

HHS Public Access

Psychoneuroendocrinology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 January 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2016 January ; 63: 155–162. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.09.020.

Accelerated DNA Methylation Age: Associations with PTSD and Neural Integrity

Erika J. Wolf^{1,2}, Mark W. Logue^{3,4,5}, Jasmeet P. Hayes^{1,2,9}, Naomi Sadeh^{1,2}, Steven A. Schichman⁶, Annjanette Stone⁶, David H. Salat⁷, William Milberg^{8,9}, Regina McGlinchey^{8,9}, and Mark W. Miller^{1,2}

¹ National Center for PTSD, Behavioral Science Division, VA Boston Healthcare System, Boston, MA

² Department of Psychiatry, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA

³ Research Service, VA Boston Healthcare System, Boston, MA

⁴ Biomedical Genetics, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA

⁵ Department of Biostatistics, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA

⁶ Pharmacogenomics Analysis Laboratory, Research Service, Central Arkansas Veterans Healthcare System, Little Rock, AR

⁷ Neuroimaging Research for Veterans Center, VA Boston Healthcare System, Boston, MA

⁸ Geriatric Research Educational and Clinical Center and Translational Research Center for TBI and Stress Disorders, VA Boston Healthcare System, Boston, MA

⁹ Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

Abstract

Background—Accumulating evidence suggests that post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) may accelerate cellular aging and lead to premature morbidity and neurocognitive decline.

Methods—This study evaluated associations between PTSD and DNA methylation (DNAm) age using recently developed algorithms of cellular age by Horvath (2013) and Hannum et al. (2013). These estimates reflect accelerated aging when they exceed chronological age. We also examined

Corresponding Author Erika J. Wolf, National Center for PTSD (116B-2), VA Boston Healthcare System, 150 S., Huntington Ave., Boston, MA.USA erika.wolf@va.gov.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Contributions

Authors Wolf, Logue, Hayes, Sadeh, and Miller contributed to the conception and design of the study. Authors Wolf, Logue, Schichman, Stone, Salat, Milberg, McGlinchey, and Miller contributed to the acquisition of data and the interpretation of data. Authors Wolf, Logue, and Miller contributed to the data analyses. All authors contributed to the drafting and/or critical revision of this manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors provided final approval of the submitted manuscript.

Disclosures

Authors Wolf, Logue, Hayes, Sadeh, Schichman, Stone, Salat, Milberg, McGlinchey, &. Miller reported no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

if accelerated cellular age manifested in degraded neural integrity, indexed via diffusion tensor imaging.

Results—Among 281 male and female veterans of the conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan, DNAm age was strongly related to chronological age ($rs \sim .88$). Lifetime PTSD severity was associated with Hannum DNAm age estimates residualized for chronological age ($\beta = .13$, p = .032). Advanced DNAm age was associated with reduced integrity in the genu of the corpus callosum ($\beta = -.17$, p = .009) and indirectly linked to poorer working memory performance via this region (indirect $\beta = -.05$, p = .029). Horvath DNAm age estimates were not associated with PTSD or neural integrity.

Conclusions—Results provide novel support for PTSD-related accelerated aging in DNAm and extend the evidence base of known DNAm age correlates to the domains of neural integrity and cognition.

Keywords

accelerated aging; DNA methylation; PTSD; diffusion tensor imaging; genu; working memory

1. Introduction

Chronic psychological stress may accelerate cellular aging and lead to early onset of agerelated disease, neurodegeneration, and pre-mature mortality (Epel, 2009; Epel et al., 2004; Lindqvist et al., 2015). Post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) has been identified as a chronic stress-related condition that may accelerate cellular aging, increasing risk for neuronal cell death via oxidative stress, inflammatory, and other pathophysiological pathways (Lohr et al., 2015; Miller and Sadeh, 2014; Moreno-Villanueva et al., 2013; Williamson et al., 2015). Repeated activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis system, chronic sleep deprivation, and other PTSD-related disturbances are hypothesized to increase reactive oxygen species and decrease the capacity of antioxidants to protect neurons from the toxic effects of oxidative stress (Miller and Sadeh, 2014). Chronic PTSD may also lead to glucocorticoid-mediated increases in peripheral and central nervous system inflammation, and dysregulated autonomic and metabolic processes (Epel, 2009; Lohr et al., 2015; Williamson et al., 2015) there by degrading cellular integrity and ultimately leading to cell death.

Within the past two years, important advances have been made in the use of DNA methylation (DNAm) data to index chronological age. Hannum et al. (2013) developed a model of cellular age (DNAm age) based on methylation levels measured in whole blood at 71 DNA loci and found this metric of DNAm age to be highly correlated with chronological age (r = .96). The majority of the loci in this algorithm were located in or near genes important for the development of age-related disease, DNA damage and repair, and/or oxidative stress (Hannum et al., 2013). In the same year, Horvath (2013) independently developed a multi-tissue DNAm age algorithm using 353 loci and found this metric to also correlate highly with chronological age at r = .96. Despite these impressive associations, the biological mechanism(s) linking epigenetic variation to chronological age remain unclear.

Preliminary cross-sectional evidence suggests that exposure to pathogenic environmental factors may influence DNAm age, yielding higher estimates than would be expected based on chronological age. For example, Horvath et al. (2014) showed that obesity was associated with accelerated DNAm age in human liver tissue. Accelerated DNAm age relative to chronological age has also been linked to indices of disease, including cross-sectional associations with worse performance on measures of fluid intelligence, grip strength, and lung function (Marioni et al., 2015b). Likewise, a meta-analysis of over 4,600 older-aged adults found that for every five year-increase in DNAm age relative to chronological age using the Hannum et al. (2013) and Horvath (2013) algorithms, there were 21% and 11% increases, respectively, in all-cause mortality (Marioni et al., 2015a).

To our knowledge, only one published study (Boks et al., 2015) has examined associations between trauma and/or PTSD and DNAm age. In that study of 96 male soldiers, trauma exposure was positively related to DNAm age per the Horvath (2013) algorithm, while self-reported PTSD symptoms unexpectedly predicted decreased DNAm age estimates over the course of approximately one year (Boks et al., 2015). However, chronological age was not included in this analysis so it remains unclear how these findings relate to discrepancies between DNAm age and chronological age. In this study, we aimed to address this limitation by examining the association between the cumulative lifetime burden of PTSD and DNAm age, controlling for chronological age.

We also tested the hypothesis that accelerated DNAm age is correlated with indices of neural integrity in regions known to degrade with normal aging. Gray matter volume and cortical thickness decrease globally with advancing age (Good et al., 2001; Resnick et al., 2003) with these effects most evident in prefrontal regions (Resnick et al., 2003; Salat et al., 2004, 2005b). Studies of white matter microstructural integrity (i.e., diffusion tensor imaging; DTI) have found the most consistent effects using measures of fractional anisotropy (FA) in the prefrontal cortex (Bennett et al., 2010; Burgmans et al., 2010; Pfefferbaum et al., 2000; Salat et al., 2005a) and the genu of the corpus callosum (Bennett et al., 2010; Burgmans et al., 2010; Kochunov et al., 2012; Pfefferbaum et al., 2000; Salat et al., 2009), which connects the right and left prefrontal cortices (Hofer and Frahm, 2006). These regions are involved in higher-order executive functions, such as working memory and response inhibition (Zahr et al., 2009), which also show age-related declines (Park et al., 2002). Based on this, a final study aim of this study was to examine possible links between accelerated DNAm ageand performance on executive function tasks that depend on these regions of interest (ROIs).

