- 3. Mayosi BM, Ntsekhe M, Bosch J, Pandie S, Jung H, Gumedze F, Pogue J, Thabane L, Smieja M, Francis V, et al.; IMPI Trial Investigators. Prednisolone and Mycobacterium indicus pranii in tuberculous pericarditis. N Engl J Med 2014;371:1121–1130.
- 4. Matthews K, Ntsekhe M, Syed F, Scriba T, Russell J, Tibazarwa K, Deffur A, Hanekom W, Mayosi BM, Wilkinson RJ, et al. HIV-1 infection alters  $CD4+$  memory T-cell phenotype at the site of disease in extrapulmonary tuberculosis. Eur J Immunol 2012;42:147–157.
- 5. Burgess LJ, Reuter H, Carstens ME, Taljaard JJF, Doubell AF. Cytokine production in patients with tuberculous pericarditis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2002;6:439–446.
- 6. Ntsekhe M, Matthews K, Syed FF, Deffur A, Badri M, Commerford PJ, Gersh BJ, Wilkinson KA, Wilkinson RJ, Mayosi BM. Prevalence, hemodynamics, and cytokine profile of effusive-constrictive pericarditis in patients with tuberculous pericardial effusion. PLoS One 2013;8:e77532.
- 7. Barnes PF, Lu S, Abrams JS, Wang E, Yamamura M, Modlin RL. Cytokine production at the site of disease in human tuberculosis. Infect Immun 1993;61:3482–3489.
- 8. Matthews K, Wilkinson KA, Kalsdorf B, Roberts T, Diacon A, Walzl G, Wolske J, Ntsekhe M, Syed F, Russell J, et al. Predominance of interleukin-22 over interleukin-17 at the site of disease in human tuberculosis. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 2011;91:587–593.
- 9. Marquis J-F, Nantel A, LaCourse R, Ryan L, North RJ, Gros P. Fibrotic response as a distinguishing feature of resistance and susceptibility to pulmonary infection with Mycobacterium tuberculosis in mice. Infect Immun 2008;76:78–88.
- 10. Matthews K, Ntsekhe M, Syed F, Russell J, Mayosi BM, Wilkinson RJ, Wilkinson KA. mRNA transcript profiling of pericardial tuberculosis [abstract]. In: 14th International Immunology Meeting Abstracts. Int Immunol Suppl 2010;22(Suppl 1 Pt 3):iii111–iii121. Abstract PP-061- 21, p. iii113.
- 11. Blander JM. A long-awaited merger of the pathways mediating host defence and programmed cell death. Nat Rev Immunol 2014;14:601–618.

Copyright © 2015 by the American Thoracic Society

## Recovery of Acquired Cystic Fibrosis Transmembrane Conductance Regulator Dysfunction after Smoking Cessation

#### To the Editor:

Smoking is a key contributor to airway disease in addition to nonpulmonary disorders (1–4). One proposed mechanism involves acquired dysfunction of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) protein, as cigarette smoke has been demonstrated to reduce CFTR activity both in vitro and in vivo (5–7) and is associated with clinical symptoms such as chronic bronchitis (7–10). Although direct smoke exposure to airway epithelial cells has been shown to cause respiratory CFTR dysfunction, it has only recently been observed that cigarette smoke is also associated with extrapulmonary (i.e., systemic) CFTR dysfunction, as detected by sweat chloride (9). This finding was confirmed in a distinct crosssectional study using  $\beta$ -adrenergic sweat secretion rate as an

alternative method to measure mild abnormalities in CFTR function (8). We hypothesized that smoking cessation will lead to improved systemic CFTR function, indicating a causal link in humans.

## Methods

Smoking cessation program. Human protocols were approved by the University of Alabama at Birmingham's Institutional Review Board, and all subjects provided written informed consent. Eligible participants were otherwise healthy smokers, 19–70 years old, who were willing to quit smoking. Required smoking intensity before enrollment was at least 20 cigarettes per day for 6 months or longer. Spirometry was performed according to American Thoracic Society criteria, and  $FEV<sub>1</sub>$  and  $FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC$  were required to be above the lower limit of normal. Subjects were evaluated at screening and then after smoking cessation (Figure 1A). Serum cotinine and exhaled carbon monoxide (CO) measurements were used to confirm abstinence. If a patient resumed smoking after cessation, further assessments were discontinued. Healthy smokers and healthy nonsmokers were used as controls with otherwise similar inclusion criteria. Controls had three repeated measures of sweat evaporimetry, with each test separated by at least 1 day (median, 10.5 d).

