Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 2016, 159–171

doi: 10.1093/scan/nsv100 Advance Access Publication Date: 14 September 2015 Original Article

The person within: memory codes for persons and traits using fMRI repetition suppression

Elien Heleven and Frank Van Overwalle

Department of Psychology, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, 1050 Brussel, Belgium

Correspondence should be addressed to Frank Van Overwalle, Department of Psychology, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussel, Belgium. E-mail: Frank.VanOverwalle@vub.ac.be.

Abstract

OXFORD

Neuroimaging studies on trait inference demonstrated that the ventral medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) houses neural representations of memory codes for traits . In this study, we investigate the neural code not only of traits, but also of persons who exemplify these traits. We used repetition suppression, which is a rapid suppression of the neuroimaging signal upon repeated presentation of the same stimulus or core stimulus characteristics—in this case, the implied trait and person. Participants inferred familiar person's traits. At each trial, a critical (target) sentence described a behavior that implied a trait, and was preceded by a (prime) sentence that implied the same trait and portrayed the same person, the same trait but portrayed a different person or did not imply a trait and portrayed a different person. As predicted, we found partly overlapping repetition suppression areas in the ventral mPFC when persons and traits were repeated, indicating that not only traits but also familiar persons have a neural code in the ventral mPFC. We also found a negative correlation between activation when reading about a new person and participants' social network size, indicating that experience with larger social groups results in less recruitment of a person code.

Key words: fMRI repetition suppression; person memory code; trait memory code

Introduction

Our closest relatives and friends, what they mean to us and who they are, occupy a special place in our lives and—we speculate—also in our brain. There is a plethora of functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) research suggesting that the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) is involved in the processing and integration of person information, as part of the mentalizing network that is recruited when we attribute beliefs, traits or other characteristics to people (Mitchell *et al.*, 2006; Todorov *et al.*, 2007; Ma *et al.*, 2011, 2012b; for a review see Van Overwalle, 2009).

A critical question is whether the mentalizing network subserves specific knowledge of persons and traits in the form of neural representations or 'memory codes', independently from other representations related to action components from which a trait is abstracted, or bystanders involved in the action? How can we identify such neural codes? Typical fMRI activation when making social inferences (e.g. trait attribution) confounds the processing of prerequisite processes (e.g. behaviors) and consequential post-processes (e.g. emotions) and hence does not allow to pinpoint the neural population that represents this social knowledge (De Graaf et al., 2012). To identify neural codes, researchers turned to the technique of fMRI 'repetition suppression'. Repetition suppression or adaptation refers to the observation that repeated presentation of a stimulus (or a concept) reduces fMRI responses relative to a novel stimulus or stimulus characteristics that are variable and irrelevant (Grill-Spector et al., 2006). Repetition suppression has generally been taken as evidence for a neural marker that represents the memory of the stimulus or concept. This memory representation facilitates the activation and processing of the stimulus when repeated and is the location where specific information is stored and processed in the brain. This is consistent with predictive coding (Friston, 2005; Grill-Spector et al., 2006; Gotts et al., 2012) and connectionist models of neural functioning (McClelland and Rumelhart, 1988) that have also been applied to social cognition (Van Rooy et al., 2003; Van Overwalle and Labiouse, 2004; for a review, see Van Overwalle, 2007) since suppression can be seen as a

Received: 29 December 2014; Revised: 19 June 2015; Accepted: 30 July 2015

[©] The Author (2015). Published by Oxford University Press. For Permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com

decrease in prediction error to the same stimulus in a memory code.

Repetition suppression effects have been amply demonstrated in many perceptual domains dealing with the perception of color, shape and so on (Grill-Spector *et al.*, 1999; Thompson-Schill *et al.*, 1999; Kourtzi and Kanwisher, 2000; Engel and Furmanski, 2001; Grill-Spector and Malach, 2001; Bedny *et al.*, 2008; Devauchelle *et al.*, 2009; Roggeman *et al.*, 2011; Diana *et al.*, 2012; Josse *et al.*, 2012). In the social domain, fMRI repetition suppression has also been observed during action observation (Ramsey and Hamilton, 2010a,b) and trait inferences of others similar to the self (Jenkins *et al.*, 2008).

More important for this study, Ma et al. (2014a) applied an fMRI repetition suppression paradigm to demonstrate that a memory code representing other's traits is located in the mPFC. They presented two sentences in which different persons engaged in various behaviors that implied either the same trait, opposite trait (e.g. kind-unkind) or no trait at all. These researchers found robust suppression of activation in the ventral mPFC when a critical trait-implying sentence was preceded by a prior sentence that implied the same or opposite trait, but not when the prior sentence was trait irrelevant. This suppression effect was found nowhere else in the brain. They interpreted this result as evidence for a broad representation of traits in the mPFC, regardless of the trait valence. In a control study, they ruled out the alternative explanation that the suppression effect was a result of valence repetition (Ma et al., 2014b). In another repetition suppression study, Szpunar et al. (2014) asked their participants to imaging a future event in which they interacted with a familiar person. They found reliable fMRI suppression in the ventral mPFC when the same, rather than a different, person was presented in successive sentences, and so identified this region as the location where familiar people are represented. Taken together, recent fMRI research suggests that memory codes of familiar persons and their traits are represented by neural populations in the ventral mPFC.

The idea that our close relatives and friends occupy a privileged place in the brain is not a new one. The social brain hypothesis (Dunbar, 1992, 1998) states that the growth of the neocortex of monkeys, apes and hominids during the evolution is caused by the increasing mental demands imposed by the social complexities involved by the larger groups these species lived in (Dunbar and Shultz, 2007; Gamble *et al.*, 2011). This relation between social network size and brain size is not only observed between, but also within species. Imaging studies with humans confirmed the link between a larger social network and more ventral mPFC volume (Powell *et al.*, 2010), and both are associated with better mentalizing competency suggesting that mentalizing is the social cognitive skill that underpins this relation (Lewis *et al.*, 2011).

The present aim is to replicate and extend the trait repetition suppression study by Ma *et al.* (2014a) by identifying not only the memory code for traits, but also of familiar persons. Participants read two consecutive sentences describing a behavior performed by a familiar person implying a moral trait. These sentences implied the same or a different trait, and when the same trait was implied, they described the same or a different person. We expect to replicate the finding of Ma *et al.* (2014a) that repeated presentation of behaviors implying the same trait leads to repetition suppression in the mPFC. Moreover, we also expect that the repeated presentation of the same familiar person leads to repetition suppression in the mPFC. We also want to investigate whether traits and persons are represented in separate or overlapping brain areas. Contrary to Szpunar *et al.* (2014), we describe specific behaviors rather than imaginary interactions, and so hope to have more control over participants' mental inferences and reasoning.

We also measured participant's social network size. In line with the social brain hypotheses (Dunbar, 1992, 1998), we expect a relationship between social network size and activity in an area of the mPFC subserving the memory codes for persons, but less so for trait information.

Methods

Participants

Participants were 44 right-handed individuals (22 women and 22 men) with ages varying from 18 to 29 years (M = 22.86). All participants were native Dutch speaking, reported no abnormal neurological history and had normal or corrected-to-normal vision. We excluded two additional participants due to excessive head movements (more than 10% outlier scans, see below). Informed consent was obtained in a manner approved by the Medical Ethics Committee at the Hospital of University of Ghent, where the study was conducted, and the Free University Brussels. In exchange for their participation, participants were paid 10 euros.

Stimulus material

Participants read sentences describing a behavior performed by a known person that implied a moral trait (nice, friendly, trustworthy, honest, generous and their opposites). The sentences were adapted from other trait repetition suppression studies (Ma et al., 2014a; Van der Cruyssen et al., 2015) so that each behavioral description became applicable to any (known) person (family member or friend). We also constructed new sentences. Like these prior suppression studies, sentences that were new or substantially adapted were pilot tested by rating the applicability and valence of the implied traits using 7-point scales (with respective anchors: 1 = 'not applicable at all' and 7 = 'very applicable'; 1 = 'very negative' and 7 = 'very positive'). Sentences with extreme trait applicability (<3 or >5) and valence ratings (<3 or >5) were retained for the experiment.

Procedure

Participants were instructed to visualize a person performing a behavior and to infer the trait underlying the behavior. Persons were names or nicknames provided by the participant of 5 men and 5 women they were very close to. To remind participants of the task, each trial started by presenting the word 'trait' in the middle of the screen during 2s. After this, two sentences (a prime and a target sentence) were presented.

We created four conditions (Table 1). All conditions ended with a target sentence that implied a trait (e.g. '[Mom] tells her friend the truth' implying honesty), and were preceded by a different prime sentence depending on the condition. In the Repeated Trait/Repeated Person condition, the prime sentence implied the same trait (e.g. honesty) and referred to the same person (e.g. '[Mom] shows the instructor her fault'). In the Repeated Trait/Different Person condition, the prime sentence implied the same trait but involved another person (e.g. '[Dad] shows the instructor his fault'). In the No Trait/Different Person condition, the prime implied no trait at all (i.e. the person did not act) and referred to another person (e.g. '[Dad] sees blossoms on the trees'). We added a singleton condition in which

Table 1. Schem	latic presentation of t	ne design		
Condition		Sentences	Target sentence	
Trait Person		Prime sentence		
Repeated	Repeated	[Mom] shows the instructor her fault	[Mom] tells her friend the truth	
Repeated	Different	[Dad] shows the instructor his fault	[Mom] tells her friend the truth	
No	Different	[Dad] sees blossoms on the trees	[Mom] tells her friend the truth	
Singleton		-	[Mom] tells her friend the truth	

Table 1. Schematic presentation of the design

Note: The persons between straight brackets were replaced by the names chosen by each individual participant.

only a single trait-implying target sentence was presented, to avoid that participants would ignore the prime sentence.

Image processing

There were 20 trials in each condition. Counterbalanced between conditions and participants, we presented one of the four versions of the material to each participant, while all trials were presented in a random order across conditions. Sentences varied between 4 and 7 words and were presented in the middle of the screen during 5.5 s. Prime and target sentences were preceded by a jittered inter-stimulus interval, varying from 2.5 to 4.5 s randomly drawn from a uniform distribution, during which participants viewed passively a fixation crosshair. After reading the target sentence participants rated at their own pace how applicable the implied trait or its opposite was to the person in real life, using one of the four response buttons: 1 = 'never', 2 = 'sometimes', 3 = 'often', and 4 = 'always'.

After participants left the scanner, we measured their social network size, using the Social Network Questionnaire designed by Lewis *et al.* (2011). Participants were requested to list the initials of everyone with whom they had some kind of social contact (i) during the last 7 days and (ii) during the last month (i.e. ~30 days). Contact was defined as some form of interaction, including face-to-face, phone call, email or text messaging, or a letter. Excluded were people who were contacted for professional reasons (e.g. doctor, lawyer, hairdresser, priest, plumber, employer, supervisor, etc.) unless that was considered an interaction of a mainly social nature at the time. Participants could look up a list of names in their phone/address book, and indicated the gender of each contact.

Imaging procedure

Images were collected with a Siemens Magnetom Trio TIM scanner system (Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany) using a 32-channel radiofrequency head coil. Stimuli were projected onto a screen at the end of the magnet bore that participants viewed by way of a mirror mounted on the head coil. Stimulus presentation was controlled by E-Prime 2.0 (www.pstnet.com/ eprime; Psychology Software Tools) running under Windows XP. Subjects were placed head first and supine in the scanner bore. Subjects were instructed not to move their heads to avoid motion artifacts. Foam cushions were placed within the head coil to minimize head movements. First, a high-resolution anatomical images were acquired using a T1-weighted 3D MPRAGE sequence [TR = 2530 ms, TE = 2.58 ms, TI = 1100 ms, acquisition matrix = $256 \times 256 \times 176$, sagittal FOV = 220 mm, flip angle = 7°, voxel size = $0.9 \times 0.86 \times 0.86$ mm³ (resized to $1 \times 1 \times 1$ mm³)]. Second, whole-brain functional images were collected in a single run using a T2*-weighted gradient echo sequence, sensitive to BOLD contrast (TR = 2000 ms, TE = 35 ms, image matrix = 64×64 , FOV = 224 mm, flip angle = 80° , slice thickness = 3.0 mm, distance factor = 17%, voxel size = $3.5 \times 3.5 \times 3.5 \text{ mm}^3$, 30 axial slices).

