

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 April 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2016 April; 44(2): 154-161. doi:10.1111/cdoe.12200.

Factors Associated with Dental Caries in a Group of American Indian Children at age 36 Months

John J. Warren, DDS, MS¹, Derek Blanchette, MS², Deborah V. Dawson, PhD², Teresa A. Marshall, PhD¹, Kathy R. Phipps, DrPH³, Delores Starr, RDH⁴, and David R. Drake, PhD² ¹Department of Preventive and Community Dentistry, University of Iowa

²Dows Institute for Dental Research, University of Iowa

³Dental Public Health Consultant, Morro Bay, California

⁴Indian Health Service, South Dakota

Abstract

Objectives—Early childhood caries (ECC) is rampant among American Indian children, but there has been relatively little study of this problem. This paper reports on risk factors for caries for a group of American Indian children at age 36 months as part of a longitudinal study.

Methods—Pregnant women from a Northern Plains Tribal community were recruited to participate in a longitudinal study of caries and caries risk factors. Standardized dental examinations were completed on children and questionnaires were completed by mothers at baseline and when children were 4, 8, 12, 16, 22, 28 and 36 months of age. Examinations were surface-specific for dental caries, and the questionnaires collected data on demographic, dietary and behavioral factors. Non-parametric bivariate tests and logistic regression models were used to identify risk factors for caries at 36 months, and negative binomial regression was used to identify factors related to caries severity (dmf counts).

Results—Among the 232 children, and caries prevalence for cavitated lesions was 80%, with an additional 15% having only non-cavitated lesions. The mean dmfs was 9.6, and of the total dmfs, nearly 62% of affected surfaces were decayed, 31% were missing, and 7% were filled. Logistic regression identified higher added sugar beverage consumption, younger maternal age at baseline, higher maternal DMFS at baseline, and greater number of people in the household as significant (p<0.05) risk factors. Negative binomial regression found that only maternal DMFS was associated with child dmf counts.

Conclusions—By the age of 36 months, dental caries is nearly universal in this population of American Indian children. Caries risk factors included sugared beverage consumption, greater household size and maternal factors, but further analyses are needed to better understand caries in this population.

Corresponding Author: John J. Warren, Professor, Department of Preventive & Community Dentistry, The University of Iowa, N-337 Dental Science Building, 801 Newton Road, Iowa City, IA 52242-1010, USA. Phone: 319-335-7205; john-warren@uiowa.edu.

Keywords

Dental Caries; Children; Risk factors; Oral health disparities

Introduction

It is well-documented that dental caries prevalence among young American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) children has remained high for many years^{1–3}. At the same time, while there has recently been a slight increase caries prevalence among the youngest U.S. children, there has been a steady decline in caries prevalence among all U.S. children over the past 30+ years^{4,5}. As a result, there is a very large disparity in caries prevalence between AI/AN children and other children. Specifically, decay experience among AI/AN 2- to 5- year old children was 62% as reported in the 2010 Indian Health Service (IHS) Oral Health Survey of Preschool Children¹, while caries prevalence was 25% for non-Hispanic white children, 32% for Black children and 42% for Mexican-American children among 2- to 5- year-olds nationally, as reported from NHANES 1999–2004 data⁴.

While caries prevalence is much higher among AI/AN children, there is virtually no information as to why caries continues to be very prevalent and severe in this population¹. NHANES data indicate that children living in poverty (i.e., annual incomes less than 100% of the U.S. federal poverty level for families of a particular size – about \$24,000 for a family of four) have substantially higher rates of caries than those not living in poverty⁴. Moreover, many AI/AN reservations are situated in some of the most impoverished areas in the U.S.⁶, so that factors associated with poverty undoubtedly play a role in the high caries rates among AI/AN children. However, it remains unclear what specific biological, behavioral and environmental factors contribute to caries in impoverished populations, and particularly in AI/AN populations.

Dietary and oral hygiene factors have been most commonly reported as risk factors for caries among impoverished children^{7–9}, while bacterial^{10,11} and maternal factors^{11,12} have also been identified in these populations. Among AI/AN children, only one U.S. and one Canadian study have examined risk factors for caries in these populations^{13, 14}. The U.S. study included 77 children 12- to 36-months of age, and found that prolonged bottle use, falling asleep with a bottle, higher frequency of between-meal snacks and delayed initiation of tooth cleaning were associated with having caries¹³. A study of 315 First Nations children aged 2 to 6 years in the Northwest Territories of Canada found that children who drank sweetened crystal-based beverages were at higher risk for caries¹⁴. The same study found that those from higher income families, those who were breast-fed, those who were in communities with water fluoridation, and those who drank fruit juices and milk were at lower risk for caries¹⁴.

In summary, there is ample evidence that dental caries prevalence in young AI/AN children is very high relative to other populations, but there has been little research on factors associated with caries in the AI/AN population. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to assess the risk factors for dental caries among a cohort of American Indian children at age 36 months as part of a longitudinal study in this population.

