

doi: 10.1093/toxsci/kfv178 Advance Access Publication Date: August 10, 2015 Research Article

Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor Activation Synergistically Induces Lipopolysaccharide-Mediated Expression of Proinflammatory Chemokine (c–c motif) Ligand 20

Tejas S. Lahoti, Jacob A. Boyer, Ann Kusnadi, Gulsum E. Muku, Iain A. Murray, and Gary H. Perdew¹

Department of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, Center for Molecular Toxicology and Carcinogenesis, Penn State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed at Center for Molecular Toxicology and Carcinogenesis, Penn State University, 309 Life Sciences Building, University Park, PA 16802. Fax: (814) 863-1696. E-mail: ghp2@psu.edu.

ABSTRACT

The Ah receptor (AHR) is directly involved in the regulation of both innate and adaptive immunity. However, these activities are poorly understood at the level of gene regulation. The chemokine (c–c motif) ligand 20 (CCL20) plays a nonredundant role in the chemoattraction of C–C motif receptor 6 expressing cells (eg, T cells and others). A survey of promoter regions of chemokine genes revealed that there are several putative dioxin responsive elements in the mouse Ccl20 promoter. The addition of an AHR agonist along with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) to cultured primary peritoneal macrophages results in synergistic induction of both Ccl20 mRNA and protein, compared with each compound alone. Through the use of macrophage cultures derived from $Ahr^{-/-}$ and $Ahr^{nls/nls}$ mice, it was established that expression of the AHR and its ability to translocate into the nucleus are necessary for AHR ligand-mediated synergistic induction of Ccl20. Gel shift analysis determined that a potent tandem AHR binding site ~3.1 kb upstream from the transcriptional start site can efficiently bind the AHR/ARNT (aryl hydrocarbon receptor/AHR nuclear translocator) heterodimer upon activation with a number of AHR agonists. Furthermore, studies reveal that LPS increases AHR levels on the Ccl20 promoter while decreasing HDAC1 occupancy. The level of Ccl20 constitutive expression in the colon is greatly attenuated in $Ahr^{-/-}$ mice. These studies suggest that the presence of AHR ligands during localized inflammation may augment chemokine expression, thus participating in the overall response to pathogens.

Key words: aryl hydrocarbon receptor; AHR agonists; chemokine (c-c motif); ligand 20; macrophages; lipopolysaccharide; chemokine.

Chemokines are a diverse superfamily of low molecular weight chemotactic cytokines, which mediate leukocyte migration and hence play crucial roles in immune homeostasis and inflammation (Murdoch and Finn, 2000). The innate immune system provides the first line of defense to pathogens, primarily via recognition of foreign molecules through pattern recognition receptors (Kawai and Akira, 2010). Such signaling drives the production of a distinct set of chemokines and cytokines that dictate the specificity of the adaptive immune response (Luster, 2002). Chemokines are classified by a number of criteria, including their arrangement of conserved cysteine residues in the Nterminus. Four configurations stratify this superfamily into the C, C-C, CXC, and CX3C subfamilies (Murdoch and Finn, 2000; Zlotnik and Yoshie, 2000). Chemokines can also be functionally classified as homeostatic versus inflammatory (Comerford and McColl, 2011). Inflammatory chemokines are expressed at low levels basally, but are induced at the mRNA level by proinflammatory cytokines and pathogen-derived insults (Ye and Young,

© The Author 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society of Toxicology. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com 1997). Chemokines mediate directed chemotaxis by binding and signaling through transmembrane G protein–coupled receptors.

The chemokine (c-c motif) ligand 20 (CCL20) is a member of the C-C family of chemokines that bind a single cognate receptor, C-C motif receptor 6 (CCR6), expressed on the surface of immature dendritic cells, B cells, T_H17 cells, and Treg cells (Le Borgne et al., 2006; Schutyser et al., 2003; Yamazaki et al., 2008). Basal Ccl20 expression varies across tissue types, but can be markedly induced by inflammatory cytokine challenge or pathogen-derived insults such as LPS (Hosokawa et al., 2005; Schutyser et al., 2000; Sierro et al., 2001). As with many chemokines, inducible expression is regulated at the transcriptional level by NF-kB and AP-1 transcription factors (Kanda et al., 2009). Its pleiotropic nature has made the delineation of the cellspecific recruitment difficult to determine; however, it is likely that effector cell types recruited by Ccl20 is highly context dependent (Comerford et al., 2010). CCL20 has been implicated in a multitude of human pathologies, including cancer of a variety of tissues, atherosclerosis, psoriasis, rheumatoid arthritis, and multiple sclerosis (Harper et al., 2009; Hirota et al., 2007; Lahoti et al., 2013; Rubie et al., 2010). As such, a detailed understanding of mechanisms underlying Ccl20 expression is warranted.

The aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) is a ligand-activated transcription factor and member of the basic helix-loop-helix, Per-Arnt-Sim family of proteins. Historically, AHR has been studied as a mediator of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon metabolism and resulting carcinogenesis. In addition, the toxicities associated with dioxin are almost completely mediated by the AHR (Bunger et al., 2003). Prior to ligand activation, AHR resides in the cytoplasm complexed with a number of chaperones and cochaperones, including the 90 kDa heat shock protein (HSP90) (Petrulis and Perdew, 2002). Upon agonist binding the AHR translocates to the nucleus, disassociates from HSP90 and heterodimerizes with its binding partner, AHR nuclear translocator (ARNT). This heterodimeric complex is capable of binding to dioxin-response elements (DREs) and transactivating a number of genes involved in a wide variety of functions, including xenobiotic metabolism, eg, cytochrome P450 family 1 member 1A (Cyp1a1) (Beischlag et al., 2008). Recently, AHR has been shown to interact with other transcription factors to drive cytokine production and developmental programing in the immune system (Apetoh et al., 2010; Quintana et al., 2008; Veldhoen et al., 2008). In particular, the AHR can physically interact with the transcription factor NF-kB, leading to gene regulation at many inflammatory gene promoters (DiNatale et al., 2010; Vogel et al., 2013, 2014).

Here we employ an *ex vivo* murine macrophage system to explore the cooperation between the AHR and inflammatory signaling to drive Ccl20 gene expression. We show that activation of AHR with ligands, such as 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin (TCDD), or activation of NF-kB via toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) agonist, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), induces Ccl20 gene expression. Combinatorial treatments with both stimuli synergize to drive Ccl20 gene expression in primary macrophages. These observations contribute to the complexity of chemokine gene expression, suggesting that the presence of AHR ligands may affect the recruitment of T cells and other CCR6 expressing cells to tumors or sites of infection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents. TCDD was kindly provided by Dr Stephen Safe (Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas). Indolo[3,2b]carbazole (ICZ) and cycloheximide (CHX) were purchased from

Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, Missouri). Recombinant mouse IL1B was acquired from PeproTech (Rocky Hill, New Jersey). LPS was acquired from Santa Cruz (Dallas, Texas).

