# Review

# Genomics of pear and other Rosaceae fruit trees

# Toshiya Yamamoto\* and Shingo Terakami

NARO Institute of Fruit Tree Science, 2-1 Fujimoto, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8605, Japan

The family Rosaceae includes many economically important fruit trees, such as pear, apple, peach, cherry, quince, apricot, plum, raspberry, and loquat. Over the past few years, whole-genome sequences have been released for Chinese pear, European pear, apple, peach, Japanese apricot, and strawberry. These sequences help us to conduct functional and comparative genomics studies and to develop new cultivars with desirable traits by marker-assisted selection in breeding programs. These genomics resources also allow identification of evolutionary relationships in Rosaceae, development of genome-wide SNP and SSR markers, and construction of reference genetic linkage maps, which are available through the Genome Database for the Rosaceae website. Here, we review the recent advances in genomics studies and their practical applications for Rosaceae fruit trees, particularly pear, apple, peach, and cherry.

Key Words: apple, co-linearity, genome sequence, peach, pear, reference map.

## Introduction

The family Rosaceae consists of about 2500 species from 90 genera and includes diverse plants, which are primarily native to temperate regions (Hummer and Janick 2009). This family was traditionally classified into several subfamilies: Amygdaloideae, Maloideae, Rosoideae, Spiraeoideae, and others (Hummer and Janick 2009). In 2007, three subfamilies were suggested: Dryadoideae, Rosoideae and Amygdaloideae (Potter *et al.* 2007); the latter subfamily includes the former Amygdaloideae, Maloideae and Spiraeoideae. Many economically important crops producing edible fruits (e.g., apple, apricot, cherry, loquat, peach, pear, plum, quince, raspberry, and strawberry), nuts (e.g., almond), and ornamentals (e.g., rose) belong to the Rosaceae.

The most economically important members of the Rosaceae are apples (*Malus* × *domestica* Borkh.) and pears (*Pyrus* spp.), both of which belong to the subfamily Amygdaloideae, tribe Pyreae. Annual world fruit production of apples exceeds 80 million tons (FAOSTAT 2013), making them the third most important fruit (after citrus and banana). Pears are the second most important fruit species in Rosaceae, with world production of approximately 25.2 million tons (FAOSTAT 2013). Four important *Pyrus* species are commercially grown for edible fruit: Japanese pear (*P. pyrifolia* Nakai), European pear (*P. communis* L.), and Chinese pears (*P. bretschneideri* Rehd. and *P. ussuriensis* Maxim.) (Bell *et* 

Communicated by M. Omura

*al.* 1996). Loquat (*Eriobotrya japonica* (Thunb.) Lindl.) is also an important fruit tree that belongs to the tribe Pyreae along with pears and apples. Several *Prunus* ("stone fruit") species are also important fruit trees and include peaches and nectarines (*P. persica* (L.) Batsch), plums (*P. domestica* L., *P. salicina* Lindl.), apricots (*P. armeniaca* L., *P. mume* Siebold et Zucc.), and cherries (*P. avium* L., *P. cerasus* L.), which belong to the subfamily Amygdaloideae, tribe Amygdaleae. Annual world fruit production (in million tons) is as follows: peaches and nectarines, 21.6; plums, 11.5; apricots, 4.1; and cherries 2.3 (FAOSTAT 2013). In Japan, domestic fruit production (in thousand tons) is as follows: apples, 742; pears, 294; peaches, 125; apricots, 124; plums, 21; and cherries, 18 (FAOSTAT 2013).

Basic chromosome number x = 7, 8, 9, 15 or 17 was observed for Rosaceae members (Dirlewanger et al. 2009b, Evans and Campbell 2002, Potter et al. 2007). The subfamily Rosoideae, which contains rose, raspberry, and strawberry, has x = 7. The tribe Amygdaleae of subfamily Amygdaloideae, known for almond, apricot, cherry, peach, and plum, has x = 8. The former subfamily Spiraeoideae (tribe Spiraeeae of subfamily Amygdaloideae,) has x = 9. Basic chromosome number x = 17 is observed for the tribe Pyreae of subfamily Amygdaloideae, which contains apple, loquat, pear, and quince. Challice (1974, 1981) suggested that the Pyreae was generated by an allopolyploidization event between "Amygdaleae" (x = 8) and "Spiraeeae" (x = 9). Recent molecular genetic studies contradicted the allopolyploidization and supported the autopolyploid origin of hybridization between closely related members of Spiraeeae (Evans and Campbell 2002). Velasco et al. (2010)

Received September 10, 2015. Accepted January 12, 2016.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author (e-mail: toshiya@affrc.go.jp)

showed that a relatively recent (ca. 50 million years ago) genome-wide duplication resulted in the transition from nine ancestral chromosomes to 17 chromosomes in apple, based on whole-genome sequencing analysis.

In recent years, international collaborative studies by the Rosaceae research community have hastened progress in developing genetic and genomic resources for representative crops such as apple (M. × domestica), peach (P. persica), and strawberry (Fragaria spp.) (Shulaev et al. 2008); this strategy was based on a consensus that there are multiple Rosaceae model species (Dirlewanger et al. 2009b). These resources, including expressed sequence tags (ESTs), bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) libraries, physical and genetic maps, and molecular markers and bioinformatics tools, are available through the Genome Database for the Rosaceae (GDR; http://www.rosaceae.org). The availability of this database has rendered various rosaceous crops highly amenable to functional and comparative genomics studies. Here we review recent progress in genomics studies on Rosaceae fruit trees such as apple, pear, peach, and cherry, and we discuss the newly accumulated knowledge and resources for comparative genomics studies on this family.

# Genome sequences of Rosaceae fruit crops

Whole-genome sequences have been reported for Chinese pear (Wu et al. 2013), European pear (Chagné et al. 2014), apple (Velasco et al. 2010), peach (Verde et al. 2013), Japanese apricot (Zhang et al. 2012), wild strawberry (Shulaev et al. 2011) and cultivated strawberry (Hirakawa et al. 2014) (Table 1). The draft genome of the Chinese pear 'Dangshansuli' (P. bretschneideri) is now available (Wu et al. 2013). A total of 2103 scaffolds span 512.0 Mb (97.1% of the estimated genome size, 527 Mb) and are not anchored to the 17 chromosomes. The Chinese pear genome assembly contains 42,812 protein-coding genes, and about 28.5% of them encode multiple isoforms. The identified repetitive sequences (271.9 Mb in total) account for 53.1% of the genome. The difference in size between the pear and apple genomes is mainly due to the presence of repetitive sequences (predominantly transposable elements), whereas genic regions and protein-coding genes are similar in both species. A draft genome assembly of European pear 'Bartlett' (Chagné et al. 2014) contains 142,083 scaffolds and covers a total of 577.3 Mb (96.2% of the estimated genome size, 600 Mb). A total of 43,419 putative genes were predicted, of which 1219 are unique to European pear and are not found in other dicots plant genomes sequenced. Analysis of the expansin gene family and other cell wall-related genes showed their involvement in fruit softening in both European pear and apple. It is expected that pear genome sequences of Chinese and European pears will be assigned to 17 pseudo-chromosomes, which will greatly help us to conduct genetics and genomics studies in pears.

An international consortium has published a draft genome sequence of the domesticated apple 'Golden Delicious', a common founder cultivar in many breeding programs (Velasco *et al.* 2010). The genome assembly of 'Golden Delicious' consists of 122,146 contigs spanning a total of 603.9 Mb (81.3% of the estimated genome, 742.3 Mb). Seventeen pseudo-chromosomes (GDR, *Malus* × *domestica* Genome v1.0p) were obtained from these contigs. A total of 57,386 putative protein-coding genes were predicted. The MADS-box gene family involved in flower and fruit development is expanded in apple to 15 members. The other gene families related with transport and assimilation of sorbitol are also expanded, and are involved in Rosaceae-specific metabolism.

A high-quality draft reference genome sequence, Peach v1.0, of the doubled haploid genotype of the peach cultivar 'Lovell' has been reported (Verde *et al.* 2013). Since 'Lovell' is completely homozygous, its genome assembly has facilitated obtaining a reliable and unbiased reference genome. Using 827 markers from an updated *Prunus* reference map (Howad *et al.* 2005), Verde *et al.* (2013) organized 215.9 Mb of the Peach v1.0 genome into eight pseudomolecules covering 81.5% of the estimated genome (265 Mb). A total of 27,852 protein-coding genes were predicted. Furthermore, comparative analyses showed that the ancestral triplicated blocks in peach are detected, and that putative paleoancestor regions are detectable.

The genome of Japanese apricot or mei (*P. mume*) was one of the first genomes to be sequenced in the subgenus *Prunus* of the genus *Prunus* (Zhang *et al.* 2012). Japanese apricot was domesticated in China more than 3000 years ago as an ornamental plant and fruit tree. A 237-Mb genome assembly was generated from 29,989 scaffolds, 84.6% of which were further anchored to eight chromosomes in a

 Table 1. Details of whole-genome sequencing in Rosaceae crops

	Pyrus bretschneideri	Pyrus communis	$Malus \times domestica$	Prunus persica	Prunus mume	Fragaria vesca
Common name	Chinese pear	European pear	apple	peach	Japanese apricot	woodland strawberry
Cultivar name	Dangshansuli	Bartlett	Golden Delicious	Lovell	BJFU1210120008	Hawaii 4 (PI551572)
No. of contigs	25,312	182,196	122,146	_	45,592	_
No. of scaffolds	2103	142,083	-	391	29,989	3263
Genome assembly size (Mb)	512.0	577.3	603.9	215.9	237	209.8
Coverage (%)	97.1	96.2	81.3	81.5	84.6	95
Estimated genome size (Mb)	527	600	742.3	265	280	240
No. of putative genes	42,812	43,419	57,386	27,852	31,390	34,809
No. of pseudo-chromosomes	_	_	17	8	8	7
Reference	Wu et al. 2013	Chagné et al. 2014	Velasco et al. 2010	Verde et al. 2013	Zhang et al. 2012	Shulaev et al. 2011

genetic map constructed by restriction-site-associated DNA sequencing (RADseq); 31,390 protein-coding genes were annotated and integrated using *ab initio* gene prediction methods. By comparison of the *P. mume* genome with the available data, nine ancestral chromosomes of the Rosaceae family were reconstructed (Zhang *et al.* 2012).