Methods

This paper reports on factors related to caries among a cohort of children at age 36 months as part of a longitudinal study in a Northern Plains tribal community¹⁵. Expectant mothers and those who had recently given birth were recruited into the study over a period of one year beginning in June, 2009, with a recruitment goal of 250 mother-child pairs. Recruitment efforts included a media campaign (posters and radio ads), and presentations made at various community sites and health clinics. Expectant women were also made aware of the study by staff at the local Indian Health Service (IHS) hospital, where nearly all tribal women received prenatal care. Baseline data were collected when children were 1 month of age (±30 days), and included a caries exam of the mother, plaque samples from both mother and child, detailed nutritional data for mother and child, as well as information on childcare, child feeding practices and family demographics. Data regarding plaque microflora and transmission are not included in these analyses; these data will be the focus of future papers.

All procedures were reviewed for proper ethical research practices and approved by the Tribal Community's Human Subjects Review Board, the Aberdeen Area IHS IRB and the University of Iowa Human Subjects Committee.

Much of the same information collected at baseline was also collected at follow-up visits when the children were 4, 8, 12, 16, 22, 28 and 36 months of age (\pm 30 days). Specifically, the follow-up assessments included collection of plaque samples for mother and child, nutritional data for the child, childcare and child feeding practices. Each child also received a dental exam at each follow-up assessment beginning when teeth were first present in the mouth. All data were collected by a study team consisting of a research assistant and one of four dental hygienist/examiners, who were trained by a gold-standard dentist with experience in training examiners for epidemiological examinations. Data were recorded using paper forms, and entered into a web-based database developed for the study.

Nutritional data for mothers and children were collected using a validated beverage frequency questionnaire adapted from the Iowa Fluoride Study¹⁶, a 24-hour dietary recall tool, and a food habit questionnaire. These tools were designed to assess overall diet quality and exposure to cariogenic factors including frequency and volume of exposure to fermentable carbohydrate substrates. Nutritional information was gathered for study children at each assessment, while data for mothers was collected only at baseline and at the 36-month assessment.

As described previously¹⁵, surface-level dental caries exams were completed using a halogen headlight, a DenLite® illuminated mirror and explorer (Shepherd hook #23 explorer). The teeth were dried with gauze and visually inspected and an explorer was used only to confirm areas of suspected decay and remove debris. In brief, the caries examinations were conducted by one of four trained and calibrated dental hygienist-examiners, and used dmf criteria adapted from those used by NHANES⁴ and other studies. Training and calibration of examiners was completed by a gold-standard dentist prior to the beginning of the study and subsequently midway through the study period. Reliability of the

examiners was high and reported previously¹⁵. In addition to the dmf measures, noncavitated carious lesions were recorded as present or absent at the person level. Children's caries exams were completed using the knee-to-knee method¹⁷, while the permanent dentition exams for the mothers were completed by the same set of examiners and were done under field conditions with the mothers seated in ordinary chairs.

For these analyses baseline demographic and dental data including mother's age, DMFS, brushing frequency, smoking habits, education level, annual family income, and household size were included and the association of these factors with child caries at 36 months of age was assessed. In addition, child beverage consumption data from the 36-month assessment, which included information on 17 different beverages and beverage consumption categories (e.g., sugar added beverages) were assessed for relationships with caries at 36 months of age.

Data were converted into SAS format for analyses using SAS version 9.3. Bivariate analyses were performed using the chi square and Wilcoxon rank sum tests. Regression modeling was guided by a priori hypotheses that maternal and socio-demographic factors were likely candidate predictors, as well as findings from bivariate analyses. Caries risk models were developed using multivariable logistic regression based on presence or absence of caries at 36 months and were confirmed using both forward and backward selection. The Hosmer-Lemeshow test was used to assess goodness of fit. Counts of decayed, missing or filled surfaces at 36 months were modeled using multivariable negative binomial regression. A 5% significance level was used for all analyses.

Results

A total of 239 children and mother pairs were initially enrolled in the study. By the time of the 36-month examination, 7 were lost to follow-up, leaving 232 subjects in the study. Of these children, 129 were female and 103 were males, with a mean age of 35.4 months. All of the children were American Indian (93%) or American Indian plus another race.

Of the 232 children providing data at the 36-month assessment, 186 (80.2%) had cavitated lesions and an additional 34 subjects (14.7%) had non-cavitated lesions. Prevalence of cavitated lesions in boys was 83.5, while in girls it was 77.5% (p=0.32).

The mean dmfs was 9.6, and was 9.8 in girls and 9.5 in boys (p=0.86). Individual dmfs values ranged from 0 to 42 surfaces. The mean number of erupted surfaces present was 87.7, so that the proportion of all surfaces with dmf was 11.0%. The dmf distribution among affected surfaces was 61.7% decayed, 31.4% missing and 6.9% filled. As seen in Figures 1 & 2, the most commonly affected teeth were the maxillary central incisors with over 50% of these teeth affected.