Mouse experiments. C57BL6/J (Ahr^b), Ahr null (Ahr^{-/-}), Ahr heterozygous (Ahr^{+/-}), Ahr^{nls/nls} (Ah^d allele) mice, which have the nuclear localization sequence (NLS) disrupted (kindly provided by Dr Christopher Bradfield, University of Wisconsin-Madison), and Ah^d congenic (Ahr^d) mice obtained from Jackson (Bar Harbor, Maine) were housed on corncob bedding in a pathogenfree, temperature- and light-controlled facility and given access to food and water *ad libitum*. Ahr null (Ahr^{-/-}) and Ahr heterozygous (Ahr^{+/-}) mice were generated through the breeding of an Ahr^{+/-} female (Ah^b allele) with an Ahr^{-/-} male mice. The Ah^b and Ah^d alleles exhibit high affinity and 10-fold lower affinity for TCDD, respectively. Mouse experiments utilized eight 6- to 8-week-old mice and were performed humanely with techniques approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of The Pennsylvania State University.

Primary peritoneal macrophage isolation from mice. For the isolation of primary peritoneal macrophages, mice were IP injected with 3 ml of 3% thioglycolate media on day 1. After 72 h mice were euthanized through asphyxiation. Primary peritoneal macrophages were isolated by peritoneal lavage using ice-cold phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Cells were recovered by centrifugation and resuspended in macrophage cell culture medium (Zhang et al., 2008).

Cell culture. Primary peritoneal macrophages were maintained at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in Dulbecco's modified eagle medium (Gibco, Carlsbad, California) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Hyclone Labs, Logan, Utah), 100 IU/ml penicillin and 100 μ g/ml streptomycin, 2mM L-glutamine, and 1mM sodium pyruvate (Sigma).

Primary keratinocyte isolation from mice. Keratinocytes from less than 3-day-old Ahr^b , $Ahr^{-/-}$, and $Ahr^{+/-}$ mice were isolated and cultured in primary keratinocyte medium as described previously (Dlugosz *et al.*, 1995).

RNA extraction and quantitative RT-PCR. Upon treatments, total RNA was isolated from macrophages as previously described using Trizol (Invitrogen Carlsbad, California) (Murray et al., 2010b). Total RNA was converted into cDNA using a High-Capacity cDNA Archive kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California). Gene expression was measured using quantitative RT-PCR as previously described (Murray et al., 2010b), utilizing the primers described in Supplementary Table S1.

CCL20 ELISA. CCL20 ELISA was performed on macrophage culture supernatants. Harvested supernatants were briefly spun at 5000 rpm for 3 min to remove cellular debris. ELISA was performed as per the manufacturer's protocol (Cayman Chemicals).

Electromobility shift assay. Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) were performed using in vitro-translated mouse AHR and ARNT proteins or nuclear extracts from peritoneal macrophages exposed to vehicle or TCDD as described previously (Flaveny *et al.*, 2009; Singh *et al.*, 1996). Ccl20 oligonucleotides spanning the AHR binding site are described in Supplementary Table S2.

Preparation of cytosolic and nuclear fractions. Cytosolic and nuclear fractions were prepared from primary peritoneal macrophages

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assays. Primary macrophages were isolated from C57BL6/J mice and plated in 150-mm cell culture plates. Macrophages were treated with 5 ng/ml lipopolysaccharide (LPS) for 45 min or 10nM TCDD for 30 min followed by 5 ng/ml LPS for 45 min. Each treatment was performed in triplicate. Following treatment, the medium was removed and cells were chemically cross-linked using 1% formaldehyde in warm PBS for 10 min at room temperature. Cross-linking was terminated by adding glycine to a final concentration of 0.125 M for 5 min at room temperature. Cells were washed twice with cold PBS and collected in harvest buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 10 mM dithiothreitol). The cells were centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 5 min, washed with PBS, and resuspended in lysis buffer (1% lithium dodecyl sulfate, 50 mM EDTA, protease inhibitor cocktail, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8). Chromatin was sheared with the Bioruptor water bath sonicator (Diagenode, Sparta, New Jersey) to an average size of 0.5-1 kb and centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 10 min. The sheared chromatin was diluted to $50 \,\mu\text{g/ml}$ in dilution buffer (1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8) and 500 μl chromatin was incubated for 4 h at 4° C with 2 µg of the following antibodies: rabbit anti-AhR (a kind gift from Dr Pollenz, University of South Florida), anti-HDAC1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, California), and rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) as control. Immunoadsorbed complexes were captured on Protein G magnetic beads (New England BioLabs, Massachusetts) for 2 h at 4°C and washed 3 times with RIPA (1% Triton X-100, 1mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 0.1% Na-deoxycholate, 140 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8) followed by one wash with TE8 (1mM EDTA, 10mM Tris-HCl, pH 8). DNA was eluted in 200 µl elution buffer (100 mM NaHCO₃, 1% SDS) at 65°C overnight. Eluted DNA was purified using the chromatin immunoprecipitation assays (ChIP) DNA Clean & Concentrator kit (Zymo Research, California) and analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR.

membranes. Primary and secondary antibodies are described in

Supplementary Table S3.

RESULTS

Treatment With an AHR Agonist in Combination With LPS Synergistically Induces Ccl20 Gene Expression in Macrophages

A previous report suggested that expression of a constitutively active AHR in skin of transgenic mice induced Ccl20 expression. However, this study did not identify whether the AHR directly regulated Ccl20 gene expression (Tauchi et al., 2005). Numerous reports suggest that Ccl20 gene expression can be significantly induced by microbial factors such as LPS, contributing to human pathologies such as sepsis (Crane-Godreau and Wira 2005; Scapini et al., 2002). Stimulated macrophages have been shown to secrete CCL20 and contribute to the chemotaxis of inflammatory T cells to a given site (Li et al., 2013). Therefore the role of AHR activation on Ccl20 expression in the absence or presence of LPS was examined utilizing an ex vivo mouse macrophage system. Our initial data suggested that activation of AHR in primary peritoneal macrophages by prototypical AHR ligand TCDD and/or gram-negative bacterial cell wall product LPS stimulated a dosedependent increase in Ccl20 mRNA expression (Figs. 1A and 1B). This suggests that there are multiple mechanisms capable of inducing Ccl20 gene regulation. Furthermore, the addition of both TCDD and LPS in macrophages resulted in an 8-fold synergistic

induction of Ccl20, indicating a complex interplay between activation of AHR and TLR pathways that was previously poorly described (Fig. 1B). We also observed a time dependency in synergy as maximum induction of Ccl20 expression was identified at 4 h post TCDD and LPS coexposure (Supplementary Fig. 1S). The reason for the reduced level of synergism observed at 6 h is not known. In addition, macrophages coexposed to different AHR agonists, such as ICZ, combined with LPS, initiated a similar increase in Ccl20 expression (Fig. 1C).

