## An investigation of the interrelationships between linear and nonlinear polarizabilities and bond-length alternation in conjugated organic molecules

(nonlinear optics/computation/electric field/cyanine/polyene)

## CHRISTOPHER B. GORMAN\* AND SETH R. MARDER\*t

\*Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Califormia Institute of Technology, <sup>4800</sup> Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109; and tThe Beckman Institute, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA <sup>91125</sup>

Communicated by Robert H. Grubbs, May 17, 1993

ABSTRACT A computational method was devised to explore the relationship of charge separation, geometry, molecular dipole moment  $(\mu)$ , polarizability  $(\alpha)$ , and hyperpolarizabilities  $(\beta, \gamma)$  in conjugated organic molecules. We show that bond-length alternation (the average difference in length between single and double bonds in the molecule) is a key structurally observable parameter that can be correlated with hyperpolarizabilities and is thus relevant to the optimization of molecules and materials. By using this method, the relationship of bond-length alternation,  $\mu$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  for linear conjugated molecules is ilustrated, and those molecules with maximized  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  are described.

Nonlinear optical (NLO) effects result from the polarization induced in a material by an intense electric field, E. In organic materials, these effects can ultimately be traced back to the orientationally averaged sum of the induced polarizations of individual molecules. The induced polarization in a molecule,  $\mu_i$ , can be approximated by an expansion:

$$
\mu_i = \alpha_{ij} E_j + \frac{\beta_{ijk}}{2} E_j E_k + \frac{\gamma_{ijk} l}{6} E_j E_k E_l + \dots, \qquad [1]
$$

where  $i, j, k$ , and  $l$  are indices associated with the orientation of the applied field, with respect to the molecular coordinate system.

The first coefficient,  $\alpha$ , is the linear polarizability and is related to the first derivative of the dipole moment with respect to E. The higher-order terms  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are referred to as the first and second hyperpolarizabilities. The polarization associated with these higher-order coefficients is responsible for second- and third-order NLO effects. In this paper, we discuss a computational method that predicts structural features of molecules that have maximized  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  for a given molecular length. Throughout, we will emphasize what we believe to be a key experimentally accessible structural parameter, bond-length alternation, and illustrate the correlation between it and the NLO response.

Prototypical organic chromophores for second-order nonlinear optics contain a polarizable  $\pi$ -electron system and donor and acceptor groups to create an asymmetric polarizability in the molecule. It has been recently hypothesized that there is an optimal combination of donor/acceptor strengths that will maximize  $\beta$  (1). This analysis has been based upon a two-state model for  $\beta$  derived from static perturbation theory  $(2, 3)$  in which the dominant contribution to  $\beta$  arises from the ground state and a charge-transfer (CT) excited state. In this two-state model,





$$
\beta \propto (\mu_{ee} - \mu_{gg}) \left( \frac{\mu_{ge}^2}{E_{ge}^2} \right),
$$
 [2]

where g labels the ground state, <sup>e</sup> labels the CT excited state,  $\mu$  is the dipole matrix element between the subscripted states, and  $E$  is the transition energy between the two states. The terms in this equation are proportional to (i) the dipole moment change between the two states, *(ii)* the square of the transition dipole moment between the two states, and (iii) the inverse square of the transition energy between the ground and CT states. Most molecules that have been explored for NLO applications have dominantly aromatic ground states. The corresponding CT states have predominantly quinonal ring structures. Electronic polarization, arising from an applied electric field, results in a perturbed ground-state wave function with increased quinonal character and decreased resonance stabilization energy (Fig. la). Thus, the aromatic ground state impedes electronic polarization in an applied field and effectively reduces the donor and acceptor strengths of a given pair connected by an aromatic bridge.

The visible absorption maximum and extinction coefficients of merocyanines (Fig. 1  $b$  and  $c$ ) are sensitive to the dielectric properties of the surrounding medium. Although solvatochromic behavior is usually interpreted solely as a change in the electronic distribution at a fixed nuclear geometry (4), for merocyanines it has been shown that the molecular geometry also undergoes significant changes as well  $(5-11)$ . Thus, in more polar solvents, merocyanines exhibit a change in bond-length alternation and a larger ground-state dipole moment  $(\mu)$ . Furthermore, by varying the strength of the donor and acceptor end groups of merocyanine molecules, the ground-state polarization and bondlength alternation can also be tuned. Thus, merocyanine molecules are of particular interest as model systems for developing structure-property relationships for nonlinear optics.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviations: NLO, nonlinear optical; CT, charge transfer.