Table 1 presents comparison of mean values for selected quantitative demographic and clinical variables by caries status, while Table 2 presents comparisons for categorical variables. Higher maternal caries levels (as measured by DMFS), higher numbers of people per household and lower maternal education level were associated with caries in the primary dentition.

Table 3 presents comparison of mean proportion of total beverage from individual intake sources between those with cavitated caries and those with no cavitated caries. Among the beverages considered, added sugar beverage consumption (which included juice drinks, flavored water, sugared drinks from powder, regular pop, sports drinks, energy drinks, and other sugared drinks) was significantly higher among children with caries than for children with no caries. In addition, those with caries experience had lower mean consumption of sugar-free beverages than those who had no caries experience.

Logistic regression analyses were used to assess variables contributing to caries in children, and as presented in Table 4, cavitated caries was associated with younger maternal age, higher maternal DMFS, higher number of people in the household, and a higher proportion of added sugar beverages consumed. Figures 3 and 4 illustrate the relationships among selected variables in the regression model. Figure 3 demonstrates that younger maternal age and greater household size are associated with higher probability of caries in children, while Figure 4 shows how maternal DMFS and added sugar beverage consumption influence the probability of caries in children.

Table 5 presents the results of negative binomial regression analyses of factors associated with dmf counts at 36 months of age. Of all the factors considered (see Table 5), only maternal DMFS was associated with child dmf levels.

Discussion

This study found that over 80% of children had cavitated (dmf) caries by the time of their 3rd birthday. This finding of high prevalence of caries exist in a population of young U.S. children is alarming; however, it is not very surprising, as the prevalence reported in a recent Indian Health Service survey was 61% among 3-year-olds¹. Nonetheless, the prevalence and mean dmfs were very much higher than those in in the U.S. general population as reported by the NHANES data⁴, and suggest that perhaps some extraordinary factors play important roles in the caries etiology of this population.

The study found that younger maternal age, higher added-sugar beverage consumption, higher maternal caries levels, and a higher number of people in the household were associated with caries in this population. Younger maternal age and higher added-sugar beverages have been identified as risk factors in many populations^{7, 8, 18–20}, and thus are not unique, but seem unlikely to fully explain the high prevalence of caries in this population. Higher maternal caries experience has also been found to be a risk factor in other studies^{11, 12, 19, 21}, and the results of the negative binomial regression analyses found that maternal DMFS was associated with children's dmf levels. The use of continuous variables in the negative binomial regression analyses of the present study limits the comparability to previous studies^{12, 21}, which used categorical or dichotomous measures of maternal untreated decay; nonetheless, the findings of a significant relationship are consistent between the present study and previous ones.

A large majority (88% - data not shown) of mothers had untreated decay in the present study, so that extensive caries is characteristic in this population. Access to dental care is

Warren et al.

limited in many AI/AN communities because there are few private providers in the remote areas where they are located and the Indian Health Service, which provides free dental care to tribal community members, is often under-staffed and cannot meet the extensive needs of the community. In addition, tribal community members often have to travel great distances to receive dental care, and many lack reliable transportation. As a result, most of the decay seen in children and adults remains untreated – often for long periods of time. As a result, mothers in this study may have had very high loads of mutans streptococci that were harbored in areas of decay and were readily passed on to their children at a very early age. Given that maternal DMFS was significantly related to child dmf, other maternal factors that likely contribute to high DMFS levels, such as poor diet and lack of oral hygiene, may be reflected in mothers' child-care practices and thereby increased caries risk for the children.

The other caries risk factor identified in this study was that children with caries had a higher mean number of people residing in their households than did those without caries, which may be related to the patterns of bacterial transmission as mentioned above, but may also be a marker for the high levels of poverty in this population. The tribal community in this study is located in one of the poorest counties in the United States, where over 50% of people live below the federal poverty level and the median annual household income is only \$28,512 annually⁶, compared to the U.S. national poverty rate of 15.3% and the U.S. average median annual household income of $$50,046^6$. However, while the relationship between poverty and higher caries prevalence is well-known, it is not clear what specific conditions associated with poverty contribute to dental caries. The finding that higher numbers of people in the household is a risk factor for caries in this impoverished population suggest that crowded housing may play a role. From a bacterial transmission perspective, crowded housing may allow for more sources of transmission for cariogenic bacteria, and more importantly, increased opportunity for earlier colonization and colonization by more virulent species. In addition, crowded housing may foster an atmosphere for more exposure to cariogenic substrates, specifically a perceived need to pacify young children with sweetened beverages. Also, crowded housing may result in less consistent parenting practices and less consistent oral care for children due to having a variety of caregivers, or overly-fatigued parents. Clearly, these issues warrant further research, including further analyses of the present study's data.

