



RESEARCH PAPER

Balance between carbon gain and loss under long-term drought: impacts on foliar respiration and photosynthesis in *Quercus ilex* L.

D. Sperllich^{1,2,*}, A. Barbeta^{2,3}, R. Ogaya^{2,3}, S. Sabaté^{1,2} and J. Peñuelas^{2,3}

¹ Departament d'Ecologia, Facultat de Biologia, Universitat de Barcelona, Diagonal 645, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

² CREAM, Cerdanyola del Vallès, 08193 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

³ CSIC, Global Ecology Unit CREAM-CSIC-UAB, Cerdanyola del Vallès, 08193 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: Dominik@creaf.uab.es

Received 30 September 2015; Revised 30 September 2015; Accepted 26 October 2015

Editor: Susanne von Caemmerer, ANU Canberra

Abstract

Terrestrial carbon exchange is a key process of the global carbon cycle consisting of a delicate balance between photosynthetic carbon uptake and respiratory release. We have, however, a limited understanding how long-term decreases in precipitation induced by climate change affect the boundaries and mechanisms of photosynthesis and respiration. We examined the seasonality of photosynthetic and respiratory traits and evaluated the adaptive mechanism of the foliar carbon balance of *Quercus ilex* L. experiencing a long-term rainfall-exclusion experiment. Day respiration (R_d) but not night respiration (R_n) was generally higher in the drought treatment leading to an increased R_d/R_n ratio. The limitation of mesophyll conductance (g_m) on photosynthesis was generally stronger than stomatal limitation (g_s) in the drought treatment, reflected in a lower g_m/g_s ratio. The peak photosynthetic activity in the drought treatment occurred in an atypical favourable summer in parallel with lower R_d/R_n and higher g_m/g_s ratios. The plant carbon balance was thus strongly improved through: (i) higher photosynthetic rates induced by g_m ; and (ii) decreased carbon losses mediated by R_d . Interestingly, photosynthetic potentials ($V_{c,max}$, J_{max} and TPU) were not affected by the drought treatment, suggesting a dampening effect on the biochemical level in the long term. In summary, the trees experiencing a 14-year-long drought treatment adapted through higher plasticity in photosynthetic and respiratory traits, so that eventually the atypical favourable growth period was exploited more efficiently.

Key words: Carbon-use efficiency, day respiration, J_{max} , mesophyll conductance, rainfall exclusion, $V_{c,max}$.

Introduction

Warmer and drier conditions are expected globally under current climate change scenarios and particularly in the Mediterranean region (Somot *et al.*, 2008; Friend, 2010; IPCC, 2013). Seasonal reoccurring drought is the main natural environmental factor in the Mediterranean region

limiting plant growth and yield (Specht, 1969; Di Castri, 1973). Projected water shortages are thus likely to intensify the limitations on plant productivity and forest growth. Several studies have already reported drought-induced forest impacts and diebacks in the Mediterranean region (Peñuelas

et al., 2001; Martínez-Vilalta and Piñol, 2002; Raftoyannis *et al.*, 2008; Allen *et al.*, 2010; Carnicer *et al.*, 2011; Matusick *et al.*, 2013), as well as shifts in vegetation composition (Jump and Peñuelas, 2005; Anderegg *et al.*, 2013). Seasonal summer drought limits plant growth and productivity most strongly through reductions in the plant carbon budget, which depends on the balance between photosynthesis and respiration (Flexas *et al.*, 2006). Winter has been somehow overlooked, despite the importance of potential recovery and growth periods for the annual carbon budget, especially for evergreen vegetation (Sperlich *et al.*, 2014, 2015). The Mediterranean region is characterized by a high variability in temperature and precipitation regimes, especially in mountainous areas such as the Prades Mountains in north-eastern Spain (Barbeta *et al.*, 2013). Climate extremes combined with high interannual variability complicate the scaling of carbon dynamics from one year to another (Reynolds *et al.*, 1996; Morales *et al.*, 2005; Gullías *et al.*, 2009). In fact, the modelling performance in Mediterranean-type ecosystems is particularly poor (Morales *et al.*, 2005; Vargas *et al.*, 2013) owing to under-represented soil–water patterns and our limited understanding of the effects of water stress on both carbon uptake and release (Hickler *et al.*, 2009; Niinemets and Keenan, 2014).

The non-photorespiratory carbon release in leaves is called mitochondrial respiration—a central metabolic process that produces energy (ATP, NADPH) and carbon skeletons for cellular maintenance and growth. It also contributes to significant carbon losses—especially under stress conditions—altering the net carbon gain (van Oijen *et al.*, 2010). Although the drought responses of Mediterranean vegetation have been investigated extensively, most studies concern *photosynthetic* responses (reviewed by Flexas *et al.*, 2014), whereas *respiratory* responses in leaves have largely been neglected (Niinemets, 2014). Also, it is not clear how seasonality and other abiotic stressors affect the balance of night respiration (R_n) and day respiration (R_d) in the leaves. This is partly owing to measurement difficulties; R_n can easily be measured by darkening the leaf, but R_d is harder to obtain and is traditionally estimated from carbon-response curves with the Laik method, from light-response curves with the Kok method, or with an amended version of the Kok method with chlorophyll fluorescence developed by Yin *et al.* (2009) (reviewed by Yin *et al.*, 2011). Measurement constraints and lacking research priorities can account for the dearth of data on respiratory responses to abiotic stress, particularly drought (Atkin and Macherel, 2009; Heskell *et al.*, 2014). Wright *et al.* (2006) provided evidence that irradiance, temperature, and precipitation affect respiration in a wide range of woody species around the world; Mediterranean species, however, were not covered. Catoni *et al.* (2013) recently provided evidence that temperature, and monthly rainfall to a lesser extent, could explain the seasonal variation of R_d in several Mediterranean maquis species. Galmés *et al.* (2007) noted that the number of studies on plant respiration responses to drought is generally limited, but particularly so for Mediterranean species. This is surprising considering the obvious importance of water stress in the Mediterranean region. Seasonal acclimation of respiration

is believed to be more important in sclerophyllic perennial leaves (Galmés *et al.*, 2007; Zaragoza-Castells *et al.*, 2007, 2008) than in plants with short-lived leaves (review by Atkin and Macherel, 2009). A better characterization of the respiratory responses to drought relative to carbon gain is vital for elucidating the overall effects on carbon exchange dynamics in water-limited environments. Rainfall-exclusion experiments in natural ecosystems are laborious and expensive but are highly valuable to simulate more realistically long-term drought. Some studies have addressed the photosynthetic limitations under long-term drought in natural ecosystems comprising stomatal, mesophyll, and biochemical components (Limousin *et al.*, 2010; Martin-StPaul *et al.*, 2012). To the best of our knowledge, the effects of long-term experimental drought on photosynthesis in parallel with night and day respiration has not been investigated so far on mature species in natural ecosystems.

Quercus ilex L. is one of the ‘flagship’ species for the Mediterranean Basin because it is a typical evergreen sclerophyllic tree extending over a large geographical range and forms the terminal point of secondary succession over vast areas in the Iberian Peninsula, including low and higher altitudes, and near-coastal sites with an oceanic climate, as well as inland sites with a semi-arid climate (Lookingbill and Zavala, 2000; Niinemets, 2015). However, reduced stem growth and higher mortality rates found for *Q. ilex* in response to drought (Barbeta *et al.*, 2013) could decrease the distribution under predicted future drier conditions. Hence, *Q. ilex* is the ideal candidate to evaluate the seasonal acclimation of the foliar carbon balance in the long-term drought experiment of Prades (north-eastern Spain) where partial rainfall exclusion has been applied for the last 14 years, reducing soil moisture by an average of 13% (Ogaya *et al.*, 2014; Barbeta *et al.*, 2015).

We investigated the variations of foliar respiratory and photosynthetic traits of *Q. ilex* affected by seasonal changes in growth temperature and precipitation from winter to spring and summer. Furthermore, we studied the impact of long-term experimental drought on key limitations of photosynthesis comprising stomatal, mesophyll, and biochemical components, as well as mitochondrial respiration during the day and night. Based on these parameters, we evaluated the response pattern of the foliar intrinsic water- and carbon-use efficiency (WUE_i and CUE_i , respectively) with respect to the simulated drought. Our aim was to improve our understanding of the boundaries and mechanisms of foliar respiration and photosynthesis in terms of seasonal acclimation and adaptation to drought. We provide here a set of needed parameters that potentially help to improve model simulation of ecosystem carbon fluxes.

