Crystallization of ribozymes and small RNA motifs by ^a sparse matrix approach

(ribonucleic acids/x-ray crystallography)

JENNIFER A. DOUDNA*t, CHERYL GROSSHANSt, ANNE GOODING*, AND CRAIG E. KUNDROT*t

*Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry and tHoward Hughes Medical Institute, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309-0215

Communicated by Thomas A. Steitz, June 3, 1993 (received for review April 1, 1993)

ABSTRACT The three-dimensional structures of RNA enzymes form catalytic centers that include specific substrate binding sites. High-resolution determination of these and other RNA structures is essential for ^a detailed understanding of the function of RNA in biological systems. The crystal structures of only ^a few RNA molecules are currently known. These include tRNAs, which were produced in vivo and contained modified bases, and short oligonucleotide duplexes lacking tertiary interactions. Here we report that ^a number of different RNA molecules of 4-50 kDa, all synthesized in vitro, have been crystallized. A highly successful method for the growth of RNA crystals based on previously reported conditions for tRNA crystallization is presented. This method is rapid and economical, typically requiring 1.1 mg of RNA to set up an experiment and 2 weeks to complete the observations. Using this technique, we have obtained crystals of ⁸ of ¹⁰ different RNA molecules tested, ranging in size from a dodecamer duplex to a 208 nucleotide catalytic intron. Several of these crystal forms diffract to high resolution; in one case, we have collected a 2.8-Å native data set for a 160-nucleotide domain of the group ^I self-splicing intron from Tetrahymena thermophila. The solution of these RNA structures should reveal aspects of tertiary structure that relate to RNA function and catalytic mechanisms.

The structures of RNA macromolecules are essential to their function in biological systems. Extensive biochemical evidence suggests that RNA enzymes fold to form catalytic centers, which include specific substrate binding sites (1-4). For example, the group ^I self-splicing introns have defined binding sites for the substrates guanosine and an RNA double helix, and substrate specificity can be altered by making site-directed mutations within the catalytic core of the RNA (5-8). In other cases, RNA structures form specific sites for protein recognition and binding. For example, tRNAs are bound specifically by cognate tRNA synthetases via particular sequence or structural interactions between the RNA and the protein (9, 10). Other well-studied examples include the Tar and Rev-responsive element sites of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1), which form binding sites for key regulatory proteins involved in HIV-1 replication $(11-15)$.

The nature ofRNA tertiary structural interactions has been investigated by using genetic and site-directed mutagenesis approaches. In addition, phylogenetic sequence comparison has proved a powerful tool for deduction of likely structural interactions (16, 17). Recently, structural interactions have been identified by *in vitro* selection techniques (18, 19). However, ^a much more detailed understanding of RNA folding and function will be possible only with the availability of high-resolution crystal structures of these molecules.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

The x-ray crystal structures of several tRNA molecules have been determined, and this has yielded extensive information about tertiary contacts in RNA. Triple-base interactions and noncanonical base pairings stabilize the threedimensional conformation of the molecule and enable it to perform its many functions in the cell (20-23). The cocrystal structure of a tRNA with its cognate tRNA synthetase has revealed protein-RNA contacts that give rise to the specificity of these interactions (10). In addition, two crystal structures of RNA oligonucleotide duplexes have been reported (24, 25).

Despite these advances, however, the study of RNA structure by x-ray crystallography is a relatively new field. Questions remain about the general ability of RNA molecules to be crystallized and the degree of primary and tertiary structural homogeneity required for crystallization. The crystallization of macromolecules is a highly empirical process even for proteins, for which there are now ≈ 1000 crystal structures known. In the case of RNA macromolecules, additional factors may complicate crystallization, such as the source and purity of material and the inherent instability of RNA. Furthermore, RNA molecules in some cases exist as a set of stable conformers in solution (26-28); conditions that favor the active conformation must be found and applied to the crystallization process.