The fMRI data were preprocessed and analyzed using SPM8 (Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK). Data were preprocessed to remove sources of noise and artifact. Functional data were corrected for differences in acquisition time between slices for each whole-brain volume, realigned to correct for head movement, and co-registered with each participant's anatomical data. The functional data were then transformed into a standard anatomical space (2 mm isotropic voxels) based on the ICBM152 brain template (Montreal Neurological Institute), which approximates Talairach and Tournoux atlas space. Normalized data were then spatially smoothed (6mm full-width at half-maximum, FWHM) using a Gaussian Kernel. Finally, the preprocessed data were examined, using the Artifact Detection Tool software package (ART; http:// web.mit.edu/swg/art/art.pdf; http://www.nitrc.org/projects/artifact_detect), for excessive motion artifacts and for correlations between motion and experimental design, and between global mean signal and experimental design. Outliers were identified in the temporal differences series by assessing between-scan differences (Z-threshold: 3.0 mm, scan to scan movement threshold: 0.5 mm; rotation threshold: 0.02 radians). These outliers were omitted in the analysis by including a single regressor for each outlier. No correlations between motion and experimental design or global signal and experimental design were identified. Six directions of motion parameters from the realignment step as well as outlier time points (defined by ART) were included as nuisance regressors. We used a default high-pass filter of 128s and serial correlations were accounted for by the default auto-regressive AR(1) model.

Statistical analysis

Analyses of the fMRI data at the first (single participant) level were conducted using the general linear model of SPM8 (Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK) of which the event-related design was modeled with two regressors for each condition (and only one regressor for the singleton condition), time locked at the presentation of the prime and target sentences and convolved with a canonical hemodynamic response function with event duration set to 0 for all conditions. Six motion parameters from the realignment as well as outlier time points (identified by ART) were included as nuisance regressors. We did not model the response of the participants as a separate regressor.

At the second (group) level, we conducted a whole-brain analysis, thresholded at P < 0.05, family wise error (FWE) corrected without a minimum cluster extent. Specific comparisons between conditions were performed on the parameter estimates associated with each trial type for each subject, using simple t-tests with P < 0.05, FWE corrected. We defined a

repetition suppression effect as the prime > target contrast, and specified interactions with this contrast to identify the repetition suppression effect for the Person or Trait. That is, we defined an interaction contrast reflecting the assumption that the repetition suppression (prime > target) contrast would be significant only for the Repeated Trait (or Repeated Person) condition. Specifically, to identify trait suppression, the prime > target contrast was compared in the conditions with vs without Trait repetition, while holding the Person factor constant (e.g. comparing the Repeated Trait/Different Person vs No Trait/ Different Person conditions). Likewise, to identify person suppression, the prime > target contrast was compared in the conditions with vs without Person repetition, while holding the Trait factor constant (e.g. comparing the Repeated Trait/ Repeated Person vs Repeated Trait/Different Person conditions; see Table 2 for the contrasts specified). To explore whether the brain areas identified are not involved in the complementary process of repetition enhancement which refers to an increase of activation from prime to target, we defined the reverse, target > prime contrast for all experimental

conditions and their interactions (see Table 3 for the contrasts specified).

To further verify whether the brain areas identified in the interaction analysis showed the predicted repetition suppression or enhancement pattern, we computed the percentage signal change. For this, we identified regions of interest (ROIs) as a sphere of 3 mm around the peak coordinates of the interaction contrasts. We then extracted the percentage signal change in these ROIs for each participant using the MarsBar toolbox (http://marsbar.sourceforge.net). We also calculated repetition indexes for each condition, which were defined as the percentage signal change of target minus prime sentences for each condition. These data were further analyzed using t-tests with a threshold of P < 0.05, one sided.

To check for a relationship between percent signal change and social network size, we calculated the correlation of percent signal change and reported amount of contacts for each condition separately. Two participants with a number of reported contacts larger than three standard deviations from the mean network size were excluded from this analysis.

Table 2.	Repetition	suppression	(prime > ta	rget contrast	effects fro	om the whole	-brain anal	vsis
rubic L.	repetition	Duppicobion	$p_{11111} \sim ca$	i set contrabt	CIICCLD IIC		. orani ana	., 010

Anatomical label	х	у	Z	Voxels	Max t
Prime > Target					
	Repeated Trait/I	Repeated Person			
mPFC	2	- 44	-8	1238	7.79***
mPFC	-10	40	-4		6.49***
mPFC	0	50	4		6.43***
	Repeated Trait/I	Different Person			
mPFC	0	46	-2	664	6.85***
mPFC	10	42	0		5.67***
mPFC	14	32	-6		5.62**
	No Trait/Differe	nt Person			
L parahippocampal gyrus	-28	-42	-10	54	6.29***
L parahippocampal gyrus	-32	-36	-14		5.87***
L superior occipital gyrus	-36	-84	30	57	7.93***
Trait suppression: Interaction of Prim	e > Target for Trait Re	epetition > No Trait R	epetition		
	Trait Repetition	S			
	(across different	t persons: 0 0 1 –3 1 1)		
mPFC	10	42	-2	^t 93	5.40**
mPFC	0	44	-4		5.20**
mPFC	-4	36	6	^t 14	4.93*
L parahippocampal gyrus	-30	-34	-14	16	5.08*
PCC	-10	-34	38	12	5.05*
L superior occipital gyrus	-36	-82	32	26	5.76***
Person suppression: Interaction of Pri	ime > Target for Perso	n Repetition > No Per	son Repetition		
	Person Repetitio	ons			
	(across similar t	raits: 1 –3 1 1 0 0)			
mPFC	-2	46	-6	^p 1967	8.04***
mPFC	-8	48	0		7.58***
mPFC	6	30	-8		6.66***
R parahippocampal gyrus	26	-28	-12	15	5.63**
PCC	2	-58	22	40	5.44**
Conjunction of Trait and Person supp	ression				
	(see two contras	sts above)			
mPFC	10	42	-2	93	5.40**
mPFC	0	44	-4		5.20**
mPFC	-4	36	6	14	4.93*

Notes: Coordinates refer to the MNI (Montreal Neurological Institute) stereotaxic space. Whole-brain analysis thresholded at P < 0.05, FWE corrected with a voxel extent of ≥ 10 . The contrasts between parentheses refer to the Prime and Target in the Repeated Trait/Repeated Person, Repeated Trait/Different Person, No Trait/Different Person conditions, respectively. PCC = posterior cingulate cortex, L = left, R = right. Superscripts indicate the cluster peaks that show the expected repetition suppression pattern for trait and p person.

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 (FWE peak corrected).

Table 3. Repetition Enhancement (Target > Prime) contrast effects from the whole-brain analysis

