# Sequence analysis

# FermiKit: assembly-based variant calling for Illumina resequencing data

## Heng Li

Genomics Platform, Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA

Associate Editor: Inanc Birol

Received on April 24, 2015; revised on July 20, 2015; accepted on July 22, 2015

## Abstract

**Summary:** FermiKit is a variant calling pipeline for Illumina whole-genome germline data. It *de novo* assembles short reads and then maps the assembly against a reference genome to call SNPs, short insertions/deletions and structural variations. FermiKit takes about one day to assemble 30-fold human whole-genome data on a modern 16-core server with 85 GB RAM at the peak, and calls variants in half an hour to an accuracy comparable to the current practice. FermiKit assembly is a reduced representation of raw data while retaining most of the original information.

Availability and implementation: https://github.com/lh3/fermikit

Contact: hengli@broadinstitute.org

## **1** Introduction

Deep resequencing of a human sample typically results in a BAM file of 60–100 GB in size. Storing, distributing and processing many such huge files is becoming a burden for sequencing facilities and research labs. While better compression helps to alleviate this issue, it adds processing time and can barely halve the size, which does not keep up with the rapidly increasing sequencing throughput. Illumina and GATK use gVCF (Raczy *et al.*, 2013) as a reduced representation of raw data. However, gVCF is reference dependent and it is nontrivial to encode both large and small variants consistently. We still need to go back to raw data for long events and when upgrading the reference genome. Another idea from the past practice is to assemble sequence reads into contigs that ideally retains all information in the raw data, but whether this approach is practical to Illumina human resequencing remains to be confirmed.

## 2 Methods

FermiKit uses BFC (Li, 2015) for error correction, ropeBWT2 (Li, 2014a) for BWT construction, Fermi (Li, 2012) for *de novo* assembly, BWA-MEM (Li, 2013) for mapping and HTSBox (http://bit.ly/HTSBox) for variant calling. The caller simply parses edits in the 'pileup' output for small variant calling from one or multiple BAMs, and extracts alignment break points for SV calling, though it may misclassify some SV events (Trappe *et al.*, 2014). FermiKit sets

thresholds on mapping quality and the number of supporting reads without using sophisticated statistical models. In comparison to our earlier work (Li, 2012), FermiKit is faster, more sensitive (due to better error correction) and more complete as a pipeline.

FermiKit does not use paired-end information for the time being, but this does not have a great impact on its power empirically. With longer upcoming Illumina reads, it will be actually preferred to merge overlapping ends and treat them as single-end reads.

## **3 Results**

We have run FermiKit on multiple whole-genome datasets of sample NA12878 along with GATK-HaplotypeCaller (HC in brief) and FreeBayes (Garrison and Marth, 2012). We used Genome-In-A-Bottle (GIAB; Zook *et al.*, 2014) as truth data to evaluate the accuracy (Table 1). Recent Illumina data have excessive systematic errors around poly-A which HC does not handle well. It called over 4000 false INDELs from sample S1+ and S4+ with the vast majority around poly-A. We excluded these regions to avoid one simple error source greatly affecting the metrics. After this treatment, variant callers are broadly comparable when the same set of hard filters are applied. VQSR as is advised in GATK Best Practice does not work well with single-sample calling.

GIAB was generated from multiple NA12878 call sets. It is potentially biased against new callers and biased towards easier regions that can be called by the existing callers. For example, the GATK

Caller SNP-FN SNP-FP Sample InDel-FN InDel-FP PG-FermiKit 45700 82.4 2324 472 400 FreeBayes 21.548 439 3858 HC+hardFilter 27010 144 943 370 HC+VQSR 128 604 1955 1423 366 S7-FermiKit 65217 531 2340 549 50796 676 2891 FreeBayes 42.0 66 8 4 7 457 HC+hardFilter 2.2.8 1543 HC+VOSR 103 979 1508 1396 605 S11-FermiKit 91468 541 2973 554 FreeBayes 52071 903 3195 431 HC+hardFilter 65223 407 1502 472 HC+VQSR 111 504 1694 1175 765 S2-FermiKit 63445 448 22.44 568 S12-FermiKit 74940 501 2562 553 S1+FermiKit 67816 455 4051 516 902 FreeBayes 63101 462.5 436 HC+hardFilter 71174 2376 591 531 HC+VQSR 108 101 8852 2377 1827 S4+FermiKit 71262 452 4197 536 1061 FreeBayes 65 4 27 4781 437 75040 HC+hardFilter 653 672 2477 HC+VQSR 103 595 10492 2401 1622

