 each of Foxi3 mutant and Foxi2;Foxi3 double mutants were examined for TuJ1 staining.