



Published in final edited form as:

*Neuropharmacology*. 2012 February ; 62(2): 686–694. doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2011.02.008.

## Executive Function and PTSD: Disengaging from Trauma

Robin L. Aupperle, Ph.D.<sup>a,b</sup>, Andrew J. Melrose<sup>a</sup>, Murray B. Stein, M.D., M.P.H.<sup>a,b</sup>, and Martin P. Paulus, M.D.<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Diego (UCSD), 8939 Villa La Jolla Dr., Suite 200, La Jolla, CA 92037

<sup>b</sup>Psychiatry Service, Veterans Affairs San Diego Health Care System, 3350 La Jolla Village Dr., La Jolla, CA 92161

### Abstract

Neuropsychological approaches represent an important avenue for identifying susceptibility and resiliency factors relating to the development and maintenance of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms post-trauma. This review will summarize results from prospective longitudinal and retrospective cross-sectional studies investigating executive function associated with PTSD. This research points specifically towards subtle impairments in response inhibition and attention regulation that may predate trauma exposure, serve as risk factors for the development of PTSD, and relate to the severity of symptoms. These impairments may be exacerbated within emotional or trauma-related contexts, and may relate to dysfunction within dorsal prefrontal networks. A model is presented concerning how such impairments may contribute to the clinical profile of PTSD and lead to the use of alternative coping styles such as avoidance. Further neuropsychological research is needed to identify the effects of treatment on cognitive function and to potentially characterize mechanisms of current PTSD treatments. Knowledge gained from cognitive and neuroscientific research may prove valuable for informing the future development of novel, more effective, treatments for PTSD.

### Keywords

neuropsychology; neuroimaging; posttraumatic stress disorder; executive function; attention; inhibition

---

“The significant problems we face in life cannot be solved at the level of thinking that created them.”

-Albert Einstein

## 1. Introduction

An estimated 50–60% of people will experience a serious trauma—as a result of combat, sexual assault, major accidents, or other real-life horrors—at some point in their lives (Kessler, *et al* 1995). However, only 5–10% of people are estimated to develop symptoms

qualifying them for diagnosis of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). This observation has led researchers to consider what factors other than the trauma itself may contribute to, or protect against, the development and maintenance of PTSD symptoms. Neuropsychological approaches may provide an important insight into susceptibility and resiliency factors by identifying pre-trauma cognitive functions that relate to subsequent development of PTSD as well as post-traumatic cognitive processes that may influence development or maintenance of the disorder. Finally, understanding these cognitive processes may provide new approaches for treatment to improve long-term outcomes of individuals with PTSD.

Although much of neuropsychological research in PTSD has focused on learning and memory, there has also been an accumulation of research examining potential “frontal lobe” or executive dysfunction. William James, in *The Principles of Psychology*, defined attention as “the taking possession by the mind, in clear and vivid form, of one out of what seem several simultaneously possible objects or trains of thought” (James, 1890). He went on to say that “...It implies withdrawal from some things in order to deal effectively with others.” In the modern world of neuropsychology and cognitive neuroscience, there are many disagreements about distinct and common definitions of attention, working memory, and executive function. For the purposes of this manuscript, we will focus on concepts that have been considered throughout the literature to be involved in maintaining successful “executive function”, or the control of complex goal-directed behavior (Royall, *et al* 2002; Alvarez and Emory 2006; McCabe, *et al* 2010). This includes 1) *attention*, or the voluntary allocation of processing resources or focusing of one’s mind on a particular stimulus within the environment, 2) *working memory*, or the active maintenance and manipulation of information in one’s mind over a short period of time, 3) *sustained attention*, or the maintenance of attention on one set of stimuli or a task for a prolonged period, 4) *inhibitory function*, involving the inhibition of automatic responses to maintain goal-directed behavior, 5) *flexibility/switching*, or the ability to switch between two different tasks or strategies, and 6) *planning*, or the ability to develop and implement strategic behaviors to obtain a future goal (Smith and Jonides 1999; McCabe, *et al* 2010; Carlson, *et al* 2005; Salthouse, *et al* 2003; Miyake and Shah 1999; Repovs and Baddeley 2006).

We chose to focus on attentional and executive functions for the current review, rather than learning and memory, for two primary reasons. First, there have been several recent reviews summarizing findings related to learning and memory in PTSD—both in regards to neutral information as well as emotional information, such as with fear conditioning and extinction (Rubin, *et al* 2008; Johnsen and Asbjornsen 2008; Moore, 2009). The second reason we chose to focus on executive and attentional functions is because recent research indicates that attentional modification programs may be beneficial in the treatment of anxiety disorders (Amir, *et al* 2009a; Li, *et al* 2008; Schmidt, *et al* 2009; Amir, *et al* 2009b; Najmi and Amir 2010; Amir, *et al* 2008). This suggests that research related to attention and working memory function may not only increase our understanding of PTSD, but may also lead to more effective treatments for these patients.

The majority of neuropsychological research in PTSD uses cross-sectional designs from which it is impossible to determine whether any observed cognitive dysfunctions represent pre-trauma risk and resiliency factors or if they represent responses to the experience of

trauma or PTSD. We therefore begin our review by discussing results from longitudinal and twin studies that may shed some light on this issue. We then synthesize results from cross-sectional studies concerning “frontal lobe” dysfunction associated with PTSD, focusing on simple attention and working memory, “higher-order” executive functions, flexibility, and inhibition, and the effects of emotional context on attention and executive function. The focus of this discussion will be on how difficulties regulating attention and inhibiting responses to stimuli (particularly emotional or trauma-related stimuli) could contribute to the clinical profile of PTSD—for example, leading to the development of alternative, potentially maladaptive, coping mechanisms. We will also discuss research concerning potential neural substrates of executive dysfunction, and the relationship between treatment and cognitive dysfunction, in PTSD. This review will not discuss the issue of comorbid disorders (e.g., traumatic brain injury, substance use disorders) and their potential influence on neurocognitive function in PTSD. We recognize the significant influence comorbid conditions can have on neurocognitive function and refer to recent articles focusing on this important and complex issue (Stein and McAllister 2009; Samuelson, *et al* 2006a).

## 2. Cognitive risk factors versus sequelae of PTSD

Although historically considered a controversial issue, research examining cognitive risk and resilience factors could be invaluable in understanding mechanisms for PTSD and in developing better preventive and treatment interventions. Lower IQ (often measured via military aptitude test performance) and educational achievement pre-trauma has been reported to relate to PTSD symptoms post-trauma (Gale, *et al* 2008; Macklin, *et al* 1998; Green, *et al* 1990; Pitman, *et al* 1991; Thompson and Gottesman 2008; Vasterling, *et al* 2002). In most studies, the IQ range for individuals later developing PTSD, though lower than controls, is within the normal range. It has therefore been suggested that premorbid cognitive risk factors of PTSD may be relatively subtle, or relate to specific deficits in circumscribed areas—measures that are difficult to ascertain retrospectively.

Recently, prospective longitudinal studies have been initiated, involving more fine-tuned assessment pre- and post-trauma (e.g., combat deployment). Parslow and Jorm (Parslow and Jorm 2007) reported that pre-trauma performances on immediate and delayed verbal recall (California Verbal Learning Test [CVLT]) (Delis, *et al* 1988), working-memory (digit span backward), visuospatial speed (Symbol Digit Modalities Test [SDMT]) (Smith, 1982), and verbal intelligence (National Adult Reading Test [NART]) (Nelson, 1982; Nelson and Willison 1991) was negatively related to post-trauma PTSD re-experiencing and arousal symptoms. Marx *et al.* (Marx, *et al* 2009) conducted a similar study with veterans, and reported that pre-deployment visual immediate recall performance (Wechsler Memory Scale) (Wechsler, 1997b; Wechsler, 2009) was negatively related to PTSD symptoms post-deployment.

Twin studies also have the ability to highlight potential pre-trauma risk and resilience factors in PTSD (Kremen, *et al* 2007; Gilbertson, *et al* 2006). Gilbertson *et al.* examined neuropsychological functioning in combat-exposed individuals with and without PTSD and their high-risk and low-risk monozygotic twins. Measures of overall IQ, verbal memory (immediate and delayed recall), attention (digit span) (Wechsler, 1987), and executive

function (Wisconsin Card Sorting Task [WCST])(Heaton, 1981) performance was decreased not only for the PTSD group, but also their twins, compared to the non-PTSD group and their twins. These results provide further support that lower pre-trauma cognitive functioning—particularly in domains of attention, executive function, and memory—may serve as a risk factor for the development of PTSD.

