Data in Brief 4 (2015) 529-533



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib

Data Article

# Data in support of association study of the brain-derived neurotrophic factor gene SNPs and completed suicide in the Slovenian sample



Sandra Ropret<sup>a</sup>, Tomaž Zupanc<sup>b</sup>, Radovan Komel<sup>a,\*</sup>, Alja Videtič Paska<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ljubljana, Vrazov trg 2, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
<sup>b</sup> Institute of Forensic Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ljubljana, Korytkova ulica 2, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 July 2015 Received in revised form 15 July 2015 Accepted 15 July 2015 Available online 22 July 2015

Keywords: Genotypes Logistic regression Inheritance models Haplotypes Sliding window procedure

# ABSTRACT

This data article provides the data generated from additional analyses of a genetic association study, where 7 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) near/within the brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) gene were investigated for an association with completed suicide in Slavic population (Ropret et al., 2015) [1]. One SNP was excluded from the present analyses due to insufficient genotyping rate (rs1491850) and the remaining 6 SNPs (rs7124442, rs10767664, rs962369, rs12273363, rs908867, rs1491851) were analyzed to gain deeper insight into the possible role of these SNPs in the studied phenotype. We present data on logistic regression analyses of: (a) genotypes under four inheritance models, and (b) haplotypes using 2-, 3- and 4adjacent SNPs sliding window procedure. In both analyses adjustments for potential confounders (age, gender and alcohol dependence syndrome status) were executed. Data may serve as a reference for comparison of the populations with either low or very high suicide rates. The raw genotyping data that could be used in case metaanalyses should be performed may be provided upon request.<sup>1</sup>

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI of original article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2015.06.027

\* Corresponding authors.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2015.07.015

2352-3409/© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

*E-mail addresses:* sandraropret@gmail.com (S. Ropret), tomaz.zupanc@mf.uni-lj.si (T. Zupanc), radovan.komel@mf.uni-lj.si (R. Komel), alja.videtic@mf.uni-lj.si (A. Videtič Paska).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The corresponding author will notify the co-authors of this Data article whenever request for the raw genotyping data file occurs.

## Specifications Table

Subject area	Biology
More specific subject area	Neurobiology of psychiatric disorders, particularly completed suicide
Type of data	Tables
How data was acquired	Software for genetic analysis PLINK v1.07 [2]
Data format	Analyzed
Experimental factors	Genotyping of controls and suicide completers [1]
Experimental features	In-depth analysis of genotype and haplotype data, using PLINK v1.07
Data source location	Ljubljana, Slovenia, EU
Data accessibility	All the data are supplied within this article (raw genotyping data may be provided upon request)

# Value of the data

• Data presented here may be useful as an incentive for analyses of the SNPs rs7124442, rs10767664, rs962369, rs12273363 and rs908867, especially haplotypes consisting of two to five of these SNPs in larger samples from different populations with high suicide rates and also for comparative analyses of populations with high and low suicide rates.

#### Table 1

Logistic regression analysis of the SNPs in the BDNF gene between controls and suicide completers, adjusted by age, gender and alcohol dependence syndrome status.