Sweat testing. Sweat evaporimetry was used to measure exocrine function of the sweat gland, and sweat chloride was used to determine ductular function of CFTR. Sweat evaporimetry was considered the primary endpoint because of its proposed sensitivity for minimal abnormalities in CFTR function (8, 11). Sweat rates were calculated as the maximal stable rate observed after  $\beta$ -adrenergic injection minus the lowest observed rate after the preceding atropine injection, as previously described (8, 11). The rate of evaporative water loss (kg water loss/m<sup>2</sup>/h) is expressed as evaporimeter units. Sweat chloride was measured by quantitative pilocarpine iontophoresis, using the Macroduct system (Westcor Inc., Logan, UT) (12–14).

Genetic testing. Genetic testing (50 mutation analysis) for CFTR mutations was performed by using a commercially accredited facility (Baylor Medical Genetics, Houston, TX). Patients with a CFTR mutation were excluded from the analysis. This test accounts for approximately 85% of the most common alleles found in the US population.

Statistics. Sweat tests were compared using repeated-measures analysis of variance or paired  $t$  test. Post hoc tests for multiple comparisons were calculated using Fisher's least significant difference. All statistical tests were two-sided and performed at a 5% significance level, using GraphPad Prism (La Jolla, CA). For points containing missing data because of subject discontinuation  $(n = 1)$ , available data were analyzed; last observed values were carried forward.

# **Results**

Nine subjects provided consent for the study. Seven met inclusion criteria and were enrolled in the smoking cessation program. One subject resumed smoking 10 days into the study and was subsequently withdrawn, but was included in the analysis with available data. Baseline characteristics are provided in Table 1. The median age was 47 years (range, 27–59 yr), and 43% were female. The median  $FEV<sub>1</sub>$  and  $FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC$  ratios were 3.94 L (101% predicted) and 0.76, respectively, reflecting near-normal lung function. Smoking intensity was relatively

Author Contributions: M.T.D. and S.M.R. conceived of the experiments; C.A.C. and S.V.R. conducted research; C.A.C., S.V.R., B.L., F.J.A., M.T.D., and S.M.R. analyzed the data; C.A.C., M.T.D., and S.M.R. wrote the manuscript; M.T.D. and S.M.R. supervised the project; and S.M.R. is the guarantor of the manuscript and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and accuracy of the data analysis.

This research was sponsored by the National Institutes of Health (1R01 HL105487 to S.M.R., P30 DK072482 to the University of Alabama at Birmingham CF Research Center, and 5 UL1 RR025777 to the University of Alabama at Birmingham Center for Clinical and Translational Science).



Figure 1. The effect of smoking cessation on cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) function. (A) Study design. (B) Exhaled carbon monoxide measured at each point after smoking cessation. (C) CFTR-dependent  $\beta$ -adrenergic sweat secretion rate measured at each point after smoking cessation. (D)  $\beta$ -Adrenergic sweat secretion rate for each study subject that completed the study, before and 21 days after smoking cessation. (E) CFTR-dependent b-adrenergic sweat secretion rate measured at three points 1–7 days apart in healthy smokers and normal healthy nonsmokers who did not alter smoking habits during the study. White, nonsmokers; gray, smokers.  $*P < 0.05$ ; \*\* $P < 0.01$ .

heavy, with a median history of 19 pack-years (range, 12–43 pack-years) and a current use of 1.5 packs/day (range, 1–2 packs/d), and was greater than prior populations studied previously (8). CO levels dropped rapidly after smoking cessation (Figure 1B).

