

## **HHS Public Access**

Am J Transplant. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 February 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Am J Transplant. 2016 February ; 16(2): 574–582. doi:10.1111/ajt.13495.

## Genomewide Association Study of Tacrolimus Concentrations in African American Kidney Transplant Recipients Identifies Multiple *CYP3A5* Alleles

W. S. Oetting<sup>1,\*</sup>, D. P. Schladt<sup>2</sup>, W. Guan<sup>3</sup>, M. B. Miller<sup>1</sup>, R. P. Remmel<sup>1</sup>, C. Dorr<sup>2</sup>, K. Sanghavi<sup>1</sup>, R. B. Mannon<sup>4</sup>, B. Herrera<sup>5</sup>, A. J. Matas<sup>6</sup>, D. R. Salomon<sup>7</sup>, P.-Y. Kwok<sup>5</sup>, B. J. Keating<sup>8</sup>, A. K. Israni<sup>2,9,10,†</sup>, and P. A. Jacobson<sup>1,†</sup> for the DeKAF Investigators <sup>1</sup>University of Minnesota, Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology, Minneapolis, MN

<sup>2</sup>Minneapolis Medical Research Foundation, Minneapolis, MN

<sup>3</sup>University of Minnesota, Department of Biostatistics, Minneapolis, MN

<sup>4</sup>University of Alabama, Division of Nephrology, Birmingham, AL

<sup>5</sup>University of California, San Francisco, CA

<sup>6</sup>University of Minnesota, Department of Surgery, Minneapolis, MN

<sup>7</sup>The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA

<sup>8</sup>Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA

<sup>9</sup>University of Minnesota, Department of Medicine, Hennepin County Medical Center, Minneapolis, MN

<sup>10</sup>University of Minnesota, Department of Epidemiology and Community Health, Minneapolis, MN

### Abstract

We previously reported that tacrolimus (TAC) trough blood concentrations for African American (AA) kidney allograft recipients were lower than those observed in white patients. Subtherapeutic TAC troughs may be associated with acute rejection (AR) and AR-associated allograft failure. This variation in TAC troughs is due, in part, to differences in the frequency of the cytochrome P450 *CYP3A5\*3* allele (rs776746, expresses nonfunctional enzyme) between white and AA recipients; however, even after accounting for this variant, variability in AA-associated troughs is significant. We conducted a genomewide association study of TAC troughs in AA kidney allograft recipients to search for additional genetic variation. We identified two additional *CYP3A5\*6* variants in AA recipients independently associated with TAC troughs: *CYP3A5\*6* (rs10264272) and *CYP3A5\*7* (rs41303343). All three variants and clinical factors account for 53.9% of the observed variance in troughs, with 19.8% of the variance coming from demographic and clinical factors

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: William S. Oetting, oetti001@umn.edu. <sup>†</sup>Contributed equally.

**Disclosure:** The authors of this manuscript have no conflicts of interest to disclose as described by the American Journal of Transplantation.

Supporting Information: Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

including recipient age, glomerular filtration rate, anti-cytomegalovirus drug use, simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplant and antibody induction. There was no evidence of common genetic variants in AA recipients significantly influencing TAC troughs aside from the *CYP3A* gene. These results reveal that additional and possibly rare functional variants exist that account for the additional variation.

#### Introduction

Tacrolimus (TAC) is a common immunosuppressant used in solid organ transplantation. TAC is metabolized by CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 enzymes to active and inactive metabolites (1). CYP3A5, however, has twice the intrinsic catalytic activity of CYP3A4 for TAC, and up to 60% of the hepatic metabolism of TAC is through CYP3A5 in patients who carry at least one *CYP3A5\* 1* allele (2). A common loss-of-function (LoF) allele of *CYP3A5* (\*3, rs776746), significantly affects TAC concentrations in whole blood due to reduced TAC metabolism, resulting in higher concentrations compared with persons with the \*1 functional allele (2–5). The formation rate of the primary TAC metabolites is also significantly higher in liver microsomes from persons who have at least one functional *CYP3A5\*1* allele compared with those who are homozygous for the \*3 LoF allele. We created a genotypebased TAC dosing equation including both clinical variables and the *CYP3A5\*3* genotype (6,7). Although this equation provides valuable guidance for optimizing TAC dosing, a significant amount of variation is not accounted for, especially in African American (AA) kidney transplant recipients.