While this longitudinal study of dental caries among very young children in an AI population was unique, and the loss to follow-up was low, there were limitations. The study sample was drawn from a single tribal community and may not be representative of other American Indian communities, so that generalizing the findings to other American Indian populations must be done with caution. Moreover, due to the widespread prevalence of disease, the number of children without caries was modest, which imposed limitations on logistic modeling. In addition, due to the longitudinal design, the final sample which remained in the study for 3 years may represent a more compliant group than the general population, and may have altered their behavior as part of being in the study (i.e., the Hawthorne effect). Lastly, the scope of the analyses was limited with some potentially important data, such as longitudinal dietary and tooth eruption data, not included.

In conclusion, this study found extremely high levels of dental caries in the sample of American Indian children by the time of their third birthday. Risk factors significantly associated with caries included higher sugar-added beverage consumption, younger maternal age, higher maternal DMFS, and a greater number of people living in the household. Negative binomial regression found maternal DMFS to be associated with child dmf. These factors may reflect the extreme poverty in this population including the high DMFS levels in mothers and suggest that social conditions may have a large impact on caries development in young children.

Acknowledgement

This study was supported by NIH Grant RO1-DE017736. The authors also wish to acknowledge the work of our great study staff and the participation of our study families.

References

- Phipps KR, Ricks TL, Manz MC, Blahut P. Prevalence and severity of dental caries among American Indian and Alaska Native preschool children. J Public Health Dent. 2012; 72:208–215. [PubMed: 22515656]
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Dental caries in American Indian and Alaska native children. MMWR Morbidity Mortal Weekly Rep. 1985; 34:400–401.
- 3. Niendorff WJ, Jones CM. Prevalence and severity of dental caries among American Indians and Alaska natives. J Public Health Dent. 2000; 60:243–249. [PubMed: 11243042]
- 4. Dye BA, Tan S, Smith V, Lewis BG, Barker LK, Thornton-Evans G, Beltran-Aguilar ED, Horowitz AM, Li CH. Trends in oral health status: United States, 1988–1994 and 1999–2004. Vital Health Stat 11. 2007; 248:1–92. [PubMed: 17633507]
- 5. Hicks MJ, Flaitz CM. Epidemiology of dental caries in the pediatric and adolescent population: a review of past and current trends. J Clin Ped Dent. 1993; 18:43–49.
- 6. U.S. Census Bureau. Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates 2010. http:// www.census.gov/did/www/saipe/data/highlights/2010.html
- Kolker JL, Yuan Y, Burt BA, Sandretto AM, Sohn W, Lang SW, Ismail AI. Dental caries and dietary patterns in low income African American children. Pediatr Dent. 2007; 29:457–464. [PubMed: 18254414]
- Warren JJ, Weber-Gasparoni K, Marshall TA, Drake DR, Dehkordi-Vakil F, Dawson DV, Tharp KM. A longitudinal study of dental caries risk among very young low SES children. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2008; 37:116–122. [PubMed: 19046332]
- 9. Nair R, Weber-Gasparoni K, Marshall TA, Warren JJ, Levy SM. Factors affecting early childhood caries among WIC-enrolled children in Linn County, Iowa. J Dent Child. 2010; 77:158–165.
- Ramos-Gomez FJ, Weintraub JA, Gansky SA, Hoover CI, Featherstone JDB. Bacterial, behavioral and environmental factors associated with early childhood caries. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2002; 26:165–173. [PubMed: 11878278]
- Smith RE, Badner VM, Morse DE, Freeman K. Maternal risk indicators for childhood caries in an inner city population. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2002; 30:176–181. [PubMed: 12000340]
- Weintraub JA, Prakash P, Shain SG, Laccabue M, Gansky SA. Mothers' caries increases odds of children's caries. J Dent Res. 2010; 89:954–958. [PubMed: 20505046]
- Tsubouchi J, Tsubouchi M, Maynard RJ, Domoto PK, Weinstein P. A study of dental caries and risk factors among Native American infants. ASDC J Dent Child. 1995; 62:283–287. [PubMed: 7593889]
- Leake J, Jozzy S, Uswak G. Severe dental caries, impacts and determinants among children 2–6 years of age in Inuvik Region, Northwest Territories, Canada. J Canad Dent Assoc. 2008; 74:519af. [PubMed: 18644236]

Warren et al.

- Warren JJ, Kramer KWO, Phipps K, Starr D, Dawson DV, Marshall T, Drake D. Dental caries in a cohort of very young American Indian children. J Public Health Dent. 2012; 72:265–268. [PubMed: 23017107]
- Marshall TA, Eichenberger-Gilmore JM, Broffitt B, Levy SM, Stumbo PJ. Relative validation of a beverage frequency questionnaire in children ages 6 months through 5 years using 3-day food and beverage diaries. J Am Diet Assoc. 2003; 103:714–720. [PubMed: 12778043]
- Nowak AJ, Warren JJ. Infant oral health and oral habits. Pediatr Clin N America. 2000; 47:1043– 1066.
- Mattila M-L, Rautava P, Sillanpää M, Paunio P. Caries in five-year-old children and associations with family-related factors. J Dent Res. 2000; 79:875–881. [PubMed: 10765963]
- Thitasomakul S, Piwat S, Thearmontree A, Chankanka O, Pithpornchaiyakul W, Madyusoh S. Risk for early childhood caries analyzed by negative binomial models. J Dent Res. 2009; 88:137–141. [PubMed: 19278984]
- Tsai AI, Chen C-Y, Li L-A, Hsaing C-L, Hsu K-H. Risk indicators for early childhood caries in Taiwan. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2006; 34:437–445. [PubMed: 17092272]
- 21. Dye BA, Vargas CM, Lee JL, Magder L, Tinanoff N. Assessing the relationship between children's oral health status and that of their mothers. J American Dent Assoc. 2011; 142:173–183.