We hypothesized that lifetime PTSD severity (as indexed by a latent variable capturing PTSD severity across three time intervals), would be associated with accelerated DNAm age estimates relative to chronological age. We also expected that advanced DNAm age would be negatively related to microstructural integrity (FA values) in areas of the brain previously linked to age-related degeneration (the frontal cortex and genu) and to performance on executive function tasks mediated by our ROIs.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Participants

Horvath (2013) and Hannumet al. (2013) DNAm age estimates were available for 289 veterans of the conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan assessed at the Translational Research Center for TBI and Stress Disorders, a VA RR&D Traumatic Brain Injury Center of Excellence at VA Boston Healthcare System. Exclusion criteria included history of seizures (unrelated to head injury), neurological illness, current bipolar or psychotic disorder, severe depression or anxiety, active homicidal and/or suicidal ideation with intent, cognitive disorder due to general medical condition other than traumatic brain injury (TBI), and unstable psychological diagnosis that interfered with accurate data collection. For the MRI portion of the assessment, additional exclusionary criteria included pregnancy and having a metal implant, shrapnel, aneurysm clip, or pacemaker. Eight participants were excluded from these analyses due to history of moderate or severe traumatic brain injury, yielding a total sample size of 281 for analyses focused on PTSD and DNAm age. Of these, DTI data were available for 241 participants.

Of the 281 veterans with DNAm age data, the mean age was 31.93 years (*SD*: 8.40, range: 19 - 58) and 87.9% were male (n = 247). Self-reported race and ethnicity was as follows: 70.5% white, 15.3% Hispanic or Latino/a, 8.5% black or African American, 2.1% Asian, 1.1% American Indian (2.5% did not self-report). 55.9% and 74.0% of the sample met DSM-IV-TR diagnostic criteria for current and lifetime PTSD, respectively, per the Clinician Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS; Blake et al., 1995), as defined by the DSM-IV-TR algorithm with clinician frequency ratings 1 and severity ratings 2 required for endorsement of the criterion (along with the distress/impairment criteria; Weathers et al., 1999). The mean post-deployment CAPS score was 63.08 (SD = 34.63).

2.2 Procedures

Veterans gave informed consent and then provided fasting blood samples, underwent diagnostic interviewing by a PhD-level clinician, completed a neuropsychological battery, and underwent MRI acquisition. Psychiatric diagnoses were confirmed by an expert consensus team. The protocol was reviewed by the appropriate institutional review boards.

2.2.1 DNA Genotyping and Methylation—Peripheral whole blood samples were obtained and DNA extracted from buffy coat. Genotyping, relevant here for capturing DNA ancestral variation via principal components (PC) analysis (see Supplementary Methods), was conducted on the hybridized DNA samples using the Illumina Human Omni 2.5-8 microarray and scanned with an Illumina iScan System (Illumina, San Diego CA). For DNAm quantification, hybridized bisulfite-modified DNA (achieved via Zymo EZ-96 DNA Methylation Kits D5004) were whole-genome amplified, fragmented, precipitated, resuspended, and hybridized to Illumina Human Methylation 450k beadchips and then scanned with an Illumina iScan System (Illumina, San Diego, CA). The Horvath age estimate was computed using an R script supplied by Dr. Horvath (http://labs.genetics.ucla.edu/horvath/dnamage/). As this script includes a normalization step, non-normalized average beta values as exported from Genomestudio were used as input after

removing two subjects with low intensity scores. The Hannum et al. DNAm age estimates were computed in R directly using a linear function of the 89 covariates of the "all data" model as reported by Hannum et al.(2013). This method was run on data post-normalization (normalized via the beta mixture quantile dilation method; Teschendorff et al., 2013) and imputation of sporadic missing values using aK nearest-neighbor method as implemented in the Bioconductor impute package (http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/impute.html; see Supplementary Materials for additional details). Of the 89 probes in the model, two were dropped during the quality control process (cg25428494 and ch. 13.39564907R) and therefore the Hannum DNAm age estimates are based on observed methylation at 87 probes. As differential white blood cell (WBC) count estimates were not available for the data, the proportion of WBCs (CD8 cells, CD4 cells, NK cells, B cells, monocytes, granulocytes, and lymphocytes) were estimated from the methylation directly using the Houseman method (Houseman et al., 2012; Jaffe and Irizarry, 2014) as implemented in the Bioconductor minfi package (Aryee et al., 2014). Additional details are provided in the Supplementary Materials.

2.2.2 MRI/DTI Acquisition and Processing—Structural imaging data were acquired on a 3-Tesla Siemens TIM TRIO whole-body MRI scanner. Two T1-weighted anatomical scans (voxel size = 1mm^3 , TR = 2530ms, TE = 3.32ms, FOV = 256×256 , # of slices = 176) were acquired and averaged to create a single high contrast-to-noise image. DTI acquisition involved one acquisition of 60 directions, FOV = 256, Matrix = 128×128 , TR = 10,000 ms, TE = 103 ms, $2 \times 2 \times 2 \text{ mm}$ voxels, b value = 700 s/mm^2 . We used Free Surfer image analysis suite (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu) and The Oxford Centre for Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Brain (FMRIB) FSL software package (http:// www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl) for data analysis. Images were corrected for motion and eddy currents using Free Surfer. Additional DTI processing details, including a list of the frontal parcellations evaluated, are provided in the Supplementary Materials.

2.3 Measures

PTSD diagnosis and symptom severity was assessed with the Clinician Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-IV (Blake et al., 1995), the gold-standard structured diagnostic interview for PTSD assessment. PTSD symptom severity was assessed for three periods of time: past-month (i.e., current PTSD), pre-deployment (if relevant pre-military trauma), and post-deployment (worst 30-day period of symptoms following deployment). We used factor analyses to combine these three severity measurements and develop a metric of PTSD severity across the lifetime (see below). Participants were also administered a comprehensive neuropsychological battery by a psychologist. From this, we selected seven subtests from three tests (see Supplementary Methods) for use as an index of working memory. The measures included were: Digit Span Forward and Backward (Wechsler, 1997); Color-Word Interference Test (a Stroop-type task; Delis et al., 2001); and Letter/Number Sequencing from the Trail Making Test (Delis et al., 2001).