Table 1. GIAB evaluation of SNP/INDEL accuracy for sampleNA12878

PCR-free Platinum Genome NA12878 (PG-; AC:ERR194147; 100 bp reads), four Illumina X10 lanes of PCR-free NA12878 (S7-, S11-, S2- and S12- under BaseSpace project ID 18475457; 150 bp) and two X10 lanes of PCR-amplified NA12878 (S1+ and S4+ under project ID 8998991; 150 bp) were acquired and called with FermiKit-0.9, FreeBayes-0.9.20 (option: '-experimental-gls -min-repeat-entropy 1') and HC-3.3 (option: '-stand\_e mit\_conf 10 -stand\_call\_conf 30'). For FreeBayes and HC, BWA-MEM was used for mapping against GRCh37 plus decoy (http://bit.ly/GRCh37d5) with duplicates marked by Samblaster (Faust and Hall, 2014). Short variant calls were hard filtered with hapdip (http://bit.ly/HapDip). GATK-VQSR was also applied to HC calls. The filtered calls were compared to GIAB-v2.18 excluding poly-A regions longer than 6 bp plus 10 bp flanking. A true variant is counted as an FN if there are no called variants within 10 bp around the truth, and a called variant is counted as an FP if it falls in GIAB trusted regions and there are no true variants within 10 bp around the called variant.

call set available from the Platinum Genome website has 13 278 FN SNPs and 46 FPs out of 2.03 Gb confident regions (i.e. one SNP error per 44 Mb), which is overly good and is worrying.

We turned to the CHM1-NA12878 dataset (Li, 2014b) for an unbiased evaluation (Table 2). In this evaluation, FermiKit produces calls of higher specificity at the cost of sensitivity. This is probably because FermiKit is less powerful in repetitive or duplicated regions or regions affected by systematic artefacts. Nonetheless, in well-behaved regions that are outside 'uniMask', the loss of sensitivity is minor. The gain in precision is significant if we consider that there may be 5–20 k real heterozygous SNPs in CHM1 (Li, 2014b), which should not be counted as FPs.

FermiKit performs well in calling long deletions (Table 3). While it does not use read pairs, it achieves comparable sensitivity and higher specificity in comparison to the popular tools. FermiKit also called ~480 insertions over 100 bp and identified multiple kb-long contigs having poor alignments to GRCh37 but nearly perfect alignment to a PacBio assembly of CHM1 (AC:GCA\_001007805.1). We also mapped the CHM1 FermiKit unitigs to the PacBio assembly and called 71 long deletions, 11 insertions and 262 other events. As PacBio assemblies are generally of higher quality, these numbers

 Table 2. Evaluation on SNP/INDEL accuracy with CHM1-NA12878

 pair

Caller	Filter	SNP-TP	SNP-FP	InDel-TP	InDel-FP
FermiKit	hard-polyA	1937469	22 743	230 955	14 602
	uniMask	1 802 820	9507	127 304	1126
FreeBayes	hard-polyA	2 0 2 6 8 8 3	59 422	190 587	30 909
	uniMask	1842634	15 2 5 2	117764	6329
HC	hard-polyA	2 003 655	32 0 30	267870	15 541
	uniMask	1 824 658	14 912	133 458	2046

SNP/INDELs were called from the CHM1 (AC:SRR642636 through SRR642641; 100 bp) and NA12878-PG- BWA-MEM alignments used by Li (2014b). On the assumption that CHM1 is haploid, (heterozygous) FP equals the number of CHM1 heterozygotes and (heterozygous) TP equals the number of NA12878 heterozygotes minus the number of CHM1 heterozygotes. Two sets of filters were applied for filtering. 'Hard-polyA' is the same as the filter used in Table 1. 'UniMask' filters out genomic regions that tend to be repetitive, low-complexity or susceptible to copy number changes or systematic artefacts (http://bit.ly/unimask). This filter is sample independent.