Warren et al.



Figure 1. Proportion of Maxillary Teeth with dmf Caries

Warren et al.

Page 10



Figure 2. Proportion of Mandibular Teeth with dmf Caries



Figure 3.

Effects of Maternal Age and Household Size on Probability of Caries in Children



Figure 4.

Effects of Maternal DMFS and Child Added Sugar Beverage Consumption on Probability of Caries in Children

Author Manuscript

Table 1

Comparison of mean values for selected quantitative demographic and clinical risk factor variables by caries status in American Indian children.

Warren et al.

			Γ		
Variable	Caries Experience at 36 Months	z	Mean	Std Dev	P-value
Child's Age (months)	oN	46	35.4	0.7	0.4852
	Yes	186	35.4	0.0	
Total Erupted Teeth	oN	46	19.9	9.0	0.3999
	Yes	186	20.0	0.3	
Maternal Age (years)	oN	46	24.2	5.7	0.4102
	Yes	186	23.7	5.6	
Maternal DMFS	oN	46	19.4	12.7	0.0053
	Yes	186	29.4	22.2	
Household Size	No	46	6.0	1.9	0.0046
	Yes	186	7.3	2.9	

Table 2

Bivariate analyses of selected categorical demographic and clinical risk factors by caries status in American Indian children at 36 months of age.

Variable (n)	Caries Exp Months	perience at 36	P-value
	Yes (%)	No (%)	
Mother's Brushing Frequency			0.0522
More than once/day (143)	83.9	16.1	
Daily (72)	70.8	29.2	
Less than once/day ((17)	88.3	11.7	
Cigarette Smoking			0.7294
No (136)	79.4	20.6	
Yes (96)	81.3	18.7	
Mother's Education Level			0.0229
Less than High School (104)	87.5	12.5	
High School or GED (48)	79.2	20.8	
Attended College (80)	71.3	28.7	
Annual Family Income			0.1243
\$10,000 or less (93)	83.9	16.1	
\$10,001 to \$40,000 (62)	77.4	22.6	
More than \$40,000 (11)	54.6	45.4	
Refused/Don't know (66)	81.8	18.2	

Table 3

Bivariate analyses of beverage category as a percentage of total beverage intake and caries status in 36-month old American Indian children.

Category	Caries Experience at 36 Months	Mean Percentage of Total Beverage	Std Dev	Minimum	Maximum	P-value
Mülk ¹	No	31.2	19.9	2.5	100.0	0.2382
	Yes	27.0	15.6	0.0	78.8	
100% Juice	No	21.9	13.5	0.0	51.6	0.1259
	Yes	18.7	11.6	0.0	64.9	
Added Sugar Beverages ²	No	45.5	20.6	0.0	94.1	0.0158
	Yes	52.8	18.5	5.5	91.3	
Water	No	29.6	17.7	0.0	94.1	0.7696
	Yes	30.4	16.4	0.0	83.5	
Sugar-free Beverages ³	No	3.6	8.9	0.0	50.0	0.0424
	Yes	2.5	6.2	0.0	56.8	
Non-sugar Beverages ⁴	No	33.2	19.0	0.0	94.1	0.9102
	Yes	32.9	16.8	3.5	83.5	
Sugared Beverages ⁵	No	35.6	17.6	0.0	74.2	0.2291
	Yes	40.1	18.6	1.8	91.3	
I Milk included infant formula,	breast milk, cow's m	ilk, milk-based beve	srages &	soy milk		

²Added sugar beverages included juice drinks, flavored water, sugared drinks from powder (e.g., Kool Aid), regular soda pop, sports drinks, energy drinks, other sugared drinks (e.g., lemonade or sweet tea)

 3 Sugar-free beverages included sugar-free drinks from powder, diet soda pop, other sugar-free beverages (e.g., plain iced tea)

 4 Non-sugar beverages included "sugar-free beverages" plus water

 5 Sugared beverages included "added sugar beverages" plus 100% juice

Table 4

Results of logistic regression analyses of factors associated with caries experience in 36-month-old American Indian children.

Variable	Odds Ratio	95%	6 CI	P-value
Maternal Age	0.931	0.871	0.996	0.0381
Maternal DMFS	1.045	1.018	1.072	0.0008
Household Size	1.291	1.091	1.526	0.0029
Added Sugar Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total	1.022	1.004	1.041	0.0175

Author Manuscript

Table 5

Results of negative binomial regression analyses of factors associated with dmfs in 36-month-old American Indian Children.