Material and methods

Experimental site

The experimental site was situated in the Prades Mountains in southern Catalonia (north-eastern Spain; 41°21'N, 1°2'E) at 950 m above sea level on a 25% south-facing slope. Temperature, photosynthetically active radiation, air humidity, and precipitation have been monitored continuously with a meteorological station installed at

the site. The climate is Mediterranean, with a mean annual rainfall of 609 mm and a mean annual temperature of 12.2 °C (climate data from the meteorological station for 1999–2012). The soil is a Dystric Cambisol over Paleozoic schist with a depth of 35–90 cm. The forest is characterized by a dense, multi-stemmed crown dominated by *Q. ilex* and *Phillyrea latifolia* L. with a maximum height of 6–10 m. The understorey is composed of *Arbutus unedo* L., *Erica arborea* L., *Juniperus oxycedrus* L., and *Cistus albidus* L. A long-term rainfall-exclusion experiment has been established and maintained in this forest since 1999 to simulate *in situ* projected decreases in precipitation in the Mediterranean region (Peñuelas *et al.*, 2007). Four control and four treatment plots of 15 × 10 m were installed at the same altitude along the mountain slope. In the treatment plots, rain was partially excluded by PVC strips suspended 0.5–0.8 m above the soil (covering 30% of the soil surface). A ditch of 0.8 m in depth around the plots intercepted the runoff water from above the plots and conducted the water around to the bottom. The control plots received no treatment.

Sampling method

We conducted three seasonal field campaigns: winter (5–11 January 2013), spring (30 April–4 May 2013) and summer (24–29 July 2013) (Fig. 1). Eight twigs for each drought and control plot (two replicates for each plot) were cut with a pruning pull from the sun-exposed crowns of *Q. ilex* trees. We recut the twigs under water in the field, wrapped them in plastic bags to minimize transpiration, and transported them in water buckets to a nearby laboratory. The twigs were pre-conditioned overnight in the laboratory at room temperature (22–26 °C), freshly recut in the morning, and then kept in dim light [50–100 photosynthetic photon-flux density (PPFD) in $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$]. Once the leaves showed open stomata [stomatal limitation (g_s) > 0.03 mol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹] and a stable stomatal internal CO₂ concentration (C_i , $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ mol air}^{-1}$), we started the response curves. In a few cases, the twigs were kept for one or two additional nights until gas exchange was sufficiently stable to conduct a light-response curve. We have adopted this method to overcome limitations that we often faced in the field such as: (i) accessibility of the branches of mature trees (canopy height between 6 and 10 m); (ii) limited ability of the instruments to reach the standard leaf temperature (T_{leaf}) of 25 °C; and (iii) unpredictable plant responses

such as closed stomata or patchy stomatal conductance (Mott and Buckley, 1998, 2000). With the pre-conditioned twigs, in contrast, we reached stable gas-exchange values that are required for conducting a noise-free light- or CO₂-response curve and to estimate reliably the photosynthetic potentials (see Supplementary Fig. S1 at *JXB* online). The leaves remained fresh and functional for several days controlled by stomatal conductance and fluorescent signals. The cut twigs showed stable values of night respiration for several days (see Supplementary Fig. S2 at *JXB* online). This method works well on Mediterranean oak species including *Q. ilex* as shown in other studies (Haldimann and Feller, 2004; Niinemets *et al.*, 2005; Sperlich *et al.*, 2015). This method provided us with the opportunity to look at the potential physiological properties under standardized conditions that are representative for each season of a control group and a drought treatment and that are independent of short-term meteorological variability (e.g. cloud cover, extreme temperatures, chilly periods, rain events) and unpredictable plant responses.

Analyses of gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence

Gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence were measured with a Li-Cor LI-6400XT Portable Photosynthesis System equipped with a LI-6400-40 Leaf Chamber Fluorometer (Li-Cor, Lincoln, USA). Response curves of net assimilation versus PPFD were recorded in parallel with chlorophyll fluorescence measurements on mature, fully expanded leaves. In the summer campaign, we additionally conducted response curves of net assimilation versus CO₂. Some of the *Q. ilex* leaves were too small to fill the leaf cuvette (2 cm²), so the measured parameters were adjusted after the measurements. The leaves were prepared and acclimated prior to recording the response curves as described by Sperlich *et al.* (2014). First, we measured the maximum quantum yield of photosystem II (PSII; unitless) [$F_v/F_m = (F_m - F_o)/F_m$] of a dark-adapted leaf (>30 min) at ambient CO₂ (C_a of 400 $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and T_{leaf} of 25 °C. F_o is the minimal fluorescence measured under darkness, and F_m is the maximal fluorescence measured after a saturating light pulse. F_v/F_m describes the fraction of absorbed photons used in photochemistry under dark conditions and serves as the primary stress indicator of the photosystems. Typical values range between 0.74 and 0.85. Ratios of <0.80 are indicative of induced photoprotection (sustained energy dissipation), and ratios <0.74 are indicative




Fig. 1. Environmental variables for the days of the year (DOY) from January to August 2013: rainfall (a), atmospheric vapour-pressure deficit (VPD) (b), and maximum and minimum temperatures (°C) (c) on the primary y-axes (red circles) and radiation (yellow crosses) on the secondary y-axes. The field campaigns are indicated.

of chronic photoinhibition (Björkman and Demmig, 1987; Maxwell and Johnson, 2000; Verhoeven, 2014). We then acclimated the leaf to saturating light conditions (PPFD of 1200 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) and simultaneously recorded gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence parameters at ambient CO_2 and T_{leaf} as above: net assimilation rate (A_{net} , $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), g_s , C_i , non-photochemical quenching (unitless) [$\text{NPQ}=(F_m - F_m')/F_m'$, where F_m' is the maximal fluorescence of a light-adapted leaf], and the effective quantum yield of PSII (unitless) [$\Phi_{\text{PSII}}=(F_m' - F_s)/F_m'$, where F_s is the steady-state fluorescence of a light-adapted leaf].

We used the relationship of A_{net} versus g_s to estimate the foliar water-use efficiency (WUE_i) which is defined as the amount of carbon gained per unit water used (Flexas *et al.*, 2013). The electron-transport rate based on the effective quantum yield of PSII (J_{CF} in $\mu\text{mol electron m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) was calculated as

$$J_{\text{CF}} = \epsilon * \Phi_{\text{PSII}} * \alpha_L * \text{PPFD} \quad (1)$$

where ϵ is a scaling factor accounting for the partitioning of intercepted light between PSI and PSII. We assumed that light was equally distributed between the two photosystems ($\epsilon=0.5$) (Bernacchi *et al.*, 2002; Niinemets *et al.*, 2005). The foliar absorbance (α_L , unitless) was 0.932 for *Q. ilex* (Sperlich *et al.*, 2014). J_{CF} at ambient CO_2 and saturating light was termed J_{amb} .

Light experiments

Light-response curves (A/PPFD) were generated by automatically applying changes in the photosynthetically active radiation with the LI-6400XT light source at a leaf chamber internal concentration (C_a) of 400 $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ mol air}^{-1}$. To obtain precise responses at the low range of the light gradient for estimating the daily mitochondrial respiration by the Kok effect (Kok, 1948), we used the following PPFD sequence (in $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$): 2500→2000→1500→1000→800→600→500→400→300→200→150→125→100→75→50→40→30→20→10→5→0. The minimum and maximum times between each light level for the generation of the A/PPFD curves were set to 1 and 2 min, respectively. The rapid changes in light levels prevented the correct adjustment of T_{leaf} . We fixed the Peltier-block temperature (T_{block}) in the leaf cuvette, so that T_{leaf} was 25 °C at the beginning of the A/PPFD curve. In the lower light levels where day respiration was estimated, T_{leaf} had dropped on average by 0.8 °C (standard error ± 0.0004). Day respiration (R_d in $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) was estimated from the light-response curves with the method proposed by Yin *et al.* (2009) combining measurements of gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence. This method amended the Kok method (Kok, 1948) by substituting the A/PPFD relationship with $A/(\text{PPFD} \times \Phi_{\text{PSII}}/4)$ (see Yin *et al.*, 2009, for details on the protocol). We estimated night respiration (R_n in $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) after darkening the leaf for 20–30 min, ensuring that all reaction centres had been closed (controlled with F_o). R_d and R_n were then normalized to unity at 25 °C with an Arrhenius function [parameter= $\exp(c - \Delta H_a/RT_k)$]. T_k is the leaf temperature (in Kelvin) and R is the molar gas constant (0.008314 $\text{kJ K}^{-1} \text{mol}^{-1}$). The values of the scaling constant c (18.72, dimensionless) and energy of activation ΔH_a (46.39 kJ mol^{-1}) for leaf respiration were taken from Bernacchi *et al.* (2001).

Thereafter, we applied a correction of R_d to account for the increase of C_i with decreases of PPFD described by Kirschbaum and Farquhar (1987). In this method, the intercept of plots of photosynthetic electron transport to PPFD is minimized through the adjustment of R_d via iteration (see Weerasinghe *et al.*, 2014, for details on the protocol).

With R_d from the light-response curves, we calculated the intrinsic carbon-use efficiency (CUE_i) as proportion of carbon assimilated per carbon respired (Gifford, 2003), which served as a rough indicator for the foliar carbon balance (Pattison *et al.*, 1998; Galmés *et al.*, 2007):

$$CUE_i = 1 - \frac{R_d}{A_{\text{net}}} \quad (2)$$

CO_2 experiments

The C_a concentrations used to generate the CO_2 -response curves were 400→300→200→150→100→50→400→400→600→800→1200→2000 $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ mol air}^{-1}$. T_{leaf} was set to 25 °C. The saturating PPFD used was 1200 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ based on light-response curves conducted prior to the measurements campaigns. The results of all light-response curves after the measurement campaign, however, indicated a saturating PPFD of 1500 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. The minimum and maximum times for stabilizing A_{net} , g_s , and C_i for each log were set to 4 and 6 min, respectively. Diffusion leakage was corrected as described by Flexas *et al.* (2007).

