Identification of a Natural Green Light Absorbing Chloride Conducting Channelrhodopsin from *Proteomonas sulcata******□**^S**

Received for publication, October 21, 2015, and in revised form, December 22, 2015 Published, JBC Papers in Press, January 6, 2016, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M115.699637

Jonas Wietek¹ **, Matthias Broser, Benjamin S. Krause, and Peter Hegemann**

From the Institute for Biology, Experimental Biophysics, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 10115 Berlin, Germany

Chloride conducting channelrhodopsins (ChloCs) are new members of the optogenetic toolbox that enable neuronal inhibition in target cells. Originally, ChloCs have been engineered from cation conducting channelrhodopsins (ChRs), and later identified in a cryptophyte alga genome. We noticed that the sequence of a previously described *Proteomonas sulcata* **ChR (***Ps***ChR1) was highly homologous to the naturally occurring and previously reported ChloCs** *Gt***ACR1/2, but was not recognized as an anion conducting channel. Based on electrophysiological measurements obtained under various ionic conditions, we concluded that the** *Ps***ChR1 photocurrent at physiological conditions is strongly inward rectifying and predominantly carried by chloride. The maximum activation was noted at excitation with light of 540 nm. An initial spectroscopic characterization of purified protein revealed that the photocycle and the transport mechanism of** *Ps***ChR1 differ significantly from cation conducting ChRs. Hence, we concluded that** *Ps***ChR1 is an anion conducting ChR, now renamed** *Ps***ACR1, with a red-shifted absorption suited for multicolor optogenetic experiments in combination with blue light absorbing cation conducting ChRs.**

A decade ago, channelrhodopsins $(ChRs)^2$ found their way from green motile algae into the emerging field of optogenetics (1). When ChR genes are transferred into electrically excitable cells, the cells become light sensitive in such a way that the membrane voltage can be controlled non-invasively. Light excitation of cation selective ChRs causes an inward-directed ion flux carried primarily by H^+ and Na^+ (2). In the case of a ChRexpressing neuron, the application of light pulses causes depolarization, which might lead to action potential (AP) firing. In contrast, light-driven pumps derived from prokaryotes or green algae are used for cell hyperpolarization and AP inhibition.

These pumps hyperpolarize the cell membrane by pumping ionic species across the membrane against an electrochemical potential, but bear the difficulty that only one charge per photon is transported and very high expression levels are required (3). Recently, ChRs have been genetically engineered to alter ion selectivity from cationic to anionic $(4-6)$. Under physiological conditions (low intracellular, high extracellular $[Cl^-]$) these ChRs shunt cells by clamping their potential toward the reversal potential of Cl^- , and thus silence neurons by a mode that differs from light-driven pumps.

Subsequently, two naturally occurring anion conducting ChRs from the cryptophyte alga *Guillardia theta* (*Gt*ACR1/2) were identified and characterized (7). *Gt*ACR2 was employed to silence neurons as previously shown for engineered chlorideconducting ChRs (termed ChloC and iC1C2). Inspired by this application we aimed to better understand the chloride transport mechanism. Consequently, we compared the ChR amino acid sequences identified by genome mining approach (8) with the *Gt*ACRs and found the sequence of a previously described ChR from *Proteomonas sulcata* (*Ps*ChR1) (8) to be similar to the *Gt*ACRs (Fig. 1*A* and supplemental Fig. S2). Hence, we hypothesized that *Ps*ChR1 is also a chloride conducting ChR. Electrophysiological characterization of *Ps*ChR1 indeed verified its function as a light-activated chloride channel with a photosensitivity red-shifted compared with *Gt*ACR1/2. Time-resolved UV-Vis spectroscopy on recombinant protein revealed a photocycle with a novel so far undiscovered red-shifted intermediate, which is assigned as an early conducting state.

Experimental Procedures

*Molecular Biology and Protein Purification—*Human codonadapted genes encoding for *Ps*ChR1 (GenBankTM: KF992074.1, amino acids 1-291), *Gt*ACR1 (GenBankTM: KP171708.1, amino acids 1–295) and *Gt*ACR2 (GenBankTM: KP171709.1, amino acids 1–291) were synthesized (GenScript, NJ) and cloned into p-EGFP-C1 vector using NheI and AgeI restriction sites. EGFP was replaced by mCherry using AgeI and XhoI restriction sites. DNA sequences can be found in supplemental Fig. S1.