Important intropender brainRauperior frontal grus30502012655.4°Raudder frontal grus48243283.0940.0°Imidale frontal grus4814-201151.3°Rauperior temporal grus4814-201151.3°Landate-16-41445862.0°Caudate-16-41445862.0°Linasin-30-3212104.99'Linasin-30-3212104.99'Linasin-30-3212104.99'Linguid grus48-564213.2°3.3°''Procuesis6666615.1°5.1°Linguid grus-24-72-1055.0°Linguid grus-34-72-1055.0°Linguid grus-34-72-1055.0°Linguid grus-34-72-1055.0°Linguid grus-34-72-1055.0°Linguid grus-34-72-1055.0°Linguid grus-34-72-1055.0°Linguid grus-34-72-1055.0°Linguid grus-34-22101.6°5.0°Linguid grus-34-22101.6°5.0°Linguid grus-34-26105.0°5.0°	Anatomical label	x	у	Z	Voxels	Max t
Neperitor Trait/Repeated PersonRuperior frontal grus36502020254"Rudde frontal grus4828322338794""Rudda frontal grus36435944727"Rudda frontal grus4814-0111.1"Layperior temporal grus-48-28-5628708""Contation-10-3144576.7"6.7"Runda frontal grus-40-3312807733"Runda frontal grus-6-6646551Runda frontal grus-74-72-65623"Precuensa-6-66465051"Runda grups-74-72-65650"Lingual grus-74-72-65650"Cuneus-6-9410146548"Carbellun (Declive)12-72-285650"Lingual grus-34-521814757.1"Layperior frontal grus-36-65051"Lingual grus-34-72-65651"Lingual grus-34-72-105550"Lingual grus-34-72-105550"Lingual grus-34-521814757.1"Lingual grus-34-521814752"Rudde frontal grus-36<	Target > Prime					
R superior frontal gyrus 30 50 50 20 126 5.44" I middle frontal gyrus -44 22 12 2289 740" R middle frontal gyrus -44 24 22 5269 940" R apperior temporal gyrus -44 -4 24 12 52 229 747 R apperior temporal gyrus -44 -4 24 12 52 747 R apperior temporal gyrus -44 -4 14 598 627" R apperior temporal gyrus -48 -22 -4 14 598 627" R middle frontal gyrus -48 -23 -4 14 598 749" R inderior paretal lobule -36 -54 44 297 603" R inderior paretal lobule -36 -54 44 297 74 74" R inderior paretal lobule -6 -66 66 61 11" PCC -28 -66 66 16 11" R inderior gyrus -34 -77 -6 536 74" R inderior gyrus -6 -66 66 16 11" R inderior gyrus -74 -77 -6 536 536 74" R inderior gyrus -6 -74 10 15 501" L ingual gyrus -6 -74 10 15 501" L ingual gyrus -6 -94 10 146 544" R inderior gyrus -6 -6 54 10 544" R inderior gyrus -6 -6 52 16 541" R inderior frontal gyrus -64 -64 136 6517" R inderior frontal gyrus -64 -64 136 6517" R inderior frontal gyrus -64 -64 10 66 14 544" R inderior frontal gyrus -64 -64 10 554 154" R inderior frontal gyrus -64 -64 10 66 544" R inderior frontal gyrus -64 -64 10 66 14 544" R inderior frontal gyrus -64 -64 10 66 14 544" R inderior frontal gyrus -64 -64 10 66 14 544" R inderior frontal gyrus -64 -64 10 66 14 544" R inderior frontal gyrus -64 -64 10 66 139 525" R inderior paretal lobule -66 -66 42 170" R inderior frontal gyrus -64 -64 10 66 139 525" R inderior gyrus -62 -60 50 880 7.56" R inderior gyrus -64 -64 10 66 139 525" R inderior gyrus -64 -64 10 66 139 525" R inderior gyrus -64 -64 10 66 139 525" R inderior gyrus -64 -64 16 16 12 536" R inderior gyrus -74 -72 26 40 12 16 12 566" R inderior gyrus -74 -75 22 26 14 153 147" R inderior frontal gyrus -74 -74 -75 15 12 50" R inderior gyrus -74 -75 22 26 40 153 541" R inderior frontal gyrus -74 -75 22 26 14 153 547" R inderior frontal gyrus -74 -75 22 26 14 557" R inderior gyrus -74 -75 22 26 14 557" R inderi		Repeated Trait/	Repeated Person			
R madia trontal gyrus 48 28 52 2388 7.44" R middle frontal gyrus 36 4 58 944 727" R middle frontal gyrus 36 4 58 944 727" R middle frontal gyrus 48 14 -20 11 5.13" Lapperiot temporal gyrus 48 14 -20 11 5.13" Lapperiot temporal gyrus -48 -28 8 808 7.06" Rindicit partical solutio -16 -4 14 457 6.03" Rindicit partical solutio -6 -66 46 15 5.11" Lapperior partical solutio -28 -56 42 1827 6.3" Precuncus -6 -66 46 15 5.11" 11 Lingual gyrus -14 -72 -6 66 56 54"" Concus -10 16 50"" 50"" 50" 50"" 50"" 50""	R superior frontal gyrus	30	50	20	126	5.54**
L midde fron al gyrus — 44 24 52 28269 940 " Raugeiro temporal gyrus 48 14 -20 11 513" Caudats — 16 -4 14 -20 11 513" Caudats — 16 -4 14 98 082 708" L userio temporal gyrus -48 -23 -4 14 980 627" R inder tomporal gyrus -48 -36 -2 897 735" R inferior parteal lobule -36 -56 42 1832 833" Precuneus -6 -66 46 16 511" L userior tomporal gyrus -44 -72 -6 36 511" Precuneus -6 -66 46 16 511" Precuneus -6 -66 46 16 511" Precuneus -6 -66 46 16 511" Caudat -72 -72 -6 36 54 L lingual gyrus -74 -72 -6 36 523" L lingual gyrus -74 -72 -6 36 544" Carebellun (beclive) 12 -7 -74 55 143 L lingual gyrus -74 -72 -6 36 544" Carebellun (beclive) 12 -7 -78 544 836 Carebellun (beclive) 12 -72 -78 544 837 L mignal gyrus -44 52 18 447 Carebellun (beclive) 12 -2 -28 54 83 L augerior fron tal gyrus -44 52 18 447 Carebellun (beclive) 12 -72 -78 544 L augerior fron tal gyrus -44 52 18 447 Carebellun (beclive) 12 -72 -78 54 43 L augerior fron tal gyrus -44 52 18 447 Carebellun (beclive) 236 -6 522 96 547" R inderior fron tal gyrus -30 24 -4 136 617" L userior fron tal gyrus -44 52 18 447 R inderior fron tal gyrus -44 52 38 44 Carebellun (beclive) 25 -30 -8 116 547" R inderior fron tal gyrus -48 12 50 451 647" R inderior fron tal gyrus -48 12 50 451 647" R inderior fron tal gyrus -48 12 50 451 647" R inderior fron tal gyrus -78 -66 46 39 650 R middle ternor al gyrus -78 -66 46 39 650 R middle ternor al gyrus -78 -66 46 62 R middle ternor al gyrus -78 -66 46 79 550 L inferior parteal lobule -46 -40 46 79 530 L inferior parteal lobule -46 -40 46 79 530 R middle ternor al gyrus -74 -65 49 40 R middle ternor al gyrus -74 -65 49 R of 650 R precent al gyrus -44 42 63 30 R -750" R middle fron al gyrus -44 42 70 R precent gyrus -44 44 -22 37 R uperior ternor al gyrus -44 44 -23 R uperior ternor al gyrus -44 44 -30 R -74 -6 49 R uperior ternor al gyrus -44 44 -30 R -74 -6 49 R uperior ternor al gyrus -74 -72 64 49 R uperior ternor al gyrus -74 -74 -6 49 R uperior ternor al gyrus -74 -72 70 R precent	R middle frontal gyrus	48	28	32	2388	7.94***
R made trontal grus 36 4	L middle frontal gyrus	-44	24	32	8269	9.40***
Kaupenor temporal grups 48 14 -20 11 5.13° Candate -16 -4 14 568 627"** Tablamus 10 -14 4 457 603"** Instata -30 -52 12 10 499" Rindict parietal lobule -36 -54 444 396 7.43"*** Luperior parietal lobule -28 -56 42 1832 8.22"** Iningual grus -56 -66 6 79 5.44"** Lingual grus -24 -72 -6 36 5.23"* Lingual grus -16 -86 -6 36 5.41"* Caneus -6 -94 10 146 5.44"** Caneus -6 -94 10 146 5.44"** Caneus -6 52 18 147 5.71"** Rindief frontal grus 30 -24 -4 136 6.17"**	R middle frontal gyrus	36	4	58	944	7.27***
L superior temporal gyrus — 48 — 28 — 28 3 20 708 ²⁷ Thalamus 10 — 14 4 4 57 603 ³⁷ Linsula — 30 — 32 12 2 10 4 99° R midde temporal gyrus 48 — 36 — 2 897 735 ³⁷⁷ R midde temporal gyrus - 48 — 36 — 2 897 735 ³⁷⁸ R midde temporal gyrus - 28 — 56 42 133 2 822 ²⁷⁴ Precuneus - 6 — 66 46 16 51.11' PCC — 2.28 — 68 6 79 584 ⁴⁴⁴ Lingual gyrus — 74 — 72 — 6 36 52.3 ²⁵ Lingual gyrus — 16 — 86 — 6 36 54.4 ²⁴ Caneus — 6 — 94 10 15 50.11' Lingual gyrus — 16 — 94 10 24 88 88 ⁴⁴⁷ Carebellum (bedive) 12 — 72 — 28 564 8.3 ⁸⁷⁷ R midde frontal gyrus — 48 26 34 595 65.1 ¹¹⁷ Linguid gyrus — 48 26 34 595 65.1 ¹¹⁷ R midde frontal gyrus — 48 26 34 595 65.1 ¹¹⁷ R midde frontal gyrus — 48 26 34 595 65.1 ¹¹⁷ Linguid gyrus — 48 26 34 595 65.1 ¹¹⁷ R midde frontal gyrus — 48 26 34 595 65.1 ¹¹⁷ Linguid gyrus — 44 24 30 22.84 75.2 ¹¹⁷ R midde frontal gyrus — 48 12 50 544 50 74 ²¹⁴ R midde frontal gyrus — 36 — 6 52 96 54 ²¹ R midde frontal gyrus — 48 12 50 544 10 64.7 ¹¹⁷ Linguid gyrus — 198 — 128 50 41 50 50 ²¹ Linguid gyrus — 198 — 28 58 11 65.7 ¹¹⁷ Linguid gyrus — 198 — 128 50 54 11 50.0 ²¹ R midde frontal gyrus — 38 — 28 58 11 65.7 ¹¹⁷ Linguid gyrus — 52 — 40 2 19 50 ⁵⁵ Linferoir ortani gyrus — 52 — 40 2 19 50 ⁵⁵ Linferoir ortani gyrus — 52 — 40 2 19 50 ⁵⁵ Linferoir parteal lobule — 56 — 56 46 39 50.5 ⁷⁵ Linferoir parteal lobule — 56 — 56 46 39 50.5 ⁷⁵ Linferoir parteal lobule — 56 — 56 46 28 10 50.6 ⁴¹⁷ Precuneus — 22 — 66 44 165 54.7 ¹¹ Linguid frontal gyrus — 44 74 — 6 499 50.5 ⁷⁵ Linferoir parteal lobule — 56 — 16 58 46.7 ¹¹ R midde temporal gyrus — 44 74 — 74 — 6 49 50.5 ¹¹⁷ R midde temporal gyrus — 44 12 30 47 51.3 ¹¹⁷ Thalamus — 12 — 14 6 61.7 ¹¹ 53.6 ¹¹⁷ R precuntal gyrus — 44 — 74 — 6 49 63.7 ¹¹⁷ R midde temporal gyrus — 52 — 10.7 ¹¹⁸ R midde temporal gyrus — 52 — 70.5 ¹¹⁸ R precuntal gyrus — 62 — 74 — 72 6 49 63.7 ¹¹⁷ R precuntal gyrus — 62 — 74 — 72 6 49 63.7 ¹¹⁷ R precuneus — 19.7 ¹¹⁸ R precuntal gyrus — 64 — 74 — 72 6 49 63.7 ¹¹⁷ R precun	R superior temporal gyrus	48	14	-20	11	5.13*
Catolate -10 -4 14 350 0.2/ Instala -30 -52 12 10 499' Rindle temporal gruss 48 -36 -2 807 7.35"'' Interior parietal lobule -36 -54 44 396 7.48"'' Luperior parietal lobule -28 -56 42 1832 822"'' Iningual gruss -6 -66 6 79 544"'' Cuncus -6 -72 -10 15 501'' Lingual gruss -74 -72 -6 36 523"' Lingual gruss -16 -86 -6 36 511'' Canceus -6 -94 10 146 54 511'' Repeated frait/ Different Person 1 149''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''	L superior temporal gyrus	-48	-28	-8	828	/.08***
Inamine 10 -14 4 47 6.03 R middle temporal grus -30 -32 12 10 4.99" R middle temporal grus 48 -36 -2 897 7.35"" R indero parietal lobule -28 -56 42 132 8.22"" Precuncus -6 -66 46 16 5.11" PCC -28 -68 6 79 5.84"" Lingual grus -24 -72 -6 36 5.11" Lingual grus -34 -72 -28 564 8.38"" Careballum (beliv) 12 -72 -28 544 8.38" Luperior fontal grus -44 26 34 555 6.17" Rmidde fontal grus -44 26 34 552 18 147 5.24"" Rmidde fontal grus -46 -6 52 96 6.17"" 6.42"" Rmidde fontal grus 36 -28		-16	-4	14	598	6.27
Linkua -30 -32 12 12 10 -37 Rinder carporal gyrus 48 -36 -2 887 735*** Rinder carporal gyrus 48 -36 -44 496 744**** Precuncus -6 -66 46 16 511* PCC -28 -68 6 79 54*** Lingual gyrus -44 -72 -6 36 523** Lingual gyrus -44 -72 -6 36 531** Lingual gyrus -44 -72 -6 36 541** Carebellum (Decive) 12 -72 -28 564 837** Research Trait / Different Person Laugeror frontal gyrus -54 74*** Research Trait / Different Person Research Trait / Different Person Linkud frontal gyrus -54 72 74 75 Rinder frontal gyrus -64 -24 30 2584 752*** Rinder frontal gyrus -64 74* 74 74 75 Rinder frontal gyrus -52 74 75 Rinder frontal gyrus -52 74 75 Rinder frontal gyrus -54 752*** Rinder frontal gyrus -54 752*** Rinder frontal gyrus -64 74* 74 Rinder frontal gyrus -52 740 7 Rinder frontal gyrus -52 7 Rinder frontal gyrus -54 7 Rinder frontal	I nalamus	10	-14	4	457	6.03
A minute temportal gynts 45 -54 -44 36 7.48** Laupentor parietal lobule -28 -56 42 1832 8.32** Precuncus -6 -66 46 16 5.11* PCC -28 -66 6 46 79 5.44** Lingual gynts -24 -72 -6 36 5.23** Lingual gynts -15 -86 -6 36 5.41** Cuncus -6 -94 10 146 5.44** Cuncus -6 -94 10 146 5.44** Cuncus -6 -94 10 146 5.44** Cuncus -6 -94 10 146 5.44** Laupentor frontal gynts 48 26 34 555 6.57** Rindeli frontal gynts 36 -6 52 96 5.77** Rindeli frontal gynts 36 -6 52 96 5.77** Rindeli frontal gynts 38 -28 58 147 5.77** Rindeli frontal gynts 38 -28 58 14 50° Rindeli frontal gynts 38 -28 58 14 50° Linsula -28 22 -4 177 6.42** Medial frontal gynts 38 -28 58 14 50° Rindeli frontal gynts 50 -30 -30 -8 116 5.46** Linsula -28 22 -44 177 6.42*** Medial frontal gynts 38 -28 58 14 50° Rindeli frontal gynts 38 -28 58 14 50° Rindeli frontal gynts 38 -28 58 14 50° Rindeli frontal gynts 50 -30 -30 -8 116 5.46** Linsula -28 -27 50 58 6.81*** Linsula -28 -28 58 14 50° Rindeli frontal gynts 50 -30 -30 -8 116 5.46** Rindeli frontal gynts 50 -30 -30 -8 116 5.46** Rindeli frontal gynts 50 -30 -30 -8 116 5.46** Rindeli frontal gynts 50 -35 56 46 335 6.81*** Linsula -28 -26 55 46 335 6.81*** Linsula -28 -26 55 46 335 6.81*** Linsula -28 -28 48 6 133 5.65* Rindeli frontal gynts -38 -28 58 44 50° Rindeli frontal gynts -38 -28 58 46 30 56*** Rindeli frontal gynts -38 -24 54 60 30 56*** Rindeli frontal gynts -44 26 30 47 513* Thalamus 12 -14 6 171 5.37** Rindeli frontal gynts -48 -18 30 47 513* Thalamus -8 -14 6 301 6.34*** Precuncus -24 -62 34 4400 5.64*** Rinsula 44 -30 -22 57 6.45*** Rinsula -28 -16 58 440 8.96*** Precuncus -24 -62 34 440 8.96*** Precuncus -24 -62 34 4400	L IIISula R middle temperal gunue	-30	-32	12	10	4.99
A minute particul patient obtains 33 -74 474 300 778 Precureus -6 -66 466 16 511° PCC 28 -66 466 16 511° Lingual grus -24 -72 -6 36 $523^{\circ\circ}$ Lingual grus -24 -72 -10 15 501° Lingual grus -16 -86 -6 36 $541^{\circ\circ}$ Corees -6 -94 10 146 $584^{\circ\circ\circ}$ Corees -6 -94 10 146 $584^{\circ\circ\circ\circ}$ Rindic frontal grus -44 22 18 147 $571^{\circ\circ\circ\circ}$ Rindic frontal grus 30 24 -4 36 $511^{\circ\circ\circ\circ}$ Inside frontal grus 30 24 -4 36 541 $502^{\circ\circ\circ}$ Inside frontal grus 30 -30 -8 116 $542^{\circ\circ\circ}$ Meilal frontal grus 32 -40 2 36	R inforior pariotal lobulo	70	-30	-2	206	7.55
Langle from Jamie and Jone 123 12 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	L superior parietal lobule	20	-54	44	1920	7. 1 0 9.20***
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Procupous	-20	-50	42	1632	0.52 5 11*
Lingual gyrus -24 -72 -6 36 5.23^{+1} Lingual gyrus -34 -72 -10 15 5.01^{+1} Lingual gyrus -16 -86 -6 36 5.41^{+1} Cuneus -6 -94 10 146 5.84^{+1} Cerebellun (Declive) 12 -72 -28 564 8.38^{++1} Repeated Trait/ Different PersonLL -72 -28 564 8.38^{++1} R middle frontal gyrus 48 26 34 955 6.51^{++1} R middle frontal gyrus 36 -6 52 96 5.47^{++1} R nindle frontal gyrus 30 24 -4 136 6.27^{++1} R nindle frontal gyrus 30 24 -4 136 6.27^{++1} Medial frontal gyrus 30 24 -4 136 6.27^{++1} Medial frontal gyrus 38 -28 58 14 6.29^{++1} Insula -28 -26 60 80 7.57^{++1} Medial frontal gyrus 50 -30 -8 116 5.47^{++1} Linferior parietal lobule -46 -40 46 39 5.25^{++1} Linferior parietal lobule -36 -56 46 335 6.51^{++1} Linferior parietal lobule -36 -56 46 235 5.67^{++1} Linferior parietal lobule -36 -56 60 58 5.77^{++1} Lin	PCC	-0	-68	40	79	5.11 5.84***