It has been reported previously that AA recipients have a higher incidence of acute rejection (AR) and reduced allograft survival compared with white recipients (8–10). We and others have reported that TAC trough concentrations in blood (TAC troughs) for AA recipients are much lower than those observed in white recipients, and thus AA recipients require higher doses of TAC to meet immunosuppression targets (3,11). In addition, subtherapeutic immunosuppression concentrations may be associated with the increase of AR observed in AA recipients (12). These lower concentrations are thought to be due to the functional CYP3A5\* 1 allele that is much more frequent within the sub-Saharan African population (allele frequency 0.85) than the white population (allele frequency 0.25), resulting in greater TAC metabolism in persons associated carrying one or two CYP3A5\* 1 alleles. Even after accounting for this variant, variability in AA TAC troughs is still significant. We hypothesized that additional genetic variants must be present to account for the unexplained variability. Inan effort to identify genetic variants associated with variation in TAC troughs, we evaluated 644 224single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in a total of 357 AA recipients with available TAC trough concentrations in this genomewide association study (GWAS).

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Study design and population

A discovery cohort of 197 adult AA kidney transplant recipients enrolled in the Deterioration of Kidney Allograft Function (DeKAF) Genomics study was used in the

GWAS (13). Kidney allograft recipients who self-reported as AA were from three centers of a seven-center prospective study of recipients undergoing kidney or simultaneous pancreas–kidney (SPK) transplantation. An additional 160 AA participants from the same centers and two additional centers were used as a validation cohort. Participants were selected for this analysis if they were aged 18 years, received TAC for maintenance immunosuppression and had TAC troughs available in the first 6 months after transplant. High-and low-risk participants were included, although each center used slightly different criteria to attribute risk. This study is registered at www.ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT01714440). Participants were enrolled at time of transplant and signed informed consents approved by the institutional review boards of the enrolling centers.

Clinical information was obtained through the DeKAF Genomics study (13). Participants received oral TAC therapy with mycophenolate maintenance with varying durations of steroid according to transplant standard of care protocols. Induction therapy was administered based on transplant center preference but consisted mainly of Thymoglobulin (Genzyme, Cambridge, MA), Simulect (Novartis, Basel, Switzerland), or Campath (Genzyme). High-risk patients were more likely to receive Thymoglobulin. Donor and recipient characteristics, race, serum creatinine and estimated creatinine clearance, and concomitant medications at time of each TAC trough measurement were obtained from the respective medical records. TAC troughs were measured from whole blood by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry and were obtained as part of routine clinical care. This was an observational trial, and troughs were not measured in a central laboratory; however, all TAC measurements were done in a Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA)-approved laboratory. When available, two measurements were obtained in weeks 1 and 8 and in months 3, 4, 5 and 6 for a maximum of 24 trough concentrations per patient. TAC doses were adjusted based on trough concentrations to reach institution-specific trough goals based on time after transplant (generally 8–12 ng/mL in months 0–3 and 6–10 ng/mL in months 4-6). Additional dose adjustments were performed for toxicity using centerspecific preferences. Trough values were normalized for dose (nanograms per milliliter per total daily dose in milligrams) prior to statistical analysis.

#### Genotyping

Pretransplant recipient DNA was isolated at time of transplant from peripheral blood lymphocytes. Lymphocytes were isolated by centrifugation after red blood cell lysis, and the DNA was isolated. Genotypes of the DNA from the discovery cohort (n = 197) were determined with the AFR-AMR Axiom chip (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA) (14), which contains 837 930 variants. Genotype calling was performed in one batch on the Affymetrix Genotyping Console v4.0 using the GT1 algorithm, which is based on BRLMM-P (15).

Genotyping of the validation cohort (n = 160) was performed on a custom exome-plus Affymetrix TxArray SNP chip (16). The *CYP3A5\*3* (rs776746), *CYP3A5\*6* (rs10264272) and *CYP3A5\*7* (rs41303343) genotypes were taken from this SNP chip and used for the analysis.

#### GWAS genotyping data quality control

Data quality control was carried out with PLINK software (version 1.90b1a) (17). Genotypes were subjected to a 95% call rate threshold. Samples with very high heterozygosity and suspected contamination were reassayed and removed if high heterozygosity could not be resolved. Unrelated samples with pairwise identity by descent >0.3 were excluded from the study. Individual SNPs were excluded if they were monomorphic or had low minor allele frequency (<0.5%). SNPs were not excluded based on divergence from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, given the admixed nature of the cohort genotyped. The final number of variants analyzed from the AFR-AMR Axiom chip was 644 224. Genomewide significance was declared with association p-value <5 × 10<sup>-8</sup>.