Many computational studies of molecular hyperpolarizability using either the sum-over-states (12) or finite-field (13) methods employ computed molecular geometries. In these cases, the geometry is explicitly being computed for a molecule in the gas phase. In this environment, charge separation is not stabilized by any solvent-solute interactions and is, therefore, energetically costly and disfavored. Since the vast majority of molecules that have been explored for their NLO response have dominantly aromatic ground states that resist CT polarization (1), they likely exhibit relatively little charge separation and little change in geometry when comparing their gas-phase and solution structures. Thus, for these systems, gas-phase computations of geometry and optical properties including hyperpolarizabilities often agree reasonably weli with those measured in solution (12, 14). Ignoring the medium dependence of the chemical structure of merocyanines, however, will tend to underestimate the CT contribution to their ground-state wave function in most solvents (6) leading to calculated hyperpolarizabilities that can differ significantly with those measured in solution (15).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Method. We sought to develop <sup>a</sup> computational procedure to vary systematically the ground-state polarization of a molecule and observe the resulting changes in bond-length alternation,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ . Ideally, we would like to be able to accurately describe the geometry and electronic structure of various donor/acceptor molecules in solvent environments of various polarities and polarizabiities. Currently, several research groups are developing procedures to simulate the effects of solvent upon polarizable molecules (16, 17). When these techniques have been optimized, it will be of great interest to couple them with computational analyses of hyperpolarizabilities. At this time, one simple way to polarize a molecule is to apply an external electric field and permit the molecule to assume a new equilibrium geometry and electronic configuration. Although the polarizations in a molecule created by donors and acceptors or by solvent stabilization of charge separation are not strictly analogous to polarization created by an external electric field, it will be shown that this method qualitatively reproduces experimental trends in geometry and polarizabilities as a function of increasing groundstate polarization.

By using the AM1 parameterization in the MOPAC software package (18, 19) several prototypical merocyanines (compounds 1-3) and a cyanine (compound 4) were examined under the influence of an external electric field designed to vary the ground-state polarization and geometry. This electric field was defined by point charges (Sparkles) moved in steps from 40  $\AA$  to 4  $\AA$  from each end of the molecule as is shown in Figs. 2 and 3. The step increment became smaller as the point charges approached the molecule (since the change in electric field with a change in distance became larger), beginning with an increment of 10  $\AA$  (40  $\AA$ , 30  $\AA$ , and 20  $\AA$ ), followed by an increment of 1  $\AA$  (15-10  $\AA$ ), and ending with an increment of 0.2 Å (10-4 Å). At each fixed Sparkle distance (r), the geometry was optimized, and  $\mu$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ were calculated by a finite-field subroutine (13) in the presence of these point charges. It is important to note that although two explicit fields are applied to the molecule, the external point charge field and the electric field within the finite-field calculation, these fields have distinctly different effects on the molecule. In particular, the first field is permitted to influence both its geometric and electronic structure. Thus, at the start of the finite-field computation, the molecule is in a new equilibriumgeometry and electronic configuration, within which the hyperpolarizabilities are then calculated. By varying the strength of the electric field employed in the finite-field routine both numerical accuracy



FIG. 2. Molecules 1-3 represented in their the neutral (noncharge-separated) resonance structures. The external point charges lie perpendicular to the plane of the molecule at a distance  $(r)$  from the nitrogen and oxygen atoms. Bond-length alternation (BLA) is defined so that a large negative value results for the molecule in its neutral form. For compound 1, BLA =  $[r(C_1-C_2) + r(C_3-C_4)]/2$   $r(C_2-C_3)$ . For compound 2, BLA =  $[r(C_1-C_2) + r(C_3-C_4) + r(C_5-C_5)]$  $C_6$ ]/3 - [r(C<sub>0</sub>-C<sub>1</sub>) + r(C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>3</sub>) + r(C<sub>4</sub>-C<sub>5</sub>)]/3. For compound 3, BLA  $= {r(C_2-C_3) - [r(C_1-C_2) + r(C_3-C_4)]}/2$ . In each case, r is the Sparkle distance and  $r(C_a-C_b)$  is the distance between carbon atoms a and b in A.