Lingual grus -14 -72 -10 15 5.11 Lingual grus -16 -86 -6 36 5.41** Cuncus -6 -94 10 146 5.44** Cerebilum (Declive) 12 -72 -28 564 8.39** Repeated Trait/Different Person Ruiddle fontal grus -34 52 18 147 5.71** Ruiddle fontal grus -34 52 18 457 65.* Lingual grus -34 52 18 457 65.* Ruiddle fontal grus -34 52 18 457 65.* Ruiddle fontal grus -34 52 18 55* Ruiddle fontal grus -34 52 18 55* Ruiddle fontal grus -44 24 30 2584 7.52** Ruiddle fontal grus -36 -6 52 96 5.47** Ruiddle fontal grus -38 12 50 541 6.27** Ruiddle fontal grus -28 22 -4 177 6.42** Ruiddle fontal grus -28 12 50 541 6.27** Ruiddle fontal grus -28 -22 -4 177 6.42** Ruiddle fontal grus -28 -28 58 14 5.02** Ruiddle temporal grus -50 -30 -8 116 5.46** Linferio arietal lobule -46 -40 46 39 5.25** Ruiferior parteal lobule -36 -56 46 335 6.81** Linferior parteal lobule -36 -56 46 28 5.547** Precuneus -26 -60 50 880 7.56** Linferior arietal lobule -36 -56 46 28 5.547** Precuneus -26 -60 50 880 7.56** Linferior arietal lobule -36 -56 46 28 5.547** Ruifdle frontal grus -34 -74 -6 499 6.65** Linferior arietal lobule -34 -74 -6 499 6.65** Ruifdle frontal grus -34 -74 -72 -75 4.4 18 40 5.44** Thalamus -8 -74 -72 -76 4.94 5.77** Cingula frontal grus -48 -74 -72 -75 -77** Cingula te grus -24 -72 -76 4.94 5.77** Cingula te grus -24 -72 -76 4.94 5.77** Cingula te grus -24 -72 -72 6.94 4.940 8.96** Precuneus -24 -72 -72 6.94 4.940 8.96** Precuneus -24 -72 -72 6.94 4.940 8.96** Precuneus -24 -72 -72 7	I lingual grave	-28	-08	6	36	5 22**
Impaul gyrus-16-86-6365.41**Cuneus-6-94101465.84***Cerebellum (bedive)12-72-2.85648.38***Repeated Trait / Different PersonLuyerior frontal gyrus-3452181475.71***Repeated Trait / Different PersonLuyerior frontal gyrus-3426345956.51***Inide frontal gyrus-652965.47**Rinérior frontal gyrus3024-41366.17***Linsula-2822-41776.42***Medial frontal gyrus38-2858145.02*Rinérior front gyrus50-30-81165.46***Inidel temporal gyrus50-30-81165.46***Inidel temporal gyrus50-30-81165.46***Inidel temporal gyrus50-30-81165.47***Leprecunsus-26-60508807.56***Inferior parietal lobule-46-4046395.25***Inferior parietal lobule-34-74-64996.6***Inferior parietal lobule-34-74-64996.6****Inferior colspital gyrus-34-74-6 <td>L lingual gyrus</td> <td>_21 _34</td> <td>_72 _72</td> <td>_0 _10</td> <td>15</td> <td>5.25</td>	L lingual gyrus	_2 1 _34	_72 _72	_0 _10	15	5.25
	Lingual gyrus	-16	-86	-6	36	5.01
Carebellum (Declive) 12 -72 -28 564 8.38"** Repeated Trait / Different Person Lauperior frontal gyrus -34 52 18 147 $5.71"*$ R middle frontal gyrus -44 26 34 555 $6511"*$ Inidel frontal gyrus 36 -6 52 96 $5.47"$ R middle frontal gyrus 30 244 -4 156 $617"*$ Linsula -28 22 -4 177 $6.42"**$ Medial frontal gyrus 30 -24 -4 15 $617"**$ Insula -28 22 -4 17 $6.42"************************************$	Cuneus	-6	_94	10	146	5 84***
Repeated Trait / Different Person Interpretation Interpretation L superior fontal gyrus -34 52 18 147 5.71*** L middle fontal gyrus 48 26 34 955 5.51*** L middle fontal gyrus 36 -6 52 96 5.47*** Rinferior fontal gyrus 30 24 -4 136 6.17**** Medial frontal gyrus 30 24 -4 136 6.27*** Rinferior fontal gyrus 38 12 50 541 6.22*** R precentral gyrus 50 -30 -8 116 5.46*** L inferior parietal lobule -46 -40 46 39 5.25*** R inferior parietal lobule 36 -56 46 335 6.81**** L precuneus -26 -60 50 880 7.5*** R inferior parietal lobule 36 -56 46 35 6.81*** L precuneus 2 -66 44 </td <td>Cerebellum (Declive)</td> <td>12</td> <td>-72</td> <td>-28</td> <td>564</td> <td>8 38***</td>	Cerebellum (Declive)	12	-72	-28	564	8 38***
L superior frontal gyrus -34 52 18 47 5.71^{**} R middle frontal gyrus 48 26 34 595 6.51^{**} R middle frontal gyrus 36 6 52 96 5.47^{**} R middle frontal gyrus 30 24 -4 136 6.17^{**} R middle frontal gyrus 30 24 -4 136 6.17^{**} Medial frontal gyrus 8 12 50 541 6.29^{**} Medial frontal gyrus 50 -30 -8 14 5.26^{**} R middle temporal gyrus 50 -30 -8 16 5.46^{**} R middle temporal gyrus 52 -40 2 19 5.55^{*} L inferior parietal lobule -46 -40 46 335 6.81^{**} L precuneus -26 -60 50 880 7.56^{**} Precuneus 2 -66 44 155 5.47^{**} L Procu -28 -66 42 28 5.5^{**} L inferior occipital gyrus -34 -74 -6 499 6.6^{***} C crebellum (Declive) 10 -68 -26 70 5.4^{**} R middle frontal gyrus 44 25 30 12 4.98^{*} L inferior occipital gyrus 52 10 -16 12 5.66^{**} R superior temporal gyrus 52 10 -16 12 5.66^{**} R superior temporal		Repeated Trait	/ Different Person	20	501	0.50
Rmiddle frontal gyrus 48 26 94 995 6.51*** L middle frontal gyrus .44 .24 .30 .2584 .7.52*** R mideli frontal gyrus .36 6 .52 .96 .5.47*** R inferior frontal gyrus .30 .24 .4 .136 .6.17*** Medial frontal gyrus .8 .12 .50 .541 .6.29*** R precentral gyrus .8 .12 .50 .541 .6.29*** R middle temporal gyrus .52 .40 .2 .19 .5.6* L inferior parietal lobule .46 .40 .46 .39 .5.5*** R inferior parietal lobule .36 .55 .46 .335 .6.8**** L precuneus .26 .66 .44 .155 .5.47** L Precuneus .24 .66 .48 .5.6*** L inferior occipital gyrus .34 .74 .6 .499 .6.6*** Cerebellum (bedive) .0 .68 .26 .70 .5.6*** L inferior occipit	L superior frontal gyrus	-34	52	18	147	5.71***
L middle frontal grus 36 -44 24 30 2584 7.52*** R middle frontal grus 36 -6 52 96 5.47** R micro frontal grus 30 24 -4 416 6.25*** R medial frontal grus 38 -28 58 14 5.02*** R precentral grus 50 -30 -8 116 5.46** I middle temporal grus 50 -30 -8 116 5.46** I middle temporal grus -52 -40 2 19 5.55* L inferior parietal lobule -46 -40 46 33 5.55* I inferior parietal lobule 36 -56 46 335 6.81*** I precuneus 2 -66 44 165 5.47** L precuneus 2 -66 44 165 5.47** L inferior oracipated logue 3.4 -74 -6 499 6.06** L inferior oracipated 1.00 -68 -26 70 5.65** L inferior oracipated 1.00 -68 -26 70 5.65** L inferior argans 5.2 10 -16 12 5.65** L inferior argans 44 26 30 12 4.98** L inferior argans 44 26 30 12 4.98** L inferior argans 44 5.6 3.65** R superior temporal grus 44 14 -28 17 5.36** R superior temporal grus 44 4.14 -28 17 5.36** R superior temporal grus 62 -4 118 40 5.64** R superior temporal grus 62 -4 18 40 5.64** R superior temporal grus 62 -4 18 40 5.64** R superior temporal grus 62 -4 18 40 5.64** R superior temporal grus 36 -16 58 443 5.73** R insula 44 -30 -2 2 50 6.45** R insula -4 6 50 5.42** R insula 44 -30 -2 2 50 6.45** R insula -4 -2 6.2 34 6.05 6.30** R insula -4 -2 6.2 34 6.05 6.30** R insula -4 -2 7.2 6.4 39 6	R middle frontal gyrus	48	26	34	595	6.51***
R middle frontal grus 36 -6 52 96 5.47" R nichtor frontal grus 30 24 -4 136 6.17"** Medial frontal grus 8 12 50 541 6.29"** R precentral grus 50 -30 -8 116 5.46"* R middle temporal grus 50 -30 -8 116 5.46"* L middle temporal grus -52 -40 2 19 5.55" L inferior parietal lobule -46 -56 46 335 6.81"** L precuneus -26 -60 50 800 7.56"** Precuneus 2 -66 44 165 5.47" L DrCC -28 -68 6 28 5.65"** Precuneus 2 -66 44 165 5.47" L inferior oricipital grus -34 -74 -6 499 6.66"*** Cerebellum (Declive) 10 -68 -26 70 5.64"* R superior temporal grus 44 14 -28	L middle frontal gyrus	-44	24	30	2584	7.52***
Rinferior frontal gyrus 30 24 -4 136 6.17"** Linsula -28 22 -4 177 6.42"** Medial frontal gyrus 38 -28 58 14 502" R midella temporal gyrus 50 -30 -8 116 5.46"* Lindle temporal gyrus -52 -40 2 19 5.05" Linferior parietal lobule -46 -40 46 39 5.25"* Rinferior parietal lobule -46 -60 50 880 7.56"** Precuneus -26 -60 50 880 7.56"** Linferior occipital gyrus -34 -74 -6 499 6.06"** Cerebellum (Declive) 10 -68 6 28 5.55"** Lindle frontal gyrus 44 26 30 12 4.98" R superior temporal gyrus 52 10 -16 12 5.65"** R superior temporal gyrus 52 10 -16 12 5.65"** R superior temporal gyrus 52<	R middle frontal gyrus	36	-6	52	96	5.47**
L insula -28 22 -4 177 642"* Medial frontal gyrus 8 12 50 541 629"* R precentral gyrus 50 -30 -8 116 546"* L middle temporal gyrus 50 -30 -8 116 546"* L middle temporal gyrus -52 -40 2 19 505" L inferior parietal lobule -46 -40 46 39 525"* R inferior parietal lobule 36 -56 46 335 681"* L precuneus -26 -60 50 880 7.56"* L inferior occipital gyrus -34 -74 -6 499 6.66"* L inferior occipital gyrus -34 -74 -6 499 6.66"* R middle frontal gyrus -38 -2 54 652 8.35"* R middle frontal gyrus -38 -2 54 6552 8.35"* R superior temporal gyrus -38 -2 54 753 66** L middle frontal gyrus -38 -2 54 753 66** L middle frontal gyrus -48 -18 30 47 513* T halamus -2 -2 -16 28 30 5.32"* R insula 44 -30 -2 257 645"* L middle fort gyrus -2 -16 28 30 5.32"* R insula 44 -30 -2 257 645"* R suparanginal gyrus 62 -52 22 15 5.10" R precuneus -2 -62 34 4490 8.96"* R precuneus -2 -62 34 4490 8.96"* L middle frontal gyrus -48 -60 54 1.31 5.77** C meus -2 -62 34 4490 8.96"* L middle frontal gyrus -48 -60 54 1.31 5.77** C meus -2 -62 34 4490 8.96"* L precuneus -2 -2 -62 34 4490 8.96"* L precuneus -2 -2 -62 34 4490 8.96"* L precuneus -2 -2 -62 34 4490 8.96"* L middle frontal gyrus -46 26 32 7.30 6.61** R middle frontal gyrus -46 26 32 7.30 6.61** R middle frontal gyrus -46 26 32 7.30 6.61** R middle frontal gyrus -46 26 32 7.30 7.64***	R inferior frontal gyrus	30	24	-4	136	6.17***
Medial frontal gyrus 8 12 50 541 6.29"** R precentral gyrus 50 -30 -8 116 5.46"* Imiddle temporal gyrus -52 -40 2 19 5.05" L inferior parietal lobule -46 -40 46 39 5.25"* R inferior parietal lobule -36 -55 46 395 5.67"* Precuneus -26 -60 50 880 7.56"** Precuneus -26 -60 6 28 5.65"** Linferior occipital gyrus -34 -74 -6 499 6.66"** Cerebellum (Declive) 10 -68 -26 70 5.64"* Lindel frontal gyrus -34 -74 -6 499 6.06"** Cerebellum (Declive) 10 -68 -26 70 5.64"** Inidel frontal gyrus 44 26 30 12 4.98" R superior temporal gyrus 52 10 -16 13 5.73"** R superior temporal gyrus 52	L insula	-28	22	-4	177	6.42***
R precentral gyrus 38 -28 58 14 5.02" R middle temporal gyrus 50 -30 -8 116 5.46" L middle temporal gyrus 52 -40 2 19 5.05" L inferior parietal lobule -46 -40 46 39 5.25" R inferior parietal lobule 36 -56 46 335 6.81"** L precuneus -26 -60 50 880 $7.56"**$ Precuneus 2 -66 44 165 $5.47"*$ L precuneus -28 -68 6 28 $5.64"*$ L inferior occipital gyrus -34 -74 -6 499 $6.06"**$ Cerebellum (Declive) 10 -68 -26 70 $5.64"**$ R middle frontal gyrus 44 16 30 12 $4.98"***$ R middle frontal gyrus 52 10 -16 12 $5.06"************************************$	Medial frontal gyrus	8	12	50	541	6.29***
R middle temporal gyrus50-30-81165.46**L middle temporal gyrus-52-402195.05*R inferior parietal lobule-66-40463356.81***L precuneus-26-60508807.56***Precuneus2-66441655.47**L PCC-28-6866285.65**L Cerebellum (Declive)-34-74-64996.06***Cerebellum (Declive)0-68-26705.64**L middle frontal gyrus442630124.98*L middle frontal gyrus-210-16125.06**R superior temporal gyrus5210-16125.06*R precentral gyrus62-418405.64***R precentral gyrus-8-1463916.34***Thalamus-8-1463916.34***Thalamus-2-56285.0***5.0***R precuneus-2-5222155.10*R precuneus-2-5222155.10***R precuneus-2-623444908.96***Cingulate cyrus-2-5222155.10*R precuneus-2-623444908.96***Precuneus-2-623444908.96***<	R precentral gyrus	38	-28	58	14	5.02*
L middle temporal gyrus -52 -40 2 19 5.05* L inferior parietal lobule -46 -40 46 39 5.25** R inferior parietal lobule 36 -56 46 335 6.81*** L precuneus -26 -60 50 880 7.56*** Precuneus 2 -66 44 165 5.47** L PCC -28 -68 6 28 5.65** L inferior occipital gyrus -34 -74 -6 499 6.06*** Cerebellum (Declive) 10 -68 -26 30 12 4.98* L middle frontal gyrus -38 -2 54 6552 8.35*** R superior temporal gyrus 52 10 -16 12 5.06** R superior temporal gyrus 52 10 -16 12 5.06** R precentral gyrus 62 -16 58 443 5.73*** L precentral gyrus -48 -18 30 47 5.13* Thalamus -2 <t< td=""><td>R middle temporal gyrus</td><td>50</td><td>-30</td><td>-8</td><td>116</td><td>5.46**</td></t<>	R middle temporal gyrus	50	-30	-8	116	5.46**
L inferior parietal lobule -46 -40 46 39 5.25** R inferior parietal lobule 36 -56 46 335 6.81*** L precuneus -26 -60 50 880 7.56*** Precuneus 2 -66 44 165 5.47** L PCC -28 -68 6 28 5.65** L inferior occipital gyrus -34 -74 -6 499 6.06*** Cerebellum (Declive) 10 -68 -26 70 5.64** R middle frontal gyrus 44 26 30 12 4.98* R superior temporal gyrus 52 10 -16 12 5.66** R superior temporal gyrus 52 10 -16 12 5.66** R precentral gyrus 62 -4 18 40 5.64** R precentral gyrus 36 -16 58 443 5.73*** L precentral gyrus -88 -14 6 391 6.34*** Thalamus 12 -14 6 171 5.77*** Cingulate cyrus -8 -14 6 391 6.34*** Thalamus 12 -14 6 171 5.77*** Cingulate cyrus -28 -60 54 193 5.85*** R superior temporal gyrus 62 -52 22 15 5.13* R superior temporal gyrus -8 -74 -6 391 6.34*** Thalamus 12 -14 6 171 5.77*** Cingulate cyrus -28 -60 54 193 5.85*** R superior temporal gyrus 62 -52 22 45 5.37*** Cingulate cyrus -2 -16 28 30 5.32*** R superior temporal gyrus 62 -52 2.2 15 5.10* R superior temporal gyrus 62 -52 2.2 15 5.3** Cincus -2 -6 4.10* Precuneus -2 4.672 2.6 4.10* R uperior tor Target >Prime for No Trait Repetitions >Trait Repetitions >Trait Repetitions >Trait Repetitions >Trait Repetitions >Trait Repetitions =Trait Repetitions = Trait Repetitions = 10 -13 - 1 - 1.1* L middle frontal gyrus -44 24 30 2746 7.44**	L middle temporal gyrus	-52	-40	2	19	5.05*
R inferior parietal lobule 36 -56 46 335 6.81*** L precuneus -26 -60 50 880 7.56*** Precuneus 2 -66 44 165 5.47*** L PCC -28 -68 6 28 5.65*** L inferior occipital grus -34 -74 -6 499 6.06*** Cerebellum (Declive) 10 -68 -26 70 5.64** I middle frontal grus -38 -2 54 6552 8.35*** R superior temporal grus 44 14 -28 17 5.36** R superior temporal grus 52 10 -16 12 5.64*** R precentral grus 36 -16 58 443 5.73*** L precentral grus -48 -18 30 47 5.13** Thalamus -2 -16 28 30 5.32*** Cingulate cyrus -2 -16 28 30 5.32*** R suparanzinal grus 62 -52 22	L inferior parietal lobule	-46	-40	46	39	5.25**
L precuneus -26 -60 50 880 7.56*** Precuneus 2 -66 44 165 5.57** L PCC -28 -66 49 6.66** Cerebellum (Declive) 10 -68 -26 70 5.64** Cerebellum (Declive) 10 -68 -26 70 5.64** middle frontal gyrus 44 26 30 12 4.98* L middle frontal gyrus -38 -2 54 6552 8.35*** R superior temporal gyrus 52 10 -16 12 5.06* R precentral gyrus 62 -4 18 40 5.64** Precentral gyrus 62 -4 18 40 5.64** R precentral gyrus 36 -16 58 443 5.73*** L precentral gyrus -8 -14 6 391 6.32*** R insula 44 -30 -2 257 6.45*** <td>R inferior parietal lobule</td> <td>36</td> <td>-56</td> <td>46</td> <td>335</td> <td>6.81***</td>	R inferior parietal lobule	36	-56	46	335	6.81***
Precuneus 2 -66 44 165 5.47** L PCC -28 -68 6 28 5.65** L inferior occipital gyrus -34 -74 -6 499 6.06*** Cerebellum (Declive) 10 -68 -26 70 5.64** Cerebellum (Declive) 10 -68 -26 70 5.64** L middle frontal gyrus -38 -2 54 6552 8.35*** R superior temporal gyrus 52 10 -16 12 5.06* R precentral gyrus 62 -4 18 40 5.73** L precentral gyrus 62 -4 18 40 5.73** L precentral gyrus -8 -14 6 391 6.34*** T halamus -8 -14 6 391 6.34*** Cingulate cyrus -2 -16 28 30 5.32** R insula 44 -30 -2 257 <t< td=""><td>L precuneus</td><td>-26</td><td>-60</td><td>50</td><td>880</td><td>7.56***</td></t<>	L precuneus	-26	-60	50	880	7.56***
