Microsatellite instability, MMR gene expression and proliferation kinetics in colorectal cancer with famillial predisposition

Bao Ping Wu¹, Ya Li Zhang¹, Dian Yuan Zhou¹, Chun Fang Gao² and Zhuo Sheng Lai¹

Subject headings colorectal neoplasms; microsatellite instability; gene expression; familial predisposition; proliferation kinetics; immunohistochemistry; polymerase chain reaction; flow cytometry

Wu BP, Zhang YL, Zhou DY, Gao CF, Lai ZS. Microsatellite instability, MMR gene expression and proliferation kinetics in colorectal cancer with famillial predisposition. *World J Gastroentero*, 2000;6(6):902-905

INTRODUCTION

Genetic instability is a common property of many human cancers^[1], including those of HNPCC^[2,3]. A novel form of genetic instability involving somatic alterations, such as deletions and insertions in simple repeated sequences^[4], has been found. Microsatellites are relatively short runs of tandemly repeated sequences scattered throughout the genome^[5,6]. Ubiquitous alterations in these sequences were initially detected by unbiased DNA fingerprinting in a subset of colorectal cancer^[7,8], implying the presence of genome-wide genetic instability. Subsequently, amplification by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of a few microsatellite loci should be used to reveal this microsatellites instability (MSI) in colorectal cancers^[9,10] and other malignancies^[11-14].

MSI was observed to be a common feature of HNPCC^[15]. Physical mapping and finding of mutations in HNPCC patients revealed that a human homologues of the *Escherichia coli* DNA mismatch repair (MMR) enzyme MutS was one of the candidate genes for HNPCC and was named hMSH2^[16]. Human homologues of the *E.coli* and yeast mismatch repair enzymes hMLH1, hPMS1 and hPMS2 have also been associated with HNPCC^[17]. Defects of these mismatch repair genes have been reported to produce MSI in bacteria and yeast, and a high mutation rate of microsatellites has been

Dr. Bao Ping Wu, graduated from the First Military Medical University in 1986, now a lecturer of medicine, majoring in colorectal cancer pathgenesis, having 12 papers published.

Project supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China, No.980120

Correspondence to: Dr. Bao Ping Wu, Institute for Digestive Medicine, Nanfang Hospital, Guangzhou 510515, Guangdong Province, China

Tel 0086-20-85141544

Email. bpwu@263.net

Received 2000-07-12 Accepted 2000-07-26

observed in HNPCC patients and in cell lines established from HNPCC tumors^[18]. Therefore, MSI in HNPCC is one of the results of mutations in these mismatch repair genes. In the present study, we analyzed MSI, expression of MMR genes and cell proliferation activity in colorectal cancer (CRC) patients with familial predisposition, to reveal the characteristics of these patients' genetic defects and to afford the biological basis for screening high-risk relatives of CRC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

Forty-six colorectal cancer patients who underwent surgical resection between 1993 and 1995 at Nanfang Hospital in Guangzhou, China, were analyzed. These included 26 patients with familial predisposition (Group A) by summarizing clinic archives and surveying their pedigrees, and 20 randomly selected colorectal cancer patients without familial predisposition (Group B). In Group A, 4 patients were eligible HNPCC according to the Amsterdam criteria^[15].

PCR

Genomic DNA was extracted from formalin fixed, paraffin embedded tumor tissues and corresponding normal tissues, respectively, using a modification of the method reported previously^[19-21]. About 100ng of genomic DNA was used for PCR amplification of microsatellite sequences.

Microsatellite instability

Four microsatellite loci, D2S119, D2S123, D5S107 and D17S250 were analyzed in all patients, using silver staining polymerase chain reaction-single strand conformation polymorphism (PCR-SSCP) technique^[22,23]. We classified patients as positive for MSI when the PCR product using tumor DNA revealed the presence of extra bands or shifting bands that were not visible in the PCR product of the corresponding normal tissue DNA, and these changes were found in at least two microsatellite loci, called MSI-H^[24,25].

