

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Nature*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 January 19.

Published in final edited form as:

Nature. 2015 February 12; 518(7538): 254-257. doi:10.1038/nature14157.

Mammalian Polymerase Theta Promotes Alternative-NHEJ and Suppresses Recombination

Pedro A. Mateos-Gomez¹, Fade Gong², Nidhi Nair³, Kyle M. Miller², Eros Lazzerini-Denchi³, and Agnel Sfeir^{1,*}

¹Skirball Institute of Biomolecular Medicine, Department of Cell Biology, NYU School of Medicine, New York, NY 10016, USA

²Department of Molecular Biosciences, Institute for Cellular and Molecular Biology, University of Texas at Austin. 2506 Speedway Stop A5000, Austin, TX 78712 USA

³Department of Molecular and Experimental Medicine, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA

Abstract

The alternative nonhomologous end-joining (alt-NHEJ) machinery facilitates a number of genomic rearrangements, some of which can lead to cellular transformation. This error-prone repair pathway is triggered upon telomere de-protection to promote the formation of deleterious chromosome end-to-end fusions^{1,2,3}. Using next-generation sequencing technology, we found that repair by alt-NHEJ yields non-TTAGGG nucleotide insertions at fusion breakpoints of dysfunctional telomeres. Investigating the enzymatic activity responsible for the random insertions enabled us to identify Polymerase theta (Pol θ ; encoded by *PolQ*) as a critical alt-NHEJ factor in mammalian cells. *PolQ* inhibition suppresses alt-NHEJ at dysfunctional telomeres, and hinders chromosomal translocations at non-telomeric loci. In addition, we found that *PolQ* loss results in increased rates of homology directed repair (HDR), evident by recombination of dysfunctional telomeres and accumulation of Rad51 at double stranded breaks. Lastly, we show that depletion of *PolQ* has a synergistic impact on cell survival in the absence of *BRCA* genes, suggesting that the inhibition of this mutagenic polymerase represents a valid therapeutic avenue for tumors carrying mutations in HDR genes.

Keywords

PolQ; Telomere; Alternative-NHEJ; Homology directed repair; shelterin; BRCA1

Sequence has been deposited with the BioProject database (ID PRJNA269507).

^{*}Correspondence: Agnel Sfeir, Skirball Institute/NYU Langone Medical center, 540 First Avenue, 4th floor/ Lab3, New York, NY 10016, Phone: (646) 501 6742, agnel.sfeir@med.nyu.edu.

Affiliated with Dan L Duncan Cancer Center, Baylor College of Medicine.

Author Contributions A.S., E.L.D. and P.M.G. conceived the experimental design. P.M.G. and A.S. performed the experiments and analyzed the data. E.L.D. and N.N. performed telomere-sequencing experiments. F.G. and K.M.M. performed experiments related to PolQ localization at DNA breaks. A.S. wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Chromosome end-to-end fusions are inhibited by shelterin; a multi-subunit complex anchored to telomeric DNA using two myb-containing proteins – TRF1 and TRF2⁴. Telomere fusions are executed by two independent end-joining pathways. Classical non-homologous end-joining (C-NHEJ), mediated by Lig4 and Ku70/80, is primarily blocked by TRF2⁵. On the other hand, alternative-NHEJ (alt-NHEJ), dependent on Lig3⁶ and PARP1⁷, is repressed in a redundant manner^{2,3}. Alt-NHEJ is fully unleashed following the simultaneous deletion of TRF1 and TRF2, and the creation of shelterin-free telomeres in Ku70/80 deficient cells². This error-prone end-joining pathway mediates fusion of naturally eroded telomeres¹, joining of switch regions during class switch recombination⁸, and formation of chromosomal translocations in mouse cells^{9,10}.

In order to characterize the differences between C-NHEJ and alt-NHEJ at dysfunctional telomeres, we determined whether the sequence of the junction between two fused telomeres differed depending on the type of repair pathway utilized. Telomere fusions by C-NHEJ were triggered by Cre-mediated depletion of TRF2 using previously described mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) (*TRF2^{F/F}Cre-ER^{T2}*)¹¹(Extended Data Fig.1a). To induce robust fusions by the alt-NHEJ pathway, we depleted the entire shelterin complex by deleting TRF1 and TRF2 from TRF1^{F/F}TRF2^{F/F}Ku80^{-/-}Cre-ER^{T2} MEFs (Extended Data Fig.1a)². DNA was subjected to next-generation sequencing, and reads corresponding to telomeres were identified based on the presence of at least three consecutive TTAGGG repeats. To detect rare reads containing fusion junctions, we exploited the novel sequence arrangement created by the ligation of the 3' G-rich strand (TTAGGG-3') to the 5' C-rich strand (5'-CCCTAA) (Fig. 1a), and filtered reads that started with at least three G-rich repeats and ended with two or more C-rich repeats. We confirmed that this approach could successfully identify telomere fusions by comparing reads derived from TRF2-proficient and TRF2-deficient cells. Starting with a similar number of telomere-repeat containing reads, we identified >90 fusogenic events in TRF2 knockout MEFs, compared to only three events in wild-type cells (Fig. 1b). Sequence analysis of the junctions highlighted different permutations of TTAGGG/AATCCC sequences. Interestingly, the spectrum of the fusion junctions was different in shelterin-free settings, where frequent non-telomeric nucleotide insertions (9/46 events) were identified at fusion breakpoints (Fig. 1b-d and Supplementary Information).

To identify the enzyme that incorporated nucleotides at dysfunctional telomeres, we depleted known low-fidelity DNA polymerases in shelterin-free cells lacking *Ku80*, and analyzed chromosome-end fusions on metaphase spreads. Strikingly, we observed a reduction in the frequency of telomere fusions in cells with reduced levels of polymerase theta (Pol θ , encoded by *PolQ*) (Fig. 1e–f and Extended Data Fig.1b). The activity of Pol θ is specific to alt-NHEJ since its inhibition in *TRF2* knockout cells did not impact the frequency of C-NHEJ (Fig. 2a–b and Extended Data Fig.2a–c).

Pol θ is an A-family DNA polymerases that exhibits low-fidelity on templated-DNA¹², and also displays a terminal transferase-like activity that catalyzes nucleotide addition in a template-independent manner¹³. The relevance of these activities *in vivo* was highlighted in *D. melanogaster*, where Pol θ was shown to stimulate nucleotide insertions during DSB repair by alt-NHEJ¹⁴. More recently, Pol θ was shown to promote end-joining of replication-

associated DSBs in *C. elegans*¹⁵, preventing large deletions around G-rich DNA¹⁶. The exact function of Pol θ during DSB repair in mammalian cells remains elusive.

The critical role for Pol θ at dysfunctional telomeres prompted us to test whether it is required for DSB repair at non-telomeric loci. To this end, we tested whether depletion of Pol0 impacts chromosomal translocations in the context of mouse pluripotent cells, reported to be specifically mediated by alt-NHEJ¹⁰ in a Lig3-dependent manner⁹. To model chromosomal translocations, we induced DSBs in the Rosa26 and the H3f3B loci using CRISPR/Cas9 (Fig. 2c). When introduced into PolQ^{+/+} and PolQ^{-/-} cells¹⁷, the Cas9gRNA(Rosa26;H3f3b) expression plasmid induced simultaneous cleavage of the both loci with comparable efficiencies (Extended Data Fig.2d-e). Consistent with previous reports, 24% of translocation events in $PolQ^{+/+}$ cells were scarred by random insertions. Strikingly, the overall frequency of translocations in cells lacking *PolQ* was significantly reduced (Fig. 2d). Sequence analysis of residual translocations in $PolO^{-/-}$ cells highlighted the absence of insertions, and a concomitant decrease in micro-homology at junctions (Fig. 2d, Extended Data Fig.2–5). Notably, we observed similar results when assessing translocation frequency in cells expressing a catalytically inactive form of Pol θ (Extended Data Fig.2g-k). Altogether, our data indicate that the promiscuous activity of Pol⁰ during DSB repair contributes to the increased mutagenicity of alt-NHEJ. Importantly, our results suggest that mammalian Pol θ plays a critical role by stimulating the end-joining reaction.

