



Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2016 January ; 25(1): 43–50. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-15-0750.

Periodontal disease and breast cancer: Prospective cohort study of postmenopausal women

Jo L Freudenheim¹, Robert J Genco², Michael J LaMonte¹, Amy E Millen¹, Kathleen M Hovey¹, Xiaodan Mai¹, Ngozi Nwizu³, Christopher A Andrews⁴, and Jean Wactawski-Wende¹

¹Department of Epidemiology and Environmental Health, School of Public Health and Health Professions, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY USA

²Department of Oral Biology, School of Dental Medicine, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY USA

³Department of Diagnostic and Biomedical Sciences, School of Dentistry, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston School of Dentistry, Houston, TX USA

⁴Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI USA

Abstract

Background—Periodontal disease (PD) has been consistently associated with chronic disease; there are no large studies of breast cancer although oral-associated microbes are present in breast tumors.

Methods—In the Women’s Health Initiative Observational Study, a prospective cohort of postmenopausal women, 73,737 women without previous breast cancer were followed. Incident, primary, invasive breast tumors were verified by physician adjudication. PD was by self-report. Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated by Cox proportional hazards, adjusted for breast cancer risk factors. Because the oral microbiome of those with PD differs with smoking status, we examined associations stratified by smoking.

Results—2,124 incident, invasive breast cancer cases were identified after mean follow-up of 6.7 years. PD, reported by 26.1% of women, was associated with increased breast cancer risk (HR 1.14, 95% CI 1.03 to 1.26), particularly among former smokers who quit within 20 years (HR 1.36; 95% CI 1.05 to 1.77). Among current smokers, the trend was similar (HR 1.32; 95% CI 0.83 to 2.11); there were few cases (n=74) and the CI included the null. The population attributable

Correspondence to: JL Freudenheim, Department of Epidemiology and Environmental Health, School of Public Health and Health Professions, University at Buffalo, 240 Farber Hall, Buffalo, NY 14214 USA. jfreuden@buffalo.edu; telephone: 716-829-5375.

For a list of all the investigators who have contributed to WHI science, please visit: <https://www.whi.org/researchers/Documents%20%20Write%20a%20Paper/WHI%20Investigator%20Long%20List.pdf>

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None of the authors has any potential conflict of interest with the following possible exception. Robert Genco has a lecture or consulting relationship with the following companies: Sunstar, Colgate Palmolive, Johnson & Johnson, Wrigley, Cigna Insurance and Procter and Gamble which might have an interest in the submitted work but did not contribute to the work. He is a member of the Scientific Advisory Panel of the American Academy of Periodontology a, non-financial interest which may be relevant to the submitted work.

fraction was 12.06% (95% CI 1.12 to 21.79) and 10.90% (95% CI 10.31 to 28.94) for PD among former smokers quitting within 20 years and current smokers, respectively.

Conclusion—PD, a common chronic inflammatory disorder, was associated with increased risk of postmenopausal breast cancer, particularly among former smokers who quit in the past 20 years.

Impact—Understanding a possible role of the oral microbiome in breast carcinogenesis could impact prevention.

Keywords

Breast neoplasms; periodontal disease; postmenopausal women; inflammation; microbiome; epidemiology

INTRODUCTION

Periodontal disease is a highly prevalent, chronic condition characterized by altered oral microbiota and a pro-inflammatory environment (1). It has been found to be associated with increased risk of systemic chronic diseases, including heart disease (2, 3), stroke (4), and diabetes (5). While there has been less study of the association of periodontal disease with cancer, there is evidence that those with the disease are at increased risk of oral, esophageal, head and neck, pancreatic, and lung cancers (6–10). There has been limited study of periodontal disease and breast cancer. In three prospective studies, there was a non-statistically significant increased risk of breast cancer among those with periodontitis; all three were small in size and limited in power (11–13). We examined the association between self-reported periodontal disease history and breast cancer risk in the Women’s Health Initiative Observational Study (WHI OS), a large prospective cohort of postmenopausal women in the United States.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

The WHI OS has been described in detail elsewhere (14,15). Briefly, it is a prospective cohort study of 93,676 postmenopausal women, volunteers aged 50–79, enrolled at 40 centers throughout the United States between 1994 and 1998. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of each of the centers and written informed consent was obtained from all participants before participation in the study.

Ascertainment of study exposures and outcomes

Study participants completed extensive self-administered questionnaires, physical examinations and blood collection (16,17). Participants have been followed annually to ascertain additional exposure information and to determine changes in health status. History of periodontal disease diagnosis was determined on a questionnaire completed at year five of follow-up. Included in the analyses reported here were study participants who completed the questionnaire regarding periodontal disease and who had no history of breast cancer at the time of the periodontal disease report (n=73,737). Excluded were women who did not

complete the questionnaire (n= 11,262), did not complete the dental questions (n=1,208), had been diagnosed with breast cancer prior to year five (n=6,621), or were lost to follow-up (n=848). For these analyses, participants were followed through September 30, 2010.

Diagnosis of breast cancer among study participants was determined by self-report on questionnaires collected annually (18) and were verified by review of medical records by trained physician adjudicators using the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, second edition (ICD-O-2) and classified using guidelines from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results program (19). Data collected included tumor type, stage, nodal status, tumor size, estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR) and HER2 status. There were 2,124 diagnosed cases of confirmed, incident, primary, invasive breast cancer after the year five assessment of periodontal disease among women with no history of breast cancer.