Immunohisochemical staining of hMLH1, hMSH2 and proliferation cell nucleus antigen (PCNA)

hMLH1, hMSH2 enzyme was detected using a rabbit polyclonal antibody, and PCNA, a

¹Institute for Digestive Medicine, Nanfang Hospital, Guangzhou 510515, Guangdong Province, China

²Department of Surgery of Colon and Rectum, 150 Central Hospital, Luoyang 471031, Henan Province, China

monoclonal antibody (Dako Co). By streptavidinperoxidase (SP) immunohisochemical method^[26], we defined positive cases as those of hMLH1, hMSH2 that showed staining of tissues (tumor or normal) cell nuclei or cytoplasm in clusters^[27], and PCNA that showed staining of tumor cell nuclei in clusters^[28,29]. The label index (LI) of PCNA was analyzed.

Flow cytometry for DNA analysis

Using Flow Cytometry (Type of EPICS ELITE), the DNA index (DI), heteroploid rate, proliferation index (PI) and S-phase percentage were assayed in tumor cells. We defined heteroploid cells as that DI was not between 0.90 and 1.10.

Statistical analysis

Two-tailed Fisher's and χ^2 exact tests were used to analyze the significance of differences between the groups. The significance level was set at *P*<0.05.

RESULTS

All patients were detected for MSI in four microsatellite loci (Figure 1). MSI was detected in 20 (76.9%) out of 26 patients in Group A. The MSI-positive (MSI-H) proportion in Group A was 46.2% (12/26), significantly higher than 10% (2/20) in Group B (P<0.05). Three of 4 HNPCC patients in Group A were MSI-positive.

We examined the association of MSI with clinicopathologic characteristics of CRC patients with familial predisposition, and elucidated the following features: (1) An early age of onset (Table 1). Patients positive for MSI in Group A tended to have a younger mean age at onset than patients in Group B (P < 0.01) and patients negative for MSI in Group A. (2) A preponderance of tumors in the proximal colon (Table 2). Proximal colon includes cecum, ascending and transverse colon^[30]. Among patients positive for MSI, 9 (64.3%) of 14 tumors were located in the proximal colon. In contrast, among patients negative for MSI, 9 (28.1%) of 32 tumors were located in the proximal colon (P < 0.05). In MSI-negative patients, tumors in Group A also had a proclivity for the proximal colon (5/9) but tumors in Group B did not (4/14). (3) Correlation with a poorly differentiated phenotype (Table 2). Among patients positive for MSI, 11 (78.6%) of 14 tumors were poorly differentiated adenocarcinomas. It was significantly higher than that among MSI-negative patients (P < 0.05). Also in tumors of Group A, the poor differentiation rate positive MSI was higher than that of negative MSI. (4) Proclivity for extracolorectal malignancy. Among patients in Group A, 6 cancers were associated with cancers in other organs (2 in uterus, 2 in stomach, 1 in bladder, and 1 in bile duct), and 5 of these were positive for MSI. In contrast, there was no patient with cancers in other organs in Group B.

Immunohisochemically, contrast with Group B, patients in Group A tended to be negative for hMLH1 protein staining in tumor tissues, and hMLH1, hMSH2 protein staining in normal tissues (Figure 2, Table 3). Among patients positive for MSI, 6 of 14 colorectal tumors were negative for hMLH1 together with hMSH2 protein, whereas 4 of 32 tumors negative for MSI (P<0.05). The LI of PCNA staining (Figure 3) in tumors of Group A was 0.54±0.10, which was lower than that of Group B (0.62±0.07), P<0.01, meanwhile in cancer tissues with MSI-positive, the LI was 0.53±0.10, which was lower than that of negative MSI (0.59± 0.08), P<0.05.