#### Statistical analysis

Linear mixed-effects models (LMMs) were used to test for associations between natural log (ln)–transformed dose-normalized TAC troughs and genotypes. A log transformation was used to ensure that the outcome was normally distributed. Visual inspection showed that dose-normalized trough concentrations initially started low, rose quickly until day 9 after transplant and then plateaued in the early weeks after transplant (3,18). A simple spline method was used to model the effect of time on all trough concentrations, with the change in slope occurring at day 9. The longitudinal LMMs included a random intercept and random slopes for days after transplant and days after posttransplant day 9.

Confounding fixed clinical factors were identified by backward selection with a retention pvalue of 0.10 in the discovery cohort. Tested clinical factors included transplant center; donor age and sex; and recipient factors such as age, sex, diabetes at baseline, donor type (living or deceased), antibody induction and SPK transplantation. Time-varying covariates considered for the backward selection, defined at each TAC trough observation, were steroid use, closest creatinine clearance to the trough (linear and quadratic), calcium channel blocker use, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor use and antiviral drug use. The multivariable model determined with the discovery cohort data was applied to the validation cohort analyses.

To improve computational efficiency, we carried out the GWAS of TAC troughs in two steps. In step one, we estimated the day 9 posttransplant ln-transformed dose-normalized TAC trough concentration for each recipient by using an LMM with no clinical variables except days after transplant and days after posttransplant day 9, using the longitudinal trough measures in the discovery cohort. A GWAS was then run between each SNP and the estimated day 9 ln-transformed dose-normalized TAC trough using linear regression (PLINK, version 1.90b1a). This approach is slightly less powerful than running the full longitudinal LMM genomewide but is much faster (>10 times). To overcome the power lost in this approach, we identified SNPs in step one with a p-value  $<1 \times 10^{-4}$ . In the second step, we ran the multivariable LMM on the SNPs found in step one but applied a stringent genomewide significance level of p-value  $<5 \times 10^{-8}$ . SNPs were then confirmed in the validation cohort with the multivariable LMM.

We also analyzed the association of the most significant SNPs with the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) at 12 months after transplant and clinical AR up to 6 months after transplant using linear regression. The eGFR (in milliliters per minute per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup>) was calculated using the four-level MDRD equation (19). Analyses were conducted with SAS version 9.2 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

#### Results

Recipient characteristics for the discovery cohort of 197 AA participants and the validation cohort of 160 AA participants are shown in Table 1. The participants in the discovery cohort were aged 35–64 years (77.7%) and primarily male (65.5%). Characteristics of the validation cohort were similar. The TAC troughs, total daily dose and dose-normalized TAC troughs were similar in the discovery and validation cohorts. There was a median of 18 troughs (interquartile range [IQR]: 14–21 troughs) per participant in the discovery cohort and 17 troughs (IQR: 13–21 troughs) per participant in the validation cohort.

In the initial genomewide unadjusted analysis of ln-transformed dose-normalized TAC troughs within the discovery cohort, a single region at the *CYP3A5* locus on chromosome 7 was observed including the *ZSCAN25* (overlaps *CYP3A5*), *CYP3A5*, *CYP3A7*, *CYP3AP2*, and *CYP3A4* genes (Figure 1). Twenty-eight variants had p-values  $<1 \times 10^{-5}$ , and five were significant at the genomewide level ( $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) (Table S1). The most significant variants were within the pseudogene *CY-P3AP2* (rs17161880, p =  $9.26 \times 10^{-14}$ , and rs34880695, p =  $1.03 \times 10^{-12}$ ). Both variants are in linkage disequilibrium with rs776746 (D' of 0.804 for rs17161880 and 0.834 for rs34880695), a well-known LoF allele (*CYP3A5\*3*), which was the fifth top variant, with p =  $2.28 \times 10^{-9}$ .

After adjusting for rs776746 (*CYP3A5\*3*) and important clinical covariates (enrolling center, time of trough after transplant, recipient age, anticytomegalovirus drug use, SPK and antibody induction), rs6956305, located within the *ZSCAN25* gene, was the most significant variant ( $p = 2.9 \times 10^{-15}$ ) (Table S2). After adjustment for the effect of rs6956305 and rs776746, the variant rs41303343 (*CYP3A5\*7*), an LoF allele, was then found to be highly significant ( $p = 3.00 \times 10^{-16}$ ) (Table S3) (20). We also performed a conditional analysis adjusting for rs776746 (*CYP3A5\*3*), rs41303343 (*CYP3A5\*7*), and rs10264272 (*CYP3A5\*6*), which is another well-known LoF variant for persons of African origin (21). No other common SNPs in the GWAS were found to be significant including the two SNPs in the pseudogene *CYP3AP2* and rs6956305.

