DNA topoisomerase I is essential in Drosophila melanogaster

(gene mapping/gene cloning/transposon mutagenesis/genetic complementation/developmental expression)

MAXWELL P. LEE, SHERYL D. BROWN, ALICE CHEN, AND TAO-SHIH HSIEH

Department of Biochemistry, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710

Communicated by James C. Wang, April 22, 1993 (received for review March 6, 1993)

ABSTRACT Both biochemical and genetic experiments suggest that the type I DNA topoisomerase may participate in DNA replication, recombination, transcription, and other aspects of DNA metabolism. Despite its apparent importance, genetic studies in unicellular organisms including eubacteria and yeasts indicate that topoisomerase I is not essential for viability. We have previously isolated the cDNA clone encoding DNA topoisomerase I from Drosophila melanogaster. We report here the cytogenetic mapping of top1 to the X chromosome at 13C1 and isolation of top1 genomic DNA. Using P-element mutagenesis, we have isolated a mutant deficient in Drosophila topoisomerase I functions. Genetic studies of this mutant show that topoisomerase I is essential for the growth and development of the fruit fly, a multicellular organism. The biological functions of topoisomerase I are inferred from our analysis of the regulation of topoisomerase I expression during Drosophila development.

Both type I and II DNA topoisomerases are ubiquitous in nature. These enzymes can reversibly break DNA backbone bonds and thereby alter the higher order structure of DNA and chromosomes. DNA topoisomerases play a critical role in all aspects of DNA metabolism, including replication, transcription, and recombination (1-3). While genetic analysis indicates that type II DNA topoisomerases are essential in both eubacteria and yeast, it appears that type I enzymes are not necessary for the viability of these organisms (4). Mutations in the genes encoding bacterial type I DNA topoisomerases are not lethal (5, 6). However, bacteria carrying a tight mutation such as a deletion of topA, a gene encoding the most abundant topoisomerase I in bacterial cells, usually acquire an extragenic suppressor mutation (7-9), suggesting an important role of topA in the normal growth of bacteria. Both the budding and fission yeasts can tolerate mutations in the genes encoding type I DNA topoisomerases (10-13), again indicating the nonessentiality of topoisomerase I in these unicellular eukaryotic organisms.

Recent studies reveal several possible functions of DNA topoisomerase I inside yeast cells. Although yeast cells are viable with mutations in top1, a gene that encodes the major topoisomerase I activity in yeast, they grow at a slower rate than wild-type cells (12, 13). An apparent biological function of topoisomerase I is to provide a DNA swivel during replication and transcription. Genetic studies of yeast top2 mutants indicate that DNA topoisomerase II is required to segregate the newly replicated daughter chromosomes (10, 14, 15). Whereas the lethality of temperature-sensitive (ts) top2^{ts} mutants at the nonpermissive temperature occurs during mitosis, top1/top2^{ts} double mutants, when shifted to the restrictive temperature, are arrested immediately at any stage of cell cycle (10, 11, 16). It has been shown that both synthesis of DNA and transcription of rRNA-encoding DNA (rDNA) are greatly diminished in top1/top2 double mutants,

