

All letters are subject to editing and may be shortened. General letters can be sent to [bjgpdisc@rcgp.org.uk](mailto:bjgpdisc@rcgp.org.uk) (please include your postal address for publication), and letters responding directly to *BJGP* articles can be submitted online via **eLetters**. We regret we cannot notify authors regarding publication. For submission instructions visit: [bjgp.org/letters](http://bjgp.org/letters)

## Editor's choice

### Bright young things

After 27 years as a partner and 15 years as a trainer in general practice I retired last year at the age of 57. Prior to taking this step I reflected carefully on why I was making this decision. Articles in February's journal accurately sum up my thoughts and reasons.<sup>1</sup> If I had not jumped when I did, then I could well have been one of those boiled frogs.

This week I have been helping locally with GP recruitment. The calibre of those wishing to become GPs has been very high. I have been very impressed by their performance. I would strongly advise them to consider the boiling-frogs analogy.<sup>1</sup> I hope employers, governments, and the profession can come together to produce a future for these bright young things.

Russell William Ellwood,  
*Retired GP, Kingston upon Hull.*  
E-mail: [ellwood117462@gmail.com](mailto:ellwood117462@gmail.com)

#### REFERENCE

1. Doran N, Fox F, Rodham K, *et al*. Lost to the NHS: a mixed methods study of why GPs leave practice early in England. *Br J Gen Pract* 2016; DOI: 10.3399/bjgp16X683425. <http://bjgp.org/content/66/643/e128>.

DOI: 10.3399/bjgp16X684469

### Asthma overdiagnosed in the Netherlands

This article<sup>1</sup> has unfortunately been widely publicised in a sensational and, in my opinion, destructive manner in the UK.<sup>2</sup> Although only five of the 110 patients diagnosed with spirometry, in this study, were found to be incorrectly diagnosed, the accuracy of the diagnosis in the remaining 500+ patients in this study has not been established. A retrospective analysis of the

medical records is only as accurate as the quality of the records and the documented processes used to determine a diagnosis. As the authors state, spirometry is not widely available and, furthermore, nor is quality-assured spirometry. In primary care, serial peak expiratory flow measurements can and, in my opinion, should be used to diagnose reversible airflow obstruction in primary care. It is very difficult to accept the results of this study without detailed corroboration of the assertions that so many of these children don't have asthma. It is well recognised that many people with asthma don't adhere to medical treatment and the assumption by the authors, that failure to collect medication indicates unlikely asthma diagnosis, may be false.

Furthermore, as the disease is defined by its variability it doesn't follow that someone who doesn't have exacerbations doesn't have asthma; they may simply be tolerating the symptoms. A sensible next step, rather than accusing GPs of overdiagnosing asthma, would be a prospective primary care study utilising serial peak expiratory flow to establish accuracy of diagnosis, in all patients currently diagnosed with asthma, as well as those suspected as such in the future. Let's not go back to the 1980s where asthma was underdiagnosed and undertreated.<sup>3</sup>

Mark Levy,  
*GP, Lead Author Why Asthma Still Kills.*  
E-mail: [marklevy@animalwild.com](mailto:marklevy@animalwild.com)

#### REFERENCES

1. Looijmans-van den Akker I, van Luijn K, Verheij T. Overdiagnosis of asthma in children in primary care: a retrospective analysis. *Br J Gen Pract* 2016; DOI: 10.3399/bjgp16X683965. <http://bjgp.org/content/66/644/e152>.
2. Knapton S. Half a million children with asthma may not actually have condition. *Telegraph* 2016; 26 Feb: <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/health/news/12173687/Half-a-million-children-with-asthma-may-not-actually-have-condition.html#comment-2536376540> (accessed 7 Mar 2016).
3. Levy ML, Bell LC. General practice audit of asthma in childhood. *BMJ (Clin Res Ed)* 1984; **289(6452)**: 1115-1116.

DOI: 10.3399/bjgp16X684481

### Obstructive sleep apnoea

The article on obstructive sleep apnoea may mislead the unwary reader as it appears to give equal prominence to claims supported by substantial evidence and those made on the basis of much weaker evidence.<sup>1</sup> It states that obstructive sleep apnoea can probably increase the risk of strokes and heart disease. In fact the evidence suggests there is an association with stroke but failed to find an association with heart disease.<sup>2</sup> It is of course harder to prove that obstructive sleep apnoea causes stroke as the presence of sleep apnoea is associated with other cardiovascular risk factors that could equally explain an association with cardiovascular disease.

