Leptospira mayottensis sp. nov., a pathogenic species of the genus Leptospira isolated from humans

Pascale Bourhy,¹ Louis Collet,² Sylvain Brisse^{3,4} and Mathieu Picardeau¹

¹Institut Pasteur, Biology of Spirochetes Unit, National Reference Centre and WHO Collaborating Center for Leptospirosis, Paris, France

²Hospital Centre of Mayotte (CHM), Mayotte, France

³Institut Pasteur, Microbial Evolutionary Genomics Unit, Paris, France

4 CNRS, UMR 3525, Paris, France

A group of strains representing species of the genus Leptospira, isolated from patients with leptospirosis in Mayotte (Indian Ocean), were previously found to be considerably divergent from other known species of the genus Leptospira. This was inferred from sequence analysis of rrs (16S rRNA) and other genetic loci and suggests that they belong to a novel species. Two strains from each serogroup currently identified within this novel species were studied. Spirochaete, aerobic, motile, helix-shaped strains grew well at $30-37$ °C, but not at 13 °C or in the presence of 8-azaguanine. Draft genomes of the strains were also analysed to study the DNA relatedness with other species of the genus Leptospira. The new isolates formed a distinct clade, which was most closely related to Leptospira borgpetersenii, in multilocus sequence analysis using concatenated sequences of the genes rpoB, recA, fusA, gyrB, leuS and sucA. Analysis of average nucleotide identity and genome-to-genome distances, which have recently been proposed as reliable substitutes for classical DNA–DNA hybridization, further confirmed that these isolates should be classified as representatives of a novel species. The $G+C$ content of the genomic DNA was 39.5 mol%. These isolates are considered to represent a novel species, for which the name *Leptospira mayottensis* sp. nov. is proposed, with 200901116^T (=CIP 110703^T=DSM 28999^T) as the type strain.

The genus Leptospira is classified in the family Leptospiraceae, which belongs to the order Spirochaetales. This family was designated by [Hovind-Hougen \(1979\)](#page-5-0) on the basis of morphological features of bacterial cells observed by microscopy [\(Hovind-Hougen, 1979](#page-5-0)). Species of the genus Leptospira are helix-shaped bacteria with two periplasmic flagella ([Goldstein & Charon, 1988\)](#page-5-0). Species of the genus Leptospira have a Gram-negative-like cell envelope in which the cytoplasmic membrane and peptidoglycan cell wall are closely associated and are overlaid by an outer membrane, which contains surface-exposed lipoproteins and lipopolysaccharides (LPS) [\(Haake & Matsunaga, 2010](#page-5-0)). Because of

Abbreviations: ANI, average nucleotide identity; CDS, coding DNA sequence; GGD, genome-to-genome distance; LPS, lipopolysaccharides; MAT, microscopic agglutination test; MLST, multilocus sequence typing; PFGE, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis.

The GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession numbers for the draft genome sequences of strains 2009001116^T and 200901122 are AKWB00000000 and AKWM00000000, respectively.

Three supplementary tables and one supplementary figure are available with the online Supplementary Material.

the limited phenotypic differences recognizable within the genus, researchers have utilized antigenic differences in agglutinating antigens as the basis for identification and classification. Members of the genus Leptospira are classified into serovars, which have been defined from the structural heterogeneity in the carbohydrate component of the LPS with over 300 different serovars currently identified ([Faine](#page-5-0) et al.[, 1999; Kmety & Dikken, 1993](#page-5-0)).

To date, the genus Leptospira comprises twenty-one different species isolated from various environments. Pathogenic species of the genus Leptospira include nine species (Leptospira interrogans, Leptospira kirschneri, Leptospira borgpetersenii, Leptospira santarosai, Leptospira noguchii, Leptospira weilii, Leptospira alexanderi, Leptospira kmetyi and Leptospira alstonii), which are capable of infecting and causing disease in humans and animals. The five intermediate species of the genus Leptospira (Leptospira inadai, Leptospira broomii, Leptospira fainei, Leptospira wolffii and Leptospira licerasiae) have been isolated from humans and animals and may be the cause of a variety of mild clinical manifestations. Finally, a third subgroup includes six

Correspondence Mathieu Picardeau mathieu.picardeau@pasteur.fr saprophytic species (Leptospira biflexa, Leptospira wolbachii, Leptospira meyeri, Leptospira vanthielii, Leptospira terpstrae, Leptospira idonii and Leptospira yanagawae), which are environmental bacteria that do not cause disease in humans or animals.

