

## **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Arthritis Rheumatol.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 February 18.

Published in final edited form as:

Arthritis Rheumatol. 2015 December ; 67(12): 3101-3103. doi:10.1002/art.39310.

## Scleroderma: Bringing a Disease from Black-and-White into Technicolor™

Colin B. Ligon and Fredrick M. Wigley

The name "scleroderma" encompasses subtypes of a systemic disease (systemic sclerosis) that are linked together by common clinical and pathological features: skin thickening, Raynaud's phenomenon, major organ failure with evidence of autoimmunity, tissue fibrosis, and a unique non-inflammatory multisystem vasculopathy. A challenge in managing scleroderma is defining as early as possible an individual patient's disease course, to both predict clinical outcomes and to determine appropriate intervention. Recognizing that subtypes exist has led to various efforts to classify scleroderma into unique subgroups that might follow a similar and predictable clinical course. The modern classification of scleroderma represents 150 years of accumulated investigation into patients with varying degrees of characteristic skin thickening and a panoply of major organ dysfunction (1). This effort has resulted in an over-simplified classification of two subsets based on the extent of skin involvement alone.

For the first century of its history, investigators largely divided the systemic disease into either acrosclerosis or progressive systemic sclerosis. Acrosclerosis was characterized by sclerodactyly alone, Raynaud's phenomenon, a female predominance, and usually a nonprogressive course, whereas progressive systemic sclerosis described patients with prominent truncal skin involvement, equal sex distribution, and often rapid skin fibrosis with progressive major organ disease. This dichotomization was further polarized by the emphasis of a stable clinical course among the subset of patients with calcinosis, Raynaud's phenomenon, esophageal dysmotility, sclerodactyly alone, and prominent telangiectasias (CREST syndrome) (2-4). The discovery in 1980 of anti-centromere antibodies corresponding closely with the CREST syndrome further entrenched the utility of using the extent of skin disease as a surrogate for disease subtype (5). This Boolean system was challenged by earlier studies that suggested limited differences in prognosis when grouped by skin extent and advocated for an alternative grouping based on rapidity of disease progression (6). In 1988 Leroy and Medsger proposed a dichotomous skin-driven classification system based upon the respective presence (diffuse) or absence (limited) of non-facial skin thickening proximal to the elbows and/or knees. They cited the dramatic 80% vs 30% difference in 6-year survival reported at that time between limited and diffuse scleroderma to support this construct (7, 8).

The utility of the dichotomization lay largely in the stratification across the two subtypes of the risk of interstitial lung disease and renal crisis, the two primary causes of mortality and morbidity in scleroderma; both more likely to be present and severe in the diffuse cutaneous patient (9–11). Nevertheless, the binary system is clearly an arbitrary division across a continuous spectrum, as evidenced by intermediate risks of interstitial lung disease and

survival seen when a third subgroup of patients with skin involvement extending proximally but excluding the trunk are considered. (12, 13).

The more recent discovery of antibodies associated with an increased risk of interstitial lung disease further underscores the disease heterogeneity within each skin subtype. Anti-topoisomerase and anti-U11/U12 RNP antibodies denote an increased risk for interstitial lung disease but are seen in both patients with limited and diffuse skin disease (14). Anti-Th/To antibodies, which associate closely with limited skin disease, also impart an increased risk of interstitial lung disease (15). Conversely, RNA-polymerase III antibodies correlate with diffuse disease and a marked increase in risk for scleroderma renal crisis, but a lower risk for interstitial lung disease (16). Compared to the wide discrepancy in mortality between skin subtypes cited in the 1980's, a more recent cohort study demonstrates a less dramatic 10% difference in mortality at 10 years between patients with limited and diffuse skin involvement, suggesting that the simplified skin schema does not distinguish as ideal of a separation of outcomes as once thought (11). Focusing on skin manifestations alone clearly misses important features of the disease process including serologic biomarkers and other organ involvement.

In this issue, Sommerville et al. use extended semi-quantitative autoantibody levels to group patients into five shared expression patterns, and show that these groupings correspond closely to shared patterns of organ involvement. The investigators' method is particularly appealing in its use of a data-driven approach to account for potential interactions among multiple circulating antibodies within an individual. They demonstrate that nearly half of the patients in this cohort expressed multiple autoantibodies. Given the suspicion that antibodies in scleroderma may either themselves be pathogenic or are a unique biomarker of the underlying autoimmune disease process, this approach is intuitively more likely to account for some of the clinical heterogeneity seen among patients with a specific circulating autoantibody. While the identified clusters were defined largely by the dominant scleroderma-specific antibody expressed (namely, anti-topoisomerase 1, anti-centromere A or B, and anti-RNA polymerase III), the analysis also identified two phenotypically distinct subgroups among patients with RNA-polymerase III antibodies, based on the concentration of the antibody. The authors go on to demonstrate phenotypic separation among these subgroups across most clinical outcomes examined and argue that this autoantibody-defined categorization may be more meaningful than the traditional limited or diffuse clinical nomenclature.

