ThermoCool® SmartTouch® Catheter – The Evidence So Far for Contact Force Technology and the Role of VisiTAG™ Module

Tina Lin,1 Feifan Ouyang,2 Karl-Heinz Kuck3,4 and Roland Tilz4

1. Electrophysiology Fellow, 2. Electrophysiologist, 3. Director of Cardiology, 4. Electrophysiologist, Department of Cardiology, Asklepios Klinik St Georg, Hamburg, Germany

Abstract

Catheter ablation has become an important modality in the treatment of most cardiac arrhythmias. In recent years there has been significant development of new ablation energies and technologies in an attempt to improve clinical outcomes and decrease disease burden. Ablation failure is often associated with inadequate lesion formation, and catheter-to-myocardial contact force (CF) and catheter stability are two of the parameters required to produce effective lesions during radiofrequency energy application. Recently, CF sensing catheters and tagging modules have been developed to give operators realtime data on catheter force and stability. This review describes the novel ThermoCool® SmartTouch® Catheter (Biosense Webster Inc., CA, US) and VisiTag™ Module (Biosense Webster Inc., CA, US) software, and discusses the results of several studies on CF and catheter stability during mapping and ablation of the left atrium and ventricle from our electrophysiology laboratory. We assess the short- and longer-term outcomes during mapping and ablation with and without CF data, as well as the use of the VisiTAG MopuLE™ software, which allows the evaluation of multiple parameters of lesion formation, then integrates and displays this as automatic tags in a relatively objective way.

Keywords

Radiofrequency ablation, contact force, THERMOCOOL® SMARTTOUCH® CATHETER, VISITAG™, three-dimensional (3D) mapping

Disclosure: Tina Lin received a clinical fellowship grant from St. Jude Medical, Inc. and EHRA. Feifan Ouyang has no conflicts of interest to declare. Karl-Heinz Kuck received research grants from Biosense Webster, St. Jude Medical, Medtronic, Inc. and Biotronik SE & Co. KG. He is a consultant to St. Jude Medical, Biotronik and Medtronic, and is a scientific advisor and shareholder of Endosense SA. Roland Tilz received travel grants, research grants and speaker honoraria from Biosense Webster and St. Jude Medical. **Received:** 27 February 2014 **Accepted:** 31 March 2014 **Citation:** *Arrhythmia & Electrophysiology Review* 2013;**3**(1):44–7 **Access at:** www.AERjournal.com **Correspondence:** Roland Tilz, Department of Cardiology, Asklepios Klinik St Georg, Lohmuehlenstrasse 5, 20099 Hamburg, Germany. E: r.tilz@asklepios.com

Support: The publication of this article was supported by Biosense Webster Inc. Third party trademarks used herein are trademarks of their respective owners. If owned by Biosense Webster, ©Johnson & Johnson Medical NV/SA 2014 (if created in EMEA), ©Biosense Webster, Inc. 2014 (if created in US).

The role of percutaneous catheter ablation for the treatment of cardiac arrhythmias has become paramount over the last 30 years. Although there has been a dramatic increase in the number of different ablation energies and technologies (such as cryoablation,^{1,2} laser balloon^{3,4} and high-intensity focused ultrasound,^{5,6} multi-electrode ablation catheters,^{7,8} robotic navigation,^{9,10} and focal impulse and rotor modulation^{11,12}), radiofrequency (RF) energy continues to be the cornerstone of catheter ablation. Although the success rate for the ablation of most supraventricular tachycardias (SVTs) is acceptable,13,14 more complex arrhythmias such as atrial fibrillation (AF), atrial tachycardia (AT) and ventricular arrhythmias (VA) continue to have significant recurrence rates.15–18 This may be attributed to inadequate lesion formation or incomplete block during linear ablation.¹⁹ Therefore, effective lesion formation is important to decrease recurrence and the need for re-ablation.