For expression in *Pichia pastoris*, humanized *Ps*ChR1-encoding DNA sequence was subcloned with C-terminal $6\times$ His-Tag into a pPICZ vector (Invitrogen). Cell transformation, selection of recombinant clones, and expression have been performed as described elsewhere (9). Cells were harvested 24 h post-induction and lysed using a high pressure homogenizer (EmulsiFlex-B15, AVESTIN). The membrane fraction was collected and recombinant rhodopsin was solubilized with 1% (*w*/*v*) dodecylmaltoside (DDM, Glycon, Luckenwalde, Germany). The protein was purified by affinity chromatography

^{*} This work was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) (SFB1078 B2, FOR1279 SPP1665 and the Cluster of Excellence Unifying Concepts in Catalysis, UniCat, Project E4/D4 (to P. H.). The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.
^{**■ This article contains supplemental Figs. S1 and S2.** 1 To whom correspondence should be addressed: Inst. for Biology, Experi-}

mental Biophysics, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Invalidenstraße 42, 10115 Berlin, Germany. Tel.: +4930-2093-8697; Fax: +4930-2093-8359; E-mail: jonas.wietek@gmail.com.
² The abbreviations used are: ChR, channelrhodopsin; ChloC, chloride con-

ducting channelrhodopsins; *Ps*ChR1, *Proteomonas sulcata* ChR1; *Gt*ACR1/ 2, *Guillardia theta* anion ChR1/2; AP, action potential; HEK, human embryonic kidney; LJP, liquid junction potential; NDF, neutral density filter; ANOVA, analysis of variance; UV-Vis, ultraviolet-visible; DDM, dodecylmaltoside; SVD, single value decomposition; EADS, Evolutionary Associated Difference Spectrum; mW, milliwatt.

Red-shifted Chloride Channelrhodopsin

and gel filtration (HisTrap FF Crude HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200) (all from GE Healthcare, Chalfont St. Giles, UK). The purified protein was concentrated in a buffer containing 20 mm Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.02% DDM.

*HEK293 Cell Recordings—*HEK293 (human embryonic kidney) cells (catalogue 85120602, Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany) were cultured as described (4), seeded onto poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips at a concentration of 1.5×10^5 cells \cdot ml^{-1} and supplemented with 1 μ _M all-*trans* retinal (Sigma-Adrich, Munich, Germany). Cells were transiently transfected using Fugene HD (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) 36 h before measurements. Signals were amplified and digitized using AxoPatch200B and DigiData1400 (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). A Polychrome V (TILL Photonics, Planegg, Germany) served as light source. The half-bandwidth was set to ± 7 nm for all measurements. Actinic light was coupled into an Axiovert 100 microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) and controlled with a programmable shutter system (VS25 and VCM-D1, Vincent Associates, Rochester, NY). Patch pipettes were pulled using a P1000 micropipette puller (Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA), followed by fire-polishing resulting in pipette resistances between 1.5 and 2.5 M Ω . Whole-cell recordings had a minimum membrane resistance of 500 M Ω (usually $>$ 1 G Ω) whereas the access resistance was kept below 10 M Ω . Liquid junction potentials (LJPs) were corrected on-line (see below).

*Chloride-dependent Measurements—*For all experiments external buffer solutions were exchanged by perfusion of at least 4 ml of the respective buffer into the custom made recording chamber (volume \sim 500 μ) while the bath level was kept constant with an automatic peristaltic pump controlled by a fluid level sensor (MPCU bath handler, Lorenz Messgerätebau, Katlenburg-Lindau, Germany). The buffer compositions for the pipette solution (10 mm Cl⁻) was as follows (in mm): 2 MgCl₂, 2 CaCl₂, 1 KCl, 1 CsCl, 10 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 110 Na-Aspartate, whereas the low/high chloride bath solution (10/150 mm Cl⁻) was composed of (in mm): 2 MgCl_2 , 2 CaCl_2 , 1 KCl , 1 CsCl, 10 HEPES, 0/140 NaCl, 140/0 Na-Aspartate. The pH of all buffers was adjusted with *N*-methyl-D-glucamine to pH 7.20. The final osmolarity was adjusted to 320 mOsm for extracellular solutions and 290 mOsm for intracellular solutions.