Assessment of history of periodontal disease diagnosis was by self-report using a validated questionnaire (20). Participants responded to the query: “Has a dentist or dental hygienist ever told you that you had periodontal or gum disease?” In addition, they provided information regarding frequency of dental care and loss of all permanent teeth.

Data regarding other potential confounding factors (age, education, race/ethnicity (to pre-defined categories), age at menarche, menopause, and first birth, parity, family history of breast cancer, alcohol consumption, physical activity, smoking history, and second hand smoke exposure) were obtained from self-administered questionnaires; information regarding postmenopausal hormone use and use of aspirin and/or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) were obtained from standardized, interviewer-administered questionnaires. Body height and weight were measured using a clinical balance beam scale and stadiometer, and body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg)/[height (m)]². Smoking status was updated each year. Participants were classified as never, current or former smokers at the time of the fifth year questionnaire. Among those who had ever smoked cigarettes, pack-years of smoking were calculated as packs smoked per day multiplied by number of years smoked. Second hand smoke exposure was assessed in childhood and at home and work during adulthood. Categories of quantitative variables (those not posed as categorical variables on the WHI questionnaires) were developed based in part on combining groups with similar biology (e.g., similar biology for age at menarche) and in part on developing categories of equal size to the extent possible. These categorical variables were included in adjusted models. For individuals with missing age at menopause or age at menarche, age was imputed using the median for the cohort. For other variables, those with missing values for an adjusting variable were not included in the full model analysis.

Statistical analysis

Characteristics of study participants with and without periodontal disease were compared using chi-square tests for categorical variables and Student’s t-tests for continuous variables. Follow-up time was computed as the time between completion of the year five questionnaire and the first occurring study endpoint: breast cancer diagnosis, death from any cause, loss to follow-up or end of follow-up. Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were

computed with Cox proportional hazards regression, adjusting for breast cancer risk factors (age, education, race/ethnicity, body mass index, age at menarche, age at menopause, parity, age at first birth, postmenopausal hormone use, alcohol consumption, physical activity and use of NSAIDs). We also examined models further adjusting for family history of breast cancer, personal history of diabetes, stroke, and myocardial infarction, frequency of dental visits, second hand smoke exposure and edentulism.

Because smoking is associated with periodontal disease (21), we examined control for the potential impact of smoking on the association between periodontal disease and breast cancer risk using several approaches. We examined smoking modeled as smoking status (current/former/never), as years smoked and packs/day entered separately, as pack-years of smoking (alone). Because there might be differences in the oral microbiota for smokers than for non-smokers with periodontal disease (22,23) and we examined models stratified on smoking status.

Additionally, we examined models excluding all women with any history of cancer, and excluding those diagnosed with breast cancer in the year after the ascertainment of periodontal disease status. We examined multiplicative interaction of the association of periodontal disease and breast cancer by age, race, family history of breast cancer, BMI, physical activity, use of hormone therapy, alcohol and NSAIDs by calculation of the p for the multiplicative interaction term. We examined models stratified by estrogen receptor status, edentulism, frequency of dental visits, and mammography, examining differences in the strata by calculation of the p for the multiplicative interaction term.

Finally, we determined population attributable fraction of incident breast cancer among those with a history of periodontal disease, computed as $P_c (1-1/HR_{adj})$ where P_c is the prevalence of periodontal disease among the breast cancer cases and HR_{adj} is the multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio for the association of periodontal disease and breast cancer (24,25). All statistical analyses were performed in SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute).

RESULTS

Characteristics of study participants are shown in Tables 1a and 1b. Because of the large sample, several comparisons were significantly different although differences were small. Mean follow-up from the time of periodontal disease assessment was 6.7 years. Mean follow-up time was slightly longer (0.2 years) among those with periodontal disease compared to those without. Approximately 26% of all participants reported having been told that they had periodontal disease, 21% of never smokers, 30% of former smokers, and 38% of current smokers (data not shown). Those without periodontal disease were on average 0.9 years older than those with the disease. There were statistically significant differences between those with periodontal disease and those without for age at menopause, education, race/ethnicity, age at menarche, age at first birth, parity, mammography, hormone therapy, alcohol consumption, routine dental checks, edentulism and smoking. Among those with invasive breast cancer, periodontal disease status was not associated with tumor characteristics (Table 2).

The association of periodontal disease and invasive breast cancer is shown in Table 3. There was a significant increase in risk of invasive breast cancer among those reporting a history of periodontal disease; the adjusted HR was 1.14 (95% CI 1.03–1.26); it was somewhat weaker with adjustment for smoking status and pack-years (HR 1.11, 95% CI 1.00–1.23). Adjustment for smoking with separate variables for years smoked and packs per day did not measurably change the findings (data not shown). Results were similar after adjusting for history of other cancer, diabetes, stroke or myocardial infarction, family history of breast cancer, second hand smoke exposure, frequency of dental visits, or edentulism (data not shown).

In analyses stratified by smoking status (Table 4), there was a small, non-significant increase in risk of breast cancer risk associated with periodontal disease among never smokers (HR 1.06, 95% CI 0.91 to 1.24). For former smokers who had quit more than 20 years previous, there was also a non-significant increase in risk (HR 1.08, 95% CI 0.91 to 1.27). Among former smokers who had quit within the past 20 years, there was a 36% increase in risk (HR 1.36; 95% CI 1.05 to 1.77). Among current smokers, the magnitude of the association was similar to that for former smokers who had quit within the last 20 years; however the number of cases was small (n=74) and the confidence interval was wider and included the null (HR 1.32; 95% CI 0.83 to 2.11). The test for multiplicative interaction was not significant (p=0.40). In models that also included adjustment for pack-years of smoking, results were similar although the confidence intervals were wider and included the null (data not shown).

