



Brief Original Report

Time spent sitting during and outside working hours in bus drivers: A pilot study

Veronica Varela-Mato^{a,b,*}, Thomas Yates^{b,c}, David J. Stensel^{a,b}, Stuart J.H. Biddle^{a,b,d}, Stacy A. Clemes^{a,b}^a School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK^b Leicester–Loughborough Diet, Lifestyle and Physical Activity Biomedical Research Unit, UK^c Leicester Diabetes Centre, University of Leicester, UK^d Institute of Sport, Exercise & Active Living (ISEAL), Victoria University, Melbourne, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Available online 3 December 2015

Keywords:

Bus drivers

Sedentary behaviour

Physical activity

Cardiovascular health

Occupational health

ABSTRACT

This cross-sectional pilot study objectively measured sedentary and non-sedentary time in a sample of bus drivers from the East Midlands, United Kingdom. Participants wore an activPAL3 inclinometer for 7 days and completed a daily diary. Driver's blood pressure, heart rate, waist circumference and body composition were measured objectively at the outset. The proportions of time spent sedentary and non-sedentary were calculated during waking hours on workdays and non-workdays and during working-hours and non-working-hours on workdays. 28 (85% of those enrolled into the study) provided valid objective monitoring data (89.3% male, [median \pm IQR] age: 45.2 \pm 12.8 years, BMI 28.1 \pm 5.8 kg/m²). A greater proportion of time was spent sitting on workdays than non-workdays (75% [724 \pm 112 min/day] vs. 62% [528 \pm 151 min/day]; $p < 0.001$), and during working-hours than non-working-hours (83% [417 \pm 88 min/day] vs. 68% [307 \pm 64 min/day]; $p < 0.001$) on workdays. Drivers spent less than 3% of their overall time stepping. Bus drivers accumulate high levels of sitting time during working-hours and outside working-hours. Interventions are urgently needed in this at-risk group, which should focus on reducing sitting and increasing movement during breaks and increasing physical activity during leisure time to improve cardiovascular health.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

Sedentary behaviour (SB), defined as “any waking behaviour characterised by an energy expenditure ≤ 1.5 METs while in a sitting or reclining posture” (Sedentary Behaviour Research Network, 2012), is prevalent amongst many working-aged adults. Prolonged time sitting has been linked to increased risk of cardiovascular disease, cardiovascular mortality, all-cause mortality and diabetes, independent of leisure-time physical activity (Wilmot et al., 2012). Adults typically spend between 50 and 60% of their waking hours in sedentary postures (Healy et al., 2011), with these figures increasing significantly amongst those with sedentary jobs. Studies carried out with office workers have shown they spend between 65% and 82% of their working hours sedentary (Clemes et al., 2014; Brown et al., 2013), with sitting at work accounting for over 60% of their total daily sitting time on workdays (Clemes et al., 2015). However, limited literature (Morris et al., 1953; Tse et al., 2006; French et al., 2007; Wong et al., 2014) has examined sedentary behaviour levels and patterns of individuals employed in occupations other than desk-based settings.

Driving as an occupation can best be described as a ‘compulsory sedentary occupation’ yet drivers have received limited attention in sedentary behaviour research (Wong et al., 2014), despite research by Morris and colleagues in the 1950s highlighting the higher rates of cardiovascular disease seen amongst bus drivers in comparison to bus conductors (individuals collecting fares and selling tickets on buses, up until the 1980s) (Morris et al., 1953). A higher prevalence of obesity amongst bus drivers in comparison to those employed within other occupations was also reported (Morris and Crawford, 1958). These studies provided early evidence for the potential harmful consequences associated with driving occupations. It is now established that workers from the transport industry face a greater risk of co-morbidities and mortality compared to the general population (Robinson and Burnett, 2005; Tse et al., 2006). Indeed, national statistics have shown that those in the transport sector have one of the lowest life expectancies in comparison with other sectors (Office for National Statistics, 2011).