All experiments were carried out at 25 °C. We used a 140 mm NaCl agar bridge to maintain a stable reference (bath) electrode potential. Patch clamp recordings were performed under balanced low chloride conditions (10 mM) to exclude chloride adulteration. As the change of extracellular chloride concentration causes a LJP, all measurements have been corrected on-line for occurring LJP of 10.5 mV for an extracellular chloride concentration of 150 mm (10).

*Illumination Properties and Protocols—*Light intensities were measured after passing through all optics and coverslip with a calibrated optometer (P9710, Gigahertz Optik, Türkenfeld, Germany). Measured light intensities are given for the illuminated field of the W Plan-Apochromat $40\times/1.0$ DIC objective $(0.264 \text{ mm}^2).$

The applied light intensities for conventional current voltage recordings at 470, 520, and 540 nm were 4.71, 3.40, and 3.12 mW/mm² , respectively. Light was applied for 500 ms. The holding voltage was raised from -80 to $+40$ mV in 20 mV steps.

For wavelength-dependent measurements (recording of action spectra), a motorized neutral density filter wheel (NDF) (Newport, Irvine, CA) was inserted into the light path between the Polychrome V light source and the microscope to gain the same photon irradiance for all wavelengths (390 to 670 nm; 10 nm steps). A custom software written in LabVIEW (National Instruments, Austin, TX) was used to control the NDF and synchronize it with electrophysiological experiments. Light was applied for 10 ms at 0 mV holding potential and 150 mm extra $cellular Cl⁻. Minimal deviations in photon flux were corrected$ by linear normalization post measurements.

For light titration experiments, ND filters (SCHOTT, Mainz, Germany) were used for attenuation. Light intensities were measured as stated above for each condition. Here, light was applied for 1 s. The holding potential was kept at 20 mV.

*Fluorescence Measurements—*Cell fluorescence measurements were acquired with a tripleband ECFP/EYFP/mCherry 69008 filterset (Chroma, Bellows Falls, VT) and Wat-221S CCD camera (Watec, Tsuruoka, Japan). Images were analyzed with ImageJ. Raw fluorescence was integrated per cell and normalized to cell area. Background fluorescence has always been subtracted. Photocurrents were directly correlated with the relative fluorescence of each cell measured prior patch clamp measurements.

*UV-Vis Spectroscopy—*Absorbance spectrum of purified *Ps*ChR1 was recorded by a Cary 50 Bio spectrophotometer (Varian Inc., Palo Alto) at 22 °C. Flash-photolysis experiments were performed on a LKS.60 system (Applied Photophysics Ltd., Leatherland, UK) aligned to a tunable Rainbow OPO/Nd: YAG laser (BrilliantB, Quantel, Les Ulis Cedex, France) (11). *Ps*ChR1 sample (in 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.02% DDM with chromophore absorption of \sim 0.7) was excited by green flashes (535 nm) of \sim 10 ns adjusted to a power of 5 mJ per shot. Resulting absorption changes at 22 °C were probed by a 150 Watt Xenon Short Arc XBO lamp (Osram, München, Germany), which was pulsed for times beneath 1 μ s, and detected isologarithmically by an Andor iStar ICCD camera (Andor Technolgy Ltd, Belfast, Ireland) at 44 time points between 40 ns and 10 s. After every measurement, sample was kept in the dark for 2 min to ensure complete recovery.

*Data Analysis and Statistics—*Data were analyzed using Clampfit 10.4 and Origin 9. All data are given as means \pm S.E. of the mean (S.E.). Stationary photocurrents (I_S) were measured for the last 50 ms of illumination period. Reversal potentials (E_{rev}) were calculated by linear fit of the two data points between crossing of $I = 0$ pA occurs. For the action spectra recording, photocurrents have been normalized to the maximum response. The maximum response wavelength (λ_{max}) has been determined by fitting single recorded action spectra with a three parametric Weibull function. Kinetic properties were determined by mono- or biexponential fitting using minimization sum of squared errors method. Half maximal effective light dose values (EC_{50}) were determined by fitting single light titration curves by logistic growth function. We performed one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's test for electrophysiological data, thoroughly.

