

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Oncogene*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 June 02.

Published in final edited form as:

Oncogene. 2016 May ; 35(21): 2746-2755. doi:10.1038/onc.2015.340.

# LncRNA *HOTAIR* enhances ER signaling and confers tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer

Xingyang Xue<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Yeqing Angela Yang<sup>2,\*</sup>, Ali Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Ka-wing Fong<sup>2</sup>, Jung Kim<sup>2</sup>, Bing Song<sup>2</sup>, Shangze Li<sup>2</sup>, Jonathan C. Zhao<sup>2</sup>, and Jindan Yu<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Thoracic Surgery, Cancer Center of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, P.R. China

<sup>2</sup>Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA

<sup>3</sup>Robert H. Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA

# Abstract

Tamoxifen, an estrogen receptor (ER) antagonist, is the mainstay treatment of breast cancer and the development of resistance represents a major obstacle for a cure. Although lncRNAs such as HOTAIR have been implicated in breast tumorigenesis, their roles in chemotherapy resistance remain largely unknown. In this study, we report that *HOTAIR* is up-regulated in tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer tissues compared to their primary counterparts. Mechanistically, HOTAIR is a direct target of ER-mediated transcriptional repression and is thus restored upon the blockade of ER signaling, either by hormone deprivation or tamoxifen treatment. Interestingly, this elevated *HOTAIR* increases ER protein level and thus enhances ER occupancy on the chromatin and potentiates its downstream gene regulation. *HOTAIR* overexpression is sufficient to activate the ER transcriptional program even under hormone-deprived conditions. Functionally, we found that *HOTAIR* overexpression increases breast cancer cell proliferation, whereas its depletion significantly impairs cell survival and abolishes tamoxifen-resistant cell growth. In conclusion, the lncRNA *HOTAIR* is directly repressed by ER and its up-regulation promotes ligand-independent ER activities and contributes to tamoxifen resistance.

# Keywords

breast cancer; tamoxifen resistance; lncRNA; HOTAIR; estrogen receptor

# Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Users may view, print, copy, and download text and data-mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use:http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial\_policies/license.html#terms

Address correspondence and requests for reprints to: Jindan Yu, M.D., Ph.D., Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Medicine, Robert H. Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center, Northwestern University, Feinberg School of Medicine, 303 E. Superior St. Lurie 5-117, Chicago, IL 60611, Phone: 312-503-1761, Fax: 312-503-0189, ; Email: jindan-yu@northwestern.edu \*These authors have contributed equally to this work.

# INTRODUCTION

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are a major class of newly identified non-coding transcripts that are usually composed of more than 200 nucleotides. Accumulating evidence suggests that lncRNAs play critical roles in regulating a wide range of cellular processes through affecting various aspects of protein, DNA, and RNA expression and interactions<sup>15, 18, 29, 30, 32</sup>. Large-scale RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) studies have revealed that lncRNAs are abundantly transcribed from the genome; a recent study comprehensively examined over 7000 RNA-seq libraries and uncovered nearly 60,000 lncRNAs from the human transcriptome<sup>11</sup>. Out of numerous cancer-associated lncRNAs, HOTAIR (HOX antisense intergenic RNA) was among the most upregulated in breast cancer. Localized in chromosome 12, HOTAIR is 2.2kb in length and transcribed from the antisense strand of the HOXC locus. It has been shown to interact with the Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2) to reprogram chromatin state and induce cancer metastasis<sup>8</sup>,<sup>9</sup>. *In vivo* experiments showed that HOTAIR is sufficient and required to promote invasion of breast carcinoma cells<sup>8</sup>. Concordantly, *HOTAIR* and EZH2 expression levels were highly correlated in breast cancer tissues and high HOTAIR level is associated with worse prognosis<sup>3, 26</sup>. In addition, these studies reported that strong HOTAIR expression correlated with ER and PR positivity and HOTAIR expression is a strong predictor of poor clinical outcome especially in estrogen receptor (ER)-positive breast cancer<sup>3</sup>, <sup>26</sup>.

These results provided first lines of evidence that the lncRNA *HOTAIR* may play important roles in regulating breast cancer progression. Tamoxifen, an antagonist of the estrogen receptor (ER), is the most commonly used treatment for ER-positive breast cancer. Despite great success in improving overall survival of breast cancer patients, development of tamoxifen-resistance (Tam<sup>R</sup>) is persistently seen in clinic and is a major cause of breast cancer recurrence and mortality<sup>22</sup>. Understanding the biological mechanisms underlying this acquired resistance to tamoxifen is thus of substantial clinical significance<sup>17</sup>.

ER is a hormonal transcription factor that is liganded and activated by estrogen. ER regulates target genes that control endocrine response and cell cycle progression<sup>6, 24, 32</sup>. Tamoxifen competes with estrogen for binding to the ER protein, thereby inhibiting convential ER transcriptional program<sup>24, 25, 32</sup>. Using ChIP-seq, a recent study has mapped genome-wide ER binding profiles in primary breast cancers and found that ER is still recruited to the chromatin in tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer, but to new regulatory regions associated with poor clinical outcome<sup>23</sup>. This aberrant ER transcriptional activity is proposed to be regulated by various oncogenic mechanisms and have critical functions in mediating tamoxifen resistant breast cancer. It directly interacts with the ER protein to enhance ER transcriptional activity and thus ligand-independent breast cancer growth. Our study will not only inform about the mechanistic underpinnings of breast cancer progression, but also provide evidence supporting therapeutic potentials of lncRNA targeting in breast cancer treatment.