Interventions are therefore urgently needed to promote the health and wellbeing of those in driving occupations. In order to successfully intervene, it is important to first understand the prevalence and patterns of habitual lifestyle health-related behaviours, including sedentary behaviour and non-sedentary behaviours, in this occupational group. To date there are no published studies that have objectively quantified time spent sitting and standing across occupational and leisure time within drivers in the transport industry.

* Corresponding author at: School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK

E-mail address: v.varela-mato@lboro.ac.uk (V. Varela-Mato).

The aim of this pilot study was to ascertain the feasibility of using the activPAL inclinometer to directly measure drivers' sedentary and non-sedentary behaviours over the course of a week, and to quantify the prevalence of these behaviours during and outside working hours.

Methods

Study design and participants

This cross-sectional pilot study was undertaken at a local bus company within the East Midlands, UK. Data collection took place between November 2013 and February 2014. A volunteer sample of 33 drivers aged 18 years and over was recruited, representing 42% of the driving workforce. Participants were recruited in person by the researcher during their breaks at the companies' canteen and depot after obtaining the managers' permission. Ethical approval was obtained from the Loughborough University Ethical Advisory Committee and all participants provided written informed consent.

Measurements

Participants self-reported their age. Resting blood pressure and heart rate were measured using an Omron Intellisense M7 Upper Arm monitor. This assessment was taken 3 times after 10 min of participants being seated quietly with 5 min in between each reading, following the recommendations of the European Hypertension Society (O'Brien et al., 2005). Height was measured without shoes using a portable stadiometer (Seca 206). Waist circumference was assessed using anthropometric tape at the midpoint between the upper edge of the iliac crest and the inferior border of the last palpable rib. Body composition and weight were assessed using a Tanita BC-418 MA Segmental Body Composition Analyzer (Tanita UK Ltd). Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated as kg/m^2 and participants were classified as healthy weight ($\text{BMI} \geq 18.5\text{--}25 \text{ kg/m}^2$), overweight ($\text{BMI} \geq 25 < 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$) or obese ($\text{BMI} \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$). These assessments were taken for descriptive purposes.

Sedentary and non-sedentary behaviours were measured objectively during waking hours over 7 days, using an activPAL3 accelerometer. The activPAL3 is a small, lightweight device worn on the front of the thigh. It contains a tri-axial accelerometer which responds to signals related to gravitational forces and provides information on thigh inclination (Atkin et al., 2012). It has been shown to be a valid measure of time spent sitting, standing and walking in adults (Grant et al., 2006; Kozey-Keadle et al., 2011). The activPAL3 was attached to the leg using a hypoallergenic medical dressing (BSN Hypafix), enabling participants to wear the device continuously, except for water-based activities, over the 7 day period.

Participants were asked to complete a daily-log book where they recorded the time they went to bed and woke up. On workdays, the times they started and finished work, along with break times were also recorded. Information about any non-wear time was also recorded in the diary.

All activPAL data were downloaded using activPAL Professional v.7.2.29 software in 15-second epochs and processed manually using a customized Microsoft Excel macro. Participants were included in the analyses if they provided at least 4 valid days of activPAL data, including at least 3 workdays and 1 non-workday. For a day to be valid, participants were required to have worn the device for at least 10 h and provided complete diary data. For each participant, total minutes spent sitting, standing and stepping during working hours and outside of working hours on workdays were extracted based on times derived from participants' logs. On non-workdays, the total time spent sitting, standing and stepping during waking hours were obtained. Sleep time was interpreted as the time between the last transition from standing to sitting and the first transition from sitting to standing during night time. These sleep periods were cross-checked and confirmed with participants log books. Sleep time was excluded from the analysis.

Proportions of time spent in each behaviour within each domain (i.e. working hours and non-working hours on workdays) were calculated to control for differences between wear times.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 22. activPAL-determined sitting, standing and stepping time, along with the total time and the proportion of times spent in each behaviour, on non-workdays, workdays, working hours and non-working hours on workdays were checked for normality using the Shapiro–Wilk Test, which confirmed that all data were not normally distributed. Thus, non-parametric statistical tests were used throughout. Median and inter-quartile range (IQR) values were computed for all variables. Wilcoxon-signed rank tests were used to compare the proportions of time (accounting for wear time) and the actual time spent sitting, standing and stepping between workdays and non-workdays and between working hours and non-working hours on workdays.

