T cell-intrinsic S1PR1 regulates endogenous effector T-cell egress dynamics from lymph nodes during infection

Alexandre P. Benechet^{a,b,c,1}, Manisha Menon^a, Daqi Xu^{a,2}, Tasleem Samji^a, Leigh Maher^a, Thomas T. Murooka^{d,e}, Thorsten R. Mempel^{d,e}, Brian S. Sheridan^{a,3}, Francois M. Lemoine^{b,c}, and Kamal M. Khanna^{a,f,4}

^aDepartment of Immunology, University of Connecticut Health, Farmington, CT 06030; ^bCentre d'Immunologie et des Maladies Infectieuses de Paris, UMR-S CR7, Université Pierre-et-Marie-Curie, University of Paris 6, Sorbonne Universités, F-75005 Paris, France; ^cCentre d'Immunologie et des Maladies Infectieuses de Paris, UMR S 1135, INSERM, F-75005 Paris, France; ^dThe Center for Immunology and Inflammatory Diseases, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA 02114; ^eHarvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115; and ^fDepartment of Pediatrics, University of Connecticut Health, Farmington, CT 06030

Edited by Jason G. Cyster, University of California, San Francisco, CA, and approved January 19, 2016 (received for review August 25, 2015)

Viral clearance requires effector T-cell egress from the draining lymph node (dLN). The mechanisms that regulate the complex process of effector T-cell egress from the dLN after infection are poorly understood. Here, we visualized endogenous pathogen-specific effector T-cell migration within, and from, the dLN. We used an inducible mouse model with a temporally disrupted sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor-1 (S1PR1) gene specifically in endogenous effector T cells. Early after infection, WT and S1PR1-/- effector T cells localized exclusively within the paracortex. This localization in the paracortex by CD8 T cells was followed by intranodal migration by both WT and S1PR1^{-/-} T cells to positions adjacent to both cortical and medullary lymphatic sinuses where the T cells exhibited intense probing behavior. However, in contrast to WT, S1PR1-/- effector T cells failed to enter the sinuses. We demonstrate that, even when LN retention signals such as CC chemokine receptor 7 (CCR7) are down-regulated. T cell intrinsic S1PR1 is the master regulator of effector T-cell emigration from the dLN.

T-cell migration | sphingosine phosphate receptor-1 | T-cell activation | infection

A n effective immune response depends on the large-scale, but carefully regulated, migration of T cells within and between lymphoid and peripheral tissues. This migration is tightly regulated by several factors, including the highly organized secondary lymphoid structure and the cellular expression of chemokine receptors and compartmentalized secretion of their cognate ligands (1). This balance between the anatomy and the ordered expression of cell surface and soluble proteins dictates the exquisite choreography of T-cell migration, and visualizing these dynamics of T-cell behavior in situ within the lymph nodes (LNs) is essential for understanding the mechanisms that mediate the generation of a productive antimicrobial or antitumoral immune response (1, 2). However, our understanding of the factors that regulate the anatomical program followed by endogenous antigen-specific effector T cells after an infection remains incomplete, especially with respect to the mechannisms that regulate egress kinetics of effector T cells from LN (2, 3).

T-cell migration, even at steady state, is a highly regulated process (4). T-cell entry into the LN is controlled by G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) (3) such as CC chemokine receptor 7 (CCR7), which is also critical for the localization and retention of T cells within the LN paracortex (5, 6). Egress of naive T cells from the LN via the lymphatic vessels is regulated by the GPCR sphingosine-1phosphate receptor-1 (S1PR1) (3) and adhesion molecules (4). S1PR1 is among four other GPCRs that bind to sphingosine-1phosphate (S1P) with high affinity. S1PR1 is abundantly expressed in different cell types and tissues, including immune cells and endothelial cells (7). In addition to mediating lymphocyte egress, binding of S1P to S1PR1 and other receptors (S1PR2 to -5) on the cell surface initiates several signaling cascades that affect the functioning of many organ systems and control a multitude of biological functions, including inflammation, cell survival and differentiation, cardiovascular function, and vascular permeability (8).

Several recent studies have investigated the mechanisms by which S1PR1 regulates naive T-cell egress (9-14). Several groups have used FTY720 (Fingolimod) to investigate the role of S1PR1 in vivo. However, FTY720 is a broad modulator of four different S1P receptors (S1PR1 and S1PR3 to -5) and is a potent receptor agonist that initially activates the receptors but subsequently induces the internalization and degradation of the S1P receptors and consequently is considered a functional antagonist. Indeed, administration of FTY720 in mice blocks naive T-cell egress from the thymus and LN (15) and peripheral homing of effector T cells (16). However, it is not clear whether FTY720 exerts its effect primarily by targeting the endothelial cells or T cells although a recent study favored the idea that FTY720 exerts its function by targeting T cells (14). Nevertheless, studies that favor a T-cell intrinsic mechanism by which S1PR1 regulates naive T-cell egress used S1PR1-/- T cells to demonstrate that S1PR1-deficient naive T cells failed to exit the thymus (17, 18) and LN (11), which mimicked the results obtained by using FTY720. However, S1PR1 is also abundantly expressed on endothelial cells, where it regulates lymphatic vascular permeability (7, 19, 20). Studies using S1PR1-specific agonists (21) and antagonists (9, 22) have implicated a more critical role of lymphatic S1PR1 in regulating naive lymphocyte egress, evidently by sealing the

CrossMark

Significance

The control of a microbial infection by effector T cells is intrinsically linked to their migration. However, little is known about the mechanisms that control effector T-cell egress after infection. Sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor-1 (S1PR1) is a G-coupled protein receptor that plays an important role in naive T-cell egress from lymph nodes. However, less is known about its role in regulating effector T-cell trafficking during infection. Here, we used an inducible mouse model with temporally disrupted S1PR1 signaling exclusively in endogenous effector CD8 T cells to demonstrate that, after infection, even in the absence of retention signals such as CC chemokine receptor 7 (CCR7), intrinsic S1PR1 signaling is the overriding factor that regulates effector T-cell egress kinetics from the draining lymph node.