Mayotte is a French overseas department in the Indian Ocean where leptospirosis is endemic. We previously reported a group of 15 strains isolated from the blood of patients with leptospirosis in Mayotte, which were identified as belonging to a novel species based on the analysis of 16S rRNA sequences and multilocus sequence typing (MLST) [\(Bourhy](#page-5-0) et al., 2012). This species was also identified in small mammals in Mayotte and Madagascar [\(Desvars](#page-5-0) et al., 2012; [Dietrich](#page-5-0) et al., 2014). MLST and serogrouping by microscopic agglutination tests (MAT) with rabbit antisera against reference serovars of the main serogroups (Australis, Autumnalis, Bataviae, Canicola, Ballum, Cynopteri, Grippotyphosa, Sejroe, Hebdomadis, Icterohaemorrhagiae, Panama, Semaranga, Pomona, Pyrogenes, Tarassovi, Celledoni, Djamisan, Mini, Sarmin, Shermani, Javanica and Louisiana) revealed two groups within this novel species [\(Bourhy](#page-5-0) et al., 2012). Strains from the sequence type ST7 belonged to serogroup Mini, but strains from ST5 did not show any positive agglutination with rabbit antisera ([Bourhy](#page-5-0) et al., 2012). Strain 200901122 from ST5 was further tested against a panel of monoclonal antibodies, showing an agglutination pattern similar to the one of L. borgpetersenii serovar Kenya strain Nijenga from serogroup Ballum ([Bourhy](#page-5-0) et al., 2012). The reason for these discordant serotyping results is unknown. Whether strain 200901122 belongs to serovar Kenya and serogroup Ballum remains to be confirmed. In the present paper, two representative strains of the two groups, 200901122 and 200901116^T , from this novel species, designated Leptospira mayottensis sp. nov., were further characterized. Strain 200901116^T , which belongs to serogroup Mini was designated as the type strain.

The two strains can be cultivated in Ellinghausen-McCullough-Johnson-Harris medium (EMJH) [\(Ellinghausen](#page-5-0) [& McCullough, 1965](#page-5-0); [Johnson & Harris, 1967](#page-5-0)), which is an oleic-acid albumin medium containing Tweens as the source of fatty acids and serum albumin as a detoxifier. Under darkfield microscopy (Olympus BX51) cells were found to show motility and morphology that were similar to those of members of the genus Leptospira. Cells were helix-shaped with a length of 9 ± 2.1 µm and a diameter of ~0.2 µm, with a wavelength of ~ 0.5 µm and an amplitude of ~ 0.5 µm (Fig. 1). Cells grew as subsurface colonies on 1 % agar solid EMJH media after 3 weeks of incubation at 30 °C.

Phenotypic characterization of strains 200901122 and 2009001116 ^T was performed by assessing their growth at varying temperatures and in the presence of the purine analogue 8-azaguanine [\(Johnson & Rogers, 1964](#page-5-0)). Strains 200901116^T and 2009001122 shared characteristics common to pathogenic species of the genus Leptospira [\(Table 1](#page-2-0)).

Fig. 1. Dark-field photomicrographs of cells of strain 200901116^T showing typical helical morphology and hooked ends. Cells were 9 ± 2.1 µm long and ~0.2 µm in diameter, with a wavelength (λ) of ~0.5 µm and an amplitude (h) of ~0.5 µm. Bars, 1 µm.

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), which has a high discriminatory power, was used for genotyping strains of species of the genus Leptospira ([Galloway & Levett, 2008](#page-5-0); [Herrmann](#page-5-0) et al., 1992). The isolates displayed unique PFGE patterns, which differed from the PFGE patterns of the reference strains ([Bourhy](#page-5-0) et al., 2012). Group A (isolates belonging to the serogroup Mini) and B (isolates of an unknown serogroup) isolates demonstrated a high level of diversity by PFGE typing (Fig. S1, available in the online Supplementary Material).