AHR/LPS-Mediated Synergistic Induction of Ccl20 Is a Direct Transcriptional Event

To further study the role of agonist-mediated AHR–ARNT activation on Ccl20 gene transcription, macrophages were preexposed to protein translation inhibitor cycloheximide (CHX; $10 \,\mu g/ml$) for 1 h. In the absence of CHX, TCDD and LPS synergistically induced expression of Ccl20 (Fig. 1D). Pre-exposure to CHX had no effect on the synergistic induction of Ccl20 mRNA mediated by TCDD and LPS cotreatment (Fig. 1D). The failure of CHX to alter the TCDD/LPS synergistic effect on the induction of Ccl20 mRNA expression is consistent with the assertion that AHR-mediated induction of Ccl20 is a direct primary transcriptional event. It is important to note that the increased AHRmediated transcriptional activation of Cyp1a1 has been observed in the presence of CHX (Joiakim *et al.*, 2004; Lusska *et al.*, 1992; Ma and Baldwin, 2002).

Synergistic Induction of Ccl20 Expression by AHR Activation Can Also Be Observed in IL1B-Stimulated Macrophages and in LPS-Treated Primary Keratinocytes That Express AHR

Previous studies have shown that cells stimulated with IL1B can also induce Ccl20 gene expression (Lahoti et al., 2013; Shindo et al., 2014). Thus, we investigated whether IL1B and TCDD cotreated macrophages could synergistically induce Ccl20 mRNA expression. Similar to the results obtained with LPS, cells exposed to IL1B lead to potentiation of TCDD-mediated expression of Ccl20 (Fig. 2A). Studies have shown that CCL20 plays a critical role in psoriasis and higher levels of CCL20 protein were observed in keratinocytes from psoriatic patients (Harper et al., 2009; Kennedy-Crispin et al., 2012). We wanted to investigate whether the synergy in Ccl20 mRNA expression obtained in macrophages could also be observed in primary mouse keratinocytes. The data revealed a 5-fold induction of Ccl20 in primary keratinocytes from Ahr^b mice exposed to LPS and TCDD compared with either agent alone (Fig. 2B). These results also reveal that the observed synergy is AHR dependent, as keratinocytes from $Ahr^{+/-}$ and $Ahr^{-/-}$ mice largely failed to respond. In addition, lack of AHR expression attenuated LPS-mediated induction of Ccl20, suggesting that AHR contributes to the induction of Ccl20 even in the absence of exogenous ligand.

LPS Mediates an Increase in AHR Protein Levels

Macrophages exposed to LPS resulted in a time-dependent increase in Ahr transcription, with a maximum level of induction obtained after 3h of LPS exposure (Fig. 3A). In addition, AHR protein levels were enhanced in a time-dependent manner (Fig. 3B). Next, we wanted to test whether LPS-mediated upregulation in AHR protein levels influenced target gene expression. Thus, macrophages from Ahr^b and $Ahr^{-/-}$ mice were treated with LPS for 24 h, followed by TCDD exposure for 3 h. The results established that the induction of both Cyp1a1 and Ccl20 mRNA expression by TCDD was dependent on AHR expression (Fig. 3C). In addition, synergistic induction of Ccl20 was observed in macrophages from Ahr^b mice when exposed to



FIG. 1. AHR activation coupled with LPS exposure synergistically induces *Cc*l20 in primary macrophages isolated from Ahr^b mice. The level of *Cc*l20 expression was assessed through qRT-PCR analysis. A, Macrophages were treated with increasing concentrations of LPS for 3 h. B, Macrophages were pretreated with increasing concentrations of TCDD for 1 h, followed by 5 ng/ml LPS for 4 h. C, Macrophages isolated from Ah^b mice pretreated with either 10 nM TCDD or 200 nM ICZ for 1 h, followed by 5 ng/ml LPS for 4 h. D, Macrophages were pretreated with TCDD for 1 h followed by 5 ng/ml LPS for 4 h. D, Macrophages were pretreated with TCDD for 1 h followed by 5 ng/ml LPS for 4 h. Where indicated, CHX was added 10 min prior to the addition of TCDD. Data represent mean mRNA levels normalized to *Actb* mRNA expression \pm SEM (n = 3/treatment group; *P < .05, **P < .01, ***P < .001).



FIG. 2. IL1B and TCDD synergize to induce *Ccl20* in primary macrophages and LPS/TCDD cotreatment synergistically induces *Ccl20* in primary keratinocytes dependent on AHR expression. A, Macrophages were treated with TCDD for 1 h, followed by 5 ng/ml LPS for 4 h. B, Primary mouse keratinocytes derived from $Ahr^{+/-}$, and $Ahr^{-/-}$ newborn mice were treated with TCDD for 1 h, followed by 5 ng/ml LPS for 4 h. Data represent mean mRNA levels normalized to *Actb* mRNA expression \pm SEM (n=3/treatment group; *P < .05, **P < .01, ***P < .001).

LPS for 24h followed by TCDD exposure for 8h. Interestingly, this result suggests that AHR expression is required for optimal LPS-mediated induction of *Ccl20*.

The Synergistic Induction of Ccl20 Mediated by AHR Agonist and LPS Is AHR Dependent in Primary Macrophages

To further delineate the level of AHR dependency on Ccl20 gene expression, macrophages were isolated from Ahr^b and $Ahr^{-/-}$ mice and exposed to TCDD and LPS using a short-term treatment regimen. Results indicated that Cyp1a1 expression was

almost absent from $Ahr^{-/-}$ macrophages (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, Western blot analysis demonstrated the absence of AHR expression in macrophages from $Ahr^{-/-}$ mice, in contrast to macrophages from Ahr^b mice (Fig. 4B). This lack of AHR in macrophages resulted in a complete loss of AHR agonistmediated induction of Ccl20 transcription (Fig. 4C). Furthermore, despite being equally sensitive to LPS-mediated induction in Ahr^b , $Ahr^{-/-}$ macrophages proved to be refractory to combinatorial agonist/LPS-mediated synergistic induction of Ccl20. To determine the effect of AHR dependency on CCL20 protein



FIG. 3. After long-term exposure to LPS in macrophages TCDD treatment still mediated synergistic induction of Ccl20 in an AHR-dependent manner. Primary macrophages from Ahr^{b} and $Ahr^{-/-}$ mice were isolated via peritoneal lavage. A, Relative levels of Ahr mRNA in cultured macrophages after LPS treatment for 3 or 6 h. B, Timedependent increase in AHR protein expression in macrophages after LPS treatment for the indicated times. C, Primary macrophages derived from Ahr^{b} mice were pretreated with LPS for 24 h, followed by TCDD treatment for 3 h; Cyp1a1 and Ccl20 relative mRNAs were assessed. Data represent mean mRNA levels normalized to Actb mRNA expression ± SEM (n = 3/treatment group; *P < .05, **P < .001).

levels, macrophages from Ahr^{b} and $Ahr^{-/-}$ mice were exposed to TCDD and LPS for 8 h. An ELISA was performed on cell culture supernatant; results obtained were similar to the data observed at the mRNA level, with a 4-fold induction of CCL20 protein levels upon combinatorial treatment compared with treatment with TCDD or LPS alone (Fig. 4D). Overall, the results suggest that synergistic induction of Ccl20 by exposure to TCDD and LPS is dependent on AHR expression and activation.