Author contributions: K.M.K. designed research; A.P.B., M.M., D.X., T.S., and L.M. performed research; T.R.M., B.S.S., and F.M.L. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; A.P.B., T.T.M., T.R.M., B.S.S., F.M.L., and K.M.K. analyzed data; and A.P.B. and K.M.K. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

¹Present address: Division of Immunology, Transplantation and Infectious Diseases, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan 20132, Italy.

²Present address: Diabetes Center, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94143.

³Present address: Center for Infectious Diseases, Stoney Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794.

⁴To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: kkhanna@uchc.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10. 1073/pnas.1516485113/-/DCSupplemental.

lymphatic endothelial barrier and disallowing naive T-cell egress from LN and thymus. These studies have been instrumental in improving our understanding of how S1PR1 controls naive lymphocyte migration. However, the mechanisms that regulate the dynamics of effector T-cell egress during an infection are less understood (3).

The exact intranodal localization, exit points, and mechanisms that regulate the complex process of effector T-cell egress from the draining lymph node (dLN) after infection and inflammatory conditions are not well understood. Moreover, it is not known, after T-cell activation after an infection, when LN retention signals such as CCR7 and its ligands are down-regulated (23), whether the effector T cells are still dependent on S1PR1 for egress. Furthermore, in an inflammatory milieu after infection, when S1P levels are likely altered (8, 20, 24), it remains to be determined what are the roles of T cell-intrinsic or stromal cell-intrinsic expression of S1PR1 in regulating effector T-cell trafficking. Therefore, in the current study, we addressed these unanswered questions by disrupting S1PR1 signals specifically and temporally in endogenous effector CD8 T cells after infection.

Results

Antigen-Specific T-Cell Egress Kinetics After a Local Viral Infection. We first sought to determine the time course of effector T-cell emigration from the dLN after a localized viral infection. Mice were infected s.c. into the footpad with vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV), and the popliteal LN and blood were analyzed for VSV-specific CD8 T cells using MHC class I tetramers specific for the immunodominant VSV-derived nucleoprotein peptide (N-tet). A small population of N-tet⁺ CD8 T cells was detectable in the dLN at day 4 postinfection (p.i.) (Fig. S14). N-tet⁺ CD8 T cells continued to expand in the dLN over the next 3 d. N-tet⁺ CD8 T cells could first be appreciably detected in the blood between days 5 and 7 p.i., suggesting that the majority of N-tet⁺ CD8 T-cell egress occurred during this time. By day 7-8 p.i., a majority of the antigen-specific CD8 T cells had migrated to the peripheral tissues. Because, early after VSV infection (4-5 d), very few N-tet⁺ CD8 T cells were detected in non-dLN or the spleen (Fig. S2), the major source of the N-tet⁺ CD8 T cells in the blood was the draining popliteal LN. Therefore, these data reveal that, after a localized VSV infection, antigen-specific CD8 T cells exhibit a relatively short exit window. As expected, by day 4 p.i., virtually all N-tet CD8 T cells expressed granzyme B (GzmB) exhibiting an effector phenotype. In addition, retention signals, such as CCR7 (Fig. S1B), were down-regulated whereas CXCR3 expression was increased. Intriguingly, effector T cells (day 4-6 p.i.) in fact expressed higher levels of S1PR1 compared with naive T cells (Fig. S1B), suggesting that, although S1PR1 expression is decreased early after activation (12, 25), as effector T cells proliferate, the expression of S1PR1 is rapidly restored and even increased. To determine whether a similar migration profile occurred for antigen-specific effector CD4 T cells, we generated a recombinant VSV (VSV-LLO) expressing the class II restricted LLO₁₉₀₋₂₀₁ peptide to track endogenous LLOspecific CD4 T cells using an I-Ab tetramer (LLO-Tet) after infection. After VSV-LLO infection, LLO-specific CD4 T cells expanded in the dLN (Fig. S3 A and B). Additionally, the chemokine receptor expression pattern of LLO-Tet+ CD4 T cells was similar to their effector CD8 T-cell counterparts (Figs. S1B and S3C). Taken together, our results show that the phenotype of both CD8 and CD4 effector T cells between days 5 and 7 p.i. favored cellular egress from the dLN, suggesting that similar mechanisms may regulate effector CD8 and CD4 T-cell migration.

After Skin Infection, Endogenous Effector CD8 T Cells Localize to the Periphery of the dLN Around the Medullary and Cortical/Interfollicular Lymphatic Sinuses as They Exit the LN. We next visualized the exact exit points that the effector CD8 T cells use to egress the dLN after infection. To this end, we used a reporter mouse gzmBER^{T2}/ ROSAEYFP (26) that expresses YFP under the control of the GzmB promoter (GzmB YFP), but only in the presence of the drug tamoxifen. GzmBER^{T2}/ROSAEYFP mice were treated with tamoxifen at day 3 p.i. to ensure that effector CD8 T cells, generated in the dLN after local VSV infection, expressed YFP and could be tracked after activation. The majority of the effector CD8 T cells (GzmB YFP) at day 5 p.i. were localized in the LN cortex (Fig. 1A, Left), but, 24 h later (day 6 p.i.), virtually all of the effector CD8 T cells had undergone a dramatic redistribution to the periphery of the dLN and were observed in close contact with the lymphatic vessels (Fig. 1 B and C and Fig. S4), particularly in both the cortical/ interfollicular as well as the medullary sinuses. Notably, the number of effector CD8 T cells located near the LN medullary sinus was considerably higher compared with cortical/interfollicular sinuses. These data suggested that, in contrast to naive T cells (11), effector T cells used both the cortical and medullary sinuses to exit the dLN. To confirm our results with the gzmBER^{T2}/ROSAEYFP reporter mouse, we performed in situ tetramer staining on thick LN sections (27). Indeed, at day 6 p.i., even deep in the LN tissue, N-tet⁺ CD8 T cells were localized around the lymphatic sinuses similarly to the YFP⁺ effector CD8 T cells, with a greater number of cells located in the medullary region (Fig. S5 and Movie S1).