In the past few years, hundreds of Leptospira genomes have been sequenced, including the published genome sequences of the saprophyte L. biflexa, the pathogens L. interrogans, L. borgpetersenii and L. santarosai, and the intermediate L. licerasiae (Bulach et al.[, 2006](#page-5-0); Chou et al.[, 2012](#page-5-0); [Nascimento](#page-5-0) et al., 2004; [Picardeau](#page-6-0) et al., 2008; Ren [et al.](#page-6-0), [2003](#page-6-0); [Ricaldi](#page-6-0) et al., 2012). The 4 135 276 and 4 161 553 bp genomes of strains 2009001116^T and 200901122 , respectively, are part of the 'Leptospira Genomics and Human Health' project from the J. Craig Venter Institute and the NIAID Genomic Sequencing Centers for Infectious Diseases. All the general aspects of library construction and sequencing performed at the JCVI can be found on the JCVI website (http://gcid.jcvi.org/). The G+C content of the genomic DNA was 39.5 mol%, which is within the 35– 45 mol% range reported for members of the genus Leptospira ([Tables 1](#page-2-0) and S1).

The 16S rRNA sequences of strains 200901116 ^T and 200901122 were amplified with primers rrs1 (5'-CGCTGG-CGGCGCGTCTTAAACATGC-3') and rrs2 (5'-ACGTAT-TCACCGCGGCATGC-3') and the sequences were compared

Table 1. Phenotypic and genetic characteristics of reference strains of the described species in the genus Leptospira

Phenotypic characteristics were determined with standardized methods recommended for detection and characterization of Leptospira spp. Taxa: 1: L. interrogans RGA^T ([Yasuda](#page-6-0) et al., 1987); 2: L. kirschneri 3522C^T [\(Ramadass](#page-6-0) et al., 1992); 3: L. noguchii CZ 214 K^T [\(Yasuda](#page-6-0) et al., 1987); 4: L. borgpetersenii M84 ([Yasuda](#page-6-0) et al., 1987); 5: L. weilii Celledoni (Yasuda et al., 1987); 6: L. santarosai LT821^T (Yasuda et al., 1987); 7: L. alexanderi L 60 $^{\rm T}$ [\(Brenner](#page-5-0) *et al*., 1999); 8: *L. alstonii* 79601 $^{\rm T}$; 9: *L. kmetyi* Bejo-Iso 9 $^{\rm T}$ (Slack *et al.*[, 2009\)](#page-6-0); 10: *L. mayottensis* 200901116 $^{\rm T}$; 11: *L. wolffii* Khorat-H2 $^{\rm T}$ (Slack et al.[, 2008\)](#page-6-0); 12: L. licerasiae VAR010^T [\(Matthias](#page-5-0) et al., 2008); 13: L. inadai LT64-68^T ([Yasuda](#page-6-0) et al., 1987) ([Schmid](#page-6-0) et al., 1986); 14: L. fainei BUT6^T [\(Perolat](#page-6-0) et al., 1998); 15: L. broomii 5399^T [\(Levett](#page-5-0) et al., 2006); 16: L. wolbachii CDC^T [\(Yasuda](#page-6-0) et al., 1987); 17: L. meyeri Veldrat Semarang 173^T [\(Yasuda](#page-6-0) et al., 1987); 18: L. biflexa Patoc 1^T ([Yasuda](#page-6-0) et al., 1987); 19: L. vanthielii WaZ Holland^T [\(Smythe](#page-6-0) et al., 2012); 20: L. terpstrae LT 11- 33^T [\(Smythe](#page-6-0) *et al.*, 2012); 21: *L. yanagawae* Sao Paulo^T ([Smythe](#page-6-0) *et al.*, 2012); 22: *L. idonii* Eri-1^T(Saito *et al.*, 2012). +, positive; -, negative; N/A, data not available. All Leptospira strains are motiles and they grow at 30 °C. G+C content was determined by the thermal-denaturation method ([Mandel & Marmur, 1968\)](#page-5-0), except when indicated.