SNP	Model		OR	95% CI	<b>p</b> <sup>a</sup>
rs7124442	Geno_2df <sup>b</sup>	TT vs. CT vs. CC	N/A	N/A	0.313
	ADD <sup>c</sup>	2 CC+CT vs. <b>TT</b>	1.234	0.939-1.623	0.131
	Dominant <sup>d</sup>	<b>TT</b> vs. $CT + CC$	1.106	0.821-1.489	0.508
	Recessive <sup>e</sup>	TT + CT vs. CC	1.513	0.887-2.579	1.128
rs10767664	Geno_2df	AA vs. TA vs. TT	N/A	N/A	0.161
	ADD	2 TT+TA vs. AA	1.296	0.954-1.761	0.097
	Dominant	<b>AA</b> $vs.$ TA+TT	1.287	0.955-1.736	0.098
	Recessive	AA+TA vs. TT	1.559	0.854-2.847	0.148
rs962369	Geno_2df	TT vs. CT vs. CC	N/A	N/A	0.813
	ADD	2 CC+CT vs. <b>TT</b>	1.039	0.762-1.415	0.811
	Dominant	<b>TT</b> vs. $CT + CC$	0.940	0.698-1.267	0.686
	Recessive	TT + CT vs. CC	1.113	0.606-2.045	0.730
rs12273363	Geno_2df	TT vs. CT vs. CC	N/A	N/A	0.905
	ADD	2 CC+CT vs. <b>TT</b>	1.085	0.682-1.728	0.730
	Dominant	<b>TT</b> vs. $CT + CC$	0.977	0.706-1.352	0.889
	Recessive	TT + CT vs. CC	1.191	0.472-3.008	0.711
rs908867	Geno_2df	CC vs. TC vs. TT	N/A	N/A	0.838
	ADD	2 TT+TC vs. CC	0.956	0.464-1.970	0.902
	Dominant	CC vs. TC+TT	0.886	0.592-1.324	0.554
	Recessive	CC+TC vs. TT	0.930	0.219-3.949	0.922
rs1491851	Geno_2df	CC vs. TC vs. TT	N/A	N/A	0.623
	ADD	2 TT+TC vs. CC	0.994	0.813-1.216	0.953
	Dominant	<b>CC</b> vs. $TC + TT$	1.094	0.795-1.504	0.581
	Recessive	CC+TC vs. TT	0.908	0.642-1.284	0.583

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

<sup>a</sup> *p*-values are not corrected for multiple testing (Bonferroni); **bold**: major allele homozygotes.

<sup>b</sup> Geno\_2df (general genotypic model): major allele homozygotes vs. heterozygotes vs. minor allele homozygotes.

<sup>c</sup> ADD (additive model, where each copy of the minor allele alters the risk in an additive form; a combination of the minor allele homozygotes with weight 2+heterozygotes is compared to major allele homozygotes):  $2 \times$  minor allele homozygotes + heterozygotes vs. major allele homozygotes.

<sup>d</sup> Dominant: major allele homozygotes vs. heterozygotes+minor allele homozygotes.

<sup>e</sup> Recessive: major allele homozygotes + heterozygotes vs. minor allele homozygotes.

Table 2
Associations between <i>BDNF</i> gene haplotypes $(3' \rightarrow 5')$ , reverse strand) and completed suicide (age, gender and alcohol dependence syndrome status adjusted).