Establishing contiguous, transmural RF ablation lesions involves several factors. These parameters include: impedance, power output, tissue temperature, catheter stability and catheter tip-to-myocardial contact force (CF).20–27 In addition, safety is also an important consideration during ablation. Excessive power, temperature and catheter-to-myocardial CF are associated with potential complications, such as thrombus formation, cardiac perforation or steam pop.23,28 CF has traditionally been assessed using surrogate markers, such as tactile feedback, local electrogram amplitude and impedance.²⁰ In recent years, CF sensing technologies have been developed to assess realtime catheter tip-tomyocardial CF. TactiCath® (Endosense SA, Geneva, Switzerland) uses a fibre optic sensor, which detects realtime axial and lateral force changes at the catheter tip.²⁶ Intellisense® (Hansen Medical Inc., Mountain View, CA, US) provides visual and vibration force feedback incorporated into a robotic catheter navigation system.9,10,29

Most recently, the novel ThermoCool® SmartTouch® Catheter (Biosense Webster Inc., CA, US) has been introduced into the market, providing realtime CF data in combination with the Carto® 3 (Biosense Webster Inc., CA, US) three-dimensional (3D) electroanatomical mapping system. In combination with the new VisiTAG™ Module (Biosense Webster Inc., CA, US) software, this provides operators with values to a number of parameters that assess catheter CF and stability, displayed in a relative objective fashion. This review discusses these two novel technologies and their potential application in clinical practice.

Contact Force Technology on the Market

The TactiCath Quartz® (Endosense SA, Geneva, Switzerland) is a third generation standard open-irrigated 7 French (Fr), 3.5 mm ablation

catheter that uses a fibre optic sensor, which detects realtime axial and lateral changes in CF without the need for pre-procedure calibration.²⁶ This information is displayed both graphically and numerically during the procedure. In addition to the recognised force-time integral (FTI), a new algorithm, the lesions index parameter correlates lesion formation with RF power, ablation time and CF, and provides a realtime indication of lesion quality.

The Intellisense System uses the 8 Fr Artisan® robotic ablation catheters to measure CF. It provides visual and vibration feedback of force via the Instinctive Motion® Controller when incorporated into the Sensei® X Robotic Catheter Navigation System.9,10,29

The ThermoCool® SmartTouch® Ablation Catheter and Contact Force Technology

The novel THERMOCOOL[®] SMARTTOUCH[®] CATHETER is a 7.5 Fr, 3.5 mm irrigated tip ablation catheter. The tip electrode is connected by a tiny precision spring to the catheter shaft, which allows a small amount of tip deflection and is also connected to a transmitter coil that emits a reference location signal (see *Figure 1*). The catheter tip-to-myocardial CF and direction of force are measured with a resolution of 1 g every 50 ms by three location sensors within the shaft, and the degree of spring bending via a magnetic transmitter at the catheter tip. This produces a CF reading averaged over one second. The catheter and information is then integrated into the CARTO[®] 3 Mapping System and can be displayed to the operators. This allows precise tracking of the catheter tip. The catheter needs to be equilibrated to body temperature, and this is achieved by placing it within the blood pool for a minimum of 15 minutes.

The realtime CF that is displayed on the CARTO® 3 Mapping System is updated every second. CF is also displayed on the system in other formats, such as a graphical representation seen as a force vector arrow at the catheter tip. User-defined value limits can be programmed, and operators can be graphically alerted to excessively high or low CF. All CF data, as well as data for impedance, power and temperature, in relation to the reconstructed electroanatomical map, are recorded and can be accessed offline. The CF information can also be integrated into the VisiTAG™ Module software as one of the operator-defined parameters.

The VisiTag™ Module Software

The VisiTAG™ Module software is a novel algorithm that has been developed, which automatically tags the RF catheter ablation applications on the Carto® 3 Mapping System (see *Figure 2*). The VISITAG™ Module displays a tag when all pre-determined user-defined parameters are reached. These parameters include:

- catheter stability, measured by the minimum time spent in an area and the maximum distance the ablation catheter has moved;
- contact force, measured in combination with the THERMOCOOL® SMARTTOUCH[®] CATHETER;
- impedance; and
- temperature change.