# RESULTS

#### HOTAIR is up-regulated in tamoxifen-resistant, ER-positive breast cancer

To determine lncRNAs that may contribute to breast cancer tamoxifen resistance, we reanalyzed publically available dataset profiling gene expression in wildtype MCF7 cells as well as its tamoxifen-resistant derivatives treated with ethanol or 17β-estradiol for 4 hours (GSE5840)<sup>'</sup>. Our analysis revealed 37 lncRNA genes that were repressed by estrogen and became up-regulated in tamoxifen-resistant cells (Figure 1A). Among the top de-regulated IncRNAs are HOTAIR and TP53TG1. Although HOTAIR has been shown up-regulated in metastatic breast cancer  $\frac{8}{2}$ , its role in tamoxifen-resistance has not been investigated. To examine this, we performed in situ hybridization (ISH) to probe the abundance of HOTAIR IncRNA in breast cancer tissues, comparing between matched primary and tamoxifenresistant breast carcinoma samples. Our results showed that HOTAIR localized primarily in the nuclei but was also present in the cytoplasm (Figure 1B). Most primary breast cancer tissues had weak HOTAIR staining, whereas tamoxifen-resistant brest cancer generally exhibited moderate to strong HOTAIR staining. Overall, HOTAIR expression level was significantly higher in tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer than primary, hormone-naïve tumors (Figure 1C). Being consistent with this, qRT-PCR analysis showed that tamoxifen treatment for 7 days significantly increased HOTAIR lncRNA levels in both MCF7 and T47D cells, while dramatically decreasing the expression of GREB1, a known ER-induced gene (Figure 1D-E). As tamoxifen is known to compete with estrogen to inhibit estrogen-induced ER activities, next we examined whether HOTAIR is a target of ER-mediated transcriptional regulation.

#### The IncRNA HOTAIR is directly repressed by Estrogen Receptor

To examine whether estrogen regulates HOTAIR expression, we carried out qRT-PCR analysis of MCF7 cells treated with increasing doses of estradiol (E2). HOTAIR expression was greatly inhibited for up to 7-fold, while GREB1 was increased as expected (Figure 2A & S1A). Estrogen inhibited HOTAIR expression in a dose- and time-dependent manner (Figure 2A–B). HOTAIR level was decreased about 2-fold after 4 hours of E2 treatment and nearly 10-fold after 24 hours of E2 treatment, whereas GREB1 was gradually induced for around 20-fold at 4 hours and reached a plateau of more than 30-fold after 8 hours (Figure S1B) A similar trend of inhibition of HOTAIR expression by estrogen was observed in a different ER+ breast cancer cell line T47D, despite T47D being much less responsive to estrogen as indicated by much less GREB1 induction (Figure 2C-D). Furthermore, HOTAIR level is considerably restored in breast cancer cells following hormone deprivation, wherein GREB1 expression was lost (Figure 2E). Next, to determine whether estrogen inhibits HOTAIR expression through direct ER binding to HOTAIR regulatory elements, we reanalyzed previously published ER ChIP-seq dataset performed in MCF-7 cells (GSE23893)<sup>12</sup>. We observed a very strong ER binding site at a genomic region of about 14.5kb upstream to the transcription start site (TSS) of the HOTAIR gene (Figure 2F). In addition, this region is strongly occupied by H3K4me1 and H3K27ac (GSE40129), supporting its being an active enhancer (Figure S2A). ER ChIP followed by qPCR analysis confirmed that estrogen stimulation significantly increased ER binding to this region as well as the positive control gene GREB1, but not to the negative control gene KIAA0066 (Figure

2G). Further, chromosome conformation capture (3C) experiment demonstrated estrogeninduced DNA looping between the transcription start site (TSS) of the HOTAIR gene (anchor primer - AP) and the ER-bound enhancer (P4) (Figure S2B). Taken together, our data showed that *HOTAIR* is directly repressed by estrogen and is therefore up-regulated following hormone deprivation and in tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer.

#### HOTAIR directly interacts with ER and enhances ER transcriptional activities

Next, we asked what is the role of elevated HOTAIR in breast cancer ER signaling and tamoxifen resistance. HOTAIR has been previously shown to directly interact with chromatin-modifying proteins such as EZH2 and LSD1<sup>13, 28, 32</sup>. As *HOTAIR* is up-regulated in tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer cells which often have altered ER  $program^{23}$ , we asked whether HOTAIR might regulate ER function. This may shed light on the mechaisms underlying recently reported correlation between HOTAIR expression and ER positivity in primary specimens  $3^{3,26}$ . To test this, we first examined whether *HOTAIR* lncRNA could physically interact with the ER protein using RNA pulldown assay. Briefly, we carried out in vitro transcription to synthesize biotinylated RNA probes from sense and antisense HOTAIR DNA templates, which were then incubated with MCF7 nuclear extracts to allow protein-RNA interactions and precipated, along with its interacting proteins, with streptavidin beads. Western blot analysis demonstrated that the sense HOTAIR RNA probe, but not the antisense transcript, pulled down the ER protein (Figure 3A). On the other hand, we carried out RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) assay and found that the ER antibody significantly enriched for HOTAIR, as opposed to IgG control, whereas the negative control RNA U1 did not exhibit differential enrichment (Figure 3B).