However, there is also evidence that pre-trauma cognitive function does not completely account for post-trauma cognitive deficits. Studies examining neuropsychological differences between individuals with and without PTSD have reported cognitive function (e.g., learning and memory) to correlate with PTSD severity above and beyond that accounted for by premorbid IQ (Vasterling, *et al* 2002; Gilbertson, *et al* 2001). It is likely there are both pre-trauma and acquired differences in cognitive function associated with PTSD. A decrease in specific cognitive functions pre-trauma may not only influence the development of PTSD, but may itself be exacerbated by the experience of trauma. The experience of trauma could cause subtle pre-trauma cognitive deficits to morph into more significant symptoms detectable not only during sensitive neuropsychological assessment, but also to patients as they try to function in their daily lives. Although cross-sectional neuropsychological studies do not provide insight into etiology, they provide important information concerning the resulting deficits associated with PTSD—which may relate not only to individuals' daily functioning and clinical symptoms, but also potentially to treatment outcome.

### 3. Attention, Working Memory, and Executive Function in PTSD

#### 3.1. Attention and Working Memory

*Attention* and *working memory* are often measured using digit span, one-trial word recall (e.g., CVLT Trial 1(Delis, *et al* 1988)), and spatial span (e.g., Crosi blocks)(Milner, 1971) tasks. These tasks require individuals to attend to a series of presented digits, words, or spatial locations and immediately recreate sequentially what was presented. Tasks such as digit span backward and letter-number sequencing (Wechsler, 1997a; Wechsler, 2008) require increased *working memory* load, as they involve greater manipulation of information held in one's mind. Decreased performance on measures of auditory attention and working memory have been found in combat- and sexual assault-related PTSD when compared to victims without PTSD and non-trauma controls (Samuelson, *et al* 2006b; Brandes, *et al* 2002; Gilbertson, *et al* 2001; Vasterling, *et al* 1998; Vasterling, *et al* 2002; Marmar, *et al* 2006; Lagarde, *et al* 2010; Gilbertson, *et al* 2001; Jenkins, *et al* 2000), and these deficits have been reported to correlate with PTSD symptom severity (Burriss, *et al* 2008). However, it should be noted that several studies have failed to identify auditory attention deficits in PTSD (Vasterling, *et al* 1998; Neylan, *et al* 2004; Samuelson, *et al* 2006b; Leskin and White 2007). Research has also failed to identify impairments in *visual* attention and working memory associated with PTSD (Samuelson, *et al* 2006a; Jenkins, *et al* 2000). Therefore, it seems there is evidence, albeit inconsistent, that mild deficits in simple auditory attention and working memory may be one aspect of the cognitive profile of PTSD. However, it is unclear whether PTSD is associated with primary problems in attention and working memory, or whether the inconsistent findings are due to difficulties coping with

and inhibiting unintentional “distracters”, such as internal (e.g., emotions, cognitions) or external stimuli (e.g., environmental sounds and sights; stimuli presented in previous tasks).

### 3.2. Sustained Attention and Inhibitory Functions

*Sustained or selective attention* is often measured via continuous performance tasks (CPT) (Loong, 1988; Conners, 1992), requiring individuals to attend to a long series of auditory or visual stimuli and respond (via a button press) when a target stimulus is presented. Studies have repeatedly found PTSD patients to exhibit impaired performance (e.g., increased omissions, commissions, or reaction time) in auditory and visual sustained attention (Vasterling, *et al* 1998; McFarlane, *et al* 1993; Wu, *et al* 2010; Shucard, *et al* 2008; Jenkins, *et al* 2000; Vasterling, *et al* 2002); except see (Golier, *et al* 1997), and the number of correct hits has been reported to negatively correlate with PTSD symptom severity (Vasterling, *et al* 2002). It should be noted that many studies using CPT tasks to assess performance in PTSD have reported increased errors of commission to distracter stimuli (Wu, *et al* 2010; Vasterling, *et al* 1998), suggesting difficulty with *inhibition* of automatic responses. Other measures requiring *inhibition* of responses include the go-nogo, stop-signal, and attention network (ANT) tasks (Logan, 1994; Shucard, *et al* 2008; Jenkins, *et al* 2000; Fan, *et al* 2002). Decreased inhibitory function has rather consistently been reported for PTSD (Falconer, *et al* 2008; Wu, *et al* 2010; Koso and Hansen 2006; Casada and Roache 2005; Shucard, *et al* 2008; Bressan, *et al* 2009; Jenkins, *et al* 2000; Leskin and White 2007), and performance has been reported to relate to PTSD symptom severity (Falconer, *et al* 2008; Leskin and White 2007). The color-word Stroop task (Stroop, 1935; Delis, *et al* 2001) examines response time to name the ink color of a color-related word (e.g., “red” printed in blue ink) and is also thought to be a measure of inhibitory function. Impaired performance on the color-word Stroop has been reported for various PTSD populations, though it is unclear whether such a deficit is specific to PTSD or a more general impairment across psychiatric disorders (Lagarde, *et al* 2010; Litz, *et al* 1996). Interestingly, several studies have also reported PTSD to be associated with increased intrusions during memory recall (Vasterling, *et al* 1998; Lindauer, *et al* 2006), which may reflect difficulty inhibiting related, but non-relevant internally-generated stimuli. Interestingly, Vasterling *et al.* (Vasterling, *et al* 1998) found the tendency to intrude information across various cognitive tasks (commissions on sustained attention and intrusions on memory measures) related to severity of reexperiencing and hyperarousal symptoms.

There seems to be growing evidence to support PTSD being associated with inhibitory dysfunction—through comparisons with control groups and correlations with symptom severity measures. Such inhibitory dysfunction may specifically relate to reexperiencing and hyperarousal symptoms. However, it is difficult to determine the directionality of this effect, given these studies rely primarily on cross-sectional designs. Heightened arousal and re-experiencing symptoms could create more distracters when an individual is attempting to concentrate on the task at hand, thereby interrupting working memory, sustained attention, and inhibitory functions. However, it is also possible that primary inhibitory dysfunction could result not only in decreased performance on cognitive tasks, but also impaired ability to inhibit emotional memories and physiological arousal in response to triggers.

### 3.3. Flexibility/Switching and Planning

The ability to shift between different tasks is an essential aspect of executive control. Classical measures of flexibility and switching include the Trail-Making Test (TMT), e.g., (Partington and Leiter 1949; Reynolds, 2002; Delis, *et al* 2001; Reitan, 1958), involving connection of “dots” while switching between letter and number (i.e., 1-A-2-B-3-C), and verbal fluency switching (as in the Delis-Kaplan Executive Function Scale [D-KEFS]) (Delis, *et al* 2001), involving the production of words while switching between two categories. Some studies with PTSD have reported impairment (e.g., increased time on TMT; decreased total words on fluency) on such tasks (Stein, *et al* 2002; Beckham, *et al* 1998; Jenkins, *et al* 2000), while others have not (Zalewski, *et al* 1994; Twamley, *et al* 2004; Twamley, *et al* 2009; Lagarde, *et al* 2010; Barrett, *et al* 1996; Crowell, *et al* 2002; Gurvits, *et al* 1993; Leskin and White 2007). Executive function measures involving the added dimensions of *planning* and strategy use include, among others, the Wisconsin Card-Sorting Test (WCST)(Heaton, 1981) and Tower of London Task (Simon, 1975; Shallice, 1982). For the most part, no consistent deficits on these measures have been reported (Vasterling, *et al* 1998; Lagarde, *et al* 2010). Although Kanagaratnam and Asbjornsen (Kanagaratnam and Asbjornsen 2007) reported PTSD to be associated with increased number of trials to complete the first category of the WCST (indicating deficits in initial problem solving), they found no impairment on overall performance. A similar finding was reported by Twamley et al.(Twamley, *et al* 2009), who found PTSD to be associated with increased trials to complete the first category, but with increased overall learning efficiency. It can be argued that the WCST involves switching and flexibility similar to the Trail Making Test. However, tests such as TMT are timed and require quick attentional switching between predefined tasks. The WCST on the other hand is untimed and requires initial production of a strategy and subsequent switching of *strategies*—rather than flexibility and quick switching of *attention* (as with the TMT). Neuropsychological research therefore seems to provide inconsistent support for impairment in speed-reliant, attentional switching, but indicates that planning, rule-learning, and untimed strategy switching, may be mostly spared in PTSD.

### 3.4. Influence of Emotional Factors on Executive Function in PTSD

Although research examining “cognitive” and “emotional” aspects of learning in PTSD have traditionally been kept separate, it is clear these interact with one another and are intrinsically intertwined. Additionally, neural systems responsible for executive control within affective or neutral situations are most likely non-distinct and overlapping. Reflective of this, research has begun to examine cognitive function in PTSD when trauma-relevant, affective but trauma-irrelevant, or other highly-valued stimuli are involved.