NSNP	NHAP	SNP-first	SNP2	SNP3	SNP4	SNP-last	Haplotype	Freq	OR	STAT	Р	EMP p
2 2 2 2	4 4 4 4	rs7124442 rs7124442 rs7124442 rs7124442				rs10767664 rs10767664 rs10767664 rs10767664	CT TT CA TA	0.0114 0.241 0.255 0.493	3.52 1.21 1.1 0.794	1.79 2.41 0.651 4.98	0.181 0.12 0.42 <b>0.0256*</b>	0.7516 0.587 0.9731 0.1709
2 2 2	3 3 3	rs10767664 rs10767664 rs10767664				rs962369 rs962369 rs962369	AC TT AT	0.233 0.245 0.514	0.975 1.25 0.858	0.0399 3.22 2.14	0.842 0.0726 0.144	1 0.4028 0.6595
2 2 2	3 3 3	rs962369 rs962369 rs962369				rs12273363 rs12273363 rs12273363	CC CT TT	0.151 0.0881 0.756	1.01 0.933 1.03	0.0063 0.144 0.0667	0.937 0.704 0.796	1 0.9997 1
2 2 2	3 3 3	rs12273363 rs12273363 rs12273363				rs908867 rs908867 rs908867	TT CC TC	0.0824 0.156 0.762	0.9 1.01 1.04	0.317 0.0033 0.102	0.573 0.954 0.749	0.9961 1 1
3 3 3 3	4 4 4	rs7124442 rs7124442 rs7124442 rs7124442	rs10767664 rs10767664 rs10767664 rs10767664			rs962369 rs962369 rs962369 rs962369	CAC TTT CAT TAT	0.226 0.24 0.0297 0.485	0.983 1.22 2.57 0.795	0.018 2.57 5.79 5.01	0.893 0.109 <b>0.0161*</b> <b>0.0252*</b>	1 0.5483 0.1124 0.1689
3 3 3 3	4 4 4	rs10767664 rs10767664 rs10767664 rs10767664	rs962369 rs962369 rs962369 rs962369			rs12273363 rs12273363 rs12273363 rs12273363 rs12273363	ACC ACT TTT ATT	0.146 0.0851 0.245 0.512	1 0.918 1.26 0.867	0.000101 0.211 3.44 1.87	0.992 0.646 0.0637 0.171	1 0.9988 0.3651 0.7323
3 3 3	3 3 3	rs962369 rs962369 rs962369	rs12273363 rs12273363 rs12273363			rs908867 rs908867 rs908867	CTT CCC TTC	0.0815 0.151 0.757	0.884 1.02 1.03	0.433 0.0131 0.0417	0.511 0.909 0.838	0.9895 1 1
4 4 4 4	5 5 5 5 5	rs7124442 rs7124442 rs7124442 rs7124442 rs7124442 rs7124442	rs10767664 rs10767664 rs10767664 rs10767664 rs10767664	rs962369 rs962369 rs962369 rs962369 rs962369 rs962369		rs12273363 rs12273363 rs12273363 rs12273363 rs12273363 rs12273363	CACC CACT TTTT CATT TATT	0.141 0.0853 0.24 0.0297 0.482	1.02 0.937 1.22 2.58 0.802	0.015 0.124 2.57 5.84 4.62	0.902 0.725 0.109 <b>0.0156*</b> <b>0.0317*</b>	1 0.9999 0.5482 0.1098 0.2031
4 4 4	4 4 4 4	rs10767664 rs10767664 rs10767664 rs10767664	rs962369 rs962369 rs962369 rs962369	rs12273363 rs12273363 rs12273363 rs12273363		rs908867 rs908867 rs908867 rs908867	ACTT ACCC TTTC ATTC	0.0803 0.147 0.245 0.512	0.876 1 1.24 0.87	0.496 2.77e-006 3.06 1.79	0.481 0.999 0.0803 0.181	0.9865 1 0.4357 0.7511
5	5	rs7124442	rs10767664	rs962369	rs12273363	rs908867	CACTT	0.0794	0.86	0.642	0.423	0.9741

531

lable 2 (continued)	2 (continued)
---------------------	---------------

NSNP	NHAP	SNP-first	SNP2	SNP3	SNP4	SNP-last	Haplotype	Freq	OR	STAT	Р	EMP p
5	5	rs7124442	rs10767664	rs962369	rs12273363	rs908867	CACCC	0.141	1.01	0.0051	0.943	1
5	5	rs7124442	rs10767664	rs962369	rs12273363	rs908867	TTTTC	0.24	1.22	2.52	0.112	0.5603
5	5	rs7124442	rs10767664	rs962369	rs12273363	rs908867	CATTC	0.0302	2.66	6.19	0.0129*	0.09249
5	5	rs7124442	rs10767664	rs962369	rs12273363	rs908867	TATTC	0.482	0.803	4.55	0.0328*	0.21

NSNP: number of SNPs included in haplotype; NHAP: number of common haplotypes (haplotypes with Freq < 0.01 were excluded from the analysis); SNP-first: SNP ID of the left-most (3') SNP in the haplotype; SNP-last: SNP ID of the right-most (5') SNP in the haplotype; Freq: frequency of a haplotype in the whole sample; OR: estimated odds ratio; STAT: test statistic (*T* from Wald test); *p*: asymptotic *p*-value.