AHR Agonist-Mediated Induction of Ccl20 Is Dependent on Nuclear Translocation of the AHR

Whether AHR activation of Ccl20 transcription requires the liganded AHR to reside in the nucleus was examined utilizing macrophages isolated from $Ahr^{nls/nls}$ mice. These mice carry a

mutation in the composite NLS/DNA binding domain sequence; thus the mutated AHR fails to both translocate into the nucleus and bind to DREs (Bunger *et al.*, 2008). Because these mice carry the Ah^d allele, they were compared with Ah^d congenic mice (Ahr^d). Treatment of $Ahr^{nls/nls}$ macrophages with TCDD resulted in essentially no increase in *Cyp1a1* gene expression relative to the dramatic increase observed in macrophages derived from Ahr^d mice (Fig. 5). Although mouse genotype had no effect on LPSmediated induction of Ccl20, combinatorial treatment of macrophages with LPS and an AHR agonist resulted in synergistic induction of Ccl20 in Ahr^d macrophages. In contrast, only a modest induction of Ccl20 was observed in $Ahr^{nls/nls}$ macrophages, consistent with the requirement for nuclear localization to induce Ccl20 transcription.



FIG. 4. Both mRNA and protein expression are synergistically induced in primary macrophages by short-term combinatorial treatment with LPS and AHR ligands in an AHR-dependent manner. Primary macrophages were isolated via peritoneal lavage. A, Cyp1a1 mRNA levels are induced in macrophages derived from Ahr^b but not in $Ahr^{-/-}$ mice after treatment with TCDD in the presence or absence of LPS. B, Protein blot analysis of AHR expression in macrophages derived from Ahr^b and $Ahr^{-/-}$ mice. C, Effect of 1 h pretreatment with an AHR agonist followed by 4 h treatment with LPS on Ccl20 mRNA levels in macrophages derived from Ahr^b and $Ahr^{-/-}$ mice. D, Macrophages were pretreated with 10 nM TCDD for 1 h followed by 5 ng/ml LPS or vehicle for 8 h. ELISA was performed on supernatants collected from Ahr^b and $Ahr^{-/-}$ macrophages. Data represent mean mRNA levels \pm SEM (n = 3/treatment group; *P < .01, ***P < .001).

AHR Activation Does Not Influence LPS-Mediated NF-kB Translocation

Macrophages isolated from Ahr^{b} and $Ahr^{-/-}$ mice and maintained in cell culture were treated with LPS or LPS-TCDD for 1 h and p65 was localized using indirect immunofluorescence microscopy (Supplementary Fig. 2S). No difference in p65 translocation into the nucleus was observed whether the AHR is expressed or upon ligand activation.

Agonist-Mediated AHR Activation Promotes Binding of the AHR-ARNT Protein Complex to DREs Present on Ccl20 Promoter

AHR agonist-mediated gene activation is primarily associated with binding of heterodimerized AHR–ARNT protein complex to

the DREs present on the gene promoters. The induction of *Ccl20* gene transcription by TCDD or ICZ in an AHR-dependent fashion suggests that the agonists could invoke binding to DREs present on the *Ccl20* promoter (Fig. 1C). To determine whether the same mechanism of gene activation is utilized here, we examined the 5 kb upstream promoter of the *Ccl20* gene relative to the transcription start site. Four putative DREs along with the NF-kB binding site on the *Ccl20* promoter were identified by in silico analysis (Fig. 6A). To examine the functional significance of the identified DREs, gel shift analysis was performed. In vitro-translated mouse AHR and ARNT proteins were exposed to TCDD, leading to the formation of AHR–ARNT complexes. These were then mixed with radiolabeled



FIG. 5. Synergistic induction of Ccl20 levels requires AHR nuclear translocation. Primary macrophages were isolated from Ahr^{d} and Ahr^{nls} mice via peritoneal lavage. Cyp1a1 and Ccl20 mRNA levels were assessed 4 h after the various indicated treatments. Data represent mean mRNA levels ± SEM (n = 3/treatment group; **P < .01, ***P < .001). The term "ns" means no significant difference between data bars under the line.



FIG. 6. DREs present in the Ccl20 promoter are capable of binding AHR/ARNT heterodimer in EMSA. A, The 5 kb promoter of mouse Ccl20 with putative DRE and NF-kB binding sites. B, Mouse AHR and ARNT proteins were generated using *in vitro* translations. Oligonucleotides spanning DREs at 0.1, 3.1, and 3.2 kb were used in EMSA. C, EMSA analysis of the ability of various AHR agonists to induce AHR/ARNT binding to Ccl20 DRE. D, Nuclear extracts were prepared from cultured macrophages from Ahr^b mice treated with vehicle or 10 nM TCDD for 1 h. EMSA and AHR antibody supershifts were performed.

oligonucleotides corresponding to 3 different DRE-containing regions of the Ccl20 promoter. Gel shift analysis suggests that TCDD-mediated AHR-ARNT protein complexes can bind to the DREs present on the Ccl20 promoter, with maximum binding observed at the 3.1 kb putative DRE site (Fig. 6B). Other AHR agonists were tested and ICZ, tryptanthrin, and indoxyl sulfate were all capable of inducing AHR binding to the 3.1 kb oligonucleotide (Fig. 6C), suggesting that many AHR agonists can promote binding of AHR-ARNT to a DRE present on the Ccl20 promoter. To further study these events in macrophages from Ahr^b mice, we exposed the macrophage cultures to TCDD and nuclear proteins were isolated. The isolated proteins were incubated with ³²P-radiolabeled sequences spanning DREs at 0.1, 3.1, and 3.2 kb. The data suggest that nuclear protein extracts of primary peritoneal macrophages from Ahr^b mice exposed to TCDD could promote DRE binding of the AHR-ARNT protein complex (Fig. 6D). The addition of nonradiolabeled DREs blocked binding to the radiolabeled oligonucleotide. In addition, AHR antibody addition induced an AHR-protein supershift of each oligonucleotide AHR complex. Overall, the data demonstrate the ability of AHR agonists to induce AHR-ARNT protein complex formation, which then binds DREs present in the Ccl20 promoter. Furthermore, the DRE at 3.1 kb is particularly efficient at binding the liganded AHR-ARNT complex.