Time-resolved spectroscopic data sets are averages of 15 cycles over the entire time domain and were analyzed glob-

FIGURE 1. **Phylogenetic tree and photocurrents of naturally occurring chloride conducting channelrhodopsins.** *A*, phylogenetic tree of naturally occurring ChloCs and *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* channelrhodopsin-2 (*Cr*ChR2). *B*, typical current traces at low (*left*) and high (*right*) extracellular [Cl⁻⁻], measured on the same cell for each ChR, respectively. The holding potential was raised from -80 (*bottom*) to +40 mV (*top trace*) in 20 mV steps. Light application is indicated by *colored bars* above the traces.

ally by Glotaran (12, 13). Spectra were reconstructed by the most significant single value decomposition (SVD) components. Normalized Evolutionary Associated Difference Spectra (EADS) and their corresponding life-times are derived using an unbranched, unidirectional model as described previously (14), with five spectral components.

Results

To test the ability of *Ps*ChR1 to transport Cl⁻ across cell membranes, we generated plasmids encoding for human codon optimized *Ps*ChR1 (and *Gt*ACRs for comparison) fused to the mCherry fluorophore to assess expression in human embryonic kidney (HEK) cells. After 36– 48 h the biophysical photocurrent properties of the expressed ChRs were studied by whole cell patch clamp electrophysiology.

When $|Cl^{-}|$ was kept low on both sites of the membrane (10) mM), all three proteins exhibited similar photocurrents that inverted their sign when the membrane voltage was changed from negative to positive. After increasing extracellular $|Cl^{-}$ to 150 mM, we observed positive photocurrents at holding voltages around -70 mV, demonstrating that *Ps*ChR1 was conducting Cl⁻ into the cell (Fig. 1*B*).

FIGURE 2. **Current voltage dependence and reversal potentials for different chloride concentrations.** *A*, current voltage relation under different extracellular [Cl⁻]. Stationary photocurrents (l_5) were normalized to $l_{5,ref}$ = -80 mV and 10 mm [Cl⁻]_e is indicated by the *gray dotted circle*. Mean values \pm S.E. are shown ($n = 6 - 7$). *B*, reversal potentials (E_{rev}) extracted from single measurements as shown in *A*. *Center lines* indicate the medians, *box limits* indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, *whiskers* extend 1.5-times the interquartile range from the 25th and 75th percentiles, mean values are represented by *diamonds*, and *circles*indicate single measurement data points. *n.s*., not significant (one way ANOVA; Tukey's post-hoc test).

When normalized photocurrents of the three ChRs were compared at 0 mV and high extracellular Cl^- conditions (10 m_M $\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{i}}$, 150 m_M $\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{e}}$), the *Ps*ChR1 current was more than three times larger than *Gt*ACR1, and twice as large as *Gt*ACR2, respectively (Figs. 1*B* and 2*A*), which indicates that inward directed Cl^- transport is favored over outward movement particularly in *Ps*ChR1. The observed reversal potentials (E_{rev}) detailed in Fig. 2*B* follow the E_{rev} of Cl⁻ in all three cases with no obvious differences.

Govorunova *et al.* reported unusually high single channel conductance for *Gt*ACRs (7). To compare the relative conductivity of the different ChRs, we first analyzed the expression levels by integrating the mCherry-fluorescence and correlated it to the respective cell area. All three rhodopsins exhibited almost similar expression levels 36 h post-transfection (Fig. 3*A*). We then compared absolute photocurrents and current amplitudes normalized to cell fluorescence and cell area. The absolute and relative photocurrents were significantly higher

Red-shifted Chloride Channelrhodopsin

for *Gt*ACRs compared with *Ps*ChR1 (Fig. 3*B*). However, under identical conditions the current amplitude was still larger in *Ps*ChR1 (394 \pm 81 pA, $n = 7$) than in the engineered chloride channels ChloC (31 \pm 10 pA, *n* = 6), slowChloC (188 \pm 38 pA, $n = 7$) (4), iChloC (210 \pm 29 pA, $n = 6$) (6), and iC1C2 (51 \pm 11 $pA, n = 15$, data not shown).