We have developed ^a sparse matrix method for the growth of RNA crystals for x-ray diffraction analysis. This approach is based on the earlier incomplete factorial (29) and sparse matrix (30) methods for protein crystallization. Using this technique, we have obtained crystals of ⁸ of ¹⁰ different RNA molecules tested. RNA was produced by both in vitro transcription and solid-phase chemical synthesis. These molecules ranged in size from a dodecamer duplex to a 208 nucleotide catalytic intron. After determination of initial crystallization conditions, parameters were optimized to yield crystals appropriate for x-ray diffraction studies.

Perhaps most exciting for the future of RNA structural biology, several of our RNA crystals diffract to high resolution. In particular, we have collected a native data set to 2.8 A resolution for ^a 160-nucleotide domain of the group ^I intron from Tetrahymena thermophila.

We believe that our sparse matrix screen will be useful to molecular biologists and crystallographers alike who are seeking to crystallize RNA molecules of interest. The screen is rapid and requires minimal material (1.1 mg). Since it has proven successful for growing crystals of a wide range of RNA molecules in our experience, it is hoped that others may use it to begin work toward high-resolution crystal structures of many more RNAs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

RNA Preparation and Purification. RNA for crystallization was prepared either by transcription in vitro using T7 RNA

Abbreviation: MPD, 2-methyl-2,4-pentanediol. tTo whom reprint requests should be addressed.

Table 1. Crystallization matrix parameters

Precipitant	Mg^{2+}	Polyamine	
2-Propanol	MeCl ₂	Spermine	
PEG 8000	$Mg(OAc)_2$	Spermidine	
$(NH_4)_2SO_4$	MgSO ₄	Other divalent ions	
MPD pН		CaCl ₂	
Dioxane	5.5	BaCl	
1.6-Hexanediol	6.0	CoCl ₂	
Ethanol	6.5	CrCl ₂	
t-Butyl alcohol	7.0	NiCl ₂	
Spermine	7.5	CuCl ₂	
	8.0	SrCl ₂	

PEG, polyethylene glycol.

polymerase (31) or by solid-phase chemical synthesis (32, 33). Transcription involved an initial set of optimization experiments for each template of interest in order to maximize yield and purity of material. Typical reaction mixtures included 0.5 μ M DNA template, 20 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM spermidine, 40 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.0), ¹⁰ mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 0.01% Triton X-100, and 2.5 mM each nucleoside triphosphate. However, in some cases the optimal amounts of various reagents differed from the above values by severalfold. For example, in several cases increased DTT concentrations (up to ⁴⁰ mM) dramatically improved the yield of full-length RNA in the transcription reaction. Once optimal template, nucleoside triphosphate, DTT, and magnesium concentrations were identified, a large scale transcription reaction mixture of 20-100 ml (total vol) was prepared. The reaction volume was reduced to 2-5 ml in an Amicon stirred ultrafiltration cell or by adding 2 vol of 100% ethanol and precipitating the nucleic acids on dry ice for ⁶⁰ min (or overnight). RNA was purified from T7 RNA polymerase, abortive transcripts, unincorporated nucleotides, and DNA template by electrophoresis on denaturing polyacrylamide gels, or by size-exclusion chromatography using Sephacryl S-400 (Pharmacia). After purification, RNA was concentrated by dialysis with an Amicon ultrafiltration apparatus and equilibrated into sterile water or buffer by centrifugation using Centricon ultrafitration centrifuge tubes. Each RNA preparation was checked for purity on ethidium bromide- or silver-stained denaturing polyacrylamide gels. Typically, the RNA contained less than $\approx 1\%$ contaminating abortive or degradative products. RNA was stored either lyophilized at -20° C or in solution at 4 $^{\circ}$ C. All water and buffers to be used with the RNA were autoclaved and filtered through 0.2 - μ m filters before use. By following these procedures, hydrolysis of the RNA was not observed, even when stored at 4°C for several months.