According to flow cytometry (Table 4), the heteroploid rate of DNA in tumors of Group A was 23.1%, PI and S-phase percentage in tumors with positive MSI were 14.34 ± 5.49 and 8.18 ± 2.55 . In contrast with that of Group B and negative MSI respectively, they decreased obviously (*P*<0.05).

 Table 1
 MSI and mean age at onset of colorectal cancer

Group	MSI	п	Age(x±s)
HNPCC		4	41.8±7.7 ^b
А	+	12	45.4±8.3 ^b
	-	14	49.6±9.7
В	+	2	57.5±9.2
	-	18	58.9 ± 11.0

^b*P*<0.01 *vs* Group B; Fisher's test.

Table 2MSI and location, histologic differentiation ofcolorectal cancer

Group M	MOT	Locati	Location n (%)		Differentiation n (%)	
	MSI -	Pr	Di	PD	WD	
A	+	8(66.7)	4(33.3)	9(75.0) ^b	3(25.0)	
	-	5(35.7)	9(64.3)	4(28.6)	10(71.4)	
В	+	1(50.0)	1(50.0)	2	0	
	-	4(22.2)	14(77.8)	5(27.8)	13(72.2)	
Total	+	9(64.3) ^a	5(35.7)	11(78.6) ^a	3(21.4)	
	-	9(28.1)	23(71.9)	9(28.1)	23(71.9)	

^a*P*<0.05, *vs* patients negative for MSI; χ^2 test. ^b*P*<0.05, *vs* patients negative for MSI in Group A; χ^2 test.

Pr: proximal colon; Di: distal colon (descending, sigmoid colon and rectum); PD: poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma; WD: well and moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma.

Table 3 Negative for hMLH1, hMSH2 protein staining in CRC patients' tissues (*n*,%)

Group A	Group A (26 cases)		Group B (20 cases)	
Tumor	Normal	Tumor	Normal	
$16(61.5)^{a}$	17(65.4) ^b	6(30.0)	5(25.0)	
	Group A Tumor 16(61.5) ^a 14(53.9)	Group A (26 cases) Tumor Normal 16(61.5) ^a 17(65.4) ^b 14(53.9) 16(61.5) ^b	Group A (26 cases) Group B Tumor Normal Tumor 16(61.5) ^a 17(65.4) ^b 6(30.0) 14(53.9) 16(61.5) ^b 9(45.0)	

^a*P*<0.05, *vs* tumor tissues in Group B; χ^2 test. ^b*P*<0.05, *vs* normal tissues in Group B; χ^2 test.

Table 4 MSI and DNA analysis in CRC tumor cells by flow cytometry $(x \pm s)$

Group	Cases	Heteroploid (n,%)	PI	S-phase
HNPCC	4	1(25.0)	14.58±3.12	8.70±1.18
А	26	6(23.1) ^a	17.57±6.51	9.47±2.85
В	18	10(55.6)	17.94±7.51	10.38±3.89
MSI+	14	4(28.6)	14.34±5.49 ^b	8.18±2.55 ^b
MSI -	30	12(40.0)	19.30±6.93	10.62 ± 3.36

^a*P*<0.05, *vs* tumor cells in Group B; Fisher's test.

^b*P*<0.05, *vs* tumor cells negative for MSI; Fisher's test.





Figure 1 Patient of No.30 positive for MSI.

Lane N: corresponding normal tissue, Lane T: tumor tissue, Lane ds: di-strand control. MSI is defined as showing the presence of extra or shifting bands in PCR products using tumor DNA that are not visible in the products from corresponding normal tissue. (Left)D17D250, (Right)D2S123.



Figure 2 Immunohistochemistry of hMLH1. (Left) Patient positive for hMLH1 immunostaining. ×40. (Right) Patient negative for hMLH1 immunostaining,×20.



Figure 3 Immunostaining for PCNA in colorectal cancer tissue of patient with familial predisposition. ×40.