We next investigated the upstream signaling event(s) required for the recruitment of Pol θ to DNA damage sites, induced upon micro-irradiation of HeLa cells expressing Myc-tagged PolQ. Accumulation of Pol θ at laser-induced DNA breaks, discerned by its co-localization with γ -H2AX, occurred in ~25% of cells that stained positive for Myc (Fig. 3a–b), and was independent of either ATM or ATR signaling (Extended Data Fig.6). Instead, co-localization of Pol θ with γ -H2AX was reduced upon depletion of PARP1 with siRNAs, or following the inhibition of PARP1 activity (KU58948) (Fig. 3a–b). In a parallel experiment that employed a recently developed U2OS-DSB reporter cell line¹⁸, we were able to ascertain the localization of Myc-PolQ to *bona fide* DSBs, induced upon Fok1 cleavage of a LacO-tagged genomic locus (Extended Data Fig.7). In conclusion, our data suggest that PARP1, previously known to be required for alt-NHEJ^{7,19}, facilitates the recruitment of Pol θ to DSBs.

Homology-directed repair (HDR) is prevalent during the S-G2 phases of the cell cycle, which coincides with the peak of alt-NHEJ activity, and these pathways also share the initial resection step mediated by Mre11/CtIP²⁰. To test whether inhibiting alt-NHEJ could potentially result in increased HDR, we depleted shelterin in *Lig4* deficient MEFs, a genetic setting that is conducive to the activity of NHEJ as well as HDR². To investigate the relative contribution of the two repair pathways we used the Chromosome-Orientation FISH (CO-FISH) assay²¹, and monitored the exchange of telomeres between sister chromatids by HDR (T-SCE: telomere sister chromatid exchange), and at the same time, measured the frequency of chromosome end-end fusion by end-joining (Fig. 3c). Following depletion of shelterin from *TRF1^{F/F}TRF2^{F/F}Lig4^{-/-}*Cre-ER^{T2} MEFs, ~10% of the telomeres were processed by alt-NHEJ, while ~5% of chromosome ends showed T-SCEs² (Fig. 3c–d and Extended Data Fig.8a–c). As expected, we observed a substantial reduction in the frequency of alt-NHEJ at

shelterin-free telomeres in $Lig4^{-/-}$ cells that lack Pol θ or Lig3 (Fig. 3d and Extended Data Fig.8a–e). Remarkably, *PolQ* depleted cells exhibited a concomitant increase in T-SCE, which was not evident in cells lacking *Lig3* (Fig. 3d), thereby highlighting a unique role for Pol θ in counteracting HDR. To gain insight into this novel Pol θ function, we show that the promiscuous polymerase is not required for end-resection of DSBs (Extended Data Fig.8f–g). Instead, its activity counteracts the accumulation of Rad51 foci (Fig. 3e–f and Extended Data Fig.8h). To corroborate these findings, we employed the traffic light reporter (TLR) system, designed to generate a flow-cytometric readout for HDR and end-joining at a site-specific DNA break induced by I-Sce1²². We observed that upon knocking down *PolQ* in *Lig4*^{-/-} cells, resolution of the I-Sce1 induced DNA break by HDR is increased, in conjunction with a significant reduction in the frequency of alt-NHEJ (Extended Data Fig. 9).

Alt-NHEJ is often considered as a back-up choice for DSB repair, operating at the expense of genomic stability. Circumstantial evidence suggests that this pathway could be enhanced when HDR is impaired 23,24 . We therefore postulated that this error-prone mode of repair plays an essential role in cells with compromised HDR activity. We tested this hypothesis by inhibiting *PolQ* in cells lacking the breast cancer susceptibility genes – *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*. Chromosome analysis revealed a 4-fold increase in chromosomal aberrancies following PolO depletion in MEFs lacking either BRCA1 or BRCA2. Such aberrancies included chromatid and chromosome breaks, in addition to radial chromosome structures characteristic of Lig4-mediated processing of chromatid breaks via the C-NHEJ pathway (Fig. 4a-b and Extended Data Fig. 10a-b). Ultimately, the increased genomic instability in cells co-depleted for BRCA and PolQ compromised cellular survival. We observed that BRCA1 mutated human cells (Fig. 4c-d), and mouse cells lacking BRCA1 (Extended Data Fig.10c-f) displayed significantly reduced colony forming capabilities upon PolQ impairment. Although we cannot exclude that Pol@ performs additional activities required for the survival of BRCA deficient cells²⁵, our data suggest that Pol θ -mediated alt-NHEJ promotes survival of cells with a compromised HDR pathway. One can envision that in the absence of a safer means to repair breaks alt-NHEJ prevents genomic havoc by resolving unrepaired lesions.

In summary, we provide direct evidence linking Pol θ to alt-NHEJ repair in mammalian cells (Fig. 4e). We also show that while Pol θ hinders error-free repair by HDR (Fig. 4e-i), its activity is essential for the survival of HDR-deficient cells (Fig. 4e-ii). The question remains as to how this promiscuous polymerase orchestrates DSB repair. Following DSB formation in S/G2 phase of the cell cycle, resection of DSBs by Mre11/CtIP²⁰ potentially exposes micro-homology which allows spontaneous annealing of broken DNA ends (Fig. 4e). The binding of RPA antagonizes this annealing step²⁶ to promote HDR-mediated repair²⁷. An opposing activity is likely to be exerted by Pol θ . Placing our finding in the context of recent biochemical experiments and genetic studies in model organisms^{13–16}, we ultimately propose a model whereby Pol θ exploits both its template-independent and template-dependent activities to stabilize the annealed intermediate structure and channel repair towards the alt-NHEJ pathway (Fig. 4e). Our findings that Pol θ is critical for alt-NHEJ

Finally, it is intriguing that while PolQ expression in normal human tissues is generally repressed²⁸, it is up-regulated in a wide range of human cancers and associates with poor clinical outcome in breast tumors^{29,30}. Our findings that cells with compromised HDR activity depend on this mutagenic polymerase for survival establish a rationale for the development of Pol θ -targeted approaches for cancer treatment.

METHODS

Cell culture procedures

TRF2^{F/F}Cre-ER^{T2}. TRF1^{F/F}TRF2^{F/F}Ku80^{-/-}Cre-ER^{T2}. TRF1^{F/F}TRF2^{F/F}Lig4^{-/-}Cre-ER^{T2} mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) lines were previously described^{2,11}. $PolQ^{+/+}$ and PolQ^{-/-} MEFs were a generous gift from N. Shima¹⁷. BRCA1^{F/F}Cre-ER^{T2}, BRCA2^{F/F}Cre-ER^{T2} MEFS and U2OS-DSB reporter cells¹² were a gift from R. Greenberg. *TRF1^{F/F}TRF2^{F/F}Lig4^{-/-}*Cre-ER^{T2} MEFs were derived from mice that were deficient for p53. The remaining MEF lines were immortalized with pBabeSV40LargeT. MEFs were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10-15% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco), 2 mM L-glutamine (Sigma), 100 U/ml penicillin (Sigma), 0.1 µg/ml streptomycin (Sigma), 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids (Invitrogen), and 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Sigma). Expression of Cre recombinase was induced by treating MEFs carrying Cre-ER^{T2} allele with 0.5 µM 4-OH tamoxifen (4-OHT; Sigma H7904) for 12 hours. t=0 time-point was set at the time of treatment with 4-OHT. BJ-hTERT and MCF7 cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. HCC1937 cells were grown in RPMI medium (Gibco) containing 15% FBS. U2OS-DSB reporter cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% BCS. Human HeLa cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin and 2 mM L-glutamine. Mouse embryonic stem cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 15% fetal bovine serum (ES qualified FBS) (Gibco), 2 mM L-glutamine (Sigma), 100 U/ml penicillin (Sigma), 0.1 µg/ml streptomycin (Sigma), 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids (Invitrogen), leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) and 2-Betamercaptoethanol (Gibco 21985). For inhibitor experiments, PARPi (KU58948, Axon medchem), ATMi (KU-55933, Tocris), and ATRi (VE-821, Selleckchem) were all used at a final concentration of $10 \,\mu$ M, and were applied to culture medium 2–4 hours prior to irradiation. For ionizing radiation (IR) treatment, cells were exposed to 1–10 Gy IR by a Faxitron X-ray system (120kV, 5mA, does rate 5Gy/min) and recovered for 4 hours before immunofluorescence analysis.

