

## Preface for Special Issue on Biology with X-ray Lasers 2

Abbas Ourmazd

*Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin Milwaukee, 3135 N. Maryland Ave.,  
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211, USA*

(Received 7 August 2015; accepted 7 August 2015; published online 17 August 2015)

[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4928768>]

In 1970, [Breedlove and Tramel](#) and later [Solem and Baldwin \(1982\)](#) suggested that the problem of radiation damage in structural biology might be solved by destroying the sample with a short pulse of intense radiation, because a few photons should be scattered before the onset of radiation damage. Following pioneering work by many, this outlandish idea is now a reality (see, e.g., [Neutze et al., 2000](#); [Gaffney and Chapman, 2007](#); [Spence, 2008](#); [Chapman et al., 2011](#); and [Boutet et al., 2012](#)). This Special Issue, the proceedings of a meeting organized by the NSF Science & Technology Center for Biology with X-ray Lasers, constitutes a timely report on the latest developments, and a tantalizing view of what is yet to come.

There has been progress on all fronts: instrumental, technical, and scientific. These developments have transformed heroic “campaigns” to focused experiments with acceptable requirements in beamtime and materials. Structure determinations, which in 2011 took 18 months from experiment to submitted paper, can now be completed in a few weeks, with a factor of 10 less beamtime, a factor of 4 less time for data analysis, and a factor of 100 less protein. Critical steps, such as de novo structure determination, have been demonstrated ([Barends et al., 2014](#)), and a wide variety of alternative approaches are under development (see this issue). The sheer range of systems shown to be amenable to Serial Femtosecond Crystallography has left little doubt about the validity of this approach, and its ability to deliver hitherto inaccessible insights into difficult systems and processes. The experimental and algorithmic methods developed for X-ray laser applications are increasingly migrating to synchrotrons.

Recent experiments reported here also demonstrate the power of X-ray laser methods for time-resolved work, where the use of protein nanocrystals smaller than the optical absorption length of the pump light has produced the largest differences in a density map ever seen ([Tenboer et al., 2014](#)). Indeed, the “diffract-before-destroy” approach is now poised to extend serial femtosecond methods to non-cyclic processes, including enzymology, and sub-picosecond timescales inaccessible at synchrotrons. For longer times, mixing jets using nanocrystals smaller than diffusion lengths promise to allow diffusive mixing for the first time. More generally, the elucidation of femtosecond processes linking chemistry to biology is an enticing prospect under active investigation.

The promise of determining structure without crystals has proved difficult to realize, but initial results are now emerging ([Ekeberg et al., 2015](#)), with more anticipated from an international collaboration involving many leading groups ([Aquila et al., 2015](#)). Recently, electron-based single-particle methods have begun to produce conformational movies and energy landscapes ([Dashti et al., 2014](#)) but remain constrained by the number of available snapshots. The X-ray laser has the capability to produce extremely large datasets under physiological conditions. As reported in this issue, it is imperative to develop means for compiling large datasets of useful snapshots obtained under tightly controlled and reproducible imaging conditions, and to correct any remaining imaging artifacts algorithmically.

In a short time, the application of X-ray lasers to biology has moved from a debate on validity to a rapidly growing demonstration of unprecedented access to a treasure trove of new information. The reports in this Special Issue make it clear that the best is yet to come.

This work was supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation Award No. STC 1231306.

Aquila, A., Barty, A. *et al.*, “The linac coherent light source single particle imaging road map,” *Struct. Dyn.* 2, 041701 (2015).

- Barends, T. R., Foucar, L. *et al.*, “Do novo protein crystal determination from X-ray free-electron laser data,” *Nature* **505**, 244–247 (2014).
- Boutet, S., Lomb, L. *et al.*, “High-resolution protein structure determination by serial femtosecond crystallography,” *Science* **337**(6092), 362–364 (2012).
- Breedlove, J. R. and Trammel G. T., “Molecular microscopy: Fundamental limitations,” *Science* **170**, 1310–1312 (1970).
- Chapman, H. N., Fromme, P. *et al.*, “Femtosecond X-ray protein nanocrystallography,” *Nature* **470**(7332), 73–77 (2011).
- Dashti, A., Schwander, P. *et al.*, “Trajectories of the ribosome as a Brownian nanomachine,” *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **111**(49), 17492–17497 (2014).
- Ekeberg, T., Svenda, M. *et al.*, “Three-dimensional reconstruction of the giant mimivirus particle with an X-ray free-electron laser,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**(9), 098102 (2015).
- Gaffney, K. J. and Chapman, H. N., “Imaging atomic structure and dynamics with ultrafast x-ray scattering,” *Science* **316**(5830), 1444–1448 (2007).
- Neutze, R., Wouts, R., van der Spoel, D., Weckert, E., and Hajdu, J., “Potential for biomolecular imaging with femtosecond X-ray pulses,” *Nature* **406**(6797), 752–757 (2000).
- Solem, J. C. and Baldwin, G. C., “Microholography of living organisms,” *Science* **218**(4569), 229–235 (1982).
- Spence, J., “X-ray imaging ultrafast diffract-and-destroy movies,” *Nat. Photonics* **2**(7), 390–391 (2008).
- Tenboer, J., Basu, S. *et al.*, “Time-resolved serial crystallography captures high-resolution intermediates of photoactive yellow protein,” *Science* **346**(6214), 1242–1246 (2014).