The tags are displayed in two methods: VisiTag™; grid cells; or a combination of both (see *Figure 3*).

VisiTag™ are large 4 mm2 tags representing each ablation application meeting all user-defined criteria. Grid cells are small tags representing each 2 x 2 mm area that has received RF energy and displays the cumulative amount of RF application time spent in this area. They

Figure 1: Diagram of the Novel ThermoCool® SmartTouch® CATHETER

It is a 7.5 French, 3.5 mm contact force ablation catheter. There are four electrodes. The magnetic transmitter coil is located above the tip electrode and sends location reference signals about the spring position. The precision spring allows for small amounts of electrode deflection, enabling precise calculation of force in grams. Three location sensors monitor the magnetic transmitter coil location signals and records the movement of the spring. Image courtesy of Biosense Webster. CF = contact force; F = French.

Figure 2: VisiTag™ Module Parameter Settings

Each panel demonstrates the VisiTag™ and grid cell tags when different pre-defined parameters are used. The pre-defined values shown in the figure are considered standard during left atrium (LA) ablation in our institution.

are coded using a colour gradient based on user-defined parameters, such as total time, average force and force-time interval. The tags are non-deletable and are therefore an objective recording of the RF applications. All data are recorded and can be accessed offline. The

 Figure 3: Three-dimensional Electroanatomical Map (Bipolar Voltage Map) Demonstrating Pulmonary Vein Isolation Procedure

The large pink tags indicate objective VisiTag™ indicating ablation within all pre-specified parameters. The small pink tags indicate grid cells. Grey points indicate VisiTag™ where all parameters except contact force cut-off levels are achieved. Green tags mark the ipsilateral pulmonary vein ostium. Imp = impedance; PA = posteroanterior.

parameters can also be adjusted offline to display ablation tags when different value limits are used (see *Figure 2*). The additional benefit of the VisiTAG™ Module compared with conventional ablation tagging is the quantitative assessment of parameters that influence lesion formation, which may improve clinical outcomes.

The Impact of Contact Force

Although CF data add another level of complexity to the information displayed on the CARTO® 3 Mapping System, operators can quickly become familiar with this information. The addition of the VisiTag™ Module is also simply displayed to be operator-friendly. The fact that both new technologies have parameters that can be pre-defined and selectively displayed, assists to reduce the operator learning curve.

Our electrophysiology laboratory has performed a high volume of CF guided arrhythmia ablations to date. Our analysis of this technology includes several CF parameters.

Firstly, assessment of the relative CF obtained whilst mapping and ablating in the left atrium (LA) during pulmonary vein isolation (PVI) using a non-steerable SL1 sheath, and the correlation between CF and tissue impedance during LA mapping and ablation was performed.³⁰ In this study, 30 patients underwent PVI with CF data recorded, but not displayed to the operators. A total of 3,475 mapping points and 878 ablation points were analysed. Overall median CF during mapping was 14.0 g, and interestingly we consistently showed that the median CF obtained at the left atrial appendage (LAA) ridge and mitral isthmus was very low (5.1 g and 6.9 g, respectively), and that extremely high and potentially dangerous levels of CF >100 g occurred

even when non-steerable sheaths were used. During ablation, median CFs were lower during ipsilateral circumferential PVI ablation around the left pulmonary veins, compared with the right (12.3 g and 22.8 g, respectively), and this was again predominantly due to the low CFs obtained at the LAA ridge. These results suggest a reason for pulmonary vein reconnection post circumferential PVI ablation, which has been shown in previous studies to occur most frequently at the LAA ridge and mitral isthmus.³¹ Although lesion formation is due to several parameters, one of which includes CF, force visualisation may help improve CF in these areas, potentially leading to more durable lesion formation. In contrast, excessively high CFs have been shown in animal models to be associated with steam pop and crater formation, and consciously avoiding such high forces with CF visualisation may reduce complication rates.³² Impedance values during mapping and impedance drop that occurs at the start of RF application was also shown to correlate with CF, which has since been confirmed in a recent publication by Reichlin et al.³³