PTSD has repeatedly been associated with attentional biases towards threat and negative emotional stimuli, as exhibited by performance on the modified Stroop (Williams, *et al* 1996), which involves timed verbalization regarding the ink color of emotional and neutral words(Mathews and MacLeod 1985), and dot-probe tasks(MacLeod, *et al* 1986), in which targets are displayed in locations closer to previously-presented trauma-relevant, generally negative, or neutral stimuli (Dalgleish, *et al* 2003; Foa, *et al* 1991; McNally, *et al* 1990; Chemtob, *et al* 1999; Mueller-Pfeiffer, *et al* 2010; Kimble, *et al* 2010); except see (Bremner,

*et al* 2004; Kimble, *et al* 2009). Observed performance differences on these tasks could be due to either attentional facilitation involving *enhanced detection* of threat-relevant stimuli, or attentional interference involving *difficulty disengaging* from threat-related stimuli to focus attention on the task at hand. Recent studies suggest the bias may be most associated with attentional interference, indicating potential underlying dysfunction in disengagement and inhibition (Pineles, *et al* 2007; Pineles, *et al* 2009).

Studies on PTSD have also examined the effects of symptom provocation prior to completion of a cognitive task (Jelinek, *et al* 2006; Jelinek, *et al* 2008). These studies corroborated previous neuropsychological findings of general working memory dysfunction in PTSD. However, results indicate that recall of emotional autobiographical events does not influence working memory function any more for PTSD patients than controls. Other studies have examined the effect of inserting emotional images into the working memory task as distractors (e.g., directly prior to number Stroop decisions or in between encoding and recall for working memory tasks). Results from these studies have been mixed, with one study reporting PTSD to be associated with worse detectability scores across working memory trials regardless of distractor type (neutral vs. trauma-related) (Morey, *et al* 2009), while another study reported PTSD patients to exhibit greater response latency for number Stroop after negative (versus positive or neutral) images as compared to trauma-exposed and non-trauma-exposed control groups (Mueller-Pfeiffer, *et al* 2010). These results suggest that the acute emotional state may not have an overwhelming effect on objective cognitive function in PTSD. Instead, these studies support the existence of underlying deficits in working memory that in some situations can be worsened by the inclusion of emotional distractor stimuli.

Decision making involves comparative valuation of potential choices and presented stimuli. Many decision making tasks (e.g., Iowa Gambling Task)(Bechara, *et al* 1994) have been proposed to involve not only rational input regarding the objective value of choices, but also an “emotional” or “somatic” input directing an individual towards one choice over another (Bechara, *et al* 2000; Bechara, *et al* 2003). Decision making paradigms therefore offer a unique way of examining the influence of PTSD on functions involving affective input, valuation, and cognitive resources. Two studies have been conducted thus far to examine decision-making in PTSD patients, both of which found PTSD to be associated with an increase in the number of trials needed to learn optimal patterns of responding (Sailer, *et al* 2008; Koenen, *et al* 2001). However, these tasks involve various components that, if disrupted, could account for the impairment observed in PTSD: 1) lack of motivation or reward-seeking, 2) impaired learning of response-outcome associations, or 3) lack of disengagement from a non-optimal response strategy. Further research is therefore needed to clarify these findings.

The most consistent finding in the emotional-cognition PTSD literature is on inhibitory tasks requiring quick, in-the-moment disengagement from emotional stimuli, as is involved in the emotional Stroop and dot-probe tasks. Increased hypervigilance towards threat-related materials may serve to enhance attention and reaction time to stimuli presented in the same locale. Recent evidence suggests that difficulty with subsequent *disengagement* from threat-related material may be a primary culprit influencing attention and executive dysfunction in

PTSD. Obviously, if there was no initial hypervigilance towards threat, there would be no need to disengage. However, it may be possible to experience hypervigilance towards threat but retain the ability to disengage or regulate that attention. Potentially, the ability to disengage from even highly-valued stimuli could serve as a resiliency factor for preventing the development and maintenance of PTSD.

#### 4. Neural correlates of attention and executive function in PTSD

Neuroimaging studies (using positron emission tomography [PET] or functional magnetic resonance imaging [fMRI]) in PTSD have primarily focused on symptom provocation or responses to trauma-related or emotional stimuli. These results have been discussed in recent reviews (Shin and Liberzon 2010; Liberzon and Sripada 2008; Francati, *et al* 2007) and meta-analyses (Etkin and Wager 2007) and suggest hyperactivation within limbic regions (particularly amygdala and insula) and hypoactivation of prefrontal regions, including anterior cingulate (ACC; including both rostral and dorsal) and ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC). A small collection of studies have been conducted to examine neural substrates of executive functions in PTSD. These studies have focused on 1) sustained attention using oddball tasks, 2) inhibitory functions using go-nogo, n-back, or continuous performance tasks, or 3) inhibitory functions during tasks involving emotional stimuli.

fMRI studies with healthy adults have shown that tasks requiring sustained attention activate medial PFC and ACC as well as parietal cortex (Kirino, *et al* 2000; Yamasaki, *et al* 2002; Fichtenholtz, *et al* 2004; Morey, *et al* 2008; Bledowski, *et al* 2010; Clark, *et al* 2000; McCarthy, *et al* 1997; Menon, *et al* 1997; Yoshiura, *et al* 1999), while those involving inhibitory functions activate areas of the inferior frontal cortex (IFC), lateral PFC (including ventrolateral [vlPFC] and dorsolateral [dlPFC]), and ventromedial or orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) (Aron, *et al* 2003; Garavan, *et al* 1999; Menon, *et al* 2001; Kiehl, *et al* 2000; Konishi, *et al* 1998; Liddle, *et al* 2001; Rubia, *et al* 1998; Bledowski, *et al* 2010). The lateral PFC specifically has been implicated in response inhibition—whether it be emotional or non-emotional contexts (Compton, *et al* 2003; Bledowski, *et al* 2010). However, the ACC may have some specialization in this regard, as more ventral regions are thought to be primarily involved in inhibition of responses to emotional stimuli, while more dorsal regions are thought to be involved in the inhibition of neutral information (Whalen, *et al* 1998; Bush, *et al* 1998; Mohanty, *et al* 2007; Yamasaki, *et al* 2002; Fichtenholtz, *et al* 2004).

PTSD has been associated with *increased* activation in dorsal ACC and other PFC regions during an auditory oddball task (Bryant, *et al* 2005). However, during the go-nogo task, PTSD patients exhibited *reduced* activation in the inferior frontal and ventral and dorsal lateral PFC, as well the medial OFC (Falconer, *et al* 2008). Activation in these areas was negatively correlated with PTSD symptom severity and rate of commission errors, suggesting that attenuated activations were not related to compensatory mechanisms but instead to the observed impairments in performance. Discrepancies between these two studies may be due to the varying degrees of working memory and inhibition required by the tasks. Along these lines, Moores et al. (Moores, *et al* 2008) used a task with conditions of working memory “maintenance” requiring subjects to maintain attention and respond to pre-specified target stimuli (“fixed target”) and “updating” requiring subjects to respond to



stimuli that matched that presented directly before it (i.e., 1-back task, “variable target”). Thus, the “updating” condition involved greater working memory load and inhibition of responses. For the updating condition, PTSD subjects had *decreased* activation in several PFC regions, including dlPFC, ACC, and inferior frontal cortex, as well as the insula. During maintenance, there were no significant differences between groups, but there was a trend noted towards *increased* activation in lateral PFC, inferior frontal cortex, and insula. It therefore seems that PTSD may be associated with hyperactivation of prefrontal areas in response to simple sustained attention tasks, but relative hypoactivation during tasks involving inhibition or “updating”. The former could reflect the hypervigilance and enhanced attention towards “triggers” associated with PTSD, while the latter could relate to decreased ability to control or inhibit these attentional resources. Alternatively, hyperactivation during sustained attention could reflect compensatory activation to maintain attention during more simple tasks, which hits a ceiling or breaks down as working memory load increases, thus failing to compensate further for more complex inhibition or “updating” tasks.