The mean  $(\pm$  standard deviation) CFTR-dependent sweat secretion rate for healthy smokers was  $44.5 \pm 12.3$  at the start of cessation and increased to 58.5  $\pm$  10.9 on Day 21 (P < 0.005; Figures 1C and 1D). A statistically significant difference from time 0 was observed by Day 14 (55.0  $\pm$  10.8; P < 0.05) and persisted at

### Table 1. Subject Characteristics



Day 21. Findings remained significant when the subject who resumed smoking was omitted from the analysis. Sweat chloride was normal (18.4  $\pm$  12.0 mEq/L) at baseline and did not significantly change after smoking cessation. Similarly, evaporative sweat loss induced by cholinergic (non–CFTR dependent) stimulus was not affected by smoking cessation (70.6  $\pm$  12.7 Day 0 vs. 70.1  $\pm$  6.3 Day 21), consistent with prior cross-sectional studies (8).

In comparison to changes in evaporimetry on smoking cessation among healthy smokers, healthy smokers  $(n = 4)$  who did not participate in the smoking cessation program and who maintained stable smoking habits (median age, 43 yr [range, 27–61 yr]; 50% female) exhibited no change in CFTR-dependent sweat secretion rate (Figure 1E). Similarly, normal nonsmokers ( $n = 5$ ; median age, 41 yr [range, 34–49 yr]; 40% female) also had stable sweat evaporimetry (Figure 1E).

#### **Discussion**

Acquired CFTR dysfunction in smoking-related lung disease was only recently described to be present beyond the airway (8, 14). Although this has potentially significant ramifications as a result of the association of cigarette smoking with other disorders in which CFTR has an etiologic role (e.g., pancreatitis, male infertility, diabetes mellitus), these studies were limited by their crosssectional design and could not determine causality in humans. This study represents the most viable alternative to asking patients to begin smoking, allowing causality to be inferred in the setting of smoking cessation. As such, these data represent the first to demonstrate that systemic CFTR dysfunction induced by cigarette smoke can also recover (8). This observation is significant, as it solidifies the mechanistic link between cigarette smoke exposure and systemic CFTR dysfunction. Moreover, these results indicate that  $\beta$ -adrenergic sweat rate can be a sensitive marker to monitor changes in CFTR dysfunction among individuals and is stable over time, suggesting its potential as a biomarker for measuring the recovery of CFTR function in the setting of therapeutic trials with an agent intended to augment CFTR activity in patients with chronic bronchitis (7, 15). Of interest, in this fashion it performed superior to sweat chloride, suggesting sweat rate may be a relatively dynamic measure; however, this relatively small population may not have been representative, as sweat chloride was relatively low compared with higher values observed in a two larger studies (8, 9); this points out the heterogeneity of sweat chloride abnormalities among smokers.  $\blacksquare$ 

[Author disclosures](http://www.atsjournals.org/doi/suppl/10.1164/rccm.201502-0396LE/suppl_file/disclosures.pdf) are available with the text of this letter at [www.atsjournals.org.](http://www.atsjournals.org)

Acknowledgment: The authors thank Dr. E. J. Sorscher, Dr. R. Kimberly, and Dr. L. Guay-Woodford for infrastructural support and a number of helpful discussions. The authors also acknowledge Sherry Tidwell, Heather Hathorne, Scott House, and Ginger Reeves for performing sweat testing. The authors are also grateful to the subjects who volunteered for the study. The sponsors had no role in the design of the study, the collection and analysis of data, or the preparation of the manuscript.

Clifford A. Courville, M.D. S. Vamsee Raju, Ph.D. Bo Liu, Ph.D. University of Alabama at Birmingham Birmingham, Alabama

Frank J. Accurso, M.D. University of Colorado Denver, Colorado

Mark T. Dransfield, M.D. Steven M. Rowe, M.D., M.S.P.H. University of Alabama at Birmingham Birmingham, Alabama

ORCID ID: [0000-0001-9045-0133](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9045-0133) (S.M.R.).