DISCUSSION

MSI is the phenotype of a profound genomic instability caused by a mutator pheno type mechanism for cancer^[31,32]. Four microsatellite loci (D2S119, D2S123, D5S107 and D17S250) used in our study resemble to the loci recommended by NCI workshop^[25]. The ratio of MSI-positive patients in Group A (46.2%) was significantly higher than that among randomly selected patients in Group B (10%). These results showed that MSI was an important contributor in CRC with familial predisposition. Colorectal tumors with MSI exhibit several clinicopathologic characteristics. In this study, we confirmed that the age at onset of cancer in Group A was younger than that in Group B, especially in HNPCC patients and patients with MSI-positive in Group A. We also confirmed that the ratio of proximal colon tumors, complication by extracolorectal malignancies and poorly differentiated carcinomas in patients with positive MSI were higher than those with negative MSI, and these characteristics were significant in Group A. These results suggested that it was possible and effective to identify high-risk relatives of CRC by applying pedigree survey together with MSI detection.

Leach *et al* established the method for detecting the products of MMR gene mutation^[33]. If the MMR genes mutate, there may be a kind of methylation on promoter in transcription. And it brings the products in C-termination which block the normal expression of MMR genes. Therefore, negative for hMLH1, hMSH2 staining means potential mutation of MMR genes in tumor or normal tissues, and positive means no mutation^[27,34]. In our study, we found that in Group A the incidence of negative hMLH1 staining in tumor tissues and hMLH1, hMSH2 staining in normal tissues was significantly high (Table 3). Among patients positive for MSI, ratio of negative hMLH1 together with hMSH2 staining in tumors was also higher than that among patients negative for MSI. It suggested that the rate of hMLH1, hMSH2 abnormality in CRC patients with familial

predisposition increased in colorectal tumors and normal tissues. The colorectal epithelium of this group could have wide gene abnormality. And these gene changes had obviously been related with MSI.

According to the previous studies, the expressions of PCNA^[35,36], DNA ploid and proliferation phases of cells^[37,38] are the important markers for diagnosing and prognosticating cancers. We found that the LI of PCNA staining of tumor cells in Group A and MSI-positive group were lower than that in Group B and MSI-negative group respectively. According to Flow Cytometry, the heteroploid rate of DNA in tumor cells of Group A was lower than that of Group B. PI and S-phase percentage in tumor cells with positive MSI were also lower than those in negative MSI. It was reported that the metastasis and recurrence in colorectal cancer with MSI (due to replication error, RER) were less than in sporadic cancer. The low invasion of cancer could be explained that the mutation was too frequent and complicated to express the phenotype of metastasis and recurrence^[18]. Our study showed that this low invasion was also associated with the decreased proliferation activity in colorectal cancer with familial predisposition and MSI.

Studies on MSI, MMR gene expression and proliferation kinetics showed the charac teristics of colorectal cancer with famillial predisposition. According to this, the early diagnosis and warning system^[39] of colorectal cancer can be established. It is important to screen high-risk relatives of colorectal cancer and diagnose colorectal cancer early^[40].