Results

Participants and cardiovascular biomarkers

Of the 33 drivers enrolled in the study, 28 (34% of the overall workforce, 85% of those enrolled into the study) provided valid activPAL data on at least 3 workdays and 1 non-workday, and were included in the analyses. Table 1 displays the characteristics of the included participants, along with the recommended ranges for the markers of health measured. No significant differences were observed for age, BMI, waist circumference, blood pressure and heart rate between those who provided valid data and those who did not ($p > 0.05$). This sample of drivers displayed higher than the recommended ranges for BMI (74% were clustered as overweight or obese), % body fat, waist circumference and blood pressure, putting them at high risk of cardiovascular events.

activPAL-determined sitting, standing and stepping time

Median activPAL-determined waking hours were 1021 ± 106 min/day for workdays and 914 ± 198 min/day for non-workdays ($p < 0.01$). Given the significant difference in waking hours for both types of day, only proportions of time spent sitting, standing and stepping were used in the primary comparative analyses. The proportion of time spent sitting was significantly greater on workdays compared to non-workdays ($p < 0.001$). A greater proportion of time was spent standing ($p < 0.001$) and stepping ($p < 0.01$) on non-workdays than on workdays (Table 2).

During workdays, the proportion of time spent sitting was significantly higher during working-hours compared to non-working hours ($p < 0.001$). Significantly more time was accumulated standing ($p <$

Table 1

Median and IQR of the body measurements and blood pressure in a sample of bus drivers from the East Midlands, UK (N = 28).

	Total sample (median \pm IQR)	Healthy ranges
Age (years)	44 \pm 27	
BMI (kg/m^2) ^a	28.1 \pm 5.8	18.4–24.9
% body fat ^b	26 \pm 9	11–17
Waist circumference (cm) ^c	101.5 \pm 21	<94
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) ^d	137 \pm 14	120–90
Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) ^d	88 \pm 11	80–60
Heart rate (beats/min)	72 \pm 12	

^a WHO, 1995, 2000, 2004.

^b Jeukendrup and Gleeson, 2010.

^c Lean et al., 1995.

^d Blood Pressure Association, 2008.

Table 2
Sitting, standing and stepping time during working hours and non-working hours on workdays and non-workdays in sample of bus drivers from the East Midlands, UK. (N = 28).

	All days (median ± IQR)			Workdays only (median ± IQR)		
	Workdays	Non-workdays	Differences (p value)	During working hours	Non-working hours	Differences (p value)
Waking hours (min/day)	1021 ± 106	914 ± 198	<0.05	528 ± 87	493 ± 103	0.25
% of wear time spent sedentary	75 ± 9	62 ± 10	<0.001	83 ± 10	68 ± 11	<0.001
Time in sedentary behaviour (min/day)	724 ± 112	528 ± 151	<0.001	417 ± 88	307 ± 64	<0.001
% of wear time spent standing	25 ± 9	36 ± 9	<0.001	17 ± 10	32 ± 12	<0.001
Time standing (min/day)	242 ± 86	312 ± 99	<0.01	89 ± 47	153 ± 81	<0.001
% of wear time spent in stepping	5 ± 2	8 ± 2	<0.001	4 ± 3	7 ± 4	<0.01
Time stepping (min/day)	55 ± 22	74 ± 29	<0.01	22 ± 14	33 ± 16	<0.001

0.001) and stepping ($p < 0.01$) during non-working hours in comparison to working hours on workdays (Table 2).

Discussion

This study was the first of its kind to directly measure time spent sedentary and non-sedentary using the activPAL in a sample of drivers. This study demonstrated that the activPAL is a feasible tool to use in individuals with a driving occupation. 85% of the sample enrolled into the study adhered to the activPAL protocol and provided sufficient data (at least 10 h of wear on three workdays and one non-workday) to be included in the analyses. The data revealed that the present sample accumulated extremely high volumes of sedentary behaviour on both workdays and non-workdays. On workdays drivers were sedentary for over 12 h per day, this reduced to just under 9 h per day on non-workdays.