Secondly, comparison of CF guided with non-CF guided LA mapping and PVI ablation was performed, and the impact of CF on AF recurrence was analysed. A total of 70 patients underwent PVI, in which operators were blinded to CF data in 35 patients, and CF was displayed in the other 35. A total of 8,401 mapping and 2,064 ablation points were analysed. Our results demonstrated that during CF guided ablation, more points were taken within the optimal CF range defined as between 10 g and 40 g, and in particular the median CF obtained at the mitral isthmus and LAA ridge was higher when CF was displayed (7.7 g versus 12.2 g and 5.3 g versus 11.7 g, respectively). In respect to complication risk, we noted that there were excessively high CFs seen at the roof areas when CF was not displayed, and this was not seen when operators were aware of forces applied and could avoid high and potentially dangerous CFs. Interestingly, there was no difference in the AF recurrence rates after a follow-up of six months, and these data will be further analysed with longer term follow-up.

Thirdly, catheter stability using CF data was assessed. Of the multiple factors influencing lesion formation, catheter stability has been shown to be important.^{34,35} During CF blinded PVI, performed in 32 patients, 932 points were analysed – 426 visually stable and 506 visually unstable points. Stability was calculated as standard deviation of CF, and relative standard deviation (RSD) was used, which significantly correlated with visual catheter stability. We demonstrated that catheter instability occurred predominantly at the LAA ridge and posteroinferior segments of the right PVs.

Finally, the clinical impact of CF during antegrade transseptal and retrograde transaortic mapping of the left ventricle (LV) was assessed.²⁹ In total, 3,324 mapping points using non-steerable sheaths in 10 patients were analysed. Although we showed that the median CF obtained from either approach was similar (antegrade = 16.0 g , retrograde = 15.3 g), there were significant differences between the CFs obtained at different LV segments. This suggests that LV mapping with only one approach may lead to poorer catheter-to-myocardial tissue contact in various areas of the LV, and a combined approach may improve overall LV mapping.

ThermoCool® SmartTouch® Catheter Ablation Using the VisiTag™ Module

There are currently no published data regarding the short- and long-term outcomes when the VisiTAG™ MopuLE is used in combination with CF

data to guide catheter ablation. Our institutional standard for VisiTag™ Module settings during LA ablation are as follows:

- a minimum time of 15 seconds:
- a maximum range of 4 mm;
- a force over time of 60 %:
- a minimum force of 6 g ; and
- non-projected tags.

When the CF data are added as an additional parameter in the VISITAG™ Module criteria, regular tags as described above are taken, unless the CF is below the pre-specified cut-off. When all other parameters except the CF cut-off are met, a 'grey point' is tagged to mark this region (see *Figure 1*). Although several different parameters are required for effective lesion formation, the use of objective CF tagging may improve one of the major criteria for successful ablation, and could potentially improve short- and longterm clinical outcomes.

Conclusion

Contact force technology is a novel measurable parameter that can be measured and controlled during catheter ablation of various cardiac arrhythmias. Due to the importance of this parameter in achieving effective lesion formation and therefore potentially improving long-term clinical outcomes, several new ablation catheters that measure CF have been introduced into the market. This novel technology may also decrease the rate of complications associated with catheter ablation. Although further studies are required, the addition of the VisiTAG™ Module, a relatively objective indicator for several different ablation parameters, may assist operators in forming long-lasting RF lesions, and may improve clinical outcomes from catheter ablation of various cardiac arr hythmias.

1. Chun K-RJ, Schmidt B, Metzner A, et al. The 'single big cryoballoon' technique for acute pulmonary vein isolation in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation: a prospective observational single centre study. *Eur Heart J* 2009;**30**(6):699–709.

