Identification of a gene required for membrane protein retention in the early secretory pathway

(endoplasmic reticulum/Golgi apparatus/membrane recycling/Sec12 protein/rer mutations)

SHUH-ICHI NISHIKAWA AND AKIHIKo NAKANO*

Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Tokyo, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

Communicated by David D. Sabatini, March 26, 1993 (received for review November 16, 1992)

ABSTRACT The yeast SEC12 gene product (Sec12p) is an integral membrane protein required for the protein transport from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) to the Golgi apparatus. Although this protein is almost exclusively localized in the ER, a significant fraction of Secl2p is modified by an enzyme that resides in the early compartment of the Golgi apparatus, suggesting that Sec12p is cycling between the ER and the early Golgi. We have taken ^a genetic approach to investigate the retention mechanism of Sec12p. Analysis of mutants that are defective in the retention of the Sec12-Mf α 1 fusion protein in the early secretory compartments has identified ^a gene, RERI. A recessive mutation in RERI causes mislocalization of the authentic Secl2p as well as two different Sec12 fusion proteins to the late Golgi apparatus and even to the cell surface. However, the rerl mutant is not defective in the retention of an ER-resident soluble protein, BIP, suggesting that soluble and membrane proteins are retained in the ER by distinct mechanisms.

In the secretory pathway, many proteins are exported to the cell surface by a default mechanism. Resident proteins of secretory organelles, such as the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and the Golgi apparatus, have specific signals for retention in their residence. The best-known example of such signals is the C-terminal Lys-Asp-Glu-Leu (KDEL, mammals) and His-Asp-Glu-Leu (HDEL, yeast) sequences (1, 2) for soluble proteins in the ER, such as the immunoglobulin heavy chain binding protein (BiP). The receptor for the K(H)DEL sequence (Erd2p) has been identified in mammalian and yeast cells (3, 4). Recognition of this signal by the receptor has been suggested to take place in the early compartment of the Golgi complex and thus the retention of these ER proteins appears to be achieved by a recycling mechanism between the ER and the early Golgi (4-7). Recently, morphological evidence has been reported that ER membrane proteins, such as unassembled major histocompatibility complex class ^I molecules, also recycle between the ER and the cis-Golgi network (8). However, molecular mechanisms underlying such cycling events remain unclear.

Secl2p is an integral membrane protein required for formation of transport vesicles from the ER in yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae (9, 10). Although subcellular fractionation (9) and immunofluorescence microscopy (this study; unpublished data) have demonstrated its steady-state localization in the ER, N-linked oligosaccharide chains on Secl2p are subject to modification by α -1,6 mannosyltransferase (11), which is believed to reside in the early compartment of the Golgi apparatus (12). These observations have led us to propose that Secl2p is also cycling between the ER and the early Golgi (9).

In this study, we have taken advantage of the powerful yeast genetics to try to prove the existence of such recycling mechanisms and, if they exist, to identify the cellular machinery involved. We have chosen the Secl2 protein as ^a tool for this approach. We constructed ^a fusion protein between Sec12p and a precursor of α -mating factor and introduced it in α -factor-deficient yeast cells. If this fusion protein is mislocalized to the late Golgi compartment, processing of the α -factor moiety would occur leading to the secretion of the mature pheromone. This trick has been used to screen for mutants that are defective in Secl2p retention. We describe in this paper the isolation of mutants, rerl and rer2, and show that RERI is in fact required for the normal localization of Secl2p.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast Strains and Culture Conditions. The S. cerevisiae strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Cells were usually grown in a rich medium containing 1% yeast extract, 2% polypeptone, and 2% glucose (YPD medium) or in Wickerham's minimal medium (15) containing 2% glucose (MVD medium) with appropriate supplements.