Studies involving the presentation of emotional “distracters” during working memory tasks have reported PTSD to be associated with increased activation in ventral PFC regions (e.g., ventromedial PFC) during processing of emotional distracters, but decreased activation in dorsal PFC regions (e.g., dorsal ACC, dlPFC) and parietal cortex (Morey, *et al* 2008; Morey, *et al* 2009; Pannu Hayes, *et al* 2009) during the working memory task itself. Similarly, PTSD has been associated with reduced medial PFC and rostral ACC activation during the emotional Stroop (Bremner, 2001; Shin, *et al* 2001). These results could be taken as support for an overactive ventral/limbic “emotional” processing stream that interferes with more dorsal prefrontal “cognitive” processing streams. However, a somewhat different interpretation could be that PTSD is associated with difficulties recruiting those regions necessary to disengage from highly valued stimuli (e.g., dorsolateral PFC, dorsal ACC). PTSD may therefore relate to the combination of enhanced “emotional” processing networks that serve to enhance attention towards specific stimuli and decreased “inhibitory” networks meant to disengage attention and redirect it to the task at hand.

As discussed, decision-making paradigms require not only attention and working memory, but also the integration of outcome valuations, and the inhibition of automatic responses (Hare, *et al* 2010; Kim and Lee 2010). There is a rather extensive neuroimaging literature attempting to tease apart the various aspects of decision making and this literature primarily implicates regions of the PFC, including OFC, ACC, and dlPFC, as well as striatal systems (Rangel, *et al* 2008; Hare, *et al* 2010; Kim and Lee 2010)—regions which have exhibited dysfunction in PTSD. Decision-making paradigms therefore offer a useful framework for teasing apart PFC dysfunction in PTSD and other anxiety disorders (Aupperle and Paulus 2010). However, there has only been one study that has used fMRI to investigate neural substrates of decision making in PTSD. Sailer et al. (Sailer, *et al* 2008) reported that PTSD patients were slower to learn an optimal response pattern during decision making, and also showed attenuated activation in the nucleus accumbens in response to reward. This suggests PTSD may be associated with dysfunction in reward system networks—which could contribute to decreased motivation and reward-seeking.

## 5. Treatment and cognitive function in PTSD

Cognitive processes may relate to treatment in a number of different ways. First, cognitive function may be used as a predictor of treatment outcome, or as a treatment outcome measure in and of itself. Second, cognitive factors may themselves be treatment targets to improve clinical symptoms. Thus far, there has been one study published to examine the predictive utility of cognitive function in the treatment of PTSD. Wild et al. (Wild and Gur 2008) reported that performance on immediate recall for stories, above and beyond initial treatment severity and even attentional measures, significantly predicted improvement in symptoms after cognitive behavior therapy. Surprisingly, there have also been very few studies reporting the effects of PTSD treatment on neuropsychological function. Vermetten et al. (Vermetten, *et al* 2003) reported significant improvement on verbal memory after paroxetine treatment, but this study did not include a control group for comparison. Fani et al. (Fani, *et al* 2009) used a double-blind, placebo-controlled design and reported paroxetine treatment to be associated with a non-significant trend toward improved verbal declarative memory. Walter et al. (Walter, *et al* 2010) reported that trauma-focused therapy (e.g., cognitive processing therapy, prolonged exposure therapy), for a small group of women (N=10), resulted in significant improvement on TMT number-letter switching and visual organization (Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure task) (Osterrieth, 1944; Rey, 1941), with a trend towards overall improvement in executive function. Another study investigated the effects of psychotherapeutic treatment on emotional Stroop performance in PTSD and found no significant effects (Devineni, *et al* 2004). Due to the small number of studies using varied methodologies (e.g., medication vs. therapy; varying neuropsychological measures), it is difficult to reach any firm conclusions regarding PTSD treatment effects on neuropsychological function. Further research in this regard could be important in determining potential mechanisms of current treatments and whether such treatments are effective for both emotional and cognitive symptoms of PTSD.

A novel and interesting field of research has evolved concerning the use of attentional training itself as a treatment for anxiety disorders. This research uses a modified dot-probe paradigm to “train” individuals to respond faster to probes presented away from negative stimuli (MacLeod, *et al* 2002). Attention modification has been effective in reducing symptoms in social anxiety (Li, *et al* 2008; Schmidt, *et al* 2009; Amir, *et al* 2008), generalized anxiety (Amir, *et al* 2009b), and sub-clinical obsessive-compulsive disorder (Najmi and Amir 2010). Such training is meant to contradict the automatic attentional bias towards threat observed in anxiety disorders and to facilitate attentional disengagement from threatening stimuli (Amir, *et al* 2008; Amir, *et al* 2009b). A recent fMRI study suggests that attention modification training may modulate lateral PFC and striatal activations during emotional working memory (Browning, *et al* 2010). A recent EEG study reported that attentional training in anxious individuals resulted in increased N2 amplitudes, thought to play a role in attentional control processes, and decreased P2 amplitudes, thought to be associated with emotional processing (Eldar and Bar-Haim 2010). Thus far, there have been no published studies investigating effects of attention modification on PTSD symptoms or neural activation patterns. However, given the deficits in inhibitory and attentional functions observed in PTSD, this could be a promising area of research.

## 6. Discussion

Although PTSD does not have a substantial effect on general cognition, neuropsychological research provides evidence for subtle deficits concerning inhibition of automatic responses and the regulation of attention—in both emotional and non-emotional contexts (Falconer, *et al* 2008; Pineles, *et al* 2007; Pineles, *et al* 2009; McNally, *et al* 1990; Kimble, *et al* 2010; Leskin and White 2007). In particular, PTSD has been associated with difficulty disengaging attention from one stimulus to focus on more task-relevant stimuli (Pineles, *et al* 2007; Pineles, *et al* 2009). Such impairment may be most evident when the “distractor” stimuli are of high value—either negative or even potentially, positive. One basic ability we have as humans is to assess the value of environmental stimuli and quickly orient attention towards stimuli as needed (e.g., through “bottom-up” influences on attention). However, it is also important to be able to determine which stimuli are irrelevant or distracting to our current goals and disengage from those stimuli in order to orient towards those that are more goal-relevant (e.g., “top-down” regulation of attention)(Bishop, 2008). There is evidence that PTSD may be associated with enhanced activation in prefrontal networks during tasks involving non-flexible, sustained attention to a stimulus (e.g., as with the continuous performance task), but with attenuated activation of prefrontal networks on tasks requiring inhibition or flexibility in attention (Bryant, *et al* 2005; Falconer, *et al* 2008; Moores, *et al* 2008). This combination of neural response patterns in PTSD may relate to observed difficulties disengaging and reorienting attention to perform optimally on cognitive tasks, and may underlie at least part of the symptom profile in PTSD.

Additional prospective, longitudinal research is needed to determine whether or not inhibitory dysfunction is a pre-trauma risk and resiliency factor. However, given the pervasiveness of such dysfunction across both trauma-related (e.g., dot-probe or modified Stroop tasks) and neutral (e.g., Go-NoGo tasks) tasks, it is likely that subtle deficits in executive function pre-date the trauma and influence the development of PTSD. In particular, there may be a subtle pre-trauma deficit concerning disengagement of attention from stimuli or behaviors that are no longer relevant. This subtle deficit could be amplified when a task or situation involves highly valued stimuli that increase the pull on attentional resources. When a trauma occurs, trauma-associated stimuli become very highly “valued”—thereby demanding greater attention and making it more difficult to disengage. In such situations, any disengagement or “switching” dysfunctions could be amplified to their extreme. Subtle deficits in inhibition and disengagement may not significantly influence daily functioning until a highly emotional or traumatic experience serves to perturbate the system. It is easy to imagine how such difficulties, when combined with a significant traumatic experience, could spiral in a way that influences the development of symptoms we label as PTSD. A chart representing how these difficulties could relate to the progression of PTSD symptoms is displayed in Figure 1.

As documented in the literature, a high percentage of people experience symptoms of posttraumatic stress within the few weeks following trauma (Rothbaum, *et al* 1992; Shalev, *et al* 1998). Fortunately, these symptoms decrease over time for most, while for those with PTSD, symptoms remain and may even worsen with time (Orcutt, *et al* 2004). We therefore suggest that trauma-exposure may be associated with increased attention towards trauma- or

threat-related stimuli for most, if not all, individuals (Figure 1b). However, only a subset of these individuals would have underlying deficits related to inhibition of responses (Figure 1c) and disengagement of attention (Figure 1d). Such deficits could contribute to development of pervasive re-experiencing and hyperarousal symptoms. Furthermore, when individuals have difficulty inhibiting responses to triggering stimuli, they may rely on other coping mechanisms—namely avoidance of arousing stimuli. In other words: if you can't inhibit it—avoid it (Figure 1e).