2.4 Data Analyses

Following Marioni et al. (2015b) and Horvath et al. (2014), we first conducted regressions in which the Hannum or Horvath DNAm age estimates were regressed on self-reported

chronological age in separate analyses and we saved the unstandardized residuals (hereafter "DNAm age residuals") from these equations as a new variable for use in our main analyses. Positive residuals indicate accelerated aging (i.e., estimated age was over-predicted relative to chronological age). We next used confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) to develop a metric of the lifetime severity of PTSD symptoms by specifying pre-military, post-military, and current PTSD symptom severity scores as indicators of latent lifetime PTSD severity and saved factor scores (reflecting each individual's level of PTSD symptoms across the lifespan) for use in subsequent analyses. Study hypotheses were then tested using a series of regression models. The first evaluated lifetime PTSD severity as a predictor of the Hannum DNAm age residuals, controlling for sex, the top two genetic PCs (reflecting ancestry), and WBCs; the Horvath DNAm age residuals were examined in a second identical model. We then evaluated the association between DNAm age residuals and FA values in our ROIs, with separate models for each class of related ROIs (i.e., one model for right frontal cortex, one for left frontal cortex, and a model for the genu of the corpus callosum). Age and sex were included as covariates of the DTI parameters, and sex, the two PCs, and WBCs were included as covariates of the DNAm age residuals. We also tested if DNAm age residuals were associated with working memory performance via our ROIs in a subsequent path model (using confirmatory factor analysis to form a latent working memory variable). All models were computed using a robust maximum likelihood estimator (a "sandwich" estimator which adjusts the standard errors to protect against Type I error) in M plus 7.11 (Muthén and Muthén, 2012) and all paths in the model were estimated simultaneously. For models with more than one dependent variable (i.e., the frontal cortex parcellations), the residual correlations among the dependent variables were estimated. The fit of the models was evaluated using standard fit indices and guidelines (Hu and Bentler, 1999; see Supplementary Materials). Standardized regression coefficients are reported throughout the manuscript text.

3. Results

3.1 DNAm Age Associations with Chronological Age

The Hannum DNAm age estimates were highly correlated with self-reported chronological age (r = .88, p < .001) and the Horvath DNAm age algorithm yielded a virtually identical estimate (r = .87, p < .001). The two DNAm age estimates correlated with each other at r = .88, p < .001, but the residual variables (with chronological age regressed out) were only moderately associated with each other (r = .49, p < .001). Neither the Hannum nor Horvath DNAm age residuals were associated with chronological age (rs = .002 and .001, respectively, both ps > .97). Hannum DNAm age unstandardized residuals ranged from -11.82 to 19.24 years (M = .05, SD = 4.25) while Horvath DNAm age unstandardized residuals ranged from -13.04 to 16.90 years (M = .03, SD = 3.81).

3.2 Latent Lifetime PTSD Severity and Accelerated Aging

The CFA of latent lifetime PTSD severity revealed that post-military symptoms loaded most strongly on the lifetime factor ($\beta = .90$, p < .001), followed by current symptoms ($\beta = .85$, p < .001), and pre-military symptoms ($\beta = .22$, p = .004). Lifetime PTSD severity factor scores were positively associated with the Hannum DNAm age residuals ($\beta = .13$, p = .032),

controlling for covariates.^{1,2} CD4 t-cell counts were also significantly associated with DNAm age residuals and in total, this model, with PTSD, sex, and cell counts included, explained 9% of the variance in Hannum DNAm age residuals (see Table 1 for the fit of all models, which was consistent with good fit for all analyses, Table 2 for coefficients, and Supplementary Figure 1). Analyses examining the influence of potential confounders, including substance use, other mental health diagnoses, trauma exposure and traumatic brain injury, are reported in the Supplementary Materials. A parallel analysis revealed no significant association between lifetime PTSD severity factor scores and DNAm age residuals computed using the Horvath method ($\beta = .02$, p = .819; see Table 2). Based on this, we omitted Horvath DNAm age from subsequent consideration, though results pertaining to Horvath DNAm age and neural integrity are listed in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2 for the sake of completeness.

3.3 Accelerated Aging and Neural Integrity

The analysis evaluating the association between the Hannum DNAm age residuals and FA in the right frontal cortex yielded no significant effects for DNAm age residuals (see Table 3). The model predicting FA in the left frontal cortex revealed two nominally significant DNAm age residual effects: DNAm age residuals were negatively associated with FA in the left rostral anterior cingulate cortex ($\beta = -.13$, p = .016) and with FA values in the left rostral middle frontal gyrus ($\beta = -.13$, p = .046). Neither of these associations survived correction for multiple testing within the model using a Bonferonni approach. Chronological age was associated with most frontal parcellations (see Table 3). In the third model, DNAm age residuals were negatively associated with FA in the genu ($\beta = -.17$, p = .009; see Table 4) and the model explained 11% of the variance in this ROI. Based on this, we evaluated other DTI parameters in the genu in separate, parallel models and found DNAm age residuals be positively associated with radial diffusivity (RD; $\beta = .18$, p = .006) and the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC; $\beta = .19$, p = .006), but not with axial diffusivity (AxD; $\beta = .10$, p= .13; see Table 4).

3.4 Accelerated Aging and Working Memory Performance via the Genu

Given evidence that accelerated aging may manifest in reduced microstructural integrity of the genu, we conducted a follow-up path model to determine if DNAm age residuals were negatively associated with performance on working memory tasks via FA in the genu. FA in the genu has previously been associated with working memory in general (Kennedy and Raz, 2009; Zahr et al., 2009) and concept shifting and problem solving (Zahr et al., 2009) in particular. The Working Memory measurement model (i.e., CFA) fit the data well (Table 1) and all indicators loaded significantly on the latent variable (see Supplementary Materials and Figure 1). This latent variable was then included in a path model with sex, the two PCs, and WBCs as covariates of DNAm age residuals, and age and sex as covariates of the genu

¹We also evaluated if PTSD symptom burden might be associated with Hannum DNAm age residuals by creating a variable that reflected PTSD symptom severity multiplied by the duration of those symptoms (in months). We did this only for the post-military time period because of concerns related to outliers in the pre-military duration variable and because we did not expect current symptom duration to have sufficient chronicity to accelerate aging. Data on post-military PTSD burden were available for 166 participants. Post-military PTSD burden was positively associated with Hannum DNAm age residuals ($\beta = .12$, p = .026), controlling for the same covariates as in our main analysis. ²We also evaluated if the effects of PTSD on DNAm age residuals were moderated by sex and found no significant interaction effect.

4. Discussion

Advancing the understanding of mechanisms linking PTSD to pre-mature morbidity and mortality is important given the prevalence of chronic PTSD and the high individual and societal costs associated with it (Bruffaerts et al., 2012). Emerging evidence suggests that PTSD may be associated with accelerated cellular aging and, at least partially through this, linked to various adverse health outcomes (Lohr et al., 2015; Miller and Sadeh, 2014) but, until recently, research on accelerated aging has been limited by the challenges associated with measuring cellular age. The ground breaking development of DNAm age algorithms that provide reliable biomarkers of chronological age (Hannum et al., 2013; Horvath, 2013) is an opening for achieving new insights into mechanisms of cellular aging in the epigenome and the influence of environmental factors and psychopathology on these processes. In this study, we found that PTSD severity was positively associated with DNAm age residualized for chronological age using Hannum et al.'s (2013) model of methylation age, and that these residuals were negatively associated with neural integrity in the genu of the corpus callosum. DNAm age residuals were also negatively associated with working memory via neural integrity of the genu. These findings are consistent with the hypothesis that PTSD is associated with accelerated cellular aging in DNAm and that accelerated aging in DNAm is related to neuroanatomical and cognitive decline. Findings of this study are also broadly consistent with that of prior studies using telomere length as a metric of aging which indicate that PTSD is associated with shortened telomere length (Jergovi et al., 2014; Lohr et al., 2015; Tyrka et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2014) and that shortened telomere length is associated with decreased brain volume (King et al., 2014). Our results contrast with those reported by Boks et al. (2015) who found that PTSD predicted decreased Horvath DNAm age, though the comparison is imperfect because Boks et al. did not residualize estimated DNAm age on chronological age.