REFERENCES

- Hong JY. Genetic polymorphism and human cancer. World J Gastroentero, 1998; 1 4(Suppl 2):37
- 2 Li ZX, Jia YT, Ma ZQ. Hereditary nonpolypous colorectal cancer. Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi,1998;6(Supp1 7):112-113
- 3 Gao SK, Xu WH. Hereditary nonpolypous colorectal cancer. Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi, 1998;6:70-71
- Fang DC, Zhou XD. Advanced studies on microsatellite DNA instability in 4 gastric-intestine tumor. Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi, 1998;6(Suppl 7):66-68
- 5 Beckmann JS, Weber JL. Survey of human and rat microsatellites. Genomics, 1992:12:627-631
- Guo RF, Lu YY. Application of Microsatellite to human genome research 6 and tumor related genes cloning. Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi, 1998;6:441-444
- Ionov Y, Peinado MA, Malkhosyan S, Shibata D, Perucho M. Ubiquitous 7 somatic mutations in simple repeated sequences reveal a new mechanism for colonic carcinogenesis. Nature, 1993;363:558-561
- Ji XL, Liu XB. Basis of molecular biology of gastrointestinal disease. 8 Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi, 1998;6:905-910
- Zhang LL, Zhang ZS, Zhang YL, Wu BP, Guo W, Liu XX, Zhou DY. 9 Microsatellite instability in multiple primary colorectal cancers. Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi,1999;7:397-399
- Chen J, Gu HG, Lin WH, Luo YH. Study on microsatellite instability in 10 46 cases of sporadic colorectal cancer. Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi, 2000; 8:350-352
- 11 Aaltonen LA, Peltomaki P, Leach FS, Sistonen P, Pylkkanen L, Mecklin JP, Jarvinen H, Powell SM, Jen J, Hamilton SR, Petersen GM, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, dela Chapelle A. Clues to the pathogenesis of familial colorectal cancer. Science, 1993;260:812-816
- 12 Zhou XD, Fang DC. Clinical implication of dinucleotide repeat sequence instability at D17S261 and D17S799 in gastric cancer. Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi, 1998;6:318-320
- 13 Ji XL. Instability of microsatellites: new focus on gene studies. Shijie Huaren