There was no evidence of multiplicative interaction of the association of periodontal disease with breast cancer and age, race/ethnicity, family history of breast cancer, BMI, physical activity, use of hormone therapy, alcohol consumption, or use of NSAIDs. There were no differences in the associations in strata defined by estrogen receptor status, edentulism, frequency of dental visits, or of mammography (data not shown).

The population attributable fraction, the portion of breast cancer that would be eliminated if periodontal disease were removed and all other factors remained the same was 2.89% (95% CI –0.06 to 5.75) for the total population, 1.30% (95% CI –2.29 to 4.76) for never smokers, 4.74% (95% CI 0.11 to 9.16) for all former smokers, 2.25% (95% CI –2.83 to 7.08) for former smokers who quit more than 20 years previous, 12.06% (95% CI 1.12 to 21.79) for former smokers who quit less than 20 years previous, and 11.47% (95% CI –10.31 to 28.94) for current smokers (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

In the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study, a large, well-characterized prospective cohort of postmenopausal women, reported history of diagnosis of periodontal disease was associated with primary, invasive breast cancer. Among former smokers who had quit smoking in the previous 20 years, there was a 36% increase in risk. The association was similar among current smokers but the number of women in this category was smaller; the confidence interval was wider and included the null. These findings are consistent with a

role of chronic inflammation in breast cancer risk and point to a possible role of the oral microbiome in breast cancer etiology and prevention.

Study strengths and limitations should be taken into account in interpretation of these findings. Strengths of the study include the prospective design, the completeness of follow-up, the large population size and the well-characterized cohort such that we were able to examine both confounding and interaction by known risk factors. Adjudication of all incident breast cancer cases ensured that there is little misclassification in outcome measures. Further, because this is a generally health conscious cohort that receives frequent medical care, data regarding other breast cancer risk factors, while again by self-report, is generally well measured. This study was limited to postmenopausal women; findings can only be generalized with confidence to that group. Further, the volunteer participants in the WHI observational cohort tended to have some difference in their health behaviors. Prevalence of several periodontal disease risk factors including smoking, diabetes and obesity were lower in the study than in the general population. While these differences may limit study generalizability, there is no reason to think that the biological processes would be different. Another potential limitation is the possibility of confounding in estimates of risk. While we examined potential confounding by all known risk factors for breast cancer and periodontal disease, there may be additional unknown confounders. There could also be residual confounding by smoking, by education or socioeconomic status. Pack-years measure of smoking history is closely correlated with severity of periodontal disease; adjusting for it may be over control and result in an underestimate of the association between periodontal disease and breast cancer risk. On the other hand, residual confounding by smoking might explain some of the observed association. Smoking is strongly associated with periodontal disease; the association with breast cancer is weaker (26). With regard to education, it might also affect results if less educated women received less dental care and were not aware of their periodontal disease status. Even if true, such an association would not likely impact results greatly given that there is not a lot of variability in the cohort for these factors; the cohort is highly educated and largely receives regular dental care. Only 4% of participants had less than high school education and more than 80% had a routine dental check up at least annually. Another issue is the determination of periodontal disease status by self-report. There is likely misclassification of exposure to periodontal disease. In a subsample of the cohort, comparisons were made between periodontal disease assessed by self-reported questionnaire and by clinical dental evaluation; sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value of self report compared to clinically determined severe periodontal disease were 56%, 79%, 33% and 91%, respectively and 76%, 77%, 22% and 97% compared to tooth loss to periodontitis (20). Misclassification of the measure of periodontal disease would likely bias results toward the null; it may be that we underestimated the strength of the association. We did not have data regarding the severity of the periodontal disease or the date when it was diagnosed, details which would have improved our ability to examine the associations.

While there is accumulating evidence that periodontal disease is associated with increased risk of cancer, particularly oral, esophageal, head and neck, pancreatic, and lung cancers as well as possible increases in prostate and hematologic cancers (6–10), there have been, to our knowledge, just three prospective studies of the association with breast cancer. Findings

from all three are consistent with our findings (11–13). In follow-up of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) I Follow-up Study, clinical measurement of periodontitis was associated with a 32% increase in breast cancer risk. However, the study included only 19 breast cancer cases and the increase was not statistically significant (11). In a cohort of 838 women in Sweden, there was a statistically significant increase in breast cancer among those with periodontal disease assessed by a clinical exam; again the number of breast cancer cases was small, only 24 (13). Finally, in a prospective study of approximately 15,000 twin pairs, there was a non-significant increase in breast cancer risk of 12%. The latter study included 531 cases. In that study, the measure of periodontal disease was of tooth mobility, a measure with good specificity but poor sensitivity (12).