*Growth in EMJH liquid medium supplemented with 225 μ g ml⁻¹ 8-azaguanine at 30 °C. $\dagger G + C$ content was determined by genome sequencing.

with sequences from the GenBank database for each of the species of the genus Leptospira. Multiple sequence alignments of DNA sequences were performed using MUSCLE [\(Edgar, 2004](#page-5-0)). Phylogenetic analysis was performed using PhyML with the GTR model of nucleotide substitution; the proportion of invariable sites and gamma shape parameters were estimated with 4 nt substitution rate categories [\(Guindon & Gascuel, 2003\)](#page-5-0). Dendrograms generated from the 16S rRNA gene sequences revealed three clades [\(Matthias](#page-5-0) et al., 2008; Paster et al.[, 1991; Schmid](#page-6-0) et al., [1986\)](#page-6-0). Strains 2009001116^T and 200901122 were recovered in the 'pathogen' clade ([Fig. 2](#page-3-0)). The 16S rRNA sequence similarity of these strains with other pathogenic species was 99 % (11 mismatches over 1314 bp between L. mayottensis sp. nov. and L. borgpetersenii). Due to the low variability and conservative nature of the 16S rRNA gene in species of the genus Leptospira (Morey et al.[, 2006](#page-5-0)), the strains were subjected to multilocus sequence analysis utilizing sequences of the housekeeping genes rpoB, recA, fusA, gyrB, leuS and

sequences for the six housekeeping gene loci were extracted from the draft genome sequences (see the accession numbers in Table S1) with BLASTN (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ Blast.cgi) using the L. interrogans sequence as query. They were aligned using MUSCLE, concatenated and analysed with PhyML as described above, except that a uniform rate was used. The two strains exhibited identical sequences for all six housekeeping genes and formed a clade, which was clearly distinct from other pathogenic species of the genus Leptospira [\(Fig. 3\)](#page-4-0). These data confirm the results obtained by MLST with the genes adk, icdA, secY, lipL32 and lipL41, thus indicating that these two strains should be classified as representatives of a novel species [\(Bourhy](#page-5-0) et al., 2012).

sucA to infer more precise phylogenetic relationships. The

The genomic relatedness among strains was determined from fully or partially sequenced genomes (Table S1) using the average nucleotide identity (ANI) [\(Konstantinidis &](#page-5-0) [Tiedje, 2005](#page-5-0)) and the genome-to-genome distance (GGD)

calculator [\(Meier-Kolthoff](#page-5-0) et al., 2012). These algorithms have been used to replace standard DNA–DNA hybridization (DDH) by calculating DNA–DNA relatedness [\(Auch](#page-5-0) et al.[, 2010a](#page-5-0) b; Goris et al.[, 2007;](#page-5-0) Wolf et al.[, 2001\)](#page-6-0). Thus, a previous study performed sequence-based comparisons on six phylogenetically distinct groups, including strains of the genera Bacillus, Burkholderia, Escherichia/Shigella, Pseudomonas, Shewanella and Streptococcus, and showed good agreement between GGD and DDH values [\(Goris](#page-5-0) et al.[, 2007](#page-5-0)). In this study, genome relatedness was calculated using the GGD Calculator, formula 2, performed at http:// ggdc.dsmz.de ([Meier-Kolthoff](#page-5-0) et al., 2012). As previously recommended, in silico DDH estimates >70% suggest that strains belong to the same species [\(Wayne](#page-6-0) et al., 1987). The GGD was calculated between strain 200901116^T and genomes of representative strains of species of the genus Leptospira (Table S2). Strain 200901116^T showed less than 70 % similarity with all the other strains, except strain 200901122. Strains 200901116T and 200901122 showed values of greater than 70 % similarity to each other (estimated hybridization $96.70\% \pm 1.05$), suggesting that they belong to the same species. Similarly, strains within the species L. interrogans, L. borgpetersenii and L. kirschneri Fig. 2. Phylogenetic tree using maximumlikelihood based on the analysis of a ~1300 bp sequence of the 16S rRNA gene of species of the genus Leptospira. Bar, proportion of nucleotide substitutions. Accession numbers are indicated under brackets. Values at the nodes denote bootstrap support (in percentage) obtained based on 1000 resampling events.

had GGD values higher than the cut-off value of 70 % DDH similarity (Table S2). For example, L. interrogans Fiocruz L1- 130 and L. interrogans strain 56601 belong to the same species (estimated hybridization 93.00 % \pm 1.73) and *L. interrogans* is phylogenetically related to L. kirschneri (estimated hybridization $>42\%$), while other pathogenic, intermediate and saprophytic species are distantly related to L. interrogans. These data are phylogenetically consistent and reflect genetic relatedness among species of the genus Leptospira.