Lentiviral delivery of shRNA

shRNA treatments were carried out prior to 4-OHT treatment. shRNAs (see table for a list of sequences) were introduced by 2 lentiviral infections at 12hr intervals using supernatant from transfected 293T cells. Parallel infection with pLK0.1 was used as a negative control. Cells were selected with puromycin for three days.

Traffic Light Reporter (TLR) assay

Lentiviral constructs coding for TLR (#31482) and I-Sce1 with donor e-GFP (#31476) were purchased from Addgene²². To avoid the confounding impact of classical-NHEJ on the repair of I-Sce1 induced DNA breaks, we stably integrated the TLR construct into Ku80^{-/-} and Lig4^{-/-} MEFs. The plasmid was transduced by 2 lentiviral infections at 12 hr intervals using supernatant from transfected 293T cells. Cells with integrated TLR were selected with puromycin for 5 days. Cells were then co-transduced with concentrated I-Sce1 and sh*PolQ* lentiviral particles. Cells were collected 72 hours later without further antibiotic selection and analyzed on a BD LSRII. eGFP fluorescence which reflects HDR repair was measured using a 488-nm laser for excitation and a 530/30 filter for detection. mCherry fluorescence, indicative of alt-NHEJ was measured by using a 561-nm laser for excitation and a 610/20 filter for detection. Data were analyzed using FloJo software.

Detection of telomeric fusions

To enrich for telomeric DNA, genomic DNA was digested with 2 frequent cutters (AluI and MboI) and fragments greater than 10Kb were isolated. The resulting DNA was used to generate a library using the NEBNext Ultra library prep Kit and the NEBNext Multiplex Oligos for Illumina (NEB) following the manufacturer instructions. The resulting library was run on an Illumina HiSeq platform generating 100 bp indexed pair-end reads.

Transient transfection of cells and laser micro-irradiation

Full-length human *PolQ* was cloned into pLPC-Myc vectors. HeLa cells were plated on glass-bottomed dishes (Willco Wells). Myc-*PolQ* constructs were transfected into HeLa and U2OS-DSB reporter cells cell with HilyMax (Dojindo) according to the manufacture's instruction. 24 hours-post transfection, cells were pre-sensitized with 10 μ M 5-bromo-2' deoxyuridine (BrdU) in normal DMEM medium for 20 hours. After indicated treatments, cells were damaged by laser micro-irradiation as previous described³¹. After laser micro-irradiation, cells were incubated for 1 hour, then fixed and analyzed by immunofluorescence and microscopic imaging as described below. For PARP siRNA experiments, cells were transfected with siCtrl (Non-Targeting pool, Thermo Scientific) or siPARP1 (GGGCAAGCACAGUGUCAAAUU, Sigma), for 24 hours prior to *PolQ* transfections and subsequent treatments as described above.

Immunofluorescence (IF) and confocal microscopy

After the indicated treatments, cells were processed and analyzed for IF as previously described³². Briefly, cells were fixed with 2% (v/v) paraformaldehyde for 15 minutes at room temperature. Cells were washed with PBS, permeabilized with 0.5% (v/v) Triton X for 10 minutes, and blocked with PBS containing 3% BSA. Cells were incubated with the same buffer containing primary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature followed by secondary antibodies incubations for 1 hour at room temp. Cells were imaged and analyzed with Z-stacked setting using the FV10-ASW3.1 software on a Fluoview 1000 confocal microscope (Olympus). For laser line quantification, > 50 cells were counted for all conditions from two independent experiments. The primary antibodies used for IF were: γ H2AX [p Ser139] (Rabbit polyclonal, Novus, NB100-384), c-Myc (Mouse monoclonal, Santa Cruz, sc-40).

The secondary antibodies used for IF were: Alexa Fluor 594 (Rabbit) (Invitrogen, A11037) and Alexa Fluor 488 (Mouse) (Invitrogen, A11029). To analyze the recruitment of PolQ to double stranded breaks, U2OS-DSB reporter cells expressing Myc-POLQ were analyzed 4 hours after treatment with shield and tamoxifen. Lastly, to analyze Rad51 foci formation and its co-localization with γ H2AX [p Ser139] following IR treatment, cells were treated with 0.2% Triton-X 100 (in PBS) for 5 minutes on ice prior to fixation with paraformaldehyde. The primary antibodies used for Rad51 IF were: γ H2AX [p Ser139] (mouse monoclonal, Novus, NB100-384), Rad51 (Rabbit polyclonal, Santa Cruz, sc-8349).

FISH

Cells were harvested at 96 hours following treatment with 4-OHT to analyze the frequency of telomere fusions. Briefly, ~80% confluent MEFs were incubated for 2 hours with 0.2 µg/ml colcemid (Sigma). The cells were harvested by trypsinization, resuspended in 0.075 M KCl at 37°C for 30 minutes, and fixed overnight in methanol/acetic acid (3:1) at 4°C. The cells were dropped onto glass slides and the slides were dried overnight. The next day, the slides were rehydrated with PBS for 15 min then fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 2 min at room temperature. Slides were digested with 1 mg/ml Pepsin (pH 2.2) at 37°C for 10 minutes, washed three times with PBS and fixed again in 4% formaldehyde for 2 min at room temperature. After three PBS washes, the slides were incubated consecutively with 75%, 95%, and 100% ethanol and allowed to air dry for 30 min before applying hybridization solutions (70% formamide, 1 mg/ml blocking reagent (Roche), 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.2) containing TAMRA-OO-[TTAGGG]₃ PNA probes (Applied Biosystems). Slides were denatured by heating for 3 min at 80°C and hybridized for 2 hours at room temperature. The following day, the slides were washed twice for 15 min each in 70% formamide/10 mM Tris-HCl, followed by three 5 min washes in 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.0/0.15 M NaCl/0.08% Tween-20. Chromosomal DNA was counterstained with 4,6diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) during the second PBS wash. Slides were mounted in antifade reagent (ProLong Gold, Invitrogen) and images were captured with a Nikon Eclipse TI microscope. (From http://delangelab.rockefeller.edu/protocols)

CO-FISH

Cells were labeled with BrdU:BrdC (3:1, final concentration: 10 µM) for 14–16 hours. 2 hours prior to harvesting by trypsinization, 0.2 µg/ml colcemid was added to the media. To fix the cells and drop metaphases on a glass slide, the same procedure that was applied for FISH was followed. Slides were treated with 0.5 mg/ml RNase A (in PBS, DNase free) for 10 min at 37°C, incubated with 0.5 µg/ml Hoechst 33258 (Sigma) in 2XSSC for 15 min at room temperature, and exposed to 365-nm UV light (Stratalinker 1800 UV irradiator) for 30 min. The slides were then digested twice with 800 U Exonuclease III (Promega) at room temperature for 10 min each, washed with PBS and dehydrated through an ethanol series of 70%, 95%, 100%. After air-drying, slides were hybridized with Tamra-OO-[TTAGGG]₃ PNA probe in hybridization solution (70% formamide, 1 mg/ml blocking reagent (Roche), 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.2) for 2 hours at room temperature. The slides were then washed for few seconds with 70% formamide/10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.2 and incubated with FITC-OO-[CCCTAA]₃ PNA probe in hybridization solution for 2 hours. Slides were washed and mounted as described for FISH. (From http://delangelab.rockefeller.edu/protocols)

Western blot analysis

Cells were harvested by trypsinization, lysed in 2X Laemmli buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 200 μ M DTT, 3% SDS, 20% glycerol, 0.05% bromophenol blue) at 10⁴ cell/ μ l. The lysate was denatured for 10 min at 95°C, and sheared by forcing it through a 28-gauge insulin needle 10 times. Lysate from 10⁵ cells was loaded on an SDS/PAGE and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was blocked in 5% milk in TBS with 0.1% Tween-20 and incubated with primary antibody in TBS/5% milk/0.1% Tween-20 for 2 hours at room temperature. The following primary antibodies were utilized: Polθ (ab80906, Abcam); TRF1 (1449, rabbit polyclonal); Rap1 (1252, rabbit polyclonal); Phospho-Chk2 (Thr68) (Rabbit polyclonal, Cell Signaling); Chk2 (rabbit polyclonal, Cell Signaling); Phospho-Chk1 (Ser 345) (mouse monoclonal, Cell Signaling); Chk1 (mouse monoclonal, Santa Cruz); Lig3 (mouse monoclonal, Santa Cruz); Myc (9E10; Calbiochem); γ - tubulin (clone GTU-88, Sigma); PARP1 (Polyclonal, Cell signaling). (From http://delangelab.rockefeller.edu/protocols)

Chromosomal Aberrancies

Cells were harvested and dropped on microscope slides as described for the FISH protocol. After the slides had dried overnight, they were re-hydrated in PBS, stained with 0.25 μ g/ml DAPI, dehydrated in 70%, 95%, 100% ethanol series, mounted, and imaged using Nikon Eclipse TI microscope. Aberrancies were scored as a percentage of chromatid breaks, chromosome breaks, and chromosome radial structures compared to total number of chromosomes.