The genotype frequencies in both cohorts for the three LoF alleles are shown in Table 2. Overall, 75% of the recipients had at least one LoF allele. Combining both cohorts, only 24% (84 of 357) were homozygous WT for all three alleles. Fifty percent (180 of 357) were heterozygous for one of the three LoF alleles (intermediate metabolizers), and 26% (93 of 357) were either homozygous or compound heterozygous for two LoF alleles (poor metabolizers). Analysis of ln-transformed dose-normalized TAC troughs with time showed that participants who were heterozygous for any of the three LoF alleles were intermediate metabolizers compared with homozygous participants for either the WT alleles (bottom line) or for two LoF alleles (top line) (Figure 2).

The multivariable analysis of the clinical covariates for the discovery cohort is shown in Table 3. Time from transplant and anticytomegalovirus drug use were the most significant clinical variables affecting longitudinal trough measurements. Results were similar in the validation cohort (Table 4).

The estimates of variance in the longitudinal trough measurements of the discovery cohort associated with the clinical variables and the *CYP3A5* SNPs are shown in Table 5. Using multivariable analysis, the variance in TAC troughs declined with addition of the LoF alleles; the clinical variables alone captured 19.8% of the variance in troughs, and the three LoF alleles captured an additional 34.1%, for a total of 53.9% explained variance. Similar results were shown in the analysis of the validation cohort (Table 6). A combined analysis of both cohorts showed that clinical variables and the 3 LoF alleles captured a total of 43.0% of the explained variance (data not shown). Figure 3 shows a box plot of participants with 0, 1 or 2 LoF alleles compared with dose-normalized tacrolimus trough concentrations.

The presence of LoF alleles with AR and 1-year eGFR was also analyzed. AR was not associated with the LoF alleles, but the number of LoF alleles was significantly associated with eGFR ( $p = 4.7 \times 10^{-3}$ ). Each LoF allele increased the eGFR at 1 year by 9.1 mL/min (2.8–15.5 mL/min).

#### Discussion

It has been documented that AA recipients have reduced graft survival compared with white kidney allograft recipients (22). One-year AR is higher in AA than non-AA kidney allograft recipients. Reaching target immunosuppression concentrations is critical in reducing the risk for early AR events (10). There are significant differences in TAC troughs and dose requirements between AA and white recipients. Although we have shown that transplant center, days after transplant, age, concomitant discern the specific causal covariates without extremely detailed clinical information on each participant.

It has been reported that the LoF allele *CYP3A5\*3* is the major genetic cause of variation in TAC metabolism. This allele encodes for a mutation in intron 3, resulting in a cryptic splice site encoding for a mRNA with a premature stop codon (21). In this study, we showed that two other LoF alleles, *CYP3A5\*6 and CYP3A5\*7*, also play significant roles in TAC metabolism in AA recipients. In *CYP3A5\*1/\*6* heterozygotes, two mRNAs were identified, one normal 3A5 mRNA and one mRNA with a skipped exon 7 (21). Deletion of exon 7 results in a frameshift mutation encoding a truncated protein of 184 amino acids. The *CYP3A5\*7* variant also produces a frameshift mutation due to an insertion of a thymine in codon 346, leading to premature termination at codon 348 (23). Within our study population, only 24% were homozygous WT for all three WT alleles, showing that substantially more AA recipients carry LoF variants than previously presumed. Consequently, the lack of LoF variants, thought to be present at much higher frequency in white versus AA recipients, is not a satisfactory explanation of why AA recipients have higher TAC metabolism. We previously showed that there is a heterozygous effect for the *CYP3A5\*3* allele, and we showed in this study that this was the case for participants who

were also heterozygous for the other two LoF alleles (Figure 2) (6,7). Variants within the CYP3A4 locus (*CYP3A4\*22*, rs35599367) have also been implicated in affecting TAC troughs (4,24), but neither this variant nor any other variants within *CYP3A4* were found to contribute to the observed variation in TAC troughs in this analysis of AA recipients. In addition, a known functional variant within the transporter *ABCB1* (previously *MDR1;* rs1045642, c.3435T>C), which has also been associated with variation in TAC concentrations, was not found to contribute to the observed variation in TAC analysis of AA recipients in this analysis of AA recipients (25).