problems and electron configurational changes, observed for field strengths that are too small and large, respectively, are avoided. Using external point charges to polarize the electrons without permitting the polarized molecular geometry to change results in a finite-field calculation of a molecule in a nonequilibrium geometry. Likewise, removal of the point charges after geometry optimization, but before the finitefield procedure, leaves nuclei in a position corresponding to a polarized molecule but removes the external electric field that stabilizes the polarization. Both of the above procedures were performed; however, for each case, since the nuclei and the electrons were not in equilibrium configurations, much larger external electric fields were needed to polarize the molecule, compared to applying a field and allowing the geometry to optimize. These results suggest to us that both the applied electric field and the position of the nuclei make important contributions to the polarization response and that the most reasonable procedure is to account for both effects.

As noted earlier, polarization by external point charges is not strictly equivalent to that achieved by variation of donor/ acceptor strengths. One simple test of the validity of this approximation was to examine the cyanine compounds 4 and 5 where the polarization was varied both by chemical substitution and by using an external point charge. In one case,



FIG. 3. Structures of molecules 4 and 5. For each, bond-length alternation is defined as  $[r(C_2-C_3) + r(C_4-C_5) + r(C_6-C_7)]/3$  $[r(C_1-C_2) + r(C_3-C_4) + r(C_5-C_6)]/3$ . In compound 4, a single external point charge counterion is brought up to a positively charged symmetric cyanine. Increases in bond-length alternation are calculated and plotted in Fig. 4. For compound 5, increases in bond-length alternation are observed as hydrogen atoms upon the methyl groups at one end of the molecule are replaced by fluorine atoms.

asymmetry was induced in the normally symmetric chromophore by sequentially substituting hydrogen atoms upon the methyl groups on one end of the molecule with fluorine atoms. Increases in bond-length alternation from the initially bond-equivalent symmetrical cyanine were observed as the number of fluorines in the molecule increased. Likewise, increased bond-length alternation was observed as a single negative point charge was brought closer to the positively charged cyanine as shown in Fig. 3. As can be observed in Fig. 4, when the hyperpolarizabilities for these two types of asymmetric cyanines were plotted vs. bond-length alternation, the resulting curves were qualitatively similar. Although the two curves were not superimposable, bond-length alternation at the maxima, minima, and zero crossings for the two cases was within less than 0.01 A of each other. Inasmuch as our goal is to explore the relationship between chemical structure and molecular hyperpolarizabilities, it was encouraging to find that, for this particular case, polarization by an external point charge approximates that induced by chemical substitution.

Effect of Molecular Topology. Compounds 1-3 all contain similar donors and acceptors but have different topologies, leading to differing degrees of aromaticity for the neutral (non-charge-separated) canonical resonance forms. In the absence of external point charges, the optimized geometries for compounds 1-3 exhibit a neutral bond-length-alternated structure consistent with what would be expected in the absence of any stabilization of charge separation (e.g., structure in the gas phase). In compounds 1-3, the double bonds not part of aromatic rings (e.g.,  $C_2-C_3$  in compounds 1 and 2, and  $C_1-C_2$  in compound 3, as shown in Fig. 2) smoothly change from short ( $\approx$ 1.36 Å) to long ( $\approx$ 1.43 Å) and the nonring single bonds (e.g.,  $C_1-C_2$  in compounds 1 and 2 and  $C_2-C_3$  in compound 3; Fig. 2) change from long  $(\approx 1.43 \text{ Å})$  to short  $(\approx 1.36 \text{ Å})$  as the point charges move closer to the end groups of the molecule. At the same time, the ground-state dipole moment of each molecule increases smoothly. Despite the fact