L PCC -28 -68 6 28 5.65** L inferior occipital gyrus -34 -74 -6 499 6.06*** Cerebellum (Declive) 10 -68 -26 70 5.64* No Trait/Different Person No Trait/Different Person 44 26 30 12 4.98* R widel fontal gyrus -38 -2 54 6552 8.35*** R superior temporal gyrus 52 10 -16 12 5.06* R precentral gyrus 62 -4 18 40 5.64** R precentral gyrus 62 -4 18 40 5.64** R precentral gyrus 36 -16 58 443 5.73*** L precentral gyrus -48 -18 30 47 5.13* Thalamus -8 -14 6 171 5.77*** Cingulate cyrus -2 -52 22 15 5.10* R insula 44 -30 -2 257 6.45*** R supramarginal gyrus 62 -52 </td <td>Precuneus</td> <td>2</td> <td>-66</td> <td>44</td> <td>165</td> <td>5.47**</td>	Precuneus	2	-66	44	165	5.47**
L inferior occipital gyrus −34 −74 −6 499 6.06*** Cerebellum (Decive) 10 −68 −26 70 5.45** R middle frontal gyrus 44 26 30 12 4.98* L middle frontal gyrus −38 −2 54 6552 8.35*** R superior temporal gyrus 52 10 −16 12 5.06* R precentral gyrus 62 −4 18 40 5.64** R precentral gyrus 36 −16 58 443 5.73*** L precentral gyrus −48 −18 30 47 5.13* Thalamus 12 −14 6 171 5.77*** Cingulate cyrus −2 −16 28 30 5.32** R suparmarginal gyrus 62 −52 22 15 5.10* R superior temporal gyrus −2 −16 58 400 5.64*** R precentral gyrus −2 −16 58 30 477 5.13* Thalamus 12 −14 6 171 5.77*** Cingulate cyrus −2 −16 28 30 5.32** R suparmarginal gyrus 62 −52 22 15 5.10* R precuneus −2 −62 34 409 8.96*** Precuneus −2 −62 34 409 8.96*** Precuneus −2 −62 34 409 8.96*** Precuneus −2 −62 34 409 8.96*** Cuneus −2 −62 34 409 8.96*** Precuneus −2 −62 34 409 8.96*** Cuneus −2 −62 34 409 8.96*** Precuneus −2 −62 34 409 4.90 8.96*** Precuneus −2 −62 34 409 4.90 8.96*** Precuneus −2 −62 34 409 4.90 8.96*** Precuneus −2 −62	L PCC	-28	-68	6	28	5.65**
Cerebellum (Declive) 10 -68 -26 70 5.64** No Trait/Different Person No Trait/Different Person No 44 26 30 12 4.98* R middle frontal gyrus -38 -2 54 6552 8.55*** R superior temporal gyrus 44 14 -28 17 5.36** R superior temporal gyrus 52 10 -16 12 5.06* R precentral gyrus 62 -4 18 40 5.64** I precentral gyrus 36 -16 58 443 5.73*** L precentral gyrus -48 -18 30 47 5.13* Thalamus -8 -14 6 391 6.34*** Cingulate cyrus -2 -16 28 30 5.2*** R superangrial gyrus 62 -52 22 15 5.0* R precuneus -2 -62 34 4490 8.96**** L precuneus <	L inferior occipital gyrus	-34	-74	-6	499	6.06***
No Trait/Different Person R middle frontal gyrus 44 26 30 12 4.98* R middle frontal gyrus -38 -2 54 6552 8.35*** R superior temporal gyrus 52 10 -16 12 5.06* R precentral gyrus 62 -4 18 40 5.64** R precentral gyrus 62 -4 18 40 5.64** R precentral gyrus 62 -4 18 40 5.64*** I precentral gyrus -8 -16 58 443 5.73*** I precentral gyrus -8 -18 30 47 5.13* Thalamus -8 -14 6 391 6.34*** Cingulate cyrus -2 -16 28 30 5.2*** R supramarginal gyrus 62 -52 22 15 5.10* R precuneus -2 -62 34 4490 8.96***1 L precuneus <td< td=""><td>Cerebellum (Declive)</td><td>10</td><td>-68</td><td>-26</td><td>70</td><td>5.64**</td></td<>	Cerebellum (Declive)	10	-68	-26	70	5.64**
R middle frontal gyrus 44 26 30 12 4.98" L middle frontal gyrus -38 -2 54 6552 8.35"*** R superior temporal gyrus 52 10 -16 12 5.06" R precentral gyrus 62 -4 18 40 5.64** R precentral gyrus 62 -4 18 40 5.64** R precentral gyrus 36 -16 58 443 5.73*** L precentral gyrus -48 -18 30 47 5.13* Thalamus -8 -14 6 391 6.34*** Thalamus 12 -14 6 171 5.77*** Cingulate cyrus -2 -16 28 30 5.32** R supramarginal gyrus 62 -52 22 15 5.10* R precuneus -2 -60 54 193 5.85**** Precuneus -2 -62 34 4490 8.96*** L precuneus -24 -72 26 49 5.		No Trait/Differe	ent Person			
L middle frontal gyrus -38 -2 54 6552 8.35^{***} R superior temporal gyrus 44 14 -28 17 5.36^{**} R superior temporal gyrus 52 10 -16 12 5.06^{*} R precentral gyrus 62 -4 18 40 5.64^{**} R precentral gyrus 36 -16 58 443 5.73^{***} L precentral gyrus -48 -18 30 47 5.13^{*} Thalamus -8 -14 6 391 6.34^{***} Thalamus -2 -16 28 30 5.32^{**} R insula 44 -30 -2 257 6.45^{***} R supramarginal gyrus 62 -52 22 15 5.10^{*} P recuneus -24 -72 26 49 3.37^{**} Cuneus -18 -92 6 4161 10.62^{***} Trait enhancement: Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait Repetit	R middle frontal gyrus	44	26	30	12	4.98*
R superior temporal gyrus 44 14 -28 17 5.36" R superior temporal gyrus 52 10 -16 12 5.64" R precentral gyrus 36 -16 58 443 5.73"** L precentral gyrus -48 -18 30 47 5.13" Thalamus -8 -14 6 391 6.34"** Cingulate cyrus -2 -16 28 30 5.32"* R insula 44 -30 -2 257 6.45"** R precuneus -2 -52 22 15 5.06"** R precuneus -2 -62 34 4490 8.96"** L precuneus -2 -62 34 4490 8.96"** L precuneus -2 -62 34 4490 8.96"** L precuneus -24 -72 26 49 5.37** Cuneus -18 -92 6 4161 10.62*** Trait enhancement: Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait Repetition > Trait Repetition > Trait Repetitio	L middle frontal gyrus	-38	-2	54	6552	8.35***
R superior temporal gyrus 52 10 -16 12 5.06° R precentral gyrus 62 -4 18 40 5.64** R precentral gyrus 36 -16 58 443 5.73** L precentral gyrus -48 -18 30 47 5.13* Thalamus -8 -14 6 391 6.34*** Cingulate cyrus -2 -16 28 30 5.32** R insula 44 -30 -2 257 6.45*** R precuneus -2 -60 54 193 5.85*** Precuneus -2 -62 34 4490 8.96*** L precuneus -2 -62 34 4490 8.96*** L precuneus -24 -72 26 49 5.37** Cuneus -18 -92 6 4161 10.62*** Trait enhancement: Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait Repetition	R superior temporal gyrus	44	14	-28	17	5.36**
R precentral gyrus 62 -4 18 40 $5.64^{\circ\circ}$ R precentral gyrus 36 -16 58 443 $5.73^{\ast\ast\ast}$ L precentral gyrus -48 -18 30 47 $5.13^{\ast\circ}$ Thalamus -8 -14 6 391 $6.34^{\ast\ast\ast}$ Thalamus 12 -14 6 391 $5.77^{\ast\ast\ast}$ Cingulate cyrus -2 -16 28 30 $5.32^{\ast\ast}$ R insula 44 -30 -2 257 $6.45^{\ast\ast\ast}$ R supramarginal gyrus 62 -52 22 15 5.10^{\ast} R precuneus 28 -60 54 193 $5.85^{\ast\ast\ast}$ Precuneus -2 -62 34 4490 $8.96^{\ast\ast\ast}$ L precuneus -24 -72 26 49 $5.37^{\ast\ast}$ Cuneus -18 -92 6 4161 $10.62^{\ast\ast\ast}$ Trait enhancement: Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait Repetition $Trait Repetition > Trait > 100130$	R superior temporal gyrus	52	10	-16	12	5.06*
R precentral gyrus 36 -16 58 443 5.73^{***} L precentral gyrus -48 -18 30 47 5.13^* Thalamus -8 -14 6 391 6.34^{***} Thalamus 12 -14 6 171 5.77^{***} Cingulate cyrus -2 -16 28 30 5.32^{**} R insula 44 -30 -2 257 6.45^{***} R supramarginal gyrus 62 -52 22 15 5.10^* R precuneus 28 -60 54 193 5.85^{***} Precuneus -2 -62 34 4490 8.96^{***} L precuneus -24 -72 26 49 5.37^{**} Cuneus -18 -92 6 4161 10.62^{***} Trait enhancement: Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionTrait Repetitions $13 - 1 - 1$)L middle frontal gyrus -28 48 12 306 6.61^{***} R middle frontal gyrus -44 24 30 2746 7.64^{***}	R precentral gyrus	62	-4	18	40	5.64
L precentral gyrus-48-1830475.13'Thalamus-8-1463916.34***Thalamus12-1461715.77***Cingulate cyrus-2-1628305.32**R insula44-30-22576.45***R supramarginal gyrus62-5222155.10*R precuneus28-60541935.85***Precuneus-2-623444908.96***L precuneus-24-7226495.37**Cuneus-18-926416110.62***Trait enhancement: Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionTrait Repetition > Trait RepetitionTrait RepetitionTrait Repetitions(across different persons: $0 0 - 1 3 - 1 - 1$)L middle frontal gyrus-284812*3066.61***R middle frontal gyrus-44243027467.64***	R precentral gyrus	36	-16	58	443	5./3
Infamus-8-1463916.34Thalamus12-1461715.77***Cingulate cyrus-2-1628305.32**R insula44-30-22576.45***R supramarginal gyrus62-5222155.10*R precuneus28-60541935.85***Precuneus-2-623444908.96***L precuneus-24-7226495.37**Cuneus-18-926416110.62***Trait enhancement: Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionTrait RepetitionsIntiddle frontal gyrus-284812*3066.61***R middle frontal gyrus-284812*3066.61***L middle frontal gyrus-44243027467.64***	L precentral gyrus	-48	-18	30	4/	5.13
Intainities12-1461715.77Cingulate cyrus-2-1628305.32**R insula44-30-22576.45***R supramarginal gyrus62-5222155.10*R precuneus28-60541935.85***Precuneus-2-623444908.96***L precuneus-24-7226495.37**Cuneus-18-926416110.62***Trait enhancement: Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionTrait Repetitions(across different persons: $0 0 - 13 - 1 - 1$)L middle frontal gyrus-284812*3066.61***R middle frontal gyrus462632*8306.92***L middle frontal gyrus-44243027467.64***	Thelemus	-8 10	-14	6	391	0.34 E 77***
Chigada Cyrus-2-102030 3.32 R insula44-30-2257 6.45^{***} R supramarginal gyrus 62 -52 22 15 5.10^* R precuneus 28 -60 54 193 5.85^{***} Precuneus -2 -62 34 4490 8.96^{***} L precuneus -24 -72 26 49 5.37^{**} Cuneus -18 -92 6 4161 10.62^{***} Trait enhancement: Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionTrait RepetitionsTrait RepetitionsTrait enhancement: Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionTrait Repetitionstarget different persons: $0 - 13 - 1 - 1$)L middle frontal gyrus -28 48 12 t306 6.61^{***} R middle frontal gyrus -46 26 32 t830 6.92^{***} L middle frontal gyrus -44 24 30 2746 7.64^{***}	Cingulate grave	12	-14	20	1/1	5.77
R instata++-50-22370.457R supramarginal gyrus62-5222155.10*R precuneus28-60541935.85****Precuneus-2-623444908.96***L precuneus-24-7226495.37**Cuneus-18-926416110.62***Trait enhancement: Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionTrait RepetitionTrait RepetitionTrait enhancement: Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionTrait Repetitions(across different persons: $0 0 - 13 - 1 - 1$)L middle frontal gyrus-284812*3066.61***R middle frontal gyrus462632*8306.92***L middle frontal gyrus-44243027467.64***	Pincula	-2	-10	20	257	5.52
R supramarginal gruss 62 -32 22 13 5.10° R precuneus 28 -60 54 193 $5.85^{\circ\circ\circ\circ}$ Precuneus -2 -62 34 4490 $8.96^{\circ\circ\circ\circ}$ L precuneus -24 -72 26 49 $5.37^{\circ\circ\circ}$ Cuneus -18 -92 6 4161 $10.62^{\circ\circ\circ\circ}$ Trait enhancement: Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait Repetition Trait Repetitions Trait Repetitions Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait Repetition Trait Repetitions: $00 - 13 - 1 - 1$) L middle frontal gyrus -28 48 12 $^{1}306$ $6.61^{\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ}$ R middle frontal gyrus 46 26 32 $^{1}830$ $6.92^{\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ}$ L middle frontal gyrus -44 24 30 2746 7.64°	R upromorginal gurus		-30	-2	237	0. 4 5 5 10*
Instruction 100 100 100 100 Precuneus -20 -60 34 4490 8.96^{***} L precuneus -24 -72 26 49 5.37^{**} Cuneus -18 -92 6 4161 10.62^{***} Trait enhancement: Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait Repetition Trait Repetitions Trait Repetitions Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait Repetition Trait Repetitions '48 12 '306 6.61^{***} R middle frontal gyrus -28 48 12 '306 6.61^{***} R middle frontal gyrus 46 26 32 '830 6.92^{***} L middle frontal gyrus -44 24 30 2746 7.64^{***}		28	-52	54	103	5.10
Initial I OZ S1 HS0 0.30* L precuncus -24 -72 26 49 5.37** Cuncus -18 -92 6 4161 10.62*** Trait enhancement: Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait Repetition Trait Repetitions Trait Repetitions Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait Repetition Trait Repetitions (across different persons: $0 0 - 13 - 1 - 1$) L middle frontal gyrus -28 48 12 $^{t}306$ 6.61^{***} R middle frontal gyrus 46 26 32 $^{t}830$ 6.92^{***} L middle frontal gyrus -44 24 30 2746 7.64^{***}	Precuneus	_28	-62	34	4490	96***
In precursesInInInInInInCuneus -18 -92 64161 10.62^{***} Trait enhancement: Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionTrait Repetition 10.62^{***} Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionInteraction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionInteraction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionInteraction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionInteraction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionInteraction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionInteraction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionInteraction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionInteraction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionInteraction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionInteraction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionInteraction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait Repetitio		_24	_72	26	49	5 37**
Trait enhancement: Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionTrait RepetitionTrait enhancement: Interaction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait RepetitionInteraction of Target > Prime for No Trait Repetition > Trait Repetition(across different persons: $0.0 - 1.3 - 1 - 1$)L middle frontal gyrus-284812*3066.61***R middle frontal gyrus462632*8306.92***L middle frontal gyrus-44243027467.64***	Cuneus	_18	_92	6	4161	10 62***
Trait Repetitions (across different persons: $0 0 - 1 3 - 1 - 1$)L middle frontal gyrus -28 48 12 $^{t}306$ 6.61^{***} R middle frontal gyrus 46 26 32 $^{t}830$ 6.92^{***} L middle frontal gyrus -44 24 30 2746 7.64^{***}	Trait enhancement: Interaction of 1	Target > Prime for No T	rait Repetition > Trait	Repetition	1101	10.02
L middle frontal gyrus -28 48 12 ¹ 306 6.61*** R middle frontal gyrus 46 26 32 ¹ 830 6.92*** L middle frontal gyrus -44 24 30 2746 7.64***		Trait Repetition	IS IS	repetition		
L middle frontal gyrus -28 48 12 t306 6.61*** R middle frontal gyrus 46 26 32 t830 6.92*** L middle frontal gyrus -44 24 30 2746 7.64***		(across differen	it persons: 0 0 -1 3 -1	. –1)		
R middle frontal gyrus 46 26 32 *830 6.92*** L middle frontal gyrus -44 24 30 2746 7.64***	L middle frontal gyrus	_28	48	-, 12	^t 306	6.61***
L middle frontal gyrus –44 24 30 2746 7.64***	R middle frontal gyrus	46	26	32	^t 830	6.92***
	L middle frontal gyrus	-44	24	30	2746	7.64***