The pairwise ANI values were determined from the genomic sequences using jSpecies (Richter & Rosselló-Móra, 2009) (Table S1). The ANI value between strains 200901116^T and 200901122 was nearly 99%. In contrast, the ANI values between these strains and the most closely related pathogenic species were below 92 %, thus falling below the threshold of 95 % recommended for species delineation (Richter & Rosselló-Móra, 2009) (Table S3).

GGD and ANI analyses were in good agreement and indicated that *L. mayottensis* sp.nov. does not belong to any of the previously described species of the genus Leptospira, further suggesting that L. mayottensis sp. nov. should be recognized as a representative of a novel species.

Fig. 3. Phylogenetic tree of concatenated sequences of genes rpoB, recA, fusA, gyrB, leuS and sucA of species of the genus Leptospira. Bar, proportion of nucleotide substitutions. Accession numbers are indicated under brackets. Values at the nodes denote bootstrap support (in percentage) obtained based on 1000 resampling events.

Comparative genome analysis was performed using the MaGe interface in the SpiroScope database (https://www. genoscope.cns.fr/agc/microscope/home/index.php). Strains 2009001116 ^T and 200901122 share 3501 coding DNA sequences (CDS), with an average pair-wise amino acid identity of higher than 99 %. In comparison, using the same criteria, strain 2009001116^T shares only 34 and 192 CDS with L. interrogans Fiocruz L1-130 and L. borgpetersenii strain L550, respectively. Strains 2009001116 ^T and 200901122 share homologies with leptospiral virulence factors, including lipoproteins LipL32/LIC11352 ($>94\%$) identity) and LigB/LIC10464 (>64 % identity), collagenase $CoIA/LIC12760$ ($>84\%$ identity) and sphingomyelinase SphC/LIC13198 (76 % identity). The genomes also encode virulence-associated proteins that are absent from the genomes of saprophytic strains, such as catalase KatE $(>87.5\%$ identity with LIC12032), which is involved in the resistance to oxidative stress conditions [\(Eshghi](#page-5-0) et al., [2012\)](#page-5-0). This further confirms that these strains belong to a pathogenic species of the genus Leptospira.

Classical DDH, which estimates the overall similarity between the genomes of two strains, is recommended for species delineation, with hybridization values $\leq 70\%$ indicating that the tested organisms belong to a different species [\(Wayne](#page-6-0) et al., 1987). This technique has been used for the identification of the 21 species of the genus Leptospira with validly published names described to date. Today, the availability of more than 300 whole genome sequences of species of the genus Leptospira in the NCBI and JCVI databases makes genome comparison a viable option as the new gold standard for taxonomy. In the present study, there was a high correlation between the

results of ANI and GGD with DNA–DNA relatedness, mimicking wet-lab hybridization results, as shown previously for other bacteria (Goris et al.[, 2007](#page-5-0); [Konstantinidis](#page-5-0) [& Tiedje, 2005\)](#page-5-0). In silico DDH values indicate that the tested strains, 200901116^T and 200901122 , belong to a species of the genus Leptospira, which is different from those with validly published names described at the time of writing. They also show that there was strong DNA–DNA relatedness between the two strains within the novel species. The DNA $G+C$ contents, and housekeeping and 16S rRNA gene sequences were extracted from genome sequences to verify that the data were phylogenetically consistent; the use of genome sequences provides reusable data and reproducible results. We propose that the genomic sequence of at least the type strain should be established for description of a novel species of the genus Leptospira in the future (Richter & Rosselló-Móra, 2009; [Tindall](#page-6-0) et al., 2010).

Description of Leptospira mayottensis sp. nov.

Leptospira mayottensis (ma.yott.en'sis. N.L. fem adj. mayottensis after the island of Mayotte in the Indian Ocean).

Motility and morphology of the isolates are similar to those of other members of the genus Leptospira. Cells are 9 ± 2.1 µm long and ~0.2 µm in diameter, with a wavelength (λ) of ~0.5 µm and an amplitude (h) of \sim 0.5 µm under dark-field microscopy. The strains grow well in EMJH medium at 30 $^{\circ}$ C and 37 $^{\circ}$ C, but not in EMJH media at 13 $^{\circ}$ C or in EMJH supplemented with 8-azaguanine.