High levels of sitting time in bus drivers are not surprising due to their job demands. Limited research has specifically examined sedentary time in occupational drivers, and of the research available, most findings have been derived from self-report data (Morris and Crawford, 1958; French et al., 2007), limiting direct comparisons with the present study. French et al. (2007) reported that transit workers spent just over 9 h/day sedentary when assessed using the IPAQ sitting time question, which evidence suggests underestimates sedentary time by approximately 2 h in comparison to objective measures (Chastin et al., 2014). When using accelerometers to assess drivers' sedentary behaviour, Wong et al. (2014), reported that drivers accumulated 8 and 9 h/day sedentary on workdays and non-workdays, respectively. The lower levels of sedentary time seen in these earlier studies when compared to the present study are likely due to differences in measurement tools. The activPAL used herein provides a direct measure of posture, whereas the accelerometer used in the study by Wong et al. (2014) estimates sedentary time through a lack of movement counts (Atkin et al., 2012). In contrast to the present study, Wong et al. (2014) observed that drivers spent a greater proportion of their time sedentary on non-workdays (64%) in comparison to workdays (52%). They also reported that the proportion of time in light activity was greater on workdays (44%) than non-workdays (33%). It could be suggested that the vibrations experienced during vehicle motion by drivers may lead to an over-estimation of light intensity activity and an underestimation in sedentary time when assessed via accelerometry, although further research will be required to confirm this.

Drivers participating in this study accumulated substantially higher volumes of daily sitting on workdays than that seen in office workers, using the same device (12 h/day versus 9–10 h/day) (Smith et al., 2015). Participants also accumulated higher volumes of sitting time during non-workdays (62%) than that seen in other populations (Clemes et al., in press). These findings support previous results (Clemes et al., 2014), which show that those who are highly sedentary during working hours also accumulate high levels of sitting time during their non-working hours on workdays. This study highlights that sedentary behaviours in drivers are very prominent during non-workdays (62%), which could be due to a knock on effect of the participant's established sitting behaviours during their workdays.

The extremely high sitting times presented in this sample highlight these individuals as being at increased risk of chronic conditions associated with sedentary behaviours (Healy et al., 2011; Wilmot et al., 2012). Previous literature has reported that between 65% and 92% of drivers studied were classified as overweight or obese (French et al., 2007; Wong et al., 2014). Similar findings were observed in the present study with 74% of the sample classified as overweight or obese. In fact, research in occupational health has shown that bus drivers have a higher incidence of obesity (Morris and Crawford, 1958; Rosengreen et al., 1991; Moreno et al., 2006) and hypertension in comparison to the general population (Tse et al., 2006; Cavagioni and Pierin, 2010; Joshi et al., 2013). Furthermore, previous studies have shown that sitting for longer than 10 h per day increases the risk of myocardial infarction and coronary heart disease incidence and all-cause mortality (Petersen et al., 2014). In addition, higher rates of cardiovascular events have been observed in sedentary bus drivers in comparison to bus conductors who were on their feet all day, regularly climbing stairs between the two bus decks collecting fares and selling tickets (Morris et al., 1953). Indeed, drivers from this sample on average presented with a BMI, % body fat and blood pressures commensurate with an unhealthy cardiovascular profile. Coupled with their high levels of sitting, these findings suggest that this group are at an increased risk of a cardiovascular event. Our study adds to existing evidence by quantifying the levels of sedentary and non-sedentary behaviour that accompany driving-based occupations which may contribute to these associated adverse health profiles.

This study provides novel information on sitting behaviour and how this is accumulated during and outside working hours in a sample of bus drivers from the UK. A strength of this study is the use of an objective measurement tool to directly assess sitting time, avoiding the limitations of bias and recall common with self-report measures. In addition the activPAL overcomes potential limitations seen with accelerometry with drivers, as mentioned above. Limitations of the study include the small sample size and the cross-sectional design that prevents us from making conclusions about causative links between sitting time and cardiovascular health. The sample was recruited from one depot in the East Midlands and is therefore unlikely to be representative of bus drivers in the UK, this highlights the need for ongoing research with larger and more diverse groups of drivers. In addition, estimates depend heavily on wear time, for which proportions were used in the primary analyses rather than absolute minute data. Moreover, as drivers did not wear the devices during water-based activities, some relevant physical activity behaviour may be missing (although we feel that this is unlikely to be a major confounding factor and water-based activities were seldom reported in the diaries). Finally, data collection took place during the Autumn and Winter, this colder period may have impacted leisure time physical activity and sedentary behaviours. Exploring drivers' sedentary and physical activity behaviours across all seasons is therefore recommended for future research.