There are several potential mechanisms that could explain the observed association of periodontal disease with breast cancer. It could be that periodontal pathogens directly impact carcinogenesis. Bacteria from the oral cavity enter the blood stream following activities including tooth brushing, flossing and chewing, particularly among those with periodontal disease (27). While these circulating oral bacteria are rapidly cleared, there is considerable cumulative exposure to tissues (28). It is known that milk ducts are not sterile, that breast ductal tissues are exposed to bacteria and viruses during lactation and that human milk contains a complex and variable array of microbes (29–31). Further, there is evidence from small studies of the presence of bacteria in breast tissues (32–34) including in breast tumors (34). The origins of microbes in breast tissues and tumors are not known but the oral cavity and gut might contribute (30). Some of the bacteria species identified in breast tissues (33) are also found in the mouth although it is not known if there are the same strains. There is some evidence (35–37), although not consistent (38,39), that there is an increase in breast cancer risk associated with antibiotic use. Particular antibiotics might or might not alter the oral microbiome.

Another potential mechanism is inflammation resulting from the periodontal disease impacting systemic processes including breast carcinogenesis (40). Periodontal disease is associated with chronic systemic inflammation including increased blood C-reactive protein (CRP) (41,42), cytokines and chemokines (43) with a potential impact on carcinogenesis (44). Bacterial metabolites produced in the mouth including nitrosamines and acetaldehyde could have a systemic impact on carcinogenesis (45). It could also be that there are common risk factors including smoking, physical activity or diet as well as etiologic factors such as inflammation, oxidative stress or shared genetic factors that contribute to host susceptibility to both breast cancer and periodontal disease (27,46–48). The cytokine receptor activator of Nuclear Factor- κ B (RANK) and its ligand (RANKL) may be important in breast carcinogenesis and metastasis (49–52). Blood and salivary RANKL are increased in periodontal disease, especially among smokers (53,54). We found that breast cancer risk associated with periodontal disease was limited to smokers, particularly former smokers who had quit in the previous 20 years. Smoking is a major risk factor for periodontal disease (21); the bacterial microbiota for periodontal patients differs for smokers and non-smokers (22,23). Smokers' microbiomes have less diversity, higher prevalence of organisms associated with periodontal pathogenesis and lower prevalence of those associated with health (22,55). There is evidence of lower humoral immune response in both current and former smokers compared to never smokers (56). Our finding of increased breast cancer risk

associated with periodontal disease among former smokers who had quit in the past 20 years could be an indication that previous exposure to smoking was significant in the carcinogenic process or that the smoking resulted in a change slow to be reversed. We examined models both with and without adjusting for pack years of smoking. While point estimates were similar, the confidence intervals were wider and included the null for the latter, more adjusted model. Periodontal disease is common, particularly among older adults. In this cohort, 26% of all participants reported having been told by a dental professional that they have periodontal disease and 31% of current and former smokers reported periodontal disease. If there is a relationship between periodontal disease and breast cancer, based on our findings of attributable risk, approximately 11% of cases among current smokers and 12% of cases among former smokers would result from periodontal disease, and thus could potentially be prevented through improved control of periodontal disease in older women.

We found increased risk of invasive breast cancer among postmenopausal women who had been told that they had periodontal disease, particularly former smokers who had quit in the previous 20 years. Replication of these findings in other populations will allow us to better understand this association between periodontal disease and breast cancer, with the potential to provide new insights and new strategies for prevention of breast cancer. These findings have potential important public health relevance as the subgroup of older U.S. women continues to grow, with increased incidence of both periodontal disease and of breast cancer. Future research should include a species and even strain specific examination of the oral microbiome, particularly for those with periodontal disease, and former and current smokers in relation to the microbiome in normal breast tissues and in breast tumors. Data regarding changes in breast tissues from animal models with treatment of periodontal disease would also be important to understand the observations reported here.

Acknowledgments

FUNDING: This work and the WHI program is funded by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services through contracts (HHSN268201100046C, HHSN268201100001C, HHSN268201100002C, HHSN268201100003C, HHSN268201100004C, and HHSN271201100004C); Xiaodan Mai was supported on NCI R25CA113951.

SHORT LIST OF WHI INVESTIGATORS

Program Office: (National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Bethesda, Maryland) Jacques Rossouw, Shari Ludlam, Dale Burwen, Joan McGowan, Leslie Ford, and Nancy Geller Clinical Coordinating Center: Clinical Coordinating Center: (Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA) Garnet Anderson, Ross Prentice, Andrea LaCroix, and Charles Kooperberg

Investigators and Academic Centers: (Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA) JoAnn E. Manson; (MedStar Health Research Institute/Howard University, Washington, DC) Barbara V. Howard; (Stanford Prevention Research Center, Stanford, CA) Marcia L. Stefanick; (The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH) Rebecca Jackson; (University of Arizona, Tucson/Phoenix, AZ) Cynthia A. Thomson; (University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY) Jean Wactawski-Wende; (University of Florida, Gainesville/Jacksonville, FL) Marian Limacher; (University of Iowa, Iowa City/Davenport, IA) Robert Wallace; (University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA) Lewis Kuller; (Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC) Sally Shumaker

References

1. Linden GJ, Herzberg MC, on behalf of working group 4 of the joint EFP/AAP workshop. Periodontitis and systemic diseases: a record of discussions of working group 4 of the Joint