LPS Promotes AHR Recruitment to the Ccl20 Promoter in Macrophages

ChIP assays were performed to further examine the mechanism of AHR-mediated synergistic induction of Ccl20 transcription. Treatment of macrophages with LPS leads to an increase in AHR occupancy on both the Cyp1a1 and Ccl20 promoters (Fig. 7). In contrast, LPS treatment led to a significant decrease in HDAC1 presence on the Cyp1a1 and Ccl20 promoters. Surprisingly, combinatorial treatment with TCDD and LPS actually yielded an apparent lower level of AHR occupancy. The reason for this result has not been elucidated. Nevertheless, these results indicate that the AHR can be recruited to the Ccl20 promoter and is associated with synergistic Ccl20 induction.

Ccl20 Expression Is Repressed in the Colon of Ahr^{-/-} Mice

The data in Figure 3C would suggest that the AHR expression might play a role in the constitutive and LPS-inducible expression of Ccl20, to examine this *in vivo* in a tissue that is exposed to bacterial inflammatory signaling molecules. The level of expression of Ccl20 was examined in the colon of $Ahr^{-/-}$ and $Ahr^{+/-}$ mice and there was a dramatic decrease in Ccl20 mRNA levels in $Ah^{-/-}$ mice (Fig. 8).

DISCUSSION

Expression of the AHR plays an important role in both the innate and adaptive immune status of the gastrointestinal tract (Quintana and Sherr, 2013; Stange and Veldhoen, 2013). In particular, the AHR participates in the postnatal expansion of intestinal innate lymphoid cells and the differentiation of T cells (Kiss *et al.*, 2011; Quintana *et al.*, 2008). Intestinal exposure studies have revealed that $Ahr^{-/-}$ mice are highly susceptible to either dextran sodium sulfate or *Citrobacter rodentium* challenge (Furumatsu *et al.*, 2011). These studies clearly indicate a critical role for AHR in intestinal immune homeostasis. In a normal healthy gut the resident macrophage population exhibits a non-inflammatory phenotype characterized by low levels of TLR2 and TLR4, CD14, CD80 and CD89 (Smith *et al.*, 2001). However, during a bacterial challenge a subset of macrophages,

characterized by the expression of CX3CR1, expands into inflammatory macrophages that respond to the infection (Bain et al., 2013). These cells in turn produce chemokines to attract other immune cells to the infection site. One key chemokine, CCL20, is expressed in cells of epithelial origin, macrophages and Th17 cells (Li et al. 2013). CCL20 expression is induced by inflammatory mediators, such as LPS and other bacterial components, and is the primary ligand for the chemokine receptor CCR6 (Hausmann et al., 2012; Ito et al., 2011). The recruitment of CCR6+ cells (eg, macrophages, dendritic cells, and T cells) to the site of injury is critical for an effective response to bacterial invasion. Our results suggest that the expression of CCL20 in the colon is partial dependent of AHR, supporting the notion that the presence of AHR agonists would likely enhance the overall response to an intestinal challenge.

However, in the absence of a strong agonist, the AHR presumably in the cell cytoplasm can inhibit inflammatory signaling. perhaps leading to enhanced resolution of inflammation. Support for this concept can be found in several studies in macrophages, where the absence of AHR expression leads to an enhanced inflammatory phenotype (Beamer et al., 2012; Kimura et al., 2009; Masuda et al., 2011; Sekine et al., 2009). In addition, the use of selective Ah receptor modulators that exhibit essentially no DRE-mediated activity elicit a potent anti-inflammatory activity (Murray et al., 2010a). After long-term exposure to inflammatory mediators, we have shown that AHR protein levels are increased 2-fold in primary macrophages. Results are similar to the increased levels of AHR observed in peritoneal exudate cells from LPS-treated mice (Bessede et al., 2014). Recent studies have demonstrated that there are several functional NF-kB elements in the AHR promoter (Vogel et al., 2014). These observations are consistent with the AHR either mediating a sustained level of inflammatory signaling or a feedback loop that leads to antiinflammatory activity dependent on whether AHR agonists are present.

The Il6 promoter has been extensively characterized in terms of the ability of NF-kB and AHR to synergistically induce transcription (DiNatale et al., 2010). A cluster of putative DREs is located \sim 3 kb upstream from the transcriptional start site of Il6; occupation of this site by AHR/ARNT results in the dismissal of HDAC1 and subsequent acetylation of NF-kB, leading to dramatically enhanced transcription. However, this cluster of DREs in the Il6 promoter, when considered in the context of a reporter vector with a heterologous promoter, was only modestly capable of driving transcription in a transient cell transfection experiment. Interestingly, at 3.1 kb upstream from the transcriptional start site of the Ccl20 promoter there are potent tandem DREs that are highly efficient with regard to AHR heterodimer binding in the context of gel shift analysis. As in the case of Il6, these DREs failed to mediate transcription in the context of a reporter vector in the presence of an AHR agonist (data not shown). After LPS exposure in primary macrophages, the AHR is clearly recruited and HDAC1 is dismissed from the Ccl20 promoter. This was an unexpected result, as generally only AHR ligand treatment causes a significant increase in promoter occupancy. Interestingly, this result is similar to the ability of calcium-induced signaling in primary keratinocytes to lead to retention of the AHR in the nucleus (van den Bogaard et al., 2015). Indeed, LPS can induce calcium signaling in macrophages, thus the possible connection between calcium signaling and AHR activation in the macrophages should be investigated (Ren et al., 2014). It was also intriguing to find that the addition of an AHR ligand apparently decreased the level of occupancy when in combination with LPS-the reason for this result is not



FIG. 7. LPS mediates AHR occupancy onto the Ccl20 promoter and HDAC1 dismissal. ChIP analyses were performed using primary macrophage cultures treated with TCDD or vehicle for 60 min followed by LPS or vehicle treatment for 30 min. ChIP assays were performed using either anti-AHR or anti-HDAC1 immunoprecipitations and qPCR was performed using primers localized near the 0.5 kb (A) and 3.0 kb (B) region upstream from the transcriptional start site of Ccl20, as well as primers to the proximal promoter region of the Cyp1a1 promoter (C).



FIG. 8. Ccl20 expression is attenuated in the colon of $Ahr^{-/-}$ mice. The level of Ccl20 expression in the colon of $Ahr^{-/-}$ and $Ahr^{+/-}$ was assessed using qRT-PCR. Data represent mean mRNA levels normalized to L-13*a* mRNA expression ± SEM (n = 3/treatment group; ***P < .001).

known. However, the high level of transcriptional activity that occurs with combinatorial treatment may result in epitope access issues in the ChIP assays. Nevertheless, the presence of the AHR on the promoter appears to participate in the synergistic activation of Ccl20 transcription. A pictorial scheme depicting the proposed mechanism of combinatorial activity at the *Ccl*20 promoter is shown in Supplementary Figure 3S. The fact that Il6 and *Ccl*20 exhibit similar modes of regulation prompts the question of how many cytokine/chemokine genes are in part regulated by the AHR.