RNA Preincubation and Crystallization. RNA for crystallization trials was diluted to ⁵ mg/ml in ⁵⁰ mM buffer and ⁵ mM magnesium chloride (or magnesium sulfate). This solution was then incubated in a heat block at 60°C for 10 min, and the block was turned off, removed from the heating unit, and allowed to cool slowly to room temperature (\approx 22°C). The solution was spun through a 0.2 - μ m filter before use in crystallization trials. Before dilution of the preincubated RNA into crystallization drops, the magnesium, polyamine, and other divalent metal ion concentrations were adjusted to those indicated in Table 2. The hanging drop method was used to screen for RNA crystallization using the sparse matrix conditions (34). This method involves suspending 2- to $4-\mu l$ droplets over a 1.0-ml reservoir. For convenience, 24-well tissue culture trays were used (Linbro). To maximize search conditions with minimal use of RNA, three droplets were suspended over each reservoir. The drops contained the following amounts of RNA solution and reservoir solution: ² μ l of RNA, 1 μ l of reservoir; 2 μ l of RNA, 2 μ l of reservoir; $1 \mu l$ of RNA, 2 μl of reservoir. Identical sets of trays were prepared-one for incubation at 4°C and one for incubation

Table 2. RNA sparse matrix conditions

Con-		$Mg^{2+},*$		Polyamine,	Cation
dition	Precipitant	mM	pH^{\dagger}	mM	(2 mM)
1	15% 2-propanol	20	6.0	Spermine, 0.5	CaCl ₂
2	4% PEG 8000	20	6.0	Spermine, 0.5	
3	7% 2-propanol	15	6.5	Spermine, 0.5	BaCl ₂
4	3 M AS	20	5.5	Spermine, 0.5	
5	2 M AS	20	7.0	Spermine, 0.5	
6	10% MPD	5	6.5	Spermine, 0.5	
7	2 M AS	10	7.0	Spermine, 0.5	
8	25% MPD	20 [‡]	6.0	Spermine, 0.5	CoCl ₂
9	15% dioxane	10	6.5	Spermine, 0.5	
10	25% dioxane	5	7.0	Spermine, 1.0	
11	10% 1,6-hexanediol	20	7.5	Spermine, 1.0	
12	1 M AS	15	7.5	Spermine, 1.0	CoCl ₂
13	4% PEG 8000	20	7.5	Spermine, 1.0	
14	2 M AS	20	7.5	Spermine, 1.0	CoCl ₂
15	20% ethanol	15	6.5	Spermine, 1.0	
16	7% 2-propanol	5	6.5	Spermine, 1.0	
17	5% dioxane	5	7.5	Spermine, 1.0	
18	8% t-butyl alcohol	15	6.0	Spermine, 1.0	CaCl ₂
19	5% dioxane	10	8.0	Spermine, 1.0	
20	15% MPD	20	6.5	Spermine, 1.0	CoCl ₂
21	15% 2-propanol	5	6.0	Spermine, 1.0	CaCl ₂
22	25% MPD	5	6.5	Spermine, 1.0	CoCl ₂
23	5% MPD	10	6.5	Spermine, 1.5	CoCl ₂
24	15% MPD	10	7.5	Spermine, 1.5	
25	3 M AS	10 [§]	6.5	Spermine, 1.5	
26	5% MPD	5	7.0	Spermine, 1.5	CrCl ₂
27	8% t-butyl alcohol	10	7.5	Spermine, 1.5	NiCl ₂
28	25% dioxane	15	7.5	Spermidine, 1.0	
29	5% MPD	20 [†]	5.5	Spermidine, 1.0	CuCl ₂
30	30% dioxane	10	7.0	Spermidine, 1.0	
31	10% t-butyl alcohol	5	7.0	Spermidine, 1.0	
32	2.5 mM spermine	80	7.5	Spermidine, 1.0	
33	15% MPD	10	7.0		CaCl ₂
34	3 M AS	10	6.0		
35	25% dioxane 30% dioxane	10 [§]	6.5 7.0		CaCl ₂
36		10			BaCl ₂
37	7% 2-propanol	5	6.0		
38 39	15% dioxane 1 M AS	20 15	6.0 7.5		SrCl ₂ NiCl ₂
40	20% ethanol	5 15	8.0		
41 42	15% 2-propanol 15% dioxane	5	6.0		CuCl ₂
43	20% ethanol	15	7.0 6.0		
44	1 M AS	15	7.0		CoCl ₂

AS, ammonium sulfate; PEG, polyethylene glycol.

*MgCl2 unless otherwise indicated.

tBuffered solutions of RNA and reagents at the indicated pH were prepared by using the buffer chemicals listed in Results and Discussion. *MgSO4.