Warren et al.

Total Eupled Teeth 1.0895 0.6616 1.7943 0.7362 Male ve Female 1.0044 0.7355 1.3753 0.9783 Household Size 1.0044 0.7355 1.0713 0.6413 Household Size 0.9585 1.0713 0.584 0.6616 0.5845 Household Size 0.9908 0.9585 1.0012 0.6903 0.6903 Mother's Age 0.9908 0.9585 1.0122 0.7357 1.0192 0.6903 Mother's Age 0.0713 0.1012 0.7357 1.0143 0.401 Smokes Cigarettes vs Does Not Smoke 0.1012 0.7357 1.0143 0.401 Matemal DMFS 0.0014 0.702 0.7024 0.801 0.401 Added Sugar Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.7024 0.7024 0.401 Pure Juice Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.7024 0.4014 0.411 Pure Juice Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.7024 0.4014 0.411 Pure Juice Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.7024 0.4014 0.4154 Matemal Brushing: At Least Onc	Parameter	Coefficient*	95%	cI*	P-value
Male vs Female 1.0044 0.7355 1.3753 0.9783 Male vs Female 0.0044 0.7355 1.3753 0.9783 0.9783 Household Size 0.0103 0.9585 1.0713 0.5433 0.5434 Mother's Age 0.9908 0.9585 1.0214 1.0122 0.5936 0.5894 Matemal DMFS 1.0110 1.0027 1.0122 0.7357 1.0192 0.59049 Smokes Cigarettes vs Does Not Smoke 1.0112 0.7357 1.0123 0.7357 0.4304 Added Sugar Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 1.0123 0.7357 1.0123 0.7357 1.0143 Uter Luice Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.0996 0.9303 0.7357 1.0143 0.7179 Added Sugar Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.0794 0.7357 1.0143 0.7179 Added Sugar Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.0794 0.7356 0.4304 Adment Family Income Note Subscriptore 0.0798 0.4214 1.4191 0.4514 Anu	Total Erupted Teeth	1.0895	0.6616	1.7943	0.7362
Household Size 1.0133 0.9585 1.0713 0.6413 Mother's Age 0.9908 0.9585 1.0714 0.5894 Matemal DMFS 0.9908 0.9585 1.0192 0.5894 0.5894 Matemal DMFS 1.0110 1.0027 1.0192 0.0037 1.0938 0.6413 Matemal DMFS 1.0112 0.7397 1.3925 0.9409 0.9949 0.9414 0.4211 Added Sugar Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.0949 0.7392 0.7392 0.4214 0.4214 Added Sugar Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.9949 0.4278 1.0142 0.4214 Matemal Brushing: At Least Once per Day vs Less Than Once per Day 0.7989 0.4278 1.4918 0.4316 Annual Family Income S10,000 r Less 0.7989 0.4278 1.4918 0.4517 Annual Family Income S10,000 r S40,000 0.5015 0.5217 1.5177 0.4516 Annual Family Income Moter Than S40,000 0.5016 0.5016 1.5177 0.4517 <	Male vs Female	1.0044	0.7335	1.3753	0.9783
Mother's Age 0.9908 0.5585 1.0244 0.5894 Maternal DMFS 1.0110 1.0027 1.0192 0.0093 [†] Maternal DMFS 1.0110 1.0027 1.0192 0.003 [†] Smokes Cigarettes vs Does Not Smoke 1.0112 0.7357 1.3925 0.9409 Added Sugar Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.0969 0.9940 1.0144 0.4211 Added Sugar Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.0969 0.9940 1.0144 0.4311 Added Sugar Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.0969 0.9803 1.0143 0.4311 Added Sugar Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.0969 0.4378 0.4311 0.4311 Maternal Brushing: At Least Once per Day vs Less Than Once per Day 0.7383 1.4918 0.4311 Annual Family Income 1.0102 0.7383 1.4918 0.4311 Annual Family Income 0.7381 1.4018 0.4514 0.4554 Annual Family Income 0.7100 1.0201 0.7371 0.4554 Annual Family Income	Household Size	1.0133	0.9585	1.0713	0.6413