Our neuroimaging analyses focused on brain ROIs most affected by aging—the frontal cortices and the genu of the corpus callosum. Hannum DNAm age residuals were nominally associated with reduced integrity of the left rostral anterior cingulate cortex and frontal middle gyrus, though neither of these associations survived multiple testing correction; additional research in independent samples is needed to test the replicability of these associations. Results provided stronger support for a link between DNAm age and decreased FA and increased RD and ADC in the genu, a pattern observed previously in association with chronological age (Bennett et al., 2010). These effects are thought to reflect degradation in both the axon fibers and the myelin sheaths around them (Bennett et al., 2010). The genu plays a critical role in communication and coordination of the dorsolateral

³In a separate model, we also included a direct effect of DNAm age residuals in association with the working memory factor and found that this path was not significant ($\beta = .07$, p = .43).

Psychoneuroendocrinology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 January 01.

prefrontal cortices, and as such, underlies working memory performance and executive functions (Kennedy and Raz, 2009; Zahr et al., 2009). Loss of neural integrity in this region has also been linked to neurocognitive disorders, including Alzheimer's disease (Alves et al., 2012; Di Paola et al., 2010). It is thought to be a late myelinating region of the corpus callosum that is composed of long, small diameter, thinly myelinated and easily damaged commissural fibers that transmit information relatively slowly across the hemispheres (Aboitiz et al., 1992; Kochunov et al., 2007, 2012; Pfefferbaum et al., 2000; but see: Stricker et al., 2015).

Premature decline ingenu integrity is consistent with the "white matter retrogenesis" model of aging which posits that developmentally late myelinating, typically anterior, fibers are the first to degrade with age and are more sensitive to illness and injury relative to early myelinating and more posterior tracts (Brickman et al., 2012). It is also consistent with theory suggesting that myelin sheaths in the genu, and other late myelinating tracts connecting association cortices, have high metabolic requirements in order to preserve and repair myelin sheaths, making these regions susceptible to insults that adversely affect central metabolic processes (Bartzokis, 2004). Epigenetic modifications may contribute to this, given that DNAm regulates the process of differentiation into myelin expressing cells (Liu et al., 2010.); additional research is needed to evaluate if epigenetic mechanisms underlie potential changes in central nervous system metabolism and myelin production and maintenance. Other factors that may affect central nervous system metabolism include poor cerebral vascular health (Kochunov et al., 2007), glucocorticoids (Bartzokis, 2004), and oxidative stress (Bartzokis, 2004); these systems are also implicated in both PTSD and accelerated aging (Epel et al., 2004; Lohr et al., 2015; Logue et al., 2015; Miller and Sadeh, 2014; Williamson et al., 2015). Metabolic deficiencies put the region at risk for demyelination and neuronal death, which may be evidenced incognitive decline (Bartzokis, 2004; Lu et al., 2013). The fact that we found effects in the genu in a relatively young sample of veterans raises the possibility that these are the early signs of neurodegeneration. Of course, longitudinal studies are needed to determine if PTSD-related accelerated aging becomes more widespread in the brain with advancing age and if the effects observed here are harbingers for subsequent pathology such as onset of dementing disorders, which have previously been associated with PTSD (Qureshi et al., 2010).

Significant associations with PTSD and neuroimaging parameters were found for the Hannum et al. (2013) but not Horvath (2013) DNAm age algorithm. These models differ in many ways, despite showing similar bivariate relationships to chronological age. For example, the Hannum et al. algorithm was developed on data from whole blood (though validated in other tissues and independent samples) whereas the Horvath model was developed using multiple tissue types. The models have just six overlapping loci and eleven overlapping genes, suggesting that they are fairly distinct from one another, and it is conceivable the methylation loci included in the Hannum model are more sensitive to hematologic conditions of particular relevance to PTSD (e.g., oxidative stress, inflammation, glucocorticoid activation). It is likely that neither model can capture all the pathophysiology that might be implicated in PTSD-related accelerated aging. In the future it may be possible to further adjustthe DNAm age algorithms to index more disease-specific forms of accelerated cellular aging.

These conclusions should be tempered against the study limitations, the primary of which was that the data were cross-sectional so causal inferences are limitedand longitudinal studies are needed. Given that chronological age is so highly correlated with DNAm age, this leaves little remaining variance in DNAm age estimates, and is likely a reason that effect sizes related to DNAm age residuals were small in magnitude. This is a challenge that all studies focused on accelerated (or decelerated) aging in DNAm will have to address and may require new statistical approaches for modeling epigenetic cellular age as distinct from chronological age. We also did not measure WBCs directly, thus these were estimated using a well validated and commonly employed method (Houseman et al., 2012), though the validity of this method in this specific sample is unknown. In addition, DNAm age was estimated from whole blood and concerns have been raised about the extent to which peripheral DNAm corresponds to DNAm in brain tissue (Tylee et al., 2013). These concerns are tempered in the context of using peripheral DNAm age as a biomarker of accelerated cellular aging, as opposed to an index of a specific brain mechanism. Moreover, Horvath (2013) demonstrated that his DNAm age estimates generalized to brain tissue (though to our knowledge this has not yet been evaluated for the Hannum model) andour results support the relevance of this peripheral marker to neural integrity. Together, these findings suggest that peripheral DNAm age estimates could prove to be as proxy for DNAm age in the brain. Finally, it is unclear the extent to which results will generalize to non-veterans, exclusively female samples, older cohorts, or other populations, or if these or other sample characteristics might moderate the observed associations; additional research is required to address these study limitations. Strengths of the study include the large sample size for neuroimaging genetics research, the well-assessed sample with respect to PTSD, the use of chip-based technologies for capturing DNAm and of DTI for capturing neural integrity, and our focus on a promising new index of age in association with both PTSD and neurodegeneration.

In conclusion, findings suggest that PTSD may levy a heavy toll on the body reflected, in part, as accelerated cellular aging in the epigenome. Accelerated aging as evidenced by advanced DNAm age estimates may be manifested indegradation in the neural integrity of microstructural cells in the genu and working memory deficits. This suggests that efforts to prevent PTSD in the acute aftermath of trauma exposure (i.e., secondary prevention; Maccani et al., 2012) have the potential to reduce the likelihood of not just PTSD, but of PTSD-related accelerated aging and adverse health consequences, such as pre-morbid medical conditions and neural and cognitive decline. Findings point to the clinical significance of PTSD-related accelerated aging and to the relevance of peripheral markers of DNAm to brain structure and neurocognitive performance.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported in part by NIMH grant R21MH102834 "Neuroimaging Genetics of PTSD" and the Translational Research Center for TBI and Stress Disorders (TRACTS), a VA Rehabilitation Research and Development Traumatic Brain Injury Center of Excellence (B9254-C), and the Cooperative Studies Program,

Department of Veterans Affairs. This research is the result of work supported with resources and the use of facilities at the Pharmacogenomics Analysis Laboratory, Research and Development Service, Central Arkansas Veterans Healthcare System, Little Rock, Arkansas. This work was also supported by a Career Development Award (no grant #) to Erika J. Wolf from the United States (U.S.) Department of Veterans Affairs, Clinical Sciences Research and Development Program. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the position or policy of the Department of Veterans Affairs or the United States Government