Plasmids. A 3.4-kb Xho I-Xba I fragment of pSEC1230 (9) containing the SEC12 gene was subcloned into pBluescript SK+ (Stratagene) and a yeast multicopy plasmid pSQ326 (16) to make pSHF2-1 and pSHY6-3, respectively. A HindIII site was introduced at the C-terminal (471st) codon of SEC12 by site-directed mutagenesis of pSHF2-1 using an oligonucleotide, 5'-AAATAGATGAAGCTTGAGCTTG-3'. The resulting plasmid was designated pSHF3-2. The aspartate residue at position 470 changed to glutamate by this mutagenesis. The $SEC12-MFa1$ fusion gene was constructed by inserting the Pst I (blunted by T4 polymerase) to Xba I fragment of pDJ100 (17) into the HindIII (blunted) and Xba ^I sites of pSHF3-2. The $SECI2-MFaI$ gene was subcloned into pSQ326 to give pSHF9-4. To induce a frameshift mutation in the SEC12 region of the fusion gene, pSHF9-4 was digested with Sal I, blunted with T4 DNA polymerase, and religated (pSHF9- 4dSal). The SEC12-SUC2 fusion gene was constructed by subcloning the Xho I-HindIII fragment of pSHF3-2 into the Sal I and HindIII sites of pSEY304 (18), and the resulting plasmid was designated YEpSEC12-SUC2. The junctions of the fusions were confirmed by nucleotide sequencing.

Halo Assay. Approximately 5×10^5 tester cells (sst2), which are supersensitive to α -factor, were spread onto MVD plates that contained 0.5% Casamino acids and appropriate supplements and were buffered at pH 3.5 (19). Cells to be tested for α -factor production were spotted on the plates with sterile toothpicks. The plates were incubated at 23°C for 13-16 hr and further incubated at 37°C for 24-30 hr. To examine halo production dependent on $SECI2-MFaI$ fusion gene plasmid, host cells of the genotype $MAT\alpha$ barl mfal mfa2 were used.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviations: ER, endoplasmic reticulum; BCP, bromocresol purple.

^{*}To whom reprint requests should be addressed.

All strains except BC180 were constructed in this study from either ANY102 (13) or YPH500 (14) by standard genetic methods. BC180 was a gift from W. Courchesne (University of Nevada).

Mutant Isolation and Screening. SNY9 cells containing pSHF9-4 were grown to 1×10^8 per ml in MVD medium containing 0.5% Casamino acids at 30°C. Cells (1×10^8) were mutagenized with ethyl methanesulfonate or nitrous acid as described (20). The viability of yeast cells after mutagenesis described (20). The viability of yeast cells after mutagenesis was 42% for ethyl methanesulfonate and $12-16\%$ for nitrous acid treatment. After ¹⁷ hr of incubation at 23°C in MVD medium containing 0.5% Casamino acids, the mutagenized cells were inoculated on ²⁵ MVD plates containing 0.5% Casamino acids (100-200 cells per plate). After 4 days at were incubated at 23° C for 16 hr and further incubated at 37° C for 2 days. Colonies that produced a halo on the replica plates were picked up and spotted on fresh halo assay plates. Cells that reproducibly showed the Halo⁺ phenotype were streaked on MVD plates containing 1 mg of 5-fluororotic
cid nex and the fluoronorotic phenotype were acid per ml to toss the fusion plasmid. Mutant clones that showed the Halo⁺ phenotype when retransformed with pSHF9-4 were saved, back-crossed with the parent strain at pSHF9-4 were saved, back-crossed with the parent strain at least three times, and subjected to further characterization.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Isolation of Mutants that Mislocalize Sec12-Mf α 1 Protein to

the Late Golgi Compartments. Sec12p is strictly localized in the ER. To confirm our previous conclusion by subcellular fractionation (9), we performed indirect immunofluorescence experiments using anti-Sec12p antibodies. Fig. $1B$ shows a typical picture of the staining of Sec12p in Sec12poverproducing cells. The staining of nuclear envelope and tubular extensions in the cytoplasm is typical of yeast ER proteins. In fact, double staining with anti-Sec12p and anti-BiP antibodies showed the colocalization of the two proteins (data not shown). There was little, if any, indication of the Golgi not shown). There was little, if any, indication of the Oolgi
staining. This ER localization was not due to the overexpress sion of Secretor stands observed in the wild-type cells (not
the ER membrane was observed in the wild-type cells (not
he bourn) On the other host a similization of the Seal. shown). On the other hand, a significant portion of the Sec12p molecules is subject to α 1 \rightarrow 6 mannosyl modification, which is thought to occur in a very early compartment of the Golgi apparatus (11). Our hypothesis that Sec12p recycles between apparatus (11). Our hypothesis that Secl2p recycles between the ER and the Golgi is based on these observations. The Golgi

modification on Sec12p is again not due to the overproduction
of the protein because it is also observed in the wild type of the protein because it is also observed in the wild type (unpublished data). As will be shown later, $\text{S}\text{C}\text{C}\text{L}\text{D}$ never α acquires al \rightarrow 3 mannosyl linkages, which are added in a later compartment of the Golgi. This suggests that Secr2p travels only to the early Golgi during cycling.