- EFP/AAP Workshop on Periodontitis and Systemic Diseases. *J Periodontol.* 2013; 84:S20–S23. [PubMed: 23631580]
2. Andriankaja O, Trevisan M, Falkner K, Dorn J, Hovey K, Sarikonda S, et al. Association between periodontal pathogens and risk of nonfatal myocardial infarction. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 2011; 39:177–85. [PubMed: 21375559]
 3. Humphrey LL, Fu R, Buckley DI, Freeman M, Helfand M. Periodontal disease and coronary heart disease incidence: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2008; 23:2079–86. [PubMed: 18807098]
 4. Janket S-J, Baird AE, Chuang S-K, Jones JA. Meta-analysis of periodontal disease and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2003; 95:559–69. [PubMed: 12738947]
 5. Gurav A, Jadhav V. Periodontitis and risk of diabetes mellitus. *J Diabetes.* 2011; 3:21–28. [PubMed: 20923503]
 6. Fitzpatrick SG, Katz J. The association between periodontal disease and cancer: A review of the literature. *J Dentistry.* 2010; 38:83–95.
 7. Ahn J, Segers S, Hayes RB. Periodontal disease, Porphyromonas gingivalis serum antibody levels and orodigestive cancer mortality. *Carcinogenesis.* 2012; 33:1055–58. [PubMed: 22367402]
 8. Linden GJ, Lyons A, Scannapieco FA. Periodontal systemic associations: review of the evidence. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2013; 84:S8–19. [PubMed: 23627336]
 9. Michaud DS, Izard J, Wilhelm-Benartzi CS, You DH, Grote VA, Tjønneland A, et al. Plasma antibodies to oral bacteria and risk of pancreatic cancer in a large European prospective cohort study. *Gut.* 2013; 62:1764–70. [PubMed: 22990306]
 10. Tezal M, Sullivan MA, Hyland A, Marshall JR, Stoler D, Reid ME, et al. Chronic periodontitis and the incidence of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Ca Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2009; 18:2406–12.
 11. Hujoel PP, Drangsholt M, Spiekerman C, Weiss NS. An exploration of the periodontitis-cancer association. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2003; 13:312–16. [PubMed: 12821269]
 12. Arora M, Weuve J, Fall K, Pedersen NL, Mucci LA. An exploration of shared genetic risk factors between periodontal disease and cancers: A prospective co-twin study. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2010; 171:253–9. [PubMed: 19969528]
 13. Söder B, Yakob M, Meurman JH, Andersson LC, Klinge B, Söder P-O. Periodontal disease may associate with breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2011; 127:497–502. [PubMed: 20960226]
 14. The Women's Health Initiative Study Group. Design of the Women's Health Initiative clinical trial and observational study. *Control Clin Trials.* 1998; 19:61–109. [PubMed: 9492970]
 15. Hays J, Hunt JR, Hubbell FA, Anderson GL, Limacher M, Allen C, et al. The Women's Health Initiative recruitment methods and results. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2003; 13:S18–77. [PubMed: 14575939]
 16. Anderson GL, Manson JE, Wallace R, Lund B, Hall D, Davis S, et al. Implementation of the Women's Health Initiative Study Design. *Annals of Epidemiology.* 2003; 13:S5–S17. [PubMed: 14575938]
 17. Langer RD, White E, Lewis CE, Kotchen JM, Hendrix SL, Trevisan M. The Women's Health Initiative Observational Study: baseline characteristics of participants and reliability of baseline measures. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2003; 13:S107–S121. [PubMed: 14575943]
 18. Curb JD, McTiernan A, Heckbert SR, Kooperberg C, Stanford J, Nevitt M, et al. Outcomes ascertainment and adjudication in the Women's Health Initiative. *Annals of Epidemiology.* 2003; 13:S122–S128. [PubMed: 14575944]
 19. Ries, LAGMD.; Karpcho, M.; Mariotto, A.; Miller, BA.; Feuer, EJ.; Clegg, L., et al. SEER cancer statistics review, 1975–2004. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute; 2007.
 20. LaMonte MJ, Hovey KM, Millen AE, Genco RJ, Wactawski-Wende J. Accuracy of self-reported periodontal disease in the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study. *J Periodontol.* 2014; 85:1006–18. [PubMed: 24354649]
 21. US Department of Health and Human Services. A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention,