Adoption of an avoidant coping strategy may be adaptive in the short term, as it decreases reliance on dysfunctional inhibitory and attentional networks. This coping strategy becomes a problem when avoiding emotional triggers also requires sacrifice of rewarding and positive aspects of a person's life. For example, a PTSD patient may avoid hospitals despite needing medical care in order to avoid smells or sights for which they have difficulty inhibiting emotional responses. Another PTSD patient may avoid previously-pleasurable activities (e.g., sports activities, family functions) due to crowds or other triggering stimuli. Persistent use of avoidance strategies keeps individuals from situations in which they could potentially learn to inhibit re-experiencing and hyperarousal symptoms—thus helping maintain the disorder (Foa and Kozak 1986). Difficulty disengaging from trauma-related stimuli and from adopted avoidant coping strategies, may also prevent individuals from attending to other aspects of their life—such as their family, friends, pleasurable activities, and positive emotions and cognitions in general. This in turn could contribute to the emotional numbness and depressive symptoms often experienced by PTSD patients (Figure 1f).

One basic assumption of the most effective treatments for PTSD (e.g., Cognitive Processing Therapy and Prolonged Exposure) is that patients must decrease avoidant behaviors, habituate to triggering stimuli, learn that they can cope with strong emotions, and alter their cognitions and perceptions of the trauma, themselves, and the world in general (Foa and Kozak 1986; Foa, *et al* 2007; Resick and Schnicke 1996). Such treatments disrupt avoidant coping styles and lead patients through experiences in which they can practice both habituation of emotion as well as inhibition and regulation of their automatic thoughts, feelings, and behaviors. Could treatments that more directly target inhibition, disengagement, and control of attention serve to benefit PTSD patients and decrease clinical symptoms? As discussed, there is evidence that training attention away from threat may help to reduce symptoms in other anxiety disorders. Given that between 20–50% of patients do not respond to current, first-line treatments for PTSD (Cukor, *et al* 2010; Schottenbauer, *et al* 2008), it is imperative that we direct *our* attentional resources towards the development and examination of such novel treatment strategies.

## 7. Conclusion

There is evidence for subtle deficits in attentional and inhibitory functions in PTSD that may predate trauma exposure, serve as risk factors for the development of PTSD, and relate to the severity of symptoms. We propose that such dysfunction could contribute to hypervigilance and arousal symptoms and the reliance on avoidant coping strategies, which are considered hallmark symptoms of PTSD. Further neuropsychological and neuroimaging

research is needed to determine the exact nature of these deficits and the specific role they play in the etiology of the disorder. The use of attentional and inhibitory tasks within prospective, longitudinal studies could help in determining whether or not observed deficits are pre-trauma risk and resiliency factors. Additionally, the effect of current PTSD treatments on executive functions, as well as the effect of training in attention and inhibitory functions on PTSD symptoms, is of utmost importance. Neuropsychological, neuroimaging, and clinical research conducted thus far has led us to have specific, objective targets in sight on which treatments could potentially be aimed. It is hoped that by incorporating knowledge from cognitive and neuroscientific research, we can develop novel treatments that will allow us to more successfully treat those suffering from PTSD.

## References

- Alvarez JA, Emory E. Executive function and the frontal lobes: a meta-analytic review. *Neuropsychol Rev.* 2006; 16:17–42. [PubMed: 16794878]
- Amir N, Badour CL, Freese B. The effect of retrieval on recall of information in individuals with posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Anxiety Disord.* 2009a; 23:535–540. [PubMed: 19070459]
- Amir N, Beard C, Burns M, Bomyea J. Attention modification program in individuals with generalized anxiety disorder. *J Abnorm Psychol.* 2009b; 118:28–33. [PubMed: 19222311]
- Amir N, Weber G, Beard C, Bomyea J, Taylor CT. The effect of a single-session attention modification program on response to a public-speaking challenge in socially anxious individuals. *J Abnorm Psychol.* 2008; 117:860–868. [PubMed: 19025232]
- Aron AR, Fletcher PC, Bullmore ET, Sahakian BJ, Robbins TW. Stop-signal inhibition disrupted by damage to right inferior frontal gyrus in humans. *Nat Neurosci.* 2003; 6:115–116. [PubMed: 12536210]
- Aupperle RL, Paulus MP. Neural systems underlying approach and avoidance in anxiety disorders. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience.* 2010; 12:305–319. [PubMed: 20954427]
- Barrett DH, Green ML, Morris R, Giles WH, Croft JB. Cognitive functioning and posttraumatic stress disorder. *Am J Psychiatry.* 1996; 153:1492–1494. [PubMed: 8890689]
- Bechara A, Damasio AR, Damasio H, Anderson S. Insensitivity to future consequences following damage to human prefrontal cortex. *Cognition.* 1994; 50:7–15. [PubMed: 8039375]
- Bechara A, Damasio H, Damasio AR. Emotion, decision making and the orbitofrontal cortex. *Cereb Cortex.* 2000; 10:295–307. [PubMed: 10731224]
- Bechara A, Damasio H, Damasio AR. Role of the amygdala in decision-making. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2003; 985:356–369. [PubMed: 12724171]
- Beckham JC, Crawford AL, Feldman ME. Trail making test performance in Vietnam combat veterans with and without posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Trauma Stress.* 1998; 11:811–819. [PubMed: 9870231]
- Bishop SJ. Neural mechanisms underlying selective attention to threat. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2008; 1129:141–152. [PubMed: 18591476]
- Bledowski C, Kaiser J, Rahm B. Basic operations in working memory: contributions from functional imaging studies. *Behav Brain Res.* 2010; 214:172–179. [PubMed: 20678984]
- Brandes D, Ben-Schachar G, Gilboa A, Bonne O, Freedman S, Shalev AY. PTSD symptoms and cognitive performance in recent trauma survivors. *Psychiatry Res.* 2002; 110:231–238. [PubMed: 12127473]
- Bremner JD. Hypotheses and controversies related to effects of stress on the hippocampus: an argument for stress-induced damage to the hippocampus in patients with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Hippocampus.* 2001; 11:75–81. [PubMed: 11345127]
- Bremner JD, Vermetten E, Vythilingam M, Afzal N, Schmahl C, Elzinga B, et al. Neural correlates of the classic color and emotional stroop in women with abuse-related posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2004; 55:612–620. [PubMed: 15013830]

- Bressan RA, Quarantini LC, Andreoli SB, Araujo C, Breen G, Guindalini C, et al. The posttraumatic stress disorder project in Brazil: neuropsychological, structural and molecular neuroimaging studies in victims of urban violence. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2009; 9:30. [PubMed: 19480721]
- Browning M, Holmes EA, Murphy SE, Goodwin GM, Harmer CJ. Lateral prefrontal cortex mediates the cognitive modification of attentional bias. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2010; 67:919–925. [PubMed: 20034617]
- Bryant RA, Felmingham KL, Kemp AH, Barton M, Peduto AS, Rennie C, et al. Neural networks of information processing in posttraumatic stress disorder: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2005; 58:111–118. [PubMed: 16038681]
- Burriss L, Ayers E, Ginsberg J, Powell DA. Learning and memory impairment in PTSD: relationship to depression. *Depress Anxiety*. 2008; 25:149–157. [PubMed: 17352380]
- Bush G, Whalen PJ, Rosen BR, Jenike MA, McInerney SC, Rauch SL. The counting Stroop: an interference task specialized for functional neuroimaging--validation study with functional MRI. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 1998; 6:270–282. [PubMed: 9704265]
- Carlson SM, Davis AC, Leach JG. Less is more: executive function and symbolic representation in preschool children. *Psychol Sci*. 2005; 16:609–616. [PubMed: 16102063]
- Casada JH, Roache JD. Behavioral inhibition and activation in posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Nerv Ment Dis*. 2005; 193:102–109. [PubMed: 15684912]
- Chemtob CM, Roitblat HL, Hamada RS, Muraoka MY, Carlson JG, Bauer GB. Compelled attention: the effects of viewing trauma-related stimuli on concurrent task performance in posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Trauma Stress*. 1999; 12:309–326. [PubMed: 10378168]
- Clark VP, Fannon S, Lai S, Benson R, Bauer L. Responses to rare visual target and distractor stimuli using event-related fMRI. *J Neurophysiol*. 2000; 83:3133–3139. [PubMed: 10805707]
- Compton RJ, Banich MT, Mohanty A, Milham MP, Herrington J, Miller GA, et al. Paying attention to emotion: an fMRI investigation of cognitive and emotional stroop tasks. *Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci*. 2003; 3:81–96. [PubMed: 12943324]
- Conners, C. *Conners' Continuous Performance Test computer program user's guide*. Multi-Health Systems; Toronto, Canada: 1992.
- Crowell TA, Kieffer KM, Siders CA, Vanderploeg RD. Neuropsychological findings in combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*. 2002; 16:310–321. [PubMed: 12607144]
- Cukor J, Olden M, Lee F, Difede J. Evidence-based treatments for PTSD, new directions, and special challenges. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2010; 1208:82–89. [PubMed: 20955329]
- Dagleish T, Taghavi R, Neshat-Doost H, Moradi A, Canterbury R, Yule W. Patterns of processing bias for emotional information across clinical disorders: a comparison of attention, memory, and prospective cognition in children and adolescents with depression, generalized anxiety, and posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol*. 2003; 32:10–21. [PubMed: 12573928]
- Delis DC, Freeland J, Kramer JH, Kaplan E. Integrating clinical assessment with cognitive neuroscience: construct validation of the California Verbal Learning Test. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1988; 56:123–130. [PubMed: 3346437]
- Delis, D.; Kaplan, E.; Kramer, J. *Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (D-KEFS): Examiner's Manual*. The Psychological Corporation; San Antonio, TX: 2001.
- Devineni T, Blanchard EB, Hickling EJ, Buckley TC. Effect of psychological treatment on cognitive bias in motor vehicle accident-related Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *J Anxiety Disord*. 2004; 18:211–231. [PubMed: 15033217]
- Eldar S, Bar-Haim Y. Neural plasticity in response to attention training in anxiety. *Psychol Med*. 2010; 40:667–677. [PubMed: 19627649]
- Etkin A, Wager TD. Functional neuroimaging of anxiety: a meta-analysis of emotional processing in PTSD, social anxiety disorder, and specific phobia. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2007; 164:1476–1488. [PubMed: 17898336]
- Falconer E, Bryant R, Felmingham KL, Kemp AH, Gordon E, Peduto A, et al. The neural networks of inhibitory control in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Psychiatry & Neuroscience*. 2008; 33:413–422. [PubMed: 18787658]