Intravital Microscopy of Effector CD8 T-Cell Egress. Our static imaging data revealed that effector T cells seem to use both the cortical ridge and medullary sinuses to exit the dLN. Furthermore, at day 6 p.i., the number of effector CD8 T cells located in the medullary sinuses was considerably higher than those located in the cortical/interfollicular sinuses. Therefore, next, we determined whether the effector CD8 T-cell motility and egress kinetics were different depending on the lymphatic sinus used to exit the dLN. Intravital 2P microscopy was used to directly visualize the egress of effector CD8 T cells after infection. VSV-infected gzmBER^{T2}/ROSAEYFP mice were treated with tamoxifen at day 3 p.i., and the dLN was imaged at day 6 p.i. To stain for the lymphatic sinuses, we injected a fluorescently conjugated anti-Lyve1 antibody into the footpad 12 h before in vivo imaging (11). To observe the distribution of GzmB YFP cells along the complex network of lymphatic sinuses, we acquired large Z stacks of 50-100 µm and performed 3D reconstruction of the Lyve1 region (Fig. 24, yellow) and color-coded lymphocytes based on their localization outside (Fig. 2A, green) versus inside (Fig. 2A, red) the lymphatic structures. Using this method we directly assessed T-cell interactions with the lymphatics and determined their behavior outside the sinuses (Fig. 2 A and B). Once T cells entered the lumen of the cortical sinus, they rapidly and unidirectionally moved along the lymph flow (Fig. 2C and Movie S2). Intravital microscopy of the dynamics of effector T-cell entry into the sinuses and the subsequent egress from the LN after infection revealed that effector CD8 T cells interacted closely and entered into the cortical/interfollicular sinuses (Fig. 2D and Movie S2). Effector CD8 T cells were observed to first probe the lymphatic endothelial cells of the sinus and then entered the lymphatic circulation (Movie S2). Notably, similarly to naive T cells (11), we detected entry "hot spots" along the lymphatic networks where several effector T cells entered in the same area in a span of 30 min (Fig. 2B and Movie S2, circles).

The dynamics of effector CD8 T cells that were located around the cortical/interfollicular or medullary sinuses in the dLN were significantly distinct (Fig. 2 E and F). Compared with the cortical/ interfollicular sinus-associated T cells, effector CD8 T cells that were localized in the medullary region of the dLN exhibited significantly protracted interactions with the Lyve1⁺ cells, which translated to reduced track straightness and velocity (Fig. 2 E and F and Movie S3). Finally, it was notable that the mean velocity of GzmB YFP CD8 T cells at the border of the sinuses was around 5 μ M·min⁻¹ (Fig. 2F), which is considerably lower than the typical naive T-cell velocity observed in the cortex (28), suggesting that the probing behavior of effector T cells with the lymphatic endothelial cells considerably affects the motility of T cells. Altered motility of CD8 T cells in the medulla could be due to a variety of reasons, including expression of different chemokines in the medullary region compared with the T zone and increased fluid permeability.

S1PR1 Expression in Hematopoietic Cells Is Required for Regulating Effector T-Cell Egress from the dLN After Infection. S1PR1 is expressed by numerous cell types, including multiple hematopoietic lineages,



Fig. 1. After a localized viral infection, endogenous effector CD8 T cells localize to the periphery of the dLN in the medullary and cortical/interfollicular lymphatic sinuses as they exit the LN. GzmBER^{T2}/ROSAEYFP (GzmB YFP) mice were infected into the footpad and subsequently treated orally with 1 mg of tamoxifen starting at day 3 p.i. (A) In situ imaging of YFP⁺ cells in the dLN at days 5 and 6 p.i. Distribution of endogenous effector T cells (GzmB YFP; yellow) can be seen with respect to the Lyve1⁺ lymphatic vessels (blue). (Scale bars: 100 μ m.) (*B*) Distance of total CD8 α ⁺ YFP⁻ cells (blue triangles) and GzmB YFP⁺ effector T cells (purple circles) to the lymphatics at the indicated time points. The horizontal lines indicate the mean; ***P < 0.0001; for the procedure, see Fig. S3. (C) Higher magnification showing the localization of GzmB YFP⁺ cells in close proximity to the indicated lymphatic sinuses. (Scale bar: 100 μ m.) B-cell follicles and T-cell zones were delineated using B220 and CD8 α staining, respectively. Shown are representative confocal micrographs of three different experiments with at least three mice per time point.