- National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health; 2004. The Health Consequences of Smoking.
22. Bizzarro S, Loos BG, Laine ML, Crielaard W, Zaura E. Subgingival microbiome in smokers and non-smokers in periodontitis: an exploratory study using traditional targeted techniques and a next-generation sequencing. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2013; 40:483–92. [PubMed: 23489056]
 23. van Winkelhoff AJ, Bosch-Tijhof CJ, Winkel EG, van der Reijden WA. Smoking affects the subgingival microflora in periodontitis. *J Periodontol.* 2001; 72:666–71.
 24. Rothman, KJ.; Greenland, S. *Modern Epidemiology.* 2nd. Philadelphia Pa: Lippincott-Raven Publishers; 1998.
 25. Rockhill B, Newman B, Weinberg C. Use and misuse of population attributable fractions. *Am J Pub Hlth.* 1998; 88:15–19.
 26. Luo J, Margolis KL, Wactawski-Wende J, Horn K, Messina C, Stefanick ML, et al. Association of active and passive smoking with risk of breast cancer among postmenopausal women: a prospective cohort study. *BMJ.* 2011; 342:d1016. [PubMed: 21363864]
 27. Van Dyke TE, van Winkelhoff AJ. Infection and inflammatory mechanisms. *J Periodontol.* 2013; 84:S1–S7. [PubMed: 23631571]
 28. Tomas I, Diz P, Tobias A, Scully C, Donos N. Periodontal health status and bacteraemia from daily oral activities: systematic review/meta-analysis. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2012; 39:213028.
 29. Ward TL, Hosid S, Ioshikhes I, Altosaar I. Human milk metagenome: a functional capacity analysis. *BMC Microbiology.* 2013; 13:116. [PubMed: 23705844]
 30. Fernandez L, Langa S, Martin V, Mardonado A, Jimenez E, Martin R, Rodriguez JM. The human milk microbiota: origin and potential roles in health and disease. *Pharmacol Res.* 2013; 69:1–10. [PubMed: 22974824]
 31. Michie CA, Gilmour J. Breastfeeding and the risks of viral transmission. *Arch Dis Child.* 2001; 84:381–2. [PubMed: 11316675]
 32. Urbaniak C, Burton JP, Reid G. Breast, milk and microbes: a complex relationship that does not end with lactation. *Womens Health.* 2012; 8:385–98.
 33. Urbaniak C, Cummins J, Brackstone M, Macklaim JM, Gloor GB, Baban CK, et al. Microbiota of human breast tissue. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2014; 80:3007–14. [PubMed: 24610844]
 34. Xuan C, Shamonki JM, Chung A, Dinome ML, Chung M, Sieling PA, et al. Microbial dysbiosis is associated with human breast cancer. *PLoS One.* 2014; 9:e83744. [PubMed: 24421902]
 35. Velicer CM, Heckbert SR, Lampe JW, Potter JD, Robertson CA, Taplin SH. Antibiotic use in relation to the risk of breast cancer. *JAMA.* 2004; 291:827–35. [PubMed: 14970061]
 36. Kikkinen A, Rissanen H, Klaukka T, Pukkala E, Heliovaara M, Huovinen P, et al. Antibiotics use predicts an increased risk of cancer. *Int J Ca.* 2008; 123:2152–5.
 37. Friedman GD, Oestreicher N, Chan J, Quesenberry CP, Udaltsova N, Habel LA. Antibiotics and risk of breast cancer: up to 9 years of follow-up of 2.1 million women. *Ca Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2006; 15:2102–6.
 38. Sorenson HT, Skriver MV, Friis S, McLaughlin JK, Blot WJ, Baron JA. Use of antibiotics and risk of breast cancer: a population-based case control study. *Br J Ca.* 2005; 92:594–6.
 39. Rodriguez LAG, Gonzalez-Perez A. Use of antibiotics and risk of breast cancer. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2005; 161:616–9. [PubMed: 15781950]
 40. Chan DS, Bandera EV, Greenwood DC, Norat T. Circulating c-reactive protein and breast cancer risk—systematic literature review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Ca Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2015 [epub ahead of print].
 41. Jshipura KJ, Wand HC, Merchant AT, Rimm EB. Periodontal disease and biomarkers related to cardiovascular disease. *J Dent Res.* 2004; 83:151–5. [PubMed: 14742654]
 42. Noack B, Genco RJ, Trevisan M, Gross S, Zambon JJ, De Nardin E. Periodontal infections contribute to elevated systemic C-reactive protein level. *J Periodontol.* 2001; 72:1221–7. [PubMed: 11577954]
 43. Hayashi C, Gudino CV, Gibson FC, Genco CA. Pathogen-induced inflammation at sites distant from oral infection: bacterial persistence and induction of cell-specific innate immune inflammatory pathways. *Mol Oral Microbiol.* 2010; 25:305–16. [PubMed: 20883220]

44. Elinav E, Nowarski R, Thaïss CA, Hu B, Jin C, Flavell RA. Inflammation-induced cancer: crosstalk between tumours, immune cells and microorganisms. *Nature Reviews Cancer*. 2013; 13:759–71. [PubMed: 24154716]
45. Schwabe RF, Jobin C. The microbiome and cancer. *Nature Reviews Cancer*. 2013; 13:800–12. [PubMed: 24132111]
46. Soory M. Oxidative stress induced mechanisms in the progression of periodontal disease and cancer: a common approach to redox homeostasis? *Cancers*. 2010; 2:670–92. [PubMed: 24281088]
47. Miricescu D, Greabu M, Totan A, Mohora M, Didilescu A, Mitrea N, et al. Oxidative stress—a possible link between systemic and oral diseases. *Farmacia*. 2011; 59:329–37.
48. Bartold PM, Van Dyke TE. Periodontitis: a host-mediated disruption of microbial homeostasis. Unlearning learned concepts. *Periodontol 2000*. 2013; 62:203–18. [PubMed: 23574467]
49. Gonzalez-Suarez E, Jacob AP, Jones J, Miller R, Roudier-Meyer MP, Erwert R, et al. Rank ligand mediates progestin-induced mammary epithelial proliferation and carcinogenesis. *Nature*. 2010; 468:103–7. [PubMed: 20881963]
50. Schramek D, Leibbrandt A, Sigl V, Kenner L, Pospislik JA, Lee HJ, et al. Osteoclast differentiation factor RANKL controls development of progestin-driven mammary cancer. *Nature*. 2010; 468:98–102. [PubMed: 20881962]
51. Sigl V, Penninger JM. RANKL/RANK—From bone physiology to breast cancer. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev*. 2014; 25:205–14. [PubMed: 24486161]
52. Jones DH, Nakashima T, Sanchez OH, Kozieradzki I, Komarova SV, Sarosi I, et al. Regulation of cancer cell migration and bone metastasis by RANKL. *Nature*. 2006; 440:692–96. [PubMed: 16572175]
53. Belibasakis GN, Bostanci N. The RANKL-OPG system in clinical periodontology. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2012; 39:239–48. [PubMed: 22092994]
54. Tobon-Arroyave SI, Isaza-Guzman DM, Restrepo-Cadavid EM, Zapata-Molina SM, Martinez-Pabon MC. Association of salivary levels of the bone remodeling regulators sRANKL and OPG with periodontal clinical status. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2012; 39:1132–40. [PubMed: 23039038]
55. Shchipkova AY, Nagaraja HN, Kumar PS. Subgingival microbial profiles of smokers with periodontitis. *J Dent Res*. 2010; 89:1246–53.
56. Michaud D, Izard J, Rubin Z, Johansson I, Weiderpass E, Tjønneland A, et al. Lifestyle, dietary factors and antibody levels to oral bacteria in cancer-free participants in a European cohort study. *Cancer Causes Control*. 2013; 24:1901–9. [PubMed: 23901020]