§Mg(OAc)2.

at 22°C. Results were recorded over the course of several weeks and tabulated according to starting conditions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Design of Sparse Matrix Conditions. Five parameters were chosen as variables to be explored in our matrix: pH, magnesium ion concentration, polyamines, other divalent metal ions, and precipitating agents (Table 1). Conditions that had been reported for the crystallization of various tRNAs were compiled and analyzed for frequency of use of particular reagents according to the five categories described above (35). For each category, reagents occurred in the sparse matrix with a frequency proportional to the number of times that reagent produced tRNA crystals. These reagents were then randomly shuffled using the program EXCEL (Microsoft), and a set of 44 conditions was generated. Five different pH values were chosen, along with appropriate buffers: potassium succinate, pH 5.5; potassium cacodylate, pH 6.0; potassium Pipes, pH 6.5; potassium Mops, pH 7.0; potassium Hepes, pH 7.5; Tris HCl, pH 8.0; each buffer chemical has proven to be suitable for tRNA crystallization. The choice of polyamine, magnesium, and other divalent metal ion concentrations was biased according to those used for tRNAs. Precipitating agents are listed in Table 1. The resulting sparse matrix for RNA crystallization trials is shown in Table 2.

Growth of Crystals. The sparse matrix of crystallization conditions was used to screen for crystal growth with 10 different RNA molecules (Table 3). Crystals were obtained at 22°C. Conditions that produced crystals were then optimized by varying the concentration of each reagent in the crystallization drop individually while keeping all other reagents the same. This yielded information about the parameters critical for crystallization, which were then further optimized in the same manner. Since most of the RNA molecules tested crystallized in only one condition in the sparse matrix, it was generally not possible to infer critical crystallization parameters from the results of the sparse matrix alone.

We made several observations during the course of these experiments regarding general procedures for handling the RNA prior to and during crystallization, which differ from methods used for working with proteins. The preincubation of the RNA in buffer and ^a low concentration of magnesium chloride prior to use in crystallization trials proved to be extremely important for crystal growth. In some cases, crystals were not obtained if preincubation was not performed; in other cases, the size of the crystals varied depending on the preincubation procedure. This procedure was optimized for each RNA tested. In general, optimal preincubation included magnesium concentrations of 5-20 mM magnesium chloride at temperatures of 50°C-60°C. Although heating the RNA in the presence of magnesium caused ^a small amount of RNA degradation (as analyzed by analytical PAGE), this did not seem to interfere with crystallization. Higher or lower amounts of magnesium, or higher temperature, often caused the RNA to precipitate during the preincubation.

Two of the RNA crystals obtained with the sparse matrix were found to be extremely temperature dependent for

Table 3. Results with RNA molecules tested in the sparse matrix

growth. The dodecamer duplex was optimized for growth at 37°C; the P4-P6 domain of the Tetrahymena intron was optimized for growth at 30°C. The diffraction pattern for each of these crystals was also found to be highly temperature sensitive; changes of only 3° were enough to significantly reduce the maximum resolution of diffraction for P4-P6.

Preliminary Analysis of Crystals. The crystallization conditions of several RNA molecules were optimized to yield crystals substantially larger than those produced in the initial sparse matrix screen. Two of these have been analyzed for x-ray diffraction, and diffraction data have been collected. These results are discussed in more detail below.

P4-P6 domain from the Tetrahymena intron. Extensive biochemical evidence suggests that this 160-nucleotide region of the group I intron from Tetrahymena forms an independently folded domain within the molecule (40, 44). The domain contains part of the conserved catalytic core of the intron, although it is not active by itself. The tertiary structure of this domain appears to involve long-range contacts, which are maintained in the absence of the other sequences that compose the catalytic core. Deletion studies have shown that when a portion of the domain is removed from the intron, the rate of self-splicing is diminished. Efficient self-splicing is restored when the deleted portion of the domain is supplied to the rest of the intron in trans (45). Thus, this domain forms a structure within the active molecule that is essential for function.