Strawberry is one of the most important Rosaceae crops, and genomes were sequenced for wild woodland strawberry (Shulaev et al. 2011) and cultivated octoploid strawberry (Hirakawa et al. 2014). The woodland strawberry F. vesca (2n = 2x = 14), a diminutive herbaceous perennial, has a small genome (240 Mb) that shares substantial sequence identity with the genomes of the cultivated strawberry  $(F. \times ananassa)$  and other economically important rosaceous plants. A total of 209.8 Mb (>95%) of the genome sequence were included in 272 representative scaffolds out of 3262 scaffolds, which were anchored to seven pseudochromosomes in the genetic linkage map. Gene prediction modeling identified 34,809 putative protein-coding genes. Macrosyntenic relationships between *Fragaria* (x = 7) and *Prunus* (x = 8) predict a hypothetical ancestral Rosaceae genome that had nine chromosomes. Furthermore, the whole genome sequences of peach, apple and strawberry were analyzed and compared by using 1399 orthologous regions between the three genomes, suggesting the ancestral genome (x = 9) to the extant Fragaria, Prunus and Malus genomes (Illa et al. 2011, Jung et al. 2012).

## Genome-wide molecular markers

### SSR markers

Simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers, or microsatellites, provide a reliable method for evaluation of genetic diversity and construction of genetic maps because of their co-dominant inheritance and the allelic abundance (Weber and May 1989). More than 1000 SSR markers have been developed in Japanese and European pears from genome sequences (Fernández-Fernández et al. 2006, Inoue et al. 2007, Sawamura et al. 2004, Yamamoto et al. 2002a, 2002b, 2002c), ESTs (Nishitani et al. 2009, Zhang et al. 2014), and next-generation sequencing (NGS) data (Yamamoto et al. 2013). Recently, a large number of SSR markers have been developed from the whole-genome sequence of Chinese pear 'Dangshansuli' (Chen et al. 2015). SSR markers developed in pear have been often used as anchor loci for reference genetic linkage maps of pear (Chen et al. 2015, Yamamoto et al. 2007).

In apple, hundreds of SSR markers have been developed (Celton *et al.* 2009, Gianfranceschi *et al.* 1998, Guilford *et al.* 1997, Liebhard *et al.* 2002, 2003, Moriya *et al.* 2012, Silfverberg-Dilworth *et al.* 2006, van Dyk *et al.* 2010) and used to construct high-quality genetic linkage maps with high marker density. Among *Prunus* spp., a large number of SSR markers have been developed for peach and almond (Aranzana *et al.* 2002, 2003, Cipriani *et al.* 1999, Dirlewanger *et al.* 2002, Howad *et al.* 2005, Nishitani *et al.* 

2007, Sosinski *et al.* 2000, Testolin *et al.* 2000, Yamamoto *et al.* 2002d, 2003, 2005), cherries (Cantini *et al.* 2001, Downey and Iezzoni 2000, Joobeur *et al.* 2000, Struss *et al.* 2002), and apricot (Lopes *et al.* 2002).

## **SNP** markers

Although at present SSR markers seem to be the best choice for genetics and genomics studies, marker systems with even higher throughput, such as single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), have been developed based on whole-genome sequencing data. Using NGS technology, Montanari et al. (2013) have developed 1096 SNPs from three European pear cultivars. A total of 857 polymorphic SNP markers were validated and mapped using a segregating population of European pear 'Old Home' × 'Louise Bon Jersey' and interspecific breeding families derived from Asian (P. pyrifolia and P. bretschneideri) and European pear pedigrees. Japanese pear 'Housui' (syn. 'Hosui') has also been used for EST sequencing of 185 Mb and genome sequencing of 529 Mb (Terakami et al. 2014). Using the GoldenGate assay, Terakami et al. (2014) evaluated 1536 SNPs detected in EST and genome sequences of 'Housui', and mapped 609 SNPs on its linkage map. Using RADseq, Wu et al. (2014) have genotyped Chinese pear SNPs by NGS and mapped 3143 SNPs on a linkage map.

The 8K apple Infinium SNP chip has been developed by the USA-based international research program RosBREED (Chagné et al. 2012). To discover genome-wide SNPs, 27 apple cultivars were chosen to represent worldwide breeding germplasms and were re-sequenced at low coverage by NGS technology. Of 2,113,120 SNPs detected, 7867 were selected for the apple 8K SNP array; after evaluation in segregating families and a germplasm collection, 5554 were found to be polymorphic (Chagné et al. 2012). Despite this progress, the number of robust and evenly distributed SNP markers in the 8K array was not sufficient. Recently, a 20K SNP array has been developed by the European research program FruitBreedomics, which focuses on bridging the gap between breeding and genomics (Bianco et al. 2014). This SNP array has been developed to enable high-precision genomewide association analyses and pedigree-based analysis because of rapid decay of linkage disequilibrium. The SNPs included in this array were predicted from re-sequencing data derived from the genome sequences of 13 apple cultivars and one accession of crab apple (*M. micromalus*).

Using NGS technology, the International Peach SNP Consortium has re-sequenced the whole genomes of 56 peach breeding accessions (Verde *et al.* 2012, 2013) and developed a 9K SNP array (Verde *et al.* 2012). Using the GoldenGate assay, Martínez-García *et al.* (2013) have evaluated a set of 1536 SNPs of peach (*P. persica*) developed from the whole-genome sequences of three cultivars. The RosBREED Consortium has also developed a 6K SNP array for diploid sweet cherry (*P. avium*) and allotetraploid sour cherry (*P. cerasus*) (Peace *et al.* 2012).

High-density reference genetic linkage maps constructed with genome-wide molecular markers are important for many genetic and breeding applications in Rosaceae fruit trees including marker-assisted selection (MAS), mapping of quantitative trait loci (QTLs), identifying DNA markers for fingerprinting, and map-based gene cloning. Because good, comprehensive books and reviews have been produced that describes mendelian traits and QTLs in Rosaceae fruit trees (Dirlewanger *et al.* 2009a, Korban and Tartarini 2009, Salazar *et al.* 2014), it would be impractical to repeat

that information. Instead we describe high-density reference

genetic linkage maps in pear, apple and Prunus.

#### Pear reference maps

Among Pyrus spp., integrated high-density genetic linkage maps are available for the European pear cultivars 'Bartlett' and 'La France' and the Japanese pear cultivar 'Housui'; these maps are based on SSRs from pear, apple, and Prunus, amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLPs), isozymes, and phenotypic traits (Terakami et al. 2009, Yamamoto et al. 2002c, 2004a, 2007). The linkage maps of 'Bartlett', 'La France', and 'Housui' consisted of 447, 414, and 335 marker loci, respectively, and covered 17 linkage groups (LGs), which matched the basic chromosome number of pear (x = 17). Recently, Terakami *et al.* (2014) established a SNP assay to evaluate 1536 SNPs detected in the EST and genome sequences of 'Housui', and mapped 609 SNPs on a linkage map of 'Housui'. After all available SNP and SSR markers were integrated, the latest version of updated reference genetic linkage map of 'Housui' was reconstructed (Fig. 1), which consists of 1033 loci, including 609 SNPs from transcriptome and genome analyses, 61 SNPs from potential intron polymorphism markers (Terakami et al. 2013), 202 pear SSRs, 141 apple SSRs, and 20 other markers. Montanari et al. (2013) evaluated a set of 1096 European pear SNPs and 7692 apple SNPs, and mapped 857 and 1031 SNPs, respectively, on pear genetic maps. On the basis of whole-genome sequencing of P. bretschneideri, Chen et al. (2015) constructed a consensus genetic map consisting of 734 SSR loci derived from 1341 newly designed SSRs. Using RADseq, Wu et al. (2014) mapped 3143 SNPs on linkage maps of Chinese pear.

## Apple reference maps

Several apple reference genetic linkage maps have been published. The first RFLP-based reference maps for 'Prima' and 'Fiesta' were constructed using 152  $F_1$  individuals and the two maps were aligned using 67 multi-allelic markers (Maliepaard *et al.* 1998). SSR-based integrated genetic linkage maps for 'Fiesta' and 'Discovery' were constructed using 840 molecular markers including 129 SSRs (Liebhard *et al.* 2002, 2003). A new set of 148 apple microsatellite markers has been developed and mapped on the reference linkage maps of 'Fiesta' and 'Discovery' (SilfverbergDilworth *et al.* 2006). Recently, the 8K Infinium SNP chip described above was used to construct a high-density genetic linkage map in apple (Chagné *et al.* 2012). In the FruitBreedomics project, 21 full sib families were SNPgenotyped, resulting in the genetic mapping of approximately 15,800 SNP markers (Bianco *et al.* 2014).