as well as high levels of expression on lymphatic and blood endothelial cells, where it regulates cell-cell adherens junctions, and thus vascular permeability, and may influence naive T-cell egress (9, 10). Therefore, in the next set of experiments, we investigated the role of S1PR1 specifically on immune vs. stromal cells in vivo after infection. To this end, we used a mouse model where the S1PR1 deletion can be induced conditionally and temporally. Because S1PR1 signaling is critical for naive T-cell egress from the thymus, temporal excision of the receptor was necessary (17). ROSA-Cre- ER^{T2} mice were crossed to $S1PR1^{fl/fl}$ mice to obtain ROSA-Cre-ER^{T2}-S1PR1^{fl/fl} mice (from here on referred to as Rosa-S1PR1^{fl/fl} mice). Tamoxifen treatment of these mice results in cre-mediated recombination of the genomic loxP flanked S1PR1 gene, thereby disrupting the S1PR1 protein expression in Lyve1⁺ lymphatic endothelial cells (Fig. S6). To specifically delete the S1PR1 gene in hematopoietic or stromal cells, we generated several combinations of bone marrow (BM) chimeras (Materials and Methods) (Fig. 3A). The indicated BM chimeras were infected with VSV in the foot pad, followed by treatment with tamoxifen at day 3 p.i. As shown in Fig. 3 B and C, the frequency and absolute numbers of N-tet⁺ CD8 T cells in the blood in WT \rightarrow WT chimera were significantly higher than in Rosa-S1PR1^{fl/fl} \rightarrow WT (S1PR1 is disrupted only in hematopoietic cells) whereas N-tet⁺ CD8 T-cell frequency and numbers in the WT \rightarrow Rosa-S1PR1^{fl/fl} (S1PR1 is disrupted only in stromal cells) were similar to the WT \rightarrow WT chimeric mice. Conversely, when S1PR1 was exclusively disrupted in the hematopoietic cells, the N-tet⁺ CD8 T cells showed a 10-fold higher accumulation in the dLN compared with WT→WT or WT→Rosa-S1PR1^{fl/fl} chimeric mice (Fig. 3C). Similar results were obtained with mixed bone marrow chimeras (Fig. 3*D*). In the dLN, the ratio of WT and S1PR1-disrupted (Rosa-S1PR1^{fl/fl}) N-tet⁺ CD8 T cells was heavily skewed in favor of Rosa-S1PR1^{fl/fl} N-tet⁺ CD8 T cells; however, in the blood, the ratio was skewed in favor of WT N-tet⁺ CD8 T cells. These results clearly indicated that intrinsic expression of S1PR1 on immune cells is required for controlling effector T-cell egress from the dLN after infection and that endothelial cell expression of S1PR1 is dispensable for effector T-cell egress after infection.

Effector T Cell-Intrinsic S1PR1 Expression Is Essential for T-Cell Egress from dLN After Infection. Our studies clearly indicated that S1PR1 expression in the hematopoietic compartment was necessary to regulate effector CD8 T-cell egress from the dLN. However, S1PR1 is expressed on several immune cells, including dendritic cells (DCs), macrophages, naive T cells, and B cells; thus, to investigate the intrinsic role of S1PR1 specifically on endogenous effector T cells, we generated a mouse model by crossing the gzmBER^{T2}/ROSAEYFP (GzmB YFP) mouse with the S1PR1^{fl/fl} mouse to generate a gzmBER^{T2}/ROSAEYFP-S1PR1^{fl/r}



Fig. 2. Dynamics of endogenous effector CD8 T-cell egress. (A) GzmBER^{T2}/ ROSAEYFP (GzmB YFP) mice were infected in the foot pad with VSV, followed by tamoxifen treatment as before (days 3 and 4 p.i.). (A) Snapshots of 2P imaging showing surface rendering of lymphatic sinuses (Lyve1; yellow) and GzmB YFP+ cells inside (red) versus outside (green) the lymphatic sinuses. Shown are 3D reconstruction projections of several z stacks showing the medullary sinuses (Left; 114 µm depth), cortical sinuses (Center; 165 µm depth), and interfollicular sinuses (Right; 65 µm depth). (Scale bars: 50 µm.) (B) Close-up depicting an entry hot spot of endogenous effector T cells going in the same direction toward the lymphatic. White arrows illustrate the displacement (Movie S2). (Scale bar: 10 µm.) (C) Unidirectional displacement (white arrows) of GzmB YFP⁺ T cells flowing inside a cortical sinus (yellow). (D) Two distinct behaviors from (E) the track straightness graph analysis; a straight track (upper image, green track) and a confined track (bottom image, blue track). Tracks are color-coded based on the track straightness indicated by the colored bars. (F) Velocity in µM·min⁻¹ from an interfollicular area (IFA)/cortical (blue dots) and a medullary region (purple squares). Results representative of 6 different movies. **P < 0.001.



Fig. 3. S1PR1 expression in hematopoietic cells is required for regulating effector T cell egress from the dLN after infection. Indicated BM chimeric mice were infected with VSV in the footpad, and the mice were administered tamoxifen orally starting at day 3 p.i. (A) Frequency of N-tet⁺ effector T cells in the blood of the indicated BM chimeric group at day 6 p.i. Dot plots represent congenic donor CD45⁺, $CD8\alpha^+$ gated cells. (B) Absolute numbers of N-tet⁺ effector T cells in the dLN and per milliliter of blood from the indicated chimeric groups WT→WT (blue), Rosa-S1PR1^{fl/fl}→WT (purple), and WT→Rosa- S1PR1^{fl/fl} (green). (C) Mixed BM chimeric mice were treated with tamoxifen, and, 6 d after VSV footpad infection, mice were killed. The distribution of the WT (blue gate) and S1PR1^{fl/fl} (purple gate) N-tet⁺ T cell populations was assessed by FACS in the dLN and in blood. Numbers are frequencies of N-tet⁺ CD8 T cells present in each gate. (D) Absolute numbers of N-tet+ WT and S1PR1^{fl/fl} in the dLN and in the blood (cells per mL) of tamoxifen-treated mixed BM chimeras at day 6 p.i. Data are representative of six independent experiments with at least four mice per group of chimeras. Horizontal lines indicate the mean. ***P < 0.0001 ; **P < 0.001; *P < 0.01.