Subsequently, we inquired into the consequences of HOTAIR-ER interaction, in order to speculate how ER activities may be affected as a result. By separating MCF7 cell lysates into cytoplasmic, nuclear, nucleoplasm, and chromatin-bound fractions, we observed that ER, as expected, localized primarily within the nucleus as opposed to cytoplasm. HOTAIR overexpression substantially increased ER protein levels, suggesting potential roles of HOTAIR in enhancing ER transcriptional functions (Figure 3C & S3). Moreover, immunofluorescent staining confirmed noticeable increase of nuclear ER following HOTAIR overexpression (Figure 3D). Interestingly, this HOTAIR-mediated increase in nuclear ER level was also true under hormone-starved condition, in which there is only minimal estrogen present to activate ER transclocation into the nucleus, suggesting the roles of HOTAIR in enhancing ligand-independent ER function (Figure 3E). To confirm the notion that HOTAIR may augment ER genomic targeting, we conducted ER ChIP-Seq in MCF7 cells grown in the presence and absence of estrogen. As expected, the total number of ER binding sites was 4.6-fold higher in estrogen-stimulated vs. hormone-deprived cells (Figure 3F). Importantly, upon overexpression of HOTAIR, global ER binding events were greatly increased under both conditions. Heatmap and average intensity analysis of the various groups of ER peaks demonstrated a clear increase in ER ChIP-seq read intensity in both shared and HOTAIR-only groups, representing a majority of the ER binding events (Figure 3G & S4A). This HOTAIR-mediated increase of ER binding events was more prominent in the absence of estrogen, suggesting important functions of HOTAIR in regulating ligand-independent ER activities. Concordantly, qPCR analysis of several

previously reported ER target genes, such as GREB1, TFF1, PR and CTSD, demonstrated that *HOTAIR* overexpression significantly increased ER occupancy at most of these genes (Figure S4B). Similarly, the increase in ER binding at target genes was more prominent in hormone-deprived MCF7 cells, (Figure S4C). Next, we proceeded to investigate to what extent *HOTAIR* impact ER-mediated transcriptional activities particularly in a hormone-deprived environment.

#### HOTAIR drives estrogen-independent ER transcriptional program

To identify HOTAIR- and estrogen-regulated genes, we conducted microarray profiling of hormone-deprived and estrogen-stimulated MCF7 cells with control or HOTAIR overexpression. Data analysis identified 132 and 112 genes that were induced and repressed by HOTAIR, respectively. Importantly, hierarchical clustering followed by heatmap view revealed that a majority of HOTAIR-induced genes are also induced by E2 stimulation, whereas HOTAIR-repressed genes tend to become down-regulated by estrogen (Figure 4A). Concordantly, Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) demonstrated that E2-induced genes were significantly up-regulated following HOTAIR overexpression, even in the absence of estrogen, whereas E2-repressed genes were strongly down-regulated by HOTAIR (Figure 4B-C). Gene Ontology (GO) analysis showed that HOTAIR-induced genes were significantly enriched for response to protein stimulus and regulation of cell death and apoptosis, being consistent with the functions of estrogen-mediated ER signaling (Figure 4D; Table S1-S2). To confirm HOTAIR regulation of ER-mediated transcriptional program, we performed qRT-PCR analysis of several known ER-target genes such as GREB1, TFF1 and c-MYC. Indeed, our data showed that HOTAIR overexpression induced ER-target gene expression in the absence of estrogen and further potentiated the effects of E2 (Figure 4E-G). Taken together, we provide evidence for a model by which the lncRNA HOTAIR increases ER protein level and enhances its chromatin binding and thus the ER transcriptional program, even in an estrogen-depleted environment. As HOTAIR is upregulated in tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer, we next asked whether HOTAIR contributes to the development of tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer, wherein tamoxifen abolishes estrogen-mediated activation of ER, similar to hormone starvation.

#### LncRNA HOTAIR promotes tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer progression

To determine the role of *HOTAIR* in breast cancer, we first overexpressed *HOTAIR* in MCF7 cells (Figure 5A). Cell proliferation assay showed that *HOTAIR* overexpression increased MCF7 cell growth (Figure 5B). On the other hand, *HOTAIR* knockdown in T47D cells markedly reduced cell proliferation (Figure 5C–D). In order to provide direct evidence linking *HOTAIR* to tamoxifen resistance, we generated a tamoxifen-resistant (Tam<sup>R</sup>) MCF-7 cell line by continually culturing the cells in the presence of 5uM tamoxifen for several months. Being consistent with previous *HOTAIR* staining results in tamoxifen-resistant breast tumors, *HOTAIR* level showed a remarkable 4-fold increase following long-term treatment of tamoxifen-resistant MCF7 cell growth, we performed *HOTAIR* knockdown using two independent shRNA constructs (Figure 5F). Subsequently we performed cell proliferation assay to investigate to what extent *HOTAIR* contributes to tamoxifen resistance. As demonstrated in Figure 5G, knockdown of *HOTAIR* significantly decreased

tamoxifen-resistant MCF7 cell growth, suggesting that tamoxifen resistance may be reverted by targeting or depleting *HOTAIR*. Consistently, clonogenic assays showed that *HOTAIR* knockdown greatly inhibited the colony-formation abilities of the tamoxifen-resistant cells, further supporting the role of *HOTAIR* in mediating tamoxifen-resistant cell growth (Figure 5H).