References

- Aboitiz F, Scheibel AB, Fisher RS, Zaidel E. Fiber composition of the human corpus callosum. Brain Res. 1992; 598:143–153. [PubMed: 1486477]
- Alves GS, O'Dwyer L, Jurcoane A, Oertel-Knöchel V, Prvulovic D, Sudo F, Alves CE, Valente L, Moreira D, Fußer F, Karakaya T, Pantel J, Engelhardt E, Laks J. Different patterns of white matter degeneration using multiple diffusion indices and volumetric data in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer patients. PLoS One. 2012; 7:e52859. [PubMed: 23300797]
- Aryee MJ, Jaffe AE, Corrada-Bravo H, Ladd-Acosta C, Feinberg AP, Hansen KD, Irizarry RA. Minfi: a flexible and comprehensive Bioconductor package for the analysis of Infinium DNA methylation microarrays. Bioinformatics. 2014; 30:1363–1369. [PubMed: 24478339]
- Bartzokis G. Age-related myelin breakdown: a developmental model of cognitive decline and Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol. Aging. 2004; 25:5–18. [PubMed: 14675724]
- Bennett IJ, Madden DJ, Vaidya CJ, Howard DV, Howard JH Jr. Age-related differences in multiple measures of white matter integrity: A diffusion tensor imaging study of healthy aging. Hum. Brain. Mapp. 2010; 31:378–390. [PubMed: 19662658]
- Blake DD, Weathers FW, Nagy LM, Kaloupek DG, Gusman FD, Charney DS, Keane TM. The development of a Clinician-Administered PTSD scale. J. Trauma. Stress. 1995; 8:75–90. [PubMed: 7712061]
- Boks MP, van Mierlo HC, Rutten BP, Radstake TR, De Witte L, Geuze E, Horvath S, Schalkwyk LC, Vinkers CH, Broen JC, Vermetten E. Longitudinal changes of telomere length and epigenetic age related to traumatic stress and post-traumatic stress disorder. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2015; 51:506–512. [PubMed: 25129579]
- Brickman AM, Meier IB, Korgaonkar MS, Provenzano FA, Grieve SM, Siedlecki KL, Wasserman BT, Williams LM, Zimmerman ME. Testing the white matter retrogenesis hypothesis of cognitive aging. Neurobiol. Aging. 2012; 33:1699–1715. [PubMed: 21783280]
- Bruffaerts R, Vilagut G, Demyttenaere K, Alonso J, Alhamzawi A, Andrade LH, Benjet C, Bromet E, Bunting B, de Girolamo G, Florescu S, Gureje O, Haro JM, He Y, Hinkov H, Hu C, Karam EG, Lepine JP, Levinson D, Matschinger H, Nakane Y, Ormel J, Posada-Villa J, Scott KM, Varghese M, Williams DR, Xavier M, Kessler RC. Role of common mental and physical disorders in partial disability around the world. Br. J. Psychiatry. 2012; 200:454–461. [PubMed: 22539779]
- Burgmans S, van Boxtel MP, Gronenschild EH, Vuurman EF, Hofman P, Uylings HB, Jolles J, Raz N. Multiple indicators of age-related differences in cerebral white matter and the modifying effects of hypertension. Neuroimage. 2010; 49:2083–2093. [PubMed: 19850136]
- Delis, DC.; Kaplan, E.; Kramer, JH. D-KEFs examiners and technical manual. Pearson Education; San Antonio, Texas: 2001.
- Di Paola M, Spalletta G, Caltagirone C. In vivo structural neuroanatomy of corpus callosum in Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment using different MRI techniques: a review. J. Alzheimers Dis. 2010; 20:67–95. [PubMed: 20164572]
- Epel ES. Psychological and metabolic stress: a recipe for accelerated aging? Hormones. 2009; 8:7–22. [PubMed: 19269917]
- Epel ES, Blackburn EH, Lin J, Dhabhar FS, Adler NE, Morrow JD, Cawthon RM. Accelerated telomere shortening in response to life stress. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2004; 101:17312– 17315. [PubMed: 15574496]
- Good CD, Johnsrude IS, Ashburner J, Henson RN, Friston KJ, Frackowiak RS. A voxel-based morphometric study of ageing in 465 normal adult human brains. Neuroimage. 2001; 14:21–36. [PubMed: 11525331]

- Hannum G, Guinney J, Zhao L, Zhang L, Hughes g. Sadda S, Klotzle B, Bibikova M, Fan JB, Gao Y, Deconde R, Chen M, Rajapakse I, Friend S, Ideker T, Zhang K. Genome-wide methylation profiles reveal quantitative views of human aging rates. Mol. Cell. 2013; 49:359–367. [PubMed: 23177740]
- Hofer S, Frahm J. Topography of the human corpus callosum revisited—comprehensive fiber tractography using diffusion tensor magnetic resonance imaging. Neuroimage. 2006; 32:989–994. [PubMed: 16854598]
- Horvath S. DNA methylation age of human tissues and cell types. Genome Biol. 2013; 14:R115. [PubMed: 24138928]
- Horvath S, Erhart W, Brosch M, Ammerpohl O, von Schönfels W, Ahrens M, Heits N, Bell JT, Tsai PC, Spector TD, Deloukas P, Siebert R, Sipos B, Becker T, Röcken C, Schafmayer C, Hampe J. Obesity accelerates epigenetic aging of human liver. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2014; 111:15538–15543. [PubMed: 25313081]
- Houseman EA, Accomando WP, Koestler DC, Christensen BC, Marsit CJ, Nelson HH, Wiencke JK, Kelsey KT. DNA methylation arrays as surrogate measures of cell mixture distribution. BMC Bioinformatics. 2012; 13:86. [PubMed: 22568884]
- Hu LT, Bentler PM. Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. Structural Equation Modeling. 1999; 6:1–55.
- Jaffe AE, Irizarry RA. Accounting for cellular heterogeneity is critical in epigenome-wide association studies. Genome Biol. 2014; 15:R31. [PubMed: 24495553]
- Jergovi M, Tomi evi M, Vidovi A, Bendelja K, Savi A, Vojvoda V, Rac D, Lovri avar D, Rabati S, Jovanovic T, Sabioncello A. Telomere shortening and immune activity in war veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol Biol. Psychiatry. 2014; 54:275– 283. [PubMed: 24977331]
- Kennedy KM, Raz N. Aging white matter and cognition: differential effects of regional variations in diffusion properties on memory, executive functions, and speed. Neuropsychologia. 2009; 47:916– 927. [PubMed: 19166865]
- King KS, Kozlitina J, Rosenberg RN, Peshock RM, McColl RW, Garcia CK. Effect of leukocyte telomere length on total and regional brain volumes in a large population-based cohort. JAMA Neurol. 2014; 71:1247–1254. [PubMed: 25090243]
- Kochunov P, Thompson PM, Lancaster JL, Bartzokis G, Smith S, Coyle T, Royall DR, Laird A, Fox PT. Relationship between white matter fractional anisotropy and other indices of cerebral health in normal aging: tract-based spatial statistics study of aging. Neuroimage. 2007; 35:478–487. [PubMed: 17292629]
- Kochunov P, Williamson DE, Lancaster J, Fox P, Cornell J, Blangero J, Glahn DC. Fractional anisotropy of water diffusion in cerebral white matter across the lifespan. Neurobiol. Aging. 2012; 33:9–20. [PubMed: 20122755]
- Lindqvist D, Epel ES, Mellon SH, Penninx BW, Révész D, Verhoeven JE, Reus VI, Lin J, Mahan L, Hough CM, Rosser R, Bersani FS, Blackburn EH, Wolkowitz OM. Psychiatric disorders and leukocyte telomere length: Underlying mechanisms linking mental illness with cellular aging. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 2015; 55:333–364. [PubMed: 25999120]
- Liu J, Sandoval J, Doh ST, Cai L, López-Rodas G, Casaccia P. Epigenetic modifiers are necessary but not sufficient for reprogramming non-myelinating cells into myelin gene-expressing cells. PLoS One. 2010; 5:e13023. [PubMed: 20885955]
- Logue MW, Smith AK, Baldwin C, Wolf EJ, Guffanti G, Melista E, Ratanatharathorn A, Stone A, Schichman S, Humphries D, Binder EB, Arloth J, Menke A, Uddin M, Wildman D, Galea S, Aiello AE, Koenen KC, Miller MW. A transcriptome-wide analysis of gene expression in PTSD implicates genes involved in the glucocorticoid receptor network and neural responses to stress. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2015; 57:1–13. [PubMed: 25867994]
- Lohr JB, Palmer BW, Eidt CA, Aailaboyina S, Mausbach B, Wolkowitz OM, Thorp SR, Jeste DV. Is post-traumatic stress disorder associated with premature senescence? A review of the literature. Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry. 2015; 23:709–725. [PubMed: 25959921]