The domain was synthesized by in vitro transcription of a plasmid template and purified on denaturing polyacrylamide gels (see Materials and Methods). Crystalline precipitate was observed in sparse matrix conditions 4, 8, 19, 20, 22, 25, 26, 33, 34, and 40; small crystals were obtained in condition 24. We generated ^a second-order sparse matrix to further explore the conditions that produced crystalline precipitate. The second-order sparse matrix consisted of 40 conditions that sampled 2.0-3.5 M ammonium sulfate or 10-40% 2-methyl-2,4-pentanediol (MPD) as precipitants; 1.5-3.75 mM spermine; 2–4 mM CaCl₂, CoCl₂, CrCl₂, NiCl₂, or SrCl₂; 10–40 mM MgCl₂; and pH 5.5-8.0. Although many of these conditions produced crystalline precipitates, no larger crystals were obtained. While the second-order sparse matrix did not aid the search for crystallization conditions for P4-P6, the concept may prove useful with other molecules.

Crystals of P4-P6 appropriate for x-ray diffraction analysis were produced through optimization of condition 24. The

largest of these measures $300 \times 300 \times 400 \mu$ m. Two crystal forms were obtained under similar conditions-one is monoclinic with unit cell dimensions $a = 73.4 \text{ Å}, b = 145.5 \text{ Å}, c =$ 192.3 Å, $\alpha = 90.0^{\circ}$, $\beta = 137.7^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 90.0^{\circ}$; the other is orthorhombic with unit cell dimensions $a = 76.4 \text{ Å}, b = 128.7$ A, $c = 145.3$ Å, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90.0^{\circ}$.

The crystals were grown, handled, and analyzed at 30° C. The optimal growth conditions were 20% MPD/20 mM $MgCl₂/50$ mM potassium cacodylate, pH 6.0. Crystals were observed to grow at 22°C but more slowly and to a smaller final size. Some crystals grown at 30°C cracked when moved to 22 $^{\circ}$ C, even for brief periods of time (\leq 10 min). Analysis of x-ray diffraction from the crystals was carried out on a Siemens area detector at 30°C. An experiment was performed to test the temperature sensitivity of the crystals during data collection. Area detector still images were recorded as the temperature of a monoclinic crystal was lowered from 30°C to 17.5°C in 2.5°C increments. Diffraction diminished steadily until at 17.5°C nearly all reflections were gone. Next, the crystal temperature was adjusted back to 30°C in 2.5°C increments, and at 30°C the original pattern of reflections was observed. Temperatures higher than 30°C also resulted in loss of reflections.

Through use of cryocooling techniques, diffraction to 2.8 A resolution has been measured from orthorhombic crystals (unpublished data). Fig. 1 shows a 1° oscillation data frame for an orthorhombic crystal of the P4-P6 domain. A native data set has been collected to this resolution; searches for heavy atom derivatives remain to be done.

Twelve-residue oligonucleotide. The oligonucleotide sequence 5'-pGGCGCUUGCGCC-3' forms either a hairpin or a duplex structure in solution (data not shown). The equilibdent, with the hairpin favored only in the absence of salt. This molecule was synthesized initially by in vitro transcription of molecule was synthesized initially by *in vitro* transcription of ΔD NA oligonucleotide template and purified by denaturing PAGE.
As shown in Table 3, crystals were obtained in approxi-

mately half the conditions of the sparse matrix. Optimization mately half the conditions of the sparse matrix. Optimization
of crystallization in ammonium sulfate (1 M ammonium sulfate/5-20 mM $MgCl₂/potassium cacodylate, pH 6.0;$

FIG. 1. A 1° oscillation data frame for P4-P6 crystal. Reflections were observed to 2.8-Å resolution from an orthorhombic P4-P6 crystal. The crystal was cryocooled in liquid propane using techniques developed by David Jeruzalmi (personal communication) and analyzed under a stream of N_2 gas at -174 °C. Data were collected analyzed under a stream of N₂ gas at $-1/4$ C. Data were collected
with an R-Axis II area detector equinned with focusing mirrors with an R-Axis II area detector equipped with focusing mirrors.