mouse line (GzmB YFPxS1PR1^{fl/fl}). Tamoxifen treatment of GzmB YFPxS1PR1^{fl/fl} mice results in S1PR1 deletion and YFP expression only on those cells that express GzmB. Therefore, using this mouse model, we were able to conditionally and temporally ablate the S1PR1 gene expression only in effector CD8 T cells responding to the infection. Other cells that express GzmB, such as natural killer (NK) cells, may also be affected; however, any role of NK cells after VSV infection will be relevant only during the first 2-3 d after infection, and we administered the tamoxifen only 3 d after viral infection. For the static confocal imaging studies, we costained for CD8 to identify any NK cells, and we observed very few NK cells in the LN beyond 3 d p.i. YFP expression allowed us to image and track endogenous effector CD8 T cells without using adoptive transfer of large numbers of T-cell receptor (TCR) transgenic CD8 T cells. Day 3 infected GzmB YFPxS1PR1^{fl/fl} or gzmBER^{T2}/ ROSAEYFP (control) mice were treated with tamoxifen, and mice were killed at 6 d p.i. Flow cytometric analysis showed that YFP⁺ effector CD8 T cells from tamoxifen-treated mice failed to egress from the dLN after infection; YFP+ effector CD8 T cells were virtually absent in the blood of tamoxifen-treated GzmB YFPxS1PR1^{fl/fl} mice (Fig. 4A). Moreover, confocal imaging analysis of dLN sections showed a dramatic accumulation of YFP⁺ effector CD8 T cells in the tamoxifen-treated GzmB YFPxS1PR1^{fl/fl} mice adjacent to the lymphatic networks in the periphery of the dLN at 6 d after VSV infection (Fig. 4B). These data clearly show that S1PR1 expression specifically on effector T cells regulates their egress after infection.

We obtained similar results when we used a pharmacological modulator of S1P receptors, notably FTY720 and AUY954 (Fig. S7 *A* and *B*). FTY720 is a broad modulator of four different S1P receptors (S1PR1 and S1PR3 to -5), and AUY954 is an S1PR1-specific agonist. Both drugs are potent receptor agonists that initially activate the S1P receptors they bind to but subsequently induce their internalization and degradation. Similarly, we observed a striking accumulation of GzmB YFP effector CD8 T cells around the lymphatic networks in FTY720- or AUY954-treated animals compared with the vehicle-treated mice (Fig. S7 *C–E*). In situ tetramer staining (27) showed that N-tet⁺ CD8 T cells accumulated

Benechet et al.

near the lymphatic networks (Fig. S7 *D* and *E*) and that these T cells were virtually absent from the T-cell zones. We observed a similar effect of FTY720 on VSV-specific effector CD4 T cells (Fig. S8). These data indicated that, after infection, S1PR1 is likely required for the entry of effector T cells into the lymphatic vessels, but not for the intranodal migration of activated T cells from the T-cell zones to the periphery of the LN.

S1PR1 Regulates the Dynamics of Effector T-Cell Association with Lymphatic Endothelial Cells. The results thus far clearly demonstrated the critical requirement for S1PR1 expression specifically on effector CD8 T cells in regulating egress from the dLN after a local viral infection. However, to demonstrate the precise mechanism by which S1PR1 regulates effector T-cell egress, we next visualized the dynamics of WT or S1PR1-deficient effector T cells during the egress process at the lymphatic sinuses at 6 d p.i. As shown in Fig. 5 and Movie S4, WT effector CD8 T cells (GzmB YFP) probe the lymphatic endothelium and subsequently enter the sinus and exit the dLN (Movie S4). Interestingly, effector T cells displayed two distinct probing behaviors; several CD8 T cells exhibited brief contacts with the sinuses, followed by a rapid entry into the sinus (Fig. 5A, white circles and white track and Movie S4) whereas others probed the lymphatic endothelium for more than 10 min before entering the sinus (Fig. 5A, blue circle and Movie S4). In contrast, S1PR1-deficient GzmB YFP (GzmB YFPxS1PR1^{fl/fl}) effector CD8 T cells exhibited a probing behavior (similar to WT effector CD8 T cells) but failed to enter the sinuses (Fig. 5B and Movie S5). Because S1PR1-deficient GzmB YFP effector CD8 T cells continued to probe the lymphatic endothelial cells without entering the sinus, the movement tracks of these cells at the sinus border showed a very restricted pattern (Fig. 5C). This motility pattern was further reflected by the lower track straightness and speed of S1PR1-deficient GzmB YFP effector CD8 T cells compared with S1PR1-sufficient GzmB YFP effector CD8 T cells after infection (Fig. S9). The failure of S1PR1-deficient GzmB YFP effector CD8 T cells to enter the lymphatic sinuses is further exemplified by quantifying the number of effector CD8 T cells inside or outside the lymphatic sinuses. Although S1PR1-sufficient T cells were equally distributed between the outside and inside of Lvve1⁺ lymphatic sinus areas, 80% of the S1PR1-deficient effector CD8 T cells were located outside the sinuses (Fig. 5D). These data suggest that effector CD8 T-cell entry into the lymphatic sinuses is mediated by T cell-intrinsic S1PR1 signaling.



Fig. 4. Effector T cell-intrinsic S1PR1 expression is essential for T-cell egress from dLNs after infection. gzmBER^{T2}/ROSAEYFP or gzmBER^{T2}/ROSAEYFP-S1PR1^{flx/flx} mice were infected as before and treated with tamoxifen starting 3 d p.i. (A) Shown at day 6 p.i. is the frequency of YFP⁺ CD8 effector T cells in the blood. The dot plots represent CD8 gated cells. (B) Confocal microscopy of dLN showing the distribution and the accumulation of GzmB-YFP⁺ effector T cells (yellow) with respect to the Lyve1⁺ (blue) lymphatic vessels. (Scale bars: 100 μ m.) The data are representative of two independent experiments with at least three mice per group.