The type strain, 200901116^T (=CIP 110703^T =DSM 28999^T), was isolated from the blood of a leptospirosis patient during the acute phase of illness (fever of 38 $^{\circ}$ C, accompanied by headache and myalgia) on the island of Mayotte in 2009. The $G+C$ content of the genomic DNA of the type strain is 39.5 mol%.

Acknowledgements

We thank the technicians of the NRC for Leptospirosis (Sylvie Brémont, Annie Landier and Farida Zinini) for typing of isolates and Ambroise Lambert for his contribution to microscopy studies. Draft genome sequences used in this study are part of a project which was funded in part with federal funds from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services under contract number HHSN272200900007C. Authors thank J. Vinetz and D. Fouts for their permission to use the draft genomes. This work was also funded by the Institut Pasteur and the French Ministry of Health (InVS).

References

Auch, A. F., Klenk, H. P. & Göker, M. S. (2010a). Standard operating procedure for calculating genome-to-genome distances based on high-scoring segment pairs. Stand Genomic Sci 2, 142–148.

Auch, A. F., von Jan, M., Klenk, H.-P. & Göker, M. (2010b). Digital DNA-DNA hybridization for microbial species delineation by means of genome-to-genome sequence comparison. Stand Genomic Sci 2, 117–134.

Bourhy, P., Collet, L., Lernout, T., Zinini, F., Hartskeerl, R. A., van der Linden, H., Thiberge, J. M., Diancourt, L., Brisse, S. & other authors (2012). Human leptospira isolates circulating in Mayotte (Indian Ocean) have unique serological and molecular features. J Clin Microbiol 50, 307–311.

Brenner, D. J., Kaufmann, A. F., Sulzer, K. R., Steigerwalt, A. G., Rogers, F. C. & Weyant, R. S. (1999). Further determination of DNA relatedness between serogroups and serovars in the family Leptospiraceae with a proposal for Leptospira alexanderi sp. nov. and four new Leptospira genomospecies. Int J Syst Bacteriol 49, 839–858.

Bulach, D. M., Zuerner, R. L., Wilson, P., Seemann, T., McGrath, A., Cullen, P. A., Davis, J., Johnson, M., Kuczek, E. & other authors (2006). Genome reduction in Leptospira borgpetersenii reflects limited transmission potential. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103, 14560–14565.

Chou, L. F., Chen, Y. T., Lu, C. W., Ko, Y. C., Tang, C. Y., Pan, M. J., Tian, Y. C., Chiu, C. H., Hung, C. C. & Yang, C. W. (2012). Sequence of Leptospira santarosai serovar Shermani genome and prediction of virulence-associated genes. Gene 511, 364–370.

Desvars, A., Naze, F., Vourc'h, G., Cardinale, E., Picardeau, M., Michault, A. & Bourhy, P. (2012). Similarities in Leptospira serogroup and species distribution in animals and humans in the Indian ocean island of Mayotte. Am J Trop Med Hyg 87, 134–140.

Dietrich, M., Wilkinson, D. A., Soarimalala, V., Goodman, S. M., Dellagi, K. & Tortosa, P. (2014). Diversification of an emerging pathogen in a biodiversity hotspot: Leptospira in endemic small mammals of Madagascar. Mol Ecol 23, 2783–2796.

Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res 32, 1792–1797.

Ellinghausen, H. C., Jr & McCullough, W. G. (1965). Nutrition of Leptospira pomona and growth of 13 other serotypes: fractionation of oleic albumin complex and a medium of bovine albumin and polysorbate 80. Am J Vet Res 26, 45–51.

Eshghi, A., Lourdault, K., Murray, G. L., Bartpho, T., Sermswan, R. W., Picardeau, M., Adler, B., Snarr, B., Zuerner, R. L. & other authors (2012). Leptospira interrogans catalase is required for resistance to H2O2 and for virulence. Infect Immun 80, 3892–3899.

Faine, S. B., Adler, B., Bolin, C. & Perolat, P. (1999). Leptospira and leptospirosis, 2nd edn. Melbourne: MediSci.

Galloway, R. L. & Levett, P. N. (2008). Evaluation of a modified pulsed-field gel electrophoresis approach for the identification of Leptospira serovars. Am J Trop Med Hyg 78, 628–632.