37°C) yielded crystals suitable for x-ray diffraction analysis. The crystals belong to space group P1 and have unit cell dimensions $a = 31.\overline{4} \text{ Å}, b = 32.\overline{2} \text{ Å}, c = 46.1 \text{ Å}, \alpha = 106.7^{\circ},$ β = 78.6°, γ = 94.5°. A 3-Å data set has been collected.

Conclusions. RNA molecules of varying size and sequence, synthesized *in vitro*, can be crystallized and studied by x-ray diffraction analysis. The sparse matrix method presented for RNA crystallization is rapid and economical, thus allowing variables such as sequence and preannealing conditions to be explored. Using this method, we have crystallized eight RNA molecules ranging from 12 nucleotides to >200 nucleotides long. Several of these crystals are now in various stages of data collection and processing. The solution of the crystal structures of these RNA molecules and others will have broad implications for the understanding of RNA folding and catalysis at a detailed molecular level. The authors welcome comments from other investigators regarding crystallization of additional RNA molecules using our sparse matrix approach.

We thank Art Pardi for initial dodecamer preparations; Mark Goldstein for technical assistance and compilation of data; Vasili Carperos for assistance in the diffraction studies; Carl Correll, Alan Friedman, and David Jeruzalmi for help with cryocooling and data collection; Jamie Cate for assistance with image displays; and Steve Schultz, Tom Cech, Tom Steitz, Art Zaug, Felicia Murphy, Tao Pan, and J. Andrew Berglund for useful discussions. J.A.D. is ^a Lucille P. Markey Scholar in Biomedical Science. This work was supported in part by a grant from the Lucille P. Markey Charitable Trust and a grant from the Colorado RNA Center. We thank the W. M. Keck Foundation for their generous support of RNA research on the Boulder campus.

- 1. Cech, T. R. (1987) Science 236, 1532–1539.
2. Celander, D. W. & Cech, T. R. (1991) Scie
- 2. Celander, D. W. & Cech, T. R. (1991) Science 251, 401–407.
3. Haas. E. S., Morse, D. P., Brown, J. W., Schmidt, F. J. &
- Haas, E. S., Morse, D. P., Brown, J. W., Schmidt, F. J. & Pace, N. R. (1991) Science 254, 853-856.
- Uhlenbeck, O. C., Dahm, S. C., Ruffner, D. E. & Fedor, M. J. (1989) Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser. 1989, 95-96.
- 5. Doudna, J. A., Cormack, B. P. & Szostak, J. W. (1989) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86, 7402-7406.
- Michel, F., Hanna, M., Green, R., Bartel, D. P. & Szostak, J. W. (1989) Nature (London) 342, 391-395.
- J. W. (1989) Nature (London) 342, 391-395. 7. Pyle, A. M., Murphy, F. L. & Cech, T. R. (1992) Nature (London) 358, 123-128.
Been, M. D. & Perrotta, A. T. (1991) Science 252, 434-437.
- 8. Been, M. D. & Perrotta, A. 1. (1991) Science 252, 434–437.
0. Hour V. M. & Sobimmal, D. (1999) Nature (London) 33.
- 9. Hou, Y. M. & Schimmel, P. (1988) Nature (London) 333,
- 10. Rould, M. A., Perona, J. J., Soll, D. & Steitz, T. A. (1989)
- 11. Feng, S. & Holland, E. C. (1988) Nature (London) 334, 165-
- 12. Selby, M. J., Bain, E. S., Luciw, P. A. & Peterlin, B. M. (1989) Gance Day, 3, 547, 559
- 13. Malim, M. H., Hauber, J., Le, S. Y., Maizel, J. V. & Cullen,
R. R. (1989) Nature (London) 338, 254–257
- Bartel, D. P., Zapp, M. L., Green, M. R. & Szostak, J. W.