Estimation of mesophyll conductance

We estimated g_m ($\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{bar}^{-1}$) using the variable- J method of Harley *et al.* (1992):

$$g_m = \frac{A_{\text{net}}}{C_i - \frac{\Gamma^* [J_{\text{CF}} + 8(A_{\text{net}} + R_d)]}{J_{\text{CF}} - 4(A_{\text{net}} + R_d)}} \quad (3)$$

where Γ^* is the CO_2 concentration at which the photorespiratory efflux of CO_2 equals the rate of photosynthetic CO_2 (37.43 ppm at 25 °C). Γ^* and its temperature response were taken from Bernacchi *et al.* (2002). The chloroplastic CO_2 concentration (C_c in $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ mol air}^{-1}$) was determined as:

$$C_c = C_i - \frac{A_{\text{net}}}{g_m} \quad (4)$$

Photosynthesis model

The photosynthesis model of Farquhar *et al.* (1980) considers photosynthesis as the minimum of the potential rates of Rubisco activity (A_c) and ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) regeneration (A_j). A third limitation (A_p) was implemented that considers the limitation by triose-phosphate use at high CO_2 concentrations when the CO_2 response shows a plateau or decrease (Sharkey, 1985). The model was further modified by replacing C_i with C_c for the chloroplast where the actual carboxylation takes place (reviewed by Flexas *et al.*, 2008). As outlined above, we used the variable- J method for the C_c calculation to create A/C_c curves. The modelled assimilation rate A_{mod} was then calculated by the minimum of these three potential rates from the A/C_c curves:

$$A_{\text{mod}} = \min\{A_c, A_j, A_p\} \quad (5)$$

where:

$$A_c = V_{c,\text{max}} * \left[\frac{C_c - \Gamma^*}{C_c + K_c \left(1 + \frac{O}{K_o}\right)} \right] - R_d \quad (6)$$

where $V_{c,\text{max}}$ ($\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) is the maximum rate of Rubisco carboxylation, K_c is the Michaelis–Menten constant of Rubisco for CO_2 , O is the partial pressure of O_2 at Rubisco, and K_o is the Michaelis–Menten constant of Rubisco for O_2 , taken from Bernacchi *et al.* (2002). The equation representing photosynthesis limited by RuBP regeneration is:

$$A_j = J_{1200} * \left[\frac{C_c - \Gamma^*}{4C_c + 8\Gamma^*} \right] - R_d \quad (7)$$

where J_{1200} (in $\mu\text{mol electron m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) is the rate of electron transport at a PPFD of 1200 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ and saturating CO_2 .

We assumed that J_{1200} became J_{\max} under light and CO_2 saturation when the maximum possible rate of electron transport was theoretically achieved, although we may have underestimated the true J_{\max} (Buckley and Diaz-Espejo, 2015). The limitation of triose-phosphate use was estimated as:

$$A_p = \frac{3TPU * C_c}{C_c - (1 + 3\alpha_{TPU}) * \Gamma^*} - R_d \quad (8)$$

where TPU is the rate of triose-phosphate use at saturating CO_2 concentrations, and α_{TPU} is the proportion of glycerate not returned to the chloroplasts. Eqn 8 is from von Caemmerer (2000) after correcting a typographical error in the expression $3\alpha_{TPU}/2$ to $3\alpha_{TPU}$, as described by Gu *et al.* (2010). This equation fits the A/C_c curve plateau at high CO_2 when a further increase in C_c does not produce any increase of A_{net} anymore or, in some cases, even produces a decline of A_{net} .

In addition to the A/C_c curves, we replaced C_c with C_i in Eqns 6–8 and thus applied the above photosynthesis model to the traditional A/C_i curve. We used an adequate set of kinetic constants from Bernacchi *et al.* (2001). We considered $V_{c,\max}$, J_{1200} , and TPU from the A/C_c curve as the ‘true’ biochemical potential to drive photosynthesis whereas the parameters from the A/C_i curve were the ‘apparent’ photosynthetic potential.

Statistical analyses

We estimated the true and apparent values of $V_{c,\max}$, J_{1200} , and TPU from Eqns 6–8 with the SOLVER Excel tool. SOLVER iteratively changes the parameters to minimize the sum of squares of the deviation of observed A_{net} versus modelled A_{mod} . We then performed further statistical analyses with R version 3.0.2 (<http://www.r-project.org/>). Differences in the parameters between control and drought plots were determined with Student’s *t*-test ($P \leq 0.05$). The normality of the data was tested with the Shapiro–Wilk test, and the data was normalized if not normally distributed. One-factorial ANOVA with season as the main factor was tested for seasonal differences in the parameters. Significant differences were determined at $P \leq 0.05$ with Tukey’s honestly significant difference test. Linear regression analyses were conducted to study the relationships among various leaf traits such as A_{net}/g_s , A_{net}/g_m , $J_{1200}/V_{c,\max}$, g_m/g_s , and R_n/R_d . We tested for differences in regression slopes and intercepts with analyses of co-variance (ANCOVAs).

Results

Environmental conditions over the sampling period

Frost events were frequent in winter and snowfall was also observed. The maximum temperatures during the day were on an average 4.9 °C (Table 1). The spring was humid with a precipitation comparable to that in winter (246 and 269 mm, respectively) and was relatively cold (average of 12 °C) with

occasional night frosts (Fig. 1). Spring together with winter accounted for nearly 80% of the annual average precipitation. The summer, in contrast, was dry and warm (total precipitation of 21 mm and average temperature of 20.3 °C), with a vapour-pressure deficit (VPD) nearly twice as high as in spring (0.83 kPa) (Table 1). The partial rainfall exclusion reduced the soil water content (SWC) by a total of 13% from the beginning of the experiment in 1999 until the end of our measurement campaign in 2013. For the period of our measurement campaign, the SWC was on average 14% lower in the partial rainfall-exclusion plots compared with the control plots (Table 1). This difference was highest in spring, with a 24% lower SWC in the drought plots compared with the control plots.

Seasonal changes in photosynthetic parameters

We analysed the seasonality of the photosynthetic parameters using the full dataset independent of treatment. Winter had a strong effect on several parameters with lower average values than in spring and summer, except for R_n and C_i (Table 2). A_{net} , g_s , g_m , and F_v/F_m were significantly ($P < 0.05$) and R_d , C_c , CUE_i were marginally significantly ($P < 0.10$) lower in winter than in either spring or summer (Figs 2 and 3). In summer, we found the highest mean values of A_{net} , g_s , g_m , and C_c , which were significantly different from those in spring and winter (Fig. 3). F_v/F_m was also highest in summer, demonstrating that the photosynthetic systems in spring had not yet fully recovered from the low winter temperatures but operated at peak efficiency in summer (Fig. 4b). NPQ is an indicator for photoinhibitory stress and dissipation of excess energy and was lowest in spring (significantly different from both winter and summer) (Fig. 4a). Neither Φ_{CO_2} nor Φ_{PSII} differed significantly between the seasons (Table 2 and Supplementary Fig. S3 at JXB online). The saturating PPFDs for A_{net} and J_{cf} were 1484 and 1552, respectively, and did not change seasonally.


Several relationships were analysed with ANCOVAs to test whether seasonal changes in environmental conditions produced significant differences in slopes (Table 3). We analysed the relationship of A_{net}/g_s as an indicator for WUE_i . The slope of this relationship for the control group was significantly gentler in winter compared with spring and summer, indicating a lower WUE_i . For the relationship of A_{net}/g_m , we analysed the effect of the mesophyll internal CO_2 diffusion on net carbon assimilation. This relationship had a significantly steeper slope in winter in comparison with summer in the drought

Table 1. Dates and days of the year (DOY) for each season in 2013 with mean temperature (*T*), total precipitation (*Prec.*), mean vapour-pressure deficit (VPD), mean radiation, and the percentage of the difference in the soil water content between the control and drought plots (ΔSWC)

Season	Date	DOY	T (°C)	Prec. (mm)	VPD (kPa)	Radiation (W m ⁻²)	ΔSWC (%)
Winter	1 January–21 March 2013	1–79	4.9	269	0.20	9.1	5.3
Spring	22 March–21 June 2013	79–171	12.0	246	0.45	21.3	23.9
Summer	22 June–31 August 2013	172–242	20.3	21.8	0.83	25.0	7.7
Total	1 January–31 August 13	1–242	12.1	537	0.48	18.3	13.5

Table 2. Means (\pm standard errors) of a set of photosynthetic parameters and foliar traits for *Q. ilex* for the control group and the drought treatment in three seasonal campaigns ($n=5-9$)