FIG. 1. Construction of the *SEC12-MFal* fusion gene. (A) Sche-
matic representation of the fusion. (b and c) Analysis of subcellular localization of Sec12p and Sec12-Mf α 1p by immunofluorescence microscopy. The $sec12$ null mutant cells with a multicopy plasmid containing the SEC12 gene (SNY3, B) or the SEC12-MF α l gene (SNY5, \overline{C}) were grown in the YPD medium at 30°C and prepared for immunofluorescence microscopy with the anti-Sec12p antibody. $\lim_{n \to \infty}$ (Bar = 5 μ m.)

We reasoned that ^a defect in the recycling mechanism of Secl2p would cause mislocalization of the protein to the late Golgi and further to the cell surface or vacuole by default. If so, we should be able to isolate mutants, which will be very useful to verify our hypothesis. As a tool for mutant screening, we constructed a $SEC12$ -MF α I fusion gene by hooking $MF\alpha l$, a structural gene for α -mating factor (21), in frame at the end of the SEC12 open reading frame (Fig. 1A). α -Factor is a secretory protein of yeast, and its modifications during the transport through the secretory pathway have been most extensively investigated. The molecules of pro- α -factor are subject to various modifications during the transit through the Golgi apparatus. α 1 \rightarrow 6 mannose residues are added to N-linked oligosaccharide chains in an early Golgi compartment as mentioned above. Addition of α 1 \rightarrow 2- and α 1 \rightarrow 3-linked mannose to the α 1 \rightarrow 6 mannosylated form and Kex2p-dependent proteolytic processing take place successively at distinct late Golgi compartments (12, 22, 23).

The $SECI2-MFaI$ fusion gene complemented the lethality of the secl2 null mutation even on a single-copy plasmid, indicating that it retains the essential function of SEC12. Immunofluorescence microscopy showed that the fusion protein is also localized in the ER (Fig. 1C). Furthermore, the Sec12-Mfal protein acquired α 1 \rightarrow 6 mannose but not α 1 \rightarrow 3 mannose linkages (not shown), indicating that this fusion protein behaves completely like the authentic Secl2p. If this chimeric protein is mislocalized beyond the early Golgi, the Kex2 protease in the late Golgi will act on the Mf α 1 moiety, leading to the production of the mature α -factor. The secretion of α -factor can be detected by a sensitive halo assay (24). In the wild-type cells, the $SECI2-MF\alpha l$ fusion did not yield In the who-type cens, the $SLCI2$ -MFal fusion did not yield a halo (see Fig. 2), community that the fusion protein $\frac{1}{2}$ restricted only to the ER and the early Golgi.
By screening 14,000 colonies of mutagenized yeast cells,

we isolated four mutant clones that showed plasmiddependent secretion of α -factor. They all contained a single dependent secretion of a-factor. They all contained a single recessive mutation, which segregated 2:2 when crossed with the wild type and defined two complementation groups by mutual crosses. Expecting that they were defective in the mutual crosses. Expecting that they were defective in the
motortion in the ED on in the neture to the ED from the coul retention in the ER or in the return to the ER from the early
Golgi of Sec12n, we named these mutants rerl and rer? Tw Golgi of Sec12p, we named these mutants *rerl* and *rer2*. Two different methods of mutagenesis gave rise to one allele each of rerl and rer2. As shown in Fig. 2, rerl produced a larger halo than rer2, but there was no appreciable difference between the two alleles. Fig. 2 also shows that a frameshift mutation in the $SECI2$ portion abolished the Halo⁺ phenotype, ruling out the possibility that the halo was due to the truncated transcription or translation of the $MF\alpha l$ moiety. α -Factor production by the rer mutants was KEX2 dependent (not shown), indicating that at least a fraction of the fusion protein had reached the Kex2p-residing compartment.