Chromosomal translocation assay

Induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells were derived from primary $PolQ^{+/+}$ and $PolQ^{-/-}$ MEFs as according to standard Yamanaka protocol³³. To perform the translocation assay, $PolO^{+/+}$ and PolQ^{-/-} iPS cells were transfected with 3ug of Cas9-gRNA(Rosa26;H3f3b) using lipofectamine. We constructed Cas9-gRNA(Rosa26;H3f3b) by introducing two guide RNAs (GTTGGCTCGCCGGATACGGG, for H3f3b; ACTCCAGTCTTTCTAGAAGA, for Rosa26) into pX330 (#42230 Addgene). After transfection, 10^4 cells were seeded per well in a 96-well plate, and lysed 3 days later in 40ul lysis buffer (10mM Tris pH 8.0, 0.45% Nonidet P-40, 0.45% Tween 20). The lysate was incubated with 200ug/ml of Proteinase K for 2 hours at 55°C. Translocation detection was performed according to previously established protocol⁹, using nested PCR. The primers used for the first PCR reaction: Tr6-11-Fwd:5'-GCGGGAGAAATGGATATGAA-3'; Tr6-11-Rev: 5'-TTGACGCCTTCCTTCTTG -3', and Tr11-6-Fwd: 5'-AACCTTTGAAAAAGCCCACA-3' and Tr11-6-Rev:5'-GCACGTTTCCGACTTGAGTT-3', for Der(6) and Der (11) respectively. For the second round of PCR amplification we used the primers: Tr6-11NFwd: 5'-GGCGGATCACAAGCAATAAT-3'; Tr6-11NRev: 5'-CTGCCATTCCAGAGATTGGT-3' and Tr11-6NFwd:5'-AGCCACAGTGCTCACATCAC-3' and Tr11-6NRev: 5'TCCCAAAGTCGCTCTGAGTT-3'. The number of PCR-positive wells was used to calculate the translocation frequency as described previously described⁹. Amplified products from positive wells were sequenced to verify translocations and determine the junction sequences.

Surveyor assay

Forty-eight hours after transfection, genomic DNA was extracted with GE Healthcare illustra genomic Prep Mini Spin Kit (28-9042-76). The genomic region encompassing the guide RNA target sites was amplified using Q5 High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (New England BioLabs) with the following primers Rosa26-Fwd: 5'-

TAAAACTCGGGTGAGCATGT-3', Rosa26-Rev: 5'-

GGAGTTCTCTGCTGCCTCCTG-3'; H3f3b-Fwd: 5'-

GCGGCGGCTTGATTGCTCCAG-3' and H3f3b-Rev: 5'-

AGCAACTTGTCACTCCTGAGCCAC-3'. PCR fragments were gel purified and the surveyor assay was performed using a detection kit (Transgenomic), according to manufacturer's instructions. 2% agarose gels were used to visualize the bands after surveyor digestion.

Colony Formation Assay

Following lentiviral transduction with sh*Ctrl* or sh*PolQ* (sequence listed in table below), cells were selected with Puromycin (BJ: 0.5ug/ml; MCF7 and HCC1937: 1ug/ml; MEFs, 2ug/ml) for 72 hours and plated in 6cm dishes (1000 and 10000 cells per plate). After 10–14 days colonies were fixed with 3% PFA (5 minutes), rinsed with PBS, and stained with crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich).

CRISPR targeting to mutate PolQ gene in mouse embryonic stem cells

To generate cells carrying a catalytic dead Pol θ , two mutations at residues D2494G and E2495S³⁴ were introduced in the endogenous PolQ locus in mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells using CRISPR/Cs9 gene targeting. Two guides RNAs were co-transfected with a Cas9-nickase (pX335-U6-Chimeric_BB-CBh-hSpCas9n(D10A)), and a donor cassette which introduces a SacII restriction site while replacing the two amino acid residues. Clonal cells lines were derived and genotyped to determine successful targeting. Two independent clonally derived lines were used for the analysis of translocation.

shRNA target sequence (pLK0.1 vector)

POLm: CTCACCTCTCACACACCATAA				
POLk: GCCCTTAGAAATGTCTCATAA				
POLh: GCTCGATTCTCCAGCTTACAA				
POLi: AGTGAAGAAGATACGTTTAAA				
POLb: CCAAAGTTGTTACATCGTGTT				
POLn: CCTACTCACATGAAGGACATT				
Lig3 (mouse):	CCAGACTTCAAACGTCTCAAA			
Lig3 (human):	CCGGATCATGTTCTCAGAAAT			
POLQ-1 (mouse):	CGGCGGAGTATGAGAACTATT			
POLO-2(mouse):	CCAGGAATCAAAGACGACAAT			

POLQ-3(mouse):	CCTGGCTGAATGCTGAACTTT
hPOLQ (human):	CGGGCCTCTTTAGATATAAAT
BRCA1:	GCTCAGTGTATGACTCAGTTT

Primers for Q-PCR

BRCA1Fwd:	CTGCCGTCCAAATTCAAGAAGT
BRCA1Rev:	CTTGTGCTTCCCTGTAGGCT
BRCA2Fwd:	TGTGGTAGATGTTGCTAGTCCGCC
BRCA2Rev:	GCTTTTCTCGTTGTAGTACTGCC
POLb Fwd:	TGAACCATCATCAACGAATTGGG
POLbRev:	CCATGTCTCCACTCGACTCTG
POLmFwd:	AGGCTTCCGCGTCCTAGAT
POLmRev:	GTGGGGAGAGCATCCATGTT
POLkFwd:	AGCTCAAATTACCAGCCAGCA
POLkRev:	GGTTGTCCCTCATTTCCACAG
POLhFwd:	ATCGAGTGGTTGCTCTTGTAGA
POLhRev:	CCAAATGCTCGGGCTTCATAG
POLiFwd:	GCAGTCAAGGGCCACCTAC
POLiRev:	AGGTCTGTCCTTTAATTCTGGGT
POLnFwd:	AGCTGATGGATGCTCTCAAGCAGG
POLnRev:	GAGTCAGAGTGCTGTTGCCTACATGG
LIG3(mouse)Fwd:	GAAGAAAGCTGCTGTCCAGG
LIG3(mouse)Rev:	CAGAGTTGTTGGGTTTTGCTG
LIG3(human)Fwd:	GAAGAGCTGGAAGATAATGAGAAGG
LIG3(human)Rev:	AGTGGTTGTCAACTTAGCCTGG
POLQ(mouse)Fwd:	CAAGGTTTCATTCGGGTCTTGG
POLQ (mouse)Rev:	CGAGCAGGAAGATTCACTCCAG
POLQ(human) Fwd:	CAGCCCTTATAGTGGAAGAAGC
POLQ(human) Rev:	GCACATGGATTCCATTGCACTC



Extended data figure 1.