(continued)

Table 3	3. Co	ntinued

Anatomical label	х	у	Z	Voxels	Max t
R middle frontal gyrus	34	-6	52	116	5.78***
R claustrum	28	24	-2	^t 64	5.56**
L insula	-28	20	-6	^t 110	6.43***
Medial frontal gyrus	-6	8	50	779	6.39***
R precentral gyrus	36	-18	58	16	5.21**
L inferior parietal lobule	-44	-34	46	17	4.94*
R inferior parietal lobule	36	-56	46	^t 281	6.71***
R middle temporal gyrus	48	-36	-2	119	5.58**
L middle temporal gyrus	-48	-40	0	156	6.24***
L precuneus	-26	-60	50	824	7.32***
Precuneus	0	-62	36	234	6.42***
R cerebellum (culmen)	34	-52	-30	30	5.58**
Cerebellum (declive)	10	-68	-26	66	5.50**
Person enhancement: Interaction of	of Target > Prime for No	Person Repetition > I	Person Repetition		
	Person repetitio	ons	-		
	(across similar t	traits: -1 3 -1 -1 0 0)			
L middle frontal gyrus	-44	52	-4	^p 827	8.61***
L middle frontal gyrus	-46	24	32	^p 2670	8.24***
R middle frontal gyrus	40	2	60	^p 149	6.08***
R inferior frontal gyrus	32	26	-4	1297	7.32***
L inferior frontal gyrus	-28	24	-6	^p 230	7.64***
Cingulate gyrus	8	18	44	^p 1246	7.69***
Caudate	14	10	2	35	5.08*
Caudate	-16	-2	14	214	5.84***
Thalamus	14	-16	14	56	5.31**
L superior temporal gyrus	-48	-30	-8	397	6.31***
R superior temporal gyrus	54	-40	8	^p 359	6.05***
R postcentral gyrus	46	-32	44	15	5.15**
No gray matter	-28	-52	38	955	7.12***
R inferior parietal lobule	36	-54	44	67	5.72***
Cuneus	-12	-96	16	30	5.25**
Cerebellum (declive)	12	-72	-28	^p 346	8.59***
Cerebellum (declive)	-12	-72	-28	^p 19	5.23**
Conjunction of Trait and Person en	hancement				
,	(see two contra	sts above)			
L superior frontal gyrus		, 52	18	172	5.93***
R middle frontal gyrus	46	28	32	346	6.72***
L middle frontal gyrus	-44	24	30	2121	7.64***
R middle frontal gyrus	36	0	58	13	5.10*
R claustrum	28	24	-2	60	5.56**
L insula	-28	20	-6	109	6.43***
Medial frontal gyrus	-6	10	50	642	6.39***
R middle temporal gyrus	48	-36	-2	111	5.58**
L inferior parietal lobule	-46	-36	46	11	4.87*
R inferior parietal lobule	36	_54	44	66	5 72***
L superior temporal gyrus	-48	-38	0	121	5.84***
L superior parietal lobule	-28	-56	40	597	6 91***
Cerebellum (declive)	10	-68	-26	54	5.50**

Notes: Coordinates refer to the MNI (Montreal Neurological Institute) stereotaxic space. Whole-brain analysis thresholded at P < 0.05, FWE corrected with a voxel extent of ≥ 10 , only coordinates of the highest peak in each cluster are reported (see supplementary table for all peaks). The contrasts between parentheses refer to the Prime and Target in the Repeated Trait/Repeated Person, Repeated Trait/Different Person, and No Trait/Different Person conditions, respectively. PCC = posterior cingulate cortex, L = left, R = right. Superscripts indicate the cluster peaks that show the expected repetition enhancement pattern for trait and ^p person. *P < 0.05, *P < 0.01, **P < 0.001 (FWE peak corrected).