Determining accurate initial dosing of immunosuppresants at the time of transplantation is critical to reduce early AR events. The therapeutic range for TAC is narrow, and initial genotyping should provide for maximal efficacy of TAC concentrations. Although the CYP3A5\*3 allele is a major LoF allele that affects TAC trough concentrations, additional LoF alleles need to be considered to accurately estimate TAC metabolism. In this report, we identified two additional common LoF variants that affect TAC trough concentrations in the AA kidney recipients, CYP3A5\*6 and CYP3A5\*7, both of which have been found in the African population (26). In Figure 3, several participants in the 0 or 1 LoF plots are outside of the 95% confidence range. It is possible that these participants have an LoF allele that is not the \*3, \*6, or \*7 allele, resulting in higher dose-normalized TAC troughs. The variants genotyped in this study were limited to those on the GWAS chip. Analysis of the 1000 Genomes project data identifies many more putative LoF alleles within CYP3A5 including 15 germline stop-gained variants (nonsense variants) and 21 germline frameshift variants. Additional potentially functional variants include 56 donor or acceptor splice site variants and 216 missense variants (27). Each variant may have the same LoF effect as the three variants analyzed in this report, making DNA sequencing of CYP3A5 necessary to capture all genetic variation associated with this gene.

We also found that eGFR increases with an increased number of LoF alleles, making reduced metabolism of TAC protective. Although these alleles were not associated with AR, subclinical AR may be occurring when TAC concentrations are low, due to increased TAC metabolism resulting in lower GFR levels.

Our study has several limitations. TAC troughs were measured from whole blood by each institution and not at a central laboratory; however, all assays were CLIA certified. TAC area under the curve was not used because it was not obtained under the standard of care and thus was not available. In addition, nonadherence to medications has also been shown to be an important risk factor for AR and may influence results. Although we have shown that transplant center, days after transplant, age, concomitant medications, and SPK status are correlated with dose-normalized TAC trough concentrations, we cannot discern the specific causal covariates without extremely detailed clinical information on each participant. We were also not able to categorize calcium channel blockers as dihydropyridines versus nondihydropyridines. In future studies, we intend to gather more detailed concomitant medication information because it is likely that drug–drug interactions play a role for some patients. Nonetheless, this does not negate our findings.

We conclude that the AA-specific variants *CYP3A5\*3*, *CYP3A5\*6*, and *CYP3A5\*7* explain a great proportion of the observed TAC trough variability in AA recipients. Better understanding of the impact of population-specific genomic variants is a critical step toward a personalized medicine approach to transplant immunosuppression.

#### **DeKAF Investigators**

Arthur Matas, MD, Department of Surgery, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN; J. Michael Cecka, MD, UCLA Immunogenetics Center, Los Angeles, CA; John Connett, PhD, Division of Biostatistics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN; Fernando G. Cosio, MD, Division of Nephrology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; Robert Gaston, MD, Division of Nephrology, University of Alabama, Birmingham, AL; Rosalyn Mannon, MD, Division of Nephrology, University of Alabama, Birmingham, AL; Sita Gourishankar, MD, Division of Nephrology and Immunology, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada; Joseph P. Grande, MD, PhD, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, MN; Lawrence Hunsicker, MD, Nephrology Division, Iowa City, IA; Bertram Kasiske, MD, Division of Nephrology, Hennepin County Medical Center, Minneapolis, MN; and David Rush, MD, Health Sciences Center, Winnipeg MB, Canada.

#### **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the research participants for their participation in this study. We acknowledge the dedication and hard work of our coordinators at each of the DeKAF Genomics clinical sites: University of Alberta, Nicoleta Bobocea, Tina Wong, Adrian Geambasu and Alyssa Sader; University of Manitoba, Myrna Ross and Kathy Peters; University of Minnesota, Mandi DeGrote and Danielle Berglund; Hennepin County Medical Center, Lisa Berndt; Mayo Clinic, Tom DeLeeuw; University of Iowa, Wendy Wallace and Tammy Lowe; University of Alabama, Jacquelin Vaughn and Tena Hilario. We also acknowledge the dedicated work of our research scientists Marcia Brott and Amutha Muthusamy. This study was supported in part by NIH/NIAID grants 5U19-AI070119 and 5U01-AI058013.

#### References

- Iwasaki K. Metabolism of tacrolimus (FK506) and recent topics in clinical pharmacokinetics. Drug Metab Pharmacokinet. 2007; 22:328–335. [PubMed: 17965516]
- 2. Dai Y, Hebert MF, Isoherrannen N, et al. Effect of CYP3A5 polymorphism on tacrolimus metabolic clearance in vitro. Drug Metab Dispos. 2006; 34:836–847. [PubMed: 16501005]
- Jacobson PA, Oetting WS, Brearley AM, et al. Novel polymorphisms associated with tacrolimus trough concentrations: Results from a multicenter kidney transplant consortium. Transplantation. 2011; 91:300–308. [PubMed: 21206424]
- Bruckmueller H, Werk AN, Renders L, et al. Which genetic determinants should be considered for tacrolimus dose optimization in kidney transplantation? A combined analysis of genes affecting the CYP3A locus Ther Drug Monit. 2015; 37:288–295. [PubMed: 25271728]
- Rojas L, Neumann I, Herrero MJ, et al. Effect of *CYP3A5\*3* on kidney transplant recipients treated with tacrolimus: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Pharmacogenomics J. 2015; 15:38–48. [PubMed: 25201288]
- Passey C, Birnbaum AK, Brundage RC, Oetting WS, Israni AK, Jacobson PA. Dosing equation for tacrolimus using genetic variants and clinical factors. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2011; 72:948–957. [PubMed: 21671989]