Variable	Control			Drought		
	Winter	Spring	Summer	Winter	Spring	Summer
R_n	1.91 \pm 0.03	1.53 \pm 0.28	1.68 \pm 0.17	2.12 \pm 0.06	1.79 \pm 0.13	1.65 \pm 0.14
R_d	1.22 \pm 0.17	1.04 \pm 0.19	1.21 \pm 0.11	1.62 \pm 0.22	1.58 \pm 0.16	1.19 \pm 0.11
R_d/R_n	0.64 \pm 0.09	0.69 \pm 0.03	0.74 \pm 0.05	0.77 \pm 0.11	0.88 \pm 0.03	0.73 \pm 0.06
A_{net}	6.76 \pm 1.2	9.43 \pm 1.0	10.71 \pm 1.0	5.52 \pm 2.0	10.17 \pm 0.7	13.66 \pm 0.9
g_s	0.077 \pm 0.032	0.090 \pm 0.016	0.116 \pm 0.012	0.054 \pm 0.021	0.113 \pm 0.009	0.161 \pm 0.013
g_m	0.054 \pm 0.009	0.085 \pm 0.014	0.097 \pm 0.011	0.047 \pm 0.017	0.074 \pm 0.017	0.137 \pm 0.014
C_i	206 \pm 30	198 \pm 21	234 \pm 8	210 \pm 20	227 \pm 8	243 \pm 6
C_c	74 \pm 9	77 \pm 3	119 \pm 7	61 \pm 10	81 \pm 5	139 \pm 23
NPQ	2.70 \pm 0.29	0.82 \pm 0.02	2.97 \pm 0.26	2.61 \pm 0.14	0.80 \pm 0.02	2.74 \pm 0.31
F_v/F_m	0.80 \pm 0.011	0.81 \pm 0.007	0.83 \pm 0.005	0.78 \pm 0.022	0.80 \pm 0.007	0.82 \pm 0.005
Φ_{CO_2}	0.0074 \pm 0.0020	0.0092 \pm 0.0009	0.0102 \pm 0.0014	0.0054 \pm 0.0014	0.0097 \pm 0.0008	0.0119 \pm 0.0018
Φ_{PS2}	0.215 \pm 0.045	0.250 \pm 0.024	0.206 \pm 0.029	0.220 \pm 0.009	0.273 \pm 0.021	0.218 \pm 0.030
$V_{c,max}$			107 \pm 9			120 \pm 11
J_{max}			132 \pm 11			148 \pm 12
TPU			9.4 \pm 1.2			7.6 \pm 1.3

**Fig. 2.** Line graphs depicting seasonal changes of night respiration (R_n) (a), day respiration (R_d) (b), net assimilation rate (A_{net}) (c), and (d) carbon-use efficiency (CUE_i) (d) for *Q. ilex*. Seasonal campaigns were conducted in winter, spring, and summer 2013. Asterisks and asterisks in brackets indicate significant ($P<0.05$) and marginally significant ($P<0.1$) differences between the control and drought plots for each season, respectively. Different lower-case letters indicate differences between seasons. Vertical bars indicate standard errors of the mean ($n=59$).

group. The relationship of g_m/g_s unveils the relative contribution of stomatal and mesophyll diffusion limitation on the net carbon assimilation. The relationship of g_m/g_s was significantly steeper in the control plot in spring in comparison with summer. We analysed the relative importance of day and night mitochondrial respiration with the relationship of R_d/R_n . The slope was significantly steeper in winter compared with spring and summer in both the control and drought plots.

Effect of experimental drought

R_d/R_n for all seasons combined was significantly higher in the drought treatment (0.79 ± 0.06) compared with the control

plots (0.71 ± 0.03). No other general trends were detected. In the respective seasons, however, we found significant effects of the drought treatment, with several parameters showing higher average values compared with the control group (Figs 2 and 3): A_{net} , g_s , and g_m were significantly higher, and CUE_i and C_c were marginally significantly higher in summer, and R_d was marginally significantly higher in spring. We conducted carbon-response curves in summer only (see Material and methods). J_{1200} , $V_{c,max}$, and TPU were thus only available for the summer campaign. The drought treatment had no significant effect on these photosynthetic potentials when estimated from an A/C_c curve (Fig. 5). Additionally, we estimated the apparent photosynthetic potential from A/C_i curves. The




Fig. 3. Line graphs depicting seasonal changes of stomatal conductance (g_s) (a), mesophyll conductance (g_m) (b), stomatal internal CO_2 concentration (C_i) (c), and chloroplastic CO_2 concentration (C_c) (d) in sunlit leaves of *Q. ilex*. Seasonal campaigns were conducted in winter, spring, and summer 2013. Asterisks and asterisks in brackets indicate significant ($P < 0.05$) and marginally significant ($P < 0.1$) differences between the control and drought plots for each season, respectively. Different lower-case letters indicate differences between seasons. Vertical bars indicate standard errors of the means ($n=59$).




Fig. 4. Line graphs depicting seasonal changes of non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) (a) and maximum quantum efficiency of PSII (F_v/F_m) (b) for *Q. ilex*. Seasonal campaigns were conducted in winter, spring, and summer 2013. Different lower-case letters indicate differences between seasons. Vertical bars indicate standard errors of the means ($n=59$).

drought treatment had a marginally significant effect on the apparent J_{1200} and apparent $V_{c,max}$ with lower values in the control plot, but no effect on the apparent TPU (Fig. 5). A comparison of the photosynthetic potential from A/C_i and A/C_c curves indicated that the foliar internal diffusion limitation imposed by g_m accounted on average for a 54% higher $V_{c,max}$ and a 30% higher J_{1200} and a 29% higher TPU with regard to the apparent photosynthetic potential.

The ANCOVAs in the respective seasons identified significant differences in slopes as a result of the experimental drought. The slope of A_{net}/g_s was significantly steeper in the control compared with the treatment group in the winter campaign, indicating a higher WUE_i in the control group (Fig. 6). The slope of A_{net}/g_m was significantly steeper in the control group compared with the treatment group in the summer campaign (Fig. 6). The overall slope of g_m/g_s was significantly steeper in the control group compared with the treatment group when all seasons were combined (Fig. 6). The slope of

R_d/R_n was significantly gentler in the control group compared with the treatment group in the spring campaign and when all seasons were combined. Neither season nor treatment significantly affected the slopes of A_{net}/R_d , A_{net}/R_n , J_{amb}/A_{net} , and C_i/C_c (Supplementary Tables S1–S4 at *JXB* online).

Discussion

The scaling of carbon dynamics from one year to another is particularly challenging in Mediterranean environments due to climate extremes combined with a high interannual variability (Reynolds *et al.*, 1996; Morales *et al.*, 2005; Gullás *et al.*, 2009). We aimed to investigate the effect of seasonal changes in temperature and precipitation from winter to spring and summer on the photosynthetic and respiratory traits of a widely abundant Mediterranean tree species. However, abiotic stress under field conditions often hampers gas-exchange measurements due to deviations from the standard temperature

(25 °C) or unpredictable plant responses, e.g. patchy stomatal conductance (Mott and Buckley, 1998, 2000), making it impossible to conduct response curves for the estimation of photosynthetic and respiratory parameters. Our data was thus obtained on cut twigs under standardized conditions in

Table 3. Regression equations and coefficients of determination (R^2) for A_{net}/g_s , A_{net}/g_m , g_m/g_s and R_d/R_n for *Q. ilex* in three sampling campaigns in the control and drought plots

P values indicate the significance of the differences between the slopes for the control and drought plots. Equations for non-significant relationships are not displayed ($n=5-9$).

Variable	Campaign	Plot	Equation	R^2	P
A_{net}/g_s	Total	Control	$y=60.7x+3.68$	0.72	0.417
		Drought	$y=74.7x+1.92$	0.88	
	Winter 2013	Control	$y=36.1x+3.98$	0.86	0.009
		Drought	$y=94.9x+0.39$	0.92	
	Spring 2013	Control	$y=104.1x+1.51$	0.98	0.380
		Drought	$y=74.0x+2.71$	0.68	
	Summer 2013	Control	$y=79.1x+1.49$	0.89	0.222
		Drought	$y=53.9x+5.01$	0.64	
A_{net}/g_m	Total	Control	$y=79.3x+2.61$	0.77	0.513
		Drought	$y=70.2x+4.00$	0.75	
	Winter 2013	Control			0.279
		Drought	$y=115.1x+0.08$	0.62	
	Spring 2013	Control	$y=88.5x+1.01$	0.92	0.521
		Drought	$y=63.8x+5.17$	0.80	
	Summer 2013	Control	$y=88.8x+2.07$	0.85	0.040
		Drought	$y=30.5x+9.47$	0.10	
g_m/g_s	Total	Control	$y=0.254x+0.059$	0.06	0.011
		Drought	$y=0.757x+0.011$	0.57	
	Winter 2013	Control			-
		Drought	$y=0.595x+0.017$	0.56	
	Spring 2013	Control	$y=1.051x+0.015$	0.86	0.337
		Drought	$y=0.637x+0.015$	0.27	
	Summer 2013	Control	$y=0.758x+0.009$	0.75	0.949
		Drought	$y=0.732x+0.020$	0.30	
R_d/R_n	Total	Control	$y=0.540x+0.263$	0.59	0.0035
		Drought	$y=0.980x-0.272$	0.68	
	Winter 2013	Control	$y=4.05x-6.14$	0.78	0.279
		Drought	$y=1.036x-0.343$	0.61	
	Spring 2013	Control	$y=0.639x+0.063$	0.96	0.0126
		Drought	$y=1.147x-0.427$	0.95	
	Summer 2013	Control			-
		Drought	$y=0.487x+0.373$	0.38	

order to provide insights into the photosynthetic and respiratory potential independently of meteorological variability in the field. The cutting-twig method allowed us to analyse the *long-term acclimation* to the environmental conditions from which the twigs were derived and has been applied by other experts in the field (e.g. Epron and Dreyer, 1992; Niinemets *et al.*, 1999, 2005; Laisk *et al.*, 2002; Haldimann and Feller, 2004; Heskell *et al.*, 2014; Dusenge *et al.*, 2015). Our study thus provides a mechanistic description of seasonal changes in photosynthetic and respiratory processes under long-term drought that contributes to improve our understanding of the impacts of future climate change in Mediterranean-type ecosystems.