For temporally precise optogenetic experiments, the channel closing properties are often of interest. Hence, we carefully analyzed the closing kinetics of all three ChRs. *Ps*ChR1 and *Gt*ACR1 exhibited biphasic off-kinetics comprised of a fast and a slow component like most cation conducting ChRs (15–17), whereas the decay of *Gt*ACR2 was mono-exponential (Fig. 4*A*). The slow time constants ($\tau_{\rm slow}$) were similar for *Gt*ACR1 (257 \pm 10 ms, $n = 8$) and *Ps*ChR1 (303 \pm 31 ms, $n = 9$). However, the

FIGURE 3. **Expression and photocurrent comparison.** *A*, mean fluorescence divided by cell area. *B*, absolute stationary photocurrents and currents normalized to values obtained as in *A*. *Center lines*indicate the medians, *box limits* indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, *whiskers* extend 1.5-times the interquartile range from the 25th and 75th percentiles, mean values are represented by *diamonds. n.s.,* not significant; **, p $<$ 0.01; ***, p $<$ 0.001; n $=$ 5–8 (one way ANOVA; Tukey's post-hoc test).

slow component amplitude (Aslow) for *Gt*ACR1 accounted for 44.3% of the overall off-kinetic, which was twice that of *Ps*ChR1 (20.6% see Fig. 4*B*). The fast time constants (τ_{fast}) for *Ps*ChR1 and *Gt*ACR1 were 23 ± 3 ms and 41 ± 1 ms, respectively. Next, we calculated the apparent off-kinetic time constants for comparison with the *GtACR2* closing rate. Due to the shorter τ_{fast} and the smaller slow-component amplitude (A_{slow}) , *Ps*ChR1 displayed faster apparent closing kinetics than $GtACR1$ (\sim 80) *versus* 140 ms), whereas *Gt*ACR2 exhibited the most rapid closing $({\sim}60 \text{ ms}; \text{Fig. 4C}).$

To determine the dark state absorption, we performed action spectroscopy with short light pulses. As previously (7) reported, *Gt*ACR1 and *Gt*ACR2 exhibited peak activity (λ_{max}) at 518.5 \pm 0.2 and 470 ± 0.5 nm (mean \pm S.E., $n = 8$), respectively. *Ps*ChR1 activity peaked at 537.8 \pm 0.6 nm (mean \pm S.E., *n* = 9), and was thus 20 nm more red-shifted than *Gt*ACR1 (Fig. 5*A*). Next, light titration experiments with 470 nm (*Gt*ACR2), 520 nm (*GtACR1*), and 540 \pm 7 nm (*PsChR1*) excitation were carried out to ascertain the half maximal effective light dose $(EC_{50};$ Fig. 5*B*). *GtACR2* displayed the highest sensitivity to the cells (EC_{50} , 0.05 ± 0.01 mW/mm²; $n = 6$). *Gt*ACR1 and *Ps*ChR1 were onehalf and one-fourth as sensitive compared with *GtACR2* (*EC*₅₀, 0.09 ± 0.02 mW/mm²; $n = 6$, and 0.20 ± 0.02 mW/mm²; $n = 7$, respectively), although 10-fold differences were apparent in the amplitudes (Fig. 3).

To characterize the photocycle by time-resolved UV-Visspectroscopy we heterologously expressed *Ps*ChR1 in the yeast *P. pastoris*. The absorption spectrum of purified, dark-adapted *Ps*ChR1 exhibits the prominent peak at 535 nm, in good agreement with the obtained action spectrum (Fig. 6*A*). Formation and decay of photocycle intermediates were recorded as transient absorbance changes between 40 ns to 10 s after laser flash excitation. Five spectral species with unique spectral and kinetic properties could be identified. In Fig. 6*B* the normalized Evolution Associated Difference Spectra (EADS) of these main

FIGURE 4. **Kinetic properties.** A, typical closing kinetics after light off. B, two component closing kinetics of GtACR1 and PsChR1 showing fast (τ_{fast}) and slow (slow, Aslow) component and amplitude, respectively. *C*, apparent closing kinetics of *Gt*ACR1/2 and *Ps*ChR1. *Center lines* indicate the medians, *box limits* indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, *whiskers* extend 1.5-times the interquartile range from the 25th and 75th percentiles, mean values are represented by di*amonds*, and *circles* indicate single measurement data points. *n.s.*, not significant; *, *p* < 0.05; **, *p* < 0.01; ***, *p* < 0.001; *n* = 8–10 (one way ANOVA; Tukey's post-hoc test).