Goldstein, S. F. & Charon, N. W. (1988). Motility of the spirochete Leptospira. Cell Motil Cytoskeleton 9, 101–110.

Goris, J., Konstantinidis, K. T., Klappenbach, J. A., Coenye, T., Vandamme, P. & Tiedje, J. M. (2007). DNA-DNA hybridization values and their relationship to whole-genome sequence similarities. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 57, 81–91.

Guindon, S. & Gascuel, O. (2003). A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. Syst Biol 52, 696–704.

Haake, D. A. & Matsunaga, J. (2010). Leptospira: a spirochaete with a hybrid outer membrane. Mol Microbiol 77, 805–814.

Herrmann, J. L., Bellenger, E., Perolat, P., Baranton, G. & Saint Girons, I. (1992). Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis of NotI digests of leptospiral DNA: a new rapid method of serovar identification. J Clin Microbiol 30, 1696–1702.

Hovind-Hougen, K. (1979). Leptospiraceae, a new Family to include Leptospira Noguchi 1917 and Leptonema, gen. nov. Int J Syst Bacteriol 29, 245–251.

Johnson, R. C. & Harris, V. G. (1967). Differentiation of pathogenic and saprophytic leptospires I. Growth at low temperatures. J Bacteriol 94, 27–31.

Johnson, R. C. & Rogers, P. (1964). Differentiation of pathogenic and saprophytic leptospires with 8-azaguanine. J Bacteriol 88, 1618–1623.

Kmety, E. & Dikken, H. (1993). Classification of the Species Leptospira interrogans and History of its Serovars. Groningen: University Press.

Konstantinidis, K. T. & Tiedje, J. M. (2005). Genomic insights that advance the species definition for prokaryotes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102, 2567-2572.

Levett, P. N., Morey, R. E., Galloway, R. L. & Steigerwalt, A. G. (2006). Leptospira broomii sp. nov., isolated from humans with leptospirosis. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 56, 671–673.

Mandel, M. & Marmur, J. (1968). Use of ultraviolet absorbancetemperature profile for determining the guanine plus cytosine content of DNA. Methods Enzymol 12B, 195–206.

Matthias, M. A., Ricaldi, J. N., Cespedes, M., Diaz, M. M., Galloway, R. L., Saito, M., Steigerwalt, A. G., Patra, K. P., Ore, C. V. & other authors (2008). Human leptospirosis caused by a new, antigenically unique Leptospira associated with a Rattus species reservoir in the Peruvian Amazon. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2, e213.

Meier-Kolthoff, J. P., Auch, A. F., Klenk, H. P. & Göker, M. (2012). Genome sequence-based species delimitation with confidence intervals and improved distance functions. BMC Bioinformatics 14, 60.

Morey, R. E., Galloway, R. L., Bragg, S. L., Steigerwalt, A. G., Mayer, L. W. & Levett, P. N. (2006). Species-specific identification of Leptospiraceae by 16S rRNA gene sequencing. J Clin Microbiol 44, 3510–3516.

Nascimento, A. L., Ko, A. I., Martins, E. A., Monteiro-Vitorello, C. B., Ho, P. L., Haake, D. A., Verjovski-Almeida, S., Hartskeerl, R. A., Marques, M. V. & other authors (2004). Comparative genomics of two Leptospira interrogans serovars reveals novel insights into physiology and pathogenesis. J Bacteriol 186, 2164–2172.

Paster, B. J., Dewhirst, F. E., Weisburg, W. G., Tordoff, L. A., Fraser, G. J., Hespell, R. B., Stanton, T. B., Zablen, L., Mandelco, L. & Woese, C. R. (1991). Phylogenetic analysis of the spirochetes. J Bacteriol 173, 6101–6109.

Perolat, P., Chappel, R. J., Adler, B., Baranton, G., Bulach, D. M., Billinghurst, M. L., Letocart, M., Merien, F. & Serrano, M. S. (1998). Leptospira fainei sp. nov., isolated from pigs in Australia. Int J Syst Bacteriol 48, 851–858.