# DISCUSSION

With the emergence of studies focusing on functional attributes of non-protein coding RNA transcripts, such as lncRNAs, it has been revealed that these lncRNAs may contribute significantly to biological processes involved in physiological as well as pathological conditions. Numerous lncRNAs have been identified as critical players during cancer development; some may be beneficial by acting as tumor- or metastasis-suppressors (e.g.  $GAS5^{16}$ ,  $MEG3^{34}$ ,  $LIFR^2$ ), whereas others may be detrimental by promoting oncogenesis (e.g. PCA3 or previously named  $DD3^1$ ,  $PCAT-1^{20}$ ,  $SChLAP1^{21}$ ). Previous studies have shown that lncRNAs exhibit great diversity in their functions and mechanisms of action, which include but are not limited to: epigenetic transcriptional regulation, association with enhancer and chromosomal looping, mRNA processing and translation<sup>19</sup>. Several unique properties of lncRNAs make them highly useful in the clinic, with potential utilities include diagnostic biomarkers due to their tissue specificity<sup>14, 20</sup>, as well as lncRNA-based therapies by means of RNA interference (RNAi)<sup>5</sup>. And yet, lncRNAs have just begun to be identified and cataloged; a majority of them remain to be characterized.

Gupta et al. reported in 2010 that the lncRNA *HOTAIR* is notably increased in primary breast tumors as well as during metastases<sup>8</sup>. Specifically, by interacting with EZH2 of the PRC2 complex, which catalyzes trimethylation at histone H3 lysine 27 (H3K27me3) and is up-regulated in a variety of aggressive cancers, *HOTAIR* was demonstrated to alter chromatin structure and regulate gene expression, thereby giving rise to an invasive cancer phenotype. In this study, we provide experimental evidence that *HOTAIR* is also critically involved in conferring tamoxifen resistance to MCF7 cells, which represents a major challenge in the clinic today. Tamoxifen, belonging to the class of selective estrogen receptor modulators, is a competitive antagonist of ER that was developed in the 1970s and has been the mainstay treatment for ER-positive breast cancer, which accounts for at least 70% of all breast cancers<sup>17</sup>. Despite its initial success in reducing disease mortality and improving survival, tamoxifen therapy frequently led to the onset of resistance, and recurrence was reported to occur within 15 years in one third of patients treated with tamoxifen resistance and to develop targeted therapies to improve treatment for breast cancer.

Our results showed that *HOTAIR* is highly upregulated in the tumors of tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer patients compared to their primary tumors before treatment. Moreover, physical interaction between *HOTAIR* and the nuclear hormone receptor ER was detected, which in turn resulted in significant amount of nuclear ER even under estrogen-depleted conditions, thus allowing ER genomic targeting and consequently inducing the ER transcriptional program. Importantly, this phenomenon of *HOTAIR*-mediated activation of ER function in absence of estrogen indicated a potential route to ligand independence that is

manifested in tamoxifen-resistant cells. Furthermore, by generating a tamoxifen-resistant MCF7 cell model, we showed that *HOTAIR* was consistently upregulated over long periods of drug treatment. In addition, we demonstrated that *HOTAIR* significantly contributes to the growth of these tamoxifen-resistant cells. Therefore, in our present study we provide evidence for a novel mechanism that is employed by the lncRNA *HOTAIR* to promote ER activation in absence of estrogen and drive tamoxifen resistance. Due to this crucial role *HOTAIR* plays in the progression of breast cancer and development of drug resistance, it holds great promise as a useful biomarker and potential therapeutic target.

# EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

#### Patient Specimens and cell lines

All breast cancer tissue specimens (n=13) were collected via surgical resection or biopsy from patients diagnosed between January, 2006 and February, 2014 at the Cancer Center of Guangzhou Medical University. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Cancer Center of Guangzhou Medical University. In general, with n=10, for a continuous outcome, there will be more than 89% power to reject the null hypothesis of no difference when the difference is 1.5 standard deviations or more, using two-sided t-test and a type 1 error of 0.05. MCF7 and T47D cell lines were ordered from ATCC.

#### Plasmids, reagents, qPCR, and western blotting

*HOTAIR* sequence was amplified by PCR and subsequently cloned into the expression vector pCDH-MSCV-mcs-EF1-GFP-T2A-Pu (SBI) at EcoR1 and Not1 sites using Cold Fusion kit (SBI). The shHOTAIR was cloned into the pLKO lentivirus system. All PCR primers for cloning are listed in Supplementary information (Table S3) and high fidelity enzyme Phusion was used for PCR amplification. All PCR products were verified by DNA sequencing. Specific antibodies used in this work include rabbit ER (06-935, Millipore), mouse ER (sc-8002, Santa Cruz), mouse GAPDH (ab9484, Abcam), rabbit H3 (ab1791, Abcam). Other reagents include beta-Estradiol (E8875, Sigma) and 4-Hydroxytamoxifen (H6278, Sigma-Aldrich). All primers were designed using Primer 3 and synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (Supplemental Table 3). QPCR was performed using SYBR Green by StepOne Plus in 3 technical replicates and significance was determined by two-sided t-tests. Each experiment was repeated independently at least two times. Western blotting was carried out using standard protocol and repeated at least two times. Band intensity on western blot was quantified with ImageJ and normalized to each respective control to obtain the ratio of ER protein level.

#### Chromosome conformation capture (3C) assay

The digestion map of commonly used restriction enzymes around the enhancer/promoter region of HOTAIR locus (from –103 to +83 kb) and BgIII was selected for digestion, as BgIII sites show a distribution that will enable appropriate primers to be designed to generate 200–350 bp PCR products on re-ligation. All primers are designed based on the forward strand immediately upstream of a BgIII restriction site (Figue S2B & Supplementary Table S3). Chromatin conformation capture experiments were conducted according to standard 3C protocol as previously described<sup>31</sup>. Briefly, fixed chromatin of

hormone-starved or E2-treated MCF7 cells (1X10<sup>7</sup>) was digested with BgIII overnight and incubated with 50 units of T4 DNA ligase (10799009001, Sigma, Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) overnight in a volume of 7 ml to keep the DNA concentration at 2–3 ng/ml to favor intramolecular ligation.