The rer1 Mutant Is Defective in the Retention of Wild-Type Sec12p. Characterization of the rerl mutant was pursued Secript Characterization of the rerl matamic was pursued
further Eirst processing of the hong fide Sec12 protein was further. First, processing of the bona fide Secr2 protein w

FIG. 2. Halo assay of the isolated rer mutants. Wild-type (RER) and rer mutant cells harboring a multicopy plasmid containing the $SECI2-MF\alpha l$ gene (pSHF9-4, upper row) or the frameshift mutant of the fusion gene (pSHF9-4dSal, lower row) were examined for α -factor production by halo assay. All rer mutants were derived from SNY9. Strains shown are (from left to right): H1 (rer1-1), H6 (rer1-2), $H21$ (rer2-1) $H23$ (rer2-2), and SNY9 (RFR) $\lim_{n \to \infty}$ (resp. 1), $\lim_{n \to \infty}$. (resp. 2), and SNYS (RER).

examined by a pulse-chase experiment (Fig. 3). In the wildtype cells, Secl2p showed a slow increase in the molecular mass up to 69 kDa, which was mostly due to the progressive modification on 0-linked sugar chains (9). As mentioned above, a significant fraction of Sec12p acquired α 1 \rightarrow 6 mannose residues on its N-linked sugar chains during the chase period, whereas the addition of α 1 \rightarrow 3 mannosyl linkages was not observed (Fig. 3a, left). In the rerl mutant, however, the change in the mobility was much more dramatic. A smear species that extends above ⁷⁰ kDa was seen after ^a 2-hr chase (Fig. 3a, right). The heterogeneity of modification was already obvious even at a 1-hr chase. As the highly modified species collapsed to a narrow band by endoglycosidase H treatment (see Fig. 3b, upper), the unusually extensive elongation must have taken place on the N-linked oligosaccharides. This smear species was precipitable with anti- α 1 \rightarrow ³ mannose antibody (Fig. 3a, right), indicating that it was produced by the modification of Secl2p by the late Golgi enzyme(s). This strongly suggests that the *rerl* mutant has a defect in proper localization of Secl2p. However, these observations could also be explained if the rerl mutation caused accumulation or mislocalization of the late Golgi enzymes in the ER. To test this possibility, we constructed a secl8 rerl double mutant. If Secl2p is indeed mislocalized in rerl, the block of ER-to-Golgi transport by secl8 should prevent the Golgi-specific modifications of Secl2p. Fig. 3b (lower) shows the result of a pulse-chase experiment of secl8 alone and sec18 rerl double mutant cells at 37°C, the restrictive temperature of sec18. Under this condition, the formation of both α 1 \rightarrow 6 and α 1 \rightarrow 3 species was almost completely inhibited. Therefore, even in the rerl mutant, Secl2p has to reach the Golgi apparatus to encounter those Golgi enzymes. The integrity of the Golgi apparatus seemed to be normally maintained in this mutant. Processing of a vacuolar protein, carboxypeptidase Y, occurred in the *rerl* mutant as efficiently as in the wild-type cells (data not shown). It is again unlikely that the rerl mutation retards ER-to-Golgi transport leading to the the rerl mutation retards ER-to-Golgi transport leading to the accumulation of Golgi enzymes in the ER. Furthermore, immunofluorescence observation with the anti-Kex2p anti-
body revealed normal Golgi staining in *rerl* that was indistinguishable from the wild type (not shown). Thus, the α 1 \rightarrow 3 mannosyl modification observed in rerl is a demonstration mannosyl modification observed in rerl is a demonstration that the Sec12 protein was mistransported to the late com-