Table 1a

Participant Characteristics by periodontal disease, Women's Health Initiative Observational Study

	Periodontal disease			p-value*
	Total 73,737	Yes 19,262	No 54,475	
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	
Follow-up (years) [†]	6.7±2.7	6.8±2.6	6.6±2.7	<.001
Age (year 5)	68.7±7.2	68.1±7.0	69.0±7.3	<.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	27.3±5.8	27.3±5.8	27.3±5.8	0.29
Physical activity (MET hr/wk)	13.3±13.8	13.4±13.6	13.3±13.9	0.34
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	
Education				
High school diploma	14,770 (20.2)	2,982 (15.6)	11,788 (21.8)	<.001
College or some college	35,342 (48.3)	9,088 (47.5)	26,254 (48.6)	
Post-graduate	23,058 (31.5)	7,048 (36.9)	16,010 (29.6)	
Race				
American Indian/Alaskan Native	304 (0.4)	75 (0.4)	229 (0.4)	<.001
Asian/Pacific Islander	2,096 (2.9)	459 (2.4)	1,637 (3.0)	
Black	4,895 (6.7)	1,483 (7.7)	3,412 (6.3)	
White, not of Hispanic origin	63,062 (85.7)	16,447 (85.6)	46,615 (85.8)	
Unknown	816 (1.1)	216 (1.1)	600 (1.1)	
Any aspirin or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory use, year 3				
<1 year current use	44,551 (66.5)	11,750 (67.0)	32,801 (66.3)	0.06
1 or more years current use	22,476 (33.5)	5,777 (33.0)	16,699 (33.7)	
Alcohol consumption past 3 months, year 3				
None	21,829 (31.0)	4,910 (26.8)	16,919 (32.5)	<.001
< 1/2 drink/day	30,932 (44.0)	8,121 (44.3)	22,811 (43.8)	
1/2 drink/day	17,613 (25.0)	5,306 (28.9)	12,307 (23.7)	
Routine dental check-ups				
2 or more times per year	50,183	14,939 (77.6)	35,244 (64.7)	<.001
Once per year	11,090	1,802 (9.4)	9,288 (17.1)	
Less than once per year	2,107	468 (2.4)	1,639 (3.0)	
Never in past three years	4,580	867 (4.5)	3,713 (6.8)	
Whenever needed	5,777	1,186 (6.2)	4,591 (8.4)	
Edentulous				
Yes	5,059 (6.9)	1,089 (5.7)	3,970 (7.3)	<.001

	Periodontal disease			p-value*
	Total 73,737	Yes 19,262	No 54,475	
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	
No	68,678 (93.1)	18,173 (94.3)	50,505 (92.7)	
Smoking status, year 5				
Never Smoked	37,376 (51.3)	7,941 (41.7)	29,435 (54.7)	<.001
Former Smoker	32,400 (44.5)	9,900 (52.0)	22,500 (41.8)	
Current Smoker	3,087 (4.2)	1,180 (6.2)	1,907 (3.5)	
Former smokers, years since quit, year 5				
Quit 20 years ago	23,524 (73.1)	6,622 (67.3)	16,902 (75.7)	<0.001
Quit <20 years ago	8,638 (26.9)	3,219 (32.7)	5,419 (24.3)	
Smokers, pack-years, year 5				
5	11,474 (33.7)	2,834 (26.6)	8,640 (36.9)	<0.001
>5–24	11,242 (33.0)	3,431 (32.2)	7,811 (33.4)	
>24	11,355 (33.3)	4,405 (41.3)	6,950 (29.7)	

* p-value for Student's t-test for continuous variables and for Chi-square test for categorical variables.

† Follow-up from year 5 to breast cancer, end of follow-up period or death in years

Number of missing data for BMI n= 122, physical activity n=228, education n=567, race n=188, **Any aspirin or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory use, year 3** n=6,710, alcohol consumption n=3,363, smoking status n=874, years since quit n=238, pack-years 1,724

Table 1b

Participant Reproductive Characteristics by periodontal disease, Women's Health Initiative Observational Study