that molecule 1 in the gas phase is calculated to exhibit a neutral bond-alternated structure, there is experimental evidence that, in solution, the molecule is biased toward the zwitterionic structure. For example, compound 1 exhibits negative solvatochromism (20) (e.g., a blue shift in the optical absorption maximum with increasing solvent polarity) and a negative  $\beta$  (21) consistent with a larger ground- than excitedstate dipole moment (Fig.  $1b$ , Right). In addition, the threebond <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H NMR coupling constant for  $C_2-C_3$  of compound 1 (Fig. 2) in polar solvents is roughly 15.5 Hz, indicative of a trans carbon-carbon double bond (6). Crystal structures of  $(CH_3)_2N$ —(CH=CH)<sub>3</sub>—CHO (6) (11) [average  $r(C=C)$  = 1.356 Å and  $r(C-C) = 1.416$  Å, a difference of 0.06 Å] and  $(CH_3)_2N$ -(CH=CH)<sub>3</sub>-CHC(CN)<sub>2</sub> (7) (11) [average  $r(C=C) = 1.376$  Å and  $r(C-C) = 1.381$  Å, a difference of less than 0.01 A] provide direct evidence for decreased bond-length alternation in simple donor-acceptor polyenes relative to unsubstituted polyenes [average  $r(C=C) = 1.340$  $\check{A}$ ,  $r(C-C) = 1.445 \check{A}$ , a difference of 0.11  $\check{A}$  from the crystal structure of 1,3,5,7-octatetraene (8) (22) and diphenyl-1,3,5,7 octatetraene (9) (23)]. Stilbenes contain aromatic rings that lose aromaticity upon charge separation and are expected to enforce bond-length alternation. For example, in the crystal of 2-methoxy-4'-nitro-stilbene (10),  $r(C=C) = 1.31$  Å, average  $r(C-C) = 1.47 \text{ Å}$ , and bond-length alternation  $\Delta = 0.16 \text{ Å}$  (24). By using external point charges, compounds 1-3 could be polarized into a configuration in which there was no bondlength alternation, corresponding to a cyanine-like molecule. At this point, the two limiting resonance forms, displayed for three types of molecules in Fig. 1, have approximately the same contribution to both the ground and excited states (25). For compounds 1-3, zero bond-length alternation (see Fig. 2) occurred when the external point charges were 7.6  $\AA$ , 5.6  $\AA$ , and 4.6 A from the end-group heteroatoms [corresponding to an average external electric field along the molecular axis of  $3.5 \times 10^{-3}$  a.u. (1 a.u. = 5.14  $\times$  10<sup>11</sup> V·m<sup>-1</sup>), 4.9  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> a.u., and  $6.6 \times 10^{-3}$  a.u., respectively]. The gain in aromaticity



FIG. 4. (Upper Left) Sparkle distance (r, in Å, as defined in Fig. 3) for compound 4 and number of fluorines on compound 5. (Upper Right) Linear polarizability  $[\alpha = (\alpha_{xx} + \alpha_{yy} + \alpha_{zz})/3]$ . (Lower Left) First hyperpolarizability ( $\beta_{xxx}$ ,  $x =$  molecular axis). (Lower Right) Second hyperpolarizability { $\gamma = (1/5)$   $[\gamma_{xxxx} + \gamma_{yyy} + \gamma_{zzzz} + 2(\gamma_{xxyy} + \gamma_{xxzz} + \gamma_{yyzz})]$ } as a function of bond-length alternation (BLA) [differences between double (D) and single (S) bond lengths as defined in Fig. 3] in compounds 4 (circles) and 5 (squares). Deviations in the  $\beta_{xxx}$  curves between compounds 4 and 5 result partially from slight differences in the orientation of the molecular axis with respect to the x axis.

upon charge separation in compound <sup>1</sup> is clearly seen to stabilize the charge-separated form at a given external point charge distance, accounting for this offset. Likewise, the loss in aromaticity upon charge separation in compound 3 requires a greater electric field to polarize the molecule to this point.

Molecular Structure-Property Relationships. The basic shapes of the curves for  $\mu$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  vs. bond-length alternation were the same for the three molecules (Fig. 5). Specifically, maxima, minima, and zero crossings occur at roughly the same bond-length alternations in each case. Here, the reported dipole is that induced in the molecule (e.g.,  $\mu = \mu_{ensemble} - \mu_{point \ charge \ field}$ ). The peak values of linear and nonlinear polarizabilities of compounds 2 and 3 were greater than those of compound 1, probably because the former two molecules are longer (26). Our calculations show a peaked  $\alpha$  for compounds 1–3 within 0.01 Å of the cyanine limit (zero bond-length alternation), consistent with the observation that cyanines are the most polarizable class of linear conjugated organic molecules examined to date (25). At this point, however, large but approximately equal groundand excited-state dipole moments are expected and  $\beta$ , which is proportional to their difference, is approximately zero, consistent with the predictions of the two-state model (Eq. 2). Indeed, the shapes of  $\beta$  curves are consistent with those previously predicted based on a four-orbital calculation and observed in the solvent-dependent study of  $\beta$  for dimethylindoaniline (1).