partments of the Golgi apparatus.
The mislocalization phenotype of *rerl* was further tested for another chimeric protein, Sec12-Suc2p, which was constructed by fusing $SUC2$, a gene encoding invertase, to $SEC12$ (Fig. 4a). This fusion protein was shown to preserve Sec12p and invertase activities. If the invertase activity is secreted to the cell surface, hydrolysis of sucrose can be monitored on an indicator plate containing BCP. As shown in Fig. 4b, when the invertase-secreting cells $(SUC2$ RER1) grew on the BCP/sucrose plate, the purple color turned yellow (bottom). In the RER1 suc2 cells, the Sec12-Suc2 protein was retained intracellularly and thus no invertase activity was detected on the cell surface (see upper left). In contrast, the rerl suc2 mutant cells harboring the SEC12- $SUC2$ plasmid clearly exhibited invertase activity on this plate (lower right). This was not due to the secretion of invertase that was formed by degradation of the fusion protein, because the cell surface activity of invertase was membrane bound. As shown in Table 2, 14% of the total invertase activity was detected extracellularly, but its major part remained unreleased by spheroplasting. The invertase activity of the normal $SUC2$ product was mostly liberated into the periplasm (not shown). In this experiment, only a very low activity of the cytosolic marker, glucose-6phosphate dehydrogenase, was released by spheroplasting, indicating that cell lysis was negligible. The possibility that indicating that cell lysis was negligible. The possibility the the membrane-bound invertase activity was due to lysed cell

FIG. 3. Pulse-chase analysis of Secl2p in the rerl mutant. (a) Wild-type (SNY22, left) and the rerl mutant (SNHA6-1C, right) harboring a multicopy plasmid containing the SEC12 gene (pSHY6-3) were labeled with Tran²⁵S-label for 10 min at 30°C and chased for times indicate (in hours) above the lane. Secl2p was first immunoprecipitated with anti-Secl2p antiserum and the immunoprecipitated materials were subjected to the second round immunoprecipitation with antibodies (Ab) against Sec12p, $\alpha l \rightarrow 6$ mannose, and $\alpha l \rightarrow 3$ mannose linkages. (b) Metabolic labeling and immunoprecipitation were performed as described above, except that the sec18 mutant cells (SNS218-3C, sec18 RERI; SNHS618-5A, sec18 rerl) were labeled and chased at 37°C to impose the ER-to-Golgi transport block. Immunoprecipitated materials were treated with endoglycosidase H and subjected to SDS/PAGE and fluorography.

fragments produced during culture is also ruled out because the activity of an ER membrane enzyme, NADPHcytochrome c reductase, was almost completely latent in the rerl cell pellet (data not shown). These results indicate that the Secl2-Suc2 fusion protein was also mislocalized to the cell surface. The reason why only a small amount of Secl2- Suc2p was exported may be due to the leakiness of the rerl mutation. Alternatively, a larger portion of the fusion protein mutation. Alternatively, a larger portion of the fusion protein could be transported to the vacuole by default (27) and

degraded there. Taking all of these pieces of evidence together, we conclude that $ref1$ has a defect in the retention of the second

FIG. 4. The *rerl* mutant mislocalizes Sec12-invertase fusion protein to the cell surface. (a) Schematic representation of the Sec12-Suc2 fusion protein. Almost all coding frame of mature invertase (from the third codon, hatched box) was fused in frame to the C terminus of Sec12p (open box, filled region indicates the membrane span). (b) Detection of the extracellular invertase activity on the bromocresol purple (BCP)/sucrose plate. The BCP/sucrose plate contained 0.67% yeast nitrogen base, 0.5% Casamino acids, 2% sucrose, 0.0032% BCP, and 2% agar. Cells were streaked on the BCP/sucrose plate and incubated at 30°C for 2 days. Strains shown are SNY9 (SUC2 RERI), SNY16 (suc2 RERI), and SNHS6-2A (suc
 $\frac{\text{SUS2}}{\text{SUS2}}$ rerl). $\frac{\text{SUS2}}{\text{SUS2}}$ is a multicony plasmid containing the rerl). The Such Such is a multicopy plasmid containing the
SEC12-SUC2 fusion gene SEC12-SUC2 fusion gene.