but mRNA and tRNA synthesis are affected only 3-fold (16, 17). Based on the above studies, it is suggested that either topoisomerase I or topoisomerase II can serve as DNA swivels to relieve the torsional stress generated during DNA replication or transcription, especially at a highly transcribed locus like rDNA. In supporting the notion that DNA topoisomerase I is involved in DNA replication, it has been demonstrated that DNA topoisomerase I plays a role in the elongation of short nascent DNA chains (18). Involvement of DNA topoisomerase I in transcription is also supported by the reports demonstrating the physical association of Drosophila DNA topoisomerase I with actively transcribed regions in the genome (19, 20). Another possible function of DNA topoisomerase I in the process of transcription is associated with the repression of gene expression at the stationary phase of yeast cells (21). It is suggested that topoisomerase I may have an important role to alter the structure of chromatin or transcription machinery during the diauxic shift of yeast cell cycle. DNA topoisomerase I has also been implicated in the recombination pathway of yeast cells. One of the phenotypes associated with the top1 mutation is the increased mitotic recombination at the rDNA locus (22, 23). This locus is unique in that it contains 200 tandem repeats of a highly transcribed rDNA unit. Therefore, DNA topoisomerase I may suppress recombination among the repetitive DNA sequence to maintain genome stability in the rDNA locus. Another type I DNA topoisomerase, TOP3, is identified by a mutation that increases the recombination between repeated δ elements of yeast transposon Ty (13). Sequence analysis of TOP3 indicated that it is homologous to Escherichia coli DNA topoisomerase I. The top3 mutant is viable, but it also shows a slow growth phenotype (13). Interestingly, the top1/top3 double mutant is viable, but it grows more slowly than either of the single mutants (13).

We are interested in extending the functional studies of DNA topoisomerases to a multicellular organism; to this end, we have isolated the gene coding for DNA topoisomerase I from *Drosophila melanogaster* (24). We report here the chromosomal location of DNA topoisomerase I, isolation of *top1* genomic DNA, isolation and characterization of a mutant deficient in topoisomerase I functions, and determination of the pattern of expression of topoisomerase I protein and RNA at various stages of development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In Situ Hybridization. The salivary glands from the late third instar larvae were dissected and squashed on slides as described (25, 26). Biotinylated probes were prepared for top1 cDNA and P element DNA. The probes were detected with avidin peroxidase complex (Enzo Biochem).

Cloning and Sequencing. All of the cloning and sequencing experiments were performed as described (24). A radioactive DNA probe made by nick translation of a top1 cDNA was

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "*advertisement*" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviations: ts, temperature sensitive; rDNA, rRNA-encoding DNA.

used to screen two *Drosophila melanogaster* genomic libraries, one cloned in the λ EMBL4 vector and the other constructed in the λ Gem12 vector (a generous gift of B. Judd). Among the positive recombinant phages isolated from both libraries, two of them with the longest inserts, λ gtop1-1 and λ gtop1-2, were further analyzed by subcloning and sequencing.

Drosophila Strains and Crosses. Balancer chromosomes and genetic markers of *Drosophila* strains are as described by Lindsley and Zimm (27). Strain 438 was from the Bloomington Stock Center, Bloomington, IN. Flies were grown at 22°C either on standard cornmeal/agar medium or "Instant *Drosophila* Medium" (28). Crosses presented in Tables 1 and 2 were set up on day 0, parents were removed on day 8, and F_1 flies were counted on days 13, 16, and 19.

Mutagenesis. Using the protocol described by Robertson *et al.* (29), we generated the *top1* mutant 77, by providing a source of genomic transposase in trans to mobilize the 438 P element:

 $P \text{ (mass) } FM7/Y ; ry^{506}/ry^{506} \times +/+; P[ry^+ \Delta 2-3] Sb/TM6$

F₁ (mass) 438P[13C ry⁺]/Y; ry⁵⁰⁶/ry⁵⁰⁶

 \times FM7/ + ; P[ry + $\Delta 2-3$] Sb/ry⁵⁰⁶

 F_2 (mass) *FM7*/Y; ry^{506}/ry^{506}

 \times FM7/438P[13C ry⁺]; P[ry⁺ $\Delta 2$ -3] Sb/ry⁵⁰⁶

F₃ (single) FM7/Y; $ry^{506}/ry^{506} \times FM7/438^*$; ry^{506}/ry^{506}

 F_4 score for the absence of $438^*/Y$.