The detailed dependence of  $\gamma$  as a function of ground-state polarization, bond-length alternation, and its behavior as compared to  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  has not been previously described, to our knowledge. There are several important structureproperty predictions that result from our analysis. (i) The  $\gamma$ curves for all three molecules exhibit negative peaks at zero bond-length alternation and positive peaks at either positive or negative bond-length alternation.  $(ii)$  The negative peak values are larger than the positive peak values. (iii) Compound 2, at its positive peak, has a 2- to 4-fold enhancement of  $\gamma$  relative to that of a nonpolarized (unsubstituted) polyene of comparable length  $[\gamma = 5.45 \times 10^{-34}$  electrostatic unit (esu) for compound 2 vs.  $1.22 \times 10^{-34}$  esu for a 10-carbon polyene and 2.47  $\times$  10<sup>-34</sup> esu for a 12-carbon polyenel (27). (iv)  $\gamma$ peaks at larger absolute values of bond-length alternation than  $\beta$  and in fact when  $\beta$  is peaked,  $\gamma$  is roughly zero. Qualitatively, the behavior of  $\gamma$  predicted here is consistent with that predicted by a three-term model previously derived from perturbation theory (28-31) in which:

$$
\gamma \propto -(\frac{\mu_{\rm ge}^4}{E_{\rm ge}^3} + (\frac{\mu_{\rm ge}^2 \mu_{\rm ee}^2}{E_{\rm ge}^2 E_{\rm ge}}) + (\frac{\mu_{\rm ge}^2 (\mu_{\rm ee} - \mu_{\rm gg})^2}{E_{\rm ge}^3}), \quad [3]
$$

where g and e are labels as in the two-state expression above and <sup>e</sup>' labels a second excited state. When the first term is dominant, a negative  $\gamma$  results, which is the case for cyanines (32) and related squarylium dyes (33). For centrosymmetric polyenes, the second term dominates and the third term is zero, resulting in a positive  $\gamma$  (32, 34). Enhanced  $\gamma$  in donoracceptor diphenyl polyenes relative to their centrosymmetric parent compounds has also been observed (26, 35). For these types of polarized polyenes, the third term will enhance  $\gamma$ , however, since  $(\mu_{ee} - \mu_{gg})$  exhibits a peak as function of decreasing bond-length alternation (1) and goes through zero at roughly zero bond-length alternation, its contribution will be small near the cyanine limit. Furthermore, since  $(\mu_{\text{rec}}^4/E_{\text{rec}}^3)$  also increases with decreasing ground-state bond-length alternation (1) and is of opposite sign to the third term, this simple analysis of the perturbation theory expression can explain the peaked  $\gamma$  curves that we predict using MOPAC. Recently,  $\gamma$ values for  $(CH_3)_2N-(CH=CH)_3-CHO$  (6) and  $(CH_3 CH_2CH_2CH_2$ )<sub>2</sub>N---(CH==CH)<sub>2</sub>---CH==C(CN)<sub>2</sub> (11) have been measured by third-harmonic generation at 1.907  $\mu$ m in a variety of solvents ranging in polarity from CCL4 to CH3OH (36). The donor-acceptor polyene (6) with a moderately strong formyl acceptor had positive values of  $\gamma$  that exhibit a peak as a function of increasing solvent polarity. In contrast, the related dicyanovinyl compound (11) had positive  $\gamma$  values in nonpolar solvents but increasingly negative values in solvents of moderate to high polarity. These solvent-dependent hyper-



FIG. 5. (Upper Left) Sparkle distance  $(r, in \AA$  as defined in Fig. 2; open symbols) and computed dipole moment  $(\mu,$  solid symbols). (Upper  $Right)$   $\alpha$ . (Lower Left)  $\beta$ . (Lower Right) yas a function of bond-length alternation (BLA, as defined in Fig. 2) in compounds 1 (squares), 2 (circles), and 3 (triangles).