Xiaohua Zazhi, 1999;7:372-374

- Fang DC, Zhou XD, Luo YH, Wang DX, Lu R, Yang SM, Liu WW. 14 Microsatellite instability and loss of heterozygosity of suppressor gene in gastric cancer. Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi, 1999;7:479-481
- 15 Park JG. Genetic diagnosis and management of hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. World J Gastroentero, 1998;4(Suppl 2):55
- Leach FS, Nicolaides NC, Papadopoulos N, Liu B, Jen J, Parsons R, Peltomaki P, Sistonen P, Aaltonen LA, Nystrom-Lahti M, Guan XY, Zhang 16 J, Meltzer PS, de la Chapelle A, Vogelstein B. Mutations of a mutS homolog in hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. Cell, 1993;75:1215-1225
- Papadopoulos N, Nicolaides NC, Wei YF, Ruben SM, Carter KC, Rosen CA, 17 Haseltine WA, Fleischmann RD, Fraser CM, Adams MD, Venter JC, Hamilton SR, Petersen GM, Watson P, Lynch HT, Peltomaki P, Mecklin JP, de la Chapelle A, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. Mutation of a mutL homolog in hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. *Science*, 1994;263:1625-1629 Shibata D, Peinado MA, Ionov Y, Malkhosyan S, Perucho M. Genomic
- 18 instability in simple repeated sequences is an early somatic event in colorectal tumorigenesis that persists aftertransformation. Nat Genet, 1994; 6:273-281
- 19 Frank TS, Svoboda-Newman SM, His ED. Comparison of methods for extracting DNA from formalin fixed paraffin section for nonisotopic PCR. *Diagn Mol Pathol*, 1996;5:220-224
- Strand M, Prolla TA, Liskay RM, Petes TD. Destabilization of tracts of 20 simple repetitive DNA in yeast by mutations affecting DNA mismatch repair. Nature, 1993;365:274-285
- Li ZX, Liu PY, Xu WX, Cong B, Ma ZX, Li Y. p53 gene mutations in 21 primary gastric cancer. China Natl J New Gastroenterol, 1996;2:41-43
- Ji CY, Smith DR, Goh HS. The role and prognostic significance of p53 mutation in colorectal carcinomas. *World J Gastroentero*,2000;6(Suppl 3):78 22
- 23 Orita M, Suzzki Y, Sekiya T, Hayashi K. Rapid and sensitive detection of point mutations and DNA polymorphisms using polymerase chain reaction. Genomics, 1989;5:874-882
- 24 Burks RT, Kessis TD, Cho KR, Hedrick L. Microsatellite instability in
- endometrial carcinoma. *Oncogene*, 1994;9:1163-1170 Boland CR, Thibodeau SN, Hamilton SR, Sidransky D, Eshleman JR, Burt RW, Meltzer SJ, Rodriguez Bigas MA, Fodde R, Ranzani GN, 25 Srivastva S. A National Cancer Institute workshop on microsatellite instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition:development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res, 1998;58:5248-5257
- 26 Xu QW, Li YS, Zhu HG. Relationship between expression P53 protein, PCNA and CEA in colorectal cancer and lymph node metastasis. World J Gastroentero, 1998;4:218
- 27 Thibodeau SN, French AJ, Roche PC, Cunningham JM, Tester DJ, Lindor NM, Moslein G,Baker SM, Liskay RM, Burgart LJ, Honchel R, Halling KC. Altered expression of hMSH2 and hMLH1 in tumors with microsatellite instability and genetic alterations in mismatch repair genes. *Cancer Res*, 1996;56:4836-4840 Jia L, Chen TX, Sun JW, Na ZM, Zhang HH. Relationship between
- 28 microvessel density and proliferating Cell nuclear antigen and prognosis in colorectal cancer. *Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi*, 2000;8:74-76
- 29 Wang YK, Ji XL, Gu YG, Zhang SC, Xiao JH. P53 and PCNA expression in glandular dilatation of gastric mucosa. China Natl J New Gastroenterol, 1996;2:106-108
- 30 Muta H, Noguchi M, Perucho M, Ushio M, Sugihara K, Ochial A, Nawata H, Hirohashi S. Clinical implications of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer, 1996;77:265-270
- Peltomaki P, Lothe RA, Aaltonen LA, Pylkkanen L, Nystrom-Lahti M, 31 Seruca R, David L, Holm R, Ryberg D, Haugen A, Bragger A, Borresen AL, de la Chapelle A. Microsatellite instability is associated with tumors that characterize the here ditary nonpolyposis colorectal carcinoma syndrome. Cancer Res, 1993;53:5853-5855
- 32 Xu CT, Pan BR. Gene changes in colonic cancer. Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi, 1998:6:58-60
- 33 Leach FS, Polyak K, Burrell M, Johnson KA, Hill D, Dunlop MG, Wyllie AH, Peltomaki P, dela Chapelle A, Hamilton SR, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. Expression of human mismatch repair gene hMSH2 in normal and neoplastic tissues. *Cancer Res*,1996;56:235-241 Kane MF, Loda M, Gaida GM, Lipman J, Mishra R, Goldman H, Jessup JM, Kolodner R.Methylation of the hMLH1 promoyer correlates with lack of averageing of bMLH1 muttion tumers and mismatch repair defection
- 34 of expression of hMLH1 mutation tumors and mismatch repair defective human tumor Cell lines. Cancer Res, 1997;57:808-815
- Feng S, Song JD, Tian XR. Significance of proliferating Cell nuclear antigen 35 expression in colorectal carcinomas. Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi, 1998;6:146-147
- 36 Zhang YL, Zhou DY. Evaluation of proliferation kinetics in large intestinal neoplasms: Compared study the expression of proliferating Cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) and AgNOR counting. J Med Coll PLA, 1994;9:116-118
- Shankey TV, Rabinovitch PS, Bagwell B. Guidelines for implementation 37 of clinical DNA cytometry. International society for analytical cytology. Cytometry, 1993;14:472-474
- 38 Bauer KD, Bagwell CB, Giaretti W. Consensus review of the clinical utility of DNA flow cytometry in colorectal cancer. Cytometry, 1993;14:486-490
- Yan XJ. Establishing early diagnosis and warning system of gastric carcinoma. Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi, 1999;7:96-97 39
- 40 Wu BP, Zhang YL, Zhang ZS, Zhou DY, Gao CF, Guo W, Zhang LL. Study on microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer with familial predisposition. Jiefangjun Yixue Zazhi, 1999;24:354-357

Edited by You DY Proofread by Ma JY