FM7 is an X chromosome balancer and *TM6* is a third chromosome balancer. We screened for putative *top1* mutants based on three criteria: (*i*) selecting for $F_3 ry^-$ daughters from *FM7/438**; ry^{506}/ry^{506} , which lack the transposase source $P[ry^+ \Delta 2-3]$ and have lost ry^+ at 13C (denoted as 438* here); (*ii*) testing for male lethal phenotype in F_4 ; (*iii*) DNA changes detected by the polymerase chain reaction using the primers flanking the *P* element insertion site. The expected amplification product from a fly that has undergone precise excision of the *P* element is a 1 kilobase (kb) DNA, whereas products with altered sizes or no amplification product suggest the presence of imprecise excision events. After screening about 100,000 flies, we isolated one putative *top1* mutant, 77, which was male lethal.

RNA (Northern) Blots and Immunoblots (Western Blots). Samples were collected from each of the following stages of the Drosophila life cycle: embryos with postoviposition ages of 0-2 h, 2-6 h, 6-12 h, and 12-20 h, first instar larva, second instar larva, third instar larva, pupa, and adult. To isolate total protein, ≈ 0.1 g of each frozen, pulverized sample was homogenized in 0.35 ml of 50 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.0) containing 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.5% Triton X-100, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 2 μ g of leupeptin and 2 μ g of pepstatin per ml. The protein concentration of the supernatant after pelleting was determined by Coomassie dye binding assay. An equal volume of lysed sample and SDS sample buffer was mixed together, boiled for 2 min, and pelleted. Each protein sample ($\approx 100 \ \mu g$) was run on an SDS/7% polyacrylamide gel (30), and immunoblot analysis was performed as described (31). Total RNA was isolated by homogenizing ≈ 1 g of each frozen, pulver-ized sample in 10–15 ml of extraction buffer consisting of an equal-volume mixture of phenol and a buffer containing 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 20 mM EDTA, and 1% SDS. After centrifugation, the aqueous phase was extracted twice with

phenol and once with a mixture of phenol/chloroform/ isoamyl alcohol, 25:25:1 (vol/vol). This nucleic acid mixture, containing mostly RNA, was precipitated with ethanol, and concentrations were determined by absorbance of 260 nm. Approximately 5 μ g of total RNA from each sample was fractionated by electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel containing formaldehyde and analyzed by blot hybridization (32).

RESULTS

Chromosomal Location of Drosophila top1 Gene. To identify the genetic locus for DNA topoisomerase I in Drosophila, we cloned the cDNA sequence coding for this enzyme. Both homology of the predicted amino acid sequence and biochemical data confirmed the identity of the cloned sequence (24). Using the top1 cDNA clone as a molecular probe, we mapped the top1 gene to cytological position 13C1 on the X chromosome (Fig. 1). There was no known mutant at that cytological locus, which appeared to affect DNA topoisomerase I function. However, de Cicco and Spradling had generated a fly strain that carries a single transposable P element insertion at this cytological location (33). The strain is referred to as 438 here. In situ hybridization experiments using the 438 fly confirmed cytogenetic colocalization of a single P element and the top1 gene at the chromosome band 13C1 (data not shown).

Isolation of top1 Genomic DNA and Molecular Mapping of the P Element. To find out whether the P element in 438 flies is located in the topl gene at the molecular level, we proceeded to isolate and characterize the genomic DNA containing the top1 gene. Using top1 cDNA probe, we obtained several overlapping genomic DNA clones covering about a 20-kb region (Fig. 2). Restriction mapping and nucleotide sequence analysis indicate that the topl gene consists of eight exons and seven introns. We initially mapped the P element in 438 flies by genomic Southern blots, and the restriction digestion data suggested that the top1 locus in 438 harbored an insertional DNA element (data not shown). We have subsequently designed primers and utilized polymerase chain reaction to amplify the junction sequence. Sequence analysis of the junction revealed that the P element insertion created an 8-bp duplication underlined in the following sequence: 5'-ATATAATGTGAACGCAAA-3'. The first A corresponds to nucleotide 4799 of the longer cDNA, which is 5132 base pairs (bp) in length excluding poly(A) sequences (24). Therefore, in 438 flies, the P element insertion is mapped in the untranslated region of top1 at a position



FIG. 1. In situ hybridization of the top1 cDNA probe to the salivary gland polytene chromosomes. The top1 gene is mapped to 13C1 on the X chromosome as indicated by the arrow.