#### RNA pulldown assay

RNA pulldown was performed as previously described<sup>28</sup>. Briefly, biotin-labeled RNAs were transcribed from DNA templates with biotin-UTP, NTP mix and T7 RNA polymerase (Promega), treated with RNase-free DNase I (Promega) and purified with RNeasy Mini kit (QIAGEN). Nuclei were extracted from MCF7 cells and resuspended in 1 ml RIP buffer (150 mM KCl, 25 mM Tris pH 7.4, 0.5mM DTT, 0.5% NP40, 1mM PMSF and Protease Inhibitor (Roche Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Tablets), and subsequently subjected to mechanical shearing using a dounce homogenizer. For precipitation assays, fragmented nuclear extract and the RNA probe were incubated at RT for 60 min, and 60 ul of Streptavidin agarose beads (Invitrogen) were added to each binding reaction and further incubated at RT for one hour. After 5 times of washing with PBS, samples were boiled in SDS buffer and subjected to western blot analysis.

#### **RNA** immunoprecipitation (RIP)

RIP protocol was derived from published reports<sup>28</sup>. Briefly, cells were treated with 0.3% formaldehyde for 10 min at 37°C, then added with glycine to a final concentration of 0.125 M and then incubated at RT for 5 min. Cells were then washed twice in cold PBS and pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of RIPA buffer, which was incubated on ice with frequent vortexing for 30 minutes. Finally the nuclear lysate was obtained by centrifugation at 13,000 RPM for 10 min. To obtain bead and antibody complex, 20 ul protein beads were mixed with 1ug antibody and rotated for 4 hours at 4°C. The complex was added to nuclear lysates and incubated overnight at 4°C and then incubated with RNase-free DNase I (Promega) at 37°C for 15 min and proteinase K at 45 °C for 45 min. Lastly, RNA was extracted with 1ml TRIzol (Invitrogen) and analyzed by qPCR.

#### Immunofluoresent staining

Cells were fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 15 min at RT and then permeabilized in 0.1% Triton-X 100 for 15 min at RT. Cells were then washed by PBS for three times, followed by incubation with 5% normal goat serum for 30 min at RT. Subsequently, cells were incubated with primary antibody, the anti-mouse ER antibody (Santa Cruz), for 1 hr at RT. After washing 3 times with PBS, cells were incubated with secondary antibody, Alexa Fluor 594 goat anti-mouse IgG (Invitrogen), for 1 hr at RT. Lastly, cells were washed 3 times with PBS and mounted using Prolong Gold Antifade Reagent (Invitrogen).

#### LncRNA in situ hybridization (ISH)

**Biotin-labeled antisense** *HOTAIR* **RNA probe**—/5Biosg/G+C+C+TTGCTCCCTT+G +CCTGCATTTCT+C+T+G was synthesized by EXIQON. For paraffin embedded tissue, after de-paraffinization and rehydration, the samples were treated with peroxidasequenching solution; proteinase K was added to digest tissues before pre-hybridization and

hybridization, which were carried out at 56 °C for 30 min and 4 h, respectively. Then streptavidin–HRP was used to react with the bound biotin-labeled probe. The signal was further amplified using TSA amplification kit (Perkin Elmer). Finally, the signal was revealed with Ultra Vision One polymer and AEC chromogen (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The stainings were then scored by eye by two pathologists, on a three-tiered scoring system, using the following criteria for the three tiered system:0 = negative; 1 = equivocal/uninterpretable; 2 = weak positive; 3 = strong positive.

#### Gene expression microarray and data analysis

Total RNAs were isolated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). The integrity of the RNA was verified using Bioanalyzer 2100. Microarray profiling was performed using HumanHT-12 v 4.0 Expression BeadChip (Illumina). Bead-level data were processed using GenomeStudio (Illumina), and the expression values were quantile-normalized using the limma package in Bioconductor. Genes having at least 2 fold changes in *HOTAIR*-overexpressing cells compared to the control cells in the absence of estrogen were defined as *HOTAIR*-regulated gene set. Genes with at least 2-fold change between ethanol and estrogen-stimulated MCF7 cells were defined as estrogen-regulated genes. Gene Ontology (GO) terms enrichment was analyzed using DAVID 6.7<sup>10</sup>. GSEA was performed as previously described<sup>27</sup>.

#### ChIP and ChIP-seq

ChIP experiments were carried out as previously described<sup>33</sup>. Antibodies used are Rabbit ER (06-935, Millipore) and Rabbit IgG (sc-2027, Santa Cruz). ChIP-qPCR enrichment of target loci was normalized to input DNA and reported as % Input  $\pm$  SEM. ChIP DNA was prepared into libraries according to standard protocols using Bioo Scientific's DNA Sample Kit (Cat# 514101). Libraries were sequenced using Illumina Hi-Seq platforms. Sequence reads were aligned to the Human Reference Genome (assembly hg19) using Burrows-Wheeler Alignment Tool (bwa) version 0.6.1. Microarray and short-read sequencing data have been deposited in the GEO database with the accession number GSE61270.