Effect of seasonality on photosynthetic and respiratory traits

We found that cold winter temperatures had a stronger negative impact on the leaf physiology of *Q. ilex* than summer drought. The standardized A_{net} under controlled conditions (see Material and methods) was approximately half the rate in winter compared with the peak found in summer, yet relatively high average winter values were reached (6.5 ± 1.3) that were comparable to those reported in other studies (Gratani, 1996; Ogaya and Peñuelas, 2003). Both g_m and g_s reduced the CO_2 concentration in the chloroplasts in winter compared with spring and summer. In winter, however, g_m limited photosynthesis relatively more than g_s . High water availability and low VPDs make the reduction of transpiratory water loss through stomatal closure less urgent in the winter period. There is some evidence that g_m acts as a stronger regulator for photosynthesis in winter (Sperlich *et al.*, 2014), although very few studies have examined the behaviour of g_m under natural winter conditions. Low temperatures in winter hamper photosynthetic metabolism and enzymatic activities (e.g. Corcuera *et al.*, 2004; Aranda *et al.*, 2005), which may account for a concurrent downregulation of photosynthesis through g_m , as our results indicated. This was paralleled by a drastic decrease in the foliar carbon-use efficiency. In winter, chilly or freezing temperatures often coincide with clear skies and relatively high solar irradiances. The imbalance created between light energy absorbed in photochemistry and light energy used in metabolism increases the susceptibility to photoinhibitory stress (Demmig-Adams and Adams, 1992). This imbalance is particularly problematic




Fig. 5. Bar graphs of maximum carboxylation rate ($V_{c,max}$) (a), electron-transport rate at saturating light and CO_2 (J_{1200}) (b), and triose-phosphate use (TPU) (c) estimated with CO_2 -response curves based on C_i (A/C_i) and C_c (A/C_c) in the control and drought plots for the summer campaign. Marginal significant differences ($P < 0.1$) between the control and drought plots are indicated by asterisks in brackets. Vertical bars indicate standard errors of the means (control, $n=7$; drought, $n=8$).




Fig. 6. Scatter plots and regression lines of stomatal conductance (g_s) versus net assimilation rate (A_{net}) (a), mesophyll conductance (g_m) versus A_{net} (b), g_s versus g_m (c) and night respiration (R_n) versus day respiration (R_d) (d) for each season and for control and drought plots. Only the regression lines for significant relationships ($P < 0.05$) are displayed.

for the evergreen vegetation, and thermal acclimation to winter conditions is essential to survive these adverse conditions (Blumler, 1991; Öquist and Huner, 2003). As a response, thylakoid membranes are reorganized, reaction centres are closed, and antennal size is reduced in order to protect the photosynthetic apparatus against overexcitation by the incoming radiation (García-Plazaola *et al.*, 1997; Huner *et al.*, 1998; Ensminger *et al.*, 2012; Verhoeven, 2014). The increased NPQ and decreased F_v/F_m found in our study are good proxies for these photoprotective processes in the thylakoid membranes, indicating an increased thermal dissipation of excess energy and a decreased photochemical efficiency (Maxwell and Johnson, 2000). Thus, we found that *Q. ilex* acclimated to the winter conditions with reoccurring night frosts, and exploited the winter period photosynthetically at the cost of lower assimilation rates and a lower carbon-use efficiency (see also Hurry *et al.*, 2000; Dolman *et al.*, 2002; Sperlich *et al.*, 2014). We underline the fact that winter acclimation and exploitation can be essential for Mediterranean evergreen tree species to recover from stressful summer periods and to achieve a positive annual carbon balance.

Notably lower NPQs in spring indicate that the photosystems experienced the least amount of photochemical stress in this period. This is because the spring in our study was particularly cool and wet and was characterized by a low VPD. The high NPQ in winter and summer, in contrast, reflects strong photoprotection against photoinhibitory stress due to the temperature extremes. However, the photoprotective mechanisms seemed to be effective: the optimal light intensity for net assimilation and the electron transport (approximately $1500 \mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ for both) and the effective quantum yield of PSII (Φ_{PSII}) (Supplementary Fig. S3) did not change between the seasons.

The assimilation rates and the carbon-use efficiency increased from winter to spring, although it was not until summer that the peak photosynthetic activity was reached. The elevated F_v/F_m underlines the fact that the photosynthetic apparatus fully recovered its maximum photochemical efficiency in summer. This contrasts with a very low total precipitation measured during the summer (22 mm). However, *Q. ilex* can benefit from water reserves in deep soil layers and also in rock fractures (Barbeta *et al.*, 2015), which also explains its water-spending behaviour during drier periods (Sánchez-Costa *et al.*, 2015). It is known that *Q. ilex* develops a profound root system with a lignotuber that can make up as much as half of the total tree biomass (Canadell *et al.*, 1999), which is vital to withstand abiotic stress periods or disturbances. The precipitation in winter and spring together nearly reached the annual mean, so that deep soil water reserves are likely to have been yet filled in summer. High water availability in combination with high summer temperatures can account for the high photosynthetic activity in a potential water stress period. The replenishment of soil water reserves early in the growing season is critical to endure seasonal summer droughts in Mediterranean trees (Sperlich *et al.*, 2015). Pinto *et al.* (2014) also found the highest sap flow rates of *Quercus suber* L. in summer because its roots had access to the groundwater.

Effect of rainfall exclusion on photosynthetic and respiratory traits

Drought experiments with rainfall exclusion under natural conditions can serve as valuable real-time model simulations for scenarios of future climate change. Unfortunately, long-term experiments over several years are costly and laborious

and thus are particularly scarce. The rainfall exclusion in Prades, maintained since 1999, has reduced soil moisture by 13% with respect to ambient conditions and is probably the longest continuous-drought experiment worldwide (Wu *et al.*, 2011; Ogaya *et al.*, 2014).

Plants face a trade-off under water stress: the closure of the stomata reduces transpiratory water loss but at the same time constrains CO₂ diffusion to the chloroplasts. Besides g_s , g_m can act as a second leaf internal valve regulating the gas exchange through carbonic anhydrase and aquaporins and can thus help to prevent dehydration of vacuoles and cells (Terashima and Ono, 2002; Lopez *et al.*, 2013; Perez-Martin *et al.*, 2014). When chronic water stress begins to deplete stores of non-structural carbohydrates, plants are particularly reliant on photosynthetic products for refinement, repair, and protective actions (Niinemets *et al.*, 2009). We have provided novel evidence that g_m not only imposes an additional leaf internal resistance to gas exchange but can also facilitate the CO₂ diffusion to the chloroplasts in comparison with a stronger control by stomata under drought. This was reflected by a comparatively higher g_m that increased the g_m/g_s ratio under long-term drought (see also Galmés *et al.*, 2013). Our results are supported by recent findings obtained in *Q. ilex* and *Pinus halepensis* leaves showing a higher leaf internal CO₂ conductance in parallel with a stricter stomatal control under severe drought (Sperlich *et al.*, 2015).

In addition to the importance of the diffusive capacity of stomata and mesophyll for the foliar carbon balance, this balance also depends strongly on the relationship of photosynthesis with respiration. However, the extent to which R_n or R_d are affected by water scarcity is highly uncertain. We found that R_d was approximately 74% of R_n and that the long-term rainfall-exclusion experiment increased the ratio of R_d/R_n (0.79 ± 0.04) compared with the control plot (0.71 ± 0.03) due to a higher R_d . Some studies found increased foliar respiration under severe water stress (Ghashghaie *et al.*, 2001), but reductions were also reported (Flexas *et al.*, 2006). The leaf may exert an acclimation of the respiratory metabolism through R_d because the demands for energy (ATP and NADPH) for synthesis of sucrose and carbon skeletons in the cytoplasm are higher under stressful conditions (Flexas *et al.*, 2006; Zaragoza-Castells *et al.*, 2007). R_d provides the basis for building up heat-stabilizing components such as heat-shock proteins or biogenic volatile organic compounds protecting the plant against detrimental effects (Tcherkez and Ribas-Carbó, 2012). Higher photoinhibitory stress can thus increase the respiratory metabolic activity expressed as a higher protein turnover at a given overall protein content (Niinemets, 2014; Weerasinghe *et al.*, 2014). This might explain the generally higher values of R_d that we found in the drought treatment. A lower stress level would evidently lead to a lower demand for energy and carbon skeletons and hence to a lower protein turnover. We found an indication for this in the effective photoprotective mechanism and lower rates of R_d in the summer campaign characterized by favourable conditions. In contrast to the results of Zaragoza-Castells *et al.* (2007), in our study only R_d but not R_n acclimated seasonally. The higher R_d in the drought treatment decreased significantly and coincided with the lower values of the control group, which

remained unaffected throughout the seasons. This decrease of R_d in the drought treatment in summer—paralleled by higher rates of photosynthesis—significantly decreased R_d/R_n and increased the foliar carbon-use efficiency. Griffin and Turnbull (2013) showed that a decreased R_d/R_n can be explained by a suppressed light-saturated rate of oxygenation in photorespiration. Although we did not measure photorespiration directly, our data showed increased g_m and thus elevated CO₂ concentrations in the chloroplasts (increase of 35% from spring to summer) (Fig. 3), which would benefit carboxylation over oxygenation. Overall, we found that R_d —as the key player for the foliar carbon balance in *Q. ilex*—was the most responsive to seasons or treatment effects.