- 2. Friedman PL, Dubuc M, Green MS, et al. Catheter cryoablation of supraventricular tachycardia: results of the multicenter prospective "frosty" trial. *Heart Rhythm* 2004;**1**(2):129–38.
- 3. Dukkipati SR, Kuck KH, Neuzil P, et al. Pulmonary vein isolation using a visually guided laser balloon catheter: the first 200-patient multicenter clinical experience. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2013;**6**(3):467–72.
- Bordignon S, Chun KR, Gunawardene M, et al. Energy titration strategies with the endoscopic ablation system: lessons from the high-dose vs. low-dose laser ablation study. *Europace* 2013;**15**(5):685–9.
- 5. Davies EJ, Bazerbashi S, Asopa S, et al. Long-term outcomes following high intensity focused ultrasound ablation for atrial fibrillation. *J Card Surg* 2014;**29**(1):101–7.
- Schmidt B, Chun KR, Metzner A, et al. Pulmonary vein isolation with high-intensity focused ultrasound: results from the HIFU 12F study. *Europace* 2009;**11**(10):1281–8.
- 7. Mulder AA, Balt JC, Wijffels MC, et al. Safety of pulmonary vein isolation and left atrial complex fractionated atrial electrograms ablation for atrial fibrillation with phased radiofrequency energy and multi-electrode catheters. *Europace* 2012;**14**(10):1433–40.
- 8. Jaïs P, Maury P, Khairy P, et al. Elimination of local abnormal ventricular activities: a new end point for substrate modification in patients with scar-related ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation* 2012;**125**(18):2184–96.
- 9. Rillig A, Schmidt B, Steven D, et al. Study design of the man and machine trial: a prospective international controlled noninferiority trial comparing manual with robotic catheter ablation for treatment of atrial fibrillation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2012;**24**(1):40–6.
- 10. Willems S, Steven D, Servatius H, et al. Persistence of pulmonary vein isolation after robotic remote-navigated ablation for atrial fibrillation and its relation to clinical outcome. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2010;**21**(10):1079–84.
- Shivkumar K, Ellenbogen KA, Hummel JD, et al. Acute termination of human atrial fibrillation by identification and catheter ablation of localized rotors and sources: first multicenter experience of focal impulse and rotor modulation (FIRM) ablation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2012;**23**(12):1277–85.
- 12. Narayan SM, Krummen DE, Shivkumar K, et al. Treatment of atrial fibrillation by the ablation of localized sources: CONFIRM (Conventional Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation With or Without Focal Impulse and Rotor Modulation) trial. *J Am Coll*
- *Cardiol* 2012;**60**(7):628–36. 13. Dagres N, Clague JR, Kottkamp H, et al. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of accessory pathways. Outcome and use of antiarrhythmic drugs during follow-up. *Eur Heart J* 1999;**20**(24):1826–32.
- 14. Deisenhofer I, Zrenner B, Yin Y-H, et al. Cryoablation versus radiofrequency energy for the ablation of atrioventricular nodal reentrant tachycardia (the CYRANO Study): results from a large multicenter prospective randomized trial. *Circulation* 2010;**122**(22):2239–45.
- 15. Tilz RR, Rillig A, Thum AM, et al. Catheter ablation of longstanding persistent atrial fibrillation: 5-year outcomes of the Hamburg Sequential Ablation Strategy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;**60**(19):1921–9.
- 16. Ouyang F, Tilz R, Chun J, et al. Long-term results of catheter ablation in paroxysmal atrial fibrillation: lessons from a 5-year follow-up. *Circulation* 2010;**122**(23):2368–77.
- 17. Stevenson WG, Wilber DJ, Natale A, et al. Irrigated radiofrequency catheter ablation guided by electroanatomic mapping for recurrent ventricular tachycardia after myocardial infarction: the multicenter thermocool ventricular tachycardia ablation trial. *Circulation* 2008;**118**(25):2773–82.
- 18. Bella Della P, Riva S, Fassini G, et al. Incidence and significance of pleomorphism in patients with postmyocardial infarction ventricular tachycardia. Acute and long-term outcome of radiofrequency catheter ablation. *Eur Heart J* 2004;**25**(13):1127–38.
- Ouyang F, Antz M, Ernst S, et al. Recovered pulmonary vein conduction as a dominant factor for recurrent atrial tachyarrhythmias after complete circular isolation of the pulmonary veins: lessons from double Lasso technique. *Circulation* 2005;**111**(2):127–35.
- 20. Thiagalingam A, D'Avila A, McPherson C, et al. Impedance and temperature monitoring improve the safety of closedloop irrigated-tip radiofrequency ablation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2007;**18**(3):318–25.
- 21. Nakagawa H, Yamanashi WS, Pitha JV, et al. Comparison of in vivo tissue temperature profile and lesion geometry for radiofrequency ablation with a saline-irrigated electrode versus temperature control in a canine thigh muscle preparation. *Circulation* 1995;**91**(8):2264–73.
- 22. Wittkampf FH, Hauer RN, Robles de Medina EO. Control of radiofrequency lesion size by power regulation. *Circulation* 1989;**80**(4):962–8.
- 23. Yokoyama K, Nakagawa H, Shah DC, et al. Novel contact force sensor incorporated in irrigated radiofrequency ablation catheter predicts lesion size and incidence of steam pop and thrombus. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*