FIG. 2. A physical map showing the structure of the *Drosophila top1* gene. λ gtop1-1 and λ gtop1-2 are the two isolated genomic clones. They overlap and cover a 20-kb segment of genomic DNA containing the *Drosophila top1* gene. Some of the mapped restriction sites are shown as S (*Spe I*), B (*BamHI*), and R (*EcoRI*). The nucleotide sequence between *Spe I* and the proximal *BamHI* has been determined and analyzed. The stippled and open boxes represent the exons and introns, respectively. The structures of cDNAs have been described (24). Two poly(A) sites of the two isolated cDNAs are indicated by "An." The protein coding region is marked by the initiation codon AUG and the termination codon UAA. The *P* element insertion site at the *top1* locus of 438 is marked with an arrow. p[*top1*] contains a 10-kb *Spe I–BamHI* fragment subcloned into *Xba I/BamHI* sites of the CaSpeR vector.

of 1634 bp downstream from the TAA termination codon (Fig. 2).

Isolation of a top1 Mutant. Both male and homozygous female 438 flies were viable and fertile. To generate flies with lesions in the *top1* locus and with the possible phenotypes of lethality or sterility, we carried out genetic crosses to remobilize the resident P element in the X chromosome of the fly 438. Imprecise excision events occasionally occur during P element transposition and thus result in deletion or insertion mutations at the P element locus (34, 35). Using this mutagenesis method, we isolated a putative topl mutant, 77, which exhibited a male lethal phenotype (see Materials and Methods). We were not able to recover any male fly carrying this 77 X chromosome, and the 77 X chromosome was passed from the mother to the daughter flies. 77 had an altered top1 locus in comparison with the X chromosomes from either wild type or the 438 fly. The initial molecular mapping experiment indicated that the top1 mutant 77 contained a 3-kb insertion in the 3' untranslated region of top1. The context of DNA sequence surrounding the insertion site in 77 mutant X chromosome is different from that in the mutant 438 chromosome, which may be responsible for the difference of phenotypes observed for these two strains. However, further experiments will be needed to examine whether any sequence changes also exist in other regions of top1.

Rescue of Lethal Phenotype of 77 by an Ectopic top1 Copy. To test whether the lethality of strain 77 was due to a lesion in the top1 gene, we attempted to rescue the lethal phenotype of 77 with an ectopic copy of the cloned wild-type top1 gene in transgenic fly strains. A 10-kb genomic DNA fragment between Spe I and BamHI sites, containing the entire top1 transcribed region plus sequence 1.3 kb upstream and 0.5 kb downstream (Fig. 2), was subcloned into the CaSpeR P element vector (36). This 10-kb fragment likely contained no gene other than top1 since our initial sequence analysis of this region revealed no significant open reading frame except for that of topoisomerase I (data not shown). Furthermore, developmental Northern hybridization experiments using this 10-kb genomic DNA as probe yielded identical patterns to those shown in Fig. 3, which were obtained with the top1 cDNA as probes. We microinjected the P element vector containing this cloned top1 genomic sequence into Drosophila embryos using the established transformation procedure

(38, 39) and screened for transformants carrying the ectopic top1 gene on the second chromosome. Genetic crosses were carried out to test whether the cloned top1 on the second chromosome could rescue the lethal phenotype of 77 (Table 1). We observed all of the progeny from the cross shown in Table 1, except for those with the genotype 77/Y; Sco/CyO, again demonstrating the lethality of 77. In a marked contrast, flies of 77/Y; p[top1]/Sco or CyO were recovered, thus establishing that an ectopic copy of top1 could rescue the lethality of 77. Since we obtained flies of 77/Y; p[top1]/CyO, we could further test whether 77/77 females were viable. It was clear from the cross shown in Table 2 that 77/77; Sco/CyO flies were not viable, while 77/77; p[top1]/Sco or CyO flies survived. Therefore, these genetic crosses have demonstrated that the mutation of 77 is due to a lesion in the top1 gene and that topoisomerase I is essential in both male and female Drosophila.