- Lu PH, Lee GJ, Tishler TA, Meghpara M, Thompson PM, Bartzokis G. Myelin breakdown mediates age-related slowing in cognitive processing speed in healthy elderly men. Brain Cogn. 2013; 81:131–138. [PubMed: 23195704]
- Maccani MA, Delahanty DL, Nugent NR, Berkowitz SJ. Pharmacological secondary prevention of PTSD in youth: challenges and opportunities for advancement. J Trauma Stress. 2012; 25:543– 550. [PubMed: 23073974]
- Marioni RE, Shah S, McRae AF, Chen BH, Colicino E, Harris SE, Gibson J, Henders AK, Redmond P, Cox SR, Pattie A, Corley J, Murphy L, Martin NG, Montogomery GW, Feinberg AP, Fallin MD, Multhaup ML, Jaffe AE, Joehanes R, Schwartz J, Just AC, Lunetta KL, Murabito JM, Starr JM, Horvath S, Baccarelli AA, Levy D, Visscher PM, Wray NR, Dreary IJ. DNA methylation age of blood predicts all-cause mortality in later life. Genome Biol. 2015a; 16:25. [PubMed: 25633388]
- Marioni RE, Shah S, McRae AF, Ritchie SJ, Muniz-Terrera G, Harris SE, Gibson J, Redmond P, Cox SR, Pattie A, Corley J, Taylor A, Murphy L, Starr JM, Horvath S, Visscher PM, Wray NR, Deary IJ. The epigenetic clock is correlated with physical and cognitive fitness in the Lothian Birth Cohort 1936. Int. J. Epidemiol. 2015b epub ahead of print.doi: 10.1093/ije/dyu277.
- Miller MW, Sadeh N. Traumatic stress, oxidative stress and post-traumatic stress disorder: neurodegeneration and the accelerated-aging hypothesis. Mol. Psychiatry. 2014; 19:1156–1162. [PubMed: 25245500]
- Moreno-Villanueva M, Morath J, Vanhooren V, Elbert T, Kolassa S, Libert C, Bürkle A, Kolassa IT. N-glycosylation profiling of plasma provides evidence for accelerated physiological aging in posttraumatic stress disorder. Transl. Psychiatry. 2013; 3:e320. [PubMed: 24169639]
- Muthén, LK.; Muthén, BO. Mplus user's guide. Muthén & Muthén; Los Angeles, California: 2012.
- Park DC, Lautenschlager G, Hedden T, Davidson NS, Smith AD, Smith PK. Models of visuospatial and verbal memory across the adult life span. Psychol. Aging. 2002; 17:299–320. [PubMed: 12061414]
- Pfefferbaum A, Sullivan EV, Hedehus M, Lim KO, Adalsteinsson E, Moseley M. Age-related decline in brain white matter anisotropy measured with spatially corrected echo-planar diffusion tensor imaging. Magn. Reson. Med. 2000; 44:259–268. [PubMed: 10918325]
- Qureshi SU, Kimbrell T, Pyne JM, Magruder KM, Hudson TJ, Petersen NJ, Yu HJ, Schulz PE, Kunik ME. Greater prevalence and incidence of dementia in older veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 2010; 58:1627–1633. [PubMed: 20863321]
- Resnick SM, Pham DL, Kraut MA, Zonderman AB, Davatzikos C. Longitudinal magnetic resonance imaging studies of older adults: a shrinking brain. J. Neurosci. 2003; 23:3295–3301. [PubMed: 12716936]
- Salat DH, Buckner RL, Snyder AZ, Greve DN, Desikan RS, Busa E, Morris JC, Dale AM, Fischl B. Thinning of the cerebral cortex in aging. Cereb. Cortex. 2004; 14:721–730. [PubMed: 15054051]
- Salat DH, Tuch DS, Greve DN, van der Kouwe AJ, Hevelone ND, Zaleta AK, Rosen BR, Fischl B, Corkin S, Rosas HD, Dale AM. Age-related alterations in white matter microstructure measured by diffusion tensor imaging. Neurobiol. Aging. 2005a; 26:1215–1227. [PubMed: 15917106]
- Salat DH, Tuch DS, Hevelone ND, Fischl B, Corkin S, Rosas HD, Dale AM. Age-related changes in prefrontal white matter measured by diffusion tensor imaging. Ann. NY. Acad. Sci. 2005b; 1064:37–49. [PubMed: 16394146]
- Stricker NH, Salat DH, Kuhn TP, Foley JM, Price JS, Westlye LT, Esterman MS, McGlinchey RE, Milberg WP, Leritz EC. Mild cognitive impairment is associated with white matter integrity changes in late-myelinating regions within the corpus callosum. Am. J. Alzheimers Dis. Other Demen. 2015 epub ahead of print.doi: 10.1177/1533317515578257.
- Teschendorff AE, Marabita F, Lechner M, Bartlett T, Tegner J, Gomez-Cabrero D, Beck S. A betamixture quantile normalization method for correcting probe design bias in Illumina Infinium 450k DNA methylation data. Bioinformatics. 2013; 29:189–196. [PubMed: 23175756]
- Tylee DS, Kawaguchi DM, Glatt SJ. On the outside, looking in : A review and evaluation of the comparability of blood and brain "-omes". Am. J. Med. Genet. B Neuropsychiatr. Genet. 2013; 162B:595–603. [PubMed: 24132893]