Maternal DMFS I.0110 I.0027 I.0192 0.0093 [†] Smokes Cigarettes vs Does Not Smoke I.01122 0.7357 I.3925 0.9409 Smokes Cigarettes vs Does Not Smoke I.0042 0.9400 I.0144 0.4211 Added Sugar Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.9969 0.9803 I.0138 0.7179 Pure Juice Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.9969 0.9803 I.0138 0.7179 Pure Juice Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.9969 0.9803 I.0138 0.7179 Pure Juice Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.9969 0.9803 I.0138 0.7179 Pure Juice Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.9969 0.7203 I.14918 0.4511 Annual Family Income S10,000 rLess 1.0000 I.0207 0.4512 0.4556 Annual Family Income S10,000 tLess 1.0207 0.5717 1.1345 0.4576 Annual Family Income More Than \$40,000 0.5015 0.5217 1.1345 0.9576 Mother's Education I.0208 <t< td=""><td>Mother's Age</td><td>0.9908</td><td>0.9585</td><td>1.0244</td><td>0.5894</td></t<>	Mother's Age	0.9908	0.9585	1.0244	0.5894
Smokes Cigarettes vs Does Not Smoke 1.0122 0.7357 1.3925 0.9409 Added Sugar Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 1.0042 0.9940 1.0144 0.4211 Pure Juice Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.9969 0.9803 1.0138 0.4719 Pure Juice Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.9969 0.9803 1.0138 0.4719 Maternal Brushing: At Least Once per Day vs Less Than Once per Day 0.97989 0.4278 1.4918 0.431 Annual Family Income \$10,000 or Less 0.7989 0.4278 1.4918 0.4514 Annual Family Income \$10,000 or Less 1.0000 \$1.6107 0.4513 0.4554 Annual Family Income \$1.0000 \$1.0000 \$1.0000 \$0.5015 0.2177 0.4554 Annual Family Income \$1.0000 \$4.0000 \$1.0000 \$1.5360 0.9166 \$0.5617 Annual Family Income \$1.0000 \$0.5015 \$0.517 \$1.1345 \$0.975 Annual Family Income \$0.6010 \$0.5016 \$0.5176 \$1.1345 \$0.9767 Annual Family Income \$0.5015 \$0.5176	Matemal DMFS	1.0110	1.0027	1.0192	0.0093†
Added Sugar Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total1.00420.99401.01440.4211Pure Juice Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total0.99690.98031.01380.7179Pure Juice Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total0.99690.98031.01380.7179Maternal Brushing: At Least Once per Day vs Less Than Once per Day0.79890.42781.49180.431Amual Family Income 0.7989 0.7278 1.4918 0.454Amual Family Income 0.7980 0.7989 0.4578 0.4578 Amual Family Income 0.7000 1.0000 1.0207 0.5783 0.4574 Amual Family Income 0.7980 0.7000 0.5015 0.5217 0.5763 Amual Family Income 0.6010 0.6783 1.5360 0.9716 Amual Family Income 0.7000 0.5015 0.2717 0.777 0.777 Amual Family Income 0.6015 0.6971 1.1345 0.7976 Amual Family Income 0.6015 0.6971 1.1345 0.7976 Amual Family School 0.7816 0.7371 0.7371 0.5967 Anther's Education 1.0180 0.7371 0.7371 0.7371 0.7371 Amual Family Income 0.7856 0.7976 0.7371 0.7371 0.5956 Amual Family School or GED 0.7876 0.7976 0.7371 0.7371 0.5976 Amual Family Income 0.7876 0.7856 0.7976 0.7577 0.7976 Amual Family In	Smokes Cigarettes vs Does Not Smoke	1.0122	0.7357	1.3925	0.9409
Pure Juice Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total 0.9696 0.9803 1.0138 0.7179 Maternal Brushing: At Least Once per Day vs Less Than Once per Day 0.7989 0.4278 1.4918 0.481 Annual Family Income \$10,000 or Less 1.0000 1.451 0.4554 Annual Family Income \$10,000 or Less 1.0000 1.6763 1.5360 0.9216 Annual Family Income \$10,000 to \$40,000 1.0207 0.6783 1.5360 0.9216 Annual Family Income \$10,000 to \$40,000 1.0207 0.6783 1.5360 0.9216 Annual Family Income \$10,000 to \$40,000 \$0.5015 \$0.517 \$0.5076 0.9217 Annual Family Income \$1.0207 \$0.5015 \$0.517 \$0.5076 0.5076 Annual Family Income \$0.5016 \$0.5015 \$0.517 \$0.5077 0.5057 Mother's Education \$0.5016 \$0.5016 \$0.731 \$0.5056 \$0.5076 Mother's Education \$0.5026 \$0.1818 \$0.7376 \$0.5056 \$0.5056 Mother's Education \$0.5026 \$0.7166 \$0.7376 \$0.5056	Added Sugar Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total	1.0042	0.9940	1.0144	0.4211