#### Cell proliferation and clonogenic assay

Cell proliferation assay was carried out using the WST-1 kit according to the manufacturer's instruction (Clontech). Briefly, 5000 cells were seeded in a 24-well plate. After adding 50  $\mu$ l WST-1 reagents per well, cultures were incubated for 2 hours and the absorbance at a wavelength of 440 nm was determined using a microplate reader. For clonogenic assay, 500 cells were plated in each well of a 6-well plate. When there was visible colony by naked eye, cells were fixed with 4% formaldehyde, and stained with crystal violet (0.25%). Colonies were then counted.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## Acknowledgments

Bioinformatic analysis was supported by the computational resources and staff contributions provided for the Quest high performance computing facility at Northwestern University which is jointly supported by the Office of the

Provost, the Office for Research, and Northwestern University Information Technology. This work was supported by the the U.S. Department of Defense W81XWH-13-1-0319 (to J.Y.) and the Research Scholar Award RSG-12-085-01 (to J.Y.) from the American Cancer Society. J.K. was supported in part by the NIH Training Program in Oncogenesis and Developmental Biology (T32 CA080621), and Y.A.Y. was supported in part by the NIH/NCI training grant T32 CA009560.

#### References

- Bussemakers MJ, van Bokhoven A, Verhaegh GW, Smit FP, Karthaus HF, Schalken JA, et al. DD3: a new prostate-specific gene, highly overexpressed in prostate cancer. Cancer research. 1999; 59:5975–5979. [PubMed: 10606244]
- Chen D, Sun Y, Wei Y, Zhang P, Rezaeian AH, Teruya-Feldstein J, et al. LIFR is a breast cancer metastasis suppressor upstream of the Hippo-YAP pathway and a prognostic marker. Nature medicine. 2012; 18:1511–1517.
- Chisholm KM, Wan Y, Li R, Montgomery KD, Chang HY, West RB. Detection of long non-coding RNA in archival tissue: correlation with polycomb protein expression in primary and metastatic breast carcinoma. PloS one. 2012; 7:e47998. [PubMed: 23133536]
- 4. Clarke R, Leonessa F, Welch JN, Skaar TC. Cellular and molecular pharmacology of antiestrogen action and resistance. Pharmacological reviews. 2001; 53:25–71. [PubMed: 11171938]
- Davis ME, Zuckerman JE, Choi CH, Seligson D, Tolcher A, Alabi CA, et al. Evidence of RNAi in humans from systemically administered siRNA via targeted nanoparticles. Nature. 2010; 464:1067– 1070. [PubMed: 20305636]
- Doisneau-Sixou SF, Sergio CM, Carroll JS, Hui R, Musgrove EA, Sutherland RL. Estrogen and antiestrogen regulation of cell cycle progression in breast cancer cells. Endocrine-related cancer. 2003; 10:179–186. [PubMed: 12790780]
- Fan M, Yan PS, Hartman-Frey C, Chen L, Paik H, Oyer SL, et al. Diverse gene expression and DNA methylation profiles correlate with differential adaptation of breast cancer cells to the antiestrogens tamoxifen and fulvestrant. Cancer research. 2006; 66:11954–11966. [PubMed: 17178894]
- Gupta RA, Shah N, Wang KC, Kim J, Horlings HM, Wong DJ, et al. Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR reprograms chromatin state to promote cancer metastasis. Nature. 2010; 464:1071–1076. [PubMed: 20393566]
- Gupta S, Iljin K, Sara H, Mpindi JP, Mirtti T, Vainio P, et al. FZD4 as a mediator of ERG oncogeneinduced WNT signaling and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in human prostate cancer cells. Cancer Res. 2010; 70:6735–6745. [PubMed: 20713528]
- 10. Huang da W, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc. 2009; 4:44–57. [PubMed: 19131956]
- Iyer MK, Niknafs YS, Malik R, Singhal U, Sahu A, Hosono Y, et al. The landscape of long noncoding RNAs in the human transcriptome. Nature genetics. 2015; 47:199–208. [PubMed: 25599403]
- Joseph R, Orlov YL, Huss M, Sun W, Kong SL, Ukil L, et al. Integrative model of genomic factors for determining binding site selection by estrogen receptor-alpha. Molecular systems biology. 2010; 6:456. [PubMed: 21179027]
- Kaneko S, Li G, Son J, Xu CF, Margueron R, Neubert TA, et al. Phosphorylation of the PRC2 component Ezh2 is cell cycle-regulated and up-regulates its binding to ncRNA. Genes & development. 2010; 24:2615–2620. [PubMed: 21123648]
- Lee GL, Dobi A, Srivastava S. Prostate cancer: diagnostic performance of the PCA3 urine test. Nature reviews Urology. 2011; 8:123–124.
- Mercer TR, Dinger ME, Mattick JS. Long non-coding RNAs: insights into functions. Nature reviews Genetics. 2009; 10:155–159.
- Mourtada-Maarabouni M, Pickard MR, Hedge VL, Farzaneh F, Williams GT. GAS5, a nonprotein-coding RNA, controls apoptosis and is downregulated in breast cancer. Oncogene. 2009; 28:195–208. [PubMed: 18836484]
- Musgrove EA, Sutherland RL. Biological determinants of endocrine resistance in breast cancer. Nature reviews Cancer. 2009; 9:631–643. [PubMed: 19701242]