FIGURE 5. **Spectral properties and light sensitivity.** *A*, normalized peak response. Mean values \pm S.E. are shown ($n = 8-9$) and maximum responses obtained from fitting are listed. *B*, light titration and fitted *EC*⁵⁰ values (*inset*). Mean values \pm S.E. are shown ($n = 6 - 7$). *Center lines* indicate the medians, *box limits* indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, *whiskers* extend 1.5-times the interquartile range from the 25th and 75th percentiles, mean values are represented by *diamonds*. *n.s.*, not significant; *, *p* 0.05; **, *p* 0.01; ***, *p* 0.001 (one way ANOVA; Tukey's post-hoc test).

kinetic components are shown. Unless otherwise indicated photocycle intermediates are denoted according to their maximal absorption within the difference spectra. Immediately after the flash excitation (*i.e.* below the instrumental time limit) an early red-shifted photoproduct (P_{610}) is formed that is followed by a less prominent blue absorbing species (P_{460}) within a few μ s (Fig. 6*C*). P₄₆₀ persists over two orders of time and converts to a second red-shifted photointermediate (P_{620}) . The decay of P₆₂₀ ($\tau_{\rm app}$ ~20 ms) is accompanied by the formation of a late blue-absorbing intermediate (P_{400}). Within ~90 ms a slowly decaying intermediate spectrally not distinguishable

Red-shifted Chloride Channelrhodopsin

from the dark state (P_{535} , absolute maximum) is populated that finally reconverts to the dark-state after 1.5 s.

Discussion

In summary, we determined that the previously characterized *Ps*ChR1 from *Proteomonas sulcata* is a chloride conducting ChR that should be classified in a group with the two *Gt*ACRs. As well, renaming *Ps*ChR1 as *Ps*ACR1 would be beneficial to avoid confusion with the cation conducting *Ps*ChR found in *Platymonas subcordiformis* (18).

Further, because both *Proteomonas sulcata* and *Guillardia theta* belong to the *Geminigeraceae* family, genome mining in this family or the *Cryptophyta* phylum (19) might enable the discovery of additional and novel ACRs.

The attractive difference between *Ps*ACR1 and the two *Gt*ACRs is apparently the red-shifted absorption. This feature renders *Ps*ACR1 to be an interesting optogenetic tool. Due to the lower scattering of red or green light compared with blue light within biological tissue *Ps*ACR1 may enable researchers to inactivate neurons in deeper brain regions. Since the absorption maxima of known ChRs cover a spectral region from 435 to 590 nm (8, 20), the use of *Ps*ACR1 in combination with a blueabsorbing cation conducting ChR is well suited for dual color application.

Although the absolute photocurrent generated by *Ps*ACR1 is smaller than those obtained from *Gt*ACRs, the conductance of *Ps*ACR1 is still in the same range as most known cation conducting ChRs and higher than the engineered light-gated chloride channel iChloC that is able to suppress AP firing *in vivo* (6). Further, if the chloride conductance is high and not compensated by endogenous chloride transporters prolonged or repeated illumination could dramatically change the chloride gradient. Thus, the neuronal excitability might increase upon sustained illumination at the tissue surface.

The initial spectroscopic characterization of *Ps*ACR1 reveals substantial differences to photocycles of cation conducting ChRs (11, 21–24). As in virtual all microbial rhodopsin also in *Ps*ACR1 the early red-shifted K-like photoproduct reflects an energetically elevated electronic ground-state after photoisomerization (25). However, two further photocycle intermediates, P_{460} and P_{620} could be identified in *PsACR1* within the μs to ms region, before deprotonation of the retinal Schiff base (RSB) occurs as seen as development of the late P_{400} state. Albeit the comparison of spectroscopic and electrophysiological time constants can differ significantly due to lipid or detergent environments (26), the rise of P_{400} is too slow to correlate with channel on-gating but is in the time range of the major decay component of the *Ps*ACR1 photocurrent instead. Thus, we assign the second red-shifted species P^{620} to a novel early conducting state, which does not emerge from a deprotonated RSB.

As new members of the optogenetic toolbox, chloride-conducting ACRs will extend the ability to specifically address questions surrounding Cl^- homeostasis, developmental influences of chloride, as well as loss of function experiments in a neurophysiological context. Likewise, ACRs have striking features that render them superior to light-driven ion pumps previously used for cell inactivation. ChRs transport many charges