Activation and heterodimerization of the AHR with ARNT during innate inflammatory signaling likely evolved as a means to respond to the presence of ligands produced either through dietary consumption, endogenous production, or tryptophan metabolism by flora (Fukumoto et al., 2014; Magiatis et al., 2013). Interestingly, the human AHR exhibits dramatically enhanced activation potential for indolic derivatives, such as indirubin, relative to the mouse AHR (Flaveny et al., 2009). In the case of a yeast skin infection in humans, AHR ligands (eg, indirubin, indolo[3,2b]carbazole, and malassezin) are produced that lead to the host inflammatory and barrier responses. This could explain why the AHR plays a role in the differentiation of T cells as a means to enhance the host response to infection. In addition, the enhanced production of CCL20 mediated by AHR activation could play an important role in the recruitment of T cells within the gastrointestinal tract. For example, in the presence of inflammation mediated by invasive bacteria, the production

of AHR ligands would further increase chemokine expression and thus the recruitment of immune cells to the site of infection. Furthermore, the CCL20-CCR6 axis may also play a critical role in intestinal tumorigenesis, where AHR activation would likely enhance the tumorigenesis process through the generation of an inflammatory environment (Nandi et al., 2014). In this environment, IDO1 is likely up-regulated, which could lead to the production of AHR ligands to further enhance response to a toxic insult. The AHR has also been shown to contribute to inflammatory signaling in other chronic diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis (Kobayashi et al., 2008). Synoviocytes isolated from rheumatoid arthritis patients during joint replacement exhibit significant constitutive Ccl20 expression, which is in part mediated by AHR activity (Lahoti et al., 2013). In conclusion, these studies yield further insight into the mechanisms that mediate participation of the AHR in inflammation.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available online at http://toxsci. oxfordjournals.org/.

FUNDING

National Institutes of Health (ES004869 and ES011699).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Marcia H. Perdew for excellent editorial assistance. We also thank Dr Page Lawrence and Dr Christopher Bradfield for the $Ahr^{nls/nls}$ mice.

REFERENCES

- Apetoh, L., Quintana, F. J., Pot, C., Joller, N., Xiao, S., Kumar, D., Burns, E. J., Sherr, D. H., Weiner, H. L., and Kuchroo, V. K. (2010). The aryl hydrocarbon receptor interacts with c-Maf to promote the differentiation of type 1 regulatory T cells induced by IL-27. Nat. Immunol. 11, 854–861.
- Bain, C. C., Scott, C. L., Uronen-Hansson, H., Gudjonsson, S., Jansson, O., Grip, O., Guilliams, M., Malissen, B., Agace, W. W., and Mowat, A. M. (2013). Resident and pro-inflammatory macrophages in the colon represent alternative contextdependent fates of the same Ly6Chi monocyte precursors. *Mucosal Immunol.* 6, 498–510.
- Beamer, C. A., Seaver, B. P., and Shepherd, D. M. (2012). Aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) regulates silica-induced inflammation but not fibrosis. Toxicol. Sci. 126, 554–568.
- Beischlag, T. V., Luis Morales, J., Hollingshead, B. D., and Perdew, G. H. (2008). The aryl hydrocarbon receptor complex and the control of gene expression. *Crit. Rev. Eukaryot. Gene Expr.* 18, 207–250.
- Bessede, A., Gargaro, M., Pallotta, M. T., Matino, D., Servillo, G., Brunacci, C., Bicciato, S., Mazza, E. M., Macchiarulo, A., Vacca, C., et al. (2014). Aryl hydrocarbon receptor control of a disease tolerance defence pathway. Nature 511, 184–190.
- Bunger, M. K., Glover, E., Moran, S. M., Walisser, J. A., Lahvis, G. P., Hsu, E. L., and Bradfield, C. A. (2008). Abnormal liver development and resistance to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzop-dioxin toxicity in mice carrying a mutation in the DNAbinding domain of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor. Toxicol. Sci. 106, 83–92.
- Bunger, M. K., Moran, S. M., Glover, E., Thomae, T. L., Lahvis, G. P., Lin, B. C., and Bradfield, C. A. (2003). Resistance to 2,3,7,

8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin toxicity and abnormal liver development in mice carrying a mutation in the nuclear localization sequence of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor. *J.* Biol. Chem. **278**, 17767–17774.

- Comerford, I., Bunting, M., Fenix, K., Haylock-Jacobs, S., Litchfield, W., Harata-Lee, Y., Turvey, M., Brazzatti, J., Gregor, C., Nguyen, P., et al. (2010). An immune paradox: How can the same chemokine axis regulate both immune tolerance and activation?: CCR6/CCL20: A chemokine axis balancing immunological tolerance and inflammation in autoimmune disease. Bioessays 32, 1067–1076.
- Comerford, I., and McColl, S. R. (2011). Mini-review series: Focus on chemokines. Immunol. Cell Biol. **89**, 183–184.
- Crane-Godreau, M. A., and Wira, C. R. (2005). CCL20/macrophage inflammatory protein 3alpha and tumor necrosis factor alpha production by primary uterine epithelial cells in response to treatment with lipopolysaccharide or Pam3Cys. Infect. Immun. **73**, 476–484.
- DiNatale, B. C., Schroeder, J. C., Francey, L. J., Kusnadi, A., and Perdew, G. H. (2010). Mechanistic insights into the events that lead to synergistic induction of interleukin 6 transcription upon activation of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor and inflammatory signaling. J. Biol. Chem. **285**, 24388–24397.
- Dlugosz, A. A., Glick, A. B., Tennenbaum, T., Weinberg, W. C., and Yuspa, S. H. (1995). Isolation and utilization of epidermal keratinocytes for oncogene research. *Methods Enzymol.* 254, 3–20.
- Flaveny, C. A., Murray, I. A., Chiaro, C. R., and Perdew, G. H. (2009). Ligand selectivity and gene regulation by the human aryl hydrocarbon receptor in transgenic mice. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 75, 1412–1420.
- Fukumoto, S., Toshimitsu, T., Matsuoka, S., Maruyama, A., Oh-Oka, K., Takamura, T., Nakamura, Y., Ishimaru, K., Fujii-Kuriyama, Y., Ikegami, S., et al. (2014). Identification of a probiotic bacteria-derived activator of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor that inhibits colitis. *Immunol. Cell Biol.* 92, 460–465.
- Furumatsu, K., Nishiumi, S., Kawano, Y., Ooi, M., Yoshie, T., Shiomi, Y., Kutsumi, H., Ashida, H., Fujii-Kuriyama, Y., Azuma, T., *et al.* (2011). A role of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor in attenuation of colitis. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* 56, 2532–2544.
- Harper, E. G., Guo, C., Rizzo, H., Lillis, J. V., Kurtz, S. E., Skorcheva, I., Purdy, D., Fitch, E., Iordanov, M., and Blauvelt, A. (2009). Th17 cytokines stimulate CCL20 expression in keratinocytes in vitro and in vivo: Implications for psoriasis pathogenesis. J. Invest. Dermatol. 129, 2175–2183.
- Hausmann, M., Zeitler, C., Weber, A., Krebs, M., Kellermeier, S., Rosenstiel, P., de Valliere, C., Kosovac, K., Fried, M., Holler, E., et al. (2012). MIP-3alpha expression in macrophages is NOD dependent. Digestion 85, 192–201.
- Hirota, K., Yoshitomi, H., Hashimoto, M., Maeda, S., Teradaira, S., Sugimoto, N., Yamaguchi, T., Nomura, T., Ito, H., Nakamura, T., et al. (2007). Preferential recruitment of CCR6-expressing Th17 cells to inflamed joints via CCL20 in rheumatoid arthritis and its animal model. J. Exp. Med. 204, 2803–2812.
- Hosokawa, Y., Hosokawa, I., Ozaki, K., Nakae, H., and Matsuo, T. (2005). Increase of CCL20 expression by human gingival fibroblasts upon stimulation with cytokines and bacterial endotoxin. Clin. Exp. Immunol. 142, 285–291.
- Ito, T., Carson, W. F., 4th, Cavassani, K. A., Connett, J. M., and Kunkel, S. L. (2011). CCR6 as a mediator of immunity in the lung and gut. Exp. Cell Res. 317, 613–619.
- Joiakim, A., Mathieu, P. A., Elliott, A. A., and Reiners, J. J., Jr. (2004). Superinduction of CYP1A1 in MCF10A cultures by cycloheximide, anisomycin, and puromycin: A process