- Fan J, McCandliss B, Sommer T, Raz A, Posner M. Testing the efficiency and independence of attentional networks. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*. 2002; 14:340–347. [PubMed: 11970796]
- Fani N, Kitayama N, Ashraf A, Reed L, Afzal N, Jawed F, et al. Neuropsychological functioning in patients with posttraumatic stress disorder following short-term paroxetine treatment. *Psychopharmacol Bull*. 2009; 42:53–68. [PubMed: 19204651]
- Fichtenholtz HM, Dean HL, Dillon DG, Yamasaki H, McCarthy G, Labar KS. Emotion-attention network interactions during a visual oddball task. *Brain Res Cogn Brain Res*. 2004; 20:67–80. [PubMed: 15130591]
- Foa EB, Feske U, Murdock TB, Kozak MJ, McCarthy PR. Processing of threat-related information in rape victims. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 1991; 100:156–162. [PubMed: 2040766]
- Foa EB, Kozak MJ. Emotional processing of fear: exposure to corrective information. *Psychol Bull*. 1986; 99:20–35. [PubMed: 2871574]
- Foa, EB.; Hembree, EA.; Rothbaum, BO. Prolonged exposure therapy for PTSD: Emotional processing of traumatic experiences. Oxford University Press; New York: 2007.
- Francati V, Vermetten E, Bremner JD. Functional neuroimaging studies in posttraumatic stress disorder: review of current methods and findings. *Depress Anxiety*. 2007; 24:202–218. [PubMed: 16960853]
- Gale CR, Deary IJ, Boyle SH, Barefoot J, Mortensen LH, Batty GD. Cognitive ability in early adulthood and risk of 5 specific psychiatric disorders in middle age: the Vietnam experience study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2008; 65:1410–1418. [PubMed: 19047528]
- Garavan H, Ross TJ, Stein EA. Right hemispheric dominance of inhibitory control: an event-related functional MRI study. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1999; 96:8301–8306. [PubMed: 10393989]
- Gilbertson MW, Gurvits TV, Lasko NB, Orr SP, Pitman RK. Multivariate assessment of explicit memory function in combat veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Trauma Stress*. 2001; 14:413–432. [PubMed: 11469166]
- Gilbertson MW, Paulus LA, Williston SK, Gurvits TV, Lasko NB, Pitman RK, et al. Neurocognitive function in monozygotic twins discordant for combat exposure: relationship to posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 2006; 115:484–495. [PubMed: 16866589]
- Golier J, Yehuda R, Cornblatt B, Harvey P, Gerber D, Levengood R. Sustained attention in combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder. *Integr Physiol Behav Sci*. 1997; 32:52–61. [PubMed: 9105914]
- Green BL, Grace MC, Lindy JD, Gleser GC, Leonard A. Risk factors for PTSD and other diagnoses in a general sample of Vietnam veterans. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1990; 147:729–733. [PubMed: 2343915]
- Gurvits TV, Lasko NB, Schachter SC, Kuhne AA, Orr SP, Pitman RK. Neurological status of Vietnam veterans with chronic posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 1993; 5:183–188. [PubMed: 8508036]
- Hare TA, Camerer CF, Knoepfle DT, Rangel A. Value computations in ventral medial prefrontal cortex during charitable decision making incorporate input from regions involved in social cognition. *J Neurosci*. 2010; 30:583–590. [PubMed: 20071521]
- Heaton, R. Wisconsin Card Sorting Test. Psychological Assessment Resources; Odessa, FL: 1981.
- James, W. *The Principles of Psychology*. Henry Holt; New York: 1890. p. 404
- Jelinek L, Jacobsen D, Kellner M, Larbig F, Biesold KH, Barre K, et al. Verbal and nonverbal memory functioning in posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol*. 2006; 28:940–948. [PubMed: 16822734]
- Jelinek L, Moritz S, Randjbar S, Sommerfeldt D, Puschel K, Seifert D. Does the evocation of traumatic memories confound subsequent working memory performance in posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD)? *Depress Anxiety*. 2008; 25:175–179. [PubMed: 17354268]
- Jenkins MA, Langlais PJ, Delis D, Cohen RA. Attentional dysfunction associated with posttraumatic stress disorder among rape survivors. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*. 2000; 14:7–12. [PubMed: 10855055]
- Johnsen GE, Asbjornsen AE. Consistent impaired verbal memory in PTSD: a meta-analysis. *J Affect Disord*. 2008; 111:74–82. [PubMed: 18377999]
- Kanagaratnam P, Asbjornsen AE. Executive deficits in chronic PTSD related to political violence. *J Anxiety Disord*. 2007; 21:510–525. [PubMed: 16938424]

- Kessler RC, Sonnega A, Bromet E, Hughes M, Nelson CB. Posttraumatic stress disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1995; 52:1048–1060. [PubMed: 7492257]
- Kiehl KA, Liddle PF, Hopfinger JB. Error processing and the rostral anterior cingulate: an event-related fMRI study. *Psychophysiology*. 2000; 37:216–223. [PubMed: 10731771]
- Kim S, Lee D. Prefrontal cortex and impulsive decision making. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2010
- Kimble MO, Fleming K, Bandy C, Kim J, Zambetti A. Eye tracking and visual attention to threatening stimuli in veterans of the Iraq war. *J Anxiety Disord*. 2010; 24:293–299. [PubMed: 20138463]
- Kimble MO, Frueh BC, Marks L. Does the modified Stroop effect exist in PTSD? Evidence from dissertation abstracts and the peer reviewed literature. *J Anxiety Disord*. 2009; 23:650–655. [PubMed: 19272751]
- Kirino E, Belger A, Goldman-Rakic P, McCarthy G. Prefrontal activation evoked by infrequent target and novel stimuli in a visual target detection task: an event-related functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *J Neurosci*. 2000; 20:6612–6618. [PubMed: 10964966]
- Koenen KC, Driver KL, Oscar-Berman M, Wolfe J, Folsom S, Huang MT, et al. Measures of prefrontal system dysfunction in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Brain Cogn*. 2001; 45:64–78. [PubMed: 11161363]
- Konishi S, Nakajima K, Uchida I, Sekihara K, Miyashita Y. No-go dominant brain activity in human inferior prefrontal cortex revealed by functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Eur J Neurosci*. 1998; 10:1209–1213. [PubMed: 9753190]
- Koso M, Hansen S. Executive function and memory in posttraumatic stress disorder: a study of Bosnian war veterans. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2006; 21:167–173. [PubMed: 16139487]
- Kremen WS, Koenen KC, Boake C, Purcell S, Eisen SA, Franz CE, et al. Pretrauma cognitive ability and risk for posttraumatic stress disorder: a twin study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2007; 64:361–368. [PubMed: 17339525]
- Lagarde G, Doyon J, Brunet A. Memory and executive dysfunctions associated with acute posttraumatic stress disorder. *Psychiatry Res*. 2010; 177:144–149. [PubMed: 20381880]
- Leskin LP, White PM. Attentional networks reveal executive function deficits in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Neuropsychology*. 2007; 21:275–284. [PubMed: 17484590]
- Li S, Tan J, Qian M, Liu X. Continual training of attentional bias in social anxiety. *Behav Res Ther*. 2008; 46:905–912. [PubMed: 18538305]
- Liberzon I, Sripada CS. The functional neuroanatomy of PTSD: a critical review. *Prog Brain Res*. 2008; 167:151–169. [PubMed: 18037013]
- Liddle PF, Kiehl KA, Smith AM. Event-related fMRI study of response inhibition. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2001; 12:100–109. [PubMed: 11169874]
- Lindauer RJ, Olf M, van Meijel EP, Carlier IV, Gersons BP. Cortisol, learning, memory, and attention in relation to smaller hippocampal volume in police officers with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2006; 59:171–177. [PubMed: 16154543]
- Litz BT, Weathers FW, Monaco V, Herman DS, Wulfsohn M, Marx B, et al. Attention, arousal, and memory in posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Trauma Stress*. 1996; 9:497–519. [PubMed: 8827652]
- Logan, G. On the ability to inhibit thought and action: A users' guide to the stop signal paradigm. In: Dagenbach, T.; Carr, D., editors. *Inhibitory processes in attention, memory, and language*. Academic Press; San Diego: 1994. p. 189-239.
- Loong, J. *The Continuous Performance Test*. Wang Neuropsychological Laboratory; San Luis Obispo, CA: 1988.
- Macklin ML, Metzger LJ, Litz BT, McNally RJ, Lasko NB, Orr SP, et al. Lower precombat intelligence is a risk factor for posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1998; 66:323–326. [PubMed: 9583335]
- MacLeod C, Mathews A, Tata P. Attentional bias in emotional disorders. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 1986; 95:15–20. [PubMed: 3700842]
- MacLeod C, Rutherford E, Campbell L, Ebsworthy G, Holker L. Selective attention and emotional vulnerability: assessing the causal basis of their association through the experimental manipulation of attentional bias. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 2002; 111:107–123. [PubMed: 11866165]