(1991) Call 67, 529, 536
- 15. Puglisi, J. D., Tan, R., Calnan, B. J., Frankel, A. D. & Wil-
liamson, J. R. (1992) Science 257, 76-80 liamson, J. R. (1992) Science 257, 76–80.
Michel, F. & Westhof, E. (1990) J. Mol. Biol. 216, 585–610.
- 16. MICHEL, F. & WESTHOL, E. (1990) J. MOL. BIOL. 216, 585-610.
17. Gutall P. P. & Woese C. P. (1990) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sc
- 17. Gutell, R. R. & Woese, C. R. (1990) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
 $I/S4$ 87. 663. 667
- 18. Green, R., Ellington, A. D. & Szostak, J. W. (1990) Nature
- 19. Robertson, D. L. & Joyce, G. F. (1990) Nature (London) 344,
467–468
- Robertus, J. D., Ladner, J. E., Finch, J. R., Rhodes, D., 20. Robertus, R. S., Clark, B. F. & Klug, A. (1974) Nature (London, 250, 546–551 250, 546–551.
Schevitz, R. W., Podjarny, A. D., Krishnamachari, N.,
- 21. Bughes, J. J., Sigler, P. B. & Sussman, J. L. (1979) Nature
(London) 278. 188–190 (London) 278, 188–190.
Basavappa, R. & Sigler, P. B. (1991) *EMBO J*. 10, 3105–3111.
- 22. Basavappa, R. & Sigier, P. B. (1991) EMBO J. 10, 3103-3111.
23. Kim. S. H. Suddath F. L. Ouigley G. J. McPherson. A.
- $23.$ Figures, S. H., Suddath, F. L., Quigley, G. J., McPherson, A.,

Sussman, J. L., Wang, A. H. J., Seeman, N. C. & Rich, A. (1974) Science 185, 435-440.

- 24. Holbrook, S. R., Cheong, C., Tinoco, I. J. & Kim, S. H. (1991) Nature (London) 353, 579-581.
- 25. Dock-Bregeon, A. C., Chevrier, B., Podjarny, A., Johnson, J., de Bear, J. S.,Gough, G. R., Gilham, P. T. & Moras, D. (1989) J. Mol. Biol. 209, 459-474.
- 26. Fedor, M. J. & Uhlenbeck, O. C. (1990) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87, 1668-1672.
- 27. Kao, T. H. & Crothers, D. M. (1980) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77, 3360-3364.
- LeCuyer, K. & Crothers, D. M. (1993) Biochemistry, in press. 28.
- 29. Carter, C. J. & Carter, C. W. (1979) J. Biol. Chem. 254, 12219-12223.
- 30. Jancarik, J. & Kim, S. H. (1991) J. Appl. Crystallogr. 24, 409-411.
- 31. Milligan, J. F. & Uhlenbeck, O. C. (1989) Methods Enzymol. 180, 51-62.
- Usman, N., Ogilvie, K. K., Jiang, M. Y. & Cedergren, R. L. (1987) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 109, 7845-7854. 32.
- Usman, N., Nicoghosian, K., Cedergren, R. L. & Ogilvie, K. K. (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85, 5764-5768. 33.
- 34. McPherson, A. (1990) Eur. J. Biochem. 189, 1-23.
- 35. Dock, A. C., Lorber, B., Moras, D., Pixa, G., Thierry, J. C. & Giege, R. (1984) Biochimie 66, 179-201.
- 36. Xu, M. Q., Kathe, S. D., Goodrich, B. H., Nierzwicki, B. S. & Shub, D. A. (1990) Science 250, 1566-1570.
- 37. Reinhold, H. & Shub, D. A. (1992) Nature (London) 357, 173-176.
- 38. Doudna, J. A. & Szostak, J. W. (1989) Mol. Cell. Biol. 9, 5480-5483.
- 39. Grosshans, C. A. & Cech, T. R. (1991) Nucleic Acids Res. 19, 3875-3880.
- 40. Murphy, F. L. & Cech, T. R. (1993) Biochemistry 92, 5291- 5300.
- 41. Dichtl, B., Pan, T., DiRenzo, A. B. & Uhlenbeck, 0. C. (1993) Nucleic Acids Res. 21, 531-535.
- 42. Pan, T. & Uhlenbeck, 0. C. (1992) Nature (London) 358, 560-563.
- 43. Tuerk, C., MacDougal, S. & Gold, L. (1992) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89, 6988-6992.
- 44. Latham, J. A. & Cech, T. R. (1989) Science 245, 276-282.
- 45. van der Horst, G., Christian, A. & Inoue, T. (1991) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88, 184-188.