polarizabilities were associated with a change from a highly bond-length-alternated polyene-like structure for compound 6 in nonpolar solvents to a cyanine-like structure with very little bond-length alternation for compound 11 in polar solvents (36). Thus, for these two compounds, the two plots of  $\gamma$  vs. solvent polarity are consistent with the predictions of these electric-field-dependent calculations of hyperpolarizability.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

These external electric-field-dependent calculations of hyperpolarizabilities allow one to correlate bond-length alternation with  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ . Furthermore, where experimental and theoretical comparisons are available, there is good qualitative agreement with the results of these calculations, suggesting that this method can be used generally to map out NLO structure-property relationships for different molecular topologies. In addition, the marked sensitivity of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and y upon point-charge distance (external electric field strength) underscores the need to account for medium-dependent changes in both electronic and geometric structure if one is to develop an understanding of NLO structure-property relationships for organic molecules in condensed phases.

By the correct choice of the degree of aromatic-quinonal character in the ground-state wave function, it should be possible to strike the optimal compromise for the relative energetics of the neutral and CT resonance forms needed to optimize these quantities. It has been shown that bond-length alternation is a key structurally observable parameter that can be correlated with hyperpolarizabilities and is extremely relevant to the optimization of molecules and materials. The  $\beta$  is predicted to peak at  $|0.04|$  Å of bond-length alternation. Likewise,  $\gamma$  is predicted to have a positive peak at  $|0.06|$  Å of bond-length alternation and a negative peak of larger magnitude at zero bond-length alternation. Most molecules currently under investigation have  $|0.10|$  Å or more bond-length alternation. This study suggests that such molecules have too much bond-length alternation to maximize  $|\beta|$  or  $|\gamma|$ .

We thank Brian Pierce, David Beratan, Steve Risser, Kian-Tat Lim, Bruce Tiemann, Gerald Meredith, and David Dixon for helpful discussions and insights. The work in this paper was performed, in part, at the Center for Space Microelectronics Technology, Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), California Institute of Technology under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The work was sponsored by the Advanced Research Projects Agency through a contract administered by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research and the Ballistic Missile Defense Organization/ Innovative Science and Technology Office. Support from the National Science Foundation (Grant CHE-9106689) and Air Force Office of Scientific Research (Grant F49620-92-J-0177) is also gratefully acknowledged. C.B.G. thanks the JPL directors office for a postdoctoral fellowship.