This supports the view that a cellular mechanism exists to restrict Secl2p to the ER and the early Golgi. The defect of rerl could be due to a lesion in the retrograde movement from the early Golgi to the ER. It should be pointed out here that the transport from the ER to the early Golgi still seems to be rate limiting in the rerl cells. As shown in Fig. 3a, acquisition of the α 1 \rightarrow 6 mannosyl linkages requires a very long time even in *rerl*. This might indicate that there is another even in rerl. This might indicate that there is another mechanism to restrict Secl2p, perhaps at the step ofexit from the ER. Although we tried to incorporate a temperaturesensitive screening step in mutant isolation, the obtained rerl
mutants showed a constitutive defect. Determination of mutants showed a constitutive defect. Determination of whether RERI fulfills an essential function for cell growth

awaits its cloning and gene disruption experiments.
Retention of BiP Is Normal in the rerl Mutant. It has been Retention of BiP Is Normal in the rerl Mutant. It has been shown that ER soluble proteins such as BiP (the KARZ generally in the ER and the K(IDDE) product in yeast) are retained in the ER by the K(H)DEL recognition system (2). The erd mutants, which are defective in ER retention of BiP, secrete the protein into the culture medium (28, 29). We tested whether the *rer* mutants display such an Erd⁻ phenotype. As shown in Fig. 5, the amount of BiP detected in the culture medium of rerl was as little as that of the wild type. In this mutant, the cellular level of BiP was indistinguishable from that of the wild-type cells (not shown). indistinguishable from that of the wild-type cells (not shown). This indicates that BiP is normally retained in the rerl mutant.

Table 2. Extracellular invertase activity of rerl is

Fraction	% total			
	rer1		RERI	
	Invertase	G6PDH	Invertase	G6PDH
Periplasmic				
Spheroplast surface	10			
Intracellular	86	95	96	96

SNHS6-2A (rerl) and SNY16 (RERI) cells harboring the YEpSEC12-SUC2 plasmid were harvested at an early logarithmic phase and treated with Zymolyase. Periplasmic and spheroplast fractions were separated by centrifugation and assayed for invertase. (25) and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase $(G6PDH)$ (26) activities. Activities on the spheroplast surface and the whole activities associated with the spheroplasts were assayed in the absence and presence of 0.1% Triton X-100, respectively. "Intracellular" reprepresence of 0.1% Triton II 200, respectively. "Intracellular" represents whole spheroplasts minus spheroplast surface.

Recently, ^a retention signal for ER membrane proteins has been identified in animal cells. Two lysine residues at the third and the fourth or fifth positions from the C terminus function as the ER retention signal of the adenovirus E19 protein (30). The WBPJ gene product, a yeast homologue of the 48-kDa subunit of oligosaccharyltransferase, has been reported to have this type of retention signal (31). In contrast, yeast Sec2O and Sed4 proteins are examples of membrane proteins that harbor the HDEL motif (32, 33). Secl2p has neither HDEL nor Lys-(Xaa)-Lys-Xaa-Xaa motif yet localizes in the ER, implying the presence of ^a signal for ER retention. Pelham and his coworkers have reported that a set of sec mutants (sec17, sec18, sec20, and sec22) secreted BiP at the permissive temperature (29). However, these mutant cells did not produce a halo in our assay when transformed with the $SECI2-MFaI$ fusion plasmid (not shown), indicating that these mutants are normal in the retention of Secl2p. These observations as well as the above finding that only Secl2p but not BiP is mislocalized in rerl implicate multiple sets of cellular machinery functioning in the protein sorting between ER and Golgi.