Developmental Expression of DNA Topoisomerase I. The possible essential functions of DNA topoisomerase I were investigated by examining the regulation of DNA topoisomerase I expression during Drosophila development (Fig. 3). A substantial amount of topoisomerase I protein was present in the 0-2 h embryos, and the expression was maximal in 6- to 12-h embryos (Fig. 3 A and C). Northern blot analysis indicated that there were two populations of top1 mRNAone with a size of 5.2 kb and another that was heterogeneous with an average size of 4.0 kb (Fig. 3B). At least some of the 4.0-kb messages were generated by using a polyadenylylation site upstream to that of the 5.2-kb RNA (ref. 24; see also Fig. 2). The 5.2-kb transcript followed the profile of topoisomerase I protein in that it reached the maximal level in 6- to 12-h embryos and was very low in the third instar larval stage (Fig. 3 B and C). In contrast to the protein expression, the 5.2-kb message increased more significantly during the pupal/adult stage. The expression of the 4.0-kb transcript was mostly restricted to early embryos and adult (Fig. 3 B and C). The abundance of the top1 protein and message in the first 2 h of embryonic development suggested that they were maternally derived (40, 41). Further analysis of *top1* expression in adult tissues indicated that both the protein and RNA expression were concentrated in the ovaries, confirming the contribution of the maternal expression and storage (data not shown). The maximal expression of top1, which was, however, in the 6- to



FIG. 3. Developmental expression profile of Drosophila top1 protein and RNA. Immunoblot analysis (A) and Northern blot analysis (B) during Drosophila development. Marked on the right are the positions of the 135-kDa topoisomerase I and top1 RNA size markers 5.2 kb and 3.9 kb long made by in vitro transcription of cloned segments of the top1 cDNAs. (C) Graphic representation of the relative expression of topoisomerase I protein and RNA during Drosophila development. The developmental profile of the top1 gene expression at the protein and RNA levels is quantitated by densitometric scanning of the autoradiograms shown in Fig. 3 A and B. Northern blot analysis was performed by using ³²P-labeled probes made from both the cloned 5.2-kb top1 cDNA and Drosophila ras DNA. Hybridization to ras message was used to normalize the total amount of RNA (37). The relative expression of topoisomerase I protein (open circles), top1 5.2-kb message (closed squares), and top1 4.0-kb message (open squares) is plotted versus each developmental stage, and it is normalized to the 0- to 2-h embryo stage. The top1 4.0-kb message profile includes the quantitation of message populations ranging from 3.8 to 4.2 kb.

12-h embryos, was apparently of zygotic origin. This period also correlated with the maximal DNA synthesis activity during the *Drosophila* development (40, 41), suggesting a critical role for DNA topoisomerase I in embryonic DNA replication. The expression of topoisomerase I has been shown to increase in proliferating mammalian cells, and it is possible that there is also a correlation between topoisomerase I levels and DNA synthesis in mammalian cells (42-45).

DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated here that DNA topoisomerase I is essential for development of a multicellular organism, *Drosophila melanogaster*. By contrast, DNA topoisomerase I is not essential for eubacteria and yeast. Both the lethal phenotype of 77 and its rescue by p[top1] are absolute (Tables 1 and 2). The developmental expression profile suggests that DNA topoisomerase I is likely required during several stages of fly development (Fig. 3)—in particular, during the 6- to

Table 1. Rescue of the male lethal phenotype of 77 by p[top1]

F ₁ genotype	Fly numbers	%
FM7/Y; Sco/CyO	242	4.7
FM7/Y; p[top1]/Sco or CyO	527	10.3
77/Y; Sco/CyO	0	0
77/Y ; p[top1]/Sco or CyO	1060	20.6
FM7/w ⁻ ; Sco/CyO	452	8.8
FM7/w ⁻ ; p[top1]/Sco or CyO	886	17.3
77/w- ; Sco/CyO	497	9.7
77/w ⁻ ; p[<i>top1</i>]/Sco or CyO	1092	21.3
Exceptional flies*	378	7.4
Total	5134	100.1

The F₀ cross was $\Im w^-/Y$; p[top1]/CyO $\times \Im FM7/77$; Sco/CyO. CyO/CyO is lethal and it is not included here. p[top1] indicates an ectopic top1 gene integrated into the second chromosome.