We found that the drought treatment had no significant effect on J_{1200} , $V_{c,max}$, or TPU in the summer campaign. Our results emphasize that the increased photosynthetic activity in the drought treatment in summer was not attributed to a higher potential in the biochemistry of photosynthesis, but rather than to an increased diffusive capacity of both g_s and g_m . Interestingly, analysis of the apparent J_{1200} and apparent $V_{c,max}$ (derived from A/C_i curves) identified marginally significant higher values in the rainfall-exclusion plot. This shows that the A/C_c method is more appropriate and that the traditional fitting method based on A/C_i curves may lead to confounding effects—as also shown in grapevines by Flexas *et al.* (2006). The foliar internal diffusion limitation imposed by g_m accounted on average for a 54% higher $V_{c,max}$, a 30% higher J_{max} , and a 29% higher TPU of the apparent photosynthetic potential. Similar values were reported for nearly 130 C₃ species in a recent study by Sun *et al.* (2014).

We postulate firstly, that the summer period provided counterintuitively favourable conditions (as discussed above), and secondly, that the trees in the drought treatment acclimated most efficiently the balance between energy supply versus energy consumption in this period. Recent findings underscore the high plasticity of *Q. ilex* in response to seasonal changes in temperature or soil water compared with other Mediterranean species (Sperlich *et al.*, 2015). The rainfall-exclusion experiment in Prades was shown to result in a higher stem mortality (Barbeta *et al.*, 2013) and in a reduced leaf area in *Q. ilex* (Ogaya and Peñuelas, 2006), while increasing leaf mass per area (data not shown). With fewer leaves and lower total leaf area, the concentration of biochemical resources per leaf would increase. This might contribute to explaining the partly higher photosynthetic rates and carbon-use efficiency in the drought treatment. Sperlich *et al.* (2015) also found higher photosynthetic potentials in crowns that suffered a reduced total leaf area after a severe drought.

In this study, we examined the seasonality of photosynthetic and respiratory traits and evaluated the adaptive mechanism in response to reduced soil water under partial rainfall exclusion. A high climatic variability in the Mediterranean region can lead to counterintuitive effects, with the peak photosynthetic activity in summer, which is usually characterized by a high level of abiotic stress. The trees experiencing a 14-year-long drought treatment adapted through a higher plasticity in photosynthetic traits, so that eventually an atypical favourable growth period in summer was exploited more

efficiently, with g_m and R_d as the determining parameters. Drought-induced growth declines may be attenuated in the long-term through morphological and physiological acclimation to drought (Leuzinger *et al.*, 2011; Barbeta *et al.*, 2013). Fewer leaves in the drought treatment were compensated by higher net photosynthetic rates. The similarity of photosynthetic potentials in the treatment and control plots suggests that there is also a dampening effect on the biochemical level.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at *JXB* online.

Supplementary Fig. S1. Four exemplary samples of carbon-response curves conducted at leaves of (i) twigs attached to the tree (field), (ii) after cutting and pre-conditioning the twigs under dim light in water in the lab for one night (day 1) and (iii) two nights (day 2).

Supplementary Fig. S2. Bar chart depicting the evolution of night respiration (R_n) of *Q. ilex* directly after cutting the twig and after darkening for 30 min (field), and at day 1, 2, and 3 after being pre-conditioned under dim light in water in the laboratory.

Supplementary Fig. S3. Line graphs depicting seasonal changes of (a) quantum yield of CO_2 (Φ_{CO_2}) and (b) effective quantum yield of PSII (Φ_{PSII}) for *Q. ilex*.

Supplementary Fig. S4. Scatter plots and regression lines of maximum carboxylation rate ($V_{c,\text{max}}$) versus maximum rate of electron transport (J_{max}) derived from (a) A/C_c and (b) A/C_i response curves for control and drought plots in summer 2013.

Supplementary Table S1. Regression equations and coefficients of determination (R^2) for A_{net}/R_d for *Q. ilex* in three sampling campaigns in control and drought plots.

Supplementary Table S2. Regression equations and coefficients of determination (R^2) for A_{net}/R_n for *Q. ilex* in three sampling campaigns in control and drought plots.

Supplementary Table S3. Regression equations and coefficients of determination (R^2) for $J_{\text{amb}}/A_{\text{net}}$ for *Q. ilex* in three sampling campaigns in control and drought plots.

Supplementary Table S4. Regression equations and coefficients of determination (R^2) for C_i/C_c for *Q. ilex* in three sampling campaigns in control and drought plots.

Acknowledgements

The research was funded by the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme GREENCYCLESII (FP7 2007–2013) under grant agreements n° 238366 and by the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad under grant agreement n° CGL2010590-C02-01 (MED_FORESTREAM project) and n° CSD2008-00040 (Consolider-Ingenio MONTES project). AB, RO and JP acknowledge funding from the Spanish Government g1-3rant CGL2013-48074-P, the Catalan Government project SGR 2014-274, and the European Research Council Synergy grant ERC-SyG-610028 IMBALANCE-P. M.

References

Allen CD, Macalady AK, Chenchouni H, Bachelet D, McDowell N, Vennetier M, Kitzberger T, Rigling A, Breshears DD, Hogg EH (Ted). 2010. A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality

reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* **259**, 660–684.

Anderegg WRL, Kane JM, Anderegg LDL. 2013. Consequences of widespread tree mortality triggered by drought and temperature stress. *Nature Climate Change* **3**, 30–36.

Aranda I, Castro L, Alía R, Pardos JA, Gil L. 2005. Low temperature during winter elicits differential responses among populations of the Mediterranean evergreen cork oak (*Quercus suber*). *Tree Physiology* **25**, 1085–1090.

Atkin OK, Macherel D. 2009. The crucial role of plant mitochondria in orchestrating drought tolerance. *Annals of botany* **103**, 581–597.

Barbeta A, Mejía-Chang M, Ogaya R, Voltas J, Dawson TE, Peñuelas J. 2015. The combined effects of a long-term experimental drought and an extreme drought on the use of plant-water sources in a Mediterranean forest. *Global Change Biology* **21**, 1213–1225.

Barbeta A, Ogaya R, Peñuelas J. 2013. Dampening effects of long-term experimental drought on growth and mortality rates of a Holm oak forest. *Global Change Biology* **19**, 1–12.

Bernacchi CJ, Portis AR, Nakano H, Caemmerer S Von, Long SP. 2002. Temperature response of mesophyll conductance. Implications for the determination of Rubisco enzyme kinetics and for limitations to photosynthesis in vivo. *Plant Physiology* **130**, 1992–1998.

Bernacchi CJ, Singaas EL, Pimentel C, Portis Jr a. R, Long SP. 2001. Improved temperature response functions for models of Rubisco-limited photosynthesis. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **24**, 253–259.

Björkman O, Demmig B. 1987. Photon yield of O_2 evolution and chlorophyll fluorescence characteristics at 77 K among vascular plants of diverse origins. *Planta* **170**, 489–504.

Blumler MA. 1991. Winter-deciduous versus evergreen habit in Mediterranean regions : a model. In: USDA forest service general technical report PSW-126, 194–197.

Buckley TN, Diaz-Espejo A. 2015. Reporting estimates of maximum potential electron transport rate. *New Phytologist* **205**, 14–17.

Canadell J, Djema A, López B, Lloret F, Sabaté S, Siscart D, Gracia CA. 1999. Structure and dynamics of the root system. In: Rodà F, Retana J, Gracia CA, Bellot J, eds. *Ecology of Mediterranean evergreen oak forests*. Berlin/Heidelberg: Springer, 47–59.

Carnicer J, Coll M, Ninyerola M, Pons X, Sánchez G, Peñuelas J. 2011. Widespread crown condition decline, food web disruption, and amplified tree mortality with increased climate change-type drought. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **108**, 1474–1478.

Di Castri F. 1973. Climatographical comparison between Chile and the western coast of North America. In: Di Castri F, Mooney HA, eds. *Mediterranean-type ecosystems: origin and structure*. Berlin: Springer, 21–36.

Catoni R, Varone L, Gratani L. 2013. Variations in leaf respiration across different seasons for Mediterranean evergreen species. *Photosynthetica* **51**, 295–304.