FIGURE 6. **Spectroscopic characterization of** *Ps***ChR1.** *A*,*solid line* shows normalized absorption spectrum of detergent-purified *P. pastoris*-expressed *Ps*ChR1 (pH 8.0 150 mM NaCl; normalized to 280 nm). *Dots* show electrophysiological data as presented in Fig. 5*A* (pH 7.2 150 mM NaCle; normalized to maximum response). *B*, normalized (to maximum absorption amplitude) Evolutionary Associated Difference Spectra (EADS) and their life-times derived using a unidirectional sequential model comprising five components. For illustration purposes,*solid lines* were added to the EADS. *C*, time course of the spectral components. Raw data points are depicted as *dots*, whereas global fits are represented as *solid lines*. *Dashed gray lines* denote half-life-time from EADS as shown in *B*.

per turnover, they allow for easy modification of kinetics and light sensitivity through the introduction of step function mutations (27, 28), and they act more physiological like the endogenous $GABA_A$ -receptor and do not hyperpolarize the cell membrane far below physiological levels (6).

Author Contributions—J. W. identified the sequence, designed, and performed all electrophysiological experiments, analyzed, and interpreted the resulting data and prepared all figures. M. B. performed protein expression and steady state spectroscopy. B. S. K. measured and processed time resolved spectroscopic data. M. B., P. H., and J. W. wrote the paper, with contributions of B. S. K. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments—We thank Melanie Meiworm, Maila Reh, Altina Klein, and Thi Bich Thao Nguyen for technical assistance.

References

- 1. Boyden, E. S., Zhang, F., Bamberg, E., Nagel, G., and Deisseroth, K. (2005) Millisecond-timescale, genetically targeted optical control of neural activity. *Nat. Neurosci.* **8,** 1263–1268
- 2. Schneider, F., Gradmann, D., and Hegemann, P. (2013) Ion selectivity and competition in channelrhodopsins. *Biophys. J.* **105,** 91–100
- 3. Chow, B. Y., Han, X., Dobry, A. S., Qian, X., Chuong, A. S., Li, M., Henninger, M. A., Belfort, G. M., Lin, Y., Monahan, P. E., and Boyden, E. S. (2010) High-performance genetically targetable optical neural silencing by light-driven proton pumps. *Nature* **463,** 98–102
- 4. Wietek, J., Wiegert, J. S., Adeishvili, N., Schneider, F., Watanabe, H., Tsunoda, S. P., Vogt, A., Elstner, M., Oertner, T. G., and Hegemann, P. (2014) Conversion of channelrhodopsin into a light-gated chloride channel. *Science* **344,** 409–412
- 5. Berndt, A., Lee, S. Y., Ramakrishnan, C., and Deisseroth, K. (2014) Structure-guided transformation of channelrhodopsin into a light-activated chloride channel. *Science* **344,** 420–424
- 6. Wietek, J., Beltramo, R., Scanziani, M., Hegemann, P., Oertner, T. G., and Simon Wiegert, J. (2015) An improved chloride-conducting channelrhodopsin for light-induced inhibition of neuronal activity *in vivo*. *Sci. Rep.* **5,** 1–11
- 7. Govorunova, E. G., Sineshchekov, O. A., Janz, R., Liu, X., and Spudich, J. L.

(2015) Natural light-gated anion channels: A family of microbial rhodopsins for advanced optogenetics. *Science* **349,** 647–650