- Passey C, Birnbaum AK, Brundage RC, et al. Validation of tacrolimus equation to predict troughs using genetic and clinica factors. Pharmacogenomics. 2012; 13:1141–1147. [PubMed: 22909204]
- Neylan JF. Immunosuppressive therapy in high-risk transplant patients: Dose-dependent efficacy of mycophenolate mofetil in African-American renal allograft recipients. U.S. Renal Transplant Mycophenolate Mofetil Study Group. Transplantation. 1997; 64:1277–1282. [PubMed: 9371668]
- Laftavi MR, Pankewycz O, Patel S, et al. African American rena transplant recipients (RTR) require higher tacrolimus doses to achieve target levels compared to white RTR: Does clotrimazole help? Transplant Proc. 2013; 45:3498–3501. [PubMed: 24314941]
- Gralla J, Le CN, Cooper JE, Wiseman AC. The risk of acute rejection and the influence of induction agents in lower-risk African American kidney transplant recipients receiving modern immunosuppression. Clin Transplant. 2014; 28:292–298. [PubMed: 24476453]
- Neylan JF. Effect of race and immunosuppression in rena transplantation: Three-year survival results from a US multicenter, randomized trial. FK506 Kidney Transplant Study Group. Transplant Proc. 1998; 30:1355–1358. [PubMed: 9636551]
- Taber DJ, Gebregziabher MG, Srinivas TR, Chavin KD, Baliga PK, Egede LE. African-American race modifies the influence of tacrolimus concentrations on acute rejection and toxicity in kidney transplant recipients. Pharmacotherapy. 2015; 35:569–577. [PubMed: 26011276]
- Israni A, Leduc R, Holmes J, et al. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms, acute rejection, and severity of tubulitis in kidney transplantation, accounting for center-to-center variation. Transplantation. 2010; 90:1401–1408. [PubMed: 21085059]
- Hoffmann TJ, Kvale MN, Hesselson SE, et al. Next generation genome-wide association tool: Design and coverage of a high-throughput European-optimized SNP array. Genomics. 2011; 98:79–89. [PubMed: 21565264]
- 15. Vignettes: Genotype clustering for Axiom<sup>™</sup> arrays. Affymetrix Web site. 2009 Oct 12. Available from: http://media.affymetrix.com/support/technical/whitepapers/brlmmp\_whitepaper.pdf
- 16. Li YR, van Setten J, Verma SS, et al. Concept and design of a genome-wide association genotyping array tailored for transplantation-specific studies. Genome Med. 2015; 710.1186/ s13073-015-0211-x
- 17. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, et al. PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet. 2007; 81:559–575. [PubMed: 17701901]
- Jacobson PA, Schladt D, Oetting WS, et al. Lower calcineurin inhibitor doses in older compared to younger kidney transplant recipients yield similar troughs. Am J Transplant. 2012; 12:3326–3336. [PubMed: 22947444]
- Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, Greene T, Rogers N, Roth D. A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: A new prediction equation. Modification of Diet in Rena Disease Study Group Ann Intern Med. 1999; 130:461–470.
- 20. Hustert E, Haberl M, Burk O, et al. The genetic determinants of the *CYP3A5* polymorphism. Pharmacogenetics. 2001; 11:773–779. [PubMed: 11740341]
- Kuehl P, Zhang J, Lin Y, et al. Sequence diversity in *CYP3A* promoters and characterization of the genetic basis of polymorphic *CYP3A5* expression. Nat Genet. 2001; 27:383–391. [PubMed: 11279519]
- 22. Narayanan M, Pankewycz O, Shihab F, Wiland A, McCague K, Chan L. Long-term outcomes in African American kidney transplant recipients under contemporary immunosuppression: A four-yr analysis of the Mycophenolic acid Observational REna transplant (MORE) study. Clin Transplant. 2014; 28:184–191. [PubMed: 24372743]
- 23. Hustert E, Haberl M, Burk O, et al. The genetic determinants of the *CYP3A5* polymorphism. Pharmacogenetics. 2001; 11:773–779. [PubMed: 11740341]
- Pallet N, Jannot AS, El Bahri M, et al. Kidney transplant recipients carrying the *CYP3A4*\* 22 allelic variant have reduced tacrolimus clearance and often reach supratherapeutic tacrolimus concentrations. Am J Transplant. 2015; 15:800–805. [PubMed: 25588704]
- 25. Kurzawski M, Dabrowska J, Dziewanowski K, Domanski L, Peru(x0017C)y ska M, Dro dzik M. *CYP3A5* and *CYP3A4*, but not *ABCB1* polymorphisms affect tacrolimus dose-adjusted trough concentrations in kidney transplant recipients. Pharmacogenomics. 2014; 15:179–188. [PubMed: 24444408]