Fig. 5. S1PR1 regulates the dynamics of effector T-cell association with lymphatic endothelial cells. Infected GzmBER^{T2}/ROSAEYFP (GzmB YFP) or GzmBER^{T2}/ROSAEYFP-S1PR1^{flx/flx} (GzmB YFP S1PR1^{fl/fl}) mice were treated with tamoxifen starting at day 3 p.i. At day 6 p.i., the dLN was surgically exposed for intravital 2P imaging. Time-lapse images of GzmB-YFP⁺ (green) effector CD8 T cells interacting with Lyve1⁺ lymphatic endothelial cells in the dLNs of (A) GzmB YFP mice (Movie S4) or (B) GzmB YFP S1PR1^{fl/fl} mice (Movie S5). Two distinct behaviors are depicted: a long lasting probing (blue circle) and short contacts followed by effector T-cell entry into the sinus (A, white circle and white track). S1PR1^{-/-} GzmB⁺ T cells move toward the sinus, contact, and walk to the opposite direction (B, white circle). White arrowheads indicate tracks whereas asterisks designate T-cell contacts with the lymphatic surface. Elapsed time is presented as min:s. (Scale bars: 10 μm .) (C) Tracks (red) of S1PR1^{-/-} effector CD8 T cells (green) during a 15-min elapsed time showing the repeated probing behavior of six different cells (white boxes) with the Lyve1+ (yellow; rendered surface of the lymphatic sinus) cells. (D) GzmB YFP+ T-cell frequency inside (dashed bar) and outside (plain bar) the Lyve1⁺ sinuses, assessed by the 3D reconstruction of the Lyve1 fluorescent structures from GzmB YFP (blue) or GzmB YFP S1PR1^{fl/fl} (purple). Results are representative of three independent experiments.

Discussion

The control of a microbial infection by effector T cells is intrinsically linked to their migration. Egress of effector T cells from the dLN is one of the essential steps for the eventual eradication of the pathogen at the infection site. Moreover, retention and egress kinetics of effector T cells in lymphoid organs are directly related to the strength of the TCR-ligand interaction and thus affect T-cell differentiation after infection (29). However, little is known about the mechanisms that control the complex process of effector T-cell egress after infection. Therefore, to determine the mechanisms that regulate this important process, we used mouse models that allowed us to specifically visualize endogenous effector T cells after infection without using adoptive transfer of a large number of TCR transgenic CD8 T cells.

An elegant report recently showed that adoptively transferred naive T cells primarily localize around and enter the cortical lymphatic sinuses and subsequently flow through the medullary sinuses and exit the LN via the efferent lymph (11). Although the possibility of direct migration of naive T cells into the medullary sinus was not formally investigated, it was postulated that, because a majority of the transferred naive T cells were localized near the cortical sinuses relative to a small number found in the medullary region, the cortical sinuses serve as the primary exit point. In contrast, we observed that, between 5 and 6 d after a local viral infection, antigen-specific effector CD8 T cells undergo intranodal positioning to the periphery of the dLN and that a large number of these cells were in fact localized in the medullary region, as well as outside the cortical sinuses. This observation suggests that, after infection, effector T cells directly use both cortical and medullary lymphatic sinuses to exit the dLN. This apparent difference between naive and effector T-cell egress dynamics is likely related to the differential expression of chemokine receptors. Interestingly, the peripheral intranodal migration of effector CD8 T cells was not dependent on S1PR1 because even receptor-deficient effector CD8 \hat{T} cells migrated to the periphery of the LN. Naive T cells express LN retention signals such as CCR7 (which retains the cells in the T-cell zones) whereas effector CD8 T cells exhibit a chemokine receptor profile that favors cell egress. It is possible that down-regulation of retention signals alone may account for the observed intranodal peripheral migration of effector CD8 T cells beginning at 5 d after infection. However, other factors that may influence this local effector CD8 T-cell migration and localization may include the dramatically altered production of chemokines within lymphoid organs during infection (23).

Previous studies have shown that S1PR1 is required for naive T cell egress from the LN (11, 18). Because naive \hat{T} cells express LN homing and retention molecules, such as CCR7, the egress kinetics of these T cells are largely a function of the tug of war between retention and egress promoting signals (12). However, after a local viral infection, the conditions in a draining reactive LN are distinct from a steady-state environment. After skin infection with VSV, there is a substantial increase in the production of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines in the dLN (30), which will dramatically affect the migration patterns of several innate and adaptive immune cells. In addition, viral infection may also alter local levels of the ligand S1P (8, 24, 31), which will significantly influence effector T-cell egress by regulating the function and permeability of endothelial cells. Moreover, once T cells are activated, they down-regulate LN retention signals such as CCR7 and thus are primed to egress from the reactive LN and mobilize into the circulation. Interestingly, a study recently demonstrated that naive and effector T cells respond to CCR7 cues differently (32). When CCL19- and CCL21-expressing fibroblastic reticular cells (FRCs) were conditionally depleted, it resulted in the loss of naive, but not effector, T cells from the LN. The authors (32) suggested that reduced expression of S1PR1, rather than CCR7 signaling, influenced the retention of antigen-specific T cells until they were ready to leave the LN. Therefore, we asked the important question: In light of the infection-induced inflammatory environment, are effector T cells that lack retention signals and are poised to exit the dLN dependent on S1PR1 for egress after a local viral infection? Furthermore, under infection-induced inflammatory conditions, what are the roles of hematopoietic-intrinsic vs. endothelial cell-intrinsic expression of S1PR1 in mediating effector T-cell egress from the dLN? Indeed, our studies using pharmacological or genetic modulation of S1PR1 clearly demonstrated that the primary mechanism that regulates the dynamics of effector T-cell egress kinetics from the dLN after infection is the S1P-S1PR1 axis. Thus, even when LN retention cues are absent, both antigen-specific CD8 and CD4 effector T cells are heavily dependent on S1PR1 for egressing the dLN. Although endothelial cell-specific expression of S1PR1 has been implicated in regulating naive T-cell egress (9, 22), our studies using bone marrow chimeras did not show any significant effect on effector T-cell egress when S1PR1 was disrupted exclusively in the stromal cell compartment. Collectively, our results suggest a dominant and nonreciprocal role for hematopoietic cell expression of S1PR1 in regulating effector T-cell egress.