Results

followed by an analysis of signal change to verify whether the predicted repetition pattern was present.

For the analysis of the fMRI data, we used a similar strategy as Ma *et al.* (2014a) to detect a repetition suppression effect during trait and person processing. We first conducted a whole-brain random effects analysis contrasting prime > target trials in all conditions, and then conducted whole-brain interaction analyses to identify repetition effects. These analyses were

Whole-brain analysis

The whole-brain random effects analyses of the prime > target repetition suppression contrast revealed a significant repetition suppression effect in the mPFC for the Repeated Trait/Repeated Person and Repeated Trait/Different Person conditions (Table 2). The repetition interactions revealed a significant repetition suppression effect in the mPFC, for both trait and person. There were other, but smaller significant clusters as well in these interaction contrasts, including the posterior cingulate cortex and parahippocampal gyrus. A conjunction analysis revealed an overlap of trait and person repetition suppression in the mPFC (Table 2).

For enhancement, none of the reverse, target > prime contrast or their interaction contrasts showed repetition effects in the mPFC, but revealed other regions (Table 3).

Region of interest analysis

We computed the percent signal change in ROIs centered around the peak values of the repetition interactions to verify whether the expected repetition pattern was present. We calculated a repetition suppression index by subtracting the percent signal change in the prime sentence from those in the target sentence for every condition and every ROI separately.

With respect to trait suppression, the analysis at the peak of the mPFC (Figure 1A) revealed as predicted, that the suppression index did not differ between the two conditions involving trait repetition (i.e. Repeated Trait/Repeated Person = Repeated Trait/Different Person), but that these two conditions differed significantly from the No Trait/Different Person condition (P < 0.05). Importantly, the same tests for the other clusters in the trait suppression interaction were not significant, indicating that only the mPFC showed the predicted trait suppression effect.

With respect to person suppression, the percent signal change analysis of the suppression index revealed the predicted difference between the conditions with or without person repetition (i.e. Repeated Trait/Repeated Person > Repeated Trait/ Different Person at P < 0.05; Figure 2A). Moreover, activation in the No Trait/Different Person condition differed from these two conditions (P < 0.001). Importantly, the same tests for the other clusters in the person suppression interaction were not significant, indicating that only the mPFC showed the predicted person suppression effect.

The analysis at the enhancement peaks revealed trait and person enhancement effects (Table 3 and Figure 3). Note that none were located in the mPFC. With respect to trait enhancement, the analysis at the peak of the left and right middle frontal gyrus, right claustrum, left insula and right inferior parietal lobule revealed that the suppression index did not differ between the two conditions involving trait repetition (i.e. Repeated Trait/Repeated Person = Repeated Trait/Different Person), but that these two conditions differed significantly from the No Trait/Different Person condition (Figure 3A).

With respect to person enhancement, the enhancement indexes revealed differences between conditions with or without person repetition (i.e. Repeated Trait/Repeated Person > Repeated Trait/Different Person; Figure 3B) in the bilateral middle frontal gyrus, left inferior frontal gyrus, cingulate gyrus, right superior temporal gyrus and cerebellum (declive). Note that only in the right middle frontal gyrus activation in these two conditions differed from the No Trait/Different Person condition (Figure 3B).

Correlation with social network size

We investigated the relationship between the percent signal change of target sentences and the number of reported social contacts. The mean number of reported social contacts was 25.52 (s.d. = 14.48) for the last week and 40.69 (s.d. = 16.74) for the last month. For the person suppression ROI, we found a significant negative correlation between reported social contacts in the last month and the Repeated Trait/Different Person condition (r = -0.45, P < 0.01; Figure 2 and Table 4). For the trait suppression ROI, as predicted, there was no significant correlation between the number of reported social contacts and the percent signal change, for any condition. For enhancement, we found several negative correlations between percentage signal change and reported social contacts in the last week (Table 4). For various trait enhancement ROIs, there were negative correlations with activation in conditions where traits are repeated (Repeated Trait/Repeated Person and Repeated Trait/Different Person). Likewise, for various person enhancement ROIs, there were negative correlations with activation in person repetition conditions (Repeated Trait/Repeated Person).

Discussion

A broad range of fMRI research suggests that the mentalizing network, and more specifically the mPFC, is involved in the integration of temporary actions and mental states into more abstract and enduring person knowledge such as traits and other characteristics (Mitchell *et al.*, 2006; Todorov *et al.*, 2007; Ma *et al.*, 2011, 2012a). In this study, we argued and demonstrated that the mPFC is not only involved in the processing of person information, but also stores specific knowledge of traits and familiar persons in the form of neural representations that we call memory codes. To uncover these memory codes, we turned to a fMRI repetition design and predicted that repeated processing of a core stimulus (such as a trait or person) suppresses activation in the neural population that stores information about this specific stimulus relative to other superficial and variable stimulus characteristics.

Trait and person memory codes

The present findings confirm and extend the earlier works by Ma et al. (2014a) and Szpunar et al. (2014). Using a similar fMRI suppression design, Ma et al. (2014a) found strong evidence for memory codes in the ventral mPFC for traits implied in behavioral descriptions. In addition, Szpunar et al. (2014) found support for repetition suppression in the mPFC when imaging an interaction with familiar persons. This study extended both studies and demonstrates that both persons and their traits implied in behavioral descriptions are represented at the same time in distinct, but overlapping, neural codes in the ventral mPFC. This may indicate that trait and person memory codes are closely interrelated. Thus, if we associate specific traits strongly with a person (e.g. my mother is very kind), perhaps these traits become a part of the neural representation of the person. This seems plausible, as our participants knew the characteristics of the persons very well because they were family members or close friends. Hence, it is possible that person processing automatically led to the activation of associated trait representations.

Hassabis et al. (2014) put forward a similar idea that trait representations are neurally combined to a more holistic representation of persons if we get to know them better. This view is consistent with connectionist models of brain functioning, which assume that high-level constructs such as traits and persons are represented by neural populations at a distinct layer of the brain (McClelland and Rumelhart, 1988). The notion of a layer does not mean that these neural populations are located







Fig. 1. Percentage signal change at the Trait and Person suppression interaction. The left side of each graph shows prime target pairs, the right side the suppression index. The inset on the far right shows the area revealed in the whole-brain analysis thresholded at P < 0.05, FWE corrected, from which the signal change was extracted. (A) Trait suppression is identical in the first two (Repeated Trait) conditions, and differs from the third (No trait) condition. (B) Person suppression is strongest for the first condition (Repeated Person) and differs from the second and third conditions (Different Person). *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001 (one sided).

in tightly constrained or strictly hierarchical locations. It is more likely that these neural representations are distributed, that is, the neural codes for persons are linked with their major characteristics that might be represented at different locations,

в

although they process information as one functional unit. Given the close relationships between persons and their traits, it does not come as a surprise that they are represented close to each other on the cortical surface because that is computationally more efficient. Taken together, given that traits are abstract representation of concrete behaviors, and given that person and trait representations are closely related, we suggest that the mPFC is a hub region, representing different



Fig. 2. Negative correlation between Social Network Size and activation at the Person suppression interaction when processing information about a different person, suggesting that participants with a larger social network invest less mental effort in processing another (familiar) person. Note that the same correlation at the Trait suppression interaction was not significant. **P < 0.01 (two sided).

types of social abstractions linked with other brain areas representing more concrete (behavioral) information.

A limitation of the present design is that it was not fully factorial. A full factorial design with an additional condition in which only a person, but not a trait, is repeated might have been more appropriate for separating trait and person codes. This condition was not included in this study because we wanted to ensure that persons were perceived as possessing trait characteristics and not merely performing unsystematic behaviors. Future research should investigate how familiar or even unknown persons with or without information about their trait characteristics are processed. It is likely that person codes are distributed across the brain, with trait-related codes residing in the mPFC and other information (e.g. face) coded elsewhere.

Repetition enhancement

To ensure that the mPFC was exclusively involved in repetition suppression, we examined the complementary repetition enhancement process. An increase in activation is assumed to indicate that more mental effort is necessary to process a repeated stimulus or stimulus characteristic. As expected, there were no enhancement effects in the mPFC, which confirms the exclusive involvement of mPFC in repetition suppression when traits and persons are processed.

However, we did find enhancement effects for traits and persons in some other brain areas (Figure 3). As can be seen, repetition enhancement areas are more extensive than suppression areas. This should not come as a surprise since the suppression method precisely attempts to isolate a narrowly defined area involved in the processing and encoding of a given stimulus



Fig. 3. (A) Trait and (B) Person repetition enhancement areas. The top row shows areas revealed in the whole-brain analysis thresholded at P < 0.05, FWE corrected. The bottom row shows the corresponding enhancement pattern from the percentage signal change analysis of the largest cluster in each slice (with MNI coordinates). Note that trait enhancement shows no difference between the first two (Repeated Trait) conditions, while person enhancement differs between them. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 (one sided).

Person enhancement areas в







R Middle Frontal Gyrus 40 2 60

Cingulate Gyrus

8 18 44





Anatomical label	х	у	Z	Repeated Trait/ Repeated Person	Repeated Trait/ Different Person
Person suppression and social	network size (mont	h)			
Person repetition		,			
mPFC	-2	46	-6	_	-0.45**
Trait enhancement and social	network size (week))			
Trait Repetition					
R middle frontal gyrus	46	26	32	-0.32*	-0.31*
R inferior parietal lobule	36	-56	46	-0.26*	-0.27*
R middle temporal gyrus	48	-36	-2	-0.32*	-0.38**
Person enhancement and socia	l network size (wee	ek)			
Person Repetition					
Cingulate gyrus	8	18	44	-0.25*	-
Caudate	14	10	2	-0.28*	-
R inferior parietal lobule	36	-54	44	-0.29*	-

Table 4. Correlations between social network size and activation at Repetition Suppression and Enhancement areas in some relevant conditions

Notes: Areas and coordinates refer to Tables 2 and 3. Cell entries in the last two columns are correlations. Only peaks that show a significant correlation between the percentage signal change at the target sentence and social network size of the last week (for enhancement) or month (for suppression) in the relevant conditions are reported. mPFC = medial prefrontal cortex, R = right.

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 (one tailed, uncorrected)

only, excluding all concomitant pre- and post-processing. This is not the case for enhancement. Although we did not have specific hypotheses about repetition enhancement effects, the enhancement interaction contrasts (Table 3) reveal that the great majority of trait and person enhancement areas are part of the executive control network as defined in the cerebrum by Yeo et al. (2011) and in the cerebellum by Buckner et al., (2011). Among the major areas revealed in our study, the bilateral PFC is generally assumed to be involved in working memory (Forbes and Grafman, 2010; Barbey and Patterson, 2011; Van Overwalle, 2011; Meyer and Lieberman, 2012). This area is connected to the mPFC and is recruited during social mentalizing when information needs to be integrated or compared (Ma et al., 2012a), or when working memory demands increase (Van Overwalle, 2011; Meyer and Lieberman, 2012). Also part of this control network is the inferior frontal gyrus, known to be recruited when general abstract concepts are processed (Wang et al., 2010). The claustrum and cingulate gyrus have been identified as multiple information integration centers (Botvinick et al., 1999; Mathur, 2014). Collectively, we can interpret activation in these executive control areas as an increase in mental effort necessary for the integration, comparison and construction of mental representations when information about the person or trait is repeated. Given the systematic involvement of the executive control network in enhancement but not of attention networks, alternative interpretations such as differences in attention allocation are less likely.