independent of effects on protein translation and unrelated to suppression of aryl hydrocarbon receptor proteolysis by the proteasome. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **66**, 936–947.

- Kanda, N., Shibata, S., Tada, Y., Nashiro, K., Tamaki, K., and Watanabe, S. (2009). Prolactin enhances basal and IL-17induced CCL20 production by human keratinocytes. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 39, 996–1006.
- Kawai, T., and Akira, S. (2010). The role of pattern-recognition receptors in innate immunity: Update on toll-like receptors. Nat. Immunol. 11, 373–384.
- Kennedy-Crispin, M., Billick, E., Mitsui, H., Gulati, N., Fujita, H., Gilleaudeau, P., Sullivan-Whalen, M., Johnson-Huang, L. M., Suarez-Farinas, M., and Krueger, J. G. (2012). Human keratinocytes' response to injury upregulates CCL20 and other genes linking innate and adaptive immunity. J. Invest. Dermatol. 132, 105–113.
- Kimura, A., Naka, T., Nakahama, T., Chinen, I., Masuda, K., Nohara, K., Fujii-Kuriyama, Y., and Kishimoto, T. (2009). Aryl hydrocarbon receptor in combination with Stat1 regulates LPS-induced inflammatory responses. J. Exp. Med. 206, 2027–2035.
- Kiss, E. A., Vonarbourg, C., Kopfmann, S., Hobeika, E., Finke, D., Esser, C., and Diefenbach, A. (2011). Natural aryl hydrocarbon receptor ligands control organogenesis of intestinal lymphoid follicles. *Science* **334**, 1561–1565.
- Kobayashi, S., Okamoto, H., Iwamoto, T., Toyama, Y., Tomatsu, T., Yamanaka, H., and Momohara, S. (2008). A role for the aryl hydrocarbon receptor and the dioxin TCDD in rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 47, 1317–1322.
- Lahoti, T. S., John, K., Hughes, J. M., Kusnadi, A., Murray, I. A., Krishnegowda, G., Amin, S., and Perdew, G. H. (2013). Aryl hydrocarbon receptor antagonism mitigates cytokinemediated inflammatory signalling in primary human fibroblast-like synoviocytes. Ann. Rheum. Dis. 72, 1708–1716.
- Le Borgne, M., Etchart, N., Goubier, A., Lira, S. A., Sirard, J. C., van Rooijen, N., Caux, C., Ait-Yahia, S., Vicari, A., Kaiserlian, D., *et al.* (2006). Dendritic cells rapidly recruited into epithelial tissues via CCR6/CCL20 are responsible for CD8+ T cell crosspriming in vivo. *Immunity* **24**, 191–201.
- Li, Q., Laumonnier, Y., Syrovets, T., and Simmet, T. (2013). Recruitment of CCR6-expressing Th17 cells by CCL20 secreted from plasmin-stimulated macrophages. Acta Biochim. Biophys. Sin. (Shanghai) 45, 593–600.
- Lusska, A., Wu, L., and Whitlock, J. P., Jr. (1992). Superinduction of CYP1A1 transcription by cycloheximide. Role of the DNA binding site for the liganded Ah receptor. J. Biol. Chem. 267, 15146–15151.
- Luster, A. D. (2002). The role of chemokines in linking innate and adaptive immunity. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* **14**, 129–135.
- Ma, Q., and Baldwin, K. T. (2002). A cycloheximide-sensitive factor regulates TCDD-induced degradation of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor. Chemosphere 46, 1491–1500.
- Magiatis, P., Pappas, P., Gaitanis, G., Mexia, N., Melliou, E., Galanou, M., Vlachos, C., Stathopoulou, K., Skaltsounis, A. L., Marselos, M., et al. (2013). Malassezia yeasts produce a collection of exceptionally potent activators of the Ah (dioxin) receptor detected in diseased human skin. J. Invest. Dermatol. 133, 2023–2030.
- Masuda, K., Kimura, A., Hanieh, H., Nguyen, N. T., Nakahama, T., Chinen, I., Otoyo, Y., Murotani, T., Yamatodani, A., and Kishimoto, T. (2011). Aryl hydrocarbon receptor negatively regulates LPS-induced IL-6 production through suppression of histamine production in macrophages. Int. Immunol. 23, 637–645.