Sommerville et al's study utilizes a type of latent subtype identification, is quantitative, and is easily incorporated into other schemes that have utilized clinical characteristics or a shared pattern of disease evolution. Other attempts to subset patients based on shared clinical features, rather than a predetermined decision rule, have grouped patients based on changes in skin score over time (17), changes in percent predicted forced vital capacity (18, 19), or gene expression patterns in skin (20–23). Each of these approaches have resulted in a small number of subgroups that define the range of phenotypes captured by the stratification characteristics. More importantly, each of these subgroups defined by a quantitative clinical parameter have uniquely identified patients with other disease manifestations in common; often across organ systems.

Arthritis Rheumatol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 February 18.

Ligon and Wigley

An ideal system of classification of scleroderma would group patients based on shared patterns of underlying pathogenesis, a similar pattern of organ involvement and prognosis, and could be readily applied in the clinical setting to inform patient management. The binary system of limited or diffuse scleroderma has endured for over a century largely because of its rapid bedside assessment, its ease of applicability, and the concept that further subdividing did not improve prediction of clinical outcomes. Fine clinical phenotyping, serological studies, and now availability of electronic medical records along with large prospectively collected cohort data have proven a major impetus to clinical implementation of longitudinal analytic measures.

The lens is shifting to a more comprehensive picture of scleroderma. The increasingly granular understanding of the myriad clinical manifestations of scleroderma, and the explosion of both quantifiable and longitudinal data available for each patient, demand a more versatile means of identifying patient subgroups. Two conceptually competing, though not necessarily exclusive, approaches are poised to replace the skin-based stratification: (1) The deterministic approach, such as that illustrated here by Sommerville et. al., wherein detailed characterization of antibodies and components of protein signaling pathways are used to predict clinical outcomes, and (2) A dynamic trajectory approach, wherein patterned changes in clinical phenotype over time are exploited to infer underlying pathogenic mechanisms and future prognosis.

Further investigation into how extended serology- and tissue-based techniques inform prognostication longitudinally, and how this interacts with clinical phenotype over time, will determine the role of these sophisticated approaches in the clinical and research settings. The black-or-white system of diffuse versus limited scleroderma will surely persist due to its simplicity and entrenched clinical terminology. However, the future of insight into scleroderma is bright and best viewed in Technicolor<sup>TM</sup>.

## Acknowledgments

CBL was supported by the National Institute of Arthritis, Musculoskeletal, and Skin Diseases T32 Award AR048522  $\,$ 

## References

- van den Hoogen F, Khanna D, Fransen J, Johnson SR, Baron M, Tyndall A, et al. 2013 classification criteria for systemic sclerosis: an American College of Rheumatology/European League against Rheumatism collaborative initiative. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2013; 65(11):2737– 47. [PubMed: 24122180]
- Winterbauer RH. Multiple Telangiectasia, Raynaud's Phenomenon, Sclerodactyly, and Subcutanious Calcinosis: A Syndrome Mimicking Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia. Bull Johns Hopkins Hosp. 1964; 114:361–83. [PubMed: 14171636]
- 3. Thomas EWP. Calcinosis cutis and sclleroderma: THIBIERGE-WEISSENBACH SYNDROME. The Lancet. 1942; 240(6214):389–92.
- 4. Velayos EE, Masi AT, Stevens MB, Shulman LE. The 'CREST' syndrome. Comparison with systemic sclerosis (scleroderma). Archives of internal medicine. 1979; 139(11):1240–4. [PubMed: 508020]
- 5. Moroi Y, Peebles C, Fritzler MJ, Steigerwald J, Tan EM. Autoantibody to centromere (kinetochore) in scleroderma sera. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1980; 77(3):1627–31. [PubMed: 6966403]