Author Manuscript

- Ponting CP, Oliver PL, Reik W. Evolution and functions of long noncoding RNAs. Cell. 2009; 136:629–641. [PubMed: 19239885]
- Prensner JR, Chinnaiyan AM. The emergence of lncRNAs in cancer biology. Cancer discovery. 2011; 1:391–407. [PubMed: 22096659]
- Prensner JR, Iyer MK, Balbin OA, Dhanasekaran SM, Cao Q, Brenner JC, et al. Transcriptome sequencing across a prostate cancer cohort identifies PCAT-1, an unannotated lincRNA implicated in disease progression. Nat Biotechnol. 2011; 29:742–749. [PubMed: 21804560]
- Prensner JR, Iyer MK, Sahu A, Asangani IA, Cao Q, Patel L, et al. The long noncoding RNA SChLAP1 promotes aggressive prostate cancer and antagonizes the SWI/SNF complex. Nat Genet. 2013; 45:1392–1398. [PubMed: 24076601]
- Ring A, Dowsett M. Mechanisms of tamoxifen resistance. Endocrine-related cancer. 2004; 11:643– 658. [PubMed: 15613444]
- Ross-Innes CS, Stark R, Teschendorff AE, Holmes KA, Ali HR, Dunning MJ, et al. Differential oestrogen receptor binding is associated with clinical outcome in breast cancer. Nature. 2012; 481:389–393. [PubMed: 22217937]
- Shang Y, Hu X, DiRenzo J, Lazar MA, Brown M. Cofactor dynamics and sufficiency in estrogen receptor-regulated transcription. Cell. 2000; 103:843–852. [PubMed: 11136970]
- 25. Shiau AK, Barstad D, Loria PM, Cheng L, Kushner PJ, Agard DA, et al. The structural basis of estrogen receptor/coactivator recognition and the antagonism of this interaction by tamoxifen. Cell. 1998; 95:927–937. [PubMed: 9875847]
- 26. Sorensen KP, Thomassen M, Tan Q, Bak M, Cold S, Burton M, et al. Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR is an independent prognostic marker of metastasis in estrogen receptor-positive primary breast cancer. Breast cancer research and treatment. 2013; 142:529–536. [PubMed: 24258260]
- Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005; 102:15545–15550. [PubMed: 16199517]
- Tsai MC, Manor O, Wan Y, Mosammaparast N, Wang JK, Lan F, et al. Long noncoding RNA as modular scaffold of histone modification complexes. Science. 2010; 329:689–693. [PubMed: 20616235]
- Wang KC, Chang HY. Molecular mechanisms of long noncoding RNAs. Molecular cell. 2011; 43:904–914. [PubMed: 21925379]
- Wilusz JE, Sunwoo H, Spector DL. Long noncoding RNAs: functional surprises from the RNA world. Genes & development. 2009; 23:1494–1504. [PubMed: 19571179]
- 31. Wu L, Runkle C, Jin HJ, Yu J, Li J, Yang X, et al. CCN3/NOV gene expression in human prostate cancer is directly suppressed by the androgen receptor. Oncogene. 2013
- Yoon JH, Abdelmohsen K, Kim J, Yang X, Martindale JL, Tominaga-Yamanaka K, et al. Scaffold function of long non-coding RNA HOTAIR in protein ubiquitination. Nat Commun. 2013; 4:2939. [PubMed: 24326307]
- Yu J, Yu J, Mani RS, Cao Q, Brenner CJ, Cao X, et al. An integrated network of androgen receptor, polycomb, and TMPRSS2-ERG gene fusions in prostate cancer progression. Cancer Cell. 2010; 17:443–454. [PubMed: 20478527]
- Zhou Y, Zhong Y, Wang Y, Zhang X, Batista DL, Gejman R, et al. Activation of p53 by MEG3 non-coding RNA. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2007; 282:24731–24742. [PubMed: 17569660]

Xue et al.

Page 12



#### Figure 1. HOTAIR is up-regulated in tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer

**A**. Heatmap showing lncRNAs that are repressed by estradiol (E2) but up-regulated in tamoxifen-resistant (Tam<sup>R</sup>) MCF7 cells. Microarray data were downloaded from GEO with GSE5840 and reanalyzed for lncRNA expression. *HOTAIR* is shown in red.

**B**. *HOTAIR* ISH staining in 2 representative pairs of primary and tamoxifen-resistant breast cancers.

**C**. Boxplot showing *HOTAIR* ISH staining intensity in a set (n=13) of matched primary and tamoxifen-resistant breast cancers.

**D–E**. *HOTAIR* expression is increased by tamoxifen treatment. QRT-PCR analysis of *HOTAIR* and GREB1 was done in MCF7 (**D**) and T47D (**E**) cells treated with increasing doses of tamoxifen for 7 days. Gene expression was normalized to GAPDH. Data shown are mean  $\pm$  SEM and is a representative of at least two independent experiments.



#### Figure 2. The lncRNA *HOTAIR* is directly repressed by estrogen through the ER

**A**. Estrogen inhibits *HOTAIR* expression in a dose-dependent manner. MCF7 cells were hormone starved for 3 days and treated with increasing amounts of estradiol (E2) for 6 hours. RNAs were then collected and subjected to qRT-PCR analysis of gene expression **B**. Estrogen inhibits *HOTAIR* expression in a time-dependent manner. MCF7 cells were treated with 1nM E2 and collected at different time-points for gene expression analysis by qRT-PCR.

**C–D**. Estrogen inhibits *HOTAIR* expression in T47D cells. T47D cells were hormone deprived for 3 days followed by E2 stimulation for up to 24 hours. Cells were then collected at different time-points for qRT-PCR analysis of *HOTAIR* and GREB1 expression and normalized to GAPDH.