- 8. Klapoetke, N. C., Murata, Y., Kim, S. S., Pulver, S. R., Birdsey-Benson, A., Cho, Y. K., Morimoto, T. K., Chuong, A. S., Carpenter, E. J., Tian, Z., Wang, J., Xie, Y., Yan, Z., Zhang, Y., Chow, B. Y., Surek, B., Melkonian, M., Jayaraman, V., Constantine-Paton, M., Wong, G. K.-S., and Boyden, E. S. (2014) Independent optical excitation of distinct neural populations. *Nat. Methods* **11,** 338–346
- 9. Yizhar, O., Fenno, L. E., Prigge, M., Schneider, F., Davidson, T. J., O'Shea, D. J., Sohal, V. S., Goshen, I., Finkelstein, J., Paz, J. T., Stehfest, K., Fudim, R., Ramakrishnan, C., Huguenard, J. R., Hegemann, P., and Deisseroth, K. (2011) Neocortical excitation/inhibition balance in information processing and social dysfunction. *Nature* **477,** 171–178
- 10. Barry, P. H. (1994) JPCalc, a software package for calculating liquid junction potential corrections in patch-clamp, intracellular, epithelial and bilayer measurements and for correcting junction potential measurements. *J. Neurosci. Methods* **51,** 107–116
- 11. Ritter, E., Stehfest, K., Berndt, A., Hegemann, P., and Bartl, F. J. (2008) Monitoring light-induced structural changes of Channelrhodopsin-2 by UV-visible and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283,** 35033–35041
- 12. Snellenburg, J. J., Laptenok, S. P., Seger, R., Mullen, K. M., and van Stokkum, I. H. M. (2012) Glotaran: a Java-based graphical user interface for the R package TIMP. *J. Stat. Softw.* **49,** 1–22
- 13. Mullen, K. M., and van Stokkum, I. H. M. (2007) TIMP : An R package for modeling multi-way spectroscopic measurements. *J. Stat. Softw.* **18,** 1–46
- 14. Luck, M., Mathes, T., Bruun, S., Fudim, R., Hagedorn, R., Nguyen, T. M. T., Kateriya, S., Kennis, J. T. M., Hildebrandt, P., and Hegemann, P. (2012) A photochromic histidine kinase rhodopsin (HKR1) that is bimodally switched by ultraviolet and blue light. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287,** 40083–40090
- 15. Hegemann, P., Ehlenbeck, S., and Gradmann, D. (2005) Multiple photocycles of channelrhodopsin. *Biophys. J.* **89,** 3911–3918
- 16. Nikolic, K., Grossman, N., Grubb, M. S., Burrone, J., Toumazou, C., and Degenaar, P. (2009) Photocycles of channelrhodopsin-2. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **85,** 400–411
- 17. Prigge, M., Schneider, F., Tsunoda, S. P., Shilyansky, C., Wietek, J., Deisseroth, K., and Hegemann, P. (2012) Color-tuned channelrhodopsins for multiwavelength optogenetics. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287,** 31804–31812
- 18. Govorunova, E. G., Sineshchekov, O. A., Li, H., Janz, R., and Spudich, J. L. (2013) Characterization of a highly efficient blue-shifted channelrhodopsin from the marine alga *Platymonas subcordiformis*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288,**

Red-shifted Chloride Channelrhodopsin

29911–29922

- 19. Clay, B. L., Kugrens, P., and Lee, R. E. (1999) A revised classification of Cryptophyta. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* **131,** 131–151
- 20. Schneider, F., Grimm, C., and Hegemann, P. (2015) Biophysics of channelrhodopsin. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* **44,** 167–186
- 21. Ernst, O. P., Murcia, P. A. S., Daldrop, P., Tsunoda, S. P., Kateriya, S., and Hegemann, P. (2008) Photoactivation of channelrhodopsin. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283,** 1637–1643
- 22. Kianianmomeni, A., Stehfest, K., Nematollahi, G., Hegemann, P., and Hallmann, A. (2009) Channelrhodopsins of *Volvox carteri* are photochromic proteins that are specifically expressed in somatic cells under control of light, temperature, and the sex inducer. *Plant Physiol.* **151,** 347–366
- 23. Sineshchekov, O. A., Govorunova, E. G., Wang, J., Li, H., and Spudich, J. L. (2013) Intramolecular proton transfer in channelrhodopsins. *Biophys. J.* **104,** 807–817
- 24. Szundi, I., Li, H., Chen, E., Bogomolni, R., Spudich, J. L., and Kliger, D. S. (2015) *Platymonas subcordiformis* channelrhodopsin-2 function. Part I: the photochemical reaction cycle. *J. Biol. Chem.* **290,** 16573–16584
- 25. Ernst, O. P., Lodowski, D. T., Elstner, M., Hegemann, P., Brown, L. S., and Kandori, H. (2014) Microbial and animal rhodopsins: structures, functions, and molecular mechanisms. *Chem. Rev.* **114,** 126–163
- 26. Lórenz-Fonfría, V. A., and Heberle, J. (2014) Channelrhodopsin unchained: structure and mechanism of a light-gated cation channel. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* **1837,** 626–642
- 27. Berndt, A., Yizhar, O., Gunaydin, L. A., Hegemann, P., and Deisseroth, K. (2009) Bi-stable neural state switches. *Nat. Neurosci.* **12,** 229–234
- 28. Dawydow, A., Gueta, R., Ljaschenko, D., Ullrich, S., Hermann, M., Ehmann, N., Gao, S., Fiala, A., Langenhan, T., Nagel, G., and Kittel, R. J. (2014) Channelrhodopsin-2-XXL, a powerful optogenetic tool for lowlight applications. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **111,** 13972–13977