Corcuera L, Morales F, Abadia A, Gil-Pelegrin E. 2004. The effect of low temperatures on the photosynthetic apparatus of *Quercus ilex* subsp. *ballota* at its lower and upper altitudinal limits in the Iberian peninsula and during a single freezing-thawing cycle. *Trees* **19**, 99–108.

Demmig-Adams B, Adams WW. 1992. Photoprotection and other responses of plants to high light stress. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* **43**, 599–626.

Dolman AJ, Moors EJ, Elbers JA. 2002. The carbon uptake of a mid latitude pine forest growing on sandy soil. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **111**, 157–170.

Dusenge ME, Wallin G, Gårdesten J, Niyonzima F, Adolfsson L, Nsabimana D, Uddling J. 2015. Photosynthetic capacity of tropical montane tree species in relation to leaf nutrients, successional strategy and growth temperature. *Oecologia* **177**, 1183–1194.

Ensminger I, Berninger F, Streb P. 2012. Response of photosynthesis to low temperature. In: Flexas J, Loreto F, Medrano H, eds. *Terrestrial photosynthesis in a changing environment—a molecular, physiological and ecological approach*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 272–289.

Epron D, Dreyer E. 1992. Effects of severe dehydration on leaf photosynthesis in *Quercus petraea* (Matt.) Liebl.: photosystem II efficiency, photochemical and nonphotochemical fluorescence quenching and electrolyte leakage. *Tree Physiology* **10**, 273–284.

- Farquhar GD, von Caemmerer S, Berry JA.** 1980. A biochemical model of photosynthesis CO₂ assimilation in leaves of C3 species. *Planta* **149**, 78–90.
- Flexas J, Bota J, Galmés J, Medrano H, Ribas-carbo M.** 2006. Keeping a positive carbon balance under adverse conditions : responses of photosynthesis and respiration to water stress. *Physiologia Plantarum* **127**, 343–352.
- Flexas J, Díaz-Espejo A, Berry JA, Cifre J, Galmés J, Kaldenhoff R, Medrano H, Ribas-Carbó M.** 2007. Analysis of leakage in IRGA's leaf chambers of open gas exchange systems: quantification and its effects in photosynthesis parameterization. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **58**, 1533–1543.
- Flexas J, Diaz-Espejo A, Gago J, Gallé A, Galmés J, Gulías J, Medrano H.** 2014. Photosynthetic limitations in Mediterranean plants: a review. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **103**, 12–23.
- Flexas J, Niinemets U, Gallé A, et al.** 2013. Diffusional conductances to CO₂ as a target for increasing photosynthesis and photosynthetic water-use efficiency. *Photosynthesis research* **117**, 45–59.
- Flexas J, Ribas-Carbó M, Díaz-Espejo A, Galmés J, Medrano H.** 2008. Mesophyll conductance to CO₂: current knowledge and future prospects. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **31**, 602–621.
- Friend AD.** 2010. Terrestrial plant production and climate change. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **61**, 1293–1309.
- Galmés J, Perdomo JA, Flexas J, Whitney SM.** 2013. Photosynthetic characterization of Rubisco transplasmic lines reveals alterations on photochemistry and mesophyll conductance. *Photosynthesis Research* **115**, 153–166.
- Galmés J, Ribas-Carbó M, Medrano H, Flexas J.** 2007. Response of leaf respiration to water stress in Mediterranean species with different growth forms. *Journal of Arid Environments* **68**, 206–222.
- García-Plazaola JI, Faria T, Abadía J, Abadía A, Chaves MM, Pereira JS.** 1997. Seasonal changes in xanthophyll composition and photosynthesis of cork oak (*Quercus suber* L.) leaves under Mediterranean climate. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **48**, 1667–1674.
- Ghashghaie J, Duranceau M, Badeck FW, Cornic G, Adeline MT, Deleens E.** 2001. ¹³C of CO₂ respired in the dark in relation to ¹³C of leaf metabolites: comparison between *Nicotiana glauca* and *Helianthus annuus* under drought. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **24**, 505–515.
- Gifford RM.** 2003. Plant respiration in productivity models: conceptualisation, representation and issues for global terrestrial carbon-cycle research. *Functional Plant Biology* **30**, 171.
- Gratani L.** 1996. Leaf and shoot growth dynamics of *Quercus ilex* L. *Acta Oecologica* **17**, 17–27.
- Griffin KL, Turnbull MH.** 2013. Light saturated RuBP oxygenation by Rubisco is a robust predictor of light inhibition of respiration in *Triticum aestivum* L. *Plant Biology* **15**, 769–775.
- Gu L, Pallardy SG, Tu K, Law BE, Wullschlegel SD.** 2010. Reliable estimation of biochemical parameters from C₃ leaf photosynthesis-intercellular carbon dioxide response curves. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **33**, 1852–74.
- Gulías J, Cifre J, Jonasson S, Medrano H, Flexas J.** 2009. Seasonal and inter-annual variations of gas exchange in thirteen woody species along a climatic gradient in the Mediterranean island of Mallorca. *Flora—Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants* **204**, 169–181.
- Haldimann P, Feller U.** 2004. Inhibition of photosynthesis by high temperature in oak (*Quercus pubescens* L.) leaves grown under natural conditions closely correlates with a reversible heat-dependent reduction of the activation state of ribulose-1,5- biphosphate carboxylase /oxygenase. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **27**, 1169–1183.
- Harley PC, Loreto F, Di Marco G, Sharkey TD.** 1992. Theoretical considerations when estimating the mesophyll conductance to CO₂ flux by analysis of the response of photosynthesis to CO₂. *Plant Physiology* **98**, 1429–1436.
- Heskel MA, Bitterman D, Atkin OK, Turnbull MH, Griffin KL.** 2014. Seasonality of foliar respiration in two dominant plant species from the Arctic tundra: response to long-term warming and short-term temperature variability. *Functional Plant Biology* **41**, 287–300.
- Hickler T, Fronzek S, Araújo MB, Schweiger O, Thuiller W, Sykes MT.** 2009. An ecosystem model-based estimate of changes in water availability differs from water proxies that are commonly used in species distribution models. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **18**, 304–313.
- Huner NPA, Öquist G, Sarhan F.** 1998. Energy balance and acclimation to light and cold. *Trends in Plant Science* **3**, 224–230.
- Hurry V, Strand a, Furbank R, Stitt M.** 2000. The role of inorganic phosphate in the development of freezing tolerance and the acclimatization of photosynthesis to low temperature is revealed by the pho mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant Journal* **24**, 383–96.
- IPCC.** 2013. Summary for policymakers. In: T.F. S, Qin D, Plattner G-K, Tignor M, Allen SK, Boschung J, Nauels A, Xia Y, Bex V, Midgley PM, eds. *Climate change 2013: the physical science basis*. Cambridge, UK, and New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Jump AS, Peñuelas J.** 2005. Running to stand still: adaptation and the response of plants to rapid climate change. *Ecology Letters* **8**, 1010–1020.
- Kirschbaum MU, Farquhar GD.** 1987. Investigation of the CO₂ dependence of quantum yield and respiration in *Eucalyptus pauciflora*. *Plant Physiology* **83**, 1032–1036.
- Kok B.** 1948. A critical consideration of the quantum yield of *Chlorella*-photosynthesis. *Enzymologia* **13**, 1–56.
- Laisk A, Oja V, Rasulov B, Rämme H, Eichelmann H, Kasparova I, Pettai H, Padu E.** 2002. A computer-operated routine of gas exchange and optical measurements to diagnose photosynthetic apparatus. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **25**, 923–943.
- Leuzinger S, Luo Y, Beier C, Dieleman W, Vicca S, Körner C.** 2011. Do global change experiments overestimate impacts on terrestrial ecosystems? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **26**, 236–241.
- Limousin J-M, Misson L, Lavoie A-V, Martin NK, Rambal S.** 2010. Do photosynthetic limitations of evergreen *Quercus ilex* leaves change with long-term increased drought severity? *Plant, Cell and Environment* **33**, 863–875.
- Lookingbill TR, Zavala MA.** 2000. Spatial pattern of *Quercus ilex* and *Quercus pubescens* recruitment in *Pinus halepensis* dominated woodlands. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **11**, 607–612.
- Lopez D, Venisse J-S, Fumanal B, Chaumont F, Guillot E, Daniels MJ, Cochard H, Julien J-L, Gousset-Dupont A.** 2013. Aquaporins and leaf hydraulics: poplar sheds new light. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **54**, 1963–1975.
- Martínez-Vilalta J, Piñol J.** 2002. Drought-induced mortality and hydraulic architecture in pine populations of the NE Iberian Peninsula. *Forest Ecology and Management* **161**, 247–256.
- Martin-StPaul NK, Limousin J-M, Rodríguez-Calcerrada J, Ruffault J, Rambal S, Matthew LG, Misson L.** 2012. Photosynthetic sensitivity to drought varies among populations of *Quercus ilex* along a rainfall gradient. *Functional Ecology* **39**, 25–37.
- Matusick G, Ruthrof KX, Brouwers NC, Dell B, Hardy GSJ.** 2013. Sudden forest canopy collapse corresponding with extreme drought and heat in a Mediterranean-type eucalypt forest in southwestern Australia. *European Journal of Forest Research* **132**, 497–510.
- Maxwell K, Johnson GN.** 2000. Chlorophyll fluorescence—a practical guide. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **51**, 659–668.
- Morales P, Sykes MT, Prentice IC, et al.** 2005. Comparing and evaluating process-based ecosystem model predictions of carbon and water fluxes in major European forest biomes. *Global Change Biology* **11**, 2211–2233.
- Mott KA, Buckley TN.** 1998. Stomatal heterogeneity. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **49**, 407–417.
- Mott KA, Buckley TN.** 2000. Patchy stomatal conductance: emergent collective behaviour of stomata. *Trends in Plant Science* **1355**, 258–262.
- Niinemets U.** 2014. Improving modeling of the 'dark part' of canopy carbon gain. *Tree Physiology* **34**, 557–563.
- Niinemets Ü.** 2015. Is there a species spectrum within the world-wide leaf economics spectrum? Major variations in leaf functional traits in the Mediterranean sclerophyll *Quercus ilex*. *New Phytologist* **205**, 79–96.
- Niinemets Ü, Cescatti A, Rodeghiero M, Tosens T.** 2005. Leaf internal diffusion conductance limits photosynthesis more strongly in older leaves of Mediterranean evergreen broad-leaved species. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **28**, 1552–1566.
- Niinemets U, Díaz-Espejo A, Flexas J, Galmés J, Warren CR.** 2009. Role of mesophyll diffusion conductance in constraining potential