- Farmer RG, Gifford RW Jr, Hines EA Jr. Prognostic significance of Raynaud's phenomenon and other clinical characteristics of systemic scleroderma. A study of 271 cases. Circulation. 1960; 21:1088–95. [PubMed: 13821594]
- Giordano M, Valentini G, Migliaresi S, Picillo U, Vatti M. Different antibody patterns and different prognoses in patients with scleroderma with various extent of skin sclerosis. The Journal of rheumatology. 1986; 13(5):911–6. [PubMed: 3546686]
- LeRoy EC, Black C, Fleischmajer R, Jablonska S, Krieg T, Medsger TA Jr, et al. Scleroderma (systemic sclerosis): classification, subsets and pathogenesis. The Journal of rheumatology. 1988; 15(2):202–5. [PubMed: 3361530]
- 9. Steen VD, Medsger TA. Changes in causes of death in systemic sclerosis, 1972–2002. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2007; 66(7):940–4. [PubMed: 17329309]
- Guillevin L, Berezne A, Seror R, Teixeira L, Pourrat J, Mahr A, et al. Scleroderma renal crisis: a retrospective multicentre study on 91 patients and 427 controls. Rheumatology. 2012; 51(3):460– 7. [PubMed: 22087012]
- Nihtyanova SI, Schreiber BE, Ong VH, Rosenberg D, Moinzadeh P, Coghlan JG, et al. Prediction of pulmonary complications and long-term survival in systemic sclerosis. Arthritis & rheumatology (Hoboken, NJ). 2014; 66(6):1625–35.
- Cottrell TR, Wise RA, Wigley FM, Boin F. The degree of skin involvement identifies distinct lung disease outcomes and survival in systemic sclerosis. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2014; 73(6):1060–6. [PubMed: 23606705]
- Barnett AJ, Miller MH, Littlejohn GO. A survival study of patients with scleroderma diagnosed over 30 years (1953–1983): the value of a simple cutaneous classification in the early stages of the disease. The Journal of rheumatology. 1988; 15(2):276–83. [PubMed: 3361537]
- Fertig N, Domsic RT, Rodriguez-Reyna T, Kuwana M, Lucas M, Medsger TA Jr, et al. Anti-U11/U12 RNP antibodies in systemic sclerosis: a new serologic marker associated with pulmonary fibrosis. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2009; 61(7):958–65. [PubMed: 19565553]
- Nihtyanova SI, Denton CP. Autoantibodies as predictive tools in systemic sclerosis. Nature reviews Rheumatology. 2010; 6(2):112–6.
- Bunn CC, Denton CP, Shi-Wen X, Knight C, Black CM. Anti-RNA polymerases and other autoantibody specificities in systemic sclerosis. Br J Rheumatol. 1998; 37(1):15–20. [PubMed: 9487245]
- 17. Shand L, Lunt M, Nihtyanova S, Hoseini M, Silman A, Black CM, et al. Relationship between change in skin score and disease outcome in diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis: application of a latent linear trajectory model. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2007; 56(7):2422–31. [PubMed: 17599771]
- Man A, Davidyock T, Ferguson LT, Ieong M, Zhang Y, Simms RW. Changes in forced vital capacity over time in systemic sclerosis: application of group-based trajectory modelling. Rheumatology. 2015
- Schulam, P.; Wigley, F.; Saria, S., editors. The Twenty-Ninth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI-15). 2015. Clustering Longitudinal Clinical Marker Trajectories from Electronic Health Data: Applications to Phenotyping and Endotype Discovery.
- Hinchcliff M, Huang CC, Wood TA, Matthew Mahoney J, Martyanov V, Bhattacharyya S, et al. Molecular signatures in skin associated with clinical improvement during mycophenolate treatment in systemic sclerosis. The Journal of investigative dermatology. 2013; 133(8):1979–89. [PubMed: 23677167]
- Milano A, Pendergrass SA, Sargent JL, George LK, McCalmont TH, Connolly MK, et al. Molecular subsets in the gene expression signatures of scleroderma skin. PloS one. 2008; 3(7):e2696. [PubMed: 18648520]
- 22. Pendergrass SA, Lemaire R, Francis IP, Mahoney JM, Lafyatis R, Whitfield ML. Intrinsic gene expression subsets of diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis are stable in serial skin biopsies. The Journal of investigative dermatology. 2012; 132(5):1363–73. [PubMed: 22318389]
- Whitfield ML, Finlay DR, Murray JI, Troyanskaya OG, Chi JT, Pergamenschikov A, et al. Systemic and cell type-specific gene expression patterns in scleroderma skin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003; 100(21):12319–24. [PubMed: 14530402]

Arthritis Rheumatol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 February 18.