*The exceptional flies were the progeny with an euploidy of sex chromosomes. They were generated presumably through the nondisjunction of FM7/77 chromosomes in F₀ parental female flies.

12-h postfertilization embryo stage, when rapid DNA synthesis takes place (40). Several genes essential for the process of DNA replication have similar developmental expression profiles. These include *Drosophila* topoisomerase II (46, 47) and DNA polymerase α (48), for which the expression is also maximal in the embryos with an age of around 6 h.

An important biological function for DNA topoisomerase I is likely to provide a swivel at the fork of DNA replication (49, 50). Based on the genetic analyses of yeast and biochemical studies of the mammalian in vitro DNA replication system, DNA topoisomerase I is implicated in the process of DNA synthesis (18, 51); however, this function may be substituted by topoisomerase II. From the mechanism of action for these two different classes of topoisomerases, type II enzyme is not expected to serve a DNA swivel function as efficiently and directly as the type I enzyme. Even in the presence of topoisomerase II, an absence of topoisomerase I activity results in a delay in the elongation of newly synthesized DNA fragments in the yeast cell (18). It is therefore plausible that in a multicellular organism, an absence of adequate topoisomerase I activity can lead to a disruption in the coordination of DNA replication and cell cycling (52). This disruption could ultimately result in cell death and account for the essentiality of topoisomerase I in a multicellular organism.

In addition to its zygotic function, topoisomerase I may also have maternal functions based on the developmental expression data. The maternally stored gene products could be critically needed for the rapid-paced DNA replication in the syncytial embryos. Furthermore, using the same mutagenesis scheme described here, we have isolated several female sterile mutants, and the embryonic development of the eggs from one of the homozygous female sterile mutants is arrested at the blastodermal stage (data not shown), a period with active DNA synthesis and little transcriptional activity (40, 41). It is interesting to note that a significant

Table 2. Rescue of female lethal phenotype of 77 by p[top1]	Table 2.	Rescue of femal	le lethal phenotype	of 77 by p[top1]
---	----------	-----------------	---------------------	------------------

		~
- F ₁	Fly numbers	%
FM7/Y; Sco/CyO	223	4.3
FM7/Y ; p[top1]/Sco or CyO	576	11.1
77/Y ; Sco/CyO	0	0
77/Y ; p[<i>top1</i>]/Sco or CyO	1472	28.3
FM7/77; Sco/CyO	501	9.6
<i>FM7/77</i> ; <u>p[top1]/Sco</u> or <i>CyO</i>	1236	23.7
77/77 ; Sco/CyO	0	0
77/77 ; p[<i>top1</i>]/Sco or CyO	1198	23.0
Total	5206	100.0

The F₀ cross was δ 77/Y; p[top1]/CyO × \Im FM7/77; Sco/CyO.

fraction of the *Drosophila* female sterile mutations actually correspond to maternal hypomorphs of essential genes (53).

During genetic experiments in testing the lethality of the top1 mutant 77, we noticed that female flies carrying one 77 X chromosome always produced significant number of progenies with aneuploidy of sex chromosomes (Table 1). They were generated presumably through the nondisjunction of FM7/77 chromosomes in parental female flies. The background level for sex chromosome nondysjunction is about 0.1% (54). However, the presence of a nonexchange autosome, like CyO here, may elevate the X chromosome nondysjunction rate (55). Alternatively, it is also plausible that the presence of a top1 mutant chromosome like 77 may have an effect on the chromosome pairing and disjoining during meiosis. Further experiments will be necessary to elucidate the genetic basis of the enhanced nondysjunction rate observed here.