#### **References**

- 1. Kawakami N, Takatsuka N, Shimizu H, Ishibashi H. Effects of smoking on the incidence of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: replication and extension in a Japanese cohort of male employees. Am J Epidemiol 1997;145:103–109.
- 2. Daniell HW. Osteoporosis and smoking. JAMA 1972;221:509.
- 3. Godtfredsen NS, Lam TH, Hansel TT, Leon ME, Gray N, Dresler C, Burns DM, Prescott E, Vestbo J. COPD-related morbidity and mortality after smoking cessation: status of the evidence. Eur Respir J 2008;32: 844–853.
- 4. Hogg JC. Pathophysiology of airflow limitation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Lancet 2004;364:709–721.
- 5. Cantin AM, Hanrahan JW, Bilodeau G, Ellis L, Dupuis A, Liao J, Zielenski J, Durie P. Cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator function is suppressed in cigarette smokers. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2006;173:1139–1144.
- 6. Clunes LA, Davies CM, Coakley RD, Aleksandrov AA, Henderson AG, Zeman KL, Worthington EN, Gentzsch M, Kreda SM, Cholon D, et al. Cigarette smoke exposure induces CFTR internalization and insolubility, leading to airway surface liquid dehydration. FASEB J 2012;26:533–545.
- 7. Sloane PA, Shastry S, Wilhelm A, Courville C, Tang LP, Backer K, Levin E, Raju SV, Li Y, Mazur M, et al. A pharmacologic approach to acquired cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator dysfunction in smoking related lung disease. PLoS One 2012;7: e39809.
- 8. Courville CA, Tidwell S, Liu B, Accurso FJ, Dransfield MT, Rowe SM. Acquired defects in CFTR-dependent  $\beta$ -adrenergic sweat secretion in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Respir Res 2014;15:25.
- 9. Raju SV, Jackson PL, Courville CA, McNicholas CM, Sloane PA, Sabbatini G, Tidwell S, Tang LP, Liu B, Fortenberry JA, et al. Cigarette smoke induces

systemic defects in cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator function. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2013;188:1321–1330.

- 10. Dransfield MT, Wilhelm AM, Flanagan B, Courville C, Tidwell SL, Raju SV, Gaggar A, Steele C, Tang LP, Liu B, et al. Acquired cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator dysfunction in the lower airways in COPD. Chest 2013;144:498–506.
- 11. Quinton P, Molyneux L, Ip W, Dupuis A, Avolio J, Tullis E, Conrad D, Shamsuddin AK, Durie P, Gonska T. β-adrenergic sweat secretion as a diagnostic test for cystic fibrosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2012;186:732–739.
- 12. Hammond KB, Turcios NL, Gibson LE. Clinical evaluation of the macroduct sweat collection system and conductivity analyzer in the diagnosis of cystic fibrosis. J Pediatr 1994;124:255–260.
- 13. Ramsey BW, Davies J, McElvaney NG, Tullis E, Bell SC, Dřevínek P, Griese M, McKone EF, Wainwright CE, Konstan MW, et al.; VX08- 770-102 Study Group. A CFTR potentiator in patients with cystic fibrosis and the G551D mutation. N Engl J Med 2011;365: 1663–1672.
- 14. Raju SV, Jackson PL, Courville CA, McNicholas CM, Sloane PA, Sabbatini G, Tidwell S, Tang LP, Liu B, Fortenberry JA, et al. Cigarette smoke induces systemic defects in cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator function. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2013;188:1321–1330.
- 15. Lambert JA, Raju SV, Tang LP, McNicholas CM, Li Y, Courville CA, Farris RF, Coricor GE, Smoot LH, Mazur MM, et al. Cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator activation by roflumilast contributes to therapeutic benefitin chronic bronchitis. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2014;50:549–558.

Copyright © 2015 by the American Thoracic Society

## Whether to Breathe Fast or Not: What Is Wrong with Breathing Control in Patients with Mild Obstructive Pulmonary Disease?

### To the Editor:

In their very interesting study recently published in the Journal, Elbehairy and colleagues established that V<sub>D</sub> is increased during exercise in smokers with mild obstructive pulmonary disease, leading to a compensatory increase in minute ventilation to keep alveolar ventilation constant (1). This in turn resulted in early dyspnea and exercise limitation. We would like to present the view that this study reveals an intriguing picture in which the breathing pattern adopted by these patients, and thus the way their breathing is regulated, contributes to the observed high ventilatory output.