**E**. Estrogen depletion restores *HOTAIR* level. QRT-PCR analysis of *HOTAIR* and GREB1 in MCF7 cells subjected for hormone deprivation for up to 7 days.

**F**. Genome Browser view of ER binding events at enhancerd of the *HOTAIR* gene. ER ChIP-seq was performed in MCF7 cells stimulated with ethanol (Ethl) or estradiol  $(E2)^{12}$  and re-analyzed using HOMER (GSE23893).

**G**. ChIP-qPCR showing ER binding to the *HOTAIR* distal enhancer. ER ChIP was performed in hormone-starved MCF7 cells stimulated with ethanol (vehicle) or E2 for 45 min. Enrichment of ER at specific genomic regions including GREB1 and *HOTAIR* enhancers were evaluated by qPCR. The KIAA0066 gene was utilized as a negative control as previously described<sup>33</sup>.



**Figure 3.** *HOTAIR* interacts with the ER protein and enhances ER genomic action A. *HOTAIR* lncRNA interacts with the ER protein. RNA pulldown assay was performed in MCF7 cells using biotin-labeled *HOTAIR* RNA probe transcribed *in vitro*. The antisense *HOTAIR* probe was used as negative control.

**B**. ER protein binds to *HOTAIR* lncRNA. MCF7 cells were subjected to RIP assay using an anti-ER antibody or IgG control. IP-enriched RNA was then analyzed by qRT-PCR. U1 RNA was utilized as a negative control.

C. *HOTAIR* overexpression increases ER protein level. MCF7 cell lysates were separated into cytoplasm, nuclear, nucleoplasm and chromatin-bound fractions and were detected by

western blot analysis. GAPDH and H3 were utilized as loading controls for cytoplasmic and nuclear/chromatin fractions, respectively. Quantification was done by measuring band intensity with ImageJ and normalizing to loading control.

**D**–**E**. Ectopic overexpression of *HOTAIR* increases nuclear ER level. ER immunostaining was performed in control and *HOTAIR*-overexpressing MCF7 cells grown in the presence (**D**) and absence (**E**) of estrogen.

**F.** Overlap of ER-binding sites detected by ChIP-seq in MCF7 cells with control or HOTAIR overexpression in the absence and presence of estrogen.

G. Heatmap depicting ER ChIP-seq read intensity around  $(\pm 5\_kb)$  peak centers detected in control or HOTAIR-overexpressing MCF7 cells under hormone-starved condition. Average ER ChIP-seq read intensity around ER binding sites  $(\pm 5 \text{ kb})$  is shown on the right.

Xue et al.

Page 17



#### Figure 4. HOTAIR overexpression enhances ER transcriptional program

A. *HOTAIR*-induced and repressed genes are respectively increased and decreased by estrogen. Expression microarray was utilized to profile gene expression in control and *HOTAIR*-overexpressing MCF7 cells that were hormone-deprived for 3 days followed by either ethanol or 1nM E2 treatment for 6 hours. Expression of genes induced or repressed by *HOTAIR* for at least 2-fold were clustered and visualized using heatmap.

**B–C**. Estrogen-induced genes are significantly enriched for up-regulation by HOTAIR (**B**), whereas estrogen-repressed genes down-regulated by *HOTAIR* (**C**). GSEA was carried out to determine the enrichment of E2-induced and -repressed gene sets in the expression dataset comparing control and *HOTAIR*-overexpressing MCF7 cells.

**D**. *HOTAIR*-induced genes are enriched for cell growth and response to protein stimulus. GO analysis was performed using 132 genes that are increased by *HOTAIR* by at least 2 fold. Shown here are representative GO terms that are significantly enriched (P<0.05).

**E–G**. *HOTAIR* enhances ER-target gene expression. QRT-PCR analysis of representative ER-induced genes were performed in control and *HOTAIR*-overexpressign MCF7 cells stimulated with ethanol or estrogen. Data were normalized to GAPDH. Error bars: mean± SEM. \* indicates p<0.05, and \*\* indicates p<0.01.





**A–B.** *HOTAIR* overexpression increases breast cancer cell growth. *HOTAIR* was overexpressed in MCF7 cells through lentiviral transduction with overexpression confirmed by qRT-PCR (**A**). Cell proliferation was determined by WST1 cell growth assay (**B**). Data shown are mean  $\pm$  SEM and is a representative of at least two independent experiments. \*\* indicates p<0.01.C–D. HOTAIR knockdown decreases breast cancer cell growth. HOTAIR was depleted in T47D cells through shRNA lentiviral transduction (C) and cell growth was evaluated by WST1 assay (D). Data shown are mean  $\pm$  SEM and is a representative of at least two independent experiments. \*\*

**E**. *HOTAIR* is up-regulated in tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer cells. MCF7 cells were continuously grown in medium containing 5uM tamoxifen and periodically harvested for RNA isolation and qRT-PCR analysis.

**F–G**. *HOTAIR* knockdown decreases tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer cell growth. *HOTAIR* was depleted in tamoxifen-resistant (Tam<sup>R</sup>) MCF7 cells through lentiviral transduction of two shRNA constructs. Gene expression was determined by qRT-PCR (**F**) and cell growth by WST1 assay (**G**).

**H**. *HOTAIR* knockdown inhibits colony formation abilities of breast cancer cells. Colony formation assays and quantifications were performed in tamoxifen-resistant MCF7 cells stably expressing control or *HOTAIR*-targeting shRNAs.