- photosynthetic productivity in the field. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **60**, 2249–70.
- Niinemets Ü, Keenan T.** 2014. Photosynthetic responses to stress in Mediterranean evergreens: mechanisms and models. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **103**, 24–41.
- Niinemets Ü, Oja V, Kull O.** 1999. Shape of leaf photosynthetic electron transport versus temperature response curve is not constant along canopy light gradients in temperate deciduous trees. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **22**, 1497–1513.
- Ogaya R, Llusà J, Barbeta A, Asensio D, Liu D, Alessio GA, Peñuelas J.** 2014. Foliar CO₂ in a holm oak forest subjected to 15 years of climate change simulation. *Plant Science* **226**, 101–7.
- Ogaya R, Peñuelas J.** 2003. Comparative seasonal gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence of two dominant woody species in a Holm Oak Forest. *Flora* **198**, 132–141.
- Ogaya R, Peñuelas J.** 2006. Contrasting foliar responses to drought in *Quercus ilex* and *Phillyrea latifolia*. *Biologia Plantarum* **50**, 373–382.
- van Oijen M, Schapendonk A, Höglind M.** 2010. On the relative magnitudes of photosynthesis, respiration, growth and carbon storage in vegetation. *Annals of Botany* **105**, 793–797.
- Öquist G, Huner NPA.** 2003. Photosynthesis of overwintering evergreen plants. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **54**, 329–55.
- Pattison RR, Goldstein G, Ares A.** 1998. Growth, biomass allocation and photosynthesis of invasive and native Hawaiian rainforest species. *Oecologia* **117**, 449–459.
- Peñuelas J, Lloret F, Montoya R.** 2001. Severe drought effects on Mediterranean woody flora in Spain. *Forest Science* **47**, 214–218.
- Peñuelas J, Ogaya R, Boada M, S. Jump A.** 2007. Migration, invasion and decline: changes in recruitment and forest structure in a warming-linked shift of European beech forest in Catalonia (NE Spain). *Ecography* **30**, 829–837.
- Perez-Martin A, Michelazzo C, Torres-Ruiz JM, Flexas J, Fernández JE, Sebastiani L, Diaz-Espejo A.** 2014. Regulation of photosynthesis and stomatal and mesophyll conductance under water stress and recovery in olive trees: correlation with gene expression of carbonic anhydrase and aquaporins. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **65**, 3143–3156.
- Pinto CA, Nadezhkina N, David JS, Kurz-Besson C, Caldeira MC, Henriques MO, Monteiro FG, Pereira JS, David TS.** 2014. Transpiration in *Quercus suber* trees under shallow water table conditions: the role of soil and groundwater. *Hydrological Processes* **28**, 6067–6079.
- Raftoyannis Y, Spanos I, Radoglou K.** 2008. The decline of Greek fir (*Abies cephalonica* Loudon): relationships with root condition. *Plant Biosystems* **142**, 386–390.
- Reynolds JF, Kemp PR, Acock B, Chen J, Moorhead DL.** 1996. Progress, limitations and challenges in modeling the effects of elevated CO₂ on plants and ecosystems. In: Koch GW, Mooney HA, eds. *Carbon dioxide and terrestrial ecosystems*. San Diego: Academic Press, 347–380.
- Sánchez-Costa E, Poyatos R, Sabaté S.** 2015. Contrasting growth and water use strategies in four co-occurring Mediterranean tree species revealed by concurrent measurements of sap flow and stem diameter variations. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **207**, 24–37.
- Sharkey TD.** 1985. Photosynthesis in intact leaves of C3 plants: physics, physiology and rate limitations. *Botanical Review* **51**, 53–105.
- Somot S, Sevault F, Déqué M, Crépon M.** 2008. 21st Century climate change scenario for the Mediterranean using a coupled atmosphere–ocean regional climate model. *Global and Planetary Change* **63**, 112–126.
- Specht RL.** 1969. A comparison of the sclerophyllous vegetation characteristics of Mediterranean type climates in France, California, and southern Australia. I. Structure, morphology, and succession. *Australian Journal of Botany* **17**, 277–292.
- Sperlich D, Chang CT, Peñuelas J, Gracia C, Sabaté S.** 2014. Foliar photochemical processes and carbon metabolism under favourable and adverse winter conditions in a Mediterranean mixed forest, Catalonia (Spain). *Biogeosciences* **11**, 5657–5674.
- Sperlich D, Chang CT, Peñuelas J, Gracia C, Sabaté S.** 2015. Seasonal variability of foliar photosynthetic and morphological traits and drought impacts in a Mediterranean mixed forest. *Tree Physiology* **35**, 501–520.
- Sun Y, Gu L, Dickinson RE, et al.** 2014. Asymmetrical effects of mesophyll conductance on fundamental photosynthetic parameters and their relationships estimated from leaf gas exchange measurements. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **37**, 978–994.
- Terashima I, Ono K.** 2002. Effects of HgCl₂ on CO₂ dependence of leaf photosynthesis: evidence indicating involvement of aquaporins in CO₂ diffusion across the plasma membrane. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **43**, 70–8.
- Tcherkez G, Ribas-Carbo M.** 2012. Interactions between photosynthesis and day respiration. In: Flexas J, Loreto F, Medrano H, eds. *Terrestrial photosynthesis in a changing environment*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 41–53.
- Vargas R, Sonnentag O, Abramowitz G, et al.** 2013. Drought influences the accuracy of simulated ecosystem fluxes: a model-data meta-analysis for Mediterranean oak woodlands. *Ecosystems* **16**, 749–764.
- Verhoeven A.** 2014. Sustained energy dissipation in winter evergreens. *New Phytologist* **201**, 57–65.
- von Caemmerer S.** 2000. *Biochemical Models of Leaf Photosynthesis. Techniques in Plant Science Nr.2*. Collingwood: CSIRO Publishing Australia.
- Weerasinghe LK, Creek D, Crous KY, Xiang S, Liddell MJ, Turnbull MH, Atkin OK.** 2014. Canopy position affects the relationships between leaf respiration and associated traits in a tropical rainforest in Far North Queensland. *Tree Physiology* **34**, 564–584.
- Wright IJ, Reich PB, Atkin OK, Lusk CH, Tjoelker MG, Westoby M.** 2006. Irradiance, temperature and rainfall influence leaf dark respiration in woody plants: evidence from comparisons across 20 sites. *New Phytologist* **169**, 309–319.
- Wu Z, Dijkstra P, Koch GW, Peñuelas J, Hungate B a.** 2011. Responses of terrestrial ecosystems to temperature and precipitation change: a meta-analysis of experimental manipulation. *Global Change Biology* **17**, 927–942.
- Yin X, Struik PC, Romero P, Harbinson J, Evers JB, Van Der Putten PEL, Vos J.** 2009. Using combined measurements of gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence to estimate parameters of a biochemical C photosynthesis model: a critical appraisal and a new integrated approach applied to leaves in a wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) canopy. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **32**, 448–64.
- Yin X, Sun Z, Struik PC, Gu J.** 2011. Evaluating a new method to estimate the rate of leaf respiration in the light by analysis of combined gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence measurements. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **62**, 3489–99.
- Zaragoza-Castells J, Sánchez-Gómez D, Hartley IP, Matesanz S, Valladares F, Lloyd J, Atkin OK.** 2008. Climate-dependent variations in leaf respiration in a dry-land, low productivity Mediterranean forest: the importance of acclimation in both high-light and shaded habitats. *Functional Ecology* **22**, 172–184.
- Zaragoza-Castells J, Sánchez-Gómez D, Valladares F, Hurry V, Atkin OK.** 2007. Does growth irradiance affect temperature dependence and thermal acclimation of leaf respiration? Insights from a Mediterranean tree with long-lived leaves. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **30**, 820–33.