- 1. Marder, S. R., Beratan, D. N. & Cheng, L.-T. (1991) Science 252, 103-106.
- 2. Oudar, J. L. & Chemla, D. S. (1977) J. Chem. Phys. 66, 2664-2668.
- 3. Lalama, S. J. & Garito, A. F. (1979) Phys. Rev. A 20, 1179.
- 4. Paley, M. S., Harris, J. M., Looser, H., Baumert, J. C., Bjorklund, G. C., Jundt, D. & Twieg, R. J. (1989) J. Org. Chem. 54, 3774-3778.
- 5. Nolte, K. D. & Dahne, S. (1977) Adv. Mol. Relax. Interact. Processes 10, 299-329.
- 6. Benson, H. G. & Murrell, J. N. (1972) J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 2 68, 137-143.
- Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90 (1993) 11301
- 7. Scheibe, P., Schneider, S., D6rr, F. & Daltrozzo, E. (1976) Ber. Bunsen. Ges. Phys. Chem. 80, 630-638.
- 8. Radeglia, R., Englehardt, G., Lippmaa, E., Pehk, T., Nolte, K. D. & Daehne, S. (1972) Org. Magn. Reson. 4, 571-576.
- 9. Radeglia, R. & Dähne, S. (1970) J. Mol. Struct. 5, 399-411.<br>10. Marder, S. R., Gorman, C. B., Cheng, L.-T. & Tiemann, B. (
- Marder, S. R., Gorman, C. B., Cheng, L.-T. & Tiemann, B. G. (1993) Proc. SPIE Int. Soc. Opt. Eng. 1775, 19-31.
- 11. Marder, S. R., Perry, J. W., Tiemann, B. G., Gorman, C. B., Biddle, S., Gilmour, S. & Bourhill, G. (1993) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115, 2524-2526.
- 12. Morley, J. O., Docherty, V. J. & Pugh, D. (1987) J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2 1351-1355.
- 13. Kurtz, H. A., Stewart, J. J. P. & Dieter, K. M. (1990) J. Comput. Chem. 11, 82-87.
- 14. Li, D., Ratner, M. A. & Marks, T. J. (1988) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110, 1707-1715.
- 15. Marder, S. R., Gorman, C. B., Tiemann, B. G. & Cheng, L.-T. (1993) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115, 3006-3007.
- 16. Luzhkov, V. & Warshel, A. (1991) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113, 4491-4499.
- 17. Karelson, M. M. & Zerner, M. C. (1992) J. Phys. Chem. 96, 6949-6957.
- 18. Stewart, J. J. P. (1989) J. Comput. Chem. 10, 209–220.<br>19. Stewart, J. J. P. (1989) J. Comput. Chem. 10, 221–264.
- 19. Stewart, J. J. P. (1989) J. Comput. Chem. 10, 221–264.<br>20. Brooker, L. G. S., Keyes, G. H. & Heseltine, D. W. (1
- Brooker, L. G. S., Keyes, G. H. & Heseltine, D. W. (1951) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 73, 5350-5356.
- 21. Levine, B. F., Bethea, C. G., Wasserman, E. & Leenders, L. (1978) J. Chem. Phys. 68, 5042-5045.
- 22. Baughman, R. H., Kohler, B. E., Levy, I. J. & Spangler, C. (1985) Synth. Met. 11, 37-52.
- 23. Drenth, W. & Wiebenga, E. H. (1955) Acta Crystallogr. 8, 755-760.
- 24. Grubbs, R. B., Marder, S. R., Perry, J. W. & Schaefer, W. P. (1991) Chem. Mater. 3, 3-4.
- 25. Dahne, S. & Nolte, K. D. (1972) J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1056-1057.
- 26. Cheng, L.-T., Tam, W., Marder, S. R., Steigman, A. E., Rikken, G. & Spangler, C. W. (1991) J. Phys. Chem. 95, 10643-10652.
- 27. Kurtz, H. A. (1990) Int. J. Quantum Chem. Quantum Chem. Symp. 24, 791-798.
- 28. Garito, A. F., Heflin, J. R., Wong, K. Y. & Zamani-Khamiri, 0. (1989) in Organic Materials for Non-Linear Optics, Royal Society of Chemistry Special Publication No. 69, eds. Hann, R. A. & Bloor, D. (Royal Soc. Chem., Burlington House, London), pp. 16-27.
- 29. Dirk, C. W. & Kuzyk, M. G. (1991) in Materials for Nonlinear Optics: Chemical Perspectives, American Chemical Society Symposium Series, eds. Marder, S. R., Sohn, J. E. & Stucky, G. D. (Am. Chem. Soc., Washington, DC), Vol. 455, pp. 687-703.
- 30. Pierce, B. M. (1991) Proc. SPIE Int. Soc. Opt. Eng. 1560, 148-161.
- 31. Kuzyk, M. G. & Dirk, C. W. (1990) Phys. Rev. A 41, 5098- 5109.
- 32. Stevenson, S. H., Donald, D. S. & Meredith, G. R. (1988) in Nonlinear Optical Properties of Polymers, Materials Research Society Symposium Proceedings, eds. Heeger, A. J., Orenstein, J. & Ulrich, D. R. (Materials Res. Soc., Pittsburgh), Vol. 109, pp. 103-108.
- 33. Dirk, C. W., Cheng, L.-T. & Kuzyk, M. G. (1992) Int. J. Quantum Chem. 43, 27-36.
- 34. Perry, J. W., Steigman, A. E., Marder, S. R., Coulter, D. R., Beratan, D. N., Brinza, D. E., Klavetter, F. L. & Grubbs, R. H. (1988) Proc. SPIE Int. Soc. Opt. Eng. 971, 17-24.
- 35. Cheng, L.-T., Tam, W., Stevenson, S. H., Meredith, G. R., Rikken, G. & Marder, S. R. (1991) J. Phys. Chem. 95, 10631- 10643.
- 36. Marder, S. R., Perry, J. W., Bourhill, G. H., Gorman, C. B., Tiemann, B. G. & Mansour, K. (1993) Science 261, 186-189.