Maternal Brushing: At Least Once per Day vs Less Than Once per Day 0.7989 0.4278 1.4918 0.481 Annual Family Income $$10,000 \text{ or Less}$ $$1.0000$ $$\text{Reference} = 1.5360 $$0.5354$ Annual Family Income $$10,000 \text{ or Less}$ $$1.0000$ $$\text{Reference} = 1.5360 $$0.9216$ Annual Family Income $$10,000 \text{ to $40,000}$ $$1.0207$ $$0.5733$ $$1.5360$ $$0.9216$ Mather Sectore Day $$0.000 \text{ to $40,000}$ $$1.0207$ $$0.5737$ $$0.9716$ $$0.9716$ Mather Sectore Day $$0.0016 \text{ $40,000}$ $$0.5015$ $$0.217$ $$1.1345$ $$0.9716$ Mather's Education $$1.0286$ $$0.5015$ $$0.2176$ $$1.1345$ $$0.3676$ Mather's Education $$1.0286$ $$0.5015$ $$0.2176$ $$1.1776$ $$0.5967$ Mather's Education $$1.0186$ $$0.8039$ $$1.771$ $$0.3956$ Mather's Education $$1.2166$ $$0.7371$ $$0.7371$ $$0.3976$ Mather's Education $$1.2186$ $$0.7376$ $$0.7376$ $$0.$	Pure Juice Beverage Intake as a Proportion of Total	0.9969	0.9803	1.0138	0.7179
Annual Family Income 1.0000 1.0000 1.57 0.4554 $810,000$ or Less 1.0000 $Refree Care 0.6513 0.217 0.9216 810,000 to $40,000 0.5015 0.217 1.345 0.075 800 0.5015 0.217 1.1345 0.075 800 0.5015 0.217 1.1345 0.075 800 1.0286 0.6971 1.1345 0.075 800 1.0286 0.6971 1.1345 0.0975 800 1.0286 0.6971 1.1345 0.0975 800 1.0286 0.6971 1.1345 0.9871 800 1.0286 0.6971 1.5177 0.8871 800 1.818 0.8039 1.771 0.5967 800 1.818 0.8039 1.771 0.5967 800 1.818 0.8039 1.771 0.5967 800 1.818 0.8039 1.771 0.5967 80000 1.818 0.7856$	Maternal Brushing: At Least Once per Day vs Less Than Once per Day	0.7989	0.4278	1.4918	0.481
\$10,000 or Less 1.0000 $Reference Cateory$ \$10,000 to \$40,000 1.0207 0.5783 1.5360 0.9216 More Than \$40,000 0.5015 0.5017 1.1345 0.0975 More Than \$40,000 0.5015 0.217 1.1345 0.0975 More Than \$40,000 0.5015 0.217 1.1345 0.0975 More Than \$40,000 0.5015 0.217 1.1345 0.8871 More Than \$40,000 1.0286 0.6971 1.1345 0.8871 More Than \$40,000 1.0286 0.1018 0.2076 0.3567 More The Set than High School 0.1818 0.8039 1.7371 0.3956 More The Set than High School or GED 1.276 0.7855 1.9186 0.3676 More The Set than High School or GED 0.7276 0.7856 0.3676	Annual Family Income				0.4554
\$10,000 to \$40,000 1.0207 0.6783 1.5360 0.9216 More Than \$40,000 0.5015 0.217 1.1345 0.0975 Refused/Don't Know 0.5015 0.5017 1.1345 0.0975 Mother's Education 1.0286 0.6971 1.5177 0.8871 Mother's Education 1.0286 0.6971 1.5177 0.5967 Mother's Education 1.0286 0.6971 1.5177 0.5967 Mother's Education 1.0286 0.1818 0.8039 1.7371 0.5967 Mother Cate Cate Cate Cate Cate Cate Cate Cate	\$10,000 or Less	1.0000	Refe	trence Cate	sgory
More Than \$40,000 0.5015 0.217 1.1345 0.0975 Refused/Don't Know 1.0286 0.6971 1.5177 0.8871 Mother's Education 1.0286 0.6971 1.5177 0.8871 Mother's Education 1.01818 0.6971 1.5177 0.5967 Mother Seducation Less than High School 1.1818 0.8039 1.7371 0.3956 High School or GED 1.2276 0.7855 1.9186 0.3676 0.3676 Attended College 1.0000 Reference Category 1.0000 Reference Category	\$10,000 to \$40,000	1.0207	0.6783	1.5360	0.9216
Refused/Don't Know 1.0286 0.6971 1.5177 0.871 Mother's Education 1.028 0.697 1.517 0.871 Mother's Education 1.028 1.517 0.5967 Less than High School 1.1818 0.8039 1.7371 0.3956 High School or GED 1.2276 0.7855 1.9186 0.367 Attended College 1.0000 $Reference Category$	More Than \$40,000	0.5015	0.2217	1.1345	0.0975
Mother's Education 0.5967 Less than High School 1.1818 0.8039 1.7371 0.3956 High School or GED 1.2276 0.7855 1.9186 0.3679 Attended College 1.0000 $Reference Category$ $Reference Category$	Refused/Don't Know	1.0286	0.6971	1.5177	0.8871
Less than High School 1.1818 0.8039 1.7371 0.3956 High School or GED 1.2276 0.7855 1.9186 0.3679 Attended College 1.0000 Reference Category	Mother's Education				0.5967
High School or GED 1.2276 0.7855 1.9186 0.3679 Attended College 1.0000 Reference Category	Less than High School	1.1818	0.8039	1.7371	0.3956
Attended College 1.0000 Reference Category	High School or GED	1.2276	0.7855	1.9186	0.3679
	Attended College	1.0000	Refe	trence Cate	sgory

 $^\dagger Statistically significant result at the 5% level of significance.$