(Related to Figure 1). **a**, Immunoblots for TRF1 and Rap1 after 4-OHT-induced depletion of TRF2 from $TRF2^{F/F}Cre-ER^{T2}$ MEFs and co-depletion of TRF1 and TRF2 from $TRF1^{F/F}TRF2^{F/F}Ku80^{-/-}Cre-ER^{T2}$ cells. Loss of TRF2 is confirmed by the disappearance of Rap1; a TRF2-interacting protein whose stability depends on TRF2^{35,36} **b**, To validate the impact of PolQ deletion on alt-NHEJ we monitored the frequency of telomere fusions in shelterin-free Ku80 null cells treated with three independent sh*PolQ* vectors. shPolQ-1 was used in Figure 2. Error bars represent s.e.m.



Extended data figure 2.

(Related to Figure 2). **a**, Immunobloting for Pol θ in MEFs with the indicated genotype and treatment. **b**, Immunoblot for TRF1 in MEFs with the indicated genotype. Cells were analyzed 96 hours following Cre induction. **c**, Rap1 Immunoblot (similar to B). **d**, Western blot analysis for Pol θ and Flag-Cas9 in lysates prepared from $PolQ^{-/-}$ and $PolQ^{+/+}$ cells following Cas9 expression. Tubulin serves as a loading control. **e**, Surveyor nuclease assay for $PolQ^{-/-}$ and $PolQ^{+/+}$ cells expressing Cas9-gRNA(Rosa26;H3f3b) plasmid. Genomic DNA isolated from cells with the indicated genotype was used as a template to amplify

across the cleavage site at either the Rosa26 or the H3f3b locus to assess intra-chromosomal NHEJ. Amplification products were denatured and then re-annealed to form heteroduplexes between unmodified and modified sequences from imprecise NHEJ. The mismatched duplex was selectively cleaved by the Surveyor nuclease at the loops that form at mismatches. f, Signature of translocations in $PolQ^{-/-}$ and $PolQ^{+/+}$ cells (see Extended Data Fig. 3–5 for complete list of sequences). Table records the total number of translocation events identified following CRISPR-Cas induced-cleavage. On average, the same number of nucleotides was deleted at the fusion junction in $PolQ^{-/-}$ and $PolQ^{+/+}$ cells. No nucleotide insertions were found in the absence of *PolQ*. Lastly, the percentage of junctions exhibiting microhomology were significantly reduced in cells lacking *PolQ*. **g**, Scheme depicting Pol θ domains. CRISPR/Cas9 gene targeting was employed in order to create two base substitutions at D2494G and E2495S, and generate a catalytic dead polymerase³⁴. h, Sequence analysis of targeted cells. **i**, genotyping PCRs of $PolQ^{+/+}$ and $PolQ^{CD}$ after SacII digestion. **i**. Immunoblotting to analyze Cas9 expression in PolQ^{+/+} and two independently derived $PolQ^{CD}$ clonal cell lines. **k**, Frequency of chromosomal translocations (Der-6) in $PolQ^{+/+}$ and $PolQ^{CD}$ cells. Bars represent mean of four independent experiments \pm SD (two experiments per clonal cell line). ** represents p=0.006 (two-tailed student's t test). PCR products were sequenced to confirm translocation and identify possible insertions.

Translocation Junction Sequence of Polq+/+ cells

Der(11)

	1
Chr-11	✓ Chr-6
TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGAGCC	TCTAGAAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC1
IGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCC AATATATACA	ITATACATATATCTGCGCCAGGCGGG
TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCG	GAAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC1 TACTCC
TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCG CCC	TGCTTGCGACTCTCCTC TTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC1
TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCC	TAGAAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC1
TCTGGTGACTGCAGGCCAG	AGAAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC1
TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCC	AGAAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC1 (4nt)
TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGAG	ACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC1
CTGACCTGCAGTCACCAG	TAGAAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC1
TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGAGCC	AGAAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGAG A	CTGG AGAAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC1
TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGAGCC	TCTAGAAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCC	AGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGAGCC	(66nt) AAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTAT	ACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGAGC	(136nt) AAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCC (282nt)	GAAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGAG	GAGGCGCTCCCAGGTTCCGGCCCTCCCCTCGGCCCCGCGCGCAGATAT
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCG	AGAAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCG	AGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGA	
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCG	AGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
	AAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCG	GAAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCG	AGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGAG	AGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCG	TCTAGAAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGG	AAGGGAA GAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCC	GACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCCA	GAAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCC	TCTAGAAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
(2n	TGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGAAGGGAAGGG
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATC G ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTC TCTCTCTCCC	GACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGGATTC GAAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGA	GTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGAGC	(24nt) GACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGAGC	C AAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCG	GACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGAG	AAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCG	GTA GTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGAAGGGAAGGGA
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGA	AGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGA	AAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGA	AAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCG	AGGGAAGAGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGA	AAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
AIGLLAIAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGA	AAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTC
ATGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGA	GAAA GAAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGAAGGGAAGGGATTC
AIGCCAIAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGAG	
	AGACTGGAGTIGCAGAICACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGGATTC

Extended data figure 3.

(Related to Figure 2). Sequences of der(6) and der(11) breakpoint junction from PolQ+/+and $PolQ^{-/-}$ cells. Predicted fusion breakpoint based on CRISPR cutting, is indicated by an arrow. Reference sequence is highlighted at the top. The remaining lines represent individual translocations recovered by PCR and subject to Sanger sequencing. Nucleotide insertions in PolQ+/+ cells are marked in red. In cases where insertions extended beyond the sequence included in the lane, the length of the insertion was noted in parenthesis (red). It is important to note that insertions were completely lacking at the fusions junctions in $PolQ^{-/-}$

cells (Extended Data Fig. 5). Gaps in the sequence represent nucleotide deletions. The average length of the deletions was noted in Extended Data Fig. 2f. Micro-homology is denoted by blue boxes. Micro-homology embedded in DNA extending beyond the enclosed sequence was noted in parentheses (blue).

Translocation Junction Sequence of *Polq+/+* cells



Extended data figure 4.

(Related to Figure 2). Sequences of der(6) and der(11) breakpoint junction from PolQ+/+ and $PolQ^{-/-}$ cells. Predicted fusion breakpoint based on CRISPR cutting, is indicated by an

arrow. Reference sequence is highlighted at the top. The remaining lines represent individual translocations recovered by PCR and subject to Sanger sequencing. Nucleotide insertions in PolQ+/+ cells are marked in red. In cases where insertions extended beyond the sequence included in the lane, the length of the insertion was noted in parenthesis (red). It is important to note that insertions were completely lacking at the fusions junctions in $PolQ^{-/-}$ cells (Extended Data Fig. 5). Gaps in the sequence represent nucleotide deletions. The average length of the deletions was noted in Extended Data Fig. 2f. Micro-homology is denoted by blue boxes. Micro-homology embedded in DNA extending beyond the enclosed sequence was noted in parentheses (blue).

ATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG

ATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG

CITTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG

TITGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG

TCTTTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG

GGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGC

CTCTTGGCGTGG

GTGG

GICTTTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG

AACTGGATGTCTTTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG

AACTGGATGTCTTTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG

AACTGGATGTCTTTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG

AACTGGATGTCTTTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG

Translocation Junction Sequence of Polq-/- cells

	~	-	/ 4	-	١
1)	е	r			

Der(TT)	
Chr-11	Chr-6
TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGAGCC	TCTAGAAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTCT
IGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGAGCC TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGAGCC TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGAGCC TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGAGCC TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGAGCC TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCGGCGAGCC TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCG CGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCG TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCG TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCG TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCG TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCGTATCCG TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCG TGCCATAAAAACCGCTTCAACTTAAGCTCTCTCCCCCCCC	TCTAGAAAGACTIGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGATTCT TGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GAAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGGATTCT AAAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGGATTCT AAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTCT AGGACGGGAAGGGGTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTCT GGAGAGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GGAGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GGAGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGATTCT AGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTCT AGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGATTCT GGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GGGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGATTCT GGGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GGGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GGGGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GGGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGTTCT GGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGTTCT GGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGGTTCT GGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGAGGGGGAAGGGGTTCT GGAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGATTCT GAGTTGCAGATCACGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGAATCT GAGTTGCAGATCACCGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGAATCT GAGTTGCAGATCACCGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGAATCT GAGTTGCAGATCACCGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGATTCT GAGTTGCAGATCACCGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGATTCT GAGTTGCAGATCACCGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGATTCT GAGTTGCAGATCACCGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGATTCT GAGTTGCAGATCACCGAGGGAAGGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGATTCT GACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACCGAGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGAATCT GACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACCGAGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGAATCT AAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACCGAGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGAATCT AAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACCGAGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGAATCT AAGACTGGAGTTGCAGATCACCGAGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGAATCT CAGGGGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGAATCT CAGGGGGGGAGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGAATCC CAGGGGGGGAGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGAAGGGGAATCC CAGGGGGGG
Der(6)	
Chr-6	Chr-11
GCAGGACAACGCCCACACCAGGTTAGCCTTTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAGACT	CCCGCCCATCT AACTGGATGTCTTTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG
IGCAGGACAACGCCCACACCAGGTTAGCCTTTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAG	ACTGGATGTCTTTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG
IGCAGGACAACGCCCACACACCAGGTTAGCCTTTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAG	ACTGGATGTCTTTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG
IGCAGGACAACGCCCACACCAGGTTAGCCTTTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAG	ACTGGATGTCTTTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG
[GCAGGACAACGCCCACACCAGGTTAGCCTTTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAGACT	CCCGCCCATCT AACTGGATGTCTTTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG
IGCAGGACAACGCCCACACCAGGTTAGCCTTTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAG	ACTGGATGTCTTTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG
IGCAGGACAACGCCCACACACCAGGTTAGCCTTTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAG	ACTGGATGTCTTTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG
IGCAGGACAACGCCCACACCAGGTTAGCCTTTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAG	ACTGGATGTCTTTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG
IGCAGGACAACGCCCACACCAGGTTAGCCTTTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAG	ACTGGATGTCTTTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG
GCAGGACAACGCCCACACACCAGGTTAGCCTTTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAG	ACTGGATGTCTTTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG
GCAGGACAACGCCCACACACCAGGTTAGCCTTTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAG	ACTGGATGTCTTTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG
IGCAGGACAACGCCCACACCAGGTTAGCCTTTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAGACT	CCCGCCC AACTGGATGTCTTTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG
IGCAGGACAACGCCCACACACCAGGTTA	ATGTCTTTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG
IGCA	AACTGGATGTCTTTGGGCATGATGGTGACTCTCTTGGCGTGG

IGCAGGACAACGCCCACACACCAGGTTAGCCT TTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAGACTCCCG IGCAGGACAACGCCCACACACCAGGTTAGCCT TTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAGACTCCCGC IGCAGGACAACGCCCACACACCAGGTTAGCCT TTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAGACTC IGCAGGACAACGCCCACACACACGGTTAGCCT TTAAGCCTGCCCA IGCAGGACAACGCCCACACACCAGGTTAGCCT TTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAGAC IGCAGGACAACGCCCACACACCAGGTTAGCCT TTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAGAC IGCAGGACAACGCCCACACACCAGGTTAGCCT TTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAGACTCCC IGCAGGACAACGCCCACACACCAGGTTAGCCT TTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAGACTCCC IGCAGGACAACGCCCACACACCAGGTTAGCCT TTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAGACTCCC

TGCAGGACAACGCCCACACACGGTTAGCCTTTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAGACTCCCGCC TGCAGGACAACGCCCACACACCAGGTTAGC TGCAGGACAACGCCCACACACCAGGTTAGC

IGCAGGACAACGCCCACACCAGGTTAGCCTTTAAGCCTGCCCAGAAGACTCCCGCCCA IGCAGGACA

Extended data figure 5.

(Related to Figure 2). Sequences of der(6) and der(11) breakpoint junction from $PolQ^{+/+}$ and $PolQ^{-/-}$ cells. Predicted fusion breakpoint based on CRISPR cutting, is indicated by an arrow. Reference sequence is highlighted at the top. The remaining lines represent individual translocations recovered by PCR and subject to Sanger sequencing. Nucleotide insertions in $PolQ^{+/+}$ cells are marked in red. In cases where insertions extended beyond the sequence included in the lane, the length of the insertion was noted in parenthesis (red). It is important to note that insertions were completely lacking at the fusions junctions in $PolQ^{-/-}$

cells (Extended Data Fig. 5). Gaps in the sequence represent nucleotide deletions. The average length of the deletions was noted in Extended Data Fig. 2f. Micro-homology is denoted by blue boxes. Micro-homology embedded in DNA extending beyond the enclosed sequence was noted in parentheses (blue).



Extended data figure 6.

(Related to Figure 3). **a**, Laser micro-irradiation experiment using HeLa cells expressing Myc-PolQ and treated with ATM inhibitor (KU55933). ATR inhibitor (VE-821), or PARP inhibitor (KU58948). **b**, Western blot analysis for Chk1 and Chk2 phosphorylation. Cells with the indicated treatment were analyzed 2 hours following irradiation. **c**, Immunoblot for PARP1. HeLa cells were treated with PARP1 siRNA and analyzed 72 hours post-siRNA transfection for efficiency of knockdown.



Extended data figure 7.

(Related to Figure 3). **a**, Results from immunofluorescence performed at 4 hours after induction (Shield1 ligand, Clontech 631037; 0.5 μ M 4-OH tamoxifen) of DSBs by mCherry-LacI-FokI in the U2OS-DSB reporter cells¹⁸ transfected with the Myc-PolQ and treated with PARP inhibitor (KU58948). The mCherry signal is used to identify the area of damage and to assess the recruitment of Myc-PolQ to cleaved LacO repeats. **b**, Table displaying quantification related to a.



Extended data figure 8.

(Related to Figure 3). **a**, Western blot analysis for Pol θ and *Lig3* in shelterin-free *Lig4* null MEFs. **b**, Western blot for TRF1 and Rap1 following 4-OHT treatment of shelterin-free *Lig4* deficient cells. **c**, Metaphase spreads from *TRF1^{F/F}TRF2^{F/F}Lig4^{-/-}*Cre-ER^{T2} MEFs, with the indicated shRNA treatment, 96 hours after Cre expression. CO-FISH assay was performed using a FITC-OO-(CCCTAA)3 PNA probe (green) and a Tamra-OO-(TTAGGG)3 PNA probe (red). DAPI in blue. Examples of alt-NHEJ mediated fusion and T-SCE events (HDR) are indicated by white and red arrows, respectively. Examples of T-SCE

events reflective of increased HDR in cells treated with shPolQ are on the right. **d**–e, Quantification of telomere fusions by alt-NHEJ in MEFs with the indicated genotype and shRNA treatment. Bars represent the mean of two independent experiments ±SD. **f**, Representative in-gel hybridization to assess 3' overhang of $TRF1^{F/F}TRF2^{F/F}Lig4^{-/-}$ Cre-ER^{T2} MEFs with the indicate shRNA treatment after Cre deletion. **g**, Quantification of the gel in **f**. The ssDNA/total signal ratios of the +Cre samples are expressed relative to the –Cre samples for each shRNA treatment. Mean of two independent experiments. **h**. Graph representing Rad51 accumulation following IR treatment of $PolQ^{CD}$, $Polq^{+/+}$ and $PolQ^{-/-}$



Extended data figure 9.

(Related to Figure 3). **a**, Pol θ represses recombination at DSBs induced by I-Sce1. The Traffic light reporter (TLR) system was used to measure the relative ratio of end-joining (mCherry) and HDR (eGFP) repair of a DSB. A Diagram of the TLR is represented. **b**, The TLR construct was stably integrated into $Lig4^{-/-}$ and $Ku80^{-/-}$ MEFs to avoid the

confounding impact of C-NHEJ, and limit end-joining reactions to the alt-NHEJ pathway. Expression of mCherry and eGFP was assessed by flow cytometry 72 hours after I-Sce1 and 5'eGFP donor transduction in cells with the indicated shRNA construct. Percentages of cells are indicated in the plot. **c**, Quantification of alt-NHEJ and HDR of TLR containing $Ku80^{-/-}$ MEFs following expression of I-Sce1 and 5'eGFP together with the indicated shRNA construct. Bar graphs represent the mean of three independent experiments ±SD. * represent p=0.03 **d**, Real-time PCR to monitor the knockdown efficiency of *PolQ* in $Ku80^{-/-}$ and $Lig4^{-/-}$ MEFs. The FACS analysis reported in **e** and **f** was carried it without selecting for cells expressing the shRNA containing plasmid.