The top1 mutant, which will be named top1⁷⁷, is the initial DNA topoisomerase mutant identified and characterized in a multicellular eucaryote. Additional top1 mutants can be isolated by using top1⁷⁷, since heterozygotes of new top1 mutants and top1⁷⁷ could be either lethal or with impaired viability or fertility. Further characterization of top1⁷⁷ and other top1 mutants will elucidate the critical functions of DNA topoisomerase I in eucaryotic cells.

We thank Kathleen Matthews of the Bloomington Stock Center, Indiana, for fly strains. We thank Donna Crenshaw for comments on the manuscript, and Gerda Vergara for assistance in photography. This work is supported by Grant GM 29006 from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences. S.D.B. is supported in part by Predoctoral Fellowship F31-GM14981 from the National Institutes of Health.

- 1. Liu, L. F. (1983) CRC Crit. Rev. Biochem. 15, 1-23.
- 2. Wang, J. C. (1985) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 54, 665-697.
- 3. Vosberg, H.-P. (1985) Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 114, 19-102.
- Yanagida, M. & Sternglanz, R. (1990) in DNA Topology and Its Biological Effects, eds. Cozzarelli, N. R. & Wang, J. C. (Cold Spring Harbor Lab. Press, Plainview, NY), pp. 299-320.
- Sternglanz, R., DiNardo, S., Voelkel, K. A., Nishimura, Y., Hirota, Y., Becherer, K., Zumstein, L. & Wang, J. (1981) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78, 2747-2751.
- DiGate, R. J. & Marians, K. J. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264, 17924-17930.
- DiNardo, S., Voelkel, K. A., Sternglanz, R., Reynolds, A. E. & Wright, A. (1982) Cell 31, 43-51.
- 8. Pruss, G. J., Manes, S. H. & Drlica, K. (1982) Cell 31, 35-42.
- Raji, A., Zabel, D. J., Laufer, C. S. & Depew, R. E. (1985) J. Bacteriol. 162, 1173–1179.
- 10. Uemura, T. & Yanagida, M. (1984) EMBO J. 3, 1737-1744.
- 11. Goto, T. & Wang, J. C. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 7178-7182.
- Thrash, C., Bankier, A. T., Barrell, B. G. & Sternglanz, R. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 4374–4378.
- Wallis, J. W., Chrebet, G., Brodsky, G., Rolfe, M. & Rothstein, R. (1989) Cell 58, 409-419.
- DiNardo, S., Voelkel, K. & Sternglanz, R. (1984) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81, 2616-2620.
- 15. Holm, C., Goto, T., Wang, J. C. & Botstein, D. (1985) Cell 41, 553-563.
- Brill, S. J., DiNardo, S., Voelkel-Meiman, K. & Sternglanz, R. (1987) Nature (London) 326, 414-416.
- 17. Uemura, T. & Yanagida, M. (1986) EMBO J. 5, 1003-1010.
- 18. Kim, R. A. & Wang, J. C. (1989) J. Mol. Biol. 208, 257-267.