	Periodontal disease			p-value*
	Total 73,737	Yes 19,262	No 54,475	
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	
Age at menopause	48.3±6.2	48.4±6.1	48.3±6.2	0.01
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	
Age at menarche				
9–11	16,145 (21.9)	4,529 (23.5)	11,616 (21.3)	<.001
12–13	41,119 (55.8)	10,694 (55.5)	30,425 (55.9)	
≥14	16,473 (22.3)	4,039 (21.0)	12,434 (22.8)	
Age at first birth				
Never pregnant or never had term	9,196 (12.6)	2,664 (13.9)	6,532 (12.1)	<.001
<20	7,983 (10.9)	2,009 (10.5)	5,974 (11.0)	
20–29	50,519 (69.0)	12,918 (67.5)	37,601 (69.5)	
30	5,547 (7.6)	1,539 (8.0)	4,008 (7.4)	
Parity				
Never pregnant or never had term	9,196 (12.6)	2,664 (13.9)	6,532 (12.1)	<.001
1–2	26,011 (35.5)	7,090 (37.1)	18,921 (35.0)	
3–4	28,544 (39.0)	7,237 (37.8)	21,307 (39.4)	
5	9,494 (13.0)	2,139 (11.2)	7,355 (13.6)	
Family history of breast cancer				
Yes	13,173 (18.8)	3,427 (18.8)	9,746 (18.9)	0.84
No	56,717 (81.2)	14,803 (81.2)	41,914 (81.1)	
Mammograms in last 5 years				
1–3	22,544 (32.9)	5,777 (32.0)	16,767 (33.2)	0.005
>3	46,065 (67.1)	12,265 (68.0)	33,800 (66.8)	
Hormone use at year 5				
Never used hormones	20,318 (28.4)	5,228 (27.9)	15,090 (28.6)	<.001
Former E-alone user	7,270 (10.2)	1,684 (9.0)	5,586 (10.6)	
Current E-alone user	15,508 (21.7)	3,893 (20.8)	11,615 (22.0)	
Former E+P user	12,935 (18.1)	3,521 (18.8)	9,414 (17.8)	
Current E+P user	15,526 (21.7)	4,394 (23.5)	11,132 (21.1)	

* p-value for Student's t-test for continuous variables and for Chi-square test for categorical variables.

† Follow-up from year 5 to breast cancer, end of follow-up period or death in years

Number of missing data for age at first birth and parity n=492, family history of breast cancer n=3,847, mammogram in last 5 years n=5,128, hormone use at year 5 n=2,180

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2

Characteristics of invasive breast tumors (stage, lymph node involvement, tumor size, estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR) and HER2 status), by periodontal disease status, Women's Health Initiative Observational Study

	Periodontal Disease			p-value*
	Total 2,124	Yes 616	No 1,508	
Stage				
Localized	1,590	454 (75.3)	1,136 (76.7)	0.51
Regional or distant	495	149 (24.7)	346 (23.3)	
Missing	39	13	26	
Nodal status				
Negative	1,445	418 (75.2)	1,027 (76.5)	0.53
Positive	453	138 (24.8)	315 (23.5)	
Missing	226	60	166	
Tumor size				
<2 cm	1,431	414 (71.6)	1,017 (71.8)	0.54
2–4.9 cm	498	141 (24.4)	357 (25.2)	
>=5 cm	66	23 (4.0)	43 (3.0)	
Missing	129	38	91	
ER/PR status				
ER+PR+	1,391	405 (70.9)	986 (69.7)	0.67
ER+PR–	290	87 (15.2)	203 (14.3)	
ER-PR+	17	4 (0.7)	13 (0.9)	
ER-PR–	288	75 (13.1)	213 (15.1)	
Missing	138	45	93	
ER status				
Positive	1,704	496 (86.0)	1,208 (84.1)	0.28
Negative	310	81 (14.0)	229 (15.9)	
Missing	110	39	71	
PR status				
Positive	1,408	409 (71.4)	999 (70.6)	0.71
Negative	581	164 (28.6)	417 (29.4)	
Missing	135	43	92	
HER2 status				
Positive	265	74 (13.8)	191 (14.6)	0.64
Negative	1,579	463 (86.2)	1,116 (85.4)	
Missing	280	79	201	

* p-value, Chi-square test.

Table 3Association of Periodontal Disease with Risk of Invasive Breast Cancer, Women's Health Initiative
Observational Study

	Total N	Cases N	HR	95% CI
Age adjusted	73,737	2,124	1.14	1.04–1.26
Model 1	63,800	1,898	1.14	1.03–1.26
Model 2	61,693	1,828	1.11	1.00–1.23

Model 1: adjusted for age, education, race/ethnicity, BMI, age at menarche, age at menopause, parity, age at first birth, hormone use, alcohol consumption, physical activity, and NSAIDS

Model 2: adjusted for variables in Model 1, additionally adjusted for smoking status, and pack-years

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 4
 Association of Periodontal Disease with Risk of Invasive Breast Cancer, by Smoking Status, Women's Health Initiative Observational Study

	Total N	Cases N	Case prevalence periodontal disease, %	HR*	95% CI	PAF*	95% CI
Smoking status							
Never smoker	32,593	907	22.9	1.06	0.91–1.24	1.30	–2.29–4.76
Former smoker	28,091	902	34.4	1.16	1.01–1.33	4.74	0.11–9.16
Quit 20yrs	20,515	659	30.3	1.08	0.91–1.27	2.25	–2.83–7.08
Quit < 20yrs	7,387	237	45.6	1.36	1.05–1.77	12.06	1.12–21.79
Current smoker	2,467	74	47.3	1.32	0.83–2.11	11.47	–10.31–28.94

* Hazard ratios (HR) and population attributable fractions (PAF) adjusted for age, education, race/ethnicity, BMI, age at menarche, age at menopause, parity, age at first birth, hormone use, alcohol consumption, physical activity, and NSAIDS