Nevertheless, there is a related explanation in terms of differential processing of prime and target sentences. Participants may ignore the trait or person information in the prime sentences, even though 25% of the trials (the singleton condition) invited participants to make a judgment of a person's trait after the first sentence. Hence, it is possible that participants exerted more effort during the target sentences as they could be sure that a question would follow it. In contrast, for the prime sentence a question may or may not have appeared. This may have resulted in increased processing of the target sentence, not for the integration of the prime information as noted above, but because the target sentence was deemed more relevant.

Social network size

We measured participants' social network size, and found a negative correlation between social network size of the last month and mPFC activation when processing information about a new person. This negative correlation suggests that processing information about another person requires less activation when the observer's social network is larger. A possible explanation for this correlation is that more social experience and capacities as revealed by a larger social network lead to more efficient processing of novel persons. Note that this correlation was heavily dependent on its exact location at the peak coordinate of the whole-brain interaction effect. Moving away at a mere 2 mm distance from this peak resulted in similar, but less pronounced correlations. The present correlational results should thus be interpreted with caution and need confirmation by future research. As expected, there was no significant relationship between social network size and activation in the area underpinning trait codes.

Of interest is that we found several negative correlations between number of social contacts during the last week and various trait and person enhancement areas (Table 4). This suggests that less recruitment of the executive control network is necessary when new information is processed for participants with a larger social network size and presumably more efficient social skills. It is interesting to note that most enhancement correlations were revealed with social contacts during the last week, whereas the suppression correlation was found with social contacts during the last month. It seems intuitive plausible that memory representations are related to social skills on the longer term, while enhancement is related to social efficiency on the shorter term. However, these speculations await confirmation from future research.

Future research

An unresolved question is how general or abstract representations of traits and persons are? Research by Ma *et al.* (2014a) seems to suggest that this representation might encompass quite a broad meaning because traits with opposite valence are represented in the same neural population in the mPFC. Our person suppression area is substantially larger than the trait area (Figure 1). This smaller trait area could be due to the use of a relatively small range of traits that are conceptually similar (e.g. friendly and nice). On the other hand, the larger person area might result from the fact that close friends and family members can differ substantially, and might possess a large range of distinct characteristics. Future research should investigate whether distinct moral traits (e.g. kind, diligent, generous) share a general representation, or are identifiable as distinct neural codes. Future research should also explore to what extent person codes can be distinguished from each other. A critical question is whether repetition suppression is sensitive enough to reveal such distinct locations for different traits (e.g. agreeable vs extraversion) or persons. Perhaps an alternative technique known as multi-voxel pattern analysis is more sensitive, because it identifies distinct patterns of activation, rather than distinct locations. In this analysis, the pattern of activation elicited by a whole brain area is analyzed in order to recover as much as possible the original stimulus that activated them (Davis and Poldrack, 2013). This technique allows to identify with more micro-level detail the code itself, that is, the precise pattern of activation and deactivation distributed among a large array of voxels that represent the content of the code.

Note that we identified trait and person memory codes in the ventral part of the mPFC which is an area involved in the processing of trait-related information about the self and close others. In contrast, the dorsal part of the mPFC is considered to be related to the processing of trait information of unfamiliar people (Van Overwalle, 2009). It is still an open question whether the mPFC contains memory codes of less known or unfamiliar persons.

Conclusion

In order to better understand the organizational principles of the brain, it is necessary to improve our knowledge about the processing and storage of core constructs and their relation to one another. In the current research, we turned to fMRI repetition suppression to identify neural representations in the mPFC. Traditional fMRI research already demonstrated that traits and persons are processed in this area. We provide evidence for the storage of specific trait and person knowledge in the form of memory codes. We interpret our results as confirming the idea that the mPFC is a hub area that aggregates abstract and highly connected mental representations of persons and traits.

Funding

SRP15 project funded by the Vrije Universiteit Brussel.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at SCAN online.

Conflict of interest. None declared.

References

Barbey, A.K., Patterson, R. (2011). Architecture of explanatory inference in the human prefrontal cortex. Frontiers in Psychology, 2, 162.

- Bedny, M., McGill, M., Thompson-Schill, S.L. (2008). Semantic adaptation and competition during word comprehension. *Cerebral Cortex*, **18**(11), 2574–85.
- Botvinick, M., Nystrom, L.E., Fissell, K., Carter, C.S., Cohen, J.D. (1999). Conflict monitoring versus selection-for-action in anterior cingulate cortex. *Nature*, **402**(6758), 179–81.
- Buckner, R., Krienen, F., Castellanos, A., Diaz, J.C., Yeo, B.T. (2011). The organization of the human cerebellum estimated by intrinsic functional connectivity. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 106, 2322–45.
- Davis, T., Poldrack, R.A. (2013). Measuring neural representations with fMRI: practices and pitfalls. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, **1296**, 108–34.
- De Graaf, T.A., Hsieh, P.J., Sack, A.T. (2012). The "correlates" in neural correlates of consciousness. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews, **36**(1), 191–7.
- Devauchelle, A.D., Oppenheim, C., Rizzi, L., Dehaene, S., Pallier, C. (2009). Sentence syntax and content in the human temporal lobe: an fMRI adaptation study in auditory and visual modalities. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 21(5), 1000–12.
- Diana, R.A., Yonelinas, A.P., Ranganath, C. (2012). Adaptation to cognitive context and item information in the medial temporal lobes. *Neuropsychologia*, **50**(13), 3062–9.
- Dunbar, R.I.M. (1992). Neocortex size as a constraint on group size in primates. Journal of Human Evolution, **20**, 469–93.
- Dunbar, R.I.M. (1998). The social brain hypothesis. Evolutionary Anthropology, **6**(5), 178–90.
- Dunbar, R.I.M., Shultz, S. (2007). Evolution in the social brain. Science, **317**(5843), 1344–7.
- Engel, S.A., Furmanski, C.S. (2001). Selective adaptation to color contrast in human primary visual cortex. The Journal of Neuroscience, 21(11), 3949–54.
- Forbes, C.E., Grafman, J. (2010). The role of the human prefrontal cortex in social cognition and moral judgment. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, **33**, 299–324.
- Friston, K. (2005). A theory of cortical responses. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London: Series B, Biological Sciences, 360(1456), 815–36.
- Gamble, C., Gowlett, J., Dunbar, R. (2011). The social brain and the shape of the palaeolithic. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*, **21**(1), 115–36.
- Gotts, S.J., Chow, C.C., Martin, A. (2012). Repetition priming and repetition suppression: multiple mechanisms in need of testing. *Cognitive Neuroscience*, **3**(3–4), 250–9.
- Grill-Spector, K., Malach, R. (2001). fMR-adaptation: a tool for studying the functional properties of human cortical neurons. Acta Psychologica, **107**(1–3), 293–321.
- Grill-Spector, K., Henson, R., Martin, A. (2006). Repetition and the brain: neural models of stimulus-specific effects. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, **10**(1), 14–23.
- Grill-Spector, K., Kushnir, T., Edelman, S., Avidan, G., Itzchak, Y., Malach, R. (1999). Differential processing of objects under various viewing conditions in the human lateral occipital complex. *Neuron*, **24**(1), 187–203.
- Hassabis, D., Spreng, R.N., Rusu, A.A., Robbins, C.A., Mar, R.A., Schacter, D.L. (2014). Imagine all the people: how the brain creates and uses personality models to predict behavior. *Cerebral Cortex*, **24**(8), 1979–87.
- Jenkins, A.C., Macrae, C.N., Mitchell, J.P. (2008). Repetition suppression of ventromedial prefrontal activity during judgments of self and others. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, **105**(11), 4507–12.

- Josse, G., Joseph, S., Bertasi, E., Giraud, A.L. (2012). The brain's dorsal route for speech represents word meaning: evidence from gesture. *PLoS One*, **7**(9), e46108.
- Kourtzi, Z., Kanwisher, N. (2000). Cortical regions involved in perceiving object shape. The Journal of Neuroscience, 20(9), 3310–8.
- Lewis, P.A., Rezaie, R., Brown, R., Roberts, N., Dunbar, R.I.M. (2011). Ventromedial prefrontal volume predicts understanding of others and social network size. *NeuroImage*, 57(4), 1624– 9.
- Ma, N., Baetens, K., Vandekerckhove, M., Kestemont, J., Fias, W., Van Overwalle, F. (2014a). Traits are represented in the medial prefrontal cortex: an fMRI adaptation study. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 9(8), 1185–92.
- Ma, N., Baetens, K., Vandekerckhove, M., Van der Cruyssen, L., Van Overwalle, F. (2014b). Dissociation of a trait and a valence representation in the mPFC. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 9(10), 1506–14.
- Ma, N., Vandekerckhove, M., Baetens, K., Van Overwalle, F., Seurinck, R., Fias, W. (2012a). Inconsistencies in spontaneous and intentional trait inferences. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 7(8), 937–50.
- Ma, N., Vandekerckhove, M., Van Hoeck, N., Van Overwalle, F. (2012b). Distinct recruitment of temporo-parietal junction and medial prefrontal cortex in behavior understanding and trait identification. Social Neuroscience, 7(6), 591–605.
- Ma, N., Vandekerckhove, M., Van Overwalle, F., Seurinck, R., Fias, W. (2011). Spontaneous and intentional trait inferences recruit a common mentalizing network to a different degree: spontaneous inferences activate only its core areas. Social Neuroscience, 6(2), 123–38.
- Mathur, B.N. (2014). The claustrum in review. Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience, 8(April), 1–11.
- McClelland, J.L., Rumelhart, D.E. (1988). Explorations in Parallel Distributed Processing: A Handbook of Models, Programs and Exercises. Cambridge, MA: Bradford.
- Meyer, M.L., Lieberman, M.D. (2012). Social working memory: neurocognitive networks and directions for future research. *Frontiers in Psychology*, **3**(December), 571.
- Mitchell, J.P., Cloutier, J., Banaji, M.R., Macrae, C.N. (2006). Medial prefrontal dissociations during processing of trait diagnostic and nondiagnostic person information. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 1(1), 49–55.
- Powell, J.L., Lewis, P.A., Dunbar, R.I.M., García-Fiñana, M., Roberts, N. (2010). Orbital prefrontal cortex volume correlates

with social cognitive competence. Neuropsychologia, **48**(12), 3554–62.

- Ramsey, R., Hamilton, A.F.D.C. (2010a). Triangles have goals too: understanding action representation in left aIPS. *Neuropsychologia*, **48**(9), 2773–6.
- Ramsey, R., Hamilton, A.F.D.C. (2010b). Understanding actors and object-goals in the human brain. *NeuroImage*, **50**(3), 1142–7.
- Roggeman, C., Santens, S., Fias, W., Verguts, T. (2011). Stages of nonsymbolic number processing in occipitoparietal cortex disentangled by FMRI adaptation. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, **31**(19), 7168–73.
- Szpunar, K.K., St Jacques, P.L., Robbins, C.A., Wig, G.S., Schacter, D.L. (2014). Repetition-related reductions in neural activity reveal component processes of mental simulation. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 9(5), 712–22.
- Thompson-Schill, S.L., D'Esposito, M., Kan, I.P. (1999). Effects of repetition and competition on activity in left prefrontal cortex during word generation. *Neuron*, **23**(3), 513–22.
- Todorov, A., Gobbini, M.I., Evans, K.K., Haxby, J.V. (2007). Spontaneous retrieval of affective person knowledge in face perception. *Neuropsychologia*, 45(1), 163–73.
- Van der Cruyssen, L., Heleven, E., Ma, N., Vandekerckhove, M., Van Overwalle, F. (2015). Distinct neural correlates of social categories and personality traits. *NeuroImage*, **104**, 336– 46.
- Van Overwalle, F. (2007). Social Connectionism: A Reader and Handbook for Simulations. New York: Psychology Press.
- Van Overwalle, F. (2009). Social cognition and the brain: a metaanalysis. Human Brain Mapping, **30**(3), 829–58.
- Van Overwalle, F. (2011). A dissociation between social mentalizing and general reasoning. *NeuroImage*, **54**(2), 1589–99.
- Van Overwalle, F., Labiouse, C. (2004). A recurrent connectionist model of person impression formation. Personality and Social Psychology Review, 8(1), 28–61.
- Van Rooy, D., Van Overwalle, F., Vanhoomissen, T., Labiouse, C., French, R. (2003). A recurrent connectionist model of group biases. *Psychological Review*, **110**(3), 536–63.
- Wang, J., Conder, J.A., Blitzer, D.N., Shinkareva, S.V. (2010). Neural representation of abstract and concrete concepts: a meta-analysis of neuroimaging studies. *Human Brain Mapping*, 31(10), 1459–68.
- Yeo, B.T.T., Krienen, F.M., Sepulcre, J., et al. (2011). The organization of the human cerebral cortex estimated by intrinsic functional connectivity. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, **106**(3), 1125–65.