Mateos-Gomez et al.



Extended data figure 10.

(Related to Figure 4). **a**, Accumulation of chromosomal aberrancies following *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* knockdown in $PolQ^{-/-}$ and $Polq^{+/+}$ MEFs. Quantification of chromosomal aberrancies (chromatid breaks, chromosome breaks, and radials) in MEFs stably transduced with lentiviral vectors expressing the indicated shRNA. **b**, Real-time PCR to confirm the knockdown of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* as in (a). **c**, Quantitative analysis of colony formation in *BRCA1^{F/F}*Cre-ER^{T2} and *Lig4^{-/-}* cells following *PolQ* depletion. The number of colonies in control shRNA- treated cells was set to 100%. **d**, Real-time PCR to measure the knockdown

efficiency of human *PolQ* in BJ-hTERT, MCF7, HCC1937, and mouse *PolQ* in *BRCA1^{F/F}*Cre-ER^{T2} cells. **e**, Quantitative analyses of colony formation in BJ-hTERT, MCF7, HCC1937 following *Lig3* inhibition. The numbers of colonies in control shRNA treated cells were set to 100%. The knockdown efficiency for *Lig3* was ~85%. **f**. Quantitative analyses of colony formation in *PolQ^{CD}* and *Polq^{+/+}* embryonic stem cells following *BRCA1* inhibition. The number of colonies in control shRNA-treated cells was set to 100%. The knockdown efficiency for BRCA1 was > 80%.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Titia de Lange, Roger Greenberg, Jerry Shay, Naoko Shima, Christophe Cazaux, and Richard Wood for providing key reagents for this study. We are grateful to Minhyung Ji, Lillian Walton Masters, Aaron Phillips, Alexandra Pinzaru, Frank Yeung, Peter Tonzi, and Jason Wong for technical assistance. We thank Shaheen Kabir and Francisca Lottersberger for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by a grant from the Breast Cancer Alliance (A.S.), V-foundation (A.S.), Department of Defense Breast Cancer Research Program BC134020 (P.M.G), Pew-Stewart Scholars Award (A.S.), Pew Scholars Award (E.L.D.), Novartis Advanced Discovery Institute (E.L.D.), and a grant from the NIH AG038677 (E.L.D.). The A.S. lab was supported by start-up funds from the Helen L. and Martin S. Kimmel Center for Stem Cell Biology. The K.M.M. laboratory was supported in part by start-up funds from the University of Texas at Austin and from the Cancer Prevention Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT, R116). K.M.M. is a CPRIT scholar.

REFERENCES

- Capper R, et al. The nature of telomere fusion and a definition of the critical telomere length in human cells. Genes Dev. 2007; 21:2495–2508. [PubMed: 17908935]
- 2. Sfeir A, de Lange T. Removal of shelterin reveals the telomere end-protection problem. Science. 2012; 336:593–597. [PubMed: 22556254]
- 3. Rai R, et al. The function of classical and alternative non-homologous end-joining pathways in the fusion of dysfunctional telomeres. EMBO J. 2010; 29:2598–2610. [PubMed: 20588252]
- 4. Sfeir A. Telomeres at a glance. J. Cell. Sci. 2012; 125:4173-4178. [PubMed: 23135002]
- 5. van Steensel B, Smogorzewska A, de Lange T. TRF2 protects human telomeres from end-to-end fusions. Cell. 1998; 92:401–413. [PubMed: 9476899]
- Wang H, et al. DNA ligase III as a candidate component of backup pathways of nonhomologous end joining. Cancer Res. 2005; 65:4020–4030. [PubMed: 15899791]
- Audebert M, Salles B, Weinfeld M, Calsou P. Involvement of polynucleotide kinase in a poly(ADPribose) polymerase-1-dependent DNA double-strand breaks rejoining pathway. J. Mol. Biol. 2006; 356:257–265. [PubMed: 16364363]
- Yan CT, et al. IgH class switching and translocations use a robust non-classical end-joining pathway. Nature. 2007; 449:478–482. [PubMed: 17713479]
- 9. Simsek D, et al. DNA ligase III promotes alternative nonhomologous end-joining during chromosomal translocation formation. PLoS Genet. 2011; 7:e1002080. [PubMed: 21655080]
- Simsek D, Jasin M. Alternative end-joining is suppressed by the canonical NHEJ component Xrcc4-ligase IV during chromosomal translocation formation. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 2010; 17:410–416. [PubMed: 20208544]
- Okamoto K, et al. A two-step mechanism for TRF2-mediated chromosome-end protection. Nature. 2013; 494:502–505. [PubMed: 23389450]
- 12. Arana ME, Seki M, Wood RD, Rogozin IB, Kunkel TA. Low-fidelity DNA synthesis by human DNA polymerase theta. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008; 36:3847–3856. [PubMed: 18503084]

- Hogg M, Sauer-Eriksson AE, Johansson E. Promiscuous DNA synthesis by human DNA polymerase θ. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012; 40:2611–2622. [PubMed: 22135286]
- Chan SH, Yu AM, McVey M. Dual roles for DNA polymerase theta in alternative end-joining repair of double-strand breaks in Drosophila. PLoS Genet. 2010; 6:e1001005. [PubMed: 20617203]
- Roerink SF, van Schendel R, Tijsterman M. Polymerase theta-mediated end joining of replicationassociated DNA breaks in C. elegans. Genome Res. 2014; 24:954–962. [PubMed: 24614976]
- Koole W, et al. A Polymerase Theta-dependent repair pathway suppresses extensive genomic instability at endogenous G4 DNA sites. Nat Commun. 2014; 5:3216. [PubMed: 24496117]
- Shima N, et al. Phenotype-based identification of mouse chromosome instability mutants. Genetics. 2003; 163:1031–1040. [PubMed: 12663541]
- Acetylation limits 53BP1 association with damaged chromatin to promote homologous recombination. 2013; 20:317–325.
- Wang M, et al. PARP-1 and Ku compete for repair of DNA double strand breaks by distinct NHEJ pathways. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006; 34:6170–6182. [PubMed: 17088286]
- Truong LN, et al. Microhomology-mediated End Joining and Homologous Recombination share the initial end resection step to repair DNA double-strand breaks in mammalian cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2013; 110:7720–7725. [PubMed: 23610439]
- Bailey SM, Cornforth MN, Kurimasa A, Chen DJ, Goodwin EH. Strand-specific postreplicative processing of mammalian telomeres. Science. 2001; 293:2462–2465. [PubMed: 11577237]
- Certo MT, et al. Tracking genome engineering outcome at individual DNA breakpoints. Nat. Methods. 2011; 8:671–676. [PubMed: 21743461]
- Bindra RS, Goglia AG, Jasin M, Powell SN. Development of an assay to measure mutagenic nonhomologous end-joining repair activity in mammalian cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013; 41:e115– e115. [PubMed: 23585275]
- 24. Sakai W, et al. Secondary mutations as a mechanism of cisplatin resistance in BRCA2-mutated cancers. Nature. 2008; 451:1116–1120. [PubMed: 18264087]
- 25. Fernandez-Vidal A, et al. A role for DNA polymerase θ in the timing of DNA replication. Nat Commun. 2014; 5:4285. [PubMed: 24989122]
- Deng SK, Gibb B, de Almeida MJ, Greene EC, Symington LS. RPA antagonizes microhomologymediated repair of DNA double-strand breaks. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 2014; 21:405–412. [PubMed: 24608368]
- Chen H, Lisby M, Symington LS. RPA coordinates DNA end resection and prevents formation of DNA hairpins. Mol. Cell. 2013; 50:589–600. [PubMed: 23706822]
- 28. Kawamura K, et al. DNA polymerase theta is preferentially expressed in lymphoid tissues and upregulated in human cancers. Int. J. Cancer. 2004; 109:9–16. [PubMed: 14735462]
- 29. Higgins GS, et al. Overexpression of POLQ confers a poor prognosis in early breast cancer patients. Oncotarget. 2010; 1:175–184. [PubMed: 20700469]
- Lemée F, et al. DNA polymerase theta up-regulation is associated with poor survival in breast cancer, perturbs DNA replication, and promotes genetic instability. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2010; 107:13390–13395. [PubMed: 20624954]