## Prunus reference maps

The framework *Prunus* mapping population for construction of the reference map was an  $F_2$  population (referred to as the T × E population) produced by crossing almond (*Prunus dulcis*) 'Texas' × peach (*P. persica*) 'Earlygold' and selfing a single  $F_1$  plant (MB 1-73) (Joobeur *et al.* 1998). The T × E map contained 562 marker loci (Dirlewanger *et al.* 2004a). Howad *et al.* (2005) established a *Prunus* reference map using a set of six  $F_2$  plants, one  $F_1$  hybrid, and one parent of the  $F_1$  hybrid, which could jointly define 65 possible different genotypes by the markers mapped on the T × E map. Howad *et al.* (2005) identified and mapped 264 SSR markers from 401 different SSR primer pairs. Recently, Verde *et al.* (2013) have aligned the eight main scaffolds (pseudo-chromosomes) against the updated version of the *Prunus* reference map constructed by Howad *et al.* (2005).

A consensus cherry genetic linkage map has been developed using 94 individuals from an interspecific cross, 'Napoleon' (*P. avium*)  $\times$  *P. nipponica* accession F1292; this map consisted of 174 loci, including 160 SSR loci and 6 gene-specific markers, and covered 680 cM (Clarke et al. 2009). Cabrera et al. (2012) developed a sweet cherry (P. avium) reference linkage map using Rosaceae Conserved Orthologous Set (RosCOS) markers and SSR markers. RosCOS markers were identified from 3818 rosaceous unigenes comprised of two or more ESTs corresponding to single-copy genes in Arabidopsis (Cabrera et al. 2009, 2012). Of the 627 RosCOS markers, 81 SNPs representing 68 genome-wide RosCOS were mapped in four  $F_1$  populations and placed on the consensus sweet cherry linkage map that included previously reported SSRs, indel, and S-RNase markers and spanned 779.4 cM. Klagges et al. (2013) constructed SNP-based high-density genetic maps of sweet cherry using intraspecific progenies from crosses between parental lines 'Black Tartarian'  $\times$  'Kordia' (BT  $\times$  K) and 'Regina'  $\times$  'Lapins' (R  $\times$  L). Of 5696 SNP markers tested, 723 and 687 were mapped onto eight LGs in  $BT \times K$  and  $R \times L$ , respectively. The obtained maps spanned 752.9 and 639.9 cM, with an average distance between markers of 1.1 and 0.9 cM, respectively. Very recently, genotyping-bysequencing (GBS), a new methodology based on highthroughput sequencing, was applied for genome mapping in sweet cherry (Guajardo et al. 2015).

## Marker-assisted selection in Japanese pear

MAS can accelerate selection and reduce the progeny size and the cost of raising individuals to maturity in the field, especially in fruit trees (Luby and Shaw 2001). In Japanese

# BS Breeding Science Vol. 66 No. 1

#### Yamamoto and Terakami



Fig. 1. The latest version of integrated reference genetic linkage map of Japanese pear 'Housui' based on SNP and SSR markers. A total of 81 SSR loci including 67 from pear ESTs or 454 genome sequencing analysis and 14 from apple, which were included in the 'Housui' map of Yamamoto *et al.* (2013), were added to the recently published SNP-based map (Terakami *et al.* 2014). Linkage groups are designated as Ho1 to Ho17, HoX1 and HoX2. The number to the left of each marker indicates genetic distance (cM). SSR markers (green, underlined) were developed from pear. SSR markers (red, italicized) were developed from apple. SNP markers developed by transcriptome analysis are denoted by JPsnpHou and SNP markers developed from potential intron polymorphism markers are denoted by TsuSNP. Distorted segregation is indicated by a significant P value of the  $\chi^2$  test: \*P = 0.05, \*\*P = 0.01, \*\*\*P = 0.005.



#### Ho13

0.0	<ul> <li>– JPsnpHou00118***</li> </ul>
	TsuGNH174 JPsnpHou00202
2.9	TsuGNH170 TsuSNP1013
A N	JPsnpHou00417
4.6-/	JPsnpHou00076
/ []`	JPsnpHou00526* JPsnpHou00210*
····/	JPsnpHou00206*
0.3.//H	UJPsnpHou00145* JPsnpHou00419*
•••• ///—M	Hi02h08* JPsnpHou00238*
10.9-///	- CH05h05-m1**
12.5	JPsnpHou00077
12.7	<u>NH0446*</u>
144	UCH05c06-m2** TsuSNP1156**
	IJPsnpHou00113**
	NZmsEB111793* CH02g01*
/// 10	JPsnpHou00433* JPsnpHou00559*
16.0	CH02e02* TsuSNP1086*
	TsuSNP022* JPsnpHou00584*
	IsuSNP1149* JPsnpHou00564*
N	IJPsnpHou00276*
17.5	JPsnpHou00094** JPsnpHou00255**
	IJPsnpHou00554**
19.0	- JPSnpHou00616
19.71	JPsnpHou00216
24.5	JPsnpHou00127
25.5	- JPSnpHou00548
27.2	IDenation 000064 JPShpHou00307
28.81	- JPsnpHou00264
33.8	F JPShpHou00470
35.5	IDeppHeu00202 IDeppHeu00617
07 4 M U	TouENH206
37.1	IPenpHou00364
30./ ND	MEST2 MEST169
40.3	TeuENH025
45 4	H IPennHou00504 IPennHou00163
46.0-	PenpHou00637
48.5	- IPsnpHou00060
51.9-	PsnpHou00633
55.8-	JPsnpHou00100
58.8	MEST153
64.1	HJPsnpHou00055 TsuGNH212
65.7	L JPsnpHou00334

Ho1	10
0.0	
	NH206a
0.0	- NH0392
10.2	J IPsppHou00043 NH017a
12.2	PsppHou00447
16.0-	PsnpHou00313
10.0	LIPsnpHou00481. IPsnpHou00285
16.3 -	/ Hi03e04-m1 TsuENH109
18.0	r MEST038
	EMPc114 Hi03f06
22.9	/JPsnpHou00638
24.6	/ CH01f12
25.2	JPsnpHou00581
29.8 \	r TsuSNP1074
313-	/ <u>NH045a</u> CH02c11
31.3 VE	JPsnpHou00339
34.6 -	JPsnpHou00114 JPsnpHou00362
·	/ IJPsnpHou00453
35.8	JPsnpHou00583 JPsnpHou00327
	JPsnpHou00636 JPsnpHou00229
~~//E	IDeppHou00527 TeuCNH103-m1
36.4	TauENH042 IDeppHau00074
//	AU22254855D
//	LIPenpHou00259 TeuSNP1084
20.04	IPsppHou00424 CH03d11
30.0 N	EMPc105 TsuENH151
N	IBGT24 TsuSNP1082
39.6	JPsnpHou00430
40.5	HJPsnpHou00211 JPsnpHou00073
	JPsnpHou00190 CH04g09-m1
41.47	JPsnpHou00065
124-1	JPsnpHou00343 JPsnpHou00352
42.4	JPsnpHou00420
57.3-	TSUSNP018 TSUSNP010
	JJPsnpHou00230
59.0	IBonnHou00201 TouENH172
<b>M</b>	I DeppHou00291 ISUENH172
60 7 J	IPenpHou00191 JPshpHou00139
00.7	NZmsEB155242
	MS02a01 CH01f07a
62.3	CH02b03b
65.7	- JPsnpHou00363
67 4	TsuENH009 TsuENH029
07.4-	JPsnpHou00268 JPsnpHou00377
723	JPsnpHou00460 JPsnpHou00599
12.0	JPsnpHou00242
74.0	F JPsnpHou00561
75.6	- Hi08h12
77.3	IDepart Jouron 20282
79.0	I IPennHou00206 IPennHou00642
10.9	I. IPsnnHou00338 . IPsnnHou00208
82.2	TsuSNP1177 MS06a03
83.7	JPsnpHou00634
85.2	JPsnpHou00227
85.6	L TsuENH161-m1

#### Ho14

1	JPsnpHou00249* TsuENH058*
0.0-/	JPsnpHou00474* TsuGNH100*
	NZmsCN914822-m1*
4.5	VIPPN01** MEST041**
7.7-	TsuSNP1003* JPsnpHou00597*
	NH004a* JPsnpHou00137*
10.9-	JPsnpHou00563*
12.9	JPsnpHou00209
14.2 -//	JPsnpHou00654*
14.6	– UJPsnpHou00197* JPsnpHou00493*
18.1	JPsnpHou00642
19.6-//	HTsuSNP1043 TsuGNH025
20.9-	JPsnpHou00519*
24.0-//	- JPsnpHou00593*
25.1-	↓ JPsnpHou00134
31.9~	→ TsuENH234
Y	CH01g05 TsuGNH029-m1
33.6	TsuRTP010 CH03d08
Λ	JPsnpHou00392 JPsnpHou00381
ac a //	JPsnpHou00287 TsuGNH022
35.2-/	CH04f06
36.8 /	TsuRTP002
41.6	↓ JPsnpHou00463
49.3	JPsnpHou00103
50.9~	JPsnpHou00431
52.4~	JPsnpHou00258
52 Q -	JPsnpHou00265 JPsnpHou00480
55.5	JPsnpHou00409
56.7	∽ MS01a05
	JPsnpHou00284 CH03a06
69.7-	JPsnpHou00366