- Fleischmann, G., Pflugfelder, G., Steiner, E. K., Javaherian, K., Howard, G. C., Wang, J. C. & Elgin, S. C. R. (1984) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81, 6958-6962.
- Gilmour, D. S., Pflugfelder, G., Wang, J. C. & Lis, J. T. (1986) Cell 44, 401-407.
- 21. Choder, M. (1991) Genes Dev. 5, 2315-2326.
- 22. Christman, M. F., Dietrich, F. S. & Fink, G. R. (1988) Cell 55, 413-425.
- 23. Kim, R. A. & Wang, J. C. (1989) Cell 57, 975-985.
- Hsieh, T., Brown, S. D., Huang, P. & Fostel, J. (1992) Nucleic Acids Res. 20, 6177–6182.
- 25. Pardue, M. L. & Gall, J. (1975) Methods Cell Biol. 10, 1-17.
- Nolan, J. M., Lee, M. P., Wyckoff, E. & Hsieh, T. (1986) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83, 3664–3668.
- 27. Lindsley, D. L. & Zimm, G. G. (1992) The Genome of Drosophila melanogaster (Academic, San Diego).
- Roberts, D. B. (1986) in Drosophila: A Practical Approach, ed. Robert, D. B. (IRL, Oxford), pp. 1–38.
- Robertson, H. M., Preston, C. R., Phillis, R. W., Johnson-Schlitz, D. M., Benz, W. K. & Engels, W. R. (1988) *Genetics* 118, 461-470.
- 30. Laemmli, U. K. (1970) Nature (London) 227, 680-685.
- 31. Towbin, H., Staehelin, T. & Gordon, J. (1979) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76, 4350-4354.
- 32. Maniatis, T., Fritsch, E. F. & Sambrook, J. (1982) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual (Cold Spring Harbor Lab. Press, Plainview, NY).
- 33. de Cicco, D. V. & Spradling, A. C. (1984) Cell 38, 45-54.
- Daniels, S. B., Mccarron, M., Love, C., Clark, S. H. & Chovnick, A. (1985) Genetics 109, 95-117.
- Engels, W. R. (1989) in *Mobile DNA*, eds. Berg, D. E. & Howe, M. M. (Am. Soc. Microbiol., Washington, DC), pp. 437-484.
- Pirrotta, V. (1988) in Vectors: A Survey of Molecular Cloning Vectors and Their Uses, eds. Rodriguez, R. L. & Denhardt, D. T. (Butterworth, Boston), pp. 437-456.
- Mozer, B., Marlor, R., Parkhurst, S. & Corces, V. (1985) Mol. Cell. Biol. 5, 885–889.
- 38. Spradling, A. C. & Rubin, G. M. (1982) Science 218, 341-347.
- 39. Rubin, G. M. & Spradling, A. C. (1982) Science 218, 348-353.
- 40. Anderson, K. V. & Lengyel, J. A. (1981) Dev. Biol. 82, 127-138.
- 41. Edgar, B. A. & Schubiger, G. (1986) Cell 44, 871-877.
- Sobczak, J., Tournier, M.-F., Lotti, A.-M. & Duguet, M. (1989) Eur. J. Biochem. 180, 49-53.
- 43. Hwong, C.-L., Chen, M.-S. & Hwang, J. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264, 14923-14926.
- 44. Romig, H. & Richter, A. (1990) Nucleic Acids Res. 18, 801-808.
- 45. Romig, H. & Richter, A. (1990) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1048, 274-280.
- 46. Fairman, R. & Brutlag, D. L. (1988) Biochemistry 27, 560-565.
- Nolan, J. M., Wyckoff, E., Lee, M. P. & Hsieh, T. (1991) in DNA Topoisomerases in Cancer, eds. Potmesil, M. & Kohn, K. W. (Oxford Univ. Press, New York), pp. 23-40.
- Hirose, F., Yamaguchi, M., Nishida, Y., Masutani, M., Miyazawa, H., Hanaoka, F. & Matsukage, A. (1991) Nucleic Acids Res. 18, 4991-4998.
- 49. Wang, J. C. (1971) J. Mol. Biol. 55, 523-533.
- Champoux, J. J. & Dulbecco, R. (1972) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 69, 143-146.
- Yang, L., Wold, M. S., Li, J. J., Kelly, T. J. & Liu, L. F. (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84, 950–954.
- 52. Murray, A. W. (1992) Nature (London) 359, 599-604.
- Perrimon, N., Mohler, J. D., Engstrom, L. & Mahowald, A. D. (1986) Genetics 113, 695-712.
- 54. Ashburner, M. (1989) Drosophila: A Laboratory Handbook (Cold Spring Harbor Lab. Press, Plainview, NY), pp. 827–863.
- 55. Forbes, C. (1962) Genetics 47, 1301-1311.