Do multiple pathways of the ER-Golgi recycling exist then? It may be too early to speculate at this point but a very intriguing finding has been recently reported by Pelham's group (33, 34). They isolated multicopy suppressor genes that overcome the lethality of ERD2 disruption. Among five genes obtained, two were previously unknown but the others were known genes: SEC12, DPM1 encoding dolichol-P-mannose synthase, and *SED4*, a gene that was already found in the yeast chromosome III with high similarity to SECJ2 (open reading frame YCR67c) (35). Why do these genes suppress the deletion of the HDEL receptor? Provided that there are two distinct routes of backward movement from the Golgii.e., ERD pathway and RER pathway-they might well interact with each other. The knockout of the ERD pathway is lethal but one may be able to remedy the defect by is lettial but one may be able to remedy the defect θ stimulating the RER pathway by increasing the passengere.g., Sec12p. We do not know at present whether the other suppressor genes of $\Delta erd2$ are under control of the RERI gene. As mentioned above, Sed4p is a membrane protein with gene. As mentioned above, Sed4p is a membrane protein with
the C-terminal HDEL sequence. Its interaction with the Erd protein remains to be examined. Of course, many more complex, direct or indirect, models are all possible. Cloning and analysis of the RER1 gene will be necessary to exploit and analysis of the RERI gene will be necessary to exploit the necessary to exploit these possibilities. We have already mapped the rerl locus in
the observation of the excluding the possibility that rer the chromosome III, thus excluding the possibility that *rerl* is allelic to *erdl* or *erd2*. Exact mapping is necessary, but the *rerl* locus is apparently distinct from those for genes of immediate interest, such as SED4 and ERS1 (suppressor of erd1; ref. 36). In regard to the relationship between erd and rer mutations, it may also be of interest that rer2 shows elevated secretion of BiP. We have observed aberrant protein glycosylation and high expression of BiP in the rer2 mutant glycosylation and high expression of BiP in the rer2 matal (unpublished data). One easy explanation is that the secretion

FIG. 5. Analysis of the missecretion of BiP. Wild-type (SNY9) and rer mutant cells (rerl:SNH6-1C and rer2:SNH23-10A) were grown in YPD medium overnight to the early logarithmic phase. Proteins from the culture medium (equivalent to 2.5×10^6 cells) were. analyzed by immunoblotting with the antibody against yeast BiP. analyzed by immunoblotting with the antibody against $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{p}}$

of BiP by rer2 is due to its overproduction, but apparently we need more work to understand the function of RER2.

The genetic approach we have taken will provide powerful tools to unveil components of sorting machinery involving dynamic vesicular traffic. Analysis of the RER genes will give us a clue to understanding molecular mechanisms underlying membrane recycling in the early secretory pathway, especially the retrograde movement from the Golgi to the ER, which remains largely unexploited.

We thank Mark Rose for the anti-BiP antibody, Robert Fuller for the anti-Kex2p antibody, Yoshikazu Ohya and Scott Emr for plasmids, William Courchesne for the sst2 strain, Satoshi Nomoto and Naoki Nakayama for advice on the halo assay, and Toshihiko Oka, Yoshikazu Ohya, and Yasuhiro Anraku for helpful comments and discussions. S.N. is a recipient of the Fellowship of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Japanese Junior Scientists.

- 1. Munro, S. & Pelham, H. R. B. (1987) Cell 48, 899-907.
2. Pelham, H. R. B., Hardwick, K. G. & Lewis, M. J. (19.
- 2. Pelham, H. R. B., Hardwick, K. G. & Lewis, M. J. (1988) EMBO J. 7, 1757-1762.
- 3. Lewis, M. J., Sweet, D. J. & Pelham, H. R. B. (1990) Cell 61,
- 1359-1363. 4. Lewis, M. J. & Pelham, H. R. B. (1992) Cell 68, 353-364.
- 5. Pelham, H. R. B. (1988) *EMBO J.* 7, 913-918.
6. Dean, N. & Pelham, H. R. B. (1990) *J. Cell B*
- 6. Dean, N. & Pelham, H. R. B. (1990) J. Cell Biol. 111, 369-377.
7. Lewis, M. J. & Pelham, H. R. B. (1990) Nature (London) 34
- Lewis, M. J. & Pelham, H. R. B. (1990) Nature (London) 348, 162-163.
- 8. Hsu, V. W., Yuan, L. C., Nuchtern, J. G., Lippincott-Schwartz, J., Hammerling, G. J. & Klausner, R. D. (1991) Nature (London) 352, 441-444.
- 9. Nakano, A., Brada, D. & Schekman, R. (1988) J. Cell Biol. 107,
- 10. Rexach, M. F. & Schekman, R. W. (1991) J. Cell Biol. 114, 219-
- 11. d'Enfert, C., Barlowe, C., Nishikawa, S., Nakano, A. & Schekman
- 12. Franzusoff, A. & Schekman, R. (1989) EMBO J. 8, 2695–2702.