- 26. Bains RK, Kovacevic M, Plaster CA, et al. Molecular diversity and population structure at the cytochrome P450 3A5 gene in Africa. BMC Genet. 2013; 14:34. [PubMed: 23641907]
- 27. 1000 Genomes. Available from: http://browser.1000genomes.org/Homo\_sapiens/Gene/ Variation\_Gene/Table?db=core;g=ENSG00000106258;r=7:99245817-99277621

### Abbreviations

AA	African American
AR	acute rejection
CI	confidence interval
DeKAF	Deterioration of Kidney Allograft Function
eGFR	estimated glomerular filtration rate
GWAS	genomewide association study
LMM	linear mixed-effects models
LoF	loss of function
ln	natural log
SD	standard deviation
SNPs	single-nucleotide polymorphisms
SPK	simultaneous pancreas-kidney
TAC	tacrolimus



## Figure 1. Manhattan plot of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with tacrolimus trough concentrations

Overall, 644 224 SNPs were analyzed toward natural log of dose-normalized tacrolimus trough concentrations (nanograms per milliliter per total daily dose in milligrams) with no additional covariates. All SNPs are shown in order from chromosome 1 to 22. The red line is at the level of statistical significance ( $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ). The major peak is above the *CYP3A* locus.





Oetting et al.



Figure 3. Box plot of dose-normalized tacrolimus trough concentration (nanograms per milliliter per total daily dose in milligrams) versus number of loss-of-function alleles Horizontal bars represent 95% confidence levels.

#### Table 1

#### Discovery cohort (n = 197) Validation cohort (n = 160) Age group, years, n (%) 18-34 33 (16.8) 34 (21.3) 35-64 153 (77.7) 118 (73.8) 65-84 11 (5.6) 8 (5.0) Donor age group, years, n (%) 0-34 98 (49.8) 71 (44.4) 35-64 95 (48.2) 85 (53.1) 65-84 4 (2.0) 4 (2.5) Living donor status, n (%) 65 (33.0) 45 (28.1) 197 (100) 160 (100) African American, n (%) Female, n (%) 68 (34.5) 62 (38.8) Diabetes at transplant, n (%) 79 (40.1) 52 (32.5) SPK, n (%) 9 (4.6) 7 (4.4) BMI, mean (SD) 28.7 (5.2) 29.2 (5.7) Antibody induction, n (%) Combination 4 (2.0) 3 (1.9) Monoclonal/IL2RA 93 (47.2) 81 (50.6) Polyclonal 96 (48.7) 74 (46.3) 4 (2.0) 2 (1.3) None Tacrolimus trough in the first 6 months in ng/mL, median (IQR) 6.4 (4.5-8.7) 6.5 (4.6-8.6) Daily tacrolimus dose in first 6 months, mg, median (IQR) 8.0 (6.0-10.0 8.0 (6.0-10.0) Tacrolimus dose normalized tacrolimus trough, ng/mL/mg, median (IQR) 0.80 (0.53-1.25) 0.74 (0.50-1.13)

#### **Recipient characteristics**

IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation, SPK, simultaneous pancreas-kidney.

Author Manuscript

	Discovery cohort (n = 197)	Validation cohort (n = 160)
rs776746 (*3)		
0	98 (49.8)	88 (55.0)
1	77 (39.1)	59 (36.9)
2	22 (11.2)	13 (8.1)
rs10264272 (*6)		
0	154 (78.2)	119 (74.4)
1	42 (21.3)	39 (24.4)
2	1 (0.5)	2 (1.3)
rs41303343 (*7)		
0	162 (82.2)	126 (78.8)
1	31 (15.7)	34 (21.3)
2	4 (2.0)	0 (0)
All 3 LoF alleles		
0	51 (25.9)	33 (20.6)
1	88 (44.7)	92 (57.5)
2	58 (29.4)	35 (21.9)

 Table 2

 Participants with CYP3A5 loss-of-function alleles observed

Data shown as n (%). LoF, loss of function.