In conclusion, this study has highlighted the feasibility of directly measuring posture in individuals with an occupation of driving. The findings demonstrate that the present sample of bus drivers are highly sedentary at work and outside work and display an unhealthy cardiovascular profile. Occupational interventions are urgently needed to

reduce excessive adverse health behaviours within this high risk work force. Interventions should focus on reducing sitting and increasing movement during work breaks to improve drivers' cardiovascular health (Bailey and Locke, 2015). Interventions should also focus on reducing sitting and increasing leisure time moderate to vigorous physical activity. Pedometer-based walking interventions (Bravata et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2015) could be a feasible approach to increase physical activity during and outside working hours in drivers, although this would need confirming in appropriately designed intervention studies. Nonetheless, the present findings suggest those with driving occupations should be a priority group for future health behaviour surveillance studies and interventions.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare there is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Diet, Lifestyle & Physical Activity Biomedical Research Unit based at University Hospitals of Leicester and Loughborough University. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

References

- Atkin, A.J., Gorely, T., Clemes, S.A., et al., 2012. Methods of measurement in epidemiology: sedentary behaviour. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 41 (5), 1460–1471.
- Bailey, D.P., Locke, C.D., 2015. Breaking up prolonged sitting with light-intensity walking improves postprandial glycemia, but breaking up sitting with standing does not. *J. Sci. Med. Sport.* 18 (3), 294–298.
- Blood Pressure Association, 2008. What is normal blood pressure? <http://www.bloodpressureuk.org/BloodPressureandyou/Thebasics/Whatsnormal>
- Bravata, D.M., Smith-Spangler, C., Sundaram, V., Gienger, A.L., Lin, N., Lewis, R., Stave, C.D., Olkin, I., Sirard, J.R., 2007. Using pedometers to increase physical activity and improve health: a systematic review. *JAMA.* 298 (19), 2296–2304.
- Brown, H.E., Ryde, G.C., Gilson, N.D., Burton, N.W., Brown, W.J., 2013. Objectively measured sedentary behavior and physical activity in office employees: Relationships with presenteeism. *J. Occup. Environ. Med./Am. Coll. Occup. Environ. Med.*
- Cavagioni, L.C., Pierin, A.M.G., 2010. Hypertension and obesity among professional drivers who work transporting loads. *Acta Paul. Enferm.* 23 (4), 455–460.
- Chastin, S.F., Culhane, B., Dall, P.M., 2014. Comparison of self-reported measure of sitting time (IPAQ) with objective measurement (activPAL). *Physiol. Meas.* 35 (11), 2319–2328.
- Clemes, S.A., O'Connell, S.E., Edwardson, C.L., 2014. Office workers' objectively measured sedentary behavior and physical activity during and outside working hours. *J. Occup. Environ. Med.* 56 (3), 298–303.
- Clemes, S.A., Houdmont, J., Munir, F., Wilson, K., Kerr, R., Addley, K., 2015. Descriptive epidemiology of domain-specific sitting in working adults: the Stormont study. *J Public Health (Oxf.)* (Epub ahead of print, pii: fdu114).
- French, S.A., Harnack, L.J., Toomey, T.L., Hannan, P.J., 2007. Association between body weight, physical activity and food choices among metropolitan transit workers. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.* 11 (4), 52.
- Grant, P.M., Ryan, C.G., Tigbe, W.W., Granat, M.H., 2006. The validation of a novel activity monitor in the measurement of posture and motion during everyday activities. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 40 (12), 992–997.