Table 3. Performance on calling long deletions over 100 bp

Sample	Caller	1000 g pilot	Ensemble	LUMPY	Merged
S7-	FermiKit	0.43/0.23	0.50/0.15	0.32/0.23	0.58/0.09
S1+	FermiKit	0.43/0.22	0.51/0.15	0.33/0.23	0.58/0.10
PG-	FermiKit	0.43/0.20	0.52/0.14	0.34/0.22	0.59/0.09
	DELLY	0.47/0.34	0.50/0.22	0.31/0.28	0.58/0.16
	LUMPY	0.72/0.34	0.76/0.29	0.68/0.37	0.79/0.20

FermiKit was used to call 100 bp–100 kb deletions from the PG-, S7- and S1+ datasets. DELLY (Rausch *et al.*, 2012) and LUMPY PG- calls were acquired from http://bit.ly/bcbsval (B. Chapman, personal communication). For all call sets, overlapping events were merged and deletions longer than 100 kb were discarded. The two numbers in a cell at row *R* and column *C* give the false negative rate and false positive rate of call set *R*, assuming truth set *C* is correct and complete. In the table, truth set '1000g pilot' consists of 3142 deletions by Mills *et al.* (2011) and further validated by Layer *et al.* (2014); 'Ensemble' contains 4095 validated calls by multiple callers; 'LUMPY' consists of 2657 validated LUMPY-only deletions; 'Merged' is the union of all the three truth sets above, containing 4695 deletion calls.

give a rough estimate on the number potential false positives of Fermi on a haploid dataset.

### **4** Conclusions

A FermiKit assembly is about 3 GB compressed. After assembly, single-sample variants can be obtained in half an hour to high accuracy through mapping against a reference genome. Jointly calling 261 aligned whole-genome samples only took ~40 CPU hours. FermiKit is a viable option for aggressive data compression, greatly reducing the efforts and expense on data storage, distribution and re-analyses at an acceptable cost of information loss.

## Funding

NHGRI U54HG003037; NIH GM100233.

Conflict of Interest: none declared.

### References

Faust, G.G. and Hall, I.M. (2014) Samblaster: fast duplicate marking and structural variant read extraction. *Bioinformatics*, **30**, 2503–2505.

- Garrison, E. and Marth, G. (2012) Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read sequencing. arXiv:1207.3907.
- Layer, R.M. et al. (2014) LUMPY: a probabilistic framework for structural variant discovery. Genome Biol., 15, R84.
- Li,H. (2012) Exploring single-sample SNP and INDEL calling with wholegenome de novo assembly. *Bioinformatics*, 28, 1838–1844.
- Li,H. (2013) Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. *arXiv:1303.3997*.
- Li,H. (2014a) Fast construction of FM-index for long sequence reads. *Bioinformatics*, **30**, 3274–3275.
- Li,H. (2014b) Toward better understanding of artifacts in variant calling from high-coverage samples. *Bioinformatics*, **30**, 2843–2851.
- Li,H. (2015) BFC: correcting illumina sequencing errors. arXiv:1502.03744.
   Mills,R.E. et al. (2011) Mapping copy number variation by population-scale genome sequencing. Nature, 470, 59–65.
- Raczy, C. et al. (2013) Isaac: ultra-fast whole-genome secondary analysis on illumina sequencing platforms. *Bioinformatics*, **29**, 2041–2043.
- Rausch, T. et al. (2012) DELLY: structural variant discovery by integrated paired-end and split-read analysis. *Bioinformatics*, 28, i333–i339.
- Trappe, K. *et al.* (2014) Gustaf: Detecting and correctly classifying svs in the ngs twilight zone. *Bioinformatics*, **30**, 3484–3490.
- Zook, J.M. *et al.* (2014) Integrating human sequence data sets provides a resource of benchmark snp and indel genotype calls. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 32, 246–251.