S1PR1 is expressed on several innate immune cell types, such as dendritic cells, monocytes, and macrophages (8); thus, pharmacological modulation of S1PR1 or genetic ablation of the receptor in all hematopoietic cells still left open the possibility that the regulation of effector T-cell egress by S1PR1 was a result

of indirect mechanisms. Moreover, the ubiquitous expression of S1PR1 and the broad influence of the S1P-S1PR1 receptor axis in modulating several biological processes (8) required an in vivo model system to genetically and temporally disrupt S1PR1 gene function specifically in effector CD8 T cells. Thus, we generated a mouse model by crossing gzmBER^{T2}/ROSAEYFP mice (26) with S1PR1^{fl/fl} mice. In the resulting triple transgenic mouse, S1PR1 could be deleted temporally and specifically on GzmB-expressing effector CD8 T cells after infection. Using this inducible mouse model we disrupted the S1PR1 axis by treating the mice with tamoxifen at day 3 after VSV infection, which allowed for normal T-cell priming and demonstrated a T cell-intrinsic requirement for S1PR1 regulation of endogenous effector CD8 T-cell egress from the dLN after infection. Between 5 and 6 d p.i., S1PR1-disrupted effector CD8 T cells migrated to the periphery of the dLN and were unable to enter the sinuses to exit the dLN despite continued probing of Lyve1⁺ lymphatic endothelial cells. Interestingly, unlike naive S1PR1^{-/-} T cells (11), effector T cells had down-regulated the LN retention molecule CCR7, and, thus, these cells continued to accumulate near the lymphatic sinuses in the periphery of the LN and were unable to migrate back to the T-cell zones in the cortex. Interestingly, we observed that several effector T cells exited at the same area of a lymphatic sinus, favoring the existence of transmigration "portals" (9, 11). In addition, it is notable that that even S1PR1-deficient effector T cells migrated out of the cortex and localized to the periphery of the dLN and continued to exhibit a probing behavior at the lymphatic sinuses, indicating that S1PR1 is required not for these dynamic processes but mainly for the entry of effector T cells across the endothelial lymphatic vessels. These data suggest that S1PR1-mediated effector T-cell egress across the lymphatic endothelial cells represents a more complex process than just sensing of the ligand S1P (3) that is present in high quantities in the lymph. The possible synergistic roles of adhesion molecules and other chemokines in contributing to this complex egress process is not known.

- 1. Germain RN, Robey EA, Cahalan MD (2012) A decade of imaging cellular motility and interaction dynamics in the immune system. *Science* 336(6089):1676–1681.
- Benechet AP, Menon M, Khanna KM (2014) Visualizing T cell migration in-situ. Front Immunol 5:363.
- 3. Cyster JG, Schwab SR (2012) Sphingosine-1-phosphate and lymphocyte egress from lymphoid organs. *Annu Rev Immunol* 30:69–94.
- Reichardt P, et al. (2013) A role for LFA-1 in delaying T-lymphocyte egress from lymph nodes. EMBO J 32(6):829–843.
- Worbs T, Mempel TR, Bölter J, von Andrian UH, Förster R (2007) CCR7 ligands stimulate the intranodal motility of T lymphocytes in vivo. J Exp Med 204(3):489–495.
- Asperti-Boursin F, Real E, Bismuth G, Trautmann A, Donnadieu E (2007) CCR7 ligands control basal T cell motility within lymph node slices in a phosphoinositide 3-kinaseindependent manner. J Exp Med 204(5):1167–1179.
- 7. Chae SS, Proia RL, HIa T (2004) Constitutive expression of the S1P1 receptor in adult tissues. *Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat* 73(1-2):141–150.
- Kunkel GT, Maceyka M, Milstien S, Spiegel S (2013) Targeting the sphingosine-1-phosphate axis in cancer, inflammation and beyond. Nat Rev Drug Discov 12(9):688–702.
- Wei SH, et al. (2005) Sphingosine 1-phosphate type 1 receptor agonism inhibits transendothelial migration of medullary T cells to lymphatic sinuses. *Nat Immunol* 6(12):1228–1235.
 Rosen H, Sanna MG, Cahalan SM, Gonzalez-Cabrera PJ (2007) Tipping the gatekeeper:
- S1P regulation of endothelial barrier function. *Trends Immunol* 28(3):102–107. 11. Grigorova IL, et al. (2009) Cortical sinus probing, S1P1-dependent entry and flow-
- based capture of egressing T cells. Nat Immunol 10(1):58–65.
- Pham TH, Okada T, Matloubian M, Lo CG, Cyster JG (2008) S1P1 receptor signaling overrides retention mediated by G alpha i-coupled receptors to promote T cell egress. *Immunity* 28(1):122–133.
- Zhi L, et al. (2011) FTY720 blocks egress of T cells in part by abrogation of their adhesion on the lymph node sinus. J Immunol 187(5):2244–2251.
- Thangada S, et al. (2010) Cell-surface residence of sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor 1 on lymphocytes determines lymphocyte egress kinetics. J Exp Med 207(7):1475–1483.
- Mandala S, et al. (2002) Alteration of lymphocyte trafficking by sphingosine-1phosphate receptor agonists. *Science* 296(5566):346–349.
- Pinschewer DD, et al. (2000) FTY720 immunosuppression impairs effector T cell peripheral homing without affecting induction, expansion, and memory. J Immunol 164(11):5761–5770.
- Allende ML, Dreier JL, Mandala S, Proia RL (2004) Expression of the sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor, S1P1, on T-cells controls thymic emigration. J Biol Chem 279(15): 15396–15401.
- Matloubian M, et al. (2004) Lymphocyte egress from thymus and peripheral lymphoid organs is dependent on S1P receptor 1. Nature 427(6972):355–360.