- Healy, G.N., Matthews, C.E., Dunstan, D.W., Winkler, E.A., Owen, N., 2011. Sedentary time and cardio-metabolic biomarkers in US adults: NHANES 2003–06. *Eur. Heart J.* 32 (5), 590–597.
- Jeukendrup, A., Gleeson, M., 2010. An Introduction to Energy Production and Performance. *Sport Nutrition-2nd Edition*. ISBN-13: 9780736079624.
- Joshi, B.A., Joshi, A.V., Katti, S.M., Mallapur, M.D., Karikatti, S.S., 2013. A cross-sectional study of prevalence of overweight and obesity among bus drivers and conductors of North-West Karnataka Road Transport Corporation (NWKRTC) in Belgaum Division, Belgaum. *J. Indian Med. Assoc.* 111 (3), 157–159.
- Kozey-Keadle, S., Libertine, A., Lyden, K., Staudenmayer, J., Freedson, P.S., 2011. Validation of wearable monitors for assessing sedentary behavior. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 43 (8), 1561–1567.
- Lean, M.E.J., Han, T.S., Morrison, C.E., 1995. Waist circumference as a measure for indicating need for weight management. *Bmj* 311 (6998), 158–161.
- Lin, X., Zhang, X., Guo, J., et al., 2015. Effects of exercise training on cardiorespiratory fitness and biomarkers of cardiometabolic health: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 4 (7) (26, pii: e002014).
- Moreno, C.R.C., Louzada, F.M., Teixeira, L.R., Borges, F., Lorenzi-Filho, G., 2006. Short sleep is associated with obesity among truck drivers. *Chronobiol. Int.* 23 (6), 1295–1303.
- Morris, J.N., Crawford, M.D., 1958. Coronary heart disease and physical activity of work. *BMJ* 2, 1485.
- Morris, J.N., Heady, J.A., Raffle, P.A.B., Roberts, C.G., Parks, J.W., 1953. Coronary heart-disease and physical activity of work. *Lancet* 2, 1053–1057.
- O'Brien, E., Asmar, R., Beilin, L., et al., 2005. Practice guidelines of the European Society of Hypertension for clinic, ambulatory and self-blood pressure measurement. *J. Hypertens.* 23 (4), 697–701.
- Office for National Statistics, 2011. Statistical Bulletin: Trends in Life Expectancy by the National Statistics Socio-economic Classification 1982–2006. <http://www.ons.gov.uk/>
- Petersen, C.B., Bauman, A., Gronbaek, M., Wulff Helge, J., Thygesen, L.C., Tolstrup, J.S., 2014. Total sitting time and risk of myocardial infarction, coronary heart disease and all-cause mortality in a prospective cohort of Danish adults. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.* 11 13.
- Robinson, C.F., Burnett, C.A., 2005. Truck drivers and heart disease in the United States, 1979–1990. *Am. J. Ind. Med.* 47 (2), 113–119.
- Rosengren, A., Anderson, K., Wilhelmsen, L., 1991. Risk of coronary heart disease in middle-aged male bus and tram drivers compared to men in other occupations: a prospective study. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 20, 82–87.
- Sedentary Behaviour Research Network, 2012. Letter to the editor: standardized use of the terms “sedentary” and “sedentary behaviours”. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab.* 37 (3), 540–542.
- Smith, L., Hamer, M., Ucci, M., et al., 2015. Weekday and weekend patterns of objectively measured sitting, standing, and stepping in a sample of office-based workers: the active buildings study. *BMC Public Health* 15, 9.
- Tse, J.L., Flin, R., Mearns, K., 2006. Bus driver well-being review: 50 years of research. *Transport. Res. F: Traffic Psychol. Behav.* 9 (11), 89–114.
- Wilmot, E.G., Edwardson, C.L., Achana, F.A., et al., 2012. Sedentary time in adults and the association with diabetes, cardiovascular disease and death: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetologia* 55 (11), 2895–2905.
- Wong, J.Y., Gilson, N.D., Bush, R.A., Brown, W.J., 2014. Patterns and perceptions of physical activity and sedentary time in male transport drivers working in regional Australia. *Aust. N. Z. J. Public Health* 38 (4), 314–320.