- Murdoch, C., and Finn, A. (2000). Chemokine receptors and their role in inflammation and infectious diseases. Blood **95**, 3032–3043.
- Murray, I. A., Krishnegowda, G., DiNatale, B. C., Flaveny, C., Chiaro, C., Lin, J. M., Sharma, A. K., Amin, S., and Perdew, G. H. (2010a). Development of a selective modulator of aryl hydrocarbon (Ah) receptor activity that exhibits antiinflammatory properties. *Chem. Res.* Toxicol. 23, 955–966.
- Murray, I. A., Morales, J. L., Flaveny, C. A., DiNatale, B. C., Chiaro, C., Gowdahalli, K., Amin, S., and Perdew, G. H. (2010b). Evidence for ligand-mediated selective modulation of aryl hydrocarbon receptor activity. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 77, 247–254.
- Nandi, B., Pai, C., Huang, Q., Prabhala, R. H., Munshi, N. C., and Gold, J. S. (2014). CCR6, the sole receptor for the chemokine CCL20, promotes spontaneous intestinal tumorigenesis. *PLoS One* **9**, e97566.
- Petrulis, J. R., and Perdew, G. H. (2002). The role of chaperone proteins in the aryl hydrocarbon receptor core complex. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* **141**, 25–40.
- Quintana, F. J., Basso, A. S., Iglesias, A. H., Korn, T., Farez, M. F., Bettelli, E., Caccamo, M., Oukka, M., and Weiner, H. L. (2008). Control of T(reg) and T(H)17 cell differentiation by the aryl hydrocarbon receptor. *Nature* 453, 65–71.
- Quintana, F. J., and Sherr, D. H. (2013). Aryl hydrocarbon receptor control of adaptive immunity. Pharmacol. Rev. 65, 1148–1161.
- Ren, H., Teng, Y., Tan, B., Zhang, X., Jiang, W., Liu, M., Jiang, W., Du, B., and Qian, M. (2014). Toll-like receptor-triggered calcium mobilization protects mice against bacterial infection through extracellular ATP release. *Infect. Immun.* 82, 5076–5085.
- Rubie, C., Frick, V. O., Ghadjar, P., Wagner, M., Grimm, H., Vicinus, B., Justinger, C., Graeber, S., and Schilling, M. K. (2010). CCL20/CCR6 expression profile in pancreatic cancer. J. Transl. Med. 8, 45.
- Scapini, P., Crepaldi, L., Pinardi, C., Calzetti, F., and Cassatella, M. A. (2002). CCL20/macrophage inflammatory protein-3alpha production in LPS-stimulated neutrophils is enhanced by the chemoattractant formyl-methionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine and IFN-gamma through independent mechanisms. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 32, 3515–3524.
- Schutyser, E., Struyf, S., Menten, P., Lenaerts, J. P., Conings, R., Put, W., Wuyts, A., Proost, P., and Van Damme, J. (2000). Regulated production and molecular diversity of human liver and activation-regulated chemokine/macrophage inflammatory protein-3 alpha from normal and transformed cells. J. Immunol. 165, 4470–4477.
- Schutyser, E., Struyf, S., and Van Damme, J. (2003). The CC chemokine CCL20 and its receptor CCR6. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 14, 409–426.
- Sekine, H., Mimura, J., Oshima, M., Okawa, H., Kanno, J., Igarashi,
 K., Gonzalez, F. J., Ikuta, T., Kawajiri, K., and Fujii-Kuriyama,
 Y. (2009). Hypersensitivity of aryl hydrocarbon receptordeficient mice to lipopolysaccharide-induced septic shock.
 Mol. Cell. Biol. 29, 6391–6400.
- Shindo, S., Hosokawa, Y., Hosokawa, I., Ozaki, K., and Matsuo, T. (2014). Genipin inhibits IL-1beta-induced CCL20 and IL-6 production from human periodontal ligament cells. *Cell. Physiol. Biochem.* 33, 357–364.
- Sierro, F., Dubois, B., Coste, A., Kaiserlian, D., Kraehenbuhl, J. P., and Sirard, J. C. (2001). Flagellin stimulation of intestinal epithelial cells triggers CCL20-mediated migration of dendritic cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 98, 13722–13727.
- Singh, S. S., Hord, N. G., and Perdew, G. H. (1996). Characterization of the activated form of the aryl

hydrocarbon receptor in the nucleus of HeLa cells in the absence of exogenous ligand. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. **329**, 47–55.

- Smith, P. D., Smythies, L. E., Mosteller-Barnum, M., Sibley, D. A., Russell, M. W., Merger, M., Sellers, M. T., Orenstein, J. M., Shimada, T., Graham, M. F., et al. (2001). Intestinal macrophages lack CD14 and CD89 and consequently are downregulated for LPS- and IgA-mediated activities. J. Immunol. 167, 2651–2656.
- Stange, J., and Veldhoen, M. (2013). The aryl hydrocarbon receptor in innate T cell immunity. Semin. Immunopathol. 35, 645–655.
- Tauchi, M., Hida, A., Negishi, T., Katsuoka, F., Noda, S., Mimura, J., Hosoya, T., Yanaka, A., Aburatani, H., Fujii-Kuriyama, Y., et al. (2005). Constitutive expression of aryl hydrocarbon receptor in keratinocytes causes inflammatory skin lesions. Mol. Cell. Biol. 25, 9360–9368.
- van den Bogaard, E. H., Podolsky, M. A., Smits, J. P., Cui, X., John, C., Gowda, K., Desai, D., Amin, S. G., Schalkwijk, J., Perdew, G. H., et al. (2015). Genetic and pharmacological analysis identifies a physiological role for the AHR in epidermal differentiation. J. Invest. Dermatol. 135, 1320–1328.
- Veldhoen, M., Hirota, K., Westendorf, A. M., Buer, J., Dumoutier, L., Renauld, J. C., and Stockinger, B. (2008). The aryl

hydrocarbon receptor links TH17-cell-mediated autoimmunity to environmental toxins. Nature **453**, 106–109.

- Vogel, C. F., Khan, E. M., Leung, P. S., Gershwin, M. E., Chang, W. L., Wu, D., Haarmann-Stemmann, T., Hoffmann, A., and Denison, M. S. (2014). Cross-talk between aryl hydrocarbon receptor and the inflammatory response: A role for nuclear factor-kappaB. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 1866–1875.
- Vogel, C. F., Wu, D., Goth, S. R., Baek, J., Lollies, A., Domhardt, R., Grindel, A., and Pessah, I. N. (2013). Aryl hydrocarbon receptor signaling regulates NF-kappaB RelB activation during dendritic-cell differentiation. Immunol. Cell Biol. 91, 568–575.
- Yamazaki, T., Yang, X. O., Chung, Y., Fukunaga, A., Nurieva, R., Pappu, B., Martin-Orozco, N., Kang, H. S., Ma, L., Panopoulos, A. D., *et al.* (2008). CCR6 regulates the migration of inflammatory and regulatory T cells. *J. Immunol.* **181**, 8391–8401.
- Ye, J., and Young, H. A. (1997). Negative regulation of cytokine gene transcription. FASEB J. 11, 825–833.
- Zhang, X., Goncalves, R., and Mosser, D. M. (2008). The isolation and characterization of murine macrophages. Curr. Protoc. Immunol. Chapter 14, Unit 14 1.
- Zlotnik, A., and Yoshie, O. (2000). Chemokines: A new classification system and their role in immunity. *Immunity* **12**, 121–127.