2008;**1**(5):354–62.

- 24. Okumura Y, Johnson SB, Bunch TJ, et al. A systematical analysis of in vivo contact forces on virtual catheter tip/tissue surface contact during cardiac mapping and intervention. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2008;**19**(6):632–40.
- 25. Kuck KH, Reddy VY, Schmidt B, et al. A novel radiofrequency ablation catheter using contact force sensing: Toccata study. *Heart Rhythm* 2012;**9**(1):18–23.
- 26. Reddy VY, Shah D, Kautzner J, et al. The relationship between contact force and clinical outcome during radiofrequency catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation in the TOCCATA study. *Heart Rhythm* 2012;**9**(11):1789–95.
- Tilz RR, Makimoto H, Lin T, et al. In vivo left-ventricular contact force analysis: comparison of antegrade transseptal with retrograde transaortic mapping strategies and correlation of impedance and electrical amplitude with contact force. *Europace* 2014 [Epub ahead of print].
- 28. Perna F, Heist EK, Danik SB, et al. Assessment of catheter tip contact force resulting in cardiac perforation in swine atria using force sensing technology. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2011;**4**:218–24.
- 29. Di Biase L, Paoletti Perini A, Mohanty P, et al. Visual, tactile, and contact force feedback: Which one is more important for catheter ablation? Results from an in vitro experimental study. *Heart Rhythm* 2014;**11**(3):506–13.
- 30. Makimoto H, Lin T, Rillig A, et al. In vivo contact force analysis and correlation with tissue impedance during left atrial mapping and catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2014;**7**(1):46–54.
- 31. Fürnkranz A, Julian JKRC, Schmidt B, et al. Ipsilateral pulmonary vein isolation performed by a single continuous circular lesion: role of pulmonary vein mapping during ablation. *Europace* 2011;**13**(7):935–41.
- Di Biase L, Natale A, Barrett C, et al. Relationship between catheter forces, lesion characteristics, "popping," and char formation: experience with robotic navigation system. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2009;**20**(4):436–40.
- 33. Reichlin T, Knecht S, Lane C, et al. Initial impedance decrease as an indicator of good catheter contact: Insights from radiofrequency ablation with force sensing catheters. *Heart Rhythm* 2014;**11**(2):194–201.
- 34. Shah DC, Lambert H, Nakagawa H, et al. Area under the real-time contact force curve (force-time integral) predicts radiofrequency lesion size in an in vitro contractile model. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2010;**21**(9):1038–43.
- 35. Mizuno H, Vergara P, Maccabelli G, et al. Contact Force Monitoring for Cardiac Mapping in Patients with Ventricular Tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2013;**24**(5):519–24.