# Table 3 Multivariable model for natural log–transformed dose-normalized tacrolimus trough concentrations in the discovery cohort

Variables	Effect (95% CI)	p-value
For each day after transplant	0.10 (0.08, 0.12)	$8.0 imes10^{-18}$
Additional effect for each day after day 9 after transplant	-0.10 (-0.12, -0.08)	$4.2  imes 10^{-17}$
Age, recipient, years		
18–34 vs. 65–84	-0.35 (-0.70, -0.01)	$1.6\times10^{-2}$
35–64 vs. 65–84	-0.08 (-0.39, 0.23)	
GFR center	-0.002 (-0.003, 0.000)	$1.1\times 10^{-2}$
Anti-CMV drug use	0.08 (0.05, 0.12)	$6.7 imes10^{-7}$
SPK	0.48 (0.15, 0.81)	$4.6\times10^{-3}$
Induction immunosuppression		
Combination vs. polyclonal	-0.24 (-0.80, 0.32)	$7.2  imes 10^{-3}$
Monoclonal/IL2RA vs. polyclonal	0.18 (0.03, 0.32)	
None vs. polyclonal	0.63 (0.15, 1.12)	

Data are adjusted for enrolling center. CI, confidence interval; CMV, cytomegalovirus; SPK, simultaneous pancreas-kidney.

# Table 4 Multivariable model for natural log-transformed dose-normalized tacrolimus trough concentrations in the validation cohort

Variables	Effect (95% CI)	p-value
For each day after transplant	0.06 (0.04, 0.08)	$3.0  imes 10^{-6}$
Additional effect for each day after day 9 after transplant	-0.06 (-0.08, -0.04)	$5.6\times10^{-6}$
Age, recipient, years		
18–34 vs. 65–84	-0.31 (-0.66, 0.05)	$3.8  imes 10^{-2}$
35–64 vs. 65–84	-0.08 (-0.41, 0.24)	
GFR center	-0.001 (-0.003, 0.001)	$3.0  imes 10^{-1}$
Anti-CMV drug use	0.08 (0.04, 0.13)	$1.4  imes 10^{-4}$
SPK	0.49 (0.13, 0.85)	$7.0 imes10^{-3}$
Induction immunosuppression		
Combination vs. polyclonal	-0.07 (-0.60, 0.46)	$4.5  imes 10^{-2}$
Monoclonal/IL2RA vs. polyclonal	0.19 (0.03, 0.35)	
None vs. polyclonal	-0.35 (-0.98, 0.28)	

Data are adjusted for enrolling center. CI, confidence interval; CMV, cytomegalovirus; SPK, simultaneous pancreas-kidney.

#### Table 5

# Variance in natural log-transformed dose-normalized tacrolimus troughs in the discovery cohort

Model	Variation of TAC troughs $^{*}$	Variation explained by model <sup>**</sup> , %
Simple time-trend model	0.3114	-
Clinical variables	0.2497	19.8
Clinical variables + rs776746	0.1929	38.1
Clinical variables + rs10264272	0.2495	19.9
Clinical variables + rs41303343	0.231	25.8
Clinical variables + rs776746 and rs10264272	0.1845	40.7
Clinical variables + rs776746 and rs41303343	0.1553	50.1
Clinical variables + rs776746, rs10264272, and rs41303343	0.1436	53.9

\*Variance estimated for day 9 posttransplant natural log-transformed dose-normalized TAC trough concentration.

\*\* Proportion of variation explained by each model compared with the simple time-trend model, namely, 1–var/0.3114, in which var is the estimated variance for the day 9 random variable in the previous column.

TAC, tacrolimus.

#### Table 6

## Variance in natural log-transformed dose-normalized tacrolimus troughs in the validation cohort

Model	Variation of TAC troughs $^{*}$	Variation explained by model <sup>**</sup> , %
Simple Time-trend Model	0.2806	-
Clinical Variables	0.2283	18.6
Clinical Variables + rs776746	0.213	24.1
Clinical Variables + rs10264272	0.2267	19.2
Clinical Variables + rs41303343	0.2224	20.7
Clinical Variables + rs776746 and rs10264272	0.2049	27.0
Clinical Variables + rs776746 and rs41303343	0.1976	29.6
Clinical Variables + rs776746, rs10264272, and rs41303343	0.1842	34.3

\*Variance estimated for day 9 posttransplant natural log-transformed dose-normalized TAC trough concentration

\*\* Proportion of variation explained by each model compared with the simple time-trend model, namely, 1–var/0.3114, in which var is the estimated variance for the day 9 random variable in the previous column.

TAC, tacrolimus.