Picardeau, M., Bulach, D. M., Bouchier, C., Zuerner, R. L., Zidane, N., Wilson, P. J., Creno, S., Kuczek, E. S., Bommezzadri, S. & other authors (2008). Genome sequence of the saprophyte Leptospira biflexa provides insights into the evolution of Leptospira and the pathogenesis of leptospirosis. PLoS ONE 3, e1607.

Ramadass, P., Jarvis, B. D. W., Corner, R. J., Penny, D. & Marshall, R. B. (1992). Genetic characterization of pathogenic Leptospira species by DNA hybridization. Int J Syst Bacteriol 42, 215–219.

Ren, S. X., Fu, G., Jiang, X. G., Zeng, R., Miao, Y. G., Xu, H., Zhang, Y. X., Xiong, H., Lu, G. & other authors (2003). Unique physiological and pathogenic features of Leptospira interrogans revealed by wholegenome sequencing. Nature 422, 888–893.

Ricaldi, J. N., Fouts, D. E., Selengut, J. D., Harkins, D. M., Patra, K. P., Moreno, A., Lehmann, J. S., Purushe, J., Sanka, R. & other authors (2012). Whole genome analysis of Leptospira licerasiae provides insight into leptospiral evolution and pathogenicity. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 6, e1853.

Richter, M. & Rosselló-Móra, R. (2009). Shifting the genomic gold standard for the prokaryotic species definition. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106, 19126–19131.

Saito, M., Villanueva, S. Y., Kawamura, Y., Iida, K. I., Tomida, J., Kanemaru, T., Kohno, E., Miyahara, S., Umeda, A. & other authors (2013). Leptospira idonii sp. nov., isolated from environmental water. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 63, 2457–2462.

Schmid, G. P., Steere, A. C., Kornblatt, A. N., Kaufmann, A. F., Moss, C. W., Johnson, R. C., Hovind-Hougen, K. & Brenner, D. J. (1986). Newly recognized Leptospira species (''Leptospira inadai'' serovar lyme) isolated from human skin. J Clin Microbiol 24, 484–486.

Slack, A. T., Kalambaheti, T., Symonds, M. L., Dohnt, M. F., Galloway, R. L., Steigerwalt, A. G., Chaicumpa, W., Bunyaraksyotin, G., Craig, S. & other authors (2008). Leptospira wolffii sp. nov., isolated from a human with suspected leptospirosis in Thailand. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 58, 2305–2308.

Slack, A. T., Khairani-Bejo, S., Symonds, M. L., Dohnt, M. F., Galloway, R. L., Steigerwalt, A. G., Bahaman, A. R., Craig, S., Harrower, B. J. & Smythe, L. D. (2009). Leptospira kmetyi sp. nov., isolated from an environmental source in Malaysia. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 59, 705–708.

Smythe, L., Adler, B., Hartskeerl, R. A., Galloway, R. L., Turenne, C. Y. & Levett, P. N. (2012). Classification of Leptospira genomospecies 1, genomospecies 3, genomospecies 4 and genomospecies 5 as Leptospira alstonii sp. nov., Leptospira vanthielii sp. nov., Leptospira terpstrae sp. nov., Leptospira yanagawae sp. nov., respectively. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 63, 1859–1862.

Tindall, B. J., Rosselló-Móra, R., Busse, H. J., Ludwig, W. & Kämpfer, P. (2010). Notes on the characterization of prokaryote strains for taxonomic purposes. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 60, 249–266.

Wayne, L. G., Brenner, D. J., Colwell, R. R., Grimont, P. A. D., Kandler, O., Krichevsky, M. I., Moore, L. H., Moore, W. E. C., Murray, R. G. E. & other authors (1987). International Committee on Ststematic Bacteriology. Report of the Ad Hoc Committee on Reconciliation of Approaches to Bacterial Systematics. Int J Syst Bacteriol 37, 463– 464.

Wolf, Y. I., Rogozin, I. B., Grishin, N. V., Tatusov, R. L. & Koonin, E. V. (2001). Genome trees constructed using five different approaches suggest new major bacterial clades. BMC Evol Biol 1, 8.

Yasuda, P. H., Steigerwalt, A. G., Sulzer, K. R., Kaufmann, A. F., Rogers, F. & Brenner, D. J. (1987). Deoxyribonucleic acid relatedness between serogroups and serovars in the family Leptospiraceae with proposals for seven new Leptospira species. Int J Syst Bacteriol 37, 407–415.