Lymphocyte egress is a complex process and can involve previously unappreciated players. A recent study showed that activation of the β 2 adrenergic receptor (β 2AR) inhibited egress of naive CD4 and B cells and of effector OTII cells from the LN (33). This retention was mediated by an interaction of β 2AR with CCR7 and CXCR4. Nevertheless, our data clearly demonstrated that S1PR1 signaling is the most critical mechanism that regulates effector T-cell egress from the dLN after a local infection and that, even in the absence of retention signals, T cell-intrinsic S1PR1 signaling is the dominant mechanism that regulates transendothelial migration and effector T-cell emigration from the dLN, and, thus, we conclude that S1PR1 is the master regulator of effector T-cell egress after infection.

Materials and Methods

Mice and Tissue Preparation. All animal work and procedures were carried out in accordance with the approved protocol by the University of Connecticut Health Center's Animal Care Committee (ACC) and the Health Center's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). For further details on all other procedures, including mice strains, flow cytometric analysis, and confocal as well as multiphoton dynamic intravital imaging, see *SI Materials and Methods*.

Infections. Mice were infected s.c. into the footpad with 5×10^4 plaque forming units (pfu) of VSV Indiana strain or 5×10^4 pfu of recombinant VSV-GSL (GSL; GFP, SIINFEKL, LLO). Statistical analyses were conducted using an unpaired two-tailed (Student's) *t* test, unless specified otherwise (**P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001). For more details, see *SI Materials and Methods*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Quynh-Mai Pham for assistance in performing experiments and Dr. Evan Jellison in the UConn Health Flow Cytometry Facility. We thank Dr. Tim Hla for critically reading the paper and Dr. Shobha Thangada for her assistance with the study. This work was supported by NIH Grants Al076457 (to B.S.S.), Al097052 (to T.R.M.), Al007387 (to T.T.M.), and Al097375 (to K.M.K.).

- Ledgerwood LG, et al. (2008) The sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor 1 causes tissue retention by inhibiting the entry of peripheral tissue T lymphocytes into afferent lymphatics. Nat Immunol 9(1):42–53.
- Roviezzo F, et al. (2011) Sphingosine-1-phosphate modulates vascular permeability and cell recruitment in acute inflammation in vivo. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 337(3):830–837.
- Alfonso C, McHeyzer-Williams MG, Rosen H (2006) CD69 down-modulation and inhibition of thymic egress by short- and long-term selective chemical agonism of sphingosine 1-phosphate receptors. *Eur J Immunol* 36(1):149–159.
- Sanna MG, et al. (2006) Enhancement of capillary leakage and restoration of lymphocyte egress by a chiral S1P1 antagonist in vivo. Nat Chem Biol 2(8):434–441.
- 23. Mueller SN, et al. (2007) Regulation of homeostatic chemokine expression and cell trafficking during immune responses. *Science* 317(5838):670–674.
- Olivera A, Allende ML, Proia RL (2013) Shaping the landscape: Metabolic regulation of S1P gradients. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1831(1):193–202.
- Shiow LR, et al. (2006) CD69 acts downstream of interferon-alpha/beta to inhibit S1P1 and lymphocyte egress from lymphoid organs. *Nature* 440(7083):540–544.
- Bannard O, Kraman M, Fearon DT (2009) Secondary replicative function of CD8+ T cells that had developed an effector phenotype. *Science* 323(5913):505–509.
- Khanna KM, McNamara JT, Lefrançois L (2007) In situ imaging of the endogenous CD8 T cell response to infection. Science 318(5847):116–120.
- Miller MJ, Wei SH, Parker I, Cahalan MD (2002) Two-photon imaging of lymphocyte motility and antigen response in intact lymph node. *Science* 296(5574):1869–1873.
- Zehn D, Lee SY, Bevan MJ (2009) Complete but curtailed T-cell response to very lowaffinity antigen. *Nature* 458(7235):211–214.
- Iannacone M, et al. (2010) Subcapsular sinus macrophages prevent CNS invasion on peripheral infection with a neurotropic virus. *Nature* 465(7301):1079–1083.
- Ito K, et al. (2014) Integrin α9 on lymphatic endothelial cells regulates lymphocyte egress. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 111(8):3080–3085.
- Denton AE, Roberts EW, Linterman MA, Fearon DT (2014) Fibroblastic reticular cells of the lymph node are required for retention of resting but not activated CD8+ T cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 111(33):12139–12144.
- Nakai A, Hayano Y, Furuta F, Noda M, Suzuki K (2014) Control of lymphocyte egress from lymph nodes through β2-adrenergic receptors. J Exp Med 211(13):2583–2598.
- Altman JD, et al. (1996) Phenotypic analysis of antigen-specific T lymphocytes. Science 274(5284):94–96.
- Moon JJ, et al. (2007) Naive CD4(+) T cell frequency varies for different epitopes and predicts repertoire diversity and response magnitude. *Immunity* 27(2):203–213.
- Murooka TT, Mempel TR (2012) Multiphoton intravital microscopy to study lymphocyte motility in lymph nodes. *Methods Mol Biol* 757:247–257.