1. The patients display a significant increase in their breathing frequency at any given work rate (1). This is true at 60 W, but also at 100 W, averaging 23 versus 42 c/min in the control and the patient group, respectively. The consequences on VD and the patient group, respectively. The consequences of ventilation (V<sub>D</sub>) must be considered regardless of the V<sub>D</sub> values: Breathing at 23 versus 42 cycles  $(c)/$ min at a  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ production  $(VCO<sub>2</sub>)$  of about 1.5 L/min (100 W) requires the same alveolar ventilation (50 L/min for the sake of simplicity) to keep  $Pa<sub>CO</sub>$ , constant. This implies that if the control subjects and patients had the same V<sub>D</sub> (200 ml), an additional minute ventilation of 4.6 L/min, corresponding to : V<sub>D</sub> (200 ml  $\times$  23 c/min), would be required when breathing at 23 c/min. An additional minute ventilation of 8.4 L/min would be needed if breathing at 42 c/min (200 ml  $\times$  42 c/min) to maintain the same  $Pa_{CO}$ , (Figure 1; see Reference 2 for more information). For an additional increase in  $V_D$  of 100 ml (the

difference in VD between the two groups is about 50 ml on average), an increase in minute ventilation of 12.6 L/min is required to keep isocapnia when breathing at 42 c/min (300 ml  $\times$  42 c/min). These 12.6 L/min represent a threefold  $\frac{1}{200}$  in  $\frac{1}{2}$  c/ilin). These 12.0 L/ilin represent a unceloder increase in V<sub>D</sub> when compared with breathing at a frequency of 23 c/min and with a VD of 200 ml/min, or a minute ventilation of 62.6 in the fast breathers versus 54.6 L/min in the slow breathers, as illustrated in Figure 1.

2. Perhaps more intriguingly, the patient group displays levels of  $Pa<sub>CO</sub>$ , lower (by several millimeters of mercury) than in the control group throughout the entire period of exercise (1). This, per se, constitutes an additional "unnecessary" burden for the respiratory system, challenging the idea that the respiratory control system optimizes its output to keep  $Pa_{CO}$ , homeostasis in response to any increase in VD in these patients (3). A decrease in Pa<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> by 4 mm Hg requires by itself an additional increase in alveolar ventilation by about 10% and thus a much higher level of minute ventilation when breathing at a high frequency (Figure 1). The fundamental question is, therefore, what stimuli could be involved in the regulation of exercise hyperpnea leading to this rather inefficient pattern of breathing (high frequency and low  $PCO<sub>2</sub>$  "set point").

Whether patients with moderate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease have no other "choice" than reducing respiratory sensations related to the mechanical constraints of breathing at a higher FRC



Figure 1. Schematic representation of the levels of ventilation required to maintain a given level of  $Pa<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub>$  as a function of breathing frequency. The breathing frequencies reported by Elbehairy and colleagues (1) in the control group (26 cycles [c]/min, solid circle) and in the group of patients with mild obstructive pulmonary disease (42 c/min, open circles) exercising  $\frac{1}{2}$  at 100 W (V $\cos_2$  = 1.5 L/min) have been used for this computation. By the very fact of their adopting a higher frequency, the group of patients must develop a larger increase in ventilation than the control group to keep  $Pa<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub>$  constant, even if the V<sub>D</sub> were unchanged. Note that breathing at 42 c/min with an increase in V<sub>D</sub> of only 100 ml requires a much higher level of ventilation (A) than if only V<sub>T</sub> was increased by 100 ml (red  $X$ , C) and breathing frequency kept at 26 c/min. Maintaining a level of  $Pa<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub>$ around 36 mm Hg (rather than 40) represents an even bigger burden in terms of ventilatory requirement  $(B)$ . As a result, the level of minute ventilation (A and B) required by the group of patients breathing at 42 c/min while keeping their Pa<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> several millimeters of mercury lower than in the control group is considerably higher than what would be required to keep  $Pa<sub>CO2</sub>$  constant while breathing at a lower rate ("optimal strategy," C).