- Tyrka AR, Parade SH, Price LH, Kao HT, Porton B, Philip NS, Welch ES, Carpenter LL. Alterations of mitochondrial DNA copy number and telomere length with early adversity and psychopathology. Biol. Psychiatry. 2015 epub ahead of print.doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.12.025.
- Voineskos AN, Rajji TK, Lobaugh NJ, Miranda D, Shenton ME, Kennedy JL, Pollock BG, Mulsant BH. Age-related decline in white matter tract integrity and cognitive performance: a DTI tractography and structural equation modeling study. Neurobiol. Aging. 2012; 33:21–34. [PubMed: 20363050]
- Weathers FW, Ruscio AM, Keane TM. Psychometric properties of nine scoring rules for the Clinician-Administered Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Scale. Psychol. Assess. 1999; 11:124–133.
- Wechsler, D. Manual for the Wechsler adult intelligence scale-III. Psychological Corporation; San Antonio, Texas: 1997.
- Williamson JB, Porges EC, Lamb DG, Porges SW. Maladaptive autonomic regulation in PTSD accelerates physiological aging. Front. Psychol. 2015; 5:1571. [PubMed: 25653631]
- Zahr NM, Rohlfing T, Pfefferbaum A, Sullivan EV. Problem solving, working memory, and motor correlates of association and commissural fiber bundles in normal aging: a quantitative fiber tracking study. Neuroimage. 2009; 44:1050–1062. [PubMed: 18977450]
- Zhang L, Hu XZ, Benedek DM, Fullerton CS, Forsten RD, Naifeh JA, Li X, Li H, Benevides KN, Smerin S, Le T, Choi K, Ursano RJ. The interaction between stressful life events and leukocyte telomere length is associated with PTSD. Mol. Psychiatry. 2014; 19:855–856. [PubMed: 24189343]

Highlights

- Cellular age can be indexed by DNA methylation and may be advanced relative to chronological age due to environmental factors.
- Among 281 Iraq/Afghanistan veterans, we found PTSD severity to be associated with accelerated DNA methylation age.
- Accelerated DNA methylation age was also associated with reduced neuralintegrity in the genu of the corpus callosum.
- Accelerated DNA methylation age was indirectly linked to poorer working memory via reduced integrity of the genu.
- This highlights the clinical significance of PTSD-related accelerated aging with respect to neural integrity and cognition.



Figure 1.

The figure shows the path model in which advanced DNAm age residuals were associated with poorer working memory performance via FA in the genu. All paths are fully standardized. The factor loadings on the working memory latent variable were significant at the p .001 level. The working memory variable was keyed such that higher scores reflected improved working memory. Correlated residuals among subtests from the same neuropsychological measure were specified, as denoted by curved double headed arrows. DNAm = DNA methylation; PC = principal component; CD8T = CD8 t-cells; CD4T = CD4 t-cells, NK = natural killer cell, Mon = monocytes; FA = fractional anisotropy; Num = number; CWI = Color-Word Interference Test; Inh = inhibition; Switch = switching. *p < . 05.**p < .001.

Φ	
ο	
g	
F	

Model	$\mathbf{X}^{2}(df)$	RMSEA	SRMR	CFI	TLI
Lifetime PTSD Factor (measurement model) a	$0^{**}(0)$	0	0	1.0	1.0
PTSD→DNAm Res (Hannum & Horvath) ^a	$0^{**}_{(0)}$	0	0	1.0	1.0
DNAm Res→ Right Frontal (FA)	97.54 [*] (71)	.039	.044	980.	.957
DNAm Res→ Left Frontal (FA)	87.24 (71)	.031	.038	.986	696.
DNAm Res→Genu (FA)	8.97 (8)	.022	.021	.976	.943
DNAm Res→Genu (RD)	9.52 (8)	.028	.022	.957	.90
DNAm Res→Genu (ADC)	10.75 (8)	.038	.023	.888	.733
DNAm Res→Genu (AxD)	6.32 (8)	<.001	.017	1.00	1.25
Working Memory Factor (measurement model)	7.63 (7)	.020	.023	866.	.993
DNAm Res→ Genu (FA)→Working Memory	$121.60^{*}(89)$.041	.053	.928	.905

DNA methylation residuals; RMSEA = root mean square error of approximation; SRMR = standardized root mean square residual; CFI = confirmatory fit index; TLI = Tucker-Lewis index; FA = fractional sults for the Horvath model are listed in the Supplementary Materials). DNAm Res = anisotropy; RD = radial diffusivity; ADC = apparent diffusion coefficient; AxD = axial diffusivity.

aThese models are just identified (i.e., df = 0), thus they will always achieve perfect fit.

* *p<*.05.

** *p<*.001.

Table 2

Unstandardized and Standardized Direct Effects from the Models Predicting DNAm Age Residuals

	DNAm Age Resi	duals (Hannum)	DNAm Age Resi	duals (Horvath)
Predictor	Unst. B (SE)	Std. B (SE)	Unst. B (SE)	Std. β (SE)
Sex	01 (.01)	06 (.06)	001 (.01)	01 (.06)
PC1	04 (.04)	06 (.05)	.08 [*] (.04)	.12 [*] (.05)
PC2	.004 (.04)	.01 (.05)	.03 (.04)	.04 (.06)
CD8T	01 (.07)	01(.06)	.05 (.06)	.05 (.06)
CD4T	14 (.05)	16 (.06)	07 (.05)	09 (.06)
NK	(60.) 60.	.07 (.07)	.11 (.09)	.10 (.08)
B cells	12 (.10)	07 (.06)	23 [*] (.11)	15*(.07)
Mono	.20 (.12)	.11 (.07)	.03 (.10)	.02 (.06)
Lifetime PTSD Severity	$.02^{*}(.01)$.13 [*] (.06)	.002 (.01)	.02 (.06)

CD4 t-cells, NK = natural killer cells, Mono = monocytes; PTSD = posttraumatic stress -*Note:* SE = statidated effort; FC = principal component (not ancestral variation); CD disorder; Unst = unstandardized; std = standardized, DNAm = DNA methylation.

p < .05.

Wolf et al.

-
-
<u> </u>
_
_
\sim
-
\geq
a
la
lan
lanu
lanu
lanus
lanus
lanus
lanusc
lanuscr
lanuscri
lanuscrip
Anuscrip

Table 3

Estimates for Hannum Models Predicting Left and Right Hemisphere Frontal Cortex Parcellations