- Marmar CR, McCaslin SE, Metzler TJ, Best S, Weiss DS, Fagan J, et al. Predictors of posttraumatic stress in police and other first responders. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2006; 1071:1–18. [PubMed: 16891557]
- Marx BP, Doron-Lamarca S, Proctor SP, Vasterling JJ. The influence of pre-deployment neurocognitive functioning on post-deployment PTSD symptom outcomes among Iraq-deployed Army soldiers. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc.* 2009; 15:840–852. [PubMed: 19891817]
- Mathews A, MacLeod C. Selective processing of threat cues in anxiety states. *Behavior Research and Therapy.* 1985; 23:563–569.
- McCabe DP, Roediger HL, McDaniel MA, Balota DA, Hambrick DZ. The relationship between working memory capacity and executive functioning: evidence for a common executive attention construct. *Neuropsychology.* 2010; 24:222–243. [PubMed: 20230116]
- McCarthy G, Luby M, Gore J, Goldman-Rakic P. Infrequent events transiently activate human prefrontal and parietal cortex as measured by functional MRI. *J Neurophysiol.* 1997; 77:1630–1634. [PubMed: 9084626]
- McFarlane AC, Weber DL, Clark CR. Abnormal stimulus processing in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry.* 1993; 34:311–320. [PubMed: 8399831]
- McNally RJ, Kaspi SP, Riemann BC, Zeitlin SB. Selective processing of threat cues in posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Abnorm Psychol.* 1990; 99:398–402. [PubMed: 2266215]
- Menon V, Adleman NE, White CD, Glover GH, Reiss AL. Error-related brain activation during a Go/NoGo response inhibition task. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2001; 12:131–143. [PubMed: 11170305]
- Menon V, Ford JM, Lim KO, Glover GH, Pfefferbaum A. Combined event-related fMRI and EEG evidence for temporal-parietal cortex activation during target detection. *Neuroreport.* 1997; 8:3029–3037. [PubMed: 9331910]
- Milner B. Interhemispheric differences in the localization of psychological processes in man. *Br Med Bull.* 1971; 27:272–277. [PubMed: 4937273]
- Miyake, A.; Shah, P. *Models of working memory: Mechanisms of active maintenance and executive control.* Cambridge University Press; Cambridge: 1999.
- Mohanty A, Engels AS, Herrington JD, Heller W, Ho MH, Banich MT, et al. Differential engagement of anterior cingulate cortex subdivisions for cognitive and emotional function. *Psychophysiology.* 2007; 44:343–351. [PubMed: 17433093]
- Moore SA. Cognitive abnormalities in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Curr Opin Psychiatry.* 2009; 22:19–24. [PubMed: 19122530]
- Moore KA, Clark CR, McFarlane AC, Brown GC, Puce A, Taylor DJ. Abnormal recruitment of working memory updating networks during maintenance of trauma-neutral information in post-traumatic stress disorder. *Psychiatry Res.* 2008; 163:156–170. [PubMed: 18455372]
- Morey RA, Dolcos F, Petty CM, Cooper DA, Hayes JP, Labar KS, et al. The role of trauma-related distractors on neural systems for working memory and emotion processing in posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2009; 43:809–817. [PubMed: 19091328]
- Morey RA, Petty CM, Cooper DA, Labar KS, McCarthy G. Neural systems for executive and emotional processing are modulated by symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder in Iraq War veterans. *Psychiatry Res.* 2008; 162:59–72. [PubMed: 18093809]
- Mueller-Pfeiffer C, Martin-Soelch C, Blair JR, Carnier A, Kaiser N, Rufer M, et al. Impact of emotion on cognition in trauma survivors: What is the role of posttraumatic stress disorder? *J Affect Disord.* 2010; 126:287–292. [PubMed: 20417971]
- Najmi S, Amir N. The effect of attention training on a behavioral test of contamination fears in individuals with subclinical obsessive-compulsive symptoms. *J Abnorm Psychol.* 2010; 119:136–142. [PubMed: 20141250]
- Nelson, H. *The National Adult Reading Test (NART): test manual.* NFER-Nelson; Windsor, UK: 1982.
- Nelson, H.; Willison, J. *The Revised National Adult Reading Test--Test manual.* NFER-Nelson; Windsor, UK: 1991.
- Neylan TC, Lenoci M, Rothlind J, Metzler TJ, Schuff N, Du AT, et al. Attention, learning, and memory in posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Trauma Stress.* 2004; 17:41–46. [PubMed: 15027792]