References

- 31. Shee C, et al. Engineered proteins detect spontaneous DNA breakage in human and bacterial cells. Elife (Cambridge). 2013; 2:e01222–e01222.
- Leung JW, et al. Nucleosome acidic patch promotes RNF168- and RING1B/BMI1-dependent H2AX and H2A ubiquitination and DNA damage signaling. PLoS Genet. 2014; 10:e1004178. [PubMed: 24603765]
- Takahashi K, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. Cell. 2006; 126:663–676. [PubMed: 16904174]

- Prasad R, et al. Human DNA polymerase theta possesses 5'-dRP lyase activity and functions in single-nucleotide base excision repair in vitro. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009; 37:1868–1877. [PubMed: 19188258]
- 35. Celli GB, de Lange T. DNA processing is not required for ATM-mediated telomere damage response after TRF2 deletion. Nat. Cell Biol. 2005; 7:712–718. [PubMed: 15968270]
- 36. Sfeir A, Kabir S, van Overbeek M, Celli GB, de Lange T. Loss of Rap1 induces telomere recombination in the absence of NHEJ or a DNA damage signal. Science. 2010; 327:1657–1661. [PubMed: 20339076]



Genotype	# Reads with ≽ 3XTTAGGG	≥3XTTAGGG ≥2XAATCCC
TRF2 ^{F/F} Cre-ER ^{T2}	876,696	3
TRF2 ^{F/F} Cre-ER ^{T2} + 4-OHT	947,504	91
TRF1/2 ^{F/F} Ku80 ^{-/-} Cre-ER ^{T2} + 4-OHT	938,132	46

Classical-NHEJ		d	Alternative-NHEJ				
	TTAGGG		CCCTAA	TTAGGG	TTAAAA	СССТАА	
	TTAGGG	Α	CCCTAA	TTAGGG	AACTTGAGTCTTTAA	СССТАА	
	TTAGGG	TTA	СССТАА	TTAGGG	TTGGGTTAGAGAATTA	СССТАА	
	TTAGGG	TTAA	CCCTAA	TTAGGG	GAAAGGCCCTAACCCTAT	СССТАА	
	TTAGGG	TTATAA	CCCTAA	TTAGGG	ATAGGGTTAGGACTAGCGTAAG	СССТАА	
	TTAGGG	TTAGAA	СССТАА	TTAGGG	GCCTTCCTTTTCCATCCGGCGCCCAG	СССТАА	
	TTAGGG	TTCTAA	СССТАА	TTAGGG	TTAACTCCCCTCCCCATGCCCTAACAA	СССТАА	
	TTAGGG	TTAGTAA	CCCTAA	TTAGGG	TTAGGATAAGGGTAGTGGAACAGGTAGG	СССТАА	
	TTAGGG	TTAGCCTAA	СССТАА	TTAGGG	TAGTGTTCTAACCAGAGCAATTAGGCAACAA	A CCCTAA	
	5' End	Junction	3' End	5' End	Junction	⊐ 3' End	
e TRF1/2 ^{F/F} Ku80 ^{-/-} Cre-ER ^{T2} + 4-OH			re-ER ^{T2} + 4	-OHT	f pesn spue auos pesn spue auos pern spue pern spue	Kn ShCtrl ShPolN ShPolH ShPolM ShPolβ ShPolu ShPolu ShPolk	ockdown fficiency 85% 97% 65% 92% 80% 72% 87%
					Cre-ERT2+ 4-OHT		

Figure 1. Random nucleotide insertions at the junction of telomeres fused by alt-NHEJ

a, Schematic of the junction of a telomere fusion. The 3' end of the telomeric G-rich strand of a chromosome (Blue) is fused to the 5' end of the C-rich strand of a different chromosome (Red). **b**, Illumina sequencing to analyze telomere fusion junctions. Reads

3XTTAGGG consecutively were scored as derived from telomeric fragments. Those with 3XTTAGGG on the 5'-end and 2XCCCTAA at the 3'-end were scored as telomere fusion junctions (see Supplementary Information). **c**, Examples of telomere fusions generated by C-NHEJ from TRF2 depleted telomeres. Light gray highlights fusion junctions, dark grey

marks the flanking telomere repeats. **d**, Examples of insertions in shelterin-free/Ku80 null MEFs. **e**, Telomere fusions in metaphase spreads from $TRF1^{F/F}TRF2^{F/F}Ku80^{-/-}Cre-ER^{T2}$ MEFs. Telomeres in red (PNA probe) and chromosomes in blue (DAPI). **f**, Frequency of telomere fusions following the depletion of candidate polymerases.

Mateos-Gomez et al.



Figure 2. Pol θ is required for alt-NHEJ dependent DSB repair in mammalian cells

a, Metaphases from TRF2 depleted ($TRF2^{F/F}$ Cre-ER^{T2}OHT) and shelterin free ($TRF1^{F/F}TRF2^{F/F}Ku80^{-/-}$ Cre-ER^{T2}OHT) MEFs infected with the indicated shRNA. **b**, Quantification of telomere fusions in MEFs with the indicated treatment (Mean ± SD, n=6, **p=0.003; two-tailed student's *t*-test). **c**, Design of the translocation assay in which DSBs are induced by Cas9-gRNA(Rosa26;H3f3b). Joining of DNA ends generates Der(6) and Der(11), detected by nested PCR⁹. **d**, Translocation frequency in $PolQ^{+/+}$ and $PolQ^{-/-}$ cells 60 hours post-Cas9-gRNA(Rosa26;H3f3b) expression. (Mean ± SD, n=3, ** p=0.009; twotailed student's *t*-test).

Mateos-Gomez et al.



Figure 3. Polθ is recruited by PARP1 to promote alt-NHEJ at the expense of HDR

a, Myc-PolQ localization to DNA damage was monitored after laser micro-irradiation of HeLa cells. Cells were fixed and stained for γ -H2AX and Myc, one hour after damage induction. **b**, Quantification of Pol θ accumulation at sites of laser damage (Mean \pm s.e.m, n=2). **c**, To test if Pol θ represses recombination at telomeres, we depleted the polymerase in shelterin-free and *Lig4* deficient MEFs², and both repair pathways were monitored using CO-FISH. White arrows indicate alt-NHEJ events, red arrows highlight HDR-mediated T-SCEs. **d**, Quantification of telomere fusion (alt-NHEJ) and T-SCE (HDR) in cells

transduced with *PolQ*, *Lig3*, or control shRNA. e, Immunofluorescence for Rad51 and γ -H2AX in the indicated MEFs 3 hours post-irradiation. **f**, Graph representing quantification of IR-induced RAD51 foci. (Mean ± SD, n=3, *p<0.05, **p<0.01;two-tailed student's *t*-test).





a, Analysis of genomic instability in metaphase spreads from $BRCA1^{F/F}$ Cre-ER^{T2} MEFs treated with shRNA against PolQ or vector control. **b**, Quantification of breaks (chromatid and chromosome) and radials in $BRCA1^{F/F}$ Cre-ER^{T2} and $BRCA2^{F/F}$ Cre-ER^{T2} MEFs with the indicated treatment. **c**, Clonogenic survival following PolQ depletion. Crystal violet staining of BJ-hTERT, MCF7, and HCC1937 cells treated with shRNA against PolQ or vector control. **d**, Quantitative analyses of colony formation assay. Colonies in each control

shRNA cell line were set to 100%. Colonies in sh*PolQ* expressing cells normalized to sh*Ctrl* (Mean \pm SD, n=3' *p<0.05' **p<0.01;two-tailed student's *t*-test). **e**, Schematic depicting our model for the function of Pol θ during DSB repair (see Supplementary Information).