			Predi	ictor		
	DNAm Age Resi	iduals (Hannum)	Age		Sex	
Dependent Variable (L/R)	Unst. β (SE)	Std. B (SE)	Unst. B (SE)	Std. B (SE)	Unst. B (SE)	Std.β(SE)
Caudal ACC	50/07 (.43/.09)	08/04 (.07/.06)	.00/.00 (.002/.001)	01/01 (.06/.07)	04/01 (.05/.01)	04/05 (.06/.06)
Central Middle Frontal Cortex	05/.02 (.04/.04)	07/.03 (.07/.07)	001 */.00 (.00/.00)	16 */06 (.07/.08)	01 */004 (.01/.004)	12 */04 (.05/.05)
Lateral Orbital Frontal Cortex	02/03 (.04/.03)	03/05 (.07/.06)	$001^{***}/001^{***}(.00/.00)$	27 *** (.07/.06)	01/01 ^a (.003/.01)	07/12 ^a (.04/.06)
Medial Orbital Frontal Cortex	05/04 (.05/.05)	07/06 (.07/.06)	$.00^{a}/.00$ (.00/.00)	13 ^{<i>a</i>} /13 (.07/.07)	01/01 (.01/.01)	07/06 (.05/.06)
Pars Opercularis	06/05 (.04/.05)	09/07 (.07/.07)	$001^{***},001^{***}, (.00/.00)$	31 */30 *** (.07/.07)	01/003 (.004/.01)	07/10 (.05/.05)
Pars Orbitalis	02/15 (.06/.12)	02/08 (.06/.06)	$001^{b}/001$ (.00/.001)	19 ^b /07 (.06/.06)	01/03 (.01/.02)	09/10 (.07/.06)
Pars Triangularis	.01/01 (.04/.05)	.01/02 (.06/.07)	$001^{b/001}^{***}$ (.00/.00)	$23^{b/27}^{***}$ (.07/.06)	002/.002 (.01/.01)	02/.02 (.05/.06)
Rostral ACC	20 */09 (.09/.08)	13 */07 (.06/.07)	001 */.00 (.00/.00)	12 */01 (.06/.07)	01/02 [*] (.01/.01)	03/11* (.06/.06)
Rostral Middle Frontal Cortex	08 */04 (.04/.04)	13 */06 (.07/.07)	$001^{**} /001^{***} (.00/.00)$	$23^{b/28}^{***}$ (.07/.07)	$01/01^{*}$ (.01/.01)	11/13* (.06/.06)
Superior Frontal Gyrus	05/05 (.04/.04)	09/08 (.07/.07)	$.00^{*}_{00}$ (.00/.00)	16 */16 * (.07/.08)	01 */01 (.004/.004)	12 */09 (.05/.05)
<i>Note.</i> The first value presented in methylation; Unst = unstandardiz	each cell is for the left ed; Std = standardized;	hemisphere and the secc ACC = anterior cingulat	ond value listed is for the right he te cortex; SE = standard error.	misphere. All parcellations ar	e indexed by fractional an	isotropy. DNAm = Dl

 $\begin{array}{c} & *\\ & p < .05.\\ & **\\ & p < .01.\\ & p < .001.\\ & p = .05.\\ & p = .001. \end{array}$

Psychoneuroendocrinology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 January 01.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Unstandardized and Standardized Effects from the Hannum Models Predicting Neural Integrity of the Genu

Predictor Unst. β (SE) Std. β (PredictorUnst. β (SE)Sex.01 (.01)Age 001^{***} (.00)More. FA = fractional 16^{*} (.06)Vore. FA = fractional anisotropy; RD = ra	Inst. β (SE) Std. β (SE) .01 (.01) .07 (.05) .01 (.00) 28 ^{***} (.06) .16 [*] (.06) 17 ^{**} (.07) .vv: RD = radial diffusivity: Al	Unst. β (SE) 01 (.01) .002 *** (.00) .24 *(.09) OC = apparent diff	Std. β (SE) 07 (.06) 23 ^{***} (.06) 18 ^{**} (.07) .usion coefficier	Unst. β (SE) 01 (.01) .001 (.00) .22 ** (.08) nt; AXD = axial	Std. β (SE) 06 (.06) .10 (.07) .19 ^{**} (.07)	Unst. β (SE) 002 (.02) 001 * (.001) .17 (.11) Am = DNA me	Std. β (SE) 01 (.07) 16 [*] (.07) .10 (.07) thylation; Unst
Sex $.01(.01)$ $.07(.05)$ $01(.01)$ $.07(.05)$ $01(.01)$ $06(.06)$ $002(.02)$ $01(.07)$ Age $001^{***}(.00)$ $28^{***}(.06)$ $.002^{***}(.00)$ $.23^{***}(.06)$ $.001(.00)$ $.10(.07)$ $001^{*}(.001)$ $16^{*}(.07)$ DNAm Age Residuals $16^{*}(.06)$ $17^{**}(.07)$ $.24^{**}(.09)$ $.18^{**}(.07)$ $.22^{**}(.08)$ $.19^{**}(.07)$ $.17(.11)$ $.10(.07)$	Sex $01 (.01)$ Age $001^{***} (.00)$ DNAm Age Residuals $16^{*} (.06)$ <i>lote.</i> FA = fractional anisotropy; RD = rational anisotropy; RD = ratio	.01 (.01) .07 (.05) 01 *** (.00)28 **. (.06) .16 * (.06)17 ** (.07) .vv RD = radial diffusivity: Al	01 (.01) .002 *** (.00) .24 ** (.09) DC = apparent diff	07 (.06) .23 *** (.06) .18 * (.07) utsion coefficien	01 (.01) .001 (.00) .22 ^{**} (.08) nt; AxD = axial	06 (.06) .10 (.07) .19 ^{**} (.07) liffusivity; DN	002 (.02) 001 * (.001) .17 (.11) Am = DNA me	01 (.07) 16 *(.07) .10 (.07)
Age 001^{***} (.00) 28^{***} (.06) $.002^{***}$ (.06) $.23^{***}$ (.06) $.001 (.00)$ $.10 (.07)$ 001^{*} (.001) 16^{*} (.07) DNAm Age Residuals 16^{*} (.06) 17^{**} (.07) $.24^{**}$ (.09) $.18^{**}$ (.07) $.22^{**}$ (.08) $.19^{**}$ (.07) $.10 (.07)$	Age 001^{***} (.00) DNAm Age Residuals 16^{*} (.06) <i>lote</i> . FA = fractional anisotropy; RD = ra tandard error.	01 *** (.00)28 *** (.06) .16 * (.06)17 ** (.07) RD = radial diffusivity. Al	.002 *** (.00) .24 ** (.09) DC = apparent diff	.23 *** (.06) .18 * (.07) `usion coefficier	.001 (.00) .22 ^{**} (.08) nt; AxD = axial	.10 (.07) .19 ^{**} (.07) liffusivity; DN	001 * (.001) .17 (.11) Am = DNA me	16 [*] (.07) .10 (.07) thylation; Unst
$DNAm \operatorname{Age} \operatorname{Residuals}16^{*}(.06)17^{**}(.07)24^{**}(.09)18^{**}(.07)22^{**}(.08)19^{**}(.07)17(.11)10(.07)$	DNAm Age Residuals 16^{*} (.06) <i>lote</i> . FA = fractional anisotropy; RD = ratadard error.	$.16^{*}$ (.06) 17^{**} (.07) w RD = radial diffusivity Al	.24 ^{**} (.09) DC = apparent diff	.18 ^{**} (.07) .usion coefficier	.22 ^{**} (.08) nt; AxD = axial	.19 ^{**} (.07) liffusivity; DN	.17 (.11) Am = DNA me	.10 (.07) thylation; Unst
	<i>lote</i> . FA = fractional anisotropy; RD = ra tandard error.	w RD = radial diffusivity)C = apparent diff	usion coefficier	nt; AxD = axial	liffusivity; DN	Am = DNA me	thylation; Unst
standard error.	* <i>p</i> <.05.							
standard error. p < .05.	** <i>p</i> <.01.							
standard error. p < .05. p < .01.	*** n< .001.							