The assertion that continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) during sleep is effective is supported by a large number of randomised controlled trials, which were included in a systematic review for the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence.<sup>3</sup> CPAP reduced daytime sleepiness, it also reduced blood pressure by 2 mmHg.

By contrast there is not a single randomised controlled trial of tonsillectomy for obstructive sleep apnoea. The statement that in children with obstructive sleep apnoea 'tonsillectomy is usually curative' is not supported by evidence. Unfortunately this statement is repeated ('removal of large tonsils in children is usually effective') and the claim is repeated in relation to adults ('tonsillectomy may occasionally be effective in the less obese adult').

GPs may like to consider the weight of evidence when considering whether to refer patients for surgical treatments.

Tom Marshall,  
*Professor of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Birmingham.*  
E-mail: [t.p.marshall@bham.ac.uk](mailto:t.p.marshall@bham.ac.uk)

#### REFERENCES

1. Stradling J. Obstructive sleep apnoea: is it moving into primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2016; DOI: 10.3399/bjgp16X683785. <http://bjgp.org/content/66/643/e149>.
2. Loke YK, Brown JW, Kwok CS, *et al*. Association of obstructive sleep apnea with risk of serious

cardiovascular events: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2012; **5**(5): 720–728.

3. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. *Continuous positive airway pressure for the treatment of obstructive sleep apnoea/hypopnoea syndrome*. TA139. London: NICE, 2008. <https://www.nice.org.uk/Guidance/TA139> [accessed 7 Mar 2016].

DOI: 10.3399/bjgp16X684493

## Lack of a RCGP Research Committee

College Officers commenting on my article on academic general practice<sup>1</sup> refer to the Clinical Innovation and Research Centre (CIRC) and I agree it has achieved much in education and development. However, CIRC is not a research centre and is not seen nationally as such.

It is ironic that they cite the RCGP Research Paper of the Year as evidence of the College managing without a Research Committee. Corporate memory is not what it was! I invented this idea as Chairman of the College's Research Committee in the mid-1990s. The Research Committee/Network and staff developed it vigorously as a new way of fostering research excellence, raising the RCGP's profile, and enabling the College to host an annual dinner for the research community at no cost to the College. So this award is actually evidence of what the College's former Research Committee could achieve.

The College's Research Committee is sorely missed. There is now no RCGP support for research-active general practices, although NHS policy is to promote research in all NHS settings, including NHS general practices. There is no RCGP campaign for opportunities for GPs and colleagues to do higher university degrees, which have reduced in recent years. The College's Research Committee successfully nominated a member of the primary care panel in the University Research Assessment Exercise, but that could not happen now.

As the College is richer than ever before, can the Research Committee be reinstated?

Denis Pereira Gray,  
*Chairman, RCGP Research Committee/  
Network, 1993–1996.*  
*E-mail: denis.pereiragray@btinternet.com*

### REFERENCE

1. Pereira Gray D. Academic general practice: a

viewpoint on achievements and challenges. *Br J Gen Pract* 2015; DOI: 10.3399/bjgp15X687481. <http://bjgp.org/content/65/640/e786>.

DOI: 10.3399/bjgp16X684505

## Discontinuation of benzodiazepines

Following the recent clinical research article on the discontinuation of benzodiazepines, I would like to encourage my colleagues.<sup>1</sup> I have been working in a deprived area of Derby with relatively high levels of illegal drug use. Two and a half years ago the practice was prescribing benzodiazepines and Z-drugs at a level of 4% of the practice population (6500 patients). We discovered that some of these medications were entering the street market.

We initiated a firm protocol, called patients in for reductions, and discussed with our psychiatry colleagues the more difficult cases. With regular audit and review we have managed to reduce the regular burden of these drugs to 0.7% of our practice population and can expect to reduce that further to 0.15%. As you can imagine this has taken a lot of effort and involved some difficult consultations and threatening behaviour, but the burden has been reduced not only for our patients but also for the wider community with no additional funding.

Naomi Walmsley,  
*GP, Derby.*  
*E-mail: naomidale@doctors.org.uk*

### REFERENCE

1. Vicens C, Sempere E, Bejarano F, *et al*. Efficacy of two interventions on the discontinuation of benzodiazepines in long-term users: 36-month follow-up of a cluster randomised trial in primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2016; DOI: 10.3399/bjgp16X683485. <http://bjgp.org/content/66/643/e85>.

DOI: 10.3399/bjgp16X684517