\* Nominal significance; EMP p: empirical p-value after 10,000 permutations correction for multiple comparisons.

• Supportive evidence to the proposed role of the SNP rs7124442 in the completed suicide phenotype [1] when in a specific allelic context (**A**/T–**T**/C–**T**/C–**C**/T) of at least two of the four SNPs (rs10767664, rs962369, rs12273363, rs908867).

## 1. Data

We provide data on additional analyses (Tables 1 and 2) of SNPs rs7124442, rs10767664, rs962369, rs12273363, rs908867 and rs1491851 for association with completed suicide phenotype, especially to highlight a proposed role of the SNP rs7124442 in the phenotype when in a specific haplotype context of two to four other SNPs (rs10767664, rs962369, rs12273363, rs908867) (Table 2). Raw genotyping data, potentially useful to conduct meta-analyses in the future, may be provided upon request.

#### 2. Experimental design, materials and methods

Our study sample consisted of 775 unrelated Caucasian subjects, namely 289 controls (218 males and 71 females; mean age  $51.9 \pm 18.3$  years) and 486 suicide completers (362 males and 124 females; mean age  $49.2 \pm 17.8$  years). The control group was comprised of deceased persons, in which suicide as a cause of death was excluded. Criteria for positive alcohol dependence syndrome (ADS) status were met in 72 controls and in 97 suicide completers (for the details on the ADS status determination also see Materials and methods section in [1]).

Here, by means of software specifically designed for genetic analyses PLINK v1.07 [2], we performed logistic regression analyses (adjusted for covariates age, gender and ADS status) of the genotype data (raw file may be provided upon request) in order to gain more insight into the role of the studied SNPs in completed suicide phenotype [1].

First, the genotype analysis was done under four inheritance models: general genotype model (2 degrees of freedom), additive model (each copy of the minor allele alters the risk in an additive form), dominant model (a single copy of the minor allele is sufficient to modify the risk) and recessive model (two copies of the minor allele are needed to modify the risk) (Table 1). The data show no association of the studied SNPs under any of the model with completed suicide in our sample (p > 0.05) (Table 1).

Haplotype analysis was carried out using 2-, 3- and 4-adjacent SNPs sliding window approach implemented in PLINK v1.07 [2]. We also carried out the haplotype analysis for all 5 SNPs (rs7124442, rs10767664, rs962369, rs12273363 and rs908867) which are in strong linkage disequilibrium (also see in Ref. [1, Fig. 1]). Haplotypes with frequencies lower than 1% were excluded from the analysis and correction for multiple testing was performed by 10,000 permutations test. As shown in Table 2, none of the haplotypes showed significant association with completed suicide after 10,000 permutations correction (EMP p > 0.05). However, the T/C base change in the first position (rs7124442) in a specific context of common alleles ( $\underline{A}/T-\underline{T}/C-\underline{T}/C-\underline{C}/T$ ) of at least two of the four SNPs rs10767664, rs962369, rs12273363 and rs908867, always resulted in at least a nominally significant p-value (Table 2).

#### Acknowledgemets

Funding for the study was provided by the Slovenian Research Agency, Programme Grant no. P1-0390, and by a Junior Researchers Grant to SR. We would like to thank the Institute of Forensic Medicine in the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Ljubljana, Slovenia, for long term cooperation.

#### References

S. Ropret, T. Zupanc, R. Komel, A. Videtič Paska, Single nucleotide polymorphisms in the BDNF gene and suicide in the Slovenian sample, Neurosci. Lett. 602 (2015) 12–16.

<sup>[2]</sup> S. Purcell, B. Neale, K. Todd-Brown, L. Thomas, M.A. Ferreira, D. Bender, J. Maller, P. Sklar, P.I. de Bakker, M.J. Daly, P.C. Sham, PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses, Am. J. Hum. Genet. 81 (2007) 559–575.