#### Ho15

C	<hr/>	ID
0.0		JPSnpHou00518
	┺	Departure 200007 Teve ENU204 m2
2.9	Τ.	IDeppHou00260
45/	17	IPPN00 IPenpHou00331
78	$\mathbb{N}$	TsuGNH132 IPsnoHou00464
14.9-		TeuSNP1130 TeuSNP1153
14.9	V	I IPsnnHou00342 IPsnnHou00425
165~	1_	IPsnpHou00283 CH02d10b
10.5 T	Γ.	IPsnpHou00620 CH03b06
18.1	∕∖	JPsnpHou00239
19.2	$ \rangle$	JPsnnHou00435
24.2		MEST62-m2
25.9	-	JPsnpHou00298 JPsnpHou00436
	-	JPsnpHou00349 JPsnpHou00396
27.5	1/	JPsnpHou00226 TsuENH133
/_	N.	JPsnpHou00235 Hi03g06
29.1 -//	1//	JPsnpHou00093
//	-11	JPsnpHou00278 JPsnpHou00180
30.8 - /	V,	NZmsCN878021
	7/	JPsnpHou00322 JPsnpHou00184
35.8 /	Τ/,	TsuENH194 JPsnpHou00181
39.1-//	V'	NH204a TsuSNP1058
42.6	7/	IPPN17
46.0	$\langle \rangle$	JPsnpHou00160
47.7	1/	TsuSNP1142
49.3	$ \rangle$	NH025a
	4	TsuGNH013 TsuENH128
~~~/+	-1	CH01d08 JPsnpHou00176
54.3 /	Ν,	Mdo.chr11.10-m1 JPsnpHou00091
//	П	JPsnpHou00467
56.0 J	1/	JPsnpHou00457
- A-	А	JPsnpHou00311* JPsnpHou00603*
62.7 -	V.	TsuENH188-m1*
64.4	1	JPsnpHou00394 TsuENH243
73.0 \		EMPc104*
	V	JPsnpHou00333* TsuGNH011*
/4./~\	$\mathcal{V}$	JPsnpHou00657*
70.0 -	Ι.	MEST050* NZmsEB117266*
/0.0 -	1	TsuENH231*
79.7		JPsnpHou00492*
01 A	$^{\sim}$	JPsnpHou00610 TsuSNP012
°'.4 /	A	Mdo.chr1.27-m2
//	11	CH02d11 JPsnpHou00449
83.0 - /	١,	TsuENH232 JPsnpHou00064
/L	17	JPsnpHou00370
87.9 /	-1/,	JPsnpHou00045 JPsnpHou00198
911	///	TsuENH142-m2 JPsnpHou00434
/F	1/	CH03h06 TsuENH143
92.8 //	7//	JPSnpHou00647 TsuENH201b
···· //	M	JPsnpHou00375
96.1 J/	1//	ISURIPU13 ISURIPU20
/F	7/	I ISURI P015
99.4 -/L	77,	CHUTET2
104.5	V,	JPsnpHou00136 IsuGNH157
107.8	₽,	JPsnpHou00148 JPsnpHou00120
111.0-7	٦,	ISUENH219 ISUGNH084
112.7		JPSnphou00314 JPSnphou00549
		mearozz Jesnphouou I//
100.0-		IRaanHou00212
122.9	V	TauENH040 IBanaHau00422
124.6	r	Dependence 1040 JPShphou00138
	Ţ	
126.2	$\wedge$	NB1020 IReppHou00143
120.2 -	1	IDeppHou00228
		TeuGNH124 IPennHou00405
134.4	$\downarrow$	TeuSNP1127 TeuENH016
	/	1000010 1127 TOULINIOTO



#### Ho12-1

0.0 NZ28f4 1.6 JPsnpHou00545 3.2 JPsnpHou00050

## Ho12-2

## Ho16

0.0 1.7	JPsnpHou00220 JPsnpHou00421 TsuSNP1174
6.7	JPsnpHou00573
10.0	JPsnpHou00587 JPsnpHou00223 CH05h05-m2 JPsnpHou00462 JPsnpHou00234 JPsnpHou00368
16.4	TsuENH022 JPsnpHou00059 CH05a09 NH026a
19.6	JPsnpHou00228
22.9	JPsnpHou00294 JPsnpHou00173 JPsnpHou00166
20.1 -	- IPeppHou00324
39.9	JPsnpHou00112 <u>TsuGNH120</u> 
44.0	JPsnpHou00225 JPsnpHou00530
45.3	JPsnpHou00280 JPsnpHou00099
61.9	JPsnpHou00528
63.5	JPsnpHou00189
77.2	<u>NB116b</u>
80.5-	→ <u>NB123a</u>





pear, several molecular markers associated with genes of interest traits have been identified and used for MAS in practical breeding programs of the National Agriculture and Food Research Organization (NARO) Institute of Fruit Tree Science, Japan (**Table 2**). Since several characteristics were alYamamoto and Terakami

ready analyzed by genome mapping, QTL analysis, or both, the positions of responsible genes (loci) were identified in genetic linkage maps and tightly linked molecular markers were identified; these data are deposited in the public database of the Applied Crop Genomics Research Center (http:// www.naro.affrc.go.jp/genome/index.html). DNA markers have been identified that are associated with genes for resistance to scab disease caused by Venturia nashicola (Gonai et al. 2012, Iketani et al. 2001, Terakami et al. 2006) and for resistance (or susceptibility) to black spot disease caused by a Japanese pear pathotype of Alternaria alternata (Banno et al. 1999, Iketani et al. 2001, Terakami et al. 2007). Self-incompatibility in Japanese pear is controlled by a single multi-allelic S-locus, and S-genotype identification is important for breeding and selection of pollen donors for fruit production. Several molecular assays for rapid and reliable S-genotype determination have been established, such as polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) analysis (Ishimizu et al. 1999) and allele-specific PCR amplification (Nashima et al. 2015). The  $S_4^{sm}$  allele of the self-compatible cultivar 'Osa-Nijisseiki' (a mutant of the self-incompatible cultivar 'Nijisseiki') has been identified and found to lack a 236-kbp genomic region that includes the  $S_4$ -RNase coding region (Okada et al. 2008). Molecular markers associated with the following fruit-related traits were also revealed: fruit storage potential controlled by ethylene production (the 1aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate [ACC] synthase gene; Itai et al. 2003), fruit skin color (Inoue et al. 2006, Yamamoto et al. 2014), and harvest time (Yamamoto et al. 2014). These markers can be used for MAS in Japanese pear breeding programs.

## Synteny in Rosaceae fruit trees

It is expected that comparative genomics in Rosaceae fruit

Table 2.	Molecular markers	associated with s	genes of interest in Ja	panese pear and	d their positions	in genetic linkage maps

Characteristics	Gene symbol	Gene sources	Linkage group nos.	Associsted molecular markers (Accession nos.)	F-primer sequences (5'-3')	R-primer sequences $(5'-3')^a$	References
Scab resistance to V. nashicola	Vnk	Kinchaku	1	TsuENH184 (AB621908)	cctccctcagtacccatcaa	GTTTCTTtgaactccttcactcaccttcc	Gonai <i>et al.</i> 2012, Terakami <i>et al.</i> 2006
				TsuENH101 (AB621905) TsuENH157 (AB621907)	tgcctaatggaagggtccta tagcagcagctctcctccac	GTTTCTTcaaggaagaagaagaccgacg GTTTCTTgtcagcacccctctgatgtt	
Black spot susceptibility	A Ani	Osa Nijisseiki Osa Nijisseiki	11 11	CMNB41/2350 CH04h02 CH03d02	gacagcgtccta ggaagctgcatgatgagacc aaactttcactttcacccacg	ctcaaggatttcatgcccac GTTTCTTactacatttttagatttgtgcgtc	Banno <i>et al.</i> 1999 Terakami <i>et al.</i> 2007
	Ana	Nansui	11	CH04h02 CH03d02	ggaagctgcatgatgagacc aaactttcactttcacccacg	ctcaaggatttcatgcccac GTTTCTTactacatttttagatttgtgcgtc	Terakami et al. 2007
Self-incompatibility	S	Japanese pear	17	S-RNase	tttacgcagcaatatcag	acrttcggccaaataatt	Ishimizu <i>et al.</i> 1999, Nashima <i>et al.</i> 2015
Self-compatibility	$S_4^{sm}$	Osa Nijisseiki	17	SM	tcgtcttagggatttccaatgc	gccttaagggttcattgggc	Okada et al. 2008
Fruit skin color	FruC	Niitaka Akiakari	8 8	OPH-19-425 Mdo.chr8.10 CH04g12	ctgaccagcc tgcagccctcaaacttttct caccgatggtgtcaacttgt	caacccaactccagcaattt caacaaaatgtgatcgccac	Inoue <i>et al</i> . 2006 Yamamoto <i>et al</i> . 2014
Fruit storage	PpACS2	Japanese pear	15	ACC synthase	gtcacagaatcaacgattga	agtagaacgcgaaaacaaat	Itai et al. 2003
Harvest time	HarT-1 (QTL) HarT-2 (QTL)	Taihaku Taihaku	3 15	BGA35 (AB219799) PPACS2	agagggagaaaaggcgatt ggtatctttgtccggcaatc	GTTTCTTgettcateacegtetget getetcaaggetttettetete	Yamamoto <i>et al.</i> 2014 Yamamoto <i>et al.</i> 2014

<sup>a</sup> GTTTCTT: pig tail sequence for DNA sequencer analysis.

trees will be able to integrate conserved candidate genes, molecular markers associated with interest traits, and QTLs, in order to verify how the genetic and molecular factors control traits like fruit quality and texture across species and genera. Therefore, synteny or comparative genome mapping is an important approach, which determines the homologous genes of related species, as well as the co-linearity (conservation of the gene order) among conserved genomic regions.