- Orcutt HK, Erickson DJ, Wolfe J. The course of PTSD symptoms among Gulf War veterans: a growth mixture modeling approach. *J Trauma Stress*. 2004; 17:195–202. [PubMed: 15253091]
- Osterrieth P. Le test de copie d'une figure complexe: contribution a l'étude de la perception et de la memoire. *Archive de Psychologie*. 1944; 30:286–350.
- Pannu Hayes J, Labar KS, Petty CM, McCarthy G, Morey RA. Alterations in the neural circuitry for emotion and attention associated with posttraumatic stress symptomatology. *Psychiatry Res*. 2009; 172:7–15. [PubMed: 19237269]
- Parslow RA, Jorm AF. Pretrauma and posttrauma neurocognitive functioning and PTSD symptoms in a community sample of young adults. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2007; 164:509–515. [PubMed: 17329477]
- Partington J, Leiter R. Partington's Pathway Test. *The Psychological Service Center Bulletin*. 1949; 1:9–20.
- Pineles SL, Shipherd JC, Mostoufi SM, Abramovitz SM, Yovel I. Attentional biases in PTSD: More evidence for interference. *Behav Res Ther*. 2009; 47:1050–1057. [PubMed: 19716122]
- Pineles SL, Shipherd JC, Welch LP, Yovel I. The role of attentional biases in PTSD: is it interference or facilitation? *Behav Res Ther*. 2007; 45:1903–1913. [PubMed: 17049337]
- Pitman RK, Orr SP, Lowenhagen MJ, Macklin ML, Altman B. Pre-Vietnam contents of posttraumatic stress disorder veterans' service medical and personnel records. *Compr Psychiatry*. 1991; 32:416–422. [PubMed: 1743012]
- Rangel A, Camerer C, Montague PR. A framework for studying the neurobiology of value-based decision making. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2008; 9:545–556. [PubMed: 18545266]
- Reitan R. Validity of the Trail Making Test as an indicator of organic brain damage. *Percept Mot Skills*. 1958; 9:127–130.
- Repovs G, Baddeley A. The multi-component model of working memory: explorations in experimental cognitive psychology. *Neuroscience*. 2006; 139:5–21. [PubMed: 16517088]
- Resick, PA.; Schnicke, MK. *Cognitive Processing for Rape Victims*. Sage Publications; Newbury Park, CA: 1996.
- Rey A. L'examen psychologique dans les cas d'encephalopathie traumatique. *Archive de Psychologie*. 1941; 28:286–340.
- Reynolds, C. *Comprehensive Trail Making Test: Examiner's Manual*. PRO-ED; Austin, TX: 2002.
- Rothbaum BO, Foa EB, Riggs DS, Murdock T, Walsh W. A prospective examination of post-traumatic stress disorder in rape victims. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*. 1992; 5:455–475.
- Royall DR, Lauterbach EC, Cummings JL, Reeve A, Rummans TA, Kaufer DI, et al. Executive control function: a review of its promise and challenges for clinical research. A report from the Committee on Research of the American Neuropsychiatric Association. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2002; 14:377–405. [PubMed: 12426407]
- Rubia K, Overmeyer S, Taylor E, Brammer M, Williams S, Simmons A, et al. Prefrontal involvement in "temporal bridging" and timing movement. *Neuropsychologia*. 1998; 36:1283–1293. [PubMed: 9863682]
- Rubin DC, Berntsen D, Bohni MK. A memory-based model of posttraumatic stress disorder: evaluating basic assumptions underlying the PTSD diagnosis. *Psychol Rev*. 2008; 115:985–1011. [PubMed: 18954211]
- Sailer U, Robinson S, Fischmeister FP, Konig D, Oppenauer C, Lueger-Schuster B, et al. Altered reward processing in the nucleus accumbens and mesial prefrontal cortex of patients with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Neuropsychologia*. 2008; 46:2836–2844. [PubMed: 18597797]
- Salthouse TA, Atkinson TM, Berish DE. Executive functioning as a potential mediator of age-related cognitive decline in normal adults. *J Exp Psychol Gen*. 2003; 132:566–594. [PubMed: 14640849]
- Samuelson KW, Neylan TC, Metzler TJ, Lenoci M, Rothlind J, Henn-Haase C, et al. Neuropsychological functioning in posttraumatic stress disorder and alcohol abuse. *Neuropsychology*. 2006a; 20:716–726. [PubMed: 17100516]
- Samuelson KW, Metzler TJ, Rothlind J, Choucroun G, Neylan TC, Lenoci M, et al. Neuropsychological Functioning in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Alcohol Abuse. *Neuropsychology*. 2006b:20.

- Schmidt NB, Richey JA, Buckner JD, Timpano KR. Attention training for generalized social anxiety disorder. *J Abnorm Psychol.* 2009; 118:5–14. [PubMed: 19222309]
- Schottenbauer MA, Glass CR, Arnkoff DB, Tendick V, Gray SH. Nonresponse and dropout rates in outcome studies on PTSD: review and methodological considerations. *Psychiatry.* 2008; 71:134–168. [PubMed: 18573035]
- Shalev AY, Freedman S, Peri T, Brandes D, Sahar T, Orr SP, et al. Prospective study of posttraumatic stress disorder and depression following trauma. *Am J Psychiatry.* 1998; 155:630–637. [PubMed: 9585714]
- Shallice T. Specific impairments in planning. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B.* 1982; 298:199–209.
- Shin LM, Liberzon I. The neurocircuitry of fear, stress, and anxiety disorders. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2010; 35:169–191. [PubMed: 19625997]
- Shin LM, Whalen PJ, Pitman RK, Bush G, Macklin ML, Lasko NB, et al. An fMRI study of anterior cingulate function in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2001; 50:932–942. [PubMed: 11750889]
- Shucard JL, McCabe DC, Szymanski H. An event-related potential study of attention deficits in posttraumatic stress disorder during auditory and visual Go/NoGo continuous performance tasks. *Biol Psychol.* 2008; 79:223–233. [PubMed: 18590795]
- Simon H. The functional equivalence of problem-solving skills. *Cognitive Psychology.* 1975; 7:268–288.
- Smith, A. Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDM) manual. Western Psychological Services; Los Angeles: 1982.
- Smith EE, Jonides J. Storage and executive processes in the frontal lobes. *Science.* 1999; 283:1657–1661. [PubMed: 10073923]
- Stein MB, Kennedy CM, Twamley EW. Neuropsychological function in female victims of intimate partner violence with and without posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2002; 52:1079–1088. [PubMed: 12460691]
- Stein MB, McAllister TW. Exploring the convergence of posttraumatic stress disorder and mild traumatic brain injury. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2009; 166:768–776. [PubMed: 19448186]
- Stroop J. Studies of interference in serial verbal reactions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology.* 1935; 18:643–666.
- Thompson WW, Gottesman II. Challenging the conclusion that lower preinduction cognitive ability increases risk for combat-related post-traumatic stress disorder in 2,375 combat-exposed, Vietnam War veterans. *Mil Med.* 2008; 173:576–582. [PubMed: 18595422]
- Twamley EW, Allard CB, Thorp SR, Norman SB, Cissell SH, Berardi KH, et al. Cognitive impairment and functioning in PTSD related to intimate partner violence. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society.* 2009; 15:879–887. [PubMed: 19703324]
- Twamley EW, Hami S, Stein MB. Neuropsychological function in college students with and without posttraumatic stress disorder. *Psychiatry Research.* 2004; 126:265–274. [PubMed: 15157752]
- Vasterling JJ, Brailey K, Constans JI, Sutker PB. Attention and memory dysfunction in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Neuropsychology.* 1998; 12:125–133. [PubMed: 9460740]
- Vasterling JJ, Brailey K, Duke LM, Constans JI, Allain AN, Sutker PB. Attention, learning, and memory performances and intellectual resources in Vietnam veterans: PTSD and no disorder comparisons. *Neuropsychology.* 2002; 16:5–14. [PubMed: 11853357]
- Vermetten E, Vythilingam M, Southwick SM, Charney DS, Bremner JD. Long-term treatment with paroxetine increases verbal declarative memory and hippocampal volume in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2003; 54:693–702. [PubMed: 14512209]
- Walter KH, Palmieri PA, Gunstad J. More than symptom reduction: changes in executive function over the course of PTSD treatment. *J Trauma Stress.* 2010; 23:292–295. [PubMed: 20419740]
- Wechsler, D. Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale--Revised. The Psychological Corporation; New York: 1987.
- Wechsler, D. WAIS-III: Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale--third edition administration and scoring manual. The Psychological Corporation; San Antonio, TX: 1997a.

- Wechsler, D. Wechsler Memory Scale. 3. The Psychological Corporation; San Antonio, TX: 1997b.
- Wechsler, D. Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale. 4. Pearson Assessments; San Antonio, TX: 2008.
- Wechsler, D. Wechsler Memory Scale. 4. Pearson Assessment; San Antonio, TX: 2009.
- Whalen PJ, Bush G, McNally RJ, Wilhelm S, McInerney SC, Jenike MA, et al. The emotional counting Stroop paradigm: a functional magnetic resonance imaging probe of the anterior cingulate affective division. *Biol Psychiatry*. 1998; 44:1219–1228. [PubMed: 9861465]
- Wild J, Gur RC. Verbal memory and treatment response in post-traumatic stress disorder. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2008; 193:254–255. [PubMed: 18757989]
- Williams JM, Mathews A, MacLeod C. The emotional Stroop task and psychopathology. *Psychol Bull*. 1996; 120:3–24. [PubMed: 8711015]
- Wu J, Ge Y, Shi Z, Duan X, Wang L, Sun X, et al. Response inhibition in adolescent earthquake survivors with and without posttraumatic stress disorder: A combined behavioral and ERP study. *Neuroscience Letters*. 2010
- Yamasaki H, Labar KS, McCarthy G. Dissociable prefrontal brain systems for attention and emotion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2002; 99:11447–11451. [PubMed: 12177452]
- Yoshiura T, Zhong J, Shibata DK, Kwok WE, Shrier DA, Numaguchi Y. Functional MRI study of auditory and visual oddball tasks. *Neuroreport*. 1999; 10:1683–1688. [PubMed: 10501557]
- Zalewski C, Thompson W, Gottesman II. Comparison of neuropsychological test performance in PTSD, generalized anxiety disorder, and control Vietnam veterans. *Assessment*. 1994; 1:133–142. [PubMed: 9465143]



**Fig. 1.**

Potential role of executive dysfunction in the development of PTSD. Most individuals experiencing a trauma may learn associations and direct attentional resources towards trauma-relevant stimuli (1a and 1b). However, subtle impairments in executive dysfunctions may relate to difficulties inhibiting responses and disengaging attention from trauma-related stimuli (1c and 1e) and lead to a reliance on avoidant coping strategies (1d), which contribute to the development of PTSD symptoms.