12. Franzusoff, A. & Schekman, R. (1989) EMBO J. 8, 2695–2702.
- 13. Nakano, A. & Muramatsu, M. (1989) J. Cell Biol. 109, 2677-2691.
14. Sikorski, R. S. & Hieter, P. (1989) Genetics 122, 19-27.
- 14. Sikorski, R. S. & Hieter, P. (1989) Genetics 122, 19-27.
15. Wickerham, L. J. (1946) J. Bacteriol. 52, 293-301.
-
- 15. Wickerham, L. J. (2516) J. Bacteriol. 22, 293-301.
16. Qadota, H., Ishii, I., Fujiyama, A., Ohya, Y. & Anraku, Y. (1992)
- 17. Hansen, W., Garcia, P. D. & Walter, P. (1986) Cell 45, 397–406.
18. Euro S. D. Massauli, A. Carrytt, J. Caller, P. J. Talasda, M.
- 18. Emr, S. D., Vasarotti, A., Garrett, J., Geller, B. L., Takeda, M. & Douglas, M. G. (1986) J. Cell Biol. 102, 523-533.
- Chan, R. K. & Otte, C. A. (1982) Mol. Cell. Biol. 2, 11-20. 19. Chan, R. K. & Otte, C. A. (1982) Mol. Cell. Biol. 2, 11-20.
- 20. NOVICK, P., FIEIG, C. & SCHEKINAN, R. (1980) Cell 21, 205–215.
21. Europe J. & Herelsonitz, J. (1993) Cell 20, 922, 942.
- 21. Kurjan, J. & Herskowitz, I. (1982) Cell 30, 933-943.
22. Graham, T. R. & Emr, S. D. (1991) J. Cell Biol. 114, 207-218.
- 22. Graham, T. K. & Emr, S. D. (1991) J. Cell Biol. 114, 207-216.
22. Dedding K. Holcomb C. & Fuller D. S. (1991) J. Cell Biol.
- 23. Redding, K., Holcomb, C. & Fuller, R. S. (1991) J. Cell Biol. 113,
527 528
- Sprague, G. F., Jr. (1991) Methods Enzymol. 194, 77-93.
- 25. Goldstein, A. & Lampen, J. O. (1975) Methods Enzymol. 42,
504.511.
- 26. Kato, N., Sahn, H., Shütte, H. & Wagner, F. (1979) Biochim.
Biophys. Acta 566. 1-11.
- 27. Roberts, C. J., Nothwehr, S. F. & Stevens, T. H. (1992) J. Cell
Biol. 119. 60-83. Biol. 119, 69-83.
Hardwick, K. G., Lewis, M. J., Semenza, J., Dean, N. & Pelham,
- 28. Hardwick, K. G., Lewis, M. J., Semen
H. R. B. (1988) EMBO J. 9, 623-630.
- H. R. B. (1988) EMBO J. 9, 023-030.
Semenza I C. Hardwick K. G. D. 29. Semenza, J. C., Hardwick, K. G., Dean, N. & Pelham, H. K. B.
(1990) Cell 61 1349-1357
- 30. Jackson, M. R., Nilsson, T. & Peterson, P. A. (1990) EMBO J. 9,
3153-3162
- 3153-3162.
te Heesen, S., Rauhut, R., Aebersold, R., Abelson, J., Aebi, M. & 31. te Heesen, S., Rauhut, R., Aebersold, R., Abels
Clark, M. W. (1991) Eur. J. Cell Biol. 56, 8-18.
- Sweet, D. J. & Pelham, H. R. B. (1992) EMBO J. 11, 2071-2075.
- 32. Sweet, D. J. & Pelham, H. R. B. (1992) *EMBO J*. 11, 2071-2075.
33. Hardwick, K. G., Boothroyd, J. C., Rudner, A. D. & Pelham, H. R. B. (1992) EMBO J. 11, 4187-4195.
- H. R. B. (1992) EMBO J. 11, 4187-4195.
Hardwick K G & Pelham H R R 34. Hardwick, K. G. & Pelham, H. K. B. (1992) J. Cell Biol. 119,
513_591
- Oliver, S. G., et al. (1992) Nature (London) 357, 38-46. $35.$
- 36. Hardwick, K. G. & Pelham, H. R. B. (1990) Nucleic Acids Res. 18,
2177