## **Co-linearity between Pyrus and Malus**

Yamamoto et al. (2001) applied apple SSR markers intergenerically for the characterization of several pear species (P. pyrifolia, P. bretschneideri, P. ussuriensis, P. communis, and P. calleryana). Nucleotide repeats were detected in the amplified fragments of pear and apple by both sequencing and Southern blot analyses, and the differences in fragment sizes between pear and apple were due mainly to the differences in the number of such repeats. The SSR markers are applicable across genera in the tribe Pyreae, subtribe Pyrinae, which includes apple, pear, quince (Cydonia oblonga Mill.), and loquat (Liebhard et al. 2002, Soriano et al. 2005, Yamamoto et al. 2001, 2004a, 2004b). When pear genetic linkage maps ('Bartlett' and 'La France') were compared with the apple reference maps ('Discovery' and 'Fiesta'), 66 apple SSR loci could be positioned onto the homologous LGs of pear (Yamamoto et al. 2007). Furthermore, SSR locus positions within LGs were almost identical in pear and apple, indicating good co-linearity in all 17 LGs. Gisbert et al. (2009) used SSR markers from apple and pear to construct genetic linkage maps of loquat cultivars 'Algerie' and 'Zaozhong-6'; the loquat maps showed a high synteny with apple maps when anchored SSR markers were used. Fukuda et al. (2014) identified almost perfect co-linearity of LG10 among loquat, pear, and apple. These findings suggest that all chromosomes of the genera in the tribe Pyreae show co-linearity despite considerable differences in the genome sizes, which range from 1.11 pg/2C to 1.57 pg/2C (Dickson et al. 1992, Dirlewanger et al. 2009b).

## **Co-linearity within Prunus**

The marker transferability is extremely high within Prunus. For example, among 277 Prunus SSRs, including 141 from peach (P. persica), 58 from apricot (P. armeniaca), 31 from almond (P. dulcis), 9 from sweet cherry (P. avium), 4 from sour cherry (*P. cerasus*), and 6 from Myrobalan plum (Prunus cerasifera Ehrh.), 95.3% showed PCR amplification in Myrobalan plum (Dirlewanger et al. 2004a). Furthermore, Mnejja et al. (2010) examined Prunus SSR markers for transferability across rosaceous crops using nine species, almond (P. dulcis), peach (P. persica), apricot (P. armeniaca), Japanese plum (Prunus salicina Lindl.), European plum (Prunus domestica L.), sweet cherry (*P. avium*), apple (M. × domestica), pear (*P. communis*), and strawberry ( $F. \times ananassa$ ). Of the 145 SSRs derived from Prunus species, 83.6% of amplified bands of the expected size range were identified in other Prunus species, and the proportion of SSRs showing polymorphism was also high (63.9%) (Mnejja *et al.* 2010). In contrast, only 16.3% of the *Prunus* SSRs were transferable across species of other Rosaceae genera such as apple, pear, and strawberry (Mnejja *et al.* 2010).

SSR markers developed for various *Prunus* species have been intensively used to compare *Prunus* linkage maps (Dirlewanger *et al.* 2004b). Detailed map comparisons were performed using common SSR markers between the reference genetic linkage map  $T \times E$  (Joobeur *et al.* 2000) and the maps of *P. armeniaca* (Lambert *et al.* 2004), *P. davidiana* (Foulongne *et al.* 2003), and *P. cerasifera* (Dirlewanger *et al.* 2004a). The distribution and order of SSR markers in all *Prunus* species show complete synteny except for a reciprocal translocation between LGs 6 and 8 detected in peach and almond (Dirlewanger *et al.* 2004b, Jáuregui *et al.* 2001). The SNP-based sweet cherry maps displayed high synteny and co-linearity of all eight LGs with the *Prunus* reference map and with the peach genome v1.0 (Klagges *et al.* 2013).

## Synteny between Pyrus (Malus) and Prunus

Transferability of SSR markers is very low between tribes, as shown by comparing *Prunus* and *Pyrus (Malus)*. Cipriani *et al.* (1999) found that only 18% of peach SSRs showed amplified bands in apple. Similarly, Yamamoto *et al.* (2004a) observed that only 10% of the *Prunus* SSRs could be transferred to the genetic linkage maps of *Pyrus* ('Bartlett' and 'Housui'). Only one out of 15 apple SSR markers was transferable to *Prunus* (Liebhard *et al.* 2002). A total of 613 RosCOS markers were successfully amplified and mapped on the *Prunus* T × E reference map. These RosCOS markers will be useful for further investigations of syntenic relationships between *Pyrus (Malus)* and *Prunus*. Furthermore, several other reports have showed synteny within Rosaceae plants (Sargent *et al.* 2009, Vilanova *et al.* 2008) and Rosaceae vs. other family (Staton *et al.* 2015).

## **Conclusion and perspectives**

In this manuscript, we describe to focus recent progress on whole-genome sequences, genome-wide SNP and SSR markers, construction of reference genetic linkage maps, and synteny studies in Rosaceae fruit trees, which will help us to develop new cultivars with desirable traits by MAS and new genomic-based strategies in breeding programs.

Genetic improvement of Rosaceae fruit trees is strongly hampered by their large tree size, long generation, an extended juvenile phase for seedling (Luby and Shaw 2001, Rikkerink *et al.* 2007). Therefore, it is considered that MAS and marker-assisted breeding can accelerate selection and reduce the progeny size and the cost of raising individuals to maturity in the field (Luby and Shaw 2001, Rikkerink *et al.* 2007). However, attempts to MAS in fruit tree breeding programs remain limited for a few simply inherited traits, because marker development for MAS via bi-parental QTL mapping is also hindered by the same complications. Newly developed high-throughput genotyping technologies such as SNP chips and genotyping using NGS have enabled new genomic-based strategies such as genome-wide association studies (GWAS), which are an alternative to bi-parental QTL mapping in long-lived perennials. Selection based on genomic predictions of breeding values, i.e., genomic selection (GS, Meuwissen *et al.* 2001) is another alternative for MAS. The robust and evenly distributed genome-wide SNP markers combined with reference genetic linkage maps, help us to use new genomic-based strategies such as GWAS and GS, which are now emerging as powerful tools in pear, apple, and forest tree breeding programs (Grattapaglia and Resende 2011, Iwata *et al.* 2013a, 2013b, Kumar *et al.* 2012, 2013).

# Literature Cited

- Aranzana, M.J., J. Garcia-Mas, J. Carbo and P. Arús (2002) Development and variability analysis of microsatellite markers in peach. Plant Breed. 121: 87–92.
- Aranzana, M.J., A. Pineda, P. Cosson, E. Dirlewanger, J. Ascasibar, G. Cipriani, C.D. Ryder, R. Testolin, A. Abbott, G.J. King *et al.* (2003) A set of simple-sequence repeat (SSR) markers covering the *Prunus* genome. Theor. Appl. Genet. 106: 819–825.
- Banno, K., H. Ishikawa, Y. Hamauzu and H. Tabira (1999) Identification of a RAPD marker linked to the susceptible gene of black spot disease in Japanese pear. J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci. 68: 476–481.
- Bell, R.L., H.A. Quamme, R.E.C. Layne and R.M. Skirvin (1996) Pears. *In*: Janick, J. and J.N. Moore (eds.) Fruit breeding, vol I: Tree and tropical fruits. John Wiley & Sons, London, pp. 441–514.
- Bianco, L., A. Cestaro, D.J. Sargent, E. Banchi, S. Derdak, M.D. Guardo, S. Salvi, J. Jansen, R. Viola, I. Gut *et al.* (2014) Development and validation of a 20K single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) whole genome genotyping array for apple (*Malus × domestica* Borkh.). PLoS ONE 9: e110377.
- Cabrera, A., A. Kozik, W. Howad, P. Arús, A. Iezzoni and E. van der Knaap (2009) Development and bin mapping of a Rosaceae Conserved Ortholog Set (COS) of markers. BMC Genomics 10: 562.
- Cabrera, A., U.R. Rosyara, P. De Franceschi, A. Sebolt, S.S. Sooriyapathirana, E. Dirlewanger, J. Quero-Garcia, M. Schuster, A.F. Iezzoni and E. van der Knaap (2012) Rosaceae conserved orthologous sequences marker polymorphism in sweet cherry germplasm and construction of a SNP-based map. Tree Genet. Genomes 8: 237–247.
- Cantini, C., A.F. Iezzoni, W.F. Lamboy, M. Bortizki and D. Struss (2001) DNA fingerprinting of tetraploid cherry germplasm using simple sequence repeats. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 126: 205–209.
- Celton, J.M., D.S. Tustin, D. Chagné and S.E. Gardiner (2009) Construction of a dense genetic linkage map for apple rootstocks using SSRs developed from *Malus* ESTs and *Pyrus* genomic sequences. Tree Genet. Genomes 5: 93–107.
- Chagné, D., R.N. Crowhurst, M. Troggio, M.W. Davey, B. Gilmore, C. Lawley, S. Vanderzande, R.P. Hellens, S. Kumar, A. Cestaro *et al.* (2012) Genome-wide SNP detection, validation, and development of an 8K SNP array for apple. PLoS ONE 7: e31745.
- Chagné, D., R.N. Crowhurst, M. Pindo, A. Thrimawithana, C. Deng, H. Ireland, M. Fiers, H. Dzierzon, A. Cestaro, P. Fontana *et al.* (2014) The draft genome sequence of European pear (*Pyrus communis* L. 'Bartlett'). PLoS ONE 9: e 92644.

- Challice, J.S. (1974) Rosaceae chemotaxonomy and the origins of the Pomoideae. Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 69: 239–259.
- Challice, J.S. (1981) Chemotaxonomic studies in the Rosaceae and the evolutionary origins of the subfamily Maloideae. Preslia 53: 289–304.
- Chen, H., Y. Song, L.T. Li, M.A. Khan, X.G. Li, S.S. Korban, J. Wu and S.L. Zhang (2015) Construction of a high-density simple sequence repeat consensus genetic map for pear (*Pyrus* spp.). Plant. Mol. Biol. Rep. 33: 316–325.
- Cipriani, G., G. Lot, W.G. Huang, M.T. Marrazzo, E. Peterlunger and R. Testolin (1999) AC/GT and AG/CT microsatellite repeats in peach [*Prunus persica* (L) Batsch]: isolation, characterisation and cross-species amplification in *Prunus*. Theor. Appl. Genet. 99: 65– 72.
- Clarke, J.B., D.J. Sargent, R.I. Bošković, A. Belaj and K.R. Tobutt (2009) A cherry map from the inter-specific cross *Prunus avium* 'Napoleon' × *P. nipponica* based on microsatellite, gene-specific and isoenzyme markers. Tree Genet. Genomes 5: 41–51.
- Dickson, E.E., K.Arumuganathan, S.Kresovich and J.J.Doyle (1992) Nuclear DNA content variation within the Rosaceae. Am. J. Bot. 79: 1081–1086.
- Dirlewanger, E., P. Cosson, M. Tavaud, M.J. Aranzana, C. Poizat, A. Zanetto, P. Arús and F. Laigret (2002) Development of microsatellite markers in peach [*Prunus persica* (L.) Batsch] and their use in genetic diversity analysis in peach and sweet cherry (*Prunus avium L.*). Theor. Appl. Genet. 105: 127–138.
- Dirlewanger, E., P. Cosson, W. Howad, G. Capdeville, N. Bosselut, M. Claverie, R. Voisin, C. Poizat, B. Lafargue, O. Baron *et al.* (2004a) Microsatellite genetic linkage maps of Myrobalan plum and an almond-peach hybrid—location of root-knot nematode resistance genes. Theor. Appl. Genet. 109: 827–838.
- Dirlewanger, E., E. Graziano, T. Joobeur, F. Garriga-Calderé, P. Cosson, W. Howad and P. Arús (2004b) Comparative mapping and markerassisted selection in Rosaceae fruit crops. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101: 9891–9896.
- Dirlewanger, E., J. Claverie, A.F. Iezzoni and A. Wunsch (2009a) Sweet and Sour Cherries: Linkage Maps, QTL Detection and Marker Assisted Selection. *In*: Gardiner, S.E. and K.M. Folta (eds.) Plant Genetics/Genomics vol. 6: Genetics and Genomics of Rosaceae. Springer, New York, pp. 291–313.
- Dirlewanger, E., B. Denoyes-Rothan, T. Yamamoto and D. Chagné (2009b) Genomics Tools across Rosaceae Species. *In*: Gardiner, S.E. and K.M. Folta (eds.) Plant Genetics/ Genomics vol 6: Genetics and Genomics of Rosaceae. Springer, New York, pp. 539–561.
- Downey, S.L. and A.F. Iezzoni (2000) Polymorphic DNA markers in black cherry (*Prunus serotina*) are identified using sequences from sweet cherry, peach, and sour cherry. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 125: 76–80.
- Evans, R.C. and C.S. Campbell (2002) The origin of the apple subfamily (Maloideae; Rosaceae) is clarified by DNA sequence data from duplicated GBSSI genes. Am. J. Bot. 89: 1478–1484.
- FAOSTAT (2013) http://faostat.fao.org/
- Fernández-Fernández, F., N.G. Harvey and C.M. James (2006) Isolation and characterization of polymorphic microsatellite markers from European pear (*Pyrus communis* L.). Mol. Ecol. Notes 6: 1039–1041.
- Foulongne, M., T. Pascal, F. Pfeiffer and J. Kervella (2003) QTLs for powdery mildew resistance in peach × *Prunus davidiana* crosses: consistency across generations and environments. Mol. Breed. 12: 33–50.
- Fukuda, S., K. Ishimoto, S. Sato, S. Terakami, T. Yamamoto and

N. Hiehata (2014) Genetic mapping of the loquat canker resistance locus in bronze loquat (*Eriobotrya deflexa*). Tree Genet. Genomes 10: 875–883.

- Gianfranceschi, L., N. Seglias, R. Tarchini, M. Komjanc and C. Gessler (1998) Simple sequence repeats for the genetic analysis of apple. Theor. Appl. Genet. 96: 1069–1076.
- Gisbert, A.D., J. Martínez-Calvo, G. Llácer, M.L. Badenes and C. Romero (2009) Development of two loquat [*Eriobotrya japonica* (Thunb.) Lindl.] linkage maps based on AFLPs and SSR markers from different Rosaceae species. Mol. Breed. 23: 523–538.
- Gonai, T., S. Terakami, C. Nishitani, T. Yamamoto and M. Kasumi (2012) Fine mapping of the scab resistance gene of Japanese pear 'Kinchaku' for efficient marker-assisted selection. Bull. Ibaraki Plant Biotech. Inst. 12: 27–33.
- Grattapaglia, D. and M.D.V. Resende (2011) Genomic selection in forest tree breeding. Tree Genet. Genomes 7: 241–255.
- Guajardo, V., S. Solís, B. Sagredo, F. Gainza, C. Muñoz, K. Gasic and P. Hinrichsen (2015) Construction of high density sweet cherry (*Prunus avium* L.) linkage maps using microsatellite markers and SNPs detected by genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS). PLoS ONE 10: e0127750.
- Guilford, P., S. Prakash, J.M. Zhu, E. Rikkerink, S. Gardiner, H. Bassett and R. Forster (1997) Microsatellites in *Malus* × *domestica* (apple): abundance, polymorphism and cultivar identification. Theor. Appl. Genet. 94: 249–254.
- Hirakawa, H., K. Shirasawa, S. Kosugi, K. Tashiro, S. Nakayama, M. Yamada, M. Kohara, A. Watanabe, Y. Kishida, T. Fujishiro *et al.* (2014) Dissection of the octoploid strawberry genome by deep sequencing of the genomes of *Fragaria* species. DNA Res. 21: 169– 181.
- Howad, W., T. Yamamoto, E. Dirlewanger, R. Testolin, P. Cosson, G. Cipriani, A.J. Monforte, L. Georgi, A.G. Abbott and P. Arús (2005) Mapping with a few plants: using selective mapping for microsatellite saturation of the *Prunus* reference map. Genetics 171: 1305–1309.
- Hummer, K.E. and J. Janick (2009) Rosaceae: Taxonomy, Economic Importance, Genomics. *In*: Gardiner, S.E. and K.M. Folta (eds.) Plant Genetics/ Genomics vol. 6: Genetics and Genomics of Rosaceae. Springer, New York, pp. 1–17.
- Iketani, H., K.Abe, T.Yamamoto, K.Kotobuki, Y.Sato, T.Saito, O. Terai, N.Matsuta and T.Hayashi (2001) Mapping of diseaserelated genes in Japanese pear using a molecular linkage map with RAPD markers. Breed. Sci. 51: 179–184.
- Illa, E., D.J. Sargent, E.L. Girona, J. Bushakra, A. Cestaro, R. Crowhurst, M. Pindo, A. Cabrera, E. van der Knaap, A. Iezzoni *et al.* (2011) Comparative analysis of rosaceous genomes and the reconstruction of a putative ancestral genome for the family. BMC Evol. Biol. 11: 9.
- Inoue, E., M. Kasumi, F. Sakuma, H. Anzai, K. Amano and H. Hara (2006) Identification of RAPD marker linked to fruit skin color in Japanese pear (*Pyrus pyrifolia* Nakai). Sci. Hortic. 107: 254–258.
- Inoue, E., Y. Matsuki, H. Anzai and K. Evans (2007) Isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers in Japanese pear (*Pyrus pyrifolia* Nakai). Mol. Ecol. Notes 7: 445–447.
- Ishimizu, T., K. Inoue, M. Shimonaka, T. Saito, O. Terai and S. Norioka (1999) PCR-based method for identifying the S-genotypes of Japanese pear cultivars. Theor. Appl. Genet. 98: 961–967.
- Itai, A., T. Kotaki, K. Tanabe, F. Tamura, D. Kawaguchi and M. Fukuda (2003) Rapid identification of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) synthase genotypes in cultivars of Japanese pear (*Pyrus pyrifolia* Nakai) using CAPS markers. Theor. Appl. Genet. 106: 1266–1272.

- Iwata, H., T. Hayashi, S. Terakami, N. Takada, Y. Sawamura and T. Yamamoto (2013a) Potential assessment of genome-wide association study and genomic selection in Japanese pear *Pyrus pyrifolia*. Breed. Sci. 63: 125–140.
- Iwata, H., T. Hayashi, S. Terakami, N. Takada, T. Saito and T. Yamamoto (2013b) Genomic prediction of trait segregation in a progeny population: a case study of Japanese pear (*Pyrus pyrifolia*). BMC Genet. 14: 81.
- Jáuregui, B., M.C. de Vicente, R. Messeguer, A. Felipe, A. Bonnet, G. Salesses and P. Arús (2001) A reciprocal translocation between 'Garfi' almond and 'Nemared' peach. Theor. Appl. Genet. 102: 1169–1176.
- Joobeur, T., M.A. Viruel, M.C. de Vicente, B. Jáuregui, J. Ballester, M.T. Dettori, I. Verde, M.J. Truco, R. Messeguer, I. Batlle *et al.* (1998) Construction of a saturated linkage map for *Prunus* using an almond × peach F<sub>2</sub> progeny. Theor. Appl. Genet. 97: 1034–1041.
- Joobeur, T., N. Periam, M.C. de Vicente, G.J. King and P. Arús (2000) Development of a second generation linkage map for almond using RAPD and SSR markers. Genome 43: 649–655.
- Jung, S., A. Cestaro, M. Troggio, D. Main, P. Zheng, I. Cho, K.M. Folta, B. Sosinski, A.Abbott, J.M. Celton *et al.* (2012) Whole genome comparisons of *Fragaria*, *Prunus* and *Malus* reveal different modes of evolution between Rosaceous subfamilies. BMC Genomics 13: 129.
- Klagges, C., J.A. Campoy, J. Quero-Garcia, A. Guzman, L. Mansur, E. Gratacos, H. Silva, U.R. Rosyara, A. Iezzoni, L.A. Meisel *et al.* (2013) Construction and comparative analyses of highly dense linkage maps of two sweet cherry intra-specific progenies of commercial cultivars. PLoS ONE 8: e54743.
- Korban, S.S. and S. Tartarini (2009) Apple Structural Genomics. *In*: Gardiner, S.E. and K.M. Folta (eds.) Plant Genetics/ Genomics vol. 6: Genetics and Genomics of Rosaceae. Springer, New York, pp. 85–119.
- Kumar, S., D. Chagné, M.C.A.M. Bink, R.K. Volz, C. Whitworth and C. Carlisle (2012) Genomic selection for fruit quality traits in apple (*Malus* × *domestica* Borkh.). PLoS ONE 7: e36674.
- Kumar, S., D.J. Garrick, M.C.A.M. Bink, C. Whitworth, D. Chagné and R.K. Volz (2013) Novel genomic approaches unravel genetic architecture of complex traits in apple. BMC Genomics 14: 393.
- Lambert, P., L.S. Hagen, P.Arús and J.M.Audergon (2004) Genetic linkage maps of two apricot cultivars (*Prunus armeniaca* L.) compared with the almond 'Texas' × peach 'Earlygold' reference map for *Prunus*. Theor. Appl. Genet. 108: 1120–1130.
- Liebhard, R., L. Gianfranceschi, B. Koller, C.D. Ryder, R. Tarchini, E. Van De Weg and C. Gessler (2002) Development and characterisation of 140 new microsatellites in apple (*Malus × domestica* Borkh.). Mol. Breed. 10: 217–241.
- Liebhard, R., B. Koller, L. Gianfranceschi and C. Gessler (2003) Creating a saturated reference map for the apple (*Malus* × *domestica* Borkh.) genome. Theor. Appl. Genet. 106: 1497–1508.
- Lopes, M.S., K.M. Sefc, M. Laimer and A. Da Camara Machado (2002) Identification of microsatellite loci in apricot. Mol. Ecol. Notes 2: 24–26.
- Luby, J.J. and D.V. Shaw (2001) Does marker-assisted selection make dollars and sense in a fruit breeding program? HortScience 36: 872–879.
- Maliepaard, C., F.H.Alston, G. van Arkel, L.M. Brown, E. Chevreau, F. Dunemann, K.M. Evans, S. Gardiner, P. Guilford, A.W. van Heusden *et al.* (1998) Aligning male and female linkage maps of apple (*Malus pumila* Mill.) using multi-allelic markers. Theor. Appl. Genet. 97: 60–73.

- Martínez-García, P.J., D.E. Parfitt, E.A. Ogundiwin, J. Fass, H.M. Chan, R. Ahmad, S. Lurie, A. Dandekar, T.M. Gradziel and C.H. Crisosto (2013) High density SNP mapping and QTL analysis for fruit quality characteristics in peach (*Prunus persica* L.). Tree Genet. Genomes 9: 19–36.
- Meuwissen, T.H.E., B.J. Hayes and M.E. Goddard (2001) Prediction of total genetic value using genome-wide dense marker maps. Genetics 157: 1819–1829.
- Mnejja, M., J. Garcia-Mas, J.M. Audergon and P.Arús (2010) *Prunus* microsatellite marker transferability across rosaceous crops. Tree Genet. Genomes 6: 689–700.
- Montanari, S., M. Saeed, M. Knabel, Y.K. Kim, M. Troggio, M. Malnoy, R. Velasco, P. Fontana, K.H. Won, C.E. Durel *et al.* (2013) Identification of *Pyrus* single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and evaluation for genetic mapping in European pear and interspecific *Pyrus* hybrids. PLoS ONE 8: e77022.
- Moriya, S., H. Iwanami, N. Kotoda, T. Haji, K. Okada, S. Terakami, N. Mimida, T. Yamamoto and K. Abe (2012) Aligned genetic linkage maps of apple rootstock cultivar 'JM7' and *Malus sieboldii* 'Sanashi 63' constructed with novel EST-SSRs. Tree Genet. Genomes 8: 709–723.
- Nashima, K., S. Terakami, S. Nishio, M. Kunihisa, C. Nishitani, T. Saito and T. Yamamoto (2015) S-genotype identification based on allelespecific PCR in Japanese pear. Breed. Sci. 65: 208–215.
- Nishitani, C., T. Kimura, E. Ueda, W. Howad, P. Arús and T. Yamamoto (2007) Tri-/hexanucleotide microsatellite markers in peach derived from enriched genomic libraries and their application in Rosaceae. Breed. Sci. 57: 289–296.
- Nishitani, C., S. Terakami, Y. Sawamura, N. Takada and T. Yamamoto (2009) Development of novel EST-SSR markers derived from Japanese pear (*Pyrus pyrifolia*). Breed. Sci. 59: 391–400.
- Okada, K., N. Tonaka, Y. Moriya, N. Norioka, Y. Sawamura, T. Matsumoto, T. Nakanishi and T. Takasaki-Yasuda (2008) Deletion of a 236 kb region around S<sub>4</sub>-RNase in a stylar-part mutant S<sub>4</sub><sup>sm</sup>-haplotype of Japanese pear. Plant Mol. Biol. 66: 389–400.
- Peace, C., N. Bassil, D. Main, S. Ficklin, U.R. Rosyara, T. Stegmeir, A. Sebolt, B. Gilmore, C. Lawley, T.C. Mockler *et al.* (2012) Development and evaluation of a genome-wide 6K SNP array for diploid sweet cherry and tetraploid sour cherry. PLoS ONE 7: e48305.
- Potter, D., T.Eriksson, R.C.Evans, S.Oh, J.E.E. Smedmark, D.R. Morgan, M.Kerr, K.R.Robertson, M.Arsenault, T.A.Dickinson *et al.* (2007) Phylogeny and classification of Rosaceae. Pl. Syst. Evol. 266: 5–43.
- Rikkerink, E.H.A., N.C. Oraguzie and S.E. Gardiner (2007) Prospects of association mapping in perennial horticultural crops. *In:* Oraguzie, N.C., E.H.A. Rikkerink, S.E. Gardiner and H.N. De Silva (eds.) Association Mapping in Plants. Springer, New York, pp. 249–269.
- Salazar, J.A., D.Ruiz, J.A. Campoy, R. Sánchez-Pérez, C.H. Crisosto, P.J. Martínez-García, A. Blenda, S. Jung, D. Main, P. Martínez-Gómez *et al.* (2014) Quantitative trait loci (QTL) and mendelian trait loci (MTL) analysis in *Prunus*: a breeding perspective and beyond. Plant Mol. Biol. Rep. 32: 1–18.
- Sargent, D.J., A. Marchese, D.W. Simpson, W. Howad, F. Fernández-Fernández, A. Monfort, P. Arús, K.M. Evans and K.R. Tobutt (2009) Development of "universal" gene-specific markers from *Malus* spp. cDNA sequences, their mapping and use in synteny studies within Rosaceae. Tree Genet. Genomes 5: 133–145.
- Sawamura, Y., T. Saito, N. Takada, T. Yamamoto, T. Kimura, T. Hayashi and K. Kotobuki (2004) Identification of parentage of Japanese pear 'Housui'. J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci. 73: 511–518.

- Shulaev, V., S.S. Korban, B. Sosinski, A.G. Abbott, H.S. Aldwinckle, K.M. Folta, A. Iezzoni, D. Main, P.Arús, A.M. Dandekar *et al.* (2008) Multiple models for Rosaceae genomics. Plant Physiol. 147: 985–1003.
- Shulaev, V., D.J. Sargent, R.N. Crowhurst, T.C. Mockler, O. Folkerts, A.L. Delcher, P. Jaiswal, K. Mockaitis, A. Liston, S.P. Mane *et al.* (2011) The genome of woodland strawberry (*Fragaria vesca*). Nat. Genet. 43: 109–116.
- Silfverberg-Dilworth, E., C.L. Matasci, W.E. Van de Weg, M.P.W. Van Kaauwen, M. Walser, L.P. Kodde, V. Soglio, L. Gianfranceschi, C.E. Durel, F. Costa *et al.* (2006) Microsatellite markers spanning the apple (*Malus × domestica* Borkh.) genome. Tree Genet. Genomes 2: 202–224.
- Soriano, J.M., C. Romero, S. Vilanova, G. Llacer and M.L. Badenes (2005) Genetic diversity of loquat germplasm (*Eriobotrya japonica* (Thunb.) Lindl.) assessed by SSR markers. Genome 48: 108–114.
- Sosinski, B., M. Gannavarapu, L.D. Hager, L.E. Beck, G.J. King, C.D. Ryder, S. Rajapakse, W.V. Baird, R.E. Ballard and A.G. Abbott (2000) Characterization of microsatellite markers in peach [*Prunus persica* (L.) Batsch]. Theor. Appl. Genet. 101: 421–428.
- Staton, M., T.Zhebentyayeva, B.Olukolu, G.C.Fang, D.Nelson, J.E. Carlson and A.G. Abbott (2015) Substantial genome synteny preservation among woody angiosperm species: comparative genomics of Chinese chestnut (*Castanea mollissima*) and plant reference genomes. BMC Genomics 16: 744.
- Struss, D., M. Boritzki, R. Karle and A.F. Iezzoni (2002) Microsatellite markers differentiate eight Giessen cherry rootstocks. HortScience 37: 191–193.
- Terakami, S., M. Shoda, Y. Adachi, T. Gonai, M. Kasumi, Y. Sawamura, H. Iketani, K. Kotobuki, A. Patocchi, C. Gessler *et al.* (2006) Genetic mapping of the pear scab resistance gene *Vnk* of Japanese pear cultivar Kinchaku. Theor. Appl. Genet. 113: 743–752.
- Terakami, S., Y.Adachi, H. Iketani, Y. Sato, Y. Sawamura, N. Takada, C. Nishitani and T. Yamamoto (2007) Genetic mapping of genes for susceptibility to black spot disease in Japanese pears. Genome 50: 735–741.
- Terakami, S., T. Kimura, C. Nishitani, Y. Sawamura, T. Saito, T. Hirabayashi and T. Yamamoto (2009) Genetic linkage map of the Japanese pear 'Housui' identifying three homozygous genomic regions. J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci. 78: 417–424.
- Terakami, S., C. Nishitani and T. Yamamoto (2013) Development of SNP markers for marker-assisted selection in pear. Acta Hortic. 976: 463–469.
- Terakami, S., C. Nishitani, M. Kunihisa, K. Shirasawa, S. Sato, S. Tabata, K. Kurita, H. Kanamori, Y. Katayose, N. Takada *et al.* (2014) Transcriptome-based single nucleotide polymorphism markers for genome mapping in Japanese pear (*Pyrus pyrifolia* Nakai). Tree Genet. Genomes 10: 853–863.
- Testolin, R., T. Marrazzo, G. Cipriani, R. Quarta, I. Verde, M.T. Dettori, M. Pancaldi and S. Sansavini (2000) Microsatellite DNA in peach [*Prunus persica* (L.) Batsch] and its use in fingerprinting and testing the genetic origin of cultivars. Genome 43: 512–520.
- van Dyk, M.M., M.K. Soeker, I.F. Labuschagne and D.J.G. Rees (2010) Identification of a major QTL for time of initial vegetative budbreak in apple (*Malus × domestica* Borkh.). Tree Genet. Genomes 6: 489–502.
- Velasco, R., A. Zharkikh, J. Affourtit, A. Dhingra, A. Cestaro, A. Kalyanaraman, P. Fontana, S.K. Bhatnagar, M. Troggio, D. Pruss *et al.* (2010) The genome of the domesticated apple (*Malus* × *domestica* Borkh.). Nat. Genet. 42: 833–839.
- Verde, I., N. Bassil, S. Scalabrin, B. Gilmore, C.T. Lawley, K. Gasic,

D. Micheletti, U.R. Rosyara, F. Cattonaro, E. Vendramin *et al.* (2012) Development and evaluation of a 9K SNP array for peach by internationally coordinated SNP detection and validation in breeding germplasm. PLoS ONE 7: e35668.

- Verde, I., A.G. Abbott, S. Scalabrin, S. Jung, S. Shu, F. Marroni, T. Zhebentyayeva, M.T. Dettori, J. Grimwood, F. Cattonaro *et al.* (2013) The high-quality draft genome of peach (*Prunus persica*) identifies unique patterns of genetic diversity, domestication and genome evolution. Nat. Genet. 45: 487–494.
- Vilanova, S., D.J. Sargent, P.Arús and A. Monfort (2008) Synteny conservation between two distantly-related Rosaceae genomes: *Prunus* (the stone fruits) and *Fragaria* (the strawberry). BMC Plant Biol. 8: 67.
- Weber, J.L. and P.E. May (1989) Abundant class of human DNA polymorphisms which can be typed using the polymerase chain reaction. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 44: 388–396.
- Wu, J., Z. Wang, Z. Shi, S. Zhang, R. Ming, S. Zhu, M.A. Khan, S. Tao, S.S. Korban, H. Wang *et al.* (2013) The genome of the pear (*Pyrus bretschneideri* Rehd.). Genome Res. 23: 396–408.
- Wu, J., L.T.Li, M.Li, M.A.Khan, X.G.Li, H.Chen, H.Yin and S.L.Zhang (2014) High-density genetic linkage map construction and identification of fruit-related QTLs in pear using SNP and SSR markers. J. Exp. Bot. 65: 5771–5781.
- Yamamoto, T., T. Kimura, Y. Sawamura, K. Kotobuki, Y. Ban, T. Hayashi and N. Matsuta (2001) SSRs isolated from apple can identify polymorphism and genetic diversity in pear. Theor. Appl. Genet. 102: 865–870.
- Yamamoto, T., T. Kimura, M. Shoda, Y. Ban, T. Hayashi and N. Matsuta (2002a) Development of microsatellite markers in the Japanese pear (*Pyrus pyrifolia* Nakai). Mol. Ecol. Notes 2: 14–16.
- Yamamoto, T., T. Kimura, Y. Sawamura, T. Manabe, K. Kotobuki, T. Hayashi, Y. Ban and N. Matsuta (2002b) Simple sequence repeats for genetic analysis in pear. Euphytica 124: 129–137.
- Yamamoto, T., T. Kimura, M. Shoda, T. Imai, T. Saito, Y. Sawamura, K. Kotobuki, T. Hayashi and N. Matsuta (2002c) Genetic linkage maps constructed by using an interspecific cross between Japanese and European pears. Theor. Appl. Genet. 106: 9–18.

- Yamamoto, T., K. Mochida, T. Imai, Y.Z. Shi, I. Ogihara and T. Hayashi (2002d) Microsatellite markers in peach (*Prunus persica* (L.) Batsch) derived from an enriched genomic and cDNA libraries. Mol. Ecol. Notes 2: 298–301.
- Yamamoto, T., K. Mochida and T. Hayashi (2003) Shanhai Suimitsuto, one of the origins of Japanese peach cultivars. J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci. 72: 116–121.
- Yamamoto, T., T. Kimura, T. Saito, K. Kotobuki, N. Matsuta, R. Liebhard, C. Gessler, W.E. van de Weg and T. Hayashi (2004a) Genetic linkage maps of Japanese and European pears aligned to the apple consensus map. Acta Hortic. 663: 51–56.
- Yamamoto, T., T. Kimura, J. Soejima, T. Sanada, Y. Ban and T. Hayashi (2004b) Identification of quince varieties using SSR markers developed from pear and apple. Breed. Sci. 54: 239–244.
- Yamamoto, T., M. Yamaguchi and T. Hayashi (2005) An integrated genetic linkage map of peach by SSR, STS, AFLP and RAPD. J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci. 74: 204–213.
- Yamamoto, T., T. Kimura, S. Terakami, C. Nishitani, Y. Sawamura, T. Saito, K. Kotobuki and T. Hayashi (2007) Integrated reference genetic linkage maps of pear based on SSR and AFLP markers. Breed. Sci. 57: 321–329.
- Yamamoto, T., S. Terakami, S. Moriya, F. Hosaka, K. Kurita, H. Kanamori, Y. Katayose, T. Saito and C. Nishitani (2013) DNA markers developed from genome sequencing analysis in Japanese pear (*Pyrus pyrifolia*). Acta Hortic. 976: 477–483.
- Yamamoto, T., S. Terakami, N. Takada, S. Nishio, N. Onoue, C. Nishitani, M. Kunihisa, E. Inoue, H. Iwata, T. Hayashi *et al.* (2014) Identification of QTLs controlling harvest time and fruit skin color in Japanese pear (*Pyrus pyrifolia* Nakai). Breed. Sci. 64: 351–361.
- Zhang, M.Y., L. Fan, Q.Z. Liu, Y. Song, S.W. Wei, S.L. Zhang and J. Wu (2014) A novel set of EST-derived SSR markers for pear and cross-species transferability in Rosaceae. Plant Mol. Biol. Rep. 32: 290–302.
- Zhang, Q., W. Chen, L. Sun, F. Zhao, B. Huang, W. Yang, Y. Tao, J. Wang, Z. Yuan, G. Fan *et al.* (2012) The genome